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#### Abstract

In this note, we prove a geometrical relationship between the zeros of a polynomial $p$ of order $m$, say, and the zeros of another polynomial which is derived from $p$ by multiplying each of $p$ 's coefficients, call them $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}_{k-0}^{m}$, by a power of $k$ or by $k^{2}+2 k \lambda$ for $\lambda>0$. © 1991 Academic Press, Inc.


The Gauss Lucas Theorem (see, for instance, [1]) states that the zeros of the derivative of a polynomial have to lie in the convex hull of the zeros of the polynomial itself. In this note we establish a similar relationship between the zeros of a polynomial $p$ of degree $m$ which is expressed as a linear combination of certain basis polynomials $\varphi_{k}$, with $\varphi_{k}$ of degree $k$, $k=0,1, \ldots, m$, that span the space of all polynomials of degree $m$, and the zeros of a polynomial $q$ which is obtained from $p$ by multiplying the coefficient of $\varphi_{k}$ by $k^{2}$ for all $k$, or by $k^{2}+2 k \lambda$ for positive $\lambda$ for all $k$.

When the basis functions are the Chebyshev polynomials, a result, which is also useful for relating the zeros of the second derivative of an even trigonometric polynomial to the zeros of the polynomial itself, is the following.

ThEOREM 1. Let $p=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} T_{k}$ be a polynomial, written in the Chebyshev basis, and let $q=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} k^{2} T_{k}$. Then, if 0,1 , or -1 , is a zero of $q$, it has to lie in the convex hull $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$ of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$, and therefore in the convex hull $\mathscr{P}$ of the zeros of $p$. If $x_{0} \notin\{0,1,-1\}$ is a zero of $q$, it has to lie in the convex hull of $\mathscr{P}^{\prime} \cup\left\{x_{0}^{-1}\right\}$, and therefore in the convex hull of $\mathscr{P} \cup\left\{x_{0}^{-1}\right\}$.

Remark 1. In many cases, the requirement that $x_{0}$ be in the convex hull of $\mathscr{P}^{\prime} \cup\left\{x_{0}^{-1}\right\}$ already means that $x_{0}$ has to be in $\mathscr{P P}^{\prime}$, e.g., if
(i) $x_{0}^{-1} \in \mathscr{P}^{\prime}$, or
(ii) the line segment connecting $x_{0}$ and $x_{0}^{-1}$ intersects $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$, or
(iii) the line through $x_{0}$ and $x_{0}^{-1}$ does not intersect $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$, or
(iv) the ray from $x_{0}$ through $x_{0}^{-1}$ intersects $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$.

Proof. We note first that by the Gauss-Lucas Theorem $\mathscr{P}^{\prime} \subset \mathscr{P}$. (This fact has already been used twice in the statement of the theorem.) Now, by the differential equation

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)-x T_{k}^{\prime}(x)+k^{2} T_{k}(x)=0
$$

which is satisfied by the Chebyshev polynomials, it is true that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} k^{2} T_{k}(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} T_{k}^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} T_{k}^{\prime}(x)
$$

and we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right) p^{\prime \prime}(x)+x p^{\prime}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $x_{0}=0$ is a zero of $q$. Then, by (1), $p^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, whence 0 is in the convex hull of the zeros of $p^{\prime \prime}$, and therefore it is in $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$, by the Gauss-Lucas Theorem, as required. Suppose that $x_{0}=1$ or $x_{0}=-1$ is a zero of $q$. Then, again by (1), $p^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, which implies $x_{0} \in \mathscr{P}^{\prime}$. In all other cases, $q\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{p^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}=\frac{x_{0}}{1-x_{0}^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume that $x_{0}$ is not already a zero of $p^{\prime}$, because in that case the result follows immediately. We can rewrite (2) as

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{x_{0}-x_{j}}=\frac{x_{0}}{1-x_{0}^{2}}
$$

where $\left\{x_{j} \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m-1\right\}$ are the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ (multiple zeros being counted multiply). Hence,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{\overline{x_{0}-x_{j}}}{\left|x_{0}-x_{j}\right|^{2}}=\frac{1}{x_{0}^{-1}-x_{0}}=\frac{\overline{x_{0}^{-1}-x_{0}}}{\left|x_{0}-x_{0}^{-1}\right|^{2}},
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{x_{0}-x_{j}}{\left|x_{0}-x_{j}\right|^{2}}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we let $x_{m}:=x_{0}^{-1}$. Now let us define

$$
\mu_{j}:=\frac{\left|x_{0}-x_{j}\right|^{-2}}{\sum_{l=1}^{m}\left|x_{0}-x_{l}\right|^{-2}}
$$

for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$. Then (3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j} x_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{j}>0 \quad \text { for all } j . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressions (4) and (5) imply the theorem.
Remark 2. The assertion of the theorem remains true if we replace Chebyshev polynomials by any ultraspherical polynomials $P_{k}^{(\lambda)}$, where $q$ now becomes $q=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} k(k+2 \lambda) P_{k}^{(\lambda)}$ and where $\lambda$ is a positive constant.

Corollary. Let $p$ and $q$ be as in the statement of the theorem or of Remark 2. Then the following statements are valid:
(i) If all the roots of $p$ are real, so are the roots of $q$.
(ii) If all the roots of $p$ are in the upper (lower) half-plane, then so are the roots of $q$.
(iii) If all the roots of $p$ are inside a closed disk $\mathscr{D}$ about the origin of radius $r \geqslant 1$, so are the roots of $q$.

Proof. We prove (i): If the roots of $p$ are real, then $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$ is a subset of the real line. Suppose $q\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. If $x_{0}$ is real, we are done. Otherwise $x_{0}^{-1}$ lies in the other half-plane than $x_{0}$, i.e., the imaginary parts of $x_{0}$ and $x_{0}^{-1}$ have opposite signs, thus contradicting the theorem. The second claim is
established in a similar way as is the first one. We prove the last claim. Suppose $x_{0}$ is a root of $q$. If it is inside the closed disk $\mathscr{D}$, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, $x_{0}^{-1}$ will be inside $\mathscr{D}$, and so $x_{0}$ cannot be in the convex hull of $\mathscr{P}^{\prime} \cup\left\{x_{0}^{-1}\right\}$, thus contradicting the assertion of our theorem. The corollary is proved.

In case $p$ is expressed as a linear combination of monomials, which can be considered as the limiting case of the one studied in Remark 2 for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following result.

ThEOREM 2. Let $p(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} x^{k}$ and $q_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_{k} k^{n} x^{k}$ for $a$ positive integer $n$. Then all zeros of $q_{n}$ lie in the convex hull of $\mathscr{P}^{\prime} \cup\{0\}$.

Proof. We argue inductively, using the simple identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}(x)=x q_{n-1}^{\prime}(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is true for positive $n$. For $n=1$, the assertion of the theorem follows directly from (6) because $q_{0}=p$ and therefore $q_{0}^{\prime}=p^{\prime}$. If the assertion is true for $q_{n-1}$, then (6) and the Gauss-Lucas theorem imply that it also holds for $q_{n}$. The theorem is proved.
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