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#### Abstract

Let $\Omega_{k} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote a domain, such that $1 \in \Omega_{k}$ and $\partial \Omega_{k}$ is a conic section, with eccentricity equal to $1 / k$. In this paper authors introduce the class of $k$-uniformly convex functions $k$-UCV, with the property that the values of the expression $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ lie inside the domain $\Omega_{k}$. Necessary and sufficient conditions for membership in $k$-UCV, as well as sharp growth and distortion theorems for $k$-uniformly convex functions are given. The obtained results generalize the concept of uniform convexity due to A.W. Goodman (Ann. Polon. Math. 56 (1991) 87-92). © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

analytic in the open unit disk $U$, and let $S$ denote the class of functions (1.1), analytic and univalent in $U$. By CV we denote the subclass of convex, univalent functions, defined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CV}=\left\{f \in S: \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, z \in U\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1991 Goodman [2] investigated the class of functions mapping circular arcs contained in the unit disk, with the center at an arbitrarily chosen point in $U$, onto convex arcs. Goodman denoted this class by UCV. Recall here his definition.

[^0]Definition 1.1 (Goodman [2]). A function $f \in H$ is said to be uniformly convex in $U$, if $f$ is convex in $U$, and has the property that for every circular arc $\gamma$, contained in $U$, with center $\zeta$, also in $U$, the $\operatorname{arc} f(\gamma)$ is convex.

We remark that for $\zeta=0$, we are back to the class CV , and also that if $\gamma$ is a complete circle contained in $U$, it is well known that $f(\gamma)$ is a convex curve also for $f \in \mathrm{CV}$.

An analytic condition for UCV has also been formulated by Goodman [2]. We state this as:

Theorem 1.1 (Goodman [2]). Let $f \in H$. Then $f \in \mathrm{UCV}$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+(z-\zeta) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqslant 0, \quad(z, \zeta) \in U \times U \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the above condition contains two variables $z$ and $\zeta$, and for this reason it is not convenient for investigation. Rønning [7], and independently Ma and Minda [6], have given a more applicable one-variable characterization of the class UCV, stated below.

Theorem 1.2 (Rønning [7] and Ma and Minda [6]). Let $f \in H$. Then $f \in \mathrm{UCV}$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|, \quad z \in U \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can describe the domain of values of the expression $p(z)=1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z), z \in U$ geometrically. From (1.4) it follows that $f \in \mathrm{UCV}$ iff $p$ is in the parabolic region

$$
\Omega=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:(\operatorname{Im} w)^{2}<2 \operatorname{Re} w-1\right\}
$$

## 2. Definition, necessary and sufficient conditions for the class of $\boldsymbol{k}$-uniformly convex functions

Definition 2.1. Let $0 \leqslant k<\infty$. A function $f \in S$ is said to be $k$-uniformly convex in $U$, if the image of every circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $U$, with center $\zeta$, where $|\zeta| \leqslant k$, is convex.

For fixed $k$, the class of all $k$-uniformly convex functions will be denoted by $k$-UCV. Clearly, $0-\mathrm{UCV}=\mathrm{CV}$, and $1-\mathrm{UCV}=\mathrm{UCV}$.

Definition 2.1 was motivated by the desire to generalize the family of circular arcs contained in $U$, with center also in $U$, to a family of circular arcs contained in $U$ with center at any point of the complex plane.

Observe that, the above definition generalizes the idea of convexity, and establishes a continuous passage between the well-known class of convex functions CV and the class UCV.

It is obvious from the definition, that the class $k$-UCV is invariant under the rotation $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} f\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta} z\right)$.
Recall that convexity on a curve $z=z(t), t \in[a, b]$, is equivalent to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left\{\frac{z^{\prime \prime}(t)}{z^{\prime}(t)}+z^{\prime}(t) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqslant 0, \quad t \in[a, b] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. e.g., [1], vol. I, p. 110).

For the circle with center at any point $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, and in view of (2.1), we obtain as an immediate consequence the analogue of Theorem 1.1. We omit the proof.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in H$, and $0 \leqslant k<\infty$. Then $f \in k$-UCV iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+(z-\zeta) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqslant 0, \quad z \in U, \quad|\zeta| \leqslant k . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As with the class UCV it is possible to get a one-variable characterization of the class $k$-UCV, depending only on the parameter $k$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in H$, and $0 \leqslant k<\infty$. Then $f \in k$-UCV iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|, \quad z \in U . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In the case when $k=0$ inequality (2.3) reduces to the well-known condition of convexity (1.2), and when $k=1$ we are back to (1.4).

