View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Virology 369 (2007) 234–244
VIROLOGY
www.elsevier.com/locate/yviro

The genome of ε15, a serotype-converting, Group E1 *Salmonella enterica*-specific bacteriophage

Andrew M. Kropinski [a,b], Irina V. Kovalyova [a,c], Stephen J. Billington [d], Aaron N. Patrick [e], Brent D. Butts [e], Jared A. Guichard [e], Trevor J. Pitcher [e], Carly C. Guthrie [e], Anya D. Sydlaske [e], Lisa M. Barnhill [e], Kyle A. Havens [e], Kenneth R. Day [e], Darrel R. Falk [e], Michael R. McConnell [e,*]

[a] *Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Queens University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6*
[b] *Public Health Agency of Canada, Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 3W4*
[c] *Biology Department, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6*
[d] *Department of Veterinary Science and Microbiology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA*
[e] *Department of Biology, Point Loma Nazarene University, San Diego, CA 92106, USA*

Received 5 September 2006; returned to author for revision 17 July 2007; accepted 19 July 2007
Available online 7 September 2007

Abstract

The genome sequence of the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Anatum-specific, serotype-converting bacteriophage ε15 has been completed. The nonredundant genome contains 39,671 bp and 51 putative genes. It most closely resembles the genome of φV10, an *Escherichia coli* O157:H7-specific temperate phage, with which it shares 36 related genes. More distant relatives include the *Burkholderia cepacia*-specific phage, BcepC6B (8 similar genes), the *Bordetella bronchiseptica*-specific phage, BPP-1 (8 similar genes) and the *Photobacterium profundum* prophage, P Pφpr1 (6 similar genes).

ε15 gene identifications based on homologies with known gene families include the terminase small and large subunits, integrase, endolysin, two holins, two DNA methylase enzymes (one adenine-specific and one cytosine-specific) and a RecT-like enzyme. Genes identified experimentally include those coding for the serotype conversion proteins, the tail fiber, the major capsid protein and the major repressor. ε15's attP site and the *Salmonella* attB site with which it interacts during lysogenization have also been determined.
© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Bacteriophage; Serotype conversion; Virion proteins; Epsilon 15 genome; attP; attB

Introduction

Bacteriophage ε15 is a Group E1 *Salmonella enterica* serovar Anatum-specific, serotype-converting phage that belongs to the order *Caudovirales* ("tailed viruses") and the family Podoviridae (phages with short, non-contractile tails; Ackermann, 1999). During the 1950s, ε15 was shown to orchestrate a serological change in its host cell, a phenomenon that Salvadore Luria, Hisao Uetake and their co-investigators called "cell surface conversion" (Uetake et al., 1958, 1955; Uetake and Uchida, 1959). Although a novelty at the time, cell surface conversion was eventually shown to be commonplace among temperate bacteriophages (Uetake, 1979).

During the 1960s, Phil Robbins and his collaborators at MIT defined the chemical structure of the Group E1 *S. enterica* O-polysaccharide and showed that the serological changes brought about by ε15 during cell surface conversion involved replacement of this O-polysaccharide polymer, comprised of D-Mannosyl-β1→4-L-Rhamnosyl-α1→3-D-*O*-Acetyl-Galactose repeat units joined together by α1→6 glycosidic linkages, with a non-acetylated polymer of the same repeat unit, held together by β1→6 glycosidic bonds (Bray and Robbins, 1967; Losick and Robbins, 1967; Robbins et al., 1965; Robbins and Uchida, 1962, 1965; Uchida et al., 1963). They further concluded that ε15 engineered the change in O-polysaccharide structure by producing: (1) an inhibitor protein that blocks the

* Corresponding author. Fax: +1 619 849 2598.
E-mail address: mmcconne@pointloma.edu (M.R. McConnell).

0042-6822/$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.virol.2007.07.027

activity of the host cell O-polysaccharide alpha polymerase enzyme; (2) an O-polysaccharide beta polymerase enzyme that replaces the inhibited host cell alpha polymerase and (3) a repressor protein that blocks transcription of the host cell O-polysaccharide acetyltransferase gene, thereby causing acetylation of galactose residues to be gradually curtailed (Losick and Robbins, 1969).

Bacteriophage ε15 drew major attention again in the early 1970s when researchers in Boston and Kyoto independently discovered that its tail spikes possess endorhamnosidase activity capable of degrading Group E1 *S. enterica* O-polysaccharide polymers down to D-*O*-Acetyl-Galactosyl-α1→6-D-Mannosyl-β1→4-L-Rhamnose end-products (Kanegasaki and Wright, 1973; Takeda and Uetake, 1973). Subsequent biochemical and genetic studies with ε15 mutants indicated that the purpose of the tail spike endorhamnosidase activity is to bring the ε15 virion into closer proximity with the surface of the outer membrane prior to release of its DNA (McConnell et al., 1979). Several other phages specific for smooth (i.e. O-polysaccharide-containing) Enterobacteriaceae were subsequently shown to have tail fibers that enzymatically degrade the O-polysaccharide portions of their respective host cell LPS molecules (see review by Wright et al., 1980).

We report here a detailed analysis of the ε15 genome, including its probable transcriptional regulatory regions and its DNA sequences that function during the lysogenization process. Several of its genes have been identified by experimentation, including those coding for the tail fiber, the major capsid protein, the repressor and four cell surface conversion proteins. Other workers have recently reported on ε15 virion proteins that comprise the DNA packaging/injection apparatus (Jiang et al., 2006) and their work is discussed in light of our own. The genome of ε15 displays little similarity to the genomes of other known *Salmonella* phages, but instead, is most closely related to that of φV10, an *Escherichia coli* O157:H7-specific temperate phage, with which it shares 36 related genes.

Results and discussion

General features of the genome

Earlier restriction analysis of ε15 DNA had shown that the genome is circularly permuted, with a mass of approximately 40.3 kb and a terminal redundancy of ~0.6 kb (McConnell et al., 1992). The DNA sequence data support that finding, in that the non-redundant sequence contains 39,671 base pairs. To circumvent the problems in graphically representing a circularly permuted, terminally redundant genome, the ε15 genomic map (Fig. 1) was arbitrarily opened adjacent to a 17-bp sequence (**CCGCCG**ACTAT**GGCGGC**TTTGTTTT), located just upstream of the probable gene for the small terminase subunit (designated as gene *1* on the map). All other genes were numbered sequentially in a clockwise manner from this point and are described in Table 1.

The overall base composition of ε15 DNA (50.85 mol% GC) resembles that of *Salmonella* species (52 mol% GC), as does the pattern of its codon usage, with only a few minor exceptions involving codons specifying proline, threonine and lysine. A scan of the entire genome, using a window of 100 bp revealed several regions of higher AT content, including the regions corresponding to the cell surface conversion genes (*21*, *22* and *28*), the attP site between genes *26* and *27*, and the region between genes *38* and *39* (Fig. 1).

