

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications



www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

# Hopf bifurcation analysis in the 1-D Lengyel–Epstein reaction–diffusion model $\ensuremath{^{\updownarrow}}$

# Linglong Du<sup>a</sup>, Mingxin Wang<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 2, Republic of Singapore 117543

<sup>b</sup> Natural Science Research Center, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150080, PR China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 April 2009 Available online 6 February 2010 Submitted by J. Shi

Keywords: Lengyel–Epstein model Hopf bifurcation Non-homogeneous periodic solutions

#### ABSTRACT

The Lengyel–Epstein model with diffusion and homogeneous Neumann boundary condition is considered in this paper. We give the existence of multiple spatially non-homogeneous periodic solutions though all the parameters of the system are spatially homogeneous. © 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

In this work, we consider the Lengyel-Epstein reaction-diffusion system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u + a - u - \frac{4uv}{1 + u^2}, & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ v_t = c\Delta v + b\left(u - \frac{uv}{1 + u^2}\right), & x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \ t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . Here u = u(x, t) and v = v(x, t) denote the chemical concentration of the activator iodide (I<sup>-</sup>) and the inhibitor chlorite ( $CLO_2^-$ ) respectively, at time t > 0 and a point  $x \in \Omega$ . The parameters *a* and *b* are parameters depending on the concentration of the starch, enlarging the effective diffusion ratio to *c*. We shall assume accordingly that all constants *a*, *b* and *c* are positive.

Problem (1.1) is based on the well-known chlorite-iodide-malonic acid chemical (CIMA) reaction, see [5,6]. A more detailed historical account of the development of CIMA reaction model and experiments can be found in [1]. In the past decade, some mathematical investigations are conducted, see for example [3,4,7–9].

From these papers, we notice that the dynamics of (1.1) is rich because of Turing instability and bifurcation phenomena.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mxwang@hit.edu.cn (M. Wang).

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported by PRC Grant NSFC 10771032.

<sup>0022-247</sup>X/\$ – see front matter  $\,\, @$  2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.02.002

In [3], Jang, Ni and Tang proved a global bifurcation theorem which gives the existence of non-constant steady states for the *c* suitably chosen. In [7], Ni and Tang considered the Turing instability and Turing patterns. In [8], Yi, Wei and Shi derived precise conditions for the diffusion-driven instability with respect to the spatially homogeneous equilibrium solutions; they also performed a detailed Hopf bifurcation analysis for both ODE and PDE models, deriving a formula for determining the direction of the Hopf bifurcation and the stability of the bifurcating homogeneous periodic solutions. In [9], Yi, Wei and Shi proved that the constant equilibrium solution is globally asymptotically stable when the parameter *a* is small, and showed that for small spatial domains, all solutions eventually converge to a spatially homogeneous and time-periodic solution.

The purpose of this work is to find some spatially non-homogeneous periodic solutions, i.e., the periodic solutions caused by diffusion.

Furthermore, the referee comments us that J. Jin et al. [4] considered the same model using the similar methods, but chose the different bifurcation parameter.

### 2. Main result and the proof

While our calculations can be carried over to higher spatial domains, we restrict ourselves to the case of one-dimensional spatial domain  $\Omega = (0, l\pi), l \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , that is

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} + a - u - \frac{4uv}{1 + u^2}, & x \in (0, l\pi), \ t > 0, \\ v_t = cv_{xx} + b\left(u - \frac{uv}{1 + u^2}\right), & x \in (0, l\pi), \ t > 0, \\ u_x(0, t) = v_x(0, t) = u_x(l, t) = v_x(l, t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in (0, l\pi). \end{cases}$$

$$(2.1)$$

The unique constant steady state is  $(u^*, v^*) = (\alpha, 1 + \alpha^2)$ , here  $\alpha = a/5$ . We shall maintain the basic hypothesis  $3\alpha^2 > 5$  in the rest of this paper. This is important because it is the sufficient and necessary condition to ensure that the system (2.1) is an activator–inhibitor system, see [3] for details. In the following we shall fix *a*, *c* and use *b* as the main bifurcation parameter.

To cast our discussion into the framework of the Hopf bifurcation theorem, we translate (2.1) into the following system by the transition  $\hat{u} = u - u^*$  and  $\hat{v} = v - v^*$ . For sake of convenience, we still let u and v denote  $\hat{u}$  and  $\hat{v}$  respectively, then we have

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 4\alpha - u - \frac{4(u+\alpha)(v+1+\alpha^2)}{1+(u+\alpha)^2}, & x \in (0, l\pi), t > 0, \\ v_t - cv_{xx} = b\left(u+\alpha - \frac{(u+\alpha)(v+1+\alpha^2)}{1+(u+\alpha)^2}\right), & x \in (0, l\pi), t > 0, \\ u_x(0,t) = v_x(0,t) = u_x(l,t) = v_x(l,t) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & v(x,0) = v_0(x), & x \in (0, l\pi). \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Define

$$f(b, u, v) := 4\alpha - u - \frac{4(u+\alpha)(v+1+\alpha^2)}{1+(u+\alpha)^2},$$
  
$$g(b, u, v) := b\left(u+\alpha - \frac{(u+\alpha)(v+1+\alpha^2)}{1+(u+\alpha)^2}\right),$$

here  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  are  $C^{\infty}$  smooth with f(b, 0, 0) = g(b, 0, 0) = 0. Now we define the real-valued Sobolev space

$$\mathbf{X} := \{(u, v) \in [H^2(0, l\pi)]^2 : (u_x, v_x)|_{x=0, l\pi} = 0\},\$$

and the complexification of X:

$$\mathbf{X}_c = \mathbf{X} \oplus \mathbf{i}\mathbf{X} = \{x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 \colon x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{X}\}.$$

The linearized operator of the steady state system of (2.2) evaluated at (b, 0, 0) is

$$\mathcal{L}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + A(b) & B(b) \\ C(b) & c \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + D(b) \end{pmatrix}$$

with the domain  $D_{\mathcal{L}(b)} = \mathbf{X}_c$ , where

$$A(b) = f_u(b, 0, 0) = \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2}, \qquad B(b) = f_v(b, 0, 0) = -\frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2},$$
$$C(b) = g_u(b, 0, 0) = \frac{2\alpha^2 b}{1 + \alpha^2}, \qquad D(b) = g_v(b, 0, 0) = -\frac{\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2}.$$

The following condition is essential to guarantee that the Hopf bifurcation occurs:

(H) There exist a number  $b^H \in \mathbb{R}$  and a neighborhood O of  $b^H$  such that for  $b \in O$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(b)$  has a pair of complex, simple, conjugate eigenvalues  $\alpha(b) \pm i\omega(b)$ , continuously differentiable in b, with  $\alpha(b^H) = 0$ ,  $\omega_0 := \omega(b^H) > 0$ , and  $\alpha'(b^H) \neq 0$ ; all other eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(b)$  have non-zero real parts for  $b \in O$ .

