Determination of the Jumps of a Bounded Function by Its Fourier Series

George Kvernadze

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131 E-mail: gkverna@math.unm.edu

Communicated by Doron S. Lubinsky

Received October 26, 1995; accepted in revised form January 28, 1997

View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
 variation by its Fourier series is extended to larger classes of functions, such as V8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8,

as well that the conditions on the generalized variations are definitive in some sense. Based on the above-mentioned results, an identity which determines the jumps of a bounded function by its Fourier series with respect to the system of generalized Jacobi polynomials is obtained for these function classes. \circ 1998 Academic Press

 $\frac{1}{2}$ variations on the generalized variations on the generalized variations on the generalized variations. It is shown that

INTRODUCTION

1. Throughout this paper we use the following general notations: N , Z_{+} , and Z are the sets of positive integers, nonnegative integers, and integers, respectively. $L[a, b]$ is the space of integrable functions on [a, b]. $W[a, b]$ is the space of functions on [a, b] which may have discontinuities only of the first kind and which are normalized by the condition $f(x)$ = $(f(x+)+f(x-))/2$ (here and elsewhere $f(x+)$ and $f(x-)$) denote the right- and left-hand-side limits of the function f at a point x). In addition, we assume that $f \in W[a, b]$ is continuous at the end points of the interval [a, b], i.e., $f(a) = f(a+)$ and $f(b) = f(b-)$, whenever f is not periodically continued outside of the interval. $C[a, b]$ is the space of continuous functions on [a, b] with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{C[a,b]}$ and H_n is the set of algebraic polynomials of degree at most $n (ne \mathbb{Z}_{+})$ with real coefficients.

$$
\omega(f; \delta; [a, b]) = \max\{|f(x) - f(t)| : x, t \in [a, b] \text{ and } |x - t| \le \delta\}
$$
 (1)

is the modulus of continuity of $f \in C[a, b]$ on $[a, b]$.

If $g \in L[-\pi, \pi]$, g has a Fourier series with respect to the trigonometric system $\{1, \cos n\theta, \sin n\theta\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and we denote the *n*th partial sum of the

Fourier series of g by $S_n(g; \theta)$. By $\tilde{S}_n(g; \theta)$ we denote the *n*th partial sum of the conjugate series, i.e.,

$$
\widetilde{S}_n(g; \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k(g) \sin k\theta - b_k(g) \cos k\theta),
$$

where $a_k(g)$ and $b_k(g)$ are the Fourier coefficients of the function g.

We say that w is a generalized Jacobi weight, i.e., $w \in GJ$, if

$$
\mathbf{w}(t) = h(t)(1-t)^{\alpha} (1+t)^{\beta} |t-x_1|^{\delta_1} \cdots |t-x_M|^{\delta_M},
$$
 (2)

$$
h \in C[-1, 1], \quad h(t) > 0 \quad (|t| \leq 1), \quad \omega(h; t; [-1, 1]) \ t^{-1} \in L[0, 1], \tag{3}
$$

$$
-1 < x_1 < \dots < x_M < 1, \qquad \alpha, \beta, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_M > -1. \tag{4}
$$

By $\sigma(\mathbf{w}) = (P_n(\mathbf{w}; x))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ we denote the system of algebraic polynomials $P_n(\mathbf{w}; x) = \gamma_n(\mathbf{w}) x^n +$ lower degree terms with positive leading coefficients $\gamma_n(\mathbf{w})$, which are orthonormal on $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the weight $w \in GJ$, i.e.,

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_n(\mathbf{w}; t) P_m(\mathbf{w}; t) \mathbf{w}(t) dt = \delta_{nm}.
$$

Such polynomials are called the generalized Jacobi polynomials and their properties are discussed, for example, in [2, 3, and 11].

If $f \mathbf{w} \in L[-1, 1]$, $\mathbf{w} \in GJ$, then f has a Fourier series with respect to the system $\sigma(\mathbf{w})$, and by $S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x)$ we denote the *n*th partial sum of the Fourier series of f with respect to the system $\sigma(\mathbf{w})$, i.e.,

$$
S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(\mathbf{w}; f) \ P_k(\mathbf{w}; x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(t) \ K_n(\mathbf{w}; x; t) \ \mathbf{w}(t) \ dt,
$$

where

$$
a_k(\mathbf{w};f) = \int_{-1}^1 f(t) \ P_k(\mathbf{w};t) \ \mathbf{w}(t) \ dt
$$

is the k th Fourier coefficient of the function f , and

$$
K_n(\mathbf{w}; x; t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k(\mathbf{w}; x) P_k(\mathbf{w}; t)
$$

is the Dirichlet kernel of the system $\sigma(\mathbf{w})$.

When $h(t) \equiv 1, |t| \le 1$, and $M=0$ (i.e., a weight does not have singularities strictly inside of the segment $(-1, 1)$), $w \in GJ$ is called a Jacobi weight, and in this case we use the commonly accepted notation " (α, β) " instead of "w"

throughout. For example, we write $S_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f; x)$ instead of $S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x)$, and so on.

By K we denote positive constants, possibly depending on some fixed parameters, and in general distinct in different formulae. Sometimes the important arguments of K will be written explicitly in the expressions for it. For positive quantities A_n and B_n , possibly depending on some other variables as well, we write $A_n = o(B_n)$ and $A_n = O(B_n)$, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n / B_n = 0$ and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n / B_n < \infty$, respectively.

The following are some generalizations of the notion of bounded variation of a function.

DEFINITION 1 [21]. Let Φ be a strictly increasing continuous function on [0, ∞) and $\Phi(0)=0$. A function f is said to have Φ -bounded variation on [a, b], i.e., $f \in V_{\phi}[a, b]$, if

$$
v_{\phi}(f; [a, b]) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Phi(|f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})|) < \infty,
$$

where $\Pi = \{a \leq x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n \leq b\}$ is an arbitrary partition of [a, b].

If $\Phi(x) = x$, then $V_{\phi}[a, b]$ coincides with the Jordan class $V[a, b]$ of functions of bounded variation, and if $\Phi(x) = x^p$, $p > 1$, it coincides with the Wiener [20] class $V_p[a, b]$.

DEFINITION 2 [18]. Let $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/\lambda_k = \infty$. A function f is said to have A-bounded variation on [a, b], i.e., $f \in ABV[a, b]$, if

$$
v_{\Lambda}(f; [a, b]) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{|f(x_{2k+1}) - f(x_{2k})|}{\lambda_k} < \infty,
$$

where Π is an arbitrary system of disjoint intervals $(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \subset [a, b]$.

If $\lambda_k = 1$, $k \in N$, then obviously $ABV[a, b] = V[a, b]$.

We say that a function f is of harmonic bounded variation on $[a, b]$, i.e., $f \in HBV[a, b]$, if $\lambda_k = k, k \in N$.

