



# A Note on the Boundary Stabilization of a Compactly Coupled System of Wave Equations

M. AASSILA

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée  
Université Louis Pasteur et C.N.R.S.  
7, rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg cédex, France  
aassila@math.u-strasbg.fr

*(Received and accepted June 1998)*

Communicated by J. R. Ockendon

**Abstract**—We obtain decay estimates of the energy of solutions to compactly coupled wave equations with a nonlinear boundary dissipation which is weak as  $|u'|$  tends to infinity. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords**—Boundary stabilization, Multiplier method, Wave equation, Integral inequalities.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are concerned with the decay property of the solutions to the evolutionary system

$$u_1'' - \Delta u_1 + \alpha(u_1 - u_2) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u_2'' - \Delta u_2 + \alpha(u_2 - u_1) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u_i = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \nu} + a_i u_i + g_i(u_i') = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u_i(0) = u_{i0} \quad \text{and} \quad u_i'(0) = u_{i1}, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\Omega$  is bounded open domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  is a partition of its boundary  $\Gamma$ ,  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal vector to  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ , and  $\alpha : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a_1, a_2 : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are some given functions.

The problem of proving the energy decay rates for solutions of systems of evolution equations with dissipation at the boundary has been treated by several authors. Indeed, in the case of wave or plate equations we can mention Conrad and Rao [1], Komornik [2], Komornik and Zuazua [3], Lagnese [4], Lasiecka [5], Lasiecka and Tataru [6], Lions [7], and Zuazua [8] among others.

Very little is known for the compactly coupled wave equations. To our knowledge, uniform decay estimates for the one-dimensional case and by applying a linear boundary feedback was

studied by Najafi, Sahrangi and Wang [9], and quite recently Komornik and Rao [10] have obtained exponential (or polynomial) decay in the multidimensional case when the boundary dissipation satisfies:

$$c_1|x|^p \leq |g_i(x)| \leq c_2|x|^{1/p}, \quad \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \quad (1.6)$$

$$c_3|x| \leq |g_i(x)| \leq c_4|x|, \quad \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $c_1, c_2, c_3,$  and  $c_4$  are four positive constants.

These works [9,10] have a serious drawback from the point of view of physical applications: they never apply for bounded functions  $g_i$  because  $c_3 > 0$  in (1.7). The purpose of this paper is to obtain a variant of Najafi *et al.* and Komornik and Rao's results for functions such that

$$-\infty < \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} g_i(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g_i(x) < +\infty. \quad (1.8)$$

If  $g_i(x)$  satisfies at most (1.8), the dissipation effect of  $g_i(u'_i)$  is weak as  $|u'_i|$  is large, and for convenience we call such a term weak dissipation. The most typical example is  $g_i(x) = x/\sqrt{1+x^2}$ . Let us note that the case of single wave equation with internal damping  $g(x)$  satisfying (1.8) was studied by Nakao [11].

## 2. THE MAIN RESULT

Throughout the paper we shall make the following assumptions.

(H1) The domain  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^2$ .

(H2) The partition of  $\Gamma$  satisfies the condition  $\overline{\Gamma_0} \cap \overline{\Gamma_1} = \emptyset$ .

(H3) There exists a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that, putting  $m(x) = x - x_0$ , we have

$$m \cdot \nu \leq 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\Gamma_1} m \cdot \nu > 0.$$

(H4) The coefficients  $a_i$  are nonnegative and they belong to  $C^1(\Gamma_1)$ . Moreover, either  $\Gamma_0 \neq \emptyset$  or  $\inf_{\Gamma_1} a_1 > 0$  and  $\inf_{\Gamma_1} a_2 > 0$ .

(H5) The function  $\alpha$  is nonnegative and belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

(H6) The functions  $g_i$  are continuous, nondecreasing, and

$$g_i(x) = 0 \iff x = 0.$$

Furthermore, there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$|g_i(x)| \leq 1 + c|x|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