Now we can recall the Hopf bifurcation result appeared in [10] and apply them to analysis our model. It is well known that the eigenvalue problem

$$-\varphi'' = \mu\varphi, \quad x \in (0, l\pi); \qquad \varphi'(0) = \varphi'(l\pi) = 0$$

has eigenvalues  $\mu_n = \frac{n^2}{l^2}$  (n = 0, 1, 2, ...), with corresponding eigenfunctions  $\varphi_n(x) = \cos \frac{nx}{l}$ . Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \cos \frac{nx}{l}$$

be an eigenfunction of  $\mathcal{L}(b)$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\beta(b)$ , that is,  $\mathcal{L}(b)(\phi, \psi)^T = \beta(b)(\phi, \psi)^T$ . Then from a straightforward analysis we obtain the following relation:

$$\mathcal{L}_n(b)\begin{pmatrix}a_n\\b_n\end{pmatrix}=\beta(b)\begin{pmatrix}a_n\\b_n\end{pmatrix}, \quad n=0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{n}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n^{2}}{l^{2}} + A(b) & B(b) \\ C(b) & -c\frac{n^{2}}{l^{2}} + D(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(b)$  are given by the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}_n(b)$  for n = 0, 1, 2, ... The characteristic equation of  $\mathcal{L}_n(b)$  is

$$\beta^2 - \beta T_n(b) + D_n(b) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} T_n(b) = \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{(1 + c)n^2}{l^2}, \\ D_n(b) = \frac{5\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2} \frac{n^2}{l^2} + c\frac{n^2}{l^2} \left(\frac{n^2}{l^2} - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2}\right). \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

Therefore, the eigenvalues are determined by

$$\beta(b) = \frac{T_n(b) \pm \sqrt{T_n^2(b) - 4D_n(b)}}{2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If the condition (H) holds, we see that, at  $b = b^H$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(b)$  has a pair of simple purely imaginary eigenvalues  $\pm i\omega_0$  if and only if there exists a unique  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $\pm i\omega_0$  are the purely imaginary eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}_n(b)$ . In such case, denote the associated eigenvector by  $q = q_n = (a_n, b_n)^T \cos \frac{nx}{T}$ , with  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\mathcal{L}_n(b^H)(a_n, b_n)^T = i\omega_0(a_n, b_n)^T$ , or  $\mathcal{L}(b^H)q = i\omega_0q$ .

We shall identify the Hopf bifurcation value  $b^H$  which satisfies the condition (H), taking the following form now: there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that

$$T_n(b^H) = 0, \qquad D_n(b^H) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad T_j(b^H) \neq 0, \qquad D_j(b^H) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq n$$
 (2.4)

and for the unique pair of complex eigenvalues  $\alpha(b) \pm i\omega(b)$  near the imaginary axis

$$\alpha'(b^H) \neq 0.$$

It is easy to derive from (2.3) that  $T_n(b) < 0$  and  $D_n(b) > 0$  if

$$b > b_0^* := \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{\alpha} > 0$$

and one of the following holds:

(i) 
$$\frac{5}{3} \leqslant \alpha^2 \leqslant \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2-1}$$
, (ii)  $\alpha^2 \geqslant \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2-1}$  and  $0 < c < \frac{l^2 \alpha b}{\frac{3l^2-1}{1+5l^2}\alpha^2-1}$ ,

which implies that (0, 0) is a locally asymptotically stable steady state of system (2.2). Here we discuss the potential bifurcation point in the interval  $(0, b_0^*]$ . For any Hopf bifurcation point  $b^H$  in  $(0, b_0^*]$ ,  $\alpha(b^H) \pm i\omega(b^H)$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}_n(b^H)$ , here

$$\alpha(b^H) = \frac{1}{2}T_n(b^H), \qquad \omega(b^H) = \sqrt{D_n(b^H) - \alpha^2(b^H)}.$$

and

$$\alpha'(b^H) = \frac{1}{2}T'_n(b^H) < 0.$$

From the discussion above, the determination of Hopf bifurcation point reduces to describing the set

 $\Lambda := \left\{ b^H \in \left(0, b_0^*\right]: \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \ (2.4) \text{ is satisfied} \right\},\$ 

when a set of parameters (c, a) are fixed.

Firstly,  $b_0^H := b_0^*$  is always an element of  $\Lambda$  since  $T_0(b_0^H) = 0$ ,  $T_j(b_0^H) < 0$  for any  $j \ge 1$ ,  $D_m(b_0^H) > 0$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and all c suitably large under a rather natural condition. This corresponds to the Hopf bifurcation of spatially homogeneous periodic solutions which have been studied in [8]. Apparently  $b_0^H$  is also the unique value for the Hopf bifurcation of the spatially homogeneous periodic solutions for any  $l > \sqrt{3}/3$ . Hence in the following we look for spatially non-homogeneous Hopf bifurcation points.