DEFINITION 3 [5]. Let f be a bounded function defined on [a, b]. The modulus of variation of the function f is the function $v(n; f; [a, b])$ defined for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ as follows: $v(0; f; [a, b]) = 0$, while for $n \ge 1$,

$$
v(n; f; [a, b]) = \sup_{\Pi_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(x_{2k+1}) - f(x_{2k})|,
$$

where Π_n is an arbitrary system of *n* disjoint intervals $(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \subset [a, b],$ $k=0, 1, ..., n-1.$

If $v(n)$, $n \in N$, is a nondecreasing upwards convex function and $v(0)=0$, then we call $v(n)$ a modulus of variation.

The class of functions which satisfy the relation $v(n; f; [a, b]) = O(v(n))$ will be denoted by $V[v; [a, b]]$.

In particular, $V[1; [a, b]] = V[a, b].$

DEFINITION 4 (cf. [22, p. 16]). We say that a function Φ has the complementary function Ψ in the sense of W. H. Young, if

$$
\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(x) = \int_0^x \psi(t) dt,
$$

where ϕ is a strictly increasing continuous function on [0, ∞), $\phi(0)=0$, and $\psi(x)=\phi^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$.

If there is no ambiguity, we shall usually omit the dependence on the domain and simply refer to one of the introduced classes of functions or the quantities as L, W, ..., or $v_{\phi}(f)$, $v_{\phi}(f)$, etc.

2. The identity determining the jumps of a function of bounded variation by means of its differentiated Fourier partial sums has been known for a long time:

THEOREM FC ([4, 7]). Let $g \in V$ be a 2π -periodic function. Then the following identity

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(S_n(g; \theta))'}{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(g(\theta +) - g(\theta -) \right) \tag{5}
$$

is valid for every fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

Let us mention that the jumps of $g \in L$ can be determined directly from its conjugate Fourier partial sums as well (cf. [22, Theorem (8.13), p. 60]).

Golubov [9] generalized identity (5) for V_p classes of functions and higher derivatives of the Fourier partial sums.

THEOREM G1 [9, Theorem 1, p. 444]. Let $r \in Z_+$ and suppose $g \in V_p$ for some $p\geq 1$. Then

(a) the identity

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(S_n(g; \theta))^{(2r+1)}}{n^{2r+1}} = \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r+1)\pi} \left(g(\theta +) - g(\theta -) \right) \tag{6}
$$

is valid for every fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in V_p$, $p \geqslant 1$, by means of the sequence $((S_n(g; \theta))^{(2r)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

THEOREM G2 [9, Theorem 2, p. 445]. Let $r \in N$ and suppose $g \in V_p$ for some $p\geqslant 1$. Then

(a) the identity

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\tilde{S}_n(g; \theta))^{(2r)}}{n^{2r}} = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{2r\pi} (g(\theta +) - g(\theta -))
$$
(7)

is valid for every fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in V_p$, $p \geqslant 1$, by means of the sequence $((\tilde{S}_n(g; \theta))^{(2r-1)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

MAIN RESULTS

In the present paper we extend identities (6) and (7) to the larger classes of functions such as V_{ϕ} , ABV, and V[v], under some conditions on the generalized variations of a function. We investigate definitiveness of these conditions as well.

Furthermore, we establish an identity which determines the jumps of a bounded function by means of its differentiated Fourier partial sums with respect to the system of generalized Jacobi polynomials. The identity is studied for the same classes of functions of generalized bounded variation.

THEOREM 1. Let $r \in Z_+$ and suppose ABV is the class of functions of A-bounded variation determined by the sequence $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then

(a) the identity

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(S_n(g; \theta))^{(2r+1)}}{n^{2r+1}} = \frac{(-1)^r}{(2r+1)\pi} (g(\theta +) - g(\theta -))
$$
(8)

is valid for every $g \in ABV$ and each fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ if and only if

$$
ABV \subseteq HBV. \tag{9}
$$

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in ABV$ by means of the sequence $((S_n(g; \theta))^{(2r)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

THEOREM 2. Let $r \in Z_+$ and suppose $V[v]$ is the class of functions determined by a given modulus of variation $v(n)$. Then

(a) identity (8) is valid for every $g \in V[v]$ and each fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} < \infty. \tag{10}
$$

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in V[v]$ by means of the sequence $((S_n(g; \theta))^{(2r)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

THEOREM 3. Let $r \in Z_+$ and suppose V_{ϕ} is the class of functions of Φ -bounded variation, where Φ has the complementary function Ψ in the sense of Young (see Definition 4). Then

(a) identity (8) is valid for every $g \in V_\phi$ and each fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi(1/k) < \infty,\tag{11}
$$

$$
\int_0^1 \ln(1/\Phi(x)) \, dx < \infty,\tag{12}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \Phi^{-1}(1/k) < \infty,\tag{13}
$$

where Φ^{-1} is inverse of Φ .

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in V_{\phi}$ by means of the sequence $((S_n(g; \theta))^{(2r)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

The following three theorems are generalizations of Theorem G2.

THEOREM 4. Let $r \in N$ and suppose ABV is the class of functions of A-bounded variation determined by the sequence $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then

(a) the identity

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\tilde{S}_n(g; \theta))^{(2r)}}{n^{2r}} = \frac{(-1)^{(r+1)}}{2r\pi} (g(\theta +) - g(\theta -))
$$
(14)

is valid for every $g \in ABV$ and each fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ if and only if condition (9) holds.

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in ABV$ by means of the sequence $((\tilde{S}_n(g; \theta))^{(2r-1)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

THEOREM 5. Let $r \in N$ and suppose $V[v]$ is the class of functions determined by a given modulus of variation $v(n)$. Then

(a) the identity (14) is valid for every $g \in V[v]$ and each fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ if and only if condition (10) holds.

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in V[v]$ by means of the sequence $((\tilde{S}_n(g; \theta))^{(2r-1)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

THEOREM 6. Let $r \in N$ and suppose V_{ϕ} is the class of functions of Φ -bounded variation, where Φ has the complementary function Ψ in the sense of Young. Then

(a) identity (14) is valid for every $g \in V_\phi$ and each fixed $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ if and only if condition (11) , (12) , or (13) holds.

(b) There is no way to determine the jump at the point $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ of an arbitrary function $g \in V_{\phi}$ by means of the sequence $((\tilde{S}_n(g; \theta))^{(2r-1)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

The following theorems establish an identity which determines the jumps of a bounded function by means of its differentiated Fourier partial sums with respect to the system of generalized Jacobi polynomials.

