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#### Abstract

The $L_{2}$-discrepancy measures the irregularity of the distribution of a finite point set. In this note, we prove lower bounds for the $L_{2}$-discrepancy of arbitrary $N$-point sets. Our main focus is on the two-dimensional case. Asymptotic upper and lower estimates of the $L_{2}$-discrepancy in dimension 2 are well known, and are of the sharp order $\sqrt{\log N}$. Nevertheless, the gap in the constants between the best-known lower and upper bounds is unsatisfactorily large for a two-dimensional problem. Our lower bound improves upon this situation considerably. The main method is an adaption of Roth's method, using the Fourier coefficients of the discrepancy function with respect to the Haar basis. We obtain the same improvement in the quotient of lower and upper bounds in the general d-dimensional case. Our lower bounds are also valid for the weighted discrepancy.
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## 1. Introduction

The $L_{2}$-discrepancy is a measure for the irregularity of the distribution of a finite point set with respect to the uniform distribution. If $\mathcal{P}$ is an $N$-point subset of the $d$-dimensional unit cube $\mathbb{Q}^{d}=$ $[0,1)^{d}$, the discrepancy function $D_{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathcal{P}}(x):=\sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{1}_{C_{z}}(x)-N\left|B_{x}\right| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left|B_{x}\right|=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ denotes the volume of the rectangular box $B_{x}=\left[0, x_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times\left[0, x_{d}\right)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{d}$, and $\mathbb{1}_{C_{z}}$ is the characteristic function of the rectangular box $C_{z}=\left(z_{1}, 1\right) \times \cdots \times$ $\left(z_{d}, 1\right)$ for $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$. Observe that the sum in this definition is just the number of points of $\mathcal{P}$ in the box $B_{x}$. So the discrepancy function measures the deviation of this number from the fair

[^0]number of points $N\left|B_{\chi}\right|$ which would be achieved by a perfect (but impossible) uniform distribution of the points of $\mathcal{P}$. The $L_{2}$-discrepancy of $\mathcal{P}$ is the $L_{2}$-norm of the discrepancy function given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{Q}^{d}} D_{\mathcal{P}}(x)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In this note, we are mainly interested in the two-dimensional case, i.e., $d=2$. Only at the very end do we add some remarks about the general $d$-dimensional case. So, from now on until further notice, fix $d=2$. In this case, the asymptotic behavior of the minimal possible $L_{2}$-discrepancy of an $N$-point set for $N \rightarrow \infty$ is well known. There is a constant $c$ such that, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $N$-point subsets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{\mathfrak{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\| \geq c \sqrt{\log N}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is a constant $C$ such that, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $N$-point subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\| \leq C \sqrt{\log N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower bound in (3) is the celebrated result of Roth [9]. Constructions of point sets satisfying (4) are plenty, the first one was given by Davenport [1]. For further constructions and the general theory of discrepancy, we refer the reader to the books [2,6-8].

We are interested in the constants in (3) and (4) for large $N$, so let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{c}=\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{\# \mathcal{P}=N} \frac{\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|}{\sqrt{\log N}} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{c}=\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{\# \mathcal{P}=N} \frac{\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|}{\sqrt{\log N}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best estimates for the constants $\underline{c}$ and $\bar{c}$ known so far are

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.0046918 \ldots=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2^{16} \log 2}} \leq \underline{c} \leq \bar{c} \leq \sqrt{\frac{278629}{2811072 \log 22}}=0.17907 \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound for $\underline{c}$ is from a modification of the proof by Roth, and can be found in [5, Chapter 2, proof of lemma 2.5]. With this constant, the estimate (3) even holds for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The bound for $\bar{c}$ is from a recent construction in [3] using generalized scrambled Hammersley point sets. For a two-dimensional problem, the gap between the constants is huge.

The main purpose of this note is to improve the lower bound. Our main result is as follows.
Theorem 1. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $N$-point sets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{2}$, the inequality

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\| \geq c \sqrt{\log N}
$$

holds with

$$
c=\frac{7}{216 \sqrt{\log 2}}=0.038925 \ldots
$$

The proof is still a variant of Roth's method, which uses the information that certain dyadic rectangles do not contain any points of a given point set and adds those local discrepancies up with the help of orthogonal functions. Our improvement is due to the fact that we consider different levels of dyadic rectangles. A convenient method to do this is to compute the Fourier coefficients with respect to the Haar system and then use Parseval's formula. This method has already been used in a recent paper [4] by the first author to prove optimal upper estimates for the discrepancy of Hammersley-type point sets measured in spaces of dominating mixed smoothness.