Let $0<k<\infty$, and assume that $f \in k$-UCV. Then, condition (2.2) is fulfilled for every $z \in U$, and $0 \leqslant|\zeta| \leqslant k$. Choosing $\theta=\operatorname{Arg}\left[z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right]$, and $\zeta=k z \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta}$, we obtain from (2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqslant \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}=k \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta} z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}=k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|, \quad z \in U \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ is an analytic function in $U$, and maps 0 to 1 , the Open Mapping Theorem implies that equality in (2.4) is not possible. Thus, we get a necessary condition for $f$ to be in $k$-UCV.

Next, assume for the proof of the sufficient condition, that for $0<k<\infty$, condition (2.3) holds. Let $\omega=\zeta / k$. Then we shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqslant k \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\omega f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z$ and $\omega$ in the unit disk. By the Minimum principle it suffices to prove (2.5) for $1>|z|=$ $R>|\omega|$. Then (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} & >k \operatorname{Re}\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|>k\left|\frac{\omega f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \geqslant k \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\omega f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\zeta f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (2.2) is established.

## 3. General properties of $\boldsymbol{k}$-uniformly convex functions

Denote by $\Omega_{k}$ with $0 \leqslant k<\infty$, the following set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{k}=\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}: z \in U, f \in k-\mathrm{UCV}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Note that, by (2.3), $\Omega_{k}$ is a domain such that, $1 \in \Omega_{k}$ and $\partial \Omega_{k}$ is a curve defined by the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \Omega_{k}=\left\{w=u+\mathrm{i} v: u^{2}=k^{2}(u-1)^{2}+k^{2} v^{2}\right\}, \quad(0 \leqslant k<\infty) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From elementary computations we see that (3.2) represents the conic sections symmetric about the real axis, with eccentricity equal to $1 / k$, when $k \neq 0$. In the limiting case when $k=0$ the curve reduces to the imaginary axis. All of the curves have one vertex at $(k /(k+1), 0)$, and the focus at $(1,0)$. If the curve is an ellipse the other vertex is at $(k /(k-1), 0)$, see Fig. 1.

This characterization enables us to designate precisely the domain $\Omega_{k}$, as a convex domain contained in the right half-plane. Moreover, $\Omega_{k}$ is an elliptic region for $k>1$, parabolic for $k=1$, hyperbolic for $0<k<1$ and finally $\Omega_{0}$ is the whole right half-plane.

Furthermore, from (3.1) and (3.2) we can also prove that for $f \in k-\mathrm{UCV}$ with $0 \leqslant k<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\frac{k}{k+1}, \quad z \in U \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\operatorname{Arg}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}\right|<\left\{\begin{align*}
\arctan \frac{1}{k} & \text { for } 0<k<\infty  \tag{3.4}\\
\frac{\pi}{2} & \text { for } k=0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Denote by $P$ the family of analytic and normalized Carathéodory functions, and by $p_{k} \in P$ the function such that $p_{k}(U)=\Omega_{k}$. Denote also by $P\left(p_{k}\right)$, according to Ma and Minda's notation [5], the following family:

$$
P\left(p_{k}\right)=\left\{p \in P: p(U) \subset \Omega_{k}\right\}=\left\{p \in P: p \prec p_{k} \text { in } U\right\} .
$$

We shall specify the functions $p_{k}$, which are extremal for the class $P\left(p_{k}\right)$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z \in U \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in the case of a parabolic domain (cf. [6] or [7]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z)=1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2}, \quad z \in U . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we shall give an explicit form of the function which maps $U$ onto the hyperbolic region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{k}=\left\{u+\mathrm{i} v: \frac{\left(u+k^{2} /\left(1-k^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{k^{2} /\left(1-k^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{v^{2}}{1 /\left(1-k^{2}\right)}>1, u>0\right\}, \quad 0<k<1 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation

$$
w_{1}(z)=\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{A},
$$

where $\sqrt{z}$ is such that it takes positive values for positive values of $z$ and $A=(2 / \pi) \arccos k$, maps $U$ onto the angular region $D$ of width $A \pi / 2=\arccos k$ in the $w_{1}$-plane. Next, the mapping

