# Independent Dominating Sets and a Second Hamiltonian Cycle in Regular Graphs 

Carsten Thomassen<br>Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Denmark, Building 303, DK-2800, Lyngby, Denmark

Received January 22, 1997

In 1975, John Sheehan conjectured that every Hamiltonian 4-regular graph has
a second Hamiltonian cycle. Combined with earlier results this would imply that
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical result of Cedric Smith (see [12]) says that every edge in a 3 -regular graph is contained in an even number of Hamiltonian cycles. So, if a 3-regular graph has a Hamiltonian cycle, then it has a second (in fact even a third) Hamiltonian cycle. In 1978 Andrew Thomason [9] extended Smith's theorem to all $r$-regular graphs where $r$ is odd (in fact, to all graphs in which all vertices have odd degree). Sheehan [8] made the conjecture that every Hamiltonian 4-regular graph has a second Hamiltonian cycle. As every $r$-regular graph ( $r$ even) is the union of pairwise edge-disjoint spanning 2-regular graphs, Sheehan's conjecture combined with the results of Smith and Thomason implies that every Hamiltonian regular graph other than a cycle has a second Hamiltonian cycle. (Smith's theorem and Sheehan's conjecture were rediscovered by Chen [2].)

Besides being of interest in its own right, a second Hamiltonian cycle may have an application to the chromatic polynomial. If $C$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in the graph $G$ and $e$ is an edge not in $C$ but in a second Hamiltonian cycle, then, clearly, both $G-e$ and $G / e$ are Hamiltonian. In [10] it is conjectured that every Hamiltonian graph of minimum degree at least 3 contains an edge $e$ such that both $G-e$ and $G / e$ are Hamiltonian. If true, the chromatic polynomial of a Hamiltonian graph cannot have a root between 1 and 2.

Reference [11] contains a general sufficient condition for the existence of a second Hamiltonian cycle. In [11] that condition is combined with a
result of Fleischner and Stiebitz [6] to show that every longest cycle in every 3 -connected, 3 -regular graph has a chord. An intermediate step verifies Sheehan's conjecture for those 4-regular graphs which are the union of a Hamiltonian cycle and pairwise disjoint triangles. In this note we prove the last statement of the abstract by combining the general condition in [11] with Lovász' Local Lemma [4].

## 2. RED-INDEPENDENT, GREEN-DOMINATING SETS AND A SECOND HAMILTONIAN CYCLE

Let $G$ be a graph in which each edge is colored red or green. A vertex set $S$ is called red-independent if no two vertices of $S$ are joined by a red edge. We say that $S$ is green-dominating if every vertex not in $S$ is joined to a vertex in $S$ by a green edge. With this notation we have:

Theorem 2.1 [11]. Let C be a Hamiltonian cycle in the graph H. Color all edges in $C$ red and all edges not in $C$ green. If $H$ has a vertex set $S$ which in both red-independent and green-dominating, then $H$ has a second Hamiltonian cycle.

We shall establish the existence of the set $S$ in a large class of regular graphs using the version of Lovász' Local Lemma [4] presented in [7, page 79].

Theorem 2.2 (Lovász' Local Lemma). Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be events in a probability space. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ such that, for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n, A_{i}$ is independent of any combination of events that are not neighbors of $A_{i}$ in $G$. Suppose there exist positive real numbers $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ less than 1 such that, for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, the probability $P\left(A_{i}\right)$ of $A_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A_{i}\right)<x_{i} \Pi\left(1-x_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the product is taken over all $j$ for which $A_{j}$ is a neighbor of $A_{i}$ ).
Then

$$
P\left(\bar{A}_{1} \wedge \bar{A}_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{A}_{n}\right)>0
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $H$ be a graph whose edges are colored red or green. Assume that the spanning red subgraph is $r$-regular and the spanning green subgraph is $k$-regular. If $r \geqslant 3$ and

$$
k>200 r \log r,
$$

(where log is the natural logarithm), then $H$ has a vertex set $S$ which is red-independent and green-dominating.