The next section contains the necessary tools concerning the Haar basis in $L_{2}$. In Section 3, we prove the lower bound. In the final section, we show that our lower bound remains valid for the weighted discrepancy, and we comment on what can be done with the Haar function method in higher dimensions.

## 2. Haar coefficients of the discrepancy function

A dyadic interval of length $2^{-j}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, in $[0,1)$ is an interval of the form $I=I_{j, m}:=\left[2^{-j} m, 2^{-j}(m+\right.$ 1)) for $m=0,1, \ldots, 2^{j}-1$. The left half and the right half of $I=I_{j, m}$ are the dyadic intervals $I^{+}=I_{j, m}^{+}=I_{j+1,2 m}$ and $I^{-}=I_{j, m}^{-}=I_{j+1,2 m+1}$, respectively. The Haar function $h_{I}=h_{j, m}$ with support $I$ is the function on $[0,1)$ which is +1 on the left half of $I,-1$ on the right half of $I$, and 0 outside of $I$. The $L_{\infty}$-normalized Haar system consists of all Haar functions $h_{j, m}$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m=0,1, \ldots, 2^{j}-1$ together with the indicator function $h_{-1,0}$ of $[0,1)$. After normalization in $L_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$, we obtain the orthonormal Haar basis of $L_{2}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $\mathbb{N}_{-1}=\{-1,0,1,2, \ldots\}$, and define $\mathbb{D}_{j}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{j}-1\right\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{-1}=\{0\}$ for $j=-1$. For $j=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^{2}$ and $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{j}:=\mathbb{D}_{j_{1}} \times \mathbb{D}_{j_{2}}$, the Haar function $h_{j, m}$ is given as the tensor product $h_{j, m}(x)=h_{j_{1}, m_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) h_{j_{2}, m_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in[0,1)^{2}$. We will call the rectangles $I_{j, m}=I_{j_{1}, m_{1}} \times I_{j_{2}, m_{2}}$ dyadic rectangles. The $L_{\infty}$-normalized tensor Haar system consists of all Haar functions $h_{j, m}$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^{2}$ and $m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$. After normalization in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)$, we obtain the orthonormal Haar basis of $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)$.

Now, Parseval's equation shows that the $L_{2}$-norm of a function $f \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{2}\right)$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left.f\left|L_{2} \|^{2}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^{2}} 2^{\max \left(0, j_{1}\right)+\max \left(0, j_{2}\right)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}}\right| \mu_{j, m}\right|^{2}\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j, m}=\mu_{j, m}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{Q}^{2}} f(x) h_{j, m}(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the Haar coefficients of $f$.
The following two crucial lemmas are easy to verify, and have already been used in [4].
Lemma 2. Let $f(x)=x_{1} x_{2}$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}, m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$, and let $\mu_{j, m}$ be the Haar coefficient of $f$ given by (8). Then

$$
\mu_{j, m}=2^{-2 j_{1}-2 j_{2}-4}
$$

Lemma 3. Fix $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}$, and let $f(x)=\mathbb{1}_{C_{z}}(x)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}, m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$, and let $\mu_{j, m}$ be the Haar coefficient of $f$ given by ( 8 ). Then $\mu_{j, m}=0$ whenever $z$ is not contained in the interior of the dyadic rectangle $I_{j, m}$ supporting $h_{j, m}$.

## 3. The lower bound

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1 . Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{2}$ be an $N$-point set. Let $j=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}, m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$ be such that no point of $\mathcal{P}$ lies in the interior of the dyadic rectangle $I_{j, m}$ supporting $h_{j, m}$. Let $\mu_{j, m}$ be the Haar coefficient of the discrepancy function (1). Now, Lemmas 2 and 3 imply that

$$
\mu_{j, m}=-N 2^{-2 j_{1}-2 j_{2}-4}
$$

Observe that for fixed $j=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}$ the cardinality of $\mathbb{D}_{j}$ is $2^{j_{1}+j_{2}}$, and the interiors of the dyadic boxes $I_{j, m}$ supporting $h_{j, m}$ are mutually disjoint. This implies that there are at least $2^{j_{1}+j_{2}}-N$ such $m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$ for which no point of $\mathcal{P}$ lies in the interior of the dyadic rectangle $I_{j, m}$ supporting $h_{j, m}$.