$$
w_{2}\left(w_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{1}+\frac{1}{w_{1}}\right)
$$

transforms the region $D$ onto the domain $G$ being the interior of the right branch of the hyperbola whose vertex is at the point $w_{2}=k$.
Finally,

$$
w\left(w_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{1-k^{2}} w_{2}-\frac{k^{2}}{1-k^{2}}
$$

maps $G$ onto the interior of hyperbola, given by (3.7).
Summing up, the function $w\left(w_{2}\right)=: p_{k}(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{2\left(1-k^{2}\right)}\left[\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)^{A}+\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{A}\right]-\frac{k^{2}}{1-k^{2}}, \quad z \in U \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A=(2 / \pi) \arccos k$, gives the desired mappings. This function also has an equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{1-k^{2}} \cosh \left\{A \log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right\}-\frac{k^{2}}{1-k^{2}}, \quad z \in U . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conformal mapping of the unit disk onto the interior of the ellipse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{k}=\left\{u+\mathrm{i} v: \frac{\left(u-k^{2} /\left(k^{2}-1\right)\right)^{2}}{k^{2} /\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{2}}+\frac{v^{2}}{1 /\left(k^{2}-1\right)}<1\right\} \quad(1<k<\infty), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

requires the use of Jacobian elliptic functions. (Note that for no choice of the parameter $k(k>1)$, can $\Omega_{k}$ reduce to the disk.) It is known (cf. [3], p. 280, or [4], vol. II, p. 140), that the Jacobian elliptic function $\operatorname{sn}(s, \kappa)$ transforms the upper half-plane (and the upper semidisk of $\{s:|s|<1 / \sqrt{\kappa}\}$ ) onto the interior of a rectangle with vertices $\pm K, \pm K+\mathrm{i} K^{\prime}$. Here, equivalently $K=K(\kappa), \kappa \in(0,1)$ is Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind

$$
K(\kappa)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}},
$$

and

$$
K^{\prime}(\kappa)=K\left(\sqrt{1-\kappa^{2}}\right)
$$

is the complementary integral of $K(\kappa)$. The mapping

$$
z\left(w_{1}\right)=\sqrt{\kappa} \operatorname{sn}\left(\frac{2 K}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{w_{1}}{c}, \kappa\right)
$$

maps conformally the elliptic domain

$$
E=\{w:|w+c|+|w-c|<2 \alpha\}, \quad 0<c<\alpha
$$

onto the unit disk $U$, where $\alpha=c \cosh \left(\pi K^{\prime} / 4 K\right)$ and $\beta=c \sinh \left(\pi K^{\prime} / 4 K\right)$ are the semi-axis of the ellipse $E$. Its inverse

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{1}(z) & =c \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 K(\kappa)} \int_{0}^{z / \sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k^{2}-1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 K(\kappa)} \int_{0}^{z / \sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa \in(0,1)$ is chosen such that $k=\cosh \pi K^{\prime}(\kappa) / 4 K(\kappa)$, maps the unit disk $U$ onto the elliptic domain $E$ such that $w_{1}(0)=0$. The shift through the distance $k^{2} /\left(k^{2}-1\right)$ to the right

$$
w\left(w_{1}\right)=w_{1}+\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}-1}
$$

transforms $E$ onto $\Omega_{k}$, given by (3.10), but with the normalization $w\left(w_{1}(0)\right)=k^{2} /\left(k^{2}-1\right)$. Combining $w$ and $w_{1}$ we see that to obtain the function $p_{k}=w\left(w_{1}\right)$ with the desired normalization $p_{k}(0)=1$ we need to solve the equation

$$
p_{k}(0)=w\left(w_{1}(u(0))\right)=1
$$

where $u$ is the Möbius transformation of the unit disk onto itself. Hence we get

$$
\frac{1}{k^{2}-1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 K(\kappa)} \int_{0}^{u(0) / \sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}}\right)+\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}-1}=1
$$

that gives

$$
\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 K(\kappa)} \int_{0}^{u(0) / \sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}}\right)=-1
$$

and equivalently

$$
\int_{0}^{u(0) / \sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}}=-K(\kappa)
$$

From the properties of the Legendre's integral (cf. [4] pp. 127-138) the above equality will be fullfilled if

$$
\frac{u(0)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}=-1
$$

The automorphism

$$
u(z)=\frac{z-\sqrt{\kappa}}{1-\sqrt{\kappa} z}
$$

provides the required self-mapping of $U$. Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{k^{2}-1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 K(\kappa)} \int_{0}^{u(z) / \sqrt{\kappa}} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-\kappa^{2} t^{2}}}\right)+\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}-1}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in U$, is the desired mapping of the disk $U$ onto the elliptic domain $\Omega_{k}$, given by (3.10), with the normalization $p_{k}(0)=1$.

