Effectiveness of exercise therapy and manual mobilisation in acute ankle sprain and functional instability: A systematic review

Philip J van der Wees^{1,2}, Anton F Lenssen³, Erik JM Hendriks^{1,4}, Derrick J Stomp⁴, Joost Dekker⁵ and Rob A de Bie¹

¹Department of Epidemiology, Maastricht University ²Royal Dutch Society for Physical Therapy (KNGF) ³University Medical Centre, Maastricht ⁴Dutch Institute of Allied Health Care (NPi) ⁵VU Medical Centre, Amsterdam The Netherlands

This study critically reviews the effectiveness of exercise therapy and manual mobilisation in acute ankle sprains and functional instability by conducting a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Trials were searched electronically and manually from 1966 to March 2005. Randomised controlled trials that evaluated exercise therapy or manual mobilisation of the ankle joint with at least one clinically relevant outcome measure were included. Internal validity of the studies was independently assessed by two reviewers. When applicable, relative risk (RR) or standardised mean differences (SMD) were calculated for individual and pooled data. In total 17 studies were included. In thirteen studies the intervention included exercise therapy and in four studies the effects of manual mobilisation of the ankle joint was evaluated. Average internal validity score of the studies was 3.1 (range 1-7) on a 10-point scale. Exercise therapy was effective in reducing the risk of recurrent sprains after acute ankle sprain: RR 0.37 (95% CI 0.18 to 0.74), and with functional instability: RR 0.38 (95% CI 0.23 to 0.62). No effects of exercise therapy were found on postural sway in patients with functional instability: SMD: 0.38 (95% CI -0.15 to 0.91). Four studies demonstrated an initial positive effect of different modes of manual mobilisation on dorsiflexion range of motion. It is likely that exercise therapy, including the use of a wobble board, is effective in the prevention of recurrent ankle sprains. Manual mobilisation has an (initial) effect on dorsiflexion range of motion, but the clinical relevance of these findings for physiotherapy practice may be limited. [van der Wees PJ, Lenssen AF, Hendriks EJM, Stomp DJ, Dekker J and de Bie RA (2006): Effectiveness of exercise therapy and manual mobilisation in acute ankle sprain and functional instability: A systematic review. Australian Journal of Physiotherapy 52: 27-37]

Key words: Ankle, Ligament, Injury, Review, Exercise Therapy, Manipulation Therapy

Introduction

In the Netherlands around 600 000 people suffer every year from traumatic injury of the ankle. Functional instability as a residual problem after acute injury has been reported in 10–60% of the patients (Eils and Rosenbaum 2001, Freeman 1965, Hoiness et al 2003, Moller-Larssen et al 1988, Van Dijk 1994, Van Moppes and Van den Hoogenband 1982). Symptoms of functional instability are a feeling of instability, recurrent sprains, or a feeling of apprehension (Karlsson et al 1996). Limitation of dorsiflexion range of motion is associated with pain and functional problems after ankle sprains (Balduini et al 1987), and with an increased risk of ankle sprains in healthy subjects (Pope et al 1998).

Several reviews have been written about the effectiveness of different forms of interventions in acute ankle sprains (Handoll et al 2001, Kerkhoffs et al 2