### REMARKS.

- (1) If  $n = 1$ , then  $\Omega$  is bounded open interval, say  $\Omega = (x_1, x_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and hence hypothesis (H1) is always satisfied. Furthermore, hypotheses (H2),(H3) are satisfied in each of the following three cases:
  - (i)  $\Gamma_0 = \emptyset, \Gamma_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,
  - (ii)  $\Gamma_0 = \{x_1\}, \Gamma_1 = \{x_2\}$ ,
  - (iii)  $\Gamma_0 = \{x_2\}, \Gamma_1 = \{x_1\}$ .
- (2) If  $n \geq 2$  and  $\Omega$  is star-shaped with respect to some point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  (i.e.,  $m \cdot \nu \geq 0$  on  $\Gamma$ ), then hypotheses (H2),(H3) are satisfied with  $\Gamma_0 = \emptyset, \Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ .
- (3) If  $n \geq 2$  and  $\Omega = \Omega_1 - \overline{\Omega}_0$  where  $\Omega_0, \Omega_1$  are star-shaped domains with respect to some point  $x_0 \in \Omega_0$  and  $\overline{\Omega}_0 \subset \Omega_1$ , then hypotheses (H2),(H3) are satisfied with  $\Gamma_0 = \partial\Omega_0, \Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega_1$ .

- (4) If  $n \geq 2$  and  $\Omega$  is not of the form mentioned in the preceding two examples, then in general there is no point  $x_0$  satisfying simultaneously (H2) and (H3). By applying an approximal method of Grisvard [12], one could considerably weaken assumptions (H2),(H3), at least in dimensions  $n = 2, 3$ , by adapting an analogous argument given in [3] for the wave equation. We note that Moussaoui [13] has recently generalized Grisvard's results for arbitrary space dimension  $n$ . We do not pursue these matters here.
- (5) The condition  $\inf_{\Gamma_1} m \cdot \nu > 0$  of (H3) is unnecessary if we use the weight  $m \cdot \nu$  in the boundary damping as in [3].

If  $u = (u_1, u_2)$  is a solution of problems (1.1)–(1.5), then we define its energy  $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by the following formula:

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_1')^2 + |\nabla u_1|^2 + (u_2')^2 + |\nabla u_2|^2 + \alpha(u_1 - u_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} a_1 u_1^2 + a_2 u_2^2.$$

It is well known (see [10, Theorem 1]) that under hypotheses (H1)–(H6), given  $(u_{i0}, u_{i1}) \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) arbitrarily, problems (1.1)–(1.5) has a unique weak solution satisfying

$$u_i \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega)), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where we set  $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}$ .

Our main result is the following.

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Let  $(u_{i0}, u_{i1}) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \times H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that*

$$\frac{\partial u_{i0}}{\partial \nu} + a_i u_{i0} + g_i(u_{i1}) = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

*Assume that there exists a number  $p$  such that*

$$p = 1, \quad \text{if } n = 1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$p > 1, \quad \text{if } n = 2, \quad (2.2)$$

$$p \geq n - 1, \quad \text{if } n \geq 3, \quad (2.3)$$

*and four positive constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$  such that*

$$c_1 |x|^p \leq |g_i(x)| \leq c_2 |x|^{1/p}, \quad \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$c_3 \leq |g_i(x)| \leq c_4 |x|, \quad \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \quad (2.5)$$

*and assume that  $\alpha$  is a nonnegative constant, then the strong solution of (1.1)–(1.5) satisfies the estimates:*

$$E(t) \leq cE(0)e^{-\omega t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text{if } p = 1, \quad (2.6)$$

*where  $c, \omega$  are positive constants and*

$$E(t) \leq \frac{c}{(1+t)^{2/(p-1)}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \text{if } p > 1, \quad (2.7)$$

*where  $c$  is a positive constant.*

**REMARK.** If (2.4) holds, then it holds also for any  $q \geq p$ . Thus as soon as (2.4) holds for some  $p$ , the main theorem applies and provides the decay rate corresponding to the least  $q \geq p$  satisfying the assumptions of the theorem.

To end this section, let us recall the following useful lemma.