Notice that when  $b < b_0^*$ ,  $\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2} > 0$ . It is easy to see that  $T_n(b) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2} = \frac{(1 + c)n^2}{l^2},$$

that is

$$b = \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{(1 + c)n^2}{l^2}\right) \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\alpha}.$$

For such value of *b*, we have

$$D_n(b) = \frac{cn^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{n^2}{l^2} - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} \right) + \frac{n^2}{l^2} \frac{\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{5\alpha b}{1 + \alpha^2}$$
  
=  $\frac{cn^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{n^2}{l^2} - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} \right) + \frac{n^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{(1 + c)n^2}{l^2} \right) + 5 \left( \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{(1 + c)n^2}{l^2} \right)$   
=  $-\frac{n^4}{l^4} - \frac{n^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} (c - 1) + 5 + 5c \right) + \frac{5(3\alpha^2 - 5)}{1 + \alpha^2}.$ 

Let

$$B_0 := \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2}(c - 1) + 5(1 + c),$$

then  $D_n(b) > 0$  if and only if

$$\frac{n^2}{l^2} < \frac{-B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + \frac{20(3\alpha^2 - 5)}{1 + \alpha^2}}}{2}.$$

So all the potential bifurcation points can be labeled as  $\Lambda = \{b_n^H\}_{n=0}^N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , here

$$b_n^H = \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{(1 + c)n^2}{l^2}\right) \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\alpha}.$$
(2.5)

That is

$$0 < b_N^H < b_{N-1}^H < \dots < b_1^H < b_0^H := b_0^*,$$
(2.6)

satisfying

$$0 \leqslant \frac{\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{b_n^H \alpha}{1 + \alpha^2}}{1 + c} < \frac{-B_0 + \sqrt{B_0^2 + \frac{20(3\alpha^2 - 5)}{1 + \alpha^2}}}{2}.$$

Now we only need to verify whether  $D_i(b_n^H) \neq 0$  for  $i \neq n$ . Here we will derive a condition on the parameters so that  $D_i(b_n^H) > 0$ , for each i = 0, 1, 2, ...

Since

$$D_i(b_n^H) = c \frac{n^4}{l^4} + \frac{i^2}{l^2} \left( \frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} - c \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} \right) + \frac{5\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2},$$

we can choose the diffusion coefficient c as small as possible so that  $\frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1+\alpha^2} - c \frac{3\alpha^2-5}{1+\alpha^2} > 0$ , i.e., given the fixed N defined by (2.6), for every  $0 < n \le N$ ,  $c < M(\alpha, N, l)$ , where

$$M(\alpha, N, l) := \frac{\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{N^2}{l^2}}{\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{N^2}{l^2}} > 0,$$
(2.7)

therefore  $D_i(b_n^H) > 0$ .

To adopt the framework of [2], see also in [10], we rewrite system (2.2) in the abstract form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathcal{L}(b)U + F(b, U),\tag{2.8}$$

where

$$F(b, U) := \begin{pmatrix} f(b, u, v) - A(b)u - B(b)v\\ g(b, u, v) - C(b)u - D(b)v \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $U = (u, v)^T \in \mathbf{X}$ . At each  $b = b_n^H$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ , system (2.8) can be reduced to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathcal{L}(b_n^H)U + F_n(U), \tag{2.9}$$

where  $F_n(U) := F(b, U)|_{b=b_n^H}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the complex-valued  $L^2$  inner product on Hilbert space  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$  defined as

$$\langle U_1, U_2 \rangle = \int_0^{l\pi} (\bar{u}_1 u_2 + \bar{v}_1 v_2) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

with  $U_i = (u_i, v_i)^T \in \mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$  (i = 1, 2). Throughout this paper, we use  $\overline{f}$  denote the conjugate of f. Then  $\langle bU_1, U_2 \rangle = \overline{b} \langle U_1, U_2 \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}^*(b_n^H)$  be the adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{L}(b_n^H)$ , i.e.  $\langle u, \mathcal{L}(b_n^H)v \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}^*(b_n^H)u, v \rangle$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}^*(b_n^H)$  is also defined on  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and

$$\mathcal{L}^*(b_n^H) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + A(b_n^H) & C(b_n^H) \\ B(b_n^H) & c\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + D(b_n^H) \end{pmatrix}$$

From (H), we can choose  $q := (a_n, b_n)^T \cos \frac{nx}{T}$ ,  $q^* := (a_n^*, b_n^*)^T \cos \frac{nx}{T} \in \mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}^*(b_n^H)q^* = -\mathrm{i}\omega_0^n q^*, \quad \langle q^*, q \rangle = 1, \quad \langle q^*, \bar{q} \rangle = 0,$$
(2.10)

here  $\omega_0^n$ , q,  $q^*$  will be given concretely by (2.27)–(2.29) in the sequel. We decompose  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^c \bigoplus \mathbf{X}^s$ , with

$$\mathbf{X}^{c} := \{ zq + \bar{z}\bar{q} \colon z \in \mathbb{C} \}, \qquad \mathbf{X}^{s} := \{ u \in \mathbf{X} \colon \langle q^{*}, u \rangle = 0 \}.$$

For any  $(u, v) \in \mathbf{X}$ , there exists  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbf{X}^s$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} u\\v \end{pmatrix} = zq + \bar{z}\bar{q} + \begin{pmatrix} w_1\\w_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

or

$$\begin{cases} u = za_n \cos \frac{nx}{l} + \bar{z}\bar{a}_n \cos \frac{nx}{l} + w_1, \\ v = zb_n \cos \frac{nx}{l} + \bar{z}\bar{b}_n \cos \frac{nx}{l} + w_2. \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

Now system (2.9) can be reduced to the following system in (z, w) coordinates:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dz}{dt} = i\omega_0^n z + \langle q^*, F_n \rangle, \\ \frac{dw}{dt} = \mathcal{L}(b_n^H) w + H(z, \bar{z}, w), \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

where

$$H(z,\bar{z},w) := F_n - \langle q^*, F_n \rangle \bar{q}, \qquad F_n := F_n(zq + \bar{z}\bar{q} + w).$$
(2.13)

As in [2], we write  $F_n$  in the form:

$$F_n(U) := \frac{1}{2}Q(U, U) + \frac{1}{6}C(U, U, U) + O(|U|^4),$$
(2.14)

here Q, C are symmetric multilinear forms. For simplicity, we write  $Q_{XY} = Q(X, Y)$ ,  $C_{XYZ} = C(X, Y, Z)$ . For later uses, we calculate  $Q_{qq}$ ,  $Q_{q\bar{q}}$  and  $C_{qq\bar{q}}$  as follows:

$$Q_{qq} = \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{nx}{l}, \qquad Q_{q\bar{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} e_n \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} \cos^2 \frac{nx}{l}, \qquad C_{qq\bar{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} g_n \\ h_n \end{pmatrix} \cos^3 \frac{nx}{l},$$

where (with the partial derivatives evaluated at  $(b_n^H, 0, 0)$ )