We abbreviate $M=\left\lceil\log _{2} N\right\rceil$. Then, we obtain from (7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|^{2} & \geq N^{2} \sum_{j_{1}+j_{2} \geq M} 2^{j_{1}+j_{2}}\left(2^{j_{1}+j_{2}}-N\right) 2^{-4 j_{1}-4 j_{2}-8} \\
& =2^{-8} N^{2} \sum_{j_{1}+j_{2} \geq M} 4^{-\left(j_{1}+j_{2}\right)}-2^{-8} N^{3} \sum_{j_{1}+j_{2} \geq M} 8^{-\left(j_{1}+j_{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for any $q>1$, we have

$$
\sum_{j_{1}+j_{2} \geq M} q^{-\left(j_{1}+j_{2}\right)}=\sum_{k=M}^{\infty}(k+1) q^{-k}=q^{-M+1}\left(\frac{M}{q-1}+\frac{q}{(q-1)^{2}}\right),
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|^{2} & \geq 2^{-6}\left(N 2^{-M}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{M}{3}+\frac{4}{9}\right)-2^{-5}\left(N 2^{-M}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{M}{7}+\frac{8}{49}\right) \\
& \geq 2^{-6}\left(N 2^{-M}\right)^{2} \frac{M}{3}-2^{-5}\left(N 2^{-M}\right)^{3} \frac{M}{7},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last estimate easily follows from $0<N 2^{-M} \leq 1$.
Now, let $t=M-\log _{2} N$ so that $0 \leq t<1$ and $N 2^{-\bar{M}}=2^{-t}$. Then, we have proved that

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|^{2} \geq \gamma \log _{2} N
$$

if we can verify that

$$
2^{-6} 2^{-2 t} \frac{M}{3}-2^{-5} 2^{-3 t} \frac{M}{7} \geq \gamma(M-t)
$$

for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t<1$. The last inequality is equivalent to

$$
\left(\gamma-2^{-6} 3^{-1} 2^{-2 t}+2^{-5} 7^{-1} 2^{-3 t}\right) M \leq \gamma t,
$$

which is certainly satisfied whenever $\gamma \geq 0$ and

$$
\gamma \leq 2^{-6} 3^{-1} 2^{-2 t}-2^{-5} 7^{-1} 2^{-3 t}
$$

for all $0 \leq t<1$ or, alternatively,

$$
\gamma \leq 2^{-6} 3^{-1} y^{2}-2^{-5} 7^{-1} y^{3}
$$

for all $1 / 2<y \leq 1$. The maximal value of the right-hand side is easily seen to be $\frac{49}{46656}$ for $y=\frac{7}{9}$. So we can choose

$$
\gamma=\frac{49}{46656}=\left(\frac{7}{216}\right)^{2},
$$

which leads to the value for $c$ in the theorem. This finishes the proof.

## 4. Final remarks

Our lower bound is also valid for the weighted discrepancy, which can be defined as follows. Let $a=\left(a_{z}\right)_{z \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a system of real numbers associating a weight $a_{z}$ with a point $z \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the weighted discrepancy function is defined as

$$
D_{\mathcal{P}, a}(x):=\sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} a_{z} \mathbb{1}_{C_{z}}(x)-N\left|B_{x}\right| .
$$

The discrepancy function defined by (1) is obtained in the case that all points of $\mathcal{P}$ have weight 1 . Thanks to Lemma 3, the Haar coefficient with respect to a Haar function whose support does not intersect $\mathcal{P}$ does not depend on the weights. So one gets the same lower bound with the same constant for the weighted $L_{2}$-discrepancy as in the case without weights. Hence, we have the following generalization of Theorem 1 to the weighted discrepancy.

Theorem 4. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, all $N$-point sets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{2}$, and all weights $a=\left(a_{z}\right)_{z \in \mathcal{P}}$, the inequality

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}, a} \mid L_{2}\right\| \geq c \sqrt{\log N}
$$

holds with

$$
c=\frac{7}{216 \sqrt{\log 2}}=0.038925 \ldots .
$$

We now consider point sets in higher dimensions. Then, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $N$-point subsets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{d}$, there is a known lower bound of the form

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\| \geq c_{d}(\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}
$$

where the constant is known from [2] as

$$
c_{d}=\frac{1}{2^{2 d+4} \sqrt{(d-1)!}(\log 2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} .
$$

Our intention is to use the method above to improve this constant. The idea of tensor product Haar bases can easily be transferred to higher dimensions, i.e., $d>2$. For $j=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^{d}$ and $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{D}_{j}:=\mathbb{D}_{j_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{D}_{j_{d}}$, the Haar function $h_{j, m}$ is given as the tensor product $h_{j, m}(x)=h_{j_{1}, m_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots h_{j_{d}, m_{d}}\left(x_{d}\right)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in[0,1)^{d}$. We will call the rectangles $I_{j, m}=I_{j_{1}, m_{1}} \times \cdots \times I_{j_{d}, m_{d}}$ dyadic boxes. The $L_{\infty}$-normalized tensor Haar system consists of all Haar functions $h_{j, m}$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^{d}$ and $m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$. After normalization in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{d}\right)$, we obtain the orthonormal Haar basis of $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{d}\right)$.