THEOREM 7. Let $r \in Z_+$, $w \in GJ$, and suppose ABV is the class of functions of A-bounded variation determined by the sequence $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then the identity

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x))^{(2r+1)}}{n^{2r+1}} = \frac{(-1)^r (1 - x^2)^{-r-1/2}}{(2r+1)\pi} (f(x+)-f(x-)) \tag{15}
$$

is valid for every $f \in ABV$ and each fixed $x \in (-1, 1)$, $x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, if condition (9) holds.

If, in addition, the weight $w \in GJ$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\alpha \ge -1/2, \quad \beta \ge -1/2, \quad \delta_1 \ge 0, ..., \delta_M \ge 0, \quad \omega(h; t) \ t^{-1} \ln t \in L[0, 1],
$$
\n(16)

then condition (9) is necessary for the validity of identity (15) for every $f \in ABV$ and each fixed $x \in (-1, 1), x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, as well.

THEOREM 8. Let $r \in Z_+$, $w \in GJ$, and suppose $V[v]$ is the class of functions determined by a modulus of variation $v(n)$. Then identity (15) is valid for every $f \in V[v]$ and each fixed $x \in (-1, 1)$, $x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, if condition (10) holds.

If, in addition, the weight $w \in GJ$ satisfies condition (16), then condition (10) is necessary for the validity of identity (15) for every $f \in V[v]$ and each fixed $x \in (-1, 1), x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, as well.

THEOREM 9. Let $r \in Z_+$, $w \in GJ$, and suppose V_{ϕ} is the class of functions of Φ -bounded variation, where Φ has the complementary function Ψ in the sense of Young. Then identity (15) is valid for every $f \in V_{\phi}$ and each fixed $x \in (-1, 1), x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, if condition (11), (12), or (13) holds.

If, in addition, the weight $w \in GJ$ satisfies condition (16), then conditions (11), (12), and (13) are necessary for the validity of identity (15) for every $f \in V_{\Phi}$ and each fixed $x \in (-1, 1), x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, as well.

Theorems 7, 8, and 9 imply criteria for the continuity of a function of V_{ϕ} , ABV, and V[v] classes analogous to the Wiener's criterion for the continuity of a function of bounded variation (see [20, p. 81] or [22, Thm. (9.6), p. 108]).

COROLLARY 1. Let $w \in GJ$ and suppose $f \in HBV$. If the Fourier coefficients of the function f with respect to the system $\sigma(w)$ for some $r \in Z_+$ satisfy the condition

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2r+1} |a_k(\mathbf{w};f)| = o(n^{2r+1}),
$$
\n(17)

then f is continuous at each point $x \in [-1, 1]$, $x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$. In particular, if a weight $w \in GJ$ is such that

$$
M = 0,\t(18)
$$

then condition (17) implies that $f \in C$.

COROLLARY 2. Let $w \in GJ$ and suppose $f \in V[v]$, where $v(n)$ satisfies condition (10). If the Fourier coefficients of f with respect to $\sigma(w)$ for some $r \in Z_+$ satisfy condition (17), then f is continuous at each point $x \in [-1, 1]$, $x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$.

In particular, if a weight $w \in GJ$ satisfies condition (18), then condition (17) implies that $f \in C$.

COROLLARY 3. Let $w \in GJ$ and suppose $f \in V_{\phi}$, where Φ satisfies condition (11), (12), or (13). If the Fourier coefficients of f with respect to $\sigma(w)$ for some $r \in Z_+$ satisfy condition (17), then f is continuous at each point $x \in [-1, 1]$, $x \neq x_1$, ..., x_M .

In particular, if a weight $w \in GJ$ satisfies condition (18), then condition (17) implies that $f \in C$.

PRELIMINARIES

For a given weight $w \in GJ$ we always assume that $x_0 = -1$ and $x_{M+1} = 1$. In addition, for a fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, (x_{\nu+1}-x_{\nu})/2), v=0, 1, ..., M$, we set

$$
\Delta(\nu;\varepsilon) = [x_{\nu} + \varepsilon; x_{\nu+1} - \varepsilon]. \tag{19}
$$

If $K_n(w; x; t)$ is the Dirichlet kernel of the system $\sigma(w)$, then the Christoffel-Darboux formula

$$
(x-t) K_n(\mathbf{w}; x; t) = (\gamma_{n-1}(\mathbf{w})/\gamma_n(\mathbf{w}))(P_n(\mathbf{w}; x) P_{n-1}(\mathbf{w}; t)
$$

$$
-P_{n-1}(\mathbf{w}; x) P_n(\mathbf{w}; t))
$$
(20)

holds for $x, t \in [-1, 1]$ and $n \in N$, where $\gamma_{n-1}(\mathbf{w})/\gamma_n(\mathbf{w}) \leq 2$ for $n \in N$ (cf. [8, formula (4.3), p. 24 and Lemma 7.2, p. 41]).

Let $w \in GJ$. Then the inequality

$$
|P_{n-1}(\mathbf{w};x)| < K(\mathbf{w}) \left(\sqrt{1-x} + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\alpha - 1/2} \left(\sqrt{1+x} + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\beta - 1/2}
$$

$$
\times \prod_{\nu=1}^{M} \left(|x - x_{\nu}| + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-\delta_{\nu}/2}
$$
(21)

holds for $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $n \in N$ [2, Coro. 2.1, p. 25].

Let the weight $\rho \in GJ$ be such that $h(t) = 1, |t| \leq 1$. Then the asymptotic formula [3, Coro. 12, p. 38]

$$
P_n(\rho; \cos \theta) = (2/[\pi \varphi(\theta)])^{1/2} (\cos[\pi \theta - \gamma(\theta)] + o(1)) \tag{22}
$$

holds as $n \to \infty$, uniformly for $\cos \theta \in \Delta(v; \varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, (x_{\nu+1}-x_{\nu})/2)$, and $v = 0, 1, ..., M$, where $\gamma(\theta) = (\alpha + \beta + \delta_1 + ... + \delta_M + 1) \theta/2 - (\alpha/2 + 1/4) \pi$ and $\varphi(\theta) = \rho(\cos \theta) |\sin \theta|$.

PROPOSITION. Let the weight $\rho \in GJ$ be such that $h(t) \equiv 1, |t| \leq 1$, and suppose $f \in HBV$. Then for a fixed $v = 0, 1, ..., M$ and a fixed $\varepsilon \in$ $(0, (x_{v+1}-x_v)/2)$ there exists a constant $K(f; v; \varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\sup_{n \in N} \|S_n(\rho; f; \cdot)\|_{C[d(v; \varepsilon)]} < K(f; v; \varepsilon). \tag{23}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
|S_n(\rho; f; x)| \le |S_n(\rho; f; x) - S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; x)|
$$

+
$$
|S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; x) - f(x)| + |f(x)| = J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
$$
 (24)

Taking into account that f is bounded, the estimate

$$
J_3 < K(f) \tag{25}
$$

is obvious.