Proof. Let $p$ be a fixed real number, $0<p<1$. We define a probability space on all colorings of $V(H)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ in colors 0 and 1 . We define the probability of a coloring where a particular set of $q$ vertices have color 1 and the remaining vertices have color 0 as

$$
p^{q}(1-p)^{m-q}
$$

For each red edge $e$ of $H$ we let $A_{e}$ denote the event that the ends of $e$ both have color 1 .

Clearly

$$
P\left(A_{e}\right)=p^{2} .
$$

For each vertex $v$ of $H$, let $A_{v}$ denote the event that $v$ and the vertices of $H$ joined to $v$ by green edges all have color 0 . Clearly

$$
P\left(A_{v}\right)=(1-p)^{k+1} .
$$

The existence of $S$ will follow from a proof that there is a positive probability that neither of the events $A_{e}$ or $A_{v}$ defined above occur. For this we use Theorem 2.2.

Let $G$ be the graph whose vertices are the events $A_{e}, A_{v}$ defined above such that two events are neighbors in $G$ if and only if the corresponding vertex sets intersect. Clearly, $G$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.2.

We shall now associate real numbers to the events so that (1) in Theorem 2.2 is satisfied.

To each event $A_{e}$ we associate a real number $x(0<x<1)$, and to each event $A_{v}$ we associate a real number $y(0<y<1)$. For each event of the form $A_{e}$, condition (1) is implied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2}<x(1-x)^{2 r-2}(1-y)^{2 k+2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each event of the form $A_{v}$ condition (1) is implied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-p)^{k+1}<y(1-x)^{(k+1) r}(1-y)^{k^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $p=1 / 5 r, x=1 / 10 r^{2}$ and $y=1 / k^{2}$, then (2) is satisfied for all $k \geqslant 10$, and (3) is satisfied for $k>200 r \log r$.

Theorem 2.3 is formulated for $r \geqslant 3$ only. We now consider the cases $r=1,2$.

## 3. THE CASE $r=2$

If we combine the proof of Theorem 2.3 with Theorem 2.1 we get
Theorem 3.1. If $G$ has a Hamiltonian cycle and is m-regular with $m \geqslant 300$, then $G$ has a second Hamiltonian cycle.

Proof. If $r=2, k=298$, and $p, x, y$ are as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, then (2) and (3) are satisfied.

The constant 300 in Theorem 3.1 can be lowered to a number closer to 200 than 300 . With a better choice of $p, x, y$ it can be further improved. A referee says that Theorem 2.3 works for $r=2$ and $k=80$ by taking $y=0,0001$, $x=(0,179)^{2}$ and $p=0,171$. Adrian Bondy (private communication) says that it works for $k=71$ by taking $y=(0,89)^{72}, x=(0,25)^{2}$ and $p=0,2305$.

If $C$ is a (red) Hamiltonian cycle in a 4-regular graph $G$ and $G-E(C)$ is the union of (green) cycles of length 4 , then a green-dominating set must contain at least half of the vertices of $G$. So, if it is also red-independent it must contain every second vertex of $C$. But, it is easy to construct $G$ such none of the two sets consisting of every second vertex of $C$ is greendominating. Therefore, Sheehan's conjecture can not be obtained by the method of this paper. But, perhaps the 4-regular case is the only case that needs a special method.

## Problem 3.2. Does Theorem 2.3 hold for $r=2$ and $k=4$ ?

A Hamiltonian graph of minimum degree 3 need not contain any second Hamiltonian cycle, see [3,5]. Bondy [1, Problem 7.14] asked if Sheehan's conjecture extends to graphs of minimum degree 4 . It would also be of interest to verify this for $10^{10}$, say, instead of 4 . A result of this type does not seem to follow from the method of this note since Theorem 2.3 does not extend to graphs of large minimum degree $k$ even for $r=1$. To see this, let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}$ denote $k$ red edges with no end in common. For each choice $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$, where $x_{i}$ is an end of $e_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, we add two vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$, joined by a red edge such that both $v_{1}, v_{2}$ are joined to precisely $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ by green edges. The resulting graph has green minimum degree $k$ and it has no red-independent, green-dominating set.