A Grigoriev GC/AT-skew analysis of the ε15 genome revealed an AT-skew maximum and a corresponding GC minimum within gene *41* (34,150±100 bp) suggesting that this may be where DNA replication originates (Grigoriev, 1998, 1999; Kowalczuk et al., 2001; Lobry, 1999). Gene *41* encodes a predicted cytosine-specific methyltransferase (one of two methyltransferase genes, the other being gene *29*, which encodes an adenine-specific enzyme). While the product of gene *42* exhibits sequence similarity to bacterial primosomal protein, no other gene products resembling known DNA replication proteins are present, suggesting that ε15 is reliant on one or more host cell enzymes for replication of its genome.

Our analysis indicates that gene *38* encodes the major repressor protein. Near-consensus, diverging promoters and operator-like sequences positioned on each side of gene *38* probably regulate expression of ε15's immediate early genes (Fig. 1), with delayed early and late expression most likely dependent upon terminator read-through mechanisms. Although the protein product of gene *38* exhibits poor sequence similarity with other known phage repressors, it is similar in size (198 amino acids) and it contains a helix–turn–helix motif (pfam01381; smart00530) of the type that typically serves as the operator recognition element for repressor proteins (amino acids M104 through G150, 21 of which are good H-bonders). Finally, the prototype clear plaque mutant of ε15 (known as ε15*vir* in the literature) contains a single altered base pair in gene *38* (an A/T>G/C change at base pair 203), which results in a D68 to G68 change in the mutant protein (McConnell, unpublished data). As with the repressor genes of Lambda, D3 (Kropinski, 2000) and phage r1t (van Sinderen et al., 1996), ε15 gene *38* lacks an identifiable RBS.

An analysis of the genome suggests that the tail spike gene and lysis genes are probably the last to be expressed during an infection. Late expression of the lysis genes could explain ε15's relatively long latent period (~55 min) and large burst size (~300 PFUs/cell) at 37 °C (McConnell, unpublished data). There appear to be three lysis proteins, altogether (Table 1). Genes *23* and *24* both code for holins and gene *25* codes for an endolysin, based upon a variety of shared characteristics with known lysis proteins produced by other bacteriophages (Grundling et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2004; Ramanculov and Young, 2001; Young, 1992; Young and Bläsi, 1995). The property of having two linked genes that both code for holins has also been observed for *Streptococcus thermophilus* phage, ϕO1205 (Sheehan et al., 1999), and *Lactococcus lactis* phage BK5-T (Mahanivong et al., 2001).

Integration

Southern Blot studies performed at PLNU during the 90s involving restriction endonuclease-digested *S. anatum* (ε15)



Fig. 1. Gene diagram for *S. enterica* serovar Anatum phage, ε15. Immediate and delayed early genes are designated in red, whereas the late genes are designated in brown. In addition, several genes and DNA regulator sites with known functions are depicted with unique colors, those being serotype conversion genes (green), the integrase gene (turquoise blue), transcriptional promoters (dark blue arrows), transcriptional termination signals (violet lollipops), lysis genes (dark blue), terminase genes (violet) and genes with no homologs in the GenBank (black). Also depicted are a 100 base pair running window of the AT%, as well as the positions at which *Pst*I cuts the genome.

lysogen DNA suggested that the attP site of ε15 was located near the phage's integrase gene (Orf27). This has now been confirmed by "chromosome walking", using *S. anatum* (ε15) DNA and fimers (Fidelity Systems, Inc.) bracketing a non-coding region of the ε15 genome positioned between genes *26* and *27*. The results, depicted in Fig. 2, reveal two regions of homology ("common core" regions) between the ε15 and host cell genomes: (1) a 13 base pair segment encompassing the last four codons of the *Salmonella guaA* gene wherein recombination occurs (the proximity of prophage ε15 to the gua locus of *Salmonella* was first suggested long ago by the conjugation studies of Matsuyama and Uetake (1972)) and (2) a nearby 31 base pair, AT-rich segment which appears to be non-coding within both the ε15 and host cell genomes. Surrounding the common core sequences and spanning 558 base pairs of the ε15 genome altogether, are four copies of a direct repeat sequence (GTGACGGTAT) that probably represent "arm-type" binding sequences for the integrase. Also present are two likely IHF binding sites, one of which overlaps the segment in which recombination occurs (see legend to Fig. 2).

The ε15 integrase is clearly a tyrosine recombinase, as indicated both by the structural features of its attP site and by the presence of all six appropriately positioned amino acid residues that are highly conserved in this family of proteins, including the catalytic tyrosine at position Y_{370} (see review by Groth and Calos, 2004).

Morphogenesis

Our earlier work indicated that ε15 packages DNA by a head-full packaging mechanism (i.e. use of a terminase complex that initiates packaging at a *pac* site; McConnell et al., 1992). Sequence homology analyses now reveal that genes *1* and *2* code for ε15's small and large terminase subunits, respectively. Immediately downstream of the terminase genes, where one might expect to see genes specifying the portal (or head-tail connector) protein, we find instead a gene (*2A*) that is transcribed in the opposite direction from the remainder of the putative morphogenesis genes. Gene *2A* encodes a protein which is homologous to gene *66* of *Shigella* phage, Sf6 (Casjens et al., 2004).

Fig. 3 and Table 2 depict the results of protein composition studies on ε15 virions (510S) and virion-like, DNA-less particles that move with an S value of ~173±12S on 5–40% sucrose sedimentation gradients. All of the p*I* values presented in Table 2 were obtained by 2D analyses of the 173S particles, since their proteins are more amenable to isoelectric focusing, due to the absence of DNA.

Table 1
Coordinates of the genes of phage ε15 with the properties of the protein products, related proteins and their functions, if known