$$\begin{cases} c_n = f_{uu}a_n^2 + 2f_{u\nu}a_nb_n + f_{\nu\nu}b_n^2, \\ d_n = g_{uu}a_n^2 + 2g_{u\nu}a_nb_n + g_{\nu\nu}b_n^2, \\ e_n = f_{uu}|a_n|^2 + f_{u\nu}(a_n\bar{b}_n + \bar{a}_nb_n) + f_{\nu\nu}|b_n|^2, \\ f_n = g_{uu}|a_n|^2 + g_{u\nu}(a_n\bar{b}_n + \bar{a}_nb_n) + g_{\nu\nu}|b_n|^2, \\ g_n = f_{uuu}|a_n|^2a_n + f_{uu\nu}(2|a_n|^2b_n + a_n^2\bar{b}_n) + f_{u\nu\nu}(2|b_n|^2a_n + b_n^2\bar{a}_n) + f_{\nu\nu\nu}|b_n|^2b_n, \\ h_n = g_{uuu}|a_n|^2a_n + g_{uu\nu}(2|a_n|^2b_n + a_n^2\bar{b}_n) + g_{u\nu\nu}(2|b_n|^2a_n + b_n^2\bar{a}_n) + g_{\nu\nu\nu}|b_n|^2b_n. \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

Let

$$H(z,\bar{z},w) = \frac{H_{20}}{2}z^2 + H_{11}z\bar{z} + \frac{H_{02}}{2}\bar{z}^2 + o(|z|\cdot|w|),$$
(2.16)

then by (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{cases} H_{20} = Q_{qq} - \langle q^*, Q_{qq} \rangle q - \langle \bar{q}^*, Q_{qq} \rangle \bar{q}, \\ H_{11} = Q_{q\bar{q}} - \langle q^*, Q_{q\bar{q}} \rangle q - \langle \bar{q}^*, Q_{q\bar{q}} \rangle \bar{q}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Appendix A of [2] that system (2.12) possesses a center manifold. We can write w in the form:

$$w = \frac{w_{20}}{2}z^2 + w_{11}z\bar{z} + \frac{w_{02}}{2}\bar{z}^2 + o(|z|^3).$$
(2.17)

By (2.16) and (2.17), together with

$$\mathcal{L}(b_n^H)w + H(z, \bar{z}, w) = \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{z}}{\mathrm{d}t},$$

we have

$$w_{20} = \left[2i\omega_0^n I - \mathcal{L}(b_n^H)\right]^{-1} H_{20}, \qquad w_{11} = -\left[\mathcal{L}(b_n^H)\right]^{-1} H_{11}.$$

Actually, by [10] we have

$$w_{20} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [2i\omega_0^n I - \mathcal{L}(b_n^H)]^{-1} [(\cos\frac{2nx}{l} + 1) {\binom{c_n}{d_n}}] & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ [2i\omega_0^n I - \mathcal{L}(b_n^H)]^{-1} [{\binom{c_0}{d_0}} - \langle q^*, Q_{qq} \rangle {\binom{a_0}{b_0}} - \langle \bar{q}^*, Q_{qq} \rangle {\binom{\bar{a}_0}{\bar{b}_0}}] & \text{if } n = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.18)

478

and

$$w_{11} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{L}(b_n^H)]^{-1} [(\cos \frac{2nx}{l} + 1) {e_n \choose f_n}] & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ -[\mathcal{L}(b_n^H)]^{-1} [{e_0 \choose f_0} - \langle q^*, Q_{q\bar{q}} \rangle {e_0 \choose b_0} - \langle \bar{q}^*, Q_{q\bar{q}} \rangle {e_0 \choose \bar{b}_0}] & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.19)

Notice that the calculation of  $[2i\omega_0^n I - \mathcal{L}(b_n^H)]^{-1}$  and  $[\mathcal{L}(b_n^H)]^{-1}$  in (2.18) and (2.19) are restricted to the subspaces spanned by the eigen-modes 1 and  $\cos \frac{2n}{L}$ .

Now the reaction-diffusion system restricted to the center manifold can be given by

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = i\omega_0^n z + \langle q^*, F_n \rangle = i\omega_0^n z + \sum_{2 \leqslant i+j \leqslant 3} \frac{g_{ij}}{i!j!} z^i \bar{z}^j + O(|z|^4),$$
(2.20)

where

$$g_{20} = \langle q^*, Q_{qq} \rangle, \qquad g_{11} = \langle q^*, Q_{q\bar{q}} \rangle, \qquad g_{02} = \langle q^*, Q_{\bar{q}\bar{q}} \rangle,$$
$$g_{21} = 2 \langle q^*, Q_{w_{11}q} \rangle + \langle q^*, Q_{w_{20}\bar{q}} \rangle + \langle q^*, C_{qq\bar{q}} \rangle.$$

The dynamics of (2.12) can be determined by the dynamics of (2.20). As in [2], we write the Poincaré normal form of (2.8) (for *b* in a neighborhood of  $b_n^H$ ) in the form:

$$\dot{z} = (\alpha(b) + i\omega(b))z + z \sum_{j=1}^{M} c_j(b)(z\bar{z})^j,$$
(2.21)

where z is a complex variable,  $M \ge 1$  and  $c_i(b)$  are complex-valued coefficients. Then following [2], we have

$$c_1(b) = \frac{g_{20}g_{11}(3\alpha(b) + i\omega(b))}{2(\alpha^2(b) + \omega^2(b))} + \frac{|g_{11}|^2}{\alpha(b) + i\omega(b)} + \frac{|g_{02}|^2}{2(\alpha(b) + 3i\omega(b))} + \frac{g_{21}}{2}$$

Thus

$$c_{1}(b_{n}^{H}) = \frac{i}{2\omega_{0}^{n}} \left( g_{20}g_{11} - 2|g_{11}|^{2} - \frac{1}{3}|g_{02}|^{2} \right) + \frac{g_{21}}{2}$$
  
$$= \frac{i}{2\omega_{0}^{n}} \langle q^{*}, Q_{qq} \rangle \cdot \langle q^{*}, Q_{q\bar{q}} \rangle + \langle q^{*}, Q_{w_{11}q} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle q^{*}, Q_{w_{20}\bar{q}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle q^{*}, C_{qq\bar{q}} \rangle$$
(2.22)

with  $w_{20}$  and  $w_{11}$  in the form of (2.18) and (2.19), respectively. From Theorem II and Section 3 in Chapter 1 of [2], under (H), we can establish the main result of our work:

**Theorem 1.** For any  $b_n^H$ , defined by (2.5), if there exists  $M = M(\alpha, N, l)$  defined by (2.7), such that 0 < c < M, then system (2.2) undergoes Hopf bifurcation at each  $b = b_n^H$ ,  $0 \le n \le N$ . With *s* sufficiently small, for b = b(s),  $b(0) = b_n^H$ , there exist a family of T(s)-periodic continuously differentiable solutions (u(s)(x, t), v(s)(x, t)), and the bifurcating periodic solutions can be parameterized in the form of

$$\begin{cases} u(s)(x,t) = s \left( a_n e^{2\pi i t/T(s)} + \bar{a}_n e^{-2\pi i t/T(s)} \right) \cos \frac{nx}{l} + o(s^2), \\ v(s)(x,t) = s \left( b_n e^{2\pi i t/T(s)} + \bar{b}_n e^{-2\pi i t/T(s)} \right) \cos \frac{nx}{l} + o(s^2), \end{cases}$$
(2.23)

where  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  will be given concretely by (2.30),

$$T(s) = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0^n} (1 + \tau_2 s^2) + o(s^4), \quad \tau_2 = -\frac{1}{\omega_0^n} \left( \operatorname{Im}(c_1(b_n^H)) - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))}{\alpha'(b_n^H)} \omega'(b_n^H) \right),$$

and

$$T''(0) = \frac{4\pi}{\omega_0^n} \tau_2 = -\frac{4\pi}{(\omega_0^n)^2} \left( \operatorname{Im}(c_1(b_n^H)) - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))}{\alpha'(b_n^H)} \omega'(b_n^H) \right).$$

If all eigenvalues (except  $\pm i\omega_0^n$ ) of  $\mathcal{L}(b_n^H)$  have negative real parts, then the bifurcating periodic solutions are stable (resp. unstable) if  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1(b_n^H)) < 0$  (resp. > 0). The bifurcation is supercritical (resp. subcritical) if  $-\frac{1}{\alpha'(b_n^H)} \operatorname{Re}(c_1(b_n^H)) < 0$  (resp. > 0).

Moreover:

- (1) The bifurcating periodic solutions from  $b_0^H$  are spatially homogeneous, which coincides with the periodic solutions of the corresponding ODE system.
- (2) The bifurcating periodic solutions from  $b_n^H$ , n > 0, are spatially non-homogeneous.

**Proof.** Following the bifurcation formula (pp. 28–32, [2]), we observe that (2.21) is rotationally invariant: if *z* is a solution then so is  $ze^{i\phi}$  for any real number  $\phi$ , and the trajectories of (2.21) are circles with centers at *z* = 0. This simple geometry is reflected in efficient computation of the Maclaurin expansions of *b*(*s*) and *T*(*s*). Forming  $\bar{z}\frac{dz}{dt} + z\frac{d\bar{z}}{dt}$  from (2.21), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(z\bar{z}) = 2z\bar{z} \left(\alpha(b) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \operatorname{Re}(c_j(b))(z\bar{z})^j\right).$$
(2.24)

The right-hand side of (2.24) is zero if and only if z = 0 or

$$\alpha(b) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \operatorname{Re}(c_j(b))(z\bar{z})^j = 0.$$
(2.25)

Since  $z(t) \neq 0$ , (2.25) is a proper condition to ensure that the right-hand side of (2.24) is zero. In such case,  $z(t)\bar{z}(t)$  is a non-negative constant, denoted by  $s^2$  for some  $s \ge 0$ . Thus, (2.21) can be written as

$$\dot{z} = iz \left[ \omega(b) + \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} c_j(b) s^{2j}\right) \right].$$
(2.26)

It follows from (2.26) that  $z(t) = se^{2\pi i t/T(s)}$ , and by expansion, we have

$$T(s) = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0^n} (1 + \tau_2 s^2) + o(s^4), \quad \tau_2 = -\frac{1}{\omega_0^n} \left( \operatorname{Im}(c_1(b_n^H)) - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))}{\alpha'(b_n^H)} \omega'(b_n^H) \right)$$

From (2.11) we get solutions of (2.2) in the form of (2.23).

Since q and  $q^*$  satisfy (2.10), it is easy to get

$$q = (a_n, b_n)^T \cos \frac{nx}{l} = \left(1, \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{(1 + \alpha^2)n^2}{4\alpha l^2} - \frac{\omega_0^n (1 + \alpha^2)}{4\alpha} \mathbf{i}\right)^T \cos \frac{nx}{l},$$
(2.27)

$$q^* = (a_n^*, b_n^*)^T \cos \frac{nx}{l} = \frac{4\alpha}{\omega_0^n (1+\alpha^2) l\pi} \left( \frac{\omega_0^n (1+\alpha^2)}{4\alpha} + \left( \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{(1+\alpha^2)n^2}{4\alpha l^2} \right) \mathbf{i}, -\mathbf{i} \right)^T \cos \frac{nx}{l},$$
(2.28)

where

$$\omega_0^n = \left[\frac{8\alpha^3 b_n^H}{(1+\alpha^2)^2} - \left(\frac{n^2}{l^2} - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1+\alpha^2}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}.$$
(2.29)

This implies that

$$a_{n} = 1, \qquad b_{n} = \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2})n^{2}}{4\alpha l^{2}} - \frac{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})}{4\alpha} \mathbf{i},$$

$$a_{n}^{*} = \frac{4\alpha}{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})l\pi} \left[ \frac{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})}{4\alpha} + \left( \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2})n^{2}}{4\alpha l^{2}} \right) \mathbf{i} \right], \qquad b_{n}^{*} = -\mathbf{i} \frac{4\alpha}{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})l\pi}.$$
(2.30)

Now we consider the stability and bifurcation direction. Since  $\alpha'(b_n^H) < 0$ , we only need to compute the sign of  $\text{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))$ .