There are numerous results about estimates of the differences $S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x)$ $-S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; x)$ for $w \in GJ$ (see [2, Section 4, p. 42] and the indicated references).

The estimate

$$
J_1 = \|S_n(\rho; f; \cdot) - S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; \cdot)\|_{C[d(v; \varepsilon)]} = o(1) \tag{26}
$$

can be easily deduced from a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 9.1.2 [17, p. 246], utilizing the asymptotic formula (22).

Further, we use the following estimate for a bounded integrable function f [2, Lemma 3.1, p. 33]: Let $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $y = \arccos x$. Then

$$
|S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; x) - f(x)| \le \frac{n}{\pi^2} \sum_{|k|=1}^n \frac{|A_k|}{k} + K \sup_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x)| \qquad (27)
$$

for $n \in N$, uniformly with respect to f and x, where

$$
A_k = \int_0^{\pi/(2n+1)} \left(g(\gamma_k + \tau) - g(\gamma_k - \tau) \right) \sin \frac{2n+1}{2} \tau \, d\tau,\tag{28}
$$

$$
y_k = y + 2\pi k/(2n + 1) \qquad (k \in \mathbb{Z}),
$$
 (29)

and the function g is defined by

$$
g(\theta) = f(\cos \theta). \tag{30}
$$

Hence by (27) and (28)

$$
J_2 \leq \frac{n}{\pi^2} \sum_{|k|=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\pi/(2n+1)} |g(y_k + \tau) - g(y_k - \tau)| d\tau + K \sup_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x)|
$$

= $J_{21} + J_{22}$. (31)

$$
g_k = \sup_{\tau \in [0, \pi/(2n+1)]} |g(y_k + \tau) - g(y_k - \tau)|. \tag{32}
$$

Since for $\tau \in [0, \pi/(2n+1)]$ and $k, m=1, ..., n, k \neq m$, we have

$$
(y \pm 2k\pi/(2n+1) - \tau, y \pm 2k\pi/(2n+1) + \tau)
$$

$$
\cap (y \pm 2m\pi/(2n+1) - \tau, y \pm 2m\pi/(2n+1) + \tau) = \emptyset,
$$

it follows from (31), (32), and Definition 2 that

$$
J_{21} \leqslant \frac{n}{(2n+1)\pi} \sum_{|k|=1}^{n} \frac{g_k}{k} \leqslant Kv_H(g) = Kv_H(f) < \infty,\tag{33}
$$

since the variation of a function does not change under a monotonic transformation of a variable.

Consequently, in view of $(24-26)$, (31) , and (33) we obtain the desired inequality, and the proposition is proved. \blacksquare

LEMMA 1 [16, Theorem 2.5, pp. 429, 430]. The sets $C[a, b] \cap V_{\phi}[a, b]$, $C[a, b] \cap ABV[a, b]$, and $C[a, b] \cap V[v; [a, b]]$ form Banach spaces with norms

$$
||f||_{\phi} = \inf \{ \eta > 0 \colon v_{\phi}(f/\eta; [a, b]) \leq 1 \} + |f(a)|,
$$
 (34)

$$
||f||_A = v_A(f; [a, b]) + |f(a)|,
$$
\n(35)

and

$$
||f||_{V} = \sup_{n \in N} \frac{v(n; f; [a, b])}{v(n)} + |f(a)|,
$$
\n(36)

respectively.

Regarding (34) and (35) see also $[10, p. 32]$ and $[18, p. 108]$.

DEFINITION 5 [19]. Let $A(e) = (\lambda_{k+e})_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $e \in N$, where the sequence $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 2. A function $f \in ABV$ is said to be continuous in A-variation, i.e, $f \in A_C BV$, if $v_{A(e)}(f) = o(1)$ as $e \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 2 [15, Theorem 1, p. 88]. Let a sequence $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, satisfying the conditions of Definition 2, be such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k/\lambda_{2k}$ exists. Then $A_C BV = ABV$ if and only if

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{2k}} < 1.
$$

PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1(a): *Sufficiency*. Let us assume that $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ is fixed and $g \in HBV$. It is known [18, p. 108] that

$$
ABV[a, b] \subset W[a, b]
$$

for an arbitrary $ABV[a, b]$ class. So, the Fourier series of a function $g \in HBV$ is defined.

Obviously, by means of a change of variables, the problem can always be reduced to the case $\theta = 0$.

As shown in [9, p. 447] for the saw tooth function $G_0(\theta) = (\pi - \theta)/2 \in V$, $0 < \theta < 2\pi$, and $G_0(\theta) = G_0(\theta + 2\pi)$, which has the jump of π at the point $\theta = 0$, the following identity holds:

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(S_n(G_0; 0))^{(2r+1)}}{n^{2r+1}} = \frac{(-1)^r}{2r+1}.
$$

Next, for a given function $g \in HBV$ with a jump at $\theta = 0$, let us set

$$
G(\theta) = g(\theta) - \frac{g(\theta +) - g(\theta -)}{\pi} G_0(\theta).
$$

Obviously G is continuous at $\theta = 0$ and $G \in HBV$. We can assume as well that $G(0)=0$ since identity (8) is invariant with respect to subtraction of a constant from a function. Hence, it is left to show that if $g \in HBV$, $g(0)=0$, and g is continuous at $\theta=0$, then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(S_n(g; 0))^{(2r+1)}}{n^{2r+1}} = 0.
$$
\n(37)

If $D_n(\tau)$ is the Dirichlet kernel, i.e.,

$$
D_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos k\tau,
$$

then the following representation is valid $[22,$ formula (5.2), p. 50]

$$
D_n(\tau) = \frac{\sin n\tau}{\tau} + \zeta(\tau) \sin n\tau + \frac{1}{2}\cos n\tau \qquad (|\tau| \leq \pi),
$$
 (38)

where $\zeta(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \cot(\tau/2) - (1/\tau)$ for $0 < |\tau| \le \pi$ and $\zeta(0) = 0$. It is known that ξ is analytic on the interval $(-2\pi, 2\pi)$, so $\max_{|\tau| \leq \pi} |(\xi(\tau))^{(k)}| < \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

From (38) follows $(r \in N)$

$$
(D_n(\tau))^{(r)} = n^r \frac{\sin(n\tau + r\pi/2)}{\tau}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} {r \choose i} n^i \sin(n\tau + i\pi/2)(-1)^{r-i} (r-i)! \tau^{i-r-1}
$$

+
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r} {r \choose i} (\xi(\tau))^{(r-i)} n^i \sin(n\tau + i\pi/2)
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{2} n^r \cos(n\tau + r\pi/2).
$$
 (39)

Furthermore,

$$
(S_n(g; 0))^{(2r+1)} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\tau) (D_n(\tau))^{(2r+1)} d\tau
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi/2n}^{\pi/2n} + \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} + \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2n} \right) g(\tau) (D_n(\tau))^{(2r+1)} d\tau
$$

$$
= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
$$
 (40)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary fixed number. Then by virtue of Definition 5 and Lemma 2 there exists $0 < \varepsilon^* < 1$ such that

$$
-\varepsilon^* \ln \varepsilon^* + v_{H([1/\varepsilon^*])}(g) < \varepsilon,\tag{41}
$$

where $[a]$ means the integer part of a number a. Moreover, since g is continuous at $\theta = 0$ and $g(0) = 0$, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$
|g(\tau)| < \varepsilon^* \tag{42}
$$

whenever $|\tau| < \delta$. Now, let us take $N(\varepsilon) \in N$ so large that

$$
\frac{2}{\varepsilon^* n} \sup_{|\tau| \le \pi} (|g(\tau)| + \pi) < \delta \tag{43}
$$

holds for $n > N(\varepsilon)$.