ORF	Position/Orientation		Mass/p*I*	Motifs	Putative function/Sequence similarity (gene and protein)	% identity
	Begin	End				
1	47	643 +	22,695, 8.8	pfam03592	Terminase small subunit –*Escherichia coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p01 [YP_512255]	77.3
2	640	2115 +	55,117, 5.4	Prosite: PS00215	Terminase large subunit –*E. coli* phage φV10 subunit gene=PhiV10p02 [YP_512256]	94.3
2A	2181	2546 −	13,271, 4.9		–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p03 [YP_512257]	78.0
3	3037	3243 +	6954, 6.5	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p06 [YP_512260]	88.2
4	3258	4928 +	61,657, 4.9	–	Putative head-tail connector protein (Portal) –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p07 [YP_512261]	79.6
5	4925	5221 +	11,366, 4.9	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p08 [YP_512262]	64.3
6	5224	5940 +	25740, 4.6	–	Endoprotease (putative) –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p09 [YP_512263]	69.7
7	5951	6958 +	36,816, 6.2		Major capsid protein –*Bordetella* phage BIP-1 gene=BIP-1p16 [NP_996627]	46.0
8	6971	7363 +	13,585, 4.5	–	–*Paracoccus denitrificans* gene=PdenDRAFT_1645 [ZP_00631364]	49.7
9	7356	7640 +	10,686, 5.2	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p12 [YP_512266]	36.9
10	7705	8040 +	12,167, 4.2	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p13 [YP_512267]	40.5
11	8040	8645 +	22,204, 4.7	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p14 [YP_512268]	84.6
12	8645	11,122 +	90,871, 5.1	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p15 [YP_512269]	88.4
13	11,122	11,586 +	17,392, 8.0	1 TMD	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p16 [YP_512270]	62.3
14	11,586	12,128 +	18,354, 8.1	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p17 [YP_512271]	76.4
15	12,141	14,669 +	91,011, 5.4	–	Virion protein –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p18 [YP_512272]	57.4
16	14,669	16,573 +	67,362, 4.4	–	Virion protein –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p19 [YP_512273]	25.0
17	16,573	19,329 +	100,840, 8.6	Prosite: PS00225	Virion protein –*S. glossinidius* gene=SG1195 [YP_454875]	27.2
18	19,326	19,520 +	7009, 8.9	–	–	
19	19,559	19,819 −	10,009, 5.2	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p24 [YP_512278]	80.2
20	20,017	23,229 +	115,616, 4.9	–	Tailspike protein –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p25 [YP_512279] (N-Term)	25.4
21	23,288	24,448 −	43,338, 9.1	10 TMD	β-Polymerase involved in serotype conversion –*Clostridium perfringens* gene=CPE0620 [NP_561536]	25.5
22	24461	24,661 −	7515, 8.2	2 TMD	α-Polymerase inhibitor involved in serotype conversion	
23	24,823	25,227 +	14,145, 5.8	3 TMD	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p27 [YP_512281]	92.5
24	25,214	25,522 +	11,300, 11.0	3 TMD; pfam05449	Holin –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p28 [YP_512282]	91.2
25	25,512	26,141 +	23,211, 9.6	pfam00182 COG3179	Endolysin - *E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p29 [YP_512283]	82.8
26	26,138	26,620 +	17,854, 8.7	1 TMD	Rz homolog (putative) –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p30 [YP_512284]	86.2
26A	26,337	26,612 +	9633, 8.4	1 TMD; PS00013 - lipoprotein	Rz1 homolog –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p30a [YP_512285]	85.7
27	26,938	28,188 +	46,780, 9.4	pfam00589	Integrase –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p31 [YP_512286]	86.5
28	28,343	28,525 −	6940, 9.0	1 TMD	Acetyltransferase inhibitor involved in serotype conversion	
29	28,649	29,227 −	21,523, 7.7	Prosite: PS00092; pfam05063 COG4725	Adenine methylase –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p33 [YP_512288]	68.1
30	29,274	29,381 −	4049, 5.4	–	–	
31	29,374	29,673 −	11,243, 9.9	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p34 [YP_512289]	65.7
32	29,670	29,786 −	4684, 9.8	1 TMD	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p35 [YP_512290]	81.6
33	29,783	30,031 −	9414, 9.1	pfam05930; COG3311	Transcriptional regulator –*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p36 [YP_512291]	85.4
34	30,080	31,102 −	37,287, 6.0	pfam03837	RecT –*S. glossinidius* gene=SG1175 [YP_454855]	72.9
35	31,112	32,011 −	33,958, 6.1	pfam03837	Endonuclease –*S. glossinidius* gene=SG1176 [YP_454856]	65.6
36	32,008	32,310 −	11,407, 4.6	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p38 [YP_512293]	51.0
37	32,315	32,464 −	5636, 8.6	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p39 [YP_512294]	76.0
38	32,689	33,285 −	22,431, 8.1	smart00530; pfam01381	Repressor (putative) *E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p40 [YP_512295]	41.5
39	33,441	33,674 +	9139, 9.4	–	- *E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p41 [YP_512296]	74.0

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (*continued*)

ORF	Position/Orientation		Mass/p*I*	Motifs	Putative function/Sequence similarity (gene and protein)	% identity
	Begin	End				
40	33,819	34,022 +	7770, 10.0	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p42 [YP_512297]	39.7
41	34,019	35,188 +	42,402, 5.9	pfam00145; COG0270	Cytosine methylase –*Pseudomonas putida* gene=PP1541 [NP_743698]	51.4
42	35,178	35,855 +	25,970, 7.2	COG5529	–*S. glossinidius* gene=SG1211 [YP_454891]	23.2
43	35,986	36,450 +	16,088, 9.3	pfam02075	Crossover junction endodeoxyribonuclease RuvC homolog –Candidatus Pelagibacter gene=PU1002_03366 [ZP_01264237]	34.9
44	36,507	37,202 +	25,325, 4.4	pfam00607	EaE homolog –*Salmonella* phage P22 gene=eae [NP_059592] (C-Term)	53.7
45	37,199	38,032 +	30,713, 4.9		EaD homolog –*Salmonella* phage P22 gene=ead [YP_063721]	66.8
46	38,034	38,252 +	8658, 9.9	1 TMD	Acetyltransferase inhibitor involved in serotype conversion –*Salmonella* phage ES18 gene=39 [YP_224177]	88.9
47	38,256	39,011 +	27,780, 4.4	–	–*Salmonella* phage ES18 gene=38 [YP_224176]	31.1
48	39,011	39,283 +	10,687, 10.2	pfam00170 (leucine zipper)	–	
49	39,276	39,614 +	12,506, 9.1	–	–*E. coli* phage φV10 gene=PhiV10p55 [YP_512310]	77.7

+/− strand containing the CDS; TMD=transmembrane domain.

Several virion structural proteins have been matched experimentally with their corresponding genes in the ε15 genome, one being V-1, which comprises the enzymatically active tail parts of ε15. In the 70s, it was shown that non-infectious, non-adsorbing, virion-like particles formed by an ε15 nonsense mutant called *am*2 were normal-looking under the electron microscope, except for the absence of tail parts; furthermore, when these particles were analyzed on SDS/polyacrylamide gels, only the V-1 polypeptide was missing (McConnell et al., 1979). The experimentally measured size of 115,508±2626 amu for V-1 shown in Table 2 is very close to the inferred size of gp20, which is 115,616 amu (Table 1). To further confirm this identification, we determined the gene *20* sequence of am2 and three other tail part-deficient, missense mutants of ε15 known to map in the same gene as am2, based upon earlier *in vivo* complementation analyses (McConnell, 1976). All four mutants contain a single, unique mutational change in gene *20* and there is colinearity between the physical positions of the mutational alterations and their genetic map positions, as determined by earlier two- and three-factor genetic recombination experiments (data not shown).