Now, Parseval's equation shows that the $L_{2}$-norm of a function $f \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{d}\right)$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left.f\left|L_{2} \|^{2}=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^{d}} 2^{\max \left(0, j_{1}\right)+\cdots+\max \left(0, j_{d}\right)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}}\right| \mu_{j, m}\right|^{2},\right. \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j, m}=\mu_{j, m}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{Q}^{d}} f(x) h_{j, m}(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the Haar coefficients of $f$.
Analogously to the case when $d=2$, we can state the following two lemmas. They are easy to verify.

Lemma 5. Let $f(x)=x_{1} \ldots x_{d}$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{d}$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}, m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$, and let $\mu_{j, m}$ be the Haar coefficient of $f$ given by (10). Then

$$
\mu_{j, m}=2^{-2 j_{1}-\cdots-2 j_{d}-2 d}
$$

Lemma 6. Fix $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{d}$, and let $f(x)=\mathbb{1}_{C_{z}}(x)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{d}$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}, m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$, and let $\mu_{j, m}$ be the Haar coefficient of $f$ given by (10). Then $\mu_{j, m}=0$ whenever $z$ is not contained in the interior of the dyadic box $I_{j, m}$ supporting $h_{j, m}$.

Now, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{d}$ be an $N$-point set. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}, m \in \mathbb{D}_{j}$ be such that no point of $\mathcal{P}$ lies in the interior of the dyadic box $I_{j, m}$ supporting $h_{j, m}$. Let $\mu_{j, m}$ be the Haar coefficient of the discrepancy function (1). Then Lemmas 2 and 3 imply that

$$
\left|\mu_{j, m}\right|=N 2^{-2 j_{1}-\cdots-2 j_{d}-2 d}
$$

for $j=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}$. We obtain the following result.
Theorem 7. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $N$-point sets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{d}$, the inequality

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\| \geq c_{d}(\log N)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}
$$

holds with

$$
c_{d}=\frac{7}{27 \cdot 2^{2 d-1} \sqrt{(d-1)!}(\log 2)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} .
$$

In comparison with the known result, the constant is improved by a factor of $\frac{224}{27}=8.296296 \ldots$. The calculation of the constant is analogous to the case when $d=2$. First, one obtains

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|^{2} \geq 2^{-4 d} N^{2} \sum_{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{d} \geq M} 4^{-\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{d}\right)}-2^{-4 d} N^{3} \sum_{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{d} \geq M} 8^{-\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{d}\right)} .
$$

Then, one checks that the coefficient of $M^{d-1}$ in

$$
\sum_{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{d} \geq M} q^{-\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{d}\right)}
$$

for any $q>1$ is

$$
\frac{q^{-M+1}}{(q-1)(d-1)!} .
$$

Finally, one obtains

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|^{2} \geq 2^{-4 d}\left(N 2^{-M}\right)^{2} \frac{4}{3} \frac{M^{d-1}}{(d-1)!}-2^{-4 d}\left(N 2^{-M}\right)^{3} \frac{8}{7} \frac{M^{d-1}}{(d-1)!} .
$$

Then, analogously to the two-dimensional case, we get the estimate

$$
\left\|D_{\mathcal{P}} \mid L_{2}\right\|^{2} \geq \gamma\left(\log _{2} N\right)^{d-1}
$$

if

$$
\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2^{4 d}(d-1)!}\left(\frac{4}{3} y^{2}-\frac{8}{7} y^{3}\right)
$$

for all $1 / 2<y \leq 1$. The maximal value of the right-hand side is reached for $y=\frac{7}{9}$, and is

$$
\gamma=\frac{1}{2^{4 d}(d-1)!}\left(\frac{14}{27}\right)^{2} .
$$

This leads to the value of $c_{d}$ in the theorem.
Analogously to the two-dimensional case, one obtains in the $d$-dimensional case the same bounds for the weighted discrepancy as for the unweighted.
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