Consequently, if $n > N(\varepsilon)$, by (43) we have $\pi/2n < \delta$. So then by (42)

$$
\frac{|I_{1}|}{n^{2r+1}} = \frac{1}{\pi n^{2r+1}} \left| \int_{-\pi/2n}^{\pi/2n} g(\tau) (D_{n}(\tau))^{(2r+1)} d\tau \right|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{\pi n^{2r+1}} \int_{-\pi/2n}^{\pi/2n} |g(\tau)| |(D_{n}(\tau))^{(2r+1)}| d\tau
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{\pi n^{2r+1}} \varepsilon^* \frac{\pi}{n} \max_{|\tau| \leq \pi} |(D_{n}(\tau))^{(2r+1)}|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{\varepsilon^*}{n^{2r+2}} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2r+1} < \varepsilon^*.
$$
 (44)

In addition, by (39) and (40) , we have

$$
-\frac{\pi I_2}{n^{2r+1}} = \frac{1}{n^{2r+1}} \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) (D_n(\tau))^{(2r+1)} d\tau
$$

\n
$$
= (-1)^r \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau + \sum_{i=0}^{2r} {2r+1 \choose i} n^{i-2r-1}
$$

\n
$$
\times (-1)^{2r+1-i} (2r+1-i)! \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) \frac{\sin(n\tau + i\pi/2)}{\tau^{2r+2-i}} d\tau
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{i=0}^{2r+1} {2r+1 \choose i} n^{i-2r-1} \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) (\xi(\tau))^{(2r+1-i)} \sin(n\tau + i\pi/2) d\tau
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{2} \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) \sin n\tau d\tau
$$

\n
$$
= I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23} + I_{24}.
$$

\n(45)

Let us estimate I_{21} . If

$$
\theta_{k,n} = \frac{\pi}{2n} + \frac{\pi(k-1)}{n}
$$
\n(46)

for $k = 1, 2, ..., n$, $\theta_{0,n} = 0$, and $\theta_{n+1,n} = \pi$, then by Abel's transformation we get

$$
(-1)^{r} I_{21} = \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\theta_{k+1,n}} g(\tau) \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\theta_{k+1,n}} (g(\tau) - g(\theta_{k,n})) \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} g(\theta_{k,n}) \int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\theta_{k+1,n}} \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\theta_{k+1,n}} (g(\tau) - g(\theta_{k,n})) \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (g(\theta_{k+1,n}) - g(\theta_{k,n})) \int_{\theta_{k+1,n}}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau.
$$
 (47)

Since

$$
\left|\int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau\right| \leq \left|\int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\theta_{k+1,n}} \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau\right|
$$

and

$$
\int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\theta_{k+1,n}} \left| \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} \right| d\tau \leq \frac{2}{k}
$$

for $k = 1, 2, ..., n$, then by (42), (43), (46), and (47) we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}|I_{21}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{g_{k,n}}{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{|g(\theta_{k+1,n}) - g(\theta_{k,n})|}{k+1} \leq \varepsilon^* + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{g_{k,n}}{k}
$$

for $n > N(\varepsilon)$, where $g_{k, n} = \sup_{\tau \in [\theta_{k, n}, \theta_{k+1, n}]} |g(\tau) - g(\theta_{k, n})|$. According to Definition 5 we have

$$
\frac{1}{4}|I_{21}| < \varepsilon^* + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{g_{k,n}}{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} \frac{g_{k+m,n}}{k+m} \leq \varepsilon^* + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{g_{k,n}}{k} + v_{H(m)}(g)
$$

for an arbitrary $m=1, 2, ..., n-1$.

Let us set $m = [1/\varepsilon^*]$. Then $\theta_{k,n} = \pi/2n + \pi(k-1)/n \leq 2\pi [1/\varepsilon^*]/n < \delta$ for $n>N(\varepsilon)$ and $k=1, 2, ..., m+1$ (see (43)). So by (42) $g_{k,n} \le 2\varepsilon^*$, $k=1, 2, ..., m+1$, and taking into account (41) we obtain

$$
|I_{21}| \leq K \left(\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon^* \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil 1/\varepsilon^* \rceil} \frac{1}{k} + v_{H(\lceil 1/\varepsilon^* \rceil)}(g) \right) < K\varepsilon. \tag{48}
$$

Now from (45) we have

$$
|I_{22}| \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{2r} {2r+1 \choose i} n^{i-2r-1} (2r+1-i)! \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} \frac{|g(\tau)|}{\tau^{2r+2-i}} d\tau.
$$

So for $n > N(\varepsilon)$ and $i = 0, 1, ..., 2r$, by (42) and (43) we have

$$
n^{i-2r-1} \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} \frac{|g(\tau)|}{\tau^{2r+2-i}} d\tau = n^{i-2r-1} \left(\int_{\pi/2n}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \right) \frac{|g(\tau)|}{\tau^{2r+2-i}} d\tau
$$

\$\leqslant Kn^{i-2r-1} \left(\varepsilon * n^{2r+1-i} + \frac{1}{\delta^{2r+1-i}} \sup_{|\tau| \leqslant \pi} |g(\tau)| \right)\$
\$\leqslant K \left(\varepsilon * + \frac{1}{n\delta} \sup_{|\tau| \leqslant \pi} |g(\tau)| \right) < K\varepsilon *\$.

Hence

$$
|I_{22}| < K\varepsilon^* \sum_{i=0}^{2r} \binom{2r+1}{i} (2r+1-i)! < K(r) \varepsilon^*.\tag{49}
$$

It is obvious that by increasing $N(\varepsilon)$, if necessary, in view of (45) and the Riemann–Lebesgue Theorem $[14, Thm. (4.4), p. 45]$, we get

$$
|I_{23}| + |I_{24}| < \sum_{i=0}^{2r} {2r+1 \choose i} n^{i-2r-1} \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} |g(\tau)(\xi(\tau))^{(2r+1-i)}| d\tau + \left| \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) \xi(\tau) \cos n\tau d\tau \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} g(\tau) \sin n\tau d\tau \right| < \varepsilon
$$
 (50)

for $n > N(\varepsilon)$.