Investigators at MIT and Baylor College of Medicine have recently used mass spectrometry measurements on tryptic digest fragments of ε15 virion proteins extracted from SDS-PA gels to independently confirm that the tail fiber protein is gp20 and that





Fig. 2. The attP/attB homology regions of ε15 and *S. enterica* serovar Anatum. Red font denotes the two common core regions of the attP and attB sites of E15 and *S. enterica* serovar Anatum. Recombination occurs within the smaller, boxed common core region to the left. The schematic diagram at the bottom of the figure depicts the positions of the four likely "arm-type" binding sites for integrase (blue font), relative to the positions of the two "common core" sequences. The +1 designation refers to the first base of the smaller common core region wherein recombination occurs. The "arm-type" binding sites are all direct repeats of the sequence **GTGACGGTAT**. Also present, but not depicted, are two likely IHF binding sites (consensus **AATCAA**NNNN**TTR**; Goodrich et al., 1990). One IHF binding site extends from −28 to −16 (A**T**T**CAA**TAAG**TTA**) and a second (A**C**T**CAA**TTAT**TTA**) is positioned within the complementary strand at a position (+7 through −6) that overlaps the smaller, common core sequence in which recombination occurs.



Fig. 3. Polypeptide compositions of purified ε15 virion and 173S virion-like particles. The proteins of purified particles were resolved by SDS/Tricine/Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and visualized by CBB staining. Virion proteins are in Lane 1 and 173S particle proteins are in Lane 2. Each lane received a total of 23 μg of protein.

the protein products of genes *4*, *7*, *11*, *15* and *17* are also present in mature ε15 virions, with gp4 and gp7 likely being the portal protein and the major capsid protein, respectively (Jiang et al., 2006). Our molecular weight and p*I* measurements on proteins contained within ε15 virions and 173S particles both confirm and extend their findings (see Table 2).

The virion-like, 173S particles contain some proteins that are not found in virions. Based upon staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue, the most abundant of these is PV-1, which is present at ∼129 copies per particle, assuming 415 capsid proteins (Fig. 3, Table 2). PV-1's experimentally measured mass and p*I* values (∼55,054 Da and ∼5.1) are similar only to those inferred for gp2 (ε15's terminase large subunit), which are 55,517 Da and 5.4, respectively (Table 1). Much less abundant at ∼17 copies per 173S particle, is PV-3, whose experimentally measured size and p*I* values (26,978±192 Da and 4.61±0.24, respectively) closely resemble those of the gp8 scaffolding protein of bacteriophage P22 (Eppler et al., 1991) as well as those of the inferred gene product of ε15 gene *6* (25,740 Da and p*I* 4.6; Table 1). Further efforts are underway to determine why probable maturation proteins gp2 and gp6 co-purify in such abundance with 173S particles on buoyant density and sedimentation velocity gradients.

Serotype conversion

Our three-pronged, microbiological, immunological and biochemical screening approach for the detection of the serotype converting genes of ε15 indicates that (1) gene *21* codes for the O-polysaccharide beta polymerase enzyme; (2) gene *22* codes for the protein that inhibits the host cell O-polysaccharide alpha polymerase enzyme and (3) genes *28* and *46* both code for proteins that can prevent acetylation of galactose residues in the Group E1 O-polysaccharide (Table 3).

Genetic confirmation that gene *21* codes for the beta polymerase was achieved by PCR amplifying and sequencing this gene, both from ε15 mutant NC5, the original beta polymerase nonsense mutant isolated by Lynn Silver in the 70s (Silver, 1975), as well as from six beta polymerase mutants newly isolated in our laboratory by screening among ε15-lysogenized *Salmonella* bacteria for mutants that had become spontaneously resistant to bacteriophage ε34, a virus that recognizes beta-linked O-polysaccharide as its receptor. All seven ε15 mutants with defective beta polymerase activity displayed a single base pair change in gene *21* (data not shown).

A comparison of the O-polysaccharide beta polymerase enzymes coded for by ε15 gene *21* and the cryptic beta polymerase gene (Orf17.4) that resides at the downstream end of the rfb gene cluster in Group E1 Salmonellae (McConnell et al., 2001), reveals two enzymes that are similar in terms of their sizes, p*I* values and numbers of membrane-spanning helices (390 amino acids, p*I*=9.26 and 10 membrane spanning helices for ε15 gp21, versus 367 amino acids, p*I*=9.5 and nine membrane spanning helices for the *S. enterica Orf17.4* gene product). Despite their physical similarities and their identical catalytic activities (both convert lipid-linked D-Mannosyl-β1→4-L-Rhamnosyl-α1→3-D-*O*-Acetyl-Galactose trisaccharide repeat units into β1→6 glycosidically linked polymers), these two enzymes display little or no similarity at the primary sequence level.

We were initially assuming that the other cell surface conversion proteins of ε15 would be soluble. Losick (1969) had reported that the O-polysaccharide alpha polymerase inhibitor was a heat-resistant, water-soluble protein and Robbins et al. (1965) had shown with *in vitro* assays involving sonicates of ε15-infected cells that O-polysaccharide acetyltransferase activity levels off very soon after infection, an outcome they assumed was the result of inhibition of transcription of the acetyltransferase gene by a phage encoded repressor. We now know that the alpha polymerase inhibitor is gp22, a small protein with 66 amino acids, two predicted membrane-spanning helices and a p*I* value of 8.2. Protein gp22 physically resembles the alpha-polymerase inhibitor (iap) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* phage D3 (Newton et al., 2001), which has been demonstrated experimentally to be a membrane protein.

ε15 proteins gp28 and gp46 are both able to block acetylation of galactose residues in the Group E1 O-polysaccharide and TMHMM analyses indicate that both are membrane-associated (Fig. 4). Gp28 was confirmed experimentally to be a membrane protein by tagging its N-terminus with Hexa-His, then using SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting to

Table 2
Stoichiometry and physical characteristics of proteins contained in E15 virions and 173S particles

Protein band #	Measured protein size[a] (and p*I*)[b]	Probable encoding gene with predicted size (and p*I*) of inferred protein product[c, d]	Virion quantity[e] relative to capsid	173S quantity[e] relative to capsid
V1	115,508±2626 (nd)	Gene *20*/115,616 (4.9)[c, d]	17.2	8.2
V2	94,923±720 (nd)	Gene *17*/100,840 (8.6)[d]	7.5	7.4
V3	88,053±585 (5.74±0.12)	Gene *15*/91,011 (5.4)[c, d]	20.0	13.5
V4	74,249±661 (4.58±0.18)	Gene *16*?/67,362 (4.4)[c]	9.6	4.0
V5	61,299±528 (5.28±0.13)	Gene *4*/61,657 (4.9)[c, d]	20.0[f]	18.4
PV1	55,054±1628 (5.19±0.08)	Gene *2*/55,117 (5.4)[c]	–	129
PV2	50,143±1209 (nd)	–	–	9.5
V6	35,192±293 (6.38±0.36)	Gene *7*/36,816 (6.2)[c, d]	415	415
V7	29,734±246 (nd)	–	27.7	n.d.
PV3	26,978±192 (4.61±0.24)	Gene *6*?/25,740 (4.6)[c]	–	17.1
V8	21,910±154 (nd)	–	146	17.0
V9	19,273±201 (nd)	–	19.7	14.6
V10	12,087±262 (4.81±0.19)	–	635	443
V11	7826±170 (nd)	–	80.4	n.d.