By (2.22), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(c_1(b_n^H)) = \operatorname{Re}\langle q^*, Q_{w_{11}q} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle q^*, Q_{w_{20}\bar{q}} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle q^*, C_{qq\bar{q}} \rangle.$$
(2.31)

From [10], we get  $\langle q^*, Q_{qq} \rangle = \langle q^*, Q_{q\bar{q}} \rangle = 0$ . In order to calculate  $\text{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))$ , it remains to calculate

$$\langle q^*, Q_{w_{11}q} \rangle, \quad \langle q^*, Q_{w_{20}\bar{q}} \rangle \text{ and } \langle q^*, C_{qq\bar{q}} \rangle.$$

It is straightforward to compute that

$$\begin{split} \left[2i\omega_{0}^{n}I - \mathcal{L}_{2n}(b_{n}^{H})\right]^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2i\omega_{0}^{n} - (\frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} - \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}}) & \frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}} \\ -\frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} & 2i\omega_{0}^{n} + \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4cn^{2}}{l^{2}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= (\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}i)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2i\omega_{0}^{n} + \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4cn^{2}}{l^{2}} & -\frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}} \\ \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} & 2i\omega_{0}^{n} - \frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \left[2i\omega_{0}^{n}I - \mathcal{L}_{0}(b_{n}^{H})\right]^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2i\omega_{0}^{n} - \frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} & \frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}} \\ -\frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} & 2i\omega_{0}^{n} + \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= (\alpha_{3} + \alpha_{4}i)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 2i\omega_{0}^{n} + \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} & -\frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}} \\ \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} & 2i\omega_{0}^{n} - \frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1} &= -4(\omega_{0}^{n})^{2} - \left(\frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4cn^{2}}{l^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} - \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}}\right) + \frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}} \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}},\\ \alpha_{2} &= 2\omega_{0}^{n} \left(\frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4cn^{2}}{l^{2}} - \frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}}\right),\\ \alpha_{3} &= -4(\omega_{0}^{n})^{2} - \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} \frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}} \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}},\\ \alpha_{4} &= 2\omega_{0}^{n} \left(\frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} - \frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.18), we have that, when  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$w_{20} = \left(\frac{[2i\omega_0^n I - \mathcal{L}_{2n}(b_n^H)]^{-1}}{2}\cos\frac{2nx}{l} + \frac{[2i\omega_0^n I - \mathcal{L}_0(b_n^H)]^{-1}}{2}\right) \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ d_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{[\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 i]^{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (2i\omega_0^n I + \frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{4cn^2}{l^2})c_n - \frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2}d_n \\ \frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2}c_n + (2i\omega_0^n - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{4n^2}{l^2})d_n \end{pmatrix} \cos\frac{2n}{l}x$$
$$+ \frac{(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 i)^{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (2i\omega_0^n + \frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2})c_n - \frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2}d_n \\ \frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2}c_n + (2i\omega_0^n - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2})d_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Likewise, when  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$w_{11} = -\frac{\alpha_5^{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (-\frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1+\alpha^2} - \frac{4cn^2}{l^2})e_n + \frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^2}f_n \\ -\frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1+\alpha^2}e_n + (\frac{3\alpha^2-5}{1+\alpha^2} - \frac{4n^2}{l^2})f_n \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\alpha_6^{-1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1+\alpha^2}e_n + \frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^2}f_n \\ -\frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1+\alpha^2}e_n + \frac{3\alpha^2-5}{1+\alpha^2}f_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha_{5} = \frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}} \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} - \left(\frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}} + \frac{4cn^{2}}{l^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{3\alpha^{2}-5}{1+\alpha^{2}} - \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}}\right),\\ \alpha_{6} = \frac{5\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1+\alpha^{2}}.$$

The direct computation yields

$$\begin{cases} f_{uu} = \frac{8\alpha(3-\alpha^2)}{(1+\alpha^2)^2}, & f_{uv} = -\frac{4(1-\alpha^2)}{(1+\alpha^2)^2}, & f_{vv} = f_{vvv} = f_{uvv} = 0, \\ f_{uuu} = \frac{24(\alpha^4 - 6\alpha^2 + 1)}{(1+\alpha^2)^3}, & f_{uuv} = \frac{8\alpha(3-\alpha^2)}{(1+\alpha^2)^3}, & g_{uu} = \frac{1}{4}f_{uu}, & g_{vv} = g_{uvv} = 0, \\ g_{uv} = \frac{1}{4}f_{uv}, & g_{uuu} = \frac{1}{4}f_{uuu}, & g_{uuv} = \frac{1}{4}f_{uuv}, & g_{vvv} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.32)

Here and in the following we always assume that all the partial derivatives of f and g are evaluated at  $(b_n^H, 0, 0)$ . It is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{w_{20}\bar{q}} &= \begin{pmatrix} f_{uu}\xi + f_{uv}\eta + f_{uv}\bar{b}_n\xi \\ g_{uu}\xi + g_{uv}\eta + g_{uv}\bar{b}_n\xi \end{pmatrix} \cos\frac{2nx}{l}\cos\frac{nx}{l} + \begin{pmatrix} f_{uu}\tau + f_{uv}\zeta + f_{uv}\bar{b}_n\tau \\ g_{uu}\tau + g_{uv}\zeta + g_{uv}\bar{b}_n\tau \end{pmatrix} \cos\frac{nx}{l}, \\ Q_{w_{11}q} &= \begin{pmatrix} f_{uu}\tilde{\xi} + f_{uv}\tilde{\eta} + f_{uv}b_n\tilde{\xi} \\ g_{uu}\tilde{\xi} + g_{uv}\tilde{\eta} + g_{uv}b_n\tilde{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \cos\frac{2nx}{l}\cos\frac{nx}{l} + \begin{pmatrix} f_{uu}\tilde{\tau} + f_{uv}\zeta + f_{uv}b_n\tau \\ g_{uu}\tilde{\tau} + g_{uv}\zeta + g_{uv}b_n\tau \end{pmatrix} \cos\frac{nx}{l}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \xi &= \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 i)^{-1}}{2} \bigg[ \bigg( 2i\omega_0^n + \frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{4cn^2}{l^2} \bigg) c_n - \frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2} d_n \bigg], \\ \eta &= \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 i)^{-1}}{2} \bigg[ \frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} c_n + \bigg( 2i\omega_0^n - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{4n^2}{l^2} \bigg) d_n \bigg], \\ \tau &= \frac{(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 i)^{-1}}{2} \bigg[ \bigg( 2i\omega_0^n + \frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} \bigg) c_n - \frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2} d_n \bigg], \\ \zeta &= \frac{(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 i)^{-1}}{2} \bigg[ \frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} c_n + \bigg( 2i\omega_0^n - \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} \bigg) d_n \bigg], \\ \tilde{\xi} &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha_5} \bigg[ \bigg( -\frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{4cn^2}{l^2} \bigg) e_n + \frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2} f_n \bigg], \\ \tilde{\eta} &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha_5} \bigg[ -\frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} e_n + \bigg( \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} - \frac{4n^2}{l^2} \bigg) f_n \bigg], \\ \tilde{\zeta} &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha_6} \bigg( -\frac{\alpha b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} e_n + \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} f_n \bigg), \\ \tilde{\zeta} &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha_6} \bigg( -\frac{2\alpha^2 b_n^H}{1 + \alpha^2} e_n + \frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{1 + \alpha^2} f_n \bigg). \end{split}$$