Hence, by virtue of (45), (48), (49), and (50) we have $|I_2|/n^{2r+1} < K(r)$ ε . Then by symmetry we get $|I_3|/n^{2r+1} < K(r) \varepsilon$ as well. So, taking into account (40) and (44), we obtain

$$
\frac{|(S_n(g; 0))^{(2r+1)}|}{n^{2r+1}} < K(r) \varepsilon
$$

for $n > N(\varepsilon)$. Since ε is arbitrary, (37) follows and sufficiency of assertion (a) of Theorem 1 is proved.

Necessity. If condition (9) does not hold, then (see $[13, 13]$ Proof of Thm. 3, p. 116]) there exists a decreasing sequence of positive numbers $(c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $c_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{\lambda_k} < \infty \tag{51}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{k} = \infty.
$$
\n(52)

Let us, for a fixed $r \in Z_+$, consider a sequence of linear functionals $S_n(g)=(S_n(g; 0))^{(2r+1)/n^{2r+1}}$, $n \in N$, defined on the Banach space $C \cap ABV$ with norm (35). We shall show that the sequence of norms of functionals $(\Vert S_n \Vert)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not bounded. Then the existence of $g_0 \in C \cap ABV$ such that

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|(S_n(g_0; 0))^{(2r+1)}|}{n^{2r+1}} > 0
$$
\n(53)

immediately follows from Banach–Steinhaus Theorem. For this purpose let us define functions g_n as follows:

$$
g_n(\tau) = \begin{cases} c_k \cos n\tau & \text{for } \tau \in [\theta_{k,n}, \theta_{k+1,n}], k = 1, 2, ..., n-1, \\ 0 & \text{for all other } \tau \in [-\pi, \pi], \end{cases}
$$
(54)

where $\theta_{k,n}$ is defined by (46) and $n \in N$.

It follows from (51) and (54) that $g_n \in C$ and $||g_n||_A < K$, $n \in N$. Meanwhile, combining (39), (40), (44), (45), (49), and (50), we have

$$
|S_n(g)| > \left| \left(\int_{-\pi}^{-\pi/2n} + \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi} \right) g(\tau) \frac{\cos n\tau}{\tau} d\tau \right| - K(r) \|g\|_{C[-\pi, \pi]} \qquad (55)
$$

for $n \in N$. Hence, according to (54) we have

$$
|S_n(g_n)| > \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{\theta_{k,n}}^{\theta_{k+1,n}} \frac{\cos^2 nt}{\tau} d\tau - Kc_1 > K_1 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{c_k}{k} - K_2.
$$
 (56)

Then by (52) and (56) $||S_n|| \ge |S_n(g_n)|/||g_n||_A \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and the necessity of statement (a) of Theorem 1 is proved. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 2(a): Sufficiency. If a modulus of variation $v(n)$ satisfies (10), then $V[v] \subset HBV$ [1, Thm. 2, p. 232] (just set $\lambda_k = k$, $k \in N$). Hence, sufficiency of condition (10) immediately follows from Theorem 1.

Necessity. Suppose that condition (10) does not hold, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} = \infty.
$$
 (57)

Applying Abel's transformation it is trivial to check that (57) implies

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k) - v(k-1)}{k} = \infty.
$$
\n(58)

Again we apply the idea of unboundedness of the sequence of linear functionals $(S_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined on the Banach space $C \cap V[v]$ with norm (36). Following the construction of the counterexample for Theorem 1, let us consider the sequence of functions (54), where $c_k=v(k)-v(k-1), k \in N$.

Since $g_n \in C$ and $||g_n||_V < K$ for $n \in N$, the rest of the proof follows from (56) and (58) .

Proof of Theorem 3(a): Sufficiency. It is known (see [6, Proof of Coro. 3, p. 479] and [12, p. 620]) that conditions (11), (12), and (13) are equivalent. At the same time, condition (11) implies the following inclusion $\lceil 18 \rceil$, Thm. 1, p. 112]: $V_{\phi} \subset HBV$. The rest of the proof follows from Theorem 1.

Necessity. Let us assume that condition (11) does not hold, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi(1/k) = \infty.
$$
 (59)

As is obvious, we consider the same sequence of linear functionals S_n , but now on the Banach space $C \cap V_{\phi}$ with norm (34). Again, we consider the sequence of functions defined by (54), where now $c_k = \psi(1/k)$, $k \in N$ (see Definition 4).

Since the functions g_n are continuous by construction, let us estimate $\|g_{n}\|_{\phi}$. Obviously, by the convexity of ϕ , we have

$$
v_{\phi}(g_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \Phi(\psi(1/k) - \psi(1/(k+1)))
$$

$$
< \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (\Phi(\psi(1/k)) - \Phi(\psi(1/(k+1)))) < \Phi(\psi(1)),
$$

and consequently $\|g_n\|_{\phi} < K$ for $n \in N$. To complete the proof it suffices to estimate $S_n(g_n)$. From (56) we have

$$
S_n(g_n) > K_1 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\psi(1/k)}{k} - K_2. \tag{60}
$$

But it is known $\lceil 12$, conditions (1) and (5) , pp. 619, 620] that condition (11) is also equivalent to the condition

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(1/k)}{k} < \infty. \tag{61}
$$

Hence, (59) implies the divergence of series (61), and by (60), the unboundedness of $S_n(g_n)$. The rest of the proof follows from the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem. \blacksquare

As to assertions (b) of Theorems 1-3, it was mentioned in [9, p. 448] that for any odd function $g \in L$, $(S_n(g; 0))^{(2r)} = 0$ $(r, n \in Z_+)$, independent of the existence of a jump of a function g at $\theta = 0$.

Theorems 4–6 are proved virtually identically, and so we omit the proofs.

Proof of Theorem 7. The following is an outline of the proof: First we establish the uniform equiconvergence of Fourier-Tchebycheff series and Fourier series with respect to the system of generalized Jacobi polynomials for an arbitrary function $f \in HBV$ strictly inside of the interval $[x_v, x_{v+1}]$, $v = 0, 1, ..., M$; then, applying Bernstein's inequality for polynomials, we obtain:

$$
\|(S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; \cdot) - S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; \cdot))^{(r)}\|_{C[\mathcal{A}(v; \varepsilon)]} = o(n^r) \tag{62}
$$

for every $f \in HBV$, fixed $v = 0, 1, ..., M$, and $r \in Z_+$, where $\varepsilon \in (0, (x_{v+1} - x_v)/2)$, and $\Delta(v; \varepsilon)$ is defined by (19).