[a] Protein size estimates are the means (plus or minus the standard deviations) for six or more independent measurements.
[b] Protein p*I* values are the means (plus or minus the standard deviations) for four or more independent measurements.
[c] Gene identification supported by data presented in this paper.
[d] Gene identification supported by tryptic digestion and mass spectrometry data reported elsewhere (Jiang et al., 2006).
[e] Estimated number of polypeptide chains per average virion or 173S particle, calculated on the basis of CBB-stained band densities ($n=9$) and measured polypeptide sizes, then normalized, assuming 415 capsid proteins per virion or 173S particle.
[f] Strong evidence (Jiang et al., 2006) indicates that V5 (gp4) is the portal protein and that it is present at 12 copies per virion, suggesting that CBB may bind to V5 in a non-stoichiometric manner.

show that it co-purified with the membrane fraction following disruption of Salmonellae bacteria by sonication (data not shown). These results argue strongly against the transcriptional repression model for inhibition of galactose acetylation by ε15. Our current model is that proteins gp28 and gp46 act instead as inhibitors, but only of newly synthesized acetyltransferase enzymes, perhaps by preventing them from orienting properly within the membrane, relative to the other enzymes that are involved in synthesis of the O-polysaccharide repeat unit.

Table 3 presents only indirect evidence that ε15 proteins gp28 and gp46 block acetylation of O-polysaccharide; namely, that when either of these two genes is placed into *S. enterica* serovar Anatum bacteria, the transformed cells remain ε15-sensitive but become resistant to g341 (g341 only infects Group E1 Salmonellae strains whose O-polysaccharide contains acetylated galactose residues). We verified that gp28 affects lipopolysaccharide (LPS) structure by (1) purifying LPS from transformed *S. enterica* A1 cells carrying ε15 gene *28* and from the non-transformed parent strain; (2) normalizing the concentrations of the two LPS concentrations on the basis of their rhamnose contents and (3) comparing the abilities of the two LPS preparations to inactivate g341 and ε15 phage during incubation in 10 mM Tris-1 mM magnesium sulfate buffer (pH 7) at 37 °C. Although both preparations inactivated ε15 effectively, only the LPS from the parent strain lacking gene *28* was able to inactivate phage g341 (McConnell, unpublished data).

Table 3
Identification of cell surface conversion genes based upon phenotypic characteristics of transformed Salmonellae

Bacterial strain[a]	Phage sensitivity pattern[b]				Whole Cell ELISA results with antibody to antigen		Appearance of LPS[c] on SDS-PAGE
	ε15	ε34	g341	Felix O1	O10 (alpha-linkages)	O15 (beta linkages)	
S. enterica A1 (wt)	S	R	S	R	+++	–	Smooth
S. enterica A1(ε15 lysogen)	R	S	R	R	–	+++	Smooth
S. enterica SR2 (wzy−)	R	R	R	S	–	–	Rough
S. enterica SR2/Orf *21*	R	S	R	R	–	++	Smooth
S. enterica A1/Orf *22*	R	R	R	S	–	–	Rough
S. enterica A1/Orf *28*	S	R	R	R	++	–	Smooth
S. enterica A1/Orf *46*	S	R	R	R	++	–	Smooth

[a] *S. enterica* A1 (wt) is the strain used by Robbins and coworkers in their 1960 studies on serotype conversion by ε15. *S. enterica* SR2 (wzy−) is a mutant derivative of *S. enterica* A1 (wt) that lacks functional O-polysaccharide alpha polymerase enzyme and is therefore sensitive to "Rough"-specific bacteriophages (e.g. Felix O1).
[b] Phages ε15 and g341 both recognize alpha-linked Group E1 O-polysaccharide polymers; a difference is that phage g341 also requires that the galactose residues of the alpha-linked polymers be acetylated, whereas ε15 does not. Phage ε34 recognizes only beta-linked (Group E2) O-polysaccharide polymers. Felix 01 infects strains that either have no O-polysaccharide polymers, or else a single repeat unit of the O-polysaccharide.
[c] "Smooth" denotes a ladder-like pattern of bands, indicative of a population of LPS molecules with varying numbers of repeat units in their O-polysaccharide polymers; "Rough" means that the ladder like pattern is absent and one sees instead one or two highly mobile bands, corresponding to complete lipid A/R-cores with either zero or one O-polysaccharide repeat unit attached.

E15 gp28 (60 amino acids; pI = 9.0)

E15 gp28	**MEPRKS**	**FIPEPLFLIFVVLSCISLISIMM**	**GWLKPNPIMLIGDIIVIGAFLWEQTMKRFKS**
	pI = 8.5	pI = 4.0	pI = 9.7
	Cytoplasm	Membrane	Periplasm

E15 gp46 (72 amino acids; pI = 9.9)

E15 gp46	**MTKILRKNYPRQSRFKEAL**	**FFPLFLILMVP ISPIFFIWLAGV**	**QAEKIAEWYSSIVWGPFNKLHNKLNPYRED**
	pI = 11.07	pI = 5.52	pI = 6.77
	Cytoplasm	Membrane	Periplasm

Fig. 4. Membrane topology of ε15 cell surface conversion proteins gp28 and gp46. According to the TMHMM program (Sonnhammer et al., 1998), proteins gp28 and gp46 both have cytoplasmic N-terminal domains, a single membrane-spanning region and periplasmic C-terminal domains.

The closest known relative of ε15 is coliphage φV10 (GenBank accession number NC_007804), which is reported to carry an acetyltransferase gene, though no experimental data has yet been presented on its ability to seroconvert. We compared the conversion modules of ε15 and φV10 by reducing the corresponding GenBank gbk files to only include ε15 genes *19–24* and φV10 genes *24–28*, then aligned these segments using Mauve (Darling et al., 2004). Homologous genes *19* (ε15) and *24* (φV10), as well as *23*,*24* (ε15) and *27*,*28* (φV10), served as anchors in the alignment (Fig. 5). The alignments indicated that the tail fiber genes of the two phages differ significantly in length and that their homology resides only within the N-terminal coding portion. This region of the tail fiber is probably associated with base plate attachment, rather than receptor interaction, since a similar region has also been observed with the T7-like phages (Kovalyova and Kropinski, 2003). There is no evidence for a φV10 gene related to ε15 gene *22* (the alpha polymerase inhibitor), nor is there any homology between the beta-polymerase of ε15 (gp21) and the proposed acetyltransferase of φV10, though structurally both of them contain 10 transmembrane domains (Kall et al., 2004; Sonnhammer et al., 1998; Kahsay et al., 2005). All three programs used to detect membrane spanning helices indicate that the N-terminus of the ε15 beta-polymerase is periplasmic, whereas the N-terminus of the putative φV10 acetyltransferase is cytoplasmic. The φV10 product contains a COG3274 domain (uncharacterized protein



Fig. 5. Comparison of the conversion modules of ε15 and φV10 using Mauve (Darling et al., 2004). The horizontal boxes represent ε15 genes *19* through *24* and φV10 genes *24* through *28*, the longest of each, respectively, corresponding to the tailspike protein. The two ε15 genes involved in seroconversion are in red and green, while the putative φV10 transacetylase gene is in orange. The vertical colored blocks indicate regions of sequence similarity, the greater the degree, the greater the height of the colored bars. Above (ε15) and below (φV10) are genomic comparisons based upon scans of the AT content of the respective regions.

conserved in bacteria) and its closest relatives are hypothetical proteins from *Azoarcus* sp. EbN1 (YP_157885) and *Bacillus anthracis* (YP_022389). The ε15 beta-polymerase (gp21) lacks conserved domains but is distantly related to hypothetical proteins of *Clostridium perfringens* (YP_695054) and *C. thermocellum* (ZP_00503873). It is only on iterated BlastP analysis (Altschul et al., 1997) that gp21 shows similarity to other O-polysaccharide polymerases.