**LEMMA 2.1.** (See [14, Theorem 9.1].) *Let  $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a nonincreasing function and assume that there are two constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $T > 0$  such that*

$$\int_t^\infty E^{\alpha+1}(s) ds \leq TE(0)^\alpha E(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (2.8)$$

*Then we have*

$$E(t) \leq E(0) \left( \frac{T + \alpha t}{T + \alpha T} \right)^{-1/\alpha}, \quad \forall t \geq T. \quad (2.9)$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Multiplying (1.1) by  $u'_1$ , (1.2) by  $u'_2$ , integrating their sum by parts in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , and finally eliminating the normal derivatives by using the boundary conditions (1.3) and (1.4), we obtain easily that

$$E(0) - E(T) = \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_1} u'_1 g_1(u'_1) + u'_2 g_2(u'_2) d\gamma dt \quad (3.1)$$

for every positive number  $T$ . Being the primitive of an integrable function, hence  $E$  is locally absolutely continuous and

$$E' = - \int_{\Gamma_1} u'_1 g_1(u'_1) + u'_2 g_2(u'_2) d\gamma \quad (3.2)$$

is satisfied almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

We are going to prove that the energy of the strong solution

$$u_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, H^2(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega)), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\int_S^T E^{(p+1)/2}(t) dt \leq cE(S) \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $0 \leq S < T < +\infty$ . Here and in the sequel we shall denote by  $c$  various positive constants, depending only on the initial energy  $E(0)$  and on the four constants  $c_i$  appearing in assumptions (2.4), (2.5).

According to Komornik and Rao [10, Lemma 4.1], we have by multiplying equation (1.1) by  $E^{(p-1)/2}(2(x-x_0) \cdot \nabla u_1 + (n-\varepsilon)u_1)$  that

$$\int_S^T E^{(p+1)/2} dt \leq cE(S) + c \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_S^T E^{(p-1)/2} \int_{\Gamma_1} (u'_i)^2 + g_i(u'_i)^2 + (u_i)^2. \quad (3.4)$$

In order to get rid of the term  $u_i^2$  in estimate (3.4), we apply a method introduced by Conrad and Rao [1]. Thanks to [10, pp. 353–355], we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S^T E^{(p-1)/2} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^2 d\gamma dt \\ & \leq cE(S) + c \int_S^T E^{(p-1)/2} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_1 g_1(u'_1)| + |u_2 g_2(u'_2)| d\gamma dt + c \int_S^T E^{p/2} \|u'\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $|u|^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2$  and  $|u'|^2 = (u'_1)^2 + (u'_2)^2$ .

Now, we want to estimate the last term of the right-hand side of the above estimate.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *It holds that*

$$\|u'\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \leq c|E'|^{1/2}, \quad \text{if } n = 1,$$

and

$$\|u'\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \leq c|E'|^{1/(p+1)}, \quad \text{if } n \geq 2. \quad (3.5)$$

**PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1.** Using the growth assumption (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u'_1| \leq 1} (u'_1)^2 d\gamma & \leq c \int_{|u'_1| \leq 1} (u'_1 g_1(u'_1))^{2/(p+1)} d\gamma \leq c \left( \int_{|u'_1| \leq 1} u'_1 g_1(u'_1) d\gamma \right)^{2/(p+1)} \\ & \leq c \left( \int_{\Gamma_1} u'_1 g_1(u'_1) d\gamma \right)^{2/(p+1)} \leq c|E'|^{2/(p+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $n = 1$ , we have  $u'_1 \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u'_1| \geq 1} (u'_1)^2 d\gamma &\leq c \int_{|u'_1| \geq 1} u'_1 u'_1 g_1(u'_1) d\gamma \\ &\leq c \|u'_1\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\Gamma_1} u'_1 g_1(u'_1) d\gamma \leq c |E'|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n \geq 2$ , set  $\|\cdot\|_\beta := \|\cdot\|_{L^\beta(\Gamma_1)}$ ,  $s := 2/(p+1)$ , and  $\alpha := (2-s)/(1-s)$ , we have  $0 < s < 1$  and  $\alpha = 2p/(p-1) > 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u'_1| \geq 1} (u'_1)^2 d\gamma &\leq c \int_{\Gamma_1} |u'_1|^{2-s} (u'_1 g_1(u'_1))^s d\gamma \\ &\leq \left\| |u'_1|^{2-s} \right\|_{1/(1-s)} \left\| (u'_1 g_1(u'_1))^s \right\|_{1/s} \\ &= \|u'_1\|_\alpha^{(1-s)\alpha} \|u'_1 g_1(u'_1)\|_1^s \\ &\leq \|u'_1\|_{2p/(p-1)}^{2p/(p+1)} |E'|^{2/(p+1)} \\ &\leq c |E'|^{2/(p+1)}; \end{aligned}$$

in the last step, we used the fact  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^{2p/(p-1)}(\Gamma)$  following from (2.3).