Finally, we shall prove identity (15) for the Fourier-Tchebycheff series of a function $f \in HBV$.

Taking into account (26), for the first step it suffices to show the following: Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{GJ}$, $\mathbf{w}(t)/h(t) = \rho(t)$, and suppose $f \in HBV$. Then

$$
\|S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; \cdot) - S_n(\rho; f; \cdot)\|_{C[d(v; \varepsilon)]} = o(1)
$$
 (63)

for every fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, (x_{\nu+1}-x_{\nu})/2), v=0, 1, ..., M$.

Indeed, since $S_n(\mathbf{w}; Q_n; x) = Q_n(x)$ and the difference $R_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x) = f(x)$ $-S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x)$ is orthogonal with respect to the weight $\rho(t)=(1-t)^{\alpha}$ $(1+t)^{\beta}$ $|t-x_1|^{\delta}$ \cdots $|t-x_M|^{\delta_M}$ to all $Q_n \in H_n$, we have

$$
S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x) - S_n(\rho; f; x)
$$

= $\int_{-1}^{1} R_n(\rho; f; t) [h(t) - h(x)] K_n(\mathbf{w}; x; t) \rho(t) dt = J.$ (64)

Next, by the Christoffel-Darboux formula (20) and by virtue of (64) we get

$$
J < \int_{-1}^{1} |R_n(\rho; f; t)| \frac{|h(t) - h(x)|}{|t - x|} (|P_n(\mathbf{w}; x)| |P_{n-1}(\mathbf{w}; t)|
$$

+ |P_{n-1}(\mathbf{w}; x)| |P_n(\mathbf{w}; t)|) \rho(t) dt
= J¹ + J². (65)

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, (x_{\nu+1}-x_{\nu})/2)$ be fixed and

$$
x \in \varDelta(v; \varepsilon). \tag{66}
$$

Now, by (1), (21), (65), and (66), we have

$$
J^{1} < K(\varepsilon) \left(\int_{[-1,1] \setminus \Delta(v;\,\varepsilon/2)} + \int_{\Delta(v;\,\varepsilon/2)} \right) |R_{n}(\rho;f;\,t)| \, \frac{\omega(h;\,|t-x|)}{|t-x|} \, \bar{\rho}(t) \, dt
$$
\n
$$
= J^{11} + J^{12},\tag{67}
$$

where the weight $\bar{\rho}(t) = (1-t)^{\bar{\alpha}} (1+t)^{\bar{\beta}} |t-x_1|^{\bar{\delta}_1} \cdots |t-x_M|^{\bar{\delta}_M}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\bar{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } -1 < \alpha < -1/2, \\ \alpha/2 - 1/4, & \text{if } \alpha \ge -1/2, \end{cases} \tag{68}
$$

$$
\bar{\beta} = \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if } -1 < \beta < -1/2, \\ \beta/2 - 1/4, & \text{if } \beta \ge -1/2, \end{cases} \tag{69}
$$

$$
\bar{\delta}_v = \begin{cases} \delta_v & \text{if } -1 < \delta_v < 0, \\ \delta_v / 2, & \text{if } \delta_v \ge 0. \end{cases} \tag{70}
$$

By (66), $|t-x|>\epsilon/2$ for J^{11} . Consequently, using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
J^{11} < K(\varepsilon) \int_{[-1,1]\setminus A(v;\,\varepsilon/2)} |R_n(\rho; f; t)| \,\bar{\rho}(t) \, dt
$$
\n
$$
\left\langle K(\varepsilon) \int_{-1}^1 |R_n(\rho; f; t)| \, (\rho(t))^{1/2} \left\{ \bar{\rho}(t) (\rho(t))^{-1/2} \right\} \, dt \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\left\langle K(\varepsilon) \left(\int_{-1}^1 (R_n(\rho; f; t))^2 \, \rho(t) \, dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-1}^1 (\bar{\rho}(t))^2 \, (\rho(t))^{-1} \, dt \right)^{1/2} . \right. \tag{71}
$$

But $(\bar{\rho}(t))^2 (\rho(t))^{-1} \in L$ (see (68)–(70)), and by the completeness of the system $\sigma(\rho)$ [17, Thm. 3.1.5, p. 40], we obtain

$$
J^{11} = o(1). \tag{72}
$$

Regarding J^{12} , by (21), (66), and (67) we have

$$
J^{12} < K(\varepsilon) \int_{A(v;\,\varepsilon/2)} |R_n(\rho; f;\, t)| \, \frac{\omega(h;\, |t-x|)}{|t-x|} \, dt. \tag{73}
$$

Since for $f \in HBV$ $R_n(\rho; f; x) = o(1)$ for $x \in (-1, 1), x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, (this, for example, follows from (26) and Theorem 2 [18, p. 112]), then in view of (3), (23), and the Lebesgue Convergence Theorem [14, Thm. 15, p. 76], we obtain

$$
J^{12} = o(1) \tag{74}
$$

uniformly with respect to (66) . Hence, combining (67) , (72) , and (74) , we get $J^1 = o(1)$. By an obvious similarity, $J^2 = o(1)$ as well, and this in conjunction with (64) and (65) proves (63).

To prove (62) we apply Bernstein's inequality (cf. [17, Thm. 1.22.3, p. 5]): if $Q_n \in H_n$, then

$$
|Q'_n(x)| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \|Q_n\|_{C[-1,1]}
$$
 (75)

for $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $n \in N$.

Indeed, for a fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, (x_{v+1}-x_v)/2), v=0, 1, ..., M$, by virtue of (26) and (63) we have

$$
\varepsilon_n = \|S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; \cdot) - S_n^{(-1/2, -1, 2)}(f; \cdot)\|_{C[d(v; \varepsilon/2)]} = o(1) \tag{76}
$$

for an arbitrary $f \in HBV$.

Hence, applying (75) to the polynomial

$$
Q_n(x) = S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x) - S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; x)
$$

by (76) for $r=1$ we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{n} \left\| (S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; \cdot) - S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; \cdot))' \right\|_{C[d(\mathbf{v}; \varepsilon)]} \le K(\varepsilon) \, \varepsilon_n = o(1). \tag{77}
$$

The proof of (62) for $r>1$ is an obvious repetition of the above procedure.

Thus, to complete the sufficiency part of the proof it is enough to show that identity (15) for the Fourier-Tchebycheff series is correct.

Indeed, for a given $f \in HBV$, let the function g be defined by (30). Differentiating with respect to x the obvious identity $S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; x)$ $S_n(g; \theta)$, where $x = \cos \theta$, we obtain by induction the following representation $(r \in Z_+):$

$$
\begin{aligned} \left(S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; x)\right)^{(2r+1)} &= -(1 - x^2)^{-r-1/2} \left(S_n(g; \theta)\right)^{(2r+1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{2r} d_i(x) (S_n(g; \theta))^{(i)} \end{aligned} \tag{78}
$$

for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, where d_i , $i = 1, 2, ..., 2r$, are infinitely differentiable functions on $(-1, 1)$.

