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#### Abstract

By making use of a familiar analogue of the Ruscheweyh derivative as well as of the principle of subordination between two analytic functions, the authors introduce and study rather systematically a certain family of meromorphically multivalent functions in the open unit disk $$
\mathcal{U}:=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and }|z|<1\}
$$

Several inclusion properties of this family are associated with an integral operator of the Bernardi-Libera-Livingston type. (c) 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS, AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $\Sigma_{p}$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p+1}, \quad(p \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and $p$-valent in the punctured unit disk

$$
\mathcal{U}^{*}:=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } 0<|z|<1\}=\mathcal{U} \backslash\{0\} .
$$

In terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution) of two functions, we define an analogue of the familiar Ruscheweyh derivative [1] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z):=\frac{1}{z^{p}(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z), \quad\left(n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N} ; f \in \Sigma_{p}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under Grant OGP0007353.

0898-1221/99/\$ - see front matter. (c) 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. Typeset by AMS-TEX
PII: S0898-1221(99)00194-7
or equivalently, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{p}}\left(\frac{z^{n+2 p-1} f(z)}{(n+p-1)!}\right)^{(n+p-1)}, \quad\left(n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N} ; f \in \Sigma_{p}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, and throughout this paper, $n$ is assumed to be an integer ( $>-p$ ).
It follows readily from (1.1) and (1.2) (or (1.3)) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)=z^{-p}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta(n, k) a_{k} z^{k-p+1}, \quad\left(n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N} ; f \in \Sigma_{p}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ is given by (1.1) and (for convenience)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(n, k):=\binom{n+p+k}{k+1}=\binom{n+p+k}{n+p-1} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, with a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$. Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ if there exists a function $h(z)$ analytic in $\mathcal{U}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|h(z)|<1, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(h(z)), \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote this subordination by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec g(z) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $g(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, subordination (1.8) is equivalent to (cf. [2, p. 190])

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U}) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many interesting families of analytic and multivalent functions were considered by earlier authors in Geometric Function Theory (cf., e.g., [3,4]). Motivated essentially by some recent works of Uralegaddi et al. [5-7], we aim at investigating here various properties and characteristics of a new family

$$
\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha), \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \alpha<p)
$$

of meromorphically $p$-valent functions in $\mathcal{U}$, which is given by the following.
Definition. A function $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ is said to be in the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ of meromorphically $p$-valent functions in $\mathcal{U}$ if

$$
\begin{align*}
& -z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime} \prec \frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} z}{1+B z}  \tag{1.10}\\
& (z \in \mathcal{U} ;-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \alpha<p ; n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N})
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently, if

$$
\begin{align*}
&-z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} h(z)}{1+B h(z)}  \tag{1.11}\\
&(z \in \mathcal{U} ; h \in \Lambda ;-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \alpha<p ; n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N})
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda:=\{f: f \text { analytic in } \mathcal{U}, f(0)=0, \text { and }|f(z)|<1(z \in \mathcal{U})\} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(n+p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p} f(z)-(n+2 p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

this last condition (1.11) can be rewritten in yet another equivalent form

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{p}\left\{(n+p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p} f(z)-(n+2 p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right\} & =-\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} h(z)}{1+B h(z)}  \tag{1.14}\\
(z \in \mathcal{U} ; h \in \Lambda ;-1 \leq B<A \leq 1 ; 0 & \leq \alpha<p ; n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N})
\end{align*}
$$

It should be remarked in passing that the special class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; 0)$ was considered earlier by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [6]. Furthermore, various other subclasses of the class $\Sigma_{p}$, defined in terms of the modified Ruscheweyh derivative (1.2), were studied recently (cf., e.g., [8-10]).

Each of the following results (Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 below) will be required in our present investigation. Lemma 2, in particular, is popularly known as Jack's Lemma.
Lemma 1. A function $f \in \Sigma_{p}$ is in the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+m\right|<M, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (and in what follows)

$$
\begin{equation*}
m:=\alpha+\frac{(p-\alpha)(1-A B)}{1-B^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad M:=\frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)}{1-B^{2}}, \quad(-1<B<A \leq 1) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$. We then find from (1.11) and (1.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+m=-M \omega(z) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(z):=\frac{B+h(z)}{1+B h(z)}, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, since $h \in \Lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega(z)|<1, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the desired inequality (1.15) follows immediately.
Conversely, suppose that inequality (1.15) holds true. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+m}{M}\right|<1, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ and $M$ are given by (1.16).
Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=-\frac{z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+m}{M}, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
h(z) & =\frac{g(z)-g(0)}{1-g(z) g(0)} \\
& =-\frac{z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+p}{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)+B z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}), \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