By (2.15) and (2.32), we have

 $c_n = f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_n,$   $d_n = g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_n,$   $e_n = f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}\operatorname{Re} b_n,$   $f_n = g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}\operatorname{Re} b_n,$  $g_n = f_{uuu} + (3\operatorname{Re} b_n + \operatorname{Im} b_n i)f_{uuv},$   $h_n = g_{uuu} + (3\operatorname{Re} b_n + \operatorname{Im} b_n i)g_{uuv}.$ 

Notice that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\int_{0}^{l\pi} \cos^2 \frac{nx}{l} \, dx = \frac{1}{2} l\pi \,, \qquad \int_{0}^{l\pi} \cos \frac{2nx}{l} \cos^2 \frac{nx}{l} \, dx = \frac{1}{4} l\pi \,, \qquad \int_{0}^{l\pi} \cos^4 \frac{nx}{l} \, dx = \frac{3}{8} l\pi \,,$$

SO

$$\begin{split} \langle q^*, \, Q_{w_{20}\bar{q}} \rangle &= \frac{l\pi}{4} \big[ \bar{a}_n^*(f_{uu}\xi + f_{uv}\eta + f_{uv}\xi\bar{b}_n) + \bar{b}_n^*(g_{uu}\xi + g_{uv}\eta + g_{uv}\xi\bar{b}_n) \big] \\ &+ \frac{l\pi}{2} \big[ \bar{a}_n^*(f_{uu}\tau + f_{uv}\zeta + f_{uv}\tau\bar{b}_n) + \bar{b}_n^*(g_{uu}\tau + g_{uv}\zeta + g_{uv}\tau\bar{b}_n) \big], \\ \langle q^*, \, Q_{w_{11}q} \rangle &= \frac{l\pi}{4} \big[ \bar{a}_n^*(f_{uu}\tilde{\xi} + f_{uv}\tilde{\eta} + f_{uv}\tilde{\xi}b_n) + \bar{b}_n^*(g_{uu}\tilde{\xi} + g_{uv}\tilde{\eta} + g_{uv}\tilde{\xi}b_n) \big] \\ &+ \frac{l\pi}{2} \big[ \bar{a}_n^*(f_{uu}\tilde{\tau} + f_{uv}\tilde{\zeta} + f_{uv}\tilde{\tau}b_n) + \bar{b}_n^*(g_{uu}\tilde{\tau} + g_{uv}\tilde{\zeta} + g_{uv}\tilde{\tau}b_n) \big], \\ \langle q^*, \, C_{qq\bar{q}} \rangle &= \frac{3}{8} l\pi \left( \bar{a}_n^*g_n + \bar{b}_n^*h_n \right). \end{split}$$

Since

$$l\pi \bar{a}_n^* = 1 - \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 - 5}{\omega_0^n (1 + \alpha^2)} - \frac{n^2}{\omega_0^n l^2}\right) \mathbf{i}, \qquad l\pi \bar{b}_n^* = \frac{4\alpha}{\omega_0^n (1 + \alpha^2)} \mathbf{i},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle q^{*}, C_{q\bar{q}\bar{q}} \rangle &= \frac{3}{8} f_{uuu} + \frac{9}{8} \left( \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2})n^{2}}{4\alpha l^{2}} \right) f_{uuv} \\ &- \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{\omega_{0}^{0}(1 + \alpha^{2})} - \frac{n^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{0}l^{2}} \right) \frac{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})}{4\alpha} f_{uuv} + \frac{3}{8} g_{uuv}, \end{aligned}$$
(2.33)  
$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle q^{*}, Q_{w_{20}\bar{q}} \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ f_{uu}(\xi_{R} + 2\tau_{R}) + f_{uv} \left( \xi_{R} + 2\zeta_{R} - \frac{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})}{4\alpha} (\xi_{I} + 2\tau_{I}) \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2})n^{2}}{4\alpha l^{2}} \right) f_{uv}(\xi_{R} + 2\tau_{R}) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{4\alpha^{2}} - \frac{n^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{n}l^{2}} \right) \left[ f_{uu}(\xi_{I} + 2\tau_{I}) + f_{uv}(\eta_{I} + 2\zeta_{I}) \\ &+ \frac{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha)}{4\alpha} f_{uv}(\xi_{R} + 2\tau_{R}) + f_{uv} \left( \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2})n^{2}}{4\alpha l^{2}} \right) (\xi_{I} + 2\tau_{I}) \right] \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})} \left\{ g_{uu}(\xi_{I} + 2\tau_{I}) \\ &+ g_{uv} \left[ \eta_{I} + 2\zeta_{I} + (\xi_{I} + 2\tau_{I}) \left( \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{4\alpha} - \frac{(1 + \alpha^{2})n^{2}}{4\alpha l^{2}} \right) + (\xi_{R} + 2\tau_{R}) \frac{\omega_{0}^{n}(1 + \alpha^{2})}{4\alpha} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(2.34)