In addition,

$$
\|(S_n(g; \cdot))^{(i)}\|_{C[-\pi, \pi]} = o(n^{2r+1})\tag{79}
$$

for $i = 1, 2, ..., 2r, r \in N$, since $g \in W \subset L$. Hence taking into account that $f(x\pm) = g(\theta \mp)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, (15) immediately follows from (8) and (62). Thus the sufficiency of Theorem 7 is proved.

As to the assertion of definitiveness of Theorem 7, let us suppose that a sequence $A = (\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is such that condition (9) does not hold. Then we shall show the existence of a continuous function $\bar{f} \in ABV$ such that

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|(S_n(\mathbf{w}; \bar{f}; \bar{x}))^{(2r+1)}|}{n^{2r+1}} > 0
$$
\n(80)

for some fixed $\bar{x} \in (-1, 1)$, $x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, and thus identity (15) will not hold.

It is known [2, Coro. 4.2, p. 51] that if a weight $w \in GJ$ also satisfies conditions (16), then

$$
\|S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; \cdot) - S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; \cdot)\|_{C[d(\mathbf{v}; \varepsilon)]} = o(1) \tag{81}
$$

for every $f \in C$ and fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, (x_{\nu+1}-x_{\nu})/2), v=0, 1, ..., M$. Hence the combination of (81) and Bernstein's inequality (75) guarantees (62) for every $f \in C$, which in conjuncture with (78) and (79) implies

$$
\frac{1}{n^{2r+1}}\left| (1-x^2)^{r+1/2} \left(S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x) \right)^{(2r+1)} + (S_n(g; \theta))^{(2r+1)} \right| = o(1)
$$

for any fixed $x \in (-1, 1)$, $x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, where the function g is related to the function f via the formula (30). Then it suffices to consider the expression above for $\bar{x} = \cos \bar{\theta} \neq x_0, x_1, ..., x_{M+1}$ and the function $g_0(\theta - \bar{\theta})$, where g_0 is the function constructed for the counterexample of Theorem 1. \blacksquare

Theorems 8 and 9 are proved similarly, and so we omit the details.

Remark. It is easy to check that a statement similar to the conclusion (b) of Theorem 1 is correct for Fourier-Tchebycheff series. Indeed, for any odd function $(1-t^2)^{-1/2} f \in L$, $(S_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(f; 0))^{(2r)} = 0$ for $n \ge 2r$, $r \in N$, independent of the existence of a jump of the function f at $x=0$. This follows from the fact that a Tchebycheff polynomial $P_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(x)$ is an even or odd function depending on whether its degree $n \in Z_+$ is even or odd [17, formula (4.1.3), p. 59].

Proof of Corollary 1. Since

$$
|(S_n(\mathbf{w}; f; x))^{(r)}| \leq \sum_{k=r}^n |a_k(\mathbf{w}; f)| |(P_k(\mathbf{w}; x))^{(r)}|
$$

for $r \in Z_+$, by virtue of Theorem 7 it is sufficient to show that

$$
|(P_k(\mathbf{w};x))^{(r)}| \leqslant K(x) k^r
$$
\n(82)

for a fixed $x \in (-1, 1)$, $x \neq x_1, ..., x_M$, and an arbitrary $k \in N$. But (82) is an easy consequence of (21) and Bernstein's inequality (75). In the case when a weight $w \in GJ$ also satisfies condition (18), then inequality (82) holds for all $x \in (-1, 1)$ and the last implies that $f \in C$.

Corollaries 2 and 3 are proved analogously.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks Professor T. Hagstrom for some very helpful suggestions.

190 GEORGE KVERNADZE

REFERENCES

- 1 M. Avdispahić, On the classes ABV and $V[v]$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), 230–234.
- 2. V. M. Badkov, Approximations of functions in the uniform metric by Fourier sums of orthogonal polynomials, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 145 (1981), 19-65.
- 3. V. M. Badkov, Uniform asymptotic representations of orthogonal polynomials, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 164 (1985), 5-41.
- 4. P. Csillag, Korlátos ingadozású függvények Fourier-féle állandóiról, Math. Phys. Lapok 27 (1918), 301-308.
- 5. Z. A. Canturija, The modulus of variation of a function and its application in the theory of Fourier series, Soviet Math. Dokl. 15 (1974), 67-71.
- 6. Z. A. Čanturija, On uniform convergence of Fourier series, *Math. SSSR-Sb* 29 (1976), 475495.
- 7. L. Fejér, Über die Bestimmung des Sprunges der Funktion aus ihrer Fourierreihe, J. Reine Angew. Math. 142 (1913), 165-188.
- 8. G. Freud, "Orthogonal Polynomials," Pergamon, New York, 1971.
- 9. B. I. Golubov, Determination of the jump of a function of bounded p -variation by its Fourier series, *Math. Notes* 12 (1972), 444-449.
- 10. J. Musielak and W. Orlicz, On generalized variations (1), Studia Math. 18 (1959), 11-41.
- 11. P. Nevai, "Orthogonal Polynomials," Memoirs American Math. Society, Vol. 213, Amer. Math. Society, Providence, RI, 1979.
- 12. K. I. Oskolkov, Generalized variation, the Banach indicatrix, and the uniform convergence of Fourier series, Math. Notes 12 (1972), 619-625.
- 13. S. Perlman and D. Waterman, Some remarks on functions of A-bounded variation, *Proc.* Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1979), 113-118.
- 14. H. L. Royden, "Real Analysis," Macmillan, New York, 1964.
- 15. A. I. Sablin, A-variation and Fourier series, Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) 10 (1987), 87–90.
- 16. N. P. Schembari and M. Schramm, $\Phi V[h]$ and Riemann-Stieltjes integration, Colloq. Math. 60/61 (1990), 421-441.
- 17. G. Szegö, "Orthogonal Polynomials," Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 23, 3rd ed., American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 1967.
- 18. D. Waterman, On convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variation, Studia Math. 44 (1972), 107-117.
- 19. D. Waterman, On the summability of Fourier series of functions of Λ -bounded variation, Studia Math. 55 (1976), 87-95.
- 20. N. Wiener, The quadratic variation of a function and its Fourier coefficients, J. Math. Phys. 3 (1924), 72-94.
- 21. L. C. Young, Sur une généralisation de la notion de variation de puissance p -ième bornée au sens de M. Wiener, et sur la convergence des séries de Fourier, C. R. Sci. Paris 204 $(1937), 470 - 472.$
- 22. A. Zygmund, "Trigonometrical Series," Vol. 1, 2nd rev. ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.