Taxonomic position of ε15

Phage ε15's proteins display only limited homology to those of other known *Salmonella* phages, including those of g341, another temperate, Group E1 Salmonella-specific, serotype-converting Podoviridae phage whose genome has recently been sequenced at Point Loma (McConnell, unpublished data). Instead, of the 51 potential ε15 gene products that were identified, 36 displayed significant sequence similarity (18% to 94% identity) with proteins of the *E. coli* O157:H7-specific, temperate phage φV10 (Table 1). Other podoviral genomes showing homology to that of ε15 are *Burkholderia cepacia* phage BcepC6B (8 genes; Summer et al., 2006), *Bordetella bronchiseptica*-specific phage, BPP-1 (8 genes, Liu et al., 2004) and *Photobacterium profundum* prophage PφPpr1 (6 genes; Vezzi et al., 2004). For the latter three phages, it is primarily the morphogenic genes which display homology to genes of ε15.

Although NCBI currently describes ε15 as an "unclassified P22-like virus", only two of its proteins exhibit strong sequence similarity to P22 proteins (gp44 and gp45 resemble the Eae and Ead proteins of P22, respectively; Table 1). We recommend that bacteriophages ε15 and φV10 be considered by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses for separate classification as a new genus (van Regenmortel et al., 2000).

Materials and methods

Phage and bacterial strains

All phage and bacterial strains utilized in this study came originally from the laboratory of Dr. Andrew Wright (Tufts University, Boston, MA).

Cloning and sequencing procedure

Approximately 95% of the ε15 genome was cloned into pUC18/19Cam plasmids as a collection of overlapping and/or abutting restriction endonuclease fragments (McConnell et al., 1992). The pUC18/19Cam plasmids were originally provided by Masaki Hayashi of UCSD and are identical to pUC18/19, except that the beta-lactamase gene has been replaced by the chloramphenicol transacetylase gene. Regions of the ε15 genome that resisted cloning were ultimately bridged and sequenced using PCR. Most of the sequence was obtained using dye-tagged dideoxyribonucleotides and automated sequencers at the University of Arizona Genomic Analysis and Technology Core Facility and the San Diego State University Microchemical Core Facility.

Identification of the ε15 attP and S. anatum attB Sites

Lysogen DNA was provided to Fidelity Systems Inc. (Gaithersburg, MD 20879-4117, USA http://www.fidelitysystems.com), where fimers were designed for "walking" downstream from gene *26* and upstream from gene *27*. The resulting, mostly bacterial DNA sequence data were compared with the gene *26*/*27* region of the E15 genome using LALIGN and the homologous regions that were discovered were further analyzed by comparison against the non-redundant nucleotide database at NCBI, using BLASTn.

Sequence analysis and definition of genes

The DNA sequence was scanned through a 100 bp window for base compositional variation using "DNA base composition analysis tool" (http://molbiol-tools.ca/Jie_Zheng/). Potential integration host factor (IHF)-binding sites were assessed using MacTargsearch (Goodrich et al., 1990) while potential transcriptional terminators were assessed using the GCG program "Terminator," and the Microsoft Windows software program GeSTer (Unniraman et al., 2002). Promoter sequences were detected using Softberry's BPROM program at http://www.softberry.com/berry.phtml?topic=promoter.

Most genes (~80%) were identified either (1) experimentally; (2) by their homology with other known phage genes or (3) by using GeneMark.hmm for Prokaryotes at http://opal.biology.gatech.edu/GeneMark/gmhmm2_prok.cgi (Lukashin and Borodovsky, 1998). Criteria used to define other genes included (a) the presence of 30 or more codons, (b) an upstream sequence displaying similarity to the consensus ribosome-binding site (RBS, TAAGGAGGT; Shine and Dalgarno, 1974; Shine and Dalgarno, 1975), and (c) either ATG or GTG as the initiation codon.

A compendium of online tools (http://molbiol-tools.ca) was employed in the analysis of the putative proteins, including: BLASTP (Altschul et al., 1990; Altschul and Koonin, 1998), ALIGN (http://xylian.igh.cnrs.fr/bin/align-guess.cgi) and TMHMM (Sonnhammer et al., 1998).

Nucleotide sequence accession number

The GenBank accession number for the genome of phage ε15 is AY150271 (NC_004775).

Proteomics

ε15 virion and virion-like particles lacking DNA were purified from confluent lysis agar plates using differential centrifugation, followed by a combination of CsCl buoyant density and 5–40% sucrose sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation steps. S-values were estimated using P22 virions (510S), φX174 virions (113–114S) and beta-galactosidase (19S) as comparators. Protein and DNA contents of purified particles were measured using Lowry and diphenylamine colorimetric assays, respectively (Lowry et al., 1951; Burton, 1956). The polypeptides of purified particles were resolved by

electrophoresis on 16% and 10–20% Tricine/SDS/polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen), then made visible by staining of the gels with Coomassie Brilliant Blue and quantified using the Kodak Digital Science 1D imaging system and SigmaScan Pro software. Polypeptide stoichiometries were estimated assuming 415 capsid proteins per particle, a number recently confirmed experimentally for ε15 virions by Jiang et al. (2006).

Isoelectric focusing was performed by boiling concentrated preparations of purified DNA-less, virion-like 173S particles in water for 10 min, then mixing them one part to four parts with sample buffer containing 9.8 M urea, 4% Tween 20 and 50 mM dithiothreitol in order to re-solubilize their heat-denatured polypeptides. Samples were applied to BIO-RAD ReadyStrip IPG Strips (either pH 3–10 or pH 4–7) and electrofocused, using the BIO-RAD PROTEAN IEF Cell. Strips containing focused proteins were treated with SDS and iodoacetamide, then subjected to electrophoresis in the second dimension in 16% Tricine/SDS/polyacrylamide gels that were afterwards stained with either silver or Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

Identification of genes involved in serotype conversion

Suspected conversion genes of ε15 were amplified using PCR primer pairs containing engineered restriction endonuclease cut sites that allowed for their properly oriented insertion into the mcs regions of pUC18/19Cam plasmids. The plasmids were electroporated into *S. enterica* strains already transformed by pREP4 (Groger et al., 1989; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and displaying LPS phenotypes appropriate for the detection of conversion gene function (plasmid pREP4 specifies kanamycin-resistance and also contains the Lac I repressor gene, thus affording greater control over the expression of cloned gene products within the transformants). Doubly transformed Salmonellae strains were induced with 1 mM IPTG and characterized for expression of conversion genes using three previously described methods, namely: (1) determining their sensitivities to phages ε15, ε34, g341 and Felix O1; (2) whole-cell ELISA assays using commercially available (Difco) anti-O10 (alpha-linkages) and anti-O15 (beta-linkages) antisera and (3) analysis of their LPS molecules following resolution by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, oxidation by periodate and visualization by silver-staining (McConnell et al., 2001).