Remark 3.1. Note, that $P\left(p_{0}\right)=P$, and $P\left(p_{1}\right)=P A R$ (cf. [5]).
Remark 3.2. Observe that, the functions $p_{k}$ in the case $k>1$, are regular on the boundary of $U$.
Property 3.1. (i) It is easy to verify that in the case when $k=0$ we obtain $A=(2 / \pi) \arccos 0=1$, and formula (3.8) reduces to $p_{0}(z)=(1+z) /(1-z), z \in U$.
(ii) Let $k \rightarrow 1^{-}$, then $A \rightarrow 0^{+}$, and by using twice the $1^{\prime}$ Hospital principle with respect to $k$ to the function $p_{k}$ given by (3.9), we get that $p_{k}(z) \rightarrow p_{1}(z), z \in U$.

The characterization of the class $k$ - UCV can be expressed in term of subordination, as follows.
Theorem 3.1. The function $f \in k$-UCV iff $p(z)=1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z) \prec p_{k}(z)$ in $U$.
Note, that for each $0 \leqslant k<\infty$, the domain $\Omega_{k}=p_{k}(U)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis, convex, and the function $p_{k}$ satisfies the condition $p_{k}^{\prime}(0)>0$.

Define the function $f_{k}$ by the following conditions:

$$
1+\frac{z f_{k}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{k}^{\prime}(z)}=p_{k}(z), \quad z \in U, \quad f_{k}(0)=f_{k}^{\prime}(0)-1=0 .
$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1, $f_{k} \in k$ - UCV. The function $f_{k}$ plays the role of the Koebe function for the class $k$-UCV.

As simple consequences of the above, and the results given in [5] we obtain the following properties for the class $k-\mathrm{UCV}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 \leqslant k<\infty$, and $f \in k$-UCV. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}(z) \prec f_{k}^{\prime}(z) \quad \text { in } U,  \tag{3.12}\\
& f_{k}^{\prime}(-r) \leqslant\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant f_{k}^{\prime}(r), \quad|z|=r<1,  \tag{3.13}\\
& -f_{k}(-r) \leqslant|f(z)| \leqslant f_{k}(r), \quad|z|=r<1 . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Equality in (3.13) and (3.14) occurs for some $z_{0} \neq 0$, if and only if $f$ is a rotation of the function $f_{k}$.

The next theorem presents a sufficient condition in terms of the coefficients for the function $f$ to be $k$-uniformly convex.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in S$. If for some $k, 0 \leqslant k<\infty$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{k+2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $f \in k$-UCV. The number $1 /(k+2)$ cannot be increased.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in S$, and that inequality (3.15) holds for a fixed number $k, 0 \leqslant k<\infty$. Then, for the same number $k$

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{k+2}
$$

and for $|\zeta| \leqslant k$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+(z-\zeta) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} & \geqslant 1-\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n-2}}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n-1}}|z-\zeta| \\
& \geqslant 1-\frac{1 /(k+2)}{1-1 /(k+2)}(k+1)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from (2.2) and by Theorem 2.1, $f \in k$-UCV. Equality in (3.15) is attained for

$$
f(z)=z-\frac{z^{2}}{2(k+2)}
$$

with $z=1$ and $\zeta=-k$.
In the case when $k=1$, Theorem 3.3 reduces to the following result.

Corollary 3.1 (Goodman [2]). Let $f \in S$. If the inequality

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{3},
$$

holds, then the function $f \in \mathrm{UCV}$.
For $k=0$ we obtain from Theorem 3.3:

Corollary 3.2. Let $f \in S$. If

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2},
$$

holds, then $f \in \mathrm{CV}$.
Now, we shall solve a $k$-UCV radius problem in the class $S$. The well-known radius of convexity in $S$ is $2-\sqrt{3}$ (see e.g., [1], vol. I, p. 119), and the UCV radius in $S$ is $(4-\sqrt{13}) / 3$, (cf. [8]).