it is easily seen that $h \in \Lambda$.
Upon rearranging (1.22), we arrive at condition (1.11). Hence $f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$.
Lemma 2. (See [11,12].) Let the (nonconstant) function $w(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ with $w(0)=0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r<1$ at a point $z_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=c w\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a real number and $c \geq 1$.
We shall also make use of the integral operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mu, p}$ analogous to the Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator (cf., e.g., [4]), which we define here by (see also [8, p. 162, equation (2.11)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, p} f\right)(z):=\frac{\mu}{z^{\mu+p}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu+p-1} f(t) d t, \quad\left(\mu>0 ; p \in \mathbb{N} ; f \in \Sigma_{p}\right) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. AN INCLUSION PROPERTY OF THE CLASS $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$

Applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we shall first prove the main inclusion property of the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$, which is given by the following.

Theorem 1. For any integer $n>-p(p \in \mathbb{N})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n+1, p}(A, B ; \alpha) \subset \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Omega_{n+1, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p}\left\{(n+p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p} f(z)-(n+2 p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right\}=-\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} w(z)}{1+B w(z)}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $w(z)$ is either analytic or meromorphic in $\mathcal{U}$. Clearly, $w(0)=0$.
Upon differentiating both sides of (2.2) with respect to $z$ and making use of identity (1.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}= & -\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \\
& -\frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)}{n+p}\left[\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\{1+B w(z)\}^{2}}\right] . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+m= & \frac{m-p-\{(p-m) B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \\
& -\frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)}{n+p}\left[\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\{1+B w(z)\}^{2}}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is defined by (1.16).
Now let $r^{*}$ denote the distance, from the origin, of the nearest pole of $w(z)$ in $\mathcal{U}$. Then $w(z)$ is analytic in

$$
|z|<r_{0}=\min \left\{r^{*}, 1\right\} .
$$

By Lemma 2, there exists a point $z_{0}$ in the closed disk

$$
|z| \leq r, \quad\left(0<r<r_{0}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=c w\left(z_{0}\right), \quad(c \geq 1) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we readily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}+m=\frac{N\left(z_{0}\right)}{D\left(z_{0}\right)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for convenience,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}:=\left.\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right|_{z=z_{0}},  \tag{2.7}\\
N\left(z_{0}\right)=(n+p)(m-p)-[(n+p)\{2(p-m) B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} \\
-c(p-\alpha)(A-B)] w\left(z_{0}\right)-(n+p)\{(p-m) B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} B\left\{w\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}^{2}, \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(z_{0}\right)=(n+p)\left[1+2 B w\left(z_{0}\right)+B^{2}\left\{w\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}^{2}\right] . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that it were possible to have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r\left(0<r<r_{0} \leq 1\right)$. Then, in view of the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
p-m=B M \quad \text { and } \quad p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)-B m=M \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m$ and $M$ defined by (1.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}-M^{2}\left|D\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}=\xi+2 \eta \Re\left\{w\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=c(p-\alpha)(A-B)\left\{c(p-\alpha)(A-B)+2(n+p)\left(1+B^{2}\right) M\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=2 c(n+p)(p-\alpha)(A-B) B M . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily observed from (2.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}-M^{2}\left|D\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}>0, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that

$$
\xi \pm 2 \eta>0 .
$$

From (2.13) and (2.14) we do find that

$$
\xi+2 \eta=c(p-\alpha)(A-B)\left\{c(p-\alpha)(A-B)+2(n+p)(1+B)^{2} M\right\}>0
$$

and

$$
\xi-2 \eta=c(p-\alpha)(A-B)\left\{c(p-\alpha)(A-B)+2(n+p)(1-B)^{2} M\right\}>0 .
$$

Thus it follows from (2.6) and (2.15) that

$$
\left|z_{0}^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}+m\right|>M,
$$

which, in view of Lemma 1, contradicts our assumption that

$$
f \in \Omega_{n+1, p}(A, B ; \alpha) .
$$

So we cannot have $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$. Consequently,

$$
|w(z)| \neq 1, \quad\left(|z|<r_{0}\right) .
$$

Since $w(0)=0,|w(z)|$ is continuous, and $|w(z)| \neq 1$ in $|z|<r_{0}, w(z)$ cannot have a pole on $|z|=r_{0}$. Therefore, $w(z)$ is analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and satisfies the inequality

$$
|w(z)|<1, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

It follows from (2.2) and (1.14) that

$$
f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha),
$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. AN INCLUSION PROPERTY ASSOCIATED WITH THE CLASS-PRESERVING INTEGRAL OPERATOR $\mathcal{J}_{\mu-p+1, p} \quad(\Re(\mu)>p-1 ; p \in \mathbb{N})$

In this section, we shall prove an inclusion property of the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ associated with the class-preserving integral operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mu-p+1, p}$ defined by (1.24). We first state the following.