Acknowledgments

IK wishes to thank the Department of Microbiology and Immunology, the School of Graduate Studies and Research of Queen's University, and AK for financial support. AK acknowledges research funding from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada and extends special thanks to Professor V. Nagaraja and Ms. Ranjana Prakash (Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore) for their help with GeSTer.

MM wishes to thank Peter Reeves, who helped him produce the first ε15 DNA sequence data during a 1994 sabbatical in Sydney; Glenn Songer, who provided strong, ongoing support of the sequencing effort thereafter; Ben Fane and Hans-Wolfgang Ackermann, for information regarding the S-value of PhiX174 virions; and Andrew Wright, in whose laboratory he first became acquainted with the interesting world of *Salmonella* bacteriophages. Finally, MM thanks the Howard Hughes Research Institute, the NIH (AREA Grant 1R15GM52696-01), the NSF (RUI Grant# DMB-8608480), Research Associates of PLNU (an alumni support group) and the PLNU Administration for financing his summer undergraduate research program over the past two decades.

References

Ackermann, H.-W., 1999. Tailed bacteriophages: the order Caudovirales. Adv. Virus Res. 51, 135–201.

Altschul, S.F., Koonin, E.V., 1998. Iterated profile searches with PSI-BLAST—A tool for discovery in protein databases. Trends Biochem. Sci. 23, 444–447.

Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410.

Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., Lipman, D.J., 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 3389–4022.

Bray, D., Robbins, P., 1967. Mechanism of E15 conversion studies with bacteriophage mutants. J. Mol. Biol. 30, 457–475.

Burton, K., 1956. A study of the conditions and mechanisms of the diphenylamine reaction for the colorimetric estimation of deoxyribonucleic acid. Biochemistry 62, 315–323.

Casjens, S., Winn-Stapley, D.A., Gilcrease, E.B., Morona, R., Kuhlewein, C., Chua, J.E., Manning, P.A., Clark, A.J., 2004. The chromosome of *Shigella flexneri* bacteriophage Sf6: complete nucleotide sequence, genetic mosaicism, and DNA packaging. J. Mol. Biol. 339, 379–394.

Darling, A.C., Mau, B., Blattner, F.R., Perna, N.T., 2004. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome Res. 14, 1394–1403.

Eppler, K., Wyckoff, E., Goates, J., Parr, R., Casjens, S., 1991. Nucleotide sequence of the bacteriophage P22 gene required for DNA packaging. Virology 183, 519–538.

Goodrich, J.A., Schwartz, M.L., McClure, W.R., 1990. Searching for and predicting the activity of sites for DNA binding proteins: compilation and analysis of the binding sites for *Escherichia coli* integration host factor (IHF). Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 4993–5000.

Grigoriev, A., 1998. Analyzing genomes with cumulative skew diagrams. Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 2286–2290.

Grigoriev, A., 1999. Strand-specific compositional asymmetries in double-stranded DNA viruses. Virus Res. 60, 1–19.

Groger, R.K., Morrow, M.D., Tykocinski, M.L., 1989. Directional antisense and sense cDNA cloning using Epstein–Barr virus episomal expression vectors. Gene 81, 285–294.

Groth, A.C., Calos, M.P., 2004. Phage integrases: biology and applications. J. Mol. Biol. 335, 667–678.

Grundling, A., Bläsi, U., Young, R., 2000. Biochemical and genetic evidence for three transmembrane domains in the class I holin, lambda S. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 769–776.

Jiang, W., Chang, J., Jakana, J., Weigele, P., King, J., Chiu, W., 2006. Structure of epsilon15 bacteriophage reveals genome organization and DNA packaging/injection apparatus. Nature 439, 612–616.

Kahsay, R., Liao, L., Gao, G., 2005. An improved hidden Markov model for transmembrane protein topology prediction and its application to complete genomes. Bioinformatics 21, 1853–1858.

Kall, L., Krogh, A., Sonnhammer, E.L., 2004. A combined transmembrane topology and signal peptide prediction method. J. Mol. Biol. 338, 1027–1036.

Kanegasaki, S., Wright, A., 1973. Studies on the mechanism of phage adsorption: interaction between epsilon 15 and its cellular receptor. Virology 52, 160–173.