Theorem 3.4. The radius of $k$-uniform convexity in $S$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{2(k+1)-\sqrt{4 k^{2}+6 k+3}}{2 k+1}=\frac{1}{2(k+1)+\sqrt{4 k^{2}+6 k+3}} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in S$. Then the following sharp inequality

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2 r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leqslant \frac{4 r}{1-r^{2}}, \quad|z|=r<1
$$

holds (cf. e.g., [1], vol. I, p. 63), or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leqslant \frac{4 r}{1-r^{2}}, \quad|z|=r<1 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above condition represents a disk intersecting the real axis at the points $\left(\left(1+r^{2}-4 r\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right), 0\right)$ and $\left(\left(1+r^{2}+4 r\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right), 0\right)$. According to Theorem 2.2, and in view of relations (3.1) and (3.2), we search for the largest value of $r=|z|$ such that the disk (3.17) lies completely inside the conic domain $\Omega_{k}$. Since all the conic sections have one vertex at the point $(k /(k+1), 0)$, it is necessary to fulfill the condition

$$
\frac{1+r^{2}-4 r}{1-r^{2}} \geqslant \frac{k}{k+1} .
$$

This inequality is satisfied for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant r_{0}$, with $r_{0}$ given by (3.16). It suffices to check that for this $r_{0}$ the disk (3.17) and the conic section $\partial \Omega_{k}$ have only one common point ( $u_{1}, 0$ ), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1+r^{2}-4 r}{1-r^{2}}=\frac{k}{k+1} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for the value of $k$ determined by (3.18), one can see that the system of equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{2}=k^{2}(u-1)^{2}+k^{2} v^{2}, \\
& \left(u-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{2}+v^{2}=\frac{16 r^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

has two solutions $\left(u_{1}, 0\right),\left(u_{2}, 0\right)$, where $u_{1}$ is given by (3.18) and $u_{2}<0$. Hence the value $u_{1}$ is the only positive solution of (3.19). Thus for $r \leqslant r_{0}$ the disk (3.17) lies completely inside the domain $\Omega_{k}$.

Remark 3.3. Since the Koebe function gives equality in (3.17), it follows that $r_{0}$ is the $k$-UCV radius in the class of starlike, univalent functions.

Remark 3.4. In the case, when $k=0, r_{0}=2-\sqrt{3}$ is the $C V$ radius in the class $S$, and if $k=1$, then $r_{0}=(4-\sqrt{13}) / 3$, which coincides with a result from [8].

We can also give a characterization of the class $k-U C V$ in terms of convolution. Recall that the Hadamard product, or convolution, of two power series $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ is defined as $(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $0 \leqslant k<\infty$. A function $f \in S$ is in the class $k-\mathrm{UCV}$ if and only if $\frac{1}{2}\left(f * G_{t}\right)(z) \neq 0$ in $U$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, such that $t^{2}-(k t-1)^{2} \geqslant 0$, where

$$
G_{t}(z)=\frac{1}{1-C(t)} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}-C(t)\right), \quad z \in U
$$

and

$$
C(t)=k t \pm \mathrm{i} \sqrt{t^{2}-(k t-1)^{2}} .
$$

Proof. Let $0 \leqslant k<\infty$. Assume that $f \in S$ and $p(z)=1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z), z \in U$. Since $p(0)=1$, it follows that

$$
f \in k-\mathrm{UCV} \Longleftrightarrow p(z) \notin \partial \Omega_{k} \quad \text { for all } z \in U .
$$

Note that

$$
\partial \Omega_{k}=C(t)=k t \pm \mathrm{i} \sqrt{t^{2}-(k t-1)^{2}}, \quad \text { where } t(k+1) \geqslant 1 \text { and }(1-k) t \geqslant-1 .
$$

Also note that

$$
\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}} * f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)
$$

and

$$
\frac{z(1+z)}{(1-z)^{3}} * f(z)=\left[z\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right] * f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)+z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{1}{z}\left(f * G_{t}\right)(z)=\frac{1}{1-C(t)}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-C(t) f^{\prime}(z)\right)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{1-C(t)}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-C(t)\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{z}\left(f * G_{t}\right)(z) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow p(z) \notin \partial \Omega_{k} \Longleftrightarrow p(z) \in \Omega_{k}, \quad z \in U .
$$
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