Theorem 2. If $f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$, then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu-p+1, p} f\right)(z), \quad(\Re(\mu)>p-1 ; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

also belongs to the same class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$.
Proof. It is easily verified that the function $F(z)$ defined by (3.1) satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\mu-p+1) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)-(\mu+1) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} F(z) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} w(z)}{1+B w(z)}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $w(z)$ is either analytic or meromorphic in $\mathcal{U}$. Clearly, $w(0)=0$.
It follows readily from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mu-p+1) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)= & (\mu+1) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} F(z) \\
& -z^{-p}\left[\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} w(z)}{1+B w(z)}\right] . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Upon differentiating both sides of (3.4) and making use of (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}= & -\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \\
& -\frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)}{\mu-p+1}\left[\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\{1+B w(z)\}^{2}}\right], \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

which readily yields

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+m= & \frac{m-p-\{(p-m) B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \\
& -\frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)}{\mu-p+1}\left[\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\{1+B w(z)\}^{2}}\right], \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is defined, as before, by (1.16).
The assertion of Theorem 2 can now be deduced from (3.6) by employing the same technique as in our proof of Theorem 1 from (2.4).

In its special case when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=n+2 p-1, \quad(n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the class-preserving operator involved in Theorem 2 would yield yet another inclusion property contained in the following.

Theorem 3. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\left(\mathcal{J}_{n+p, p} f\right)(z), \quad(n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\Omega_{n+1, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ if and only if

$$
f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)
$$

Proof. Under the special case (3.7), identity (3.2) reduces immediately to

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=(n+p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)-(n+2 p) \mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} F(z) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(z)$ is now defined by (3.8).
Upon expressing the first member of (3.9) by means of identity (1.13), and then comparing the corresponding right-hand side with the second member of (3.9), we get (cf. [8, p. 164, equation (2.18)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{n+p} F(z)=\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}, \quad(n>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obviously proves Theorem 3.

## 4. THEOREMS INVOLVING SHARP COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES AND CONVEXITY OF THE CLASS $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$

The following result provides sharp coefficient estimates for functions in the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$. Theorem 4. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)}{(k-p+1) \delta(n, k)}, \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} ; k \neq p-1 ; p \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta(n, k)$ is defined by (1.5).
The result is sharp with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} z^{k+1}}{1+B z^{k+1}}, \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$, condition (1.11) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=-z^{-p-1} \frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} h(z)}{1+B h(z)}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $h(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j} z^{j}, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\Lambda$ defined by (1.12). Thus, upon writing (4.3) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+p z^{-p-1}=-\left[\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} z^{-p-1}+B\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right] h(z) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from (1.4) and (4.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1) \delta(n, k) a_{k} z^{k-p} \\
& \quad=-\left[(p-\alpha)(A-B) z^{-p-1}+B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1) \delta(n, k) a_{k} z^{k-p}\right] \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{j} z^{j}, \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta(n, k)$ is defined by (1.5).
Upon rewriting (4.6) in its equivalent form

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1) \delta(n, k) a_{k} z^{k-p}= & -(p-\alpha)(A-B) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k+1} z^{k-p} \\
& -B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}(k-j-p+1) \delta(n, k-j) a_{k-j} b_{j}\right) z^{k-p} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and equating the coefficients of $z^{k-p}$ from both sides of (4.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(k-p+1) \delta(n, k) a_{k}= & -(p-\alpha)(A-B) b_{k+1} \\
& -B \sum_{j=1}^{k}(k-j-p+1) \delta(n, k-j) a_{k-j} b_{j}, \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right), \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where, as usual, an empty sum is to be interpreted as nil.
Formula (4.8) expresses the coefficient $a_{k}$ in terms of $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}(k \in \mathbb{N})$. Hence, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, it follows from (4.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{k}(j-p+1) \delta(n, j) a_{j} z^{j+1}+\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} c_{j} z^{j+2} \\
& \quad=-\left[(p-\alpha)(A-B)+B \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(j-p+1) \delta(n, j) a_{j} z^{j+1}\right] h(z), \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for some complex numbers $c_{j}(j=k, k+1, k+2, \ldots)$. Since $|h(z)|<1(z \in \mathcal{U})$, by applying Parseval's identity (cf. [13, p. 100]), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{k}(j-p+1)^{2}\{\delta(n, j)\}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2} r^{2(j+1)}+\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} r^{2(j+2)} \\
& \quad \leq(p-\alpha)^{2}(A-B)^{2}+B^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(j-p+1)^{2}\{\delta(n, j)\}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2} r^{2(j+1)}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \quad \leq(p-\alpha)^{2}(A-B)^{2}+B^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(j-p+1)^{2}\{\delta(n, j)\}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}, \quad(0<r<1)
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$ - in (4.10), we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{k}(j-p+1)^{2}\{\delta(n, j)\}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq(p-\alpha)^{2}(A-B)^{2}+B^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(j-p+1)^{2}\{\delta(n, j)\}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

which may be simplified as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (k-p+1)^{2}\{\delta(n, k)\}^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq(p-\alpha)^{2}(A-B)^{2}-\left(1-B^{2}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(j-p+1)^{2}\{\delta(n, j)\}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \quad \leq(p-\alpha)^{2}(A-B)^{2}, \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The main assertion of Theorem 4 follows immediately from (4.12).
Next we give a sufficient condition, in terms of the coefficients, for a function to be in the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ when $-1 \leq B<0$.
Theorem 5. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be analytic in the punctured unit disk $\mathcal{U}^{*}$. Also let $-1 \leq B<0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1)(1-B) \delta(n, k)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq(p-\alpha)(A-B) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta(n, k)$ is defined by (1.5), then

$$
f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha), \quad(-1 \leq B<0 ; B<A \leq 1)
$$

The result is sharp with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z)=z^{-p}+\frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)}{(k-p+1)(1-B) \delta(n, k)} z^{k-p+1}  \tag{4.14}\\
\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; k \neq p-1 ; p \in \mathbb{N} ;-1 \leq B<0 ; B<A \leq 1\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that inequality (4.13) holds true. Then, for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, we find from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+p\right| & =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1) \delta(n, k) a_{k} z^{k+1}\right|  \tag{4.15}\\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1) \delta(n, k)\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k+1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\}+B z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right| \\
& \quad=\left|(p-\alpha)(A-B)+B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1) \delta(n, k) a_{k} z^{k+1}\right|  \tag{4.16}\\
& \quad \geq(p-\alpha)(A-B)+B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1) \delta(n, k)\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k+1}
\end{align*}
$$

since $-1 \leq B<0(0<r<1)$. Letting $r \rightarrow 1$ - in (4.15) and (4.16), if we appropriately combine the resulting inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+p\right|-\left|\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\}+B z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1)(1-B) \delta(n, k)\left|a_{k}\right|-(p-\alpha)(A-B)  \tag{4.17}\\
& \quad \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

by virtue of condition (4.13). We thus find from (4.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+p}{\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\}+B z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right| \leq 1, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily seen to be equivalent to condition (1.11). Hence $f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ under the hypotheses of Theorem 5 .

The equality in (4.13) is attained for the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (4.14), since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+p}{\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\}+B z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right|=1, \quad(z=1), \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(z)$ is given by (4.14).
Remark. The converse of Theorem 5 is not true. Consider the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) for which the following condition holds true:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{D}^{n+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{p+\{p B+(p-\alpha)(A-B)\} z}{1+B z},  \tag{4.20}\\
& (z \in \mathcal{U} ;-1 \leq B<0 ; B<A \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \alpha<p ; p \in \mathbb{N}) .
\end{align*}
$$

It is evident that $f \in \Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$. Furthermore, it is easily verified for this function that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}=\frac{(p-\alpha)(A-B)(-B)^{k}}{(k-p+1) \delta(n, k)}, \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; k \neq p-1 ; p \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-p+1)(1-B) \delta(n, k)\left|a_{k}\right| & =(p-\alpha)(A-B) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(1-B)(-B)^{k}  \tag{4.22}\\
& >(p-\alpha)(A-B), \quad(-1 \leq B<0 ; B<A \leq 1),
\end{align*}
$$

which obviously contradicts condition (4.13) of Theorem 5.
Finally, we state a theorem which exhibits the fact that the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$ is convex. The proof is fairly straightforward and is left as an exercise for the interested reader.
Theorem 6. Suppose that each of the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is in the class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=\mu f(z)+(1-\mu) g(z), \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also in the same class $\Omega_{n, p}(A, B ; \alpha)$.
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