Kovalyova, I.V., Kropinski, A.M., 2003. The complete genomic sequence of

lytic bacteriophage gh-1 infecting Pseudomonas putida-evidence for close relationship to the T7 group. Virology 311, 305–315.
Kowalczuk, M., Mackiewicz, P., Mackiewicz, D., Nowicka, A., Dudkiewicz, M., Dudek, M.R., Cebrat, S., 2001. DNA asymmetry and the replicational mutational pressure. J. Appl. Genet. 42, 553–577.
Kropinski, A.M., 2000. Sequence of the genome of the temperate, serotype-converting, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteriophage D3. J. Bacteriol. 182, 6066–6074.
Liu, M., Gingery, M., Doulatov, S.R., Liu, Y., Hodes, A., Baker, S., Davis, P., Simmonds, M., Churcher, C., Mungall, K., Quail, M.A., Preston, A., Harvill, E.T., Maskell, D.J., Eiserling, F.A., Parkhill, J., Miller, J.F., 2004. Genomic and genetic analysis of Bordetella bacteriophages encoding reverse transcriptase-mediated tropism-switching cassettes. J. Bacteriol. 186, 1503–1517.
Lobry, J.R., 1999. Genomic landscapes. Microbiol. Today 26, 164–165.
Losick, R., 1969. Isolation of a trypsin-sensitive inhibitor of O-antigen synthesis involved in lysogenic conversion by bacteriophage epsilon-15. J. Mol. Biol. 42, 237–246.
Losick, R., Robbins, P.W., 1967. Mechanism of e15 conversion studied with a bacterial mutant. J. Mol. Biol. 30, 445–455.
Losick, R., Robbins, P.W., 1969. The receptor site for a bacterial virus. Sci. Am. 221, 120–124.
Lowry, O., Rosebrough, N., Farr, A., Randall, R., 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265–275.
Lukashin, A., Borodovsky, M., 1998. GeneMark.hmm: a new solution for gene finding. Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 1107–1115.
Mahanivong, C., Boyce, J.D., Davidson, B.E., Hillier, A.J., 2001. Sequence analysis and molecular characterization of the *Lactococcus lactis* temperate bacteriophage BK5-T. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 3564–3576.
Matsuyama, T., Uetake, H., 1972. Chromosomal locations of *Salmonella* conversion phages: mapping of prophages g341, ε15 and ε34 in *Salmonella anatum*. Virology 49, 359–367.
McConnell, M.R., 1976. Multiple steps are involved in the irreversible attachment of bacteriophage ε15 to its host cell. Ph.D. Dissertation, Tufts University, Boston, MA.
McConnell, M.R., Reznick, A., Wright, A., 1979. Studies on the initial interactions of bacteriophage Epsilon 15 with its host cell, *Salmonella anatum*. Virology 94, 10–23.
McConnell, M., Walker, B., Middleton, P., Chase, J., Owens, J., Hyatt, D., Gutierrez, H., Williams, M., Hambright, D., Barry Jr., M., 1992. Restriction endonuclease and genetic mapping studies indicate that the vegetative genome of the temperate, *Salmonella*-specific bacteriophage, epsilon 15, is circularly-permuted. Arch. Virol. 123, 215–221.
McConnell, M.R., Oakes, K.A., Patrick, A.N., Mills, D.M., 2001. Two functional O-polysaccharide polymerase *wzy* (*rfc*) genes are present in the rfb gene cluster of Group E1 *Salmonella enterica* serovar Anatum. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 199, 235–240.
Newton, G.J., Daniels, C., Burrows, L.L., Kropinski, A.M., Clarke, A.J., Lam, J.S., 2001. Three-component-mediated serotype conversion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by bacteriophage D3. Mol. Microbiol. 39, 1237–1247.
Ramanculov, E., Young, R., 2001. An ancient player unmasked: T4 rI encodes a t-specific antiholin. Mol. Microbiol. 41, 575–583.
Robbins, P.W., Uchida, T., 1962. Studies on the chemical basis of the phage conversion of O-antigens in the E-group *Salmonellae*. Biochemistry 1, 323–335.
Robbins, P.W., Uchida, T., 1965. Chemical and macromolecular structure of O-antigens from *Salmonella anatum* strains carrying mutants of bacteriophage E15. J. Biol. Chem. 240, 375–383.
Robbins, P.W., Keller, J.M., Wright, A., Bernstein, R.L., 1965. Enzymatic and kinetic studies on the mechanism of O-antigen conversion by bacteriophage E15. J. Biol. Chem. 240, 384–390.
Sheehan, M.M., Stanley, E., Fitzgerald, G.F., van Sinderen, D., 1999. Identification and characterization of a lysis module present in a large proportion of bacteriophages infecting *Streptococcus thermophilus*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 569–577.
Shine, J., Dalgarno, L., 1974. The 3′-terminal sequence of *Escherichia coli* 16S ribosomal RNA: complementarity to nonsense triplets and ribosome binding sites. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 71, 1342–1346.
Shine, J., Dalgarno, L., 1975. Terminal-sequence analysis of bacterial ribosomal RNA. Correlation between the 3′-terminal-polypyrimidine sequence of 16-S RNA and translational specificity of the ribosome. Eur. J. Biochem. 57, 221–230.
Silver, L., 1975. Studies on e15 beta polymerase, a bacteriophage coded membrane protein. Ph.D. Dissertation, Tufts University, Boston MA.
Sonnhammer, E.L.L., von Heijne, G., Krogh, A., 1998. A hidden Markov model for predicting transmembrane helices in protein sequences. In: Glasgow, J., Littlejohn, T., Major, F., Lathrop, R., Sankoff, D., Sensen, C. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology. AAAI Press, Menlo Park, CA, pp. 175–182.
Summer, E.J., Gonzalez, C.F., Bomer, M., Carlile, T., Morrison, W., Embry, A., Kucherka, A.M., Lee, J., Mebane, L., Morrison, W.C., Mark, L., King, M.D., LiPuma, M.J., Vidaver, A.K., Young, R., 2006. Divergence and mosaicism among virulent soil phages of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. J. Bacteriol. 188, 255–268.
Takeda, K., Uetake, H., 1973. *In vitro* interaction between phage and receptor lipopolysaccharide: a novel glycosidase associated with phage Epsilon 15. Virology 52, 148–159.
Uchida, T., Robbins, P.W., Luria, S.E., 1963. Analysis of the serologic determinant groups of the Salmonella E-Group O-antigens. Biochemistry 2, 663–668.
Uetake, H., 1979. The origin of conversion genes. In: Chakravarty, M. (Ed.), Molecular Basis of Host/Virus Interactions. Science Press, Princeton, USA, pp. 365–377.
Uetake, H., Uchida, T., 1959. Mutants of *Salmonella* ε15 with abnormal conversion properties. Virology 9, 495–505.
Uetake, H., Nakagawa, T., Akiba, T., 1955. The relationship of bacteriophage to antigenic changes in group E Salmonellas. J. Bacteriol. 69, 571–579.
Uetake, H., Luria, S.E., Burrous, J.W., 1958. Conversion of somatic antigens in *Salmonella* by phage infection leading to lysis or lysogeny. Virology 5, 68–91.
Unniraman, S., Prakash, R., Nagaraja, V., 2002. Conserved economics of transcription termination in eubacteria. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 675–684.
van Regenmortel, M.H.V., Fauquet, C.M., Bishop, D.H.L., Carstens, E.B., Estes, M.K., Lemon, S.M., Maniloff, J., McGeoch, D.J., Pringle, C.R., Wickner, R.B., 2000. Virus Taxonomy: Classification and Nomenclature of Viruses—Seventh Report of the International Committee on the Taxonomy of Viruses. Academic Press, New York.
van Sinderen, D., Karsens, H., Kok, J., Terpstra, P., Ruiters, M.H., Venema, G., Nauta, A., 1996. Sequence analysis and molecular characterization of the temperate lactococcal bacteriophage r1t. Mol. Microbiol. 19, 1343–1355.
Vezzi, A., Campanaro, S., D'Angelo, M., Simonato, F., Vitulo, N., Lauro, F., Cestaro, A., Malacrida, G., Simionati, B., Cannata, N., Bartlett, D., Valle, G., 2004. Genome analysis of *Photobacterium profundum* reveals the complexity of high pressure adaptations (GenBank Accession Number: NC_006370).
Wright, A., McConnell, M., Kanegasaki, S., 1980. Lipopolysaccharide as a bacteriophage receptor. In: Randall, L.L., Philipson, L. (Eds.), Virus Receptors, Series B, Volume 7, Part 1. Chapman and Hall, New York, pp. 27–58.
Young, R., 1992. Bacteriophage lysis: mechanism and regulation. Microbiol. Rev. 56, 430–481.
Young, R., Bläsi, U., 1995. Holins: form and function in bacteriophage lysis. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 17, 191–205.