Adrian Bondy and Bill Jackson (private communication) have shown that there exists a constant $c$ such that the following holds: If $H$ is a graph with $n$ vertices and with minimum degree $c \log n$, and the edges of $H$ are colored red and green such that the red edges form a Hamiltonian cycle, then $H$ has a red-independent, green-dominating set. By the above construction this is best possible except for the value of $c$.

## 4. THE CASE $r=1$

Theorem 4.1. If the edges of a 3-regular multigraph with no loops are colored red and green such that the red subgraph is a perfect matching, then $G$ has a red-independent green-dominating set of vertices $S$.

Moreover,
(i) if $v$ is any vertex of $G$, then $S$ can be chosen such that $v \in S$, and
(ii) if e is any green edge of $G$ and we insert a new vertex $u$ of degree 2 on $e$, then the resulting graph $G^{\prime}$ has a red-independent greendominating set $S$ such that $u \notin S$.

Proof (by Induction on $|V(G)|$ ). We call the vertex $v$ in (i) the special vertex and the vertex $u$ in (ii) the dummy vertex. If $|V(G)| \leqslant 4$, the statement is easily verified so assume that $|V(G)| \geqslant 5$. We may also assume that $G$ is connected.

Consider first the case where $G$ has two vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}$ joined by two edges. Let $y_{1}$ (respectively $y_{2}$ ) be the neighbor of $x_{1}$ (respectively $y_{2}$ ) distinct from $x_{2}$ (respectively $x_{1}$ ). If $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$, then we delete $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and add the edge $y_{1} y_{2}$ and complete the proof be induction. (The color of $y_{1} y_{2}$ is that of $y_{1} x_{1}$ ) If $y_{1}=y_{2}$, then we let $x_{3}$ denote the neighbor of $y_{1}$ distinct from $x_{1}, x_{2}$. We may assume that $x_{3}$ is not incident with a double edge as this case has been disposed of. The edge $y_{1} x_{3}$ is red.

We now delete $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}$. If we thereby delete the special vertex or the edge with the dummy vertex, we think of $x_{3}$ as a new dummy vertex. Otherwise, we also delete $x_{3}$ and add a green edge between the two neighbors. Now the proof is easily completed by induction. So we may assume that $G$ has no multiple edges.

If $G$ has a special vertex $v$, then we let $u$ denote the vertex joined to $v$ by a red edge. We now delete $v$ and add a green edge between the two neighbors of $v$ distinct from $u$. We apply induction to the resulting graph. We may therefore assume that $G$ has no special vertex. In other words, it remains only to prove (ii). Let $C$ be the green cycle of $G$ containing $u$, and let $C^{\prime}$ be the corresponding cycle in $G^{\prime}$. (Note that $C$ may have red chords.)

Consider first the case where $C$ has even length. Recolor every second edge of $C$ by the color blue such that $e$ remains green. We delete $C$ and replace every maximal path which is alternately colored red and blue by a new red edge. We apply the induction hypothesis to the resulting graph.

Each new red edge $e^{\prime}$ corresponds to a red-blue path $P$. We may assume that $S$ contains precisely one end of $e^{\prime}$. That vertex will also be in the final $S$. Moreover, the final $S$ will contain every second vertex of $P$. By an appropriate choice of a special vertex we may assume that at least one end of $e$ is in the final $S$. This completes the proof when $C$ has even length.

Consider finally the case where $C$ has odd length. We now recolor each second edge of $C^{\prime}$ blue. Note that there is precisely one maximal blue-red path $P_{0}$ starting at $u$. We delete $C^{\prime}$ and replace each maximal blue-red path other that $P_{0}$ by a new red edge. We think of the end of $P_{0}$ other than $u$ as a new dummy vertex. We now complete the proof as in the previous case.
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