We obtain similar inequalities for  $u_2$ .

**PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM COMPLETED.** For any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$c |u_i g_i(u'_i)| \leq \varepsilon u_i^2 + c\varepsilon^{-1} g_i(u'_i)^2, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$cE^{p/2} \|u'_i\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \leq \varepsilon E^{(p+1)/2} + c\varepsilon^{-p} |E'|. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.5)–(3.7), and by choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, we have:

$$\int_S^T E^{(p-1)/2} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u|^2 \leq \delta \int_S^T E^{(p+1)/2} + cE(S) + c \int_S^T E^{(p-1)/2} \int_{\Gamma_1} g_1(u'_1)^2 + g_2(u'_2)^2 \quad (3.8)$$

when  $\delta > 0$  is an arbitrarily real number. By adding (3.4) and (3.8), we obtain easily

$$\int_S^T E^{(p+1)/2} \leq cE(S) + c \int_S^T E^{(p-1)/2} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u'|^2 + g_1(u'_1)^2 + g_2(u'_2)^2 d\gamma dt \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $0 \leq S < T < +\infty$ .

From Lemma 3.1, (2.4)–(2.5), we have

$$\|g_i(u'_i)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_1)} \leq c |E'|^{1/(p+1)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Substituting into the right-hand side of (3.9), we obtain that

$$\int_S^T E^{(p+1)/2} dt \leq cE(S) + c \int_S^T E^{(p-1)/2} |E'|^{2/(p+1)} dt.$$

Using the Young inequality, for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$cE^{(p-1)/2} |E'|^{2/(p+1)} \leq \varepsilon E^{(p+1)/2} + c\varepsilon^{(1-p)/2} |E'|.$$

Therefore,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \int_S^T E^{(p+1)/2}(t) dt \leq c \left(1 + \varepsilon^{(1-p)/2}\right) E(S),$$

and choosing  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , it follows that

$$\int_S^T E^{(p+1)/2}(t) dt \leq cE(S),$$

with  $p = 1$  if  $n = 1$ .

Thanks to Lemma 2.1, we deduce (2.6),(2.7).

## REFERENCES

1. F. Conrad and B. Rao, Decay of solutions of wave equations in a star-shaped domain with nonlinear boundary feedback, *Asymptotic Anal.* **7**, 159–177 (1993).
2. V. Komornik, On the nonlinear boundary stabilization of the wave equation, *Chin. Ann. of Math.* **14B** (2), 153–164 (1993).
3. V. Komornik and E. Zuazua, A direct method for the boundary stabilization of the wave equation, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **69**, 33–59 (1990).
4. J. Lagnese, Boundary stabilization of thin plates, *SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 10, SIAM, Philadelphia, (1989).
5. I. Lasiecka, Stabilization of wave and plate-like equation with nonlinear dissipation on the boundary, *J. Diff. Equa.* **79**, 340–381 (1989).
6. I. Lasiecka and D. Tataru, Uniform boundary stabilization of semilinear wave equation with nonlinear boundary damping, *Diff. Integ. Equa.* **6** (3), 507–533 (1993).
7. J.-L. Lions, Exact controllability, stabilization and perturbations of distributed systems, *SIAM Rev.* **30**, 1–68 (1988).
8. E. Zuazua, Uniform stabilization of the wave equation by nonlinear boundary feedback, *SIAM J. Control and Optim.* **28**, 466–478 (1990).
9. M. Najafi, G.R. Sahrangi and H. Wang, The study of the stabilizability of the coupled wave equations under various end conditions, In *Proc. 31<sup>st</sup> Conf. Decision and Control*, Tucson, AZ, December 1992.
10. V. Komornik and B. Rao, Boundary stabilization of compactly coupled wave equations, *Asymptotic Anal.* **14**, 339–359 (1997).
11. M. Nakao, Energy decay for the wave equation with a nonlinear weak damping, *Differential Integral Equations* **8** (3), 681–688 (1995).
12. P. Grisvard, Contrôlabilité exacte des solutions de l'équation des ondes en présence des singularités, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **68**, 215–259 (1989).
13. M. Moussaoui, Private communication.
14. V. Komornik, *Exact Controllability and Stabilization. The Multiplier Method*, Masson, Paris, (1994).