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle q^{*}, Q_{w_{11}q} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left( f_{uu}(\tilde{\xi} + 2\tilde{\tau}) + f_{uv}(\tilde{\xi} + 2\tilde{\zeta}) + g_{uv}(\tilde{\xi} + 2\tilde{\tau}) \right),$$
(2.35)

where we have denoted  $\Gamma_R = \operatorname{Re} \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_I = \operatorname{Im} \Gamma$  for  $\Gamma = \xi, \eta, \tau, \zeta$ . More precisely,

$$\begin{split} \xi_{R} &= \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2})} \bigg[ \bigg( \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} + \frac{4cn^{2}}{l^{2}} \bigg) (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) - \frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg] + \frac{\alpha_{2}\omega_{0}^{n}}{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}), \\ \xi_{l} &= -\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2})} \bigg[ \bigg( \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} + \frac{4cn^{2}}{l^{2}} \bigg) (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) - \frac{4\alpha}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg] + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}} \omega_{0}^{n} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}), \\ \eta_{R} &= \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2})} \bigg[ \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) + \bigg( \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}} - \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{1 + \alpha^{2}} \bigg) (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg] + \frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}} \omega_{0}^{n} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}), \\ \eta_{I} &= \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}} \omega_{0}^{n} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) - \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2})} \bigg[ \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) + \bigg( \frac{4n^{2}}{1^{2}} - \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{1 + \alpha^{2}} \bigg) (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg], \\ \eta_{I} &= \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}} \omega_{0}^{n} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) - \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2})} \bigg[ \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) + \bigg( \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}} - \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{1 + \alpha^{2}} \bigg) (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg], \\ \eta_{I} &= \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}} \omega_{0}^{n} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) - \frac{\alpha_{2}}{2(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2})} \bigg[ \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) + \bigg( \frac{4n^{2}}{l^{2}} - \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{1 + \alpha^{2}} \bigg) (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg], \\ \eta_{I} &= \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}^{2} + \alpha_{4}^{2}} \bigg( \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) - \frac{\alpha_{4}}{2(\alpha_{3}^{2} + \alpha_{4}^{2})} \bigg( \frac{\alpha b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg) + \frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{3}^{2} + \alpha_{4}^{2}} \omega_{0}^{n} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg), \\ \zeta_{R} &= \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}^{2} + \alpha_{4}^{2}} \bigg( \frac{2\alpha^{2}b_{n}^{H}}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (f_{uu} + 2f_{uv}b_{n}) - \frac{3\alpha^{2} - 5}{1 + \alpha^{2}} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}) \bigg) + \frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{3}^{2} + \alpha_{4}^{2}} \omega_{0}^{n} (g_{uu} + 2g_{uv}b_{n}), \\ \zeta_{I} &= \frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}^{2} + \alpha_{4}^{2}}} \bigg( \frac{\alpha_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{4}^{2}}{1 +$$

Finally, substituting (2.33)–(2.35) into (2.31), we get the expression of  $\text{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))$ . The proof is completed.  $\Box$ 

# 3. Discussion

In this section, we give some discussion on the system (2.1).

1. The sign of  $\text{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))$  is important for determining the stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions and bifurcation direction. Although we have given the expression of  $\text{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))$ , since this expression is very complicated, it is not easy to judge the sign of  $\text{Re}(c_1(b_n^H))$ .

2. We summarize some known dynamics of system (2.1). Note that the system (2.1) is an activator-inhibitor system if and only if  $3\alpha^2 > 5$ . So we assume that  $3\alpha^2 - 5 > 0$ . Since our model is a little different from [3,7–9], to cast our discussion, we change their results into the uniform expressions by some computation.

**Conclusion 1.** (See [8].) When  $b = b_0^*$ , the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at  $(\alpha, 1 + \alpha^2)$ . Moreover,

(a) if

$$\alpha^2 \ge \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2-1}$$
 and  $c > \frac{l^2 \alpha b}{\frac{3l^3-1}{1+5l^2}\alpha^2-1}$ 

the bifurcating homogeneous periodic solutions are unstable;

(b) if

$$\alpha^2 \ge \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2-1}$$
 and  $0 < c < \frac{l^2 \alpha b}{\frac{3l^2-1}{1+5l^2}\alpha^2-1}$ 

or

$$\frac{5}{3} \leqslant \alpha^2 \leqslant \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2-1}$$

the bifurcating homogeneous periodic solutions are stable.

**Conclusion 2.** (See [3,7–9].) When  $b > b_0^*$ , the equilibrium  $(\alpha, 1 + \alpha^2)$  is local asymptotically stable for ODE version. Moreover,

(a) if

$$\alpha^2 > \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2-1}$$
 and  $c > \frac{l^2 \alpha b}{\frac{3l^2-1}{1+5l^2}\alpha^2-1}$ ,

then the Turing instability happens;

(b) if

$$\alpha^2 \ge \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2}$$
 and  $0 < c < \frac{l^2 \alpha b}{\frac{3l^2-1}{1+5l^2}\alpha^2-1}$ ,

or

$$\frac{5}{3} \leqslant \alpha^2 \leqslant \frac{1+5l^2}{3l^2-1}$$

then  $(\alpha, 1 + \alpha^2)$  is local asymptotically stable for the system (2.1).

The final conclusion is the main result of the present paper:

**Conclusion 3.** When  $b < b_0^*$  and *c* is suitable small, multiple spatially non-homogeneous periodic orbits occur while the system parameters are all spatially homogeneous.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions and comments, especially Ref. [4], another independent work considered the Lengyel–Epstein reaction–diffusion model with similar methods, but chose the different bifurcation parameter.

## References

- [1] I.R. Epstein, J.A. Pojman, An Introduction to Nonlinear Chemical Dynamics, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [2] B.D. Hassard, N.D. Kazarinoff, Y.H. Wan, Theory and Application of Hopf Bifurcation, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- [3] J. Jang, W.M. Ni, M. Tang, Global bifurcation and structure of Turing patterns in the 1-D Lengyel–Epstein model, J. Dynam. Differential Equations 16 (2) (2005) 297–320.
- [4] J. Jin, J. Shi, J. Wei, F. Yi, Bifurcations of patterned solutions in diffusive Lengyel-Epstein system of CIMA chemical reaction, preprint.
- [5] P. De Kepper, V. Castets, E. Dulos, J. Boissonade, Turing-type chemical patterns in the chlorite-iodide-malonic-acid reaction, Phys. D 49 (1991) 161-169.
- [6] I. Lengyel, I.R. Epstein, Modeling of Turing structure in the chlorite-iodide-malonic-acid-starch reaction system, Science 251 (1991) 650-652.
- [7] W.M. Ni, M. Tang, Turing patterns in the Lengyel-Epstein system for the CIMA reaction, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005) 3953-3969.
- [8] F. Yi, J. Wei, J. Shi, Diffusion-driven instability and bifurcation in the Lengyel-Epstein system, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 9 (2008) 1038-1051.
- [9] F. Yi, J. Wei, J. Shi, Global asymptotical behavior of the Lengyel-Epstein reaction-diffusion system, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (1) (2009) 52-55.
- [10] F. Yi, J. Wei, J. Shi, Bifurcation and spatiotemporal patterns in a homogeneous diffusive predator-prey system, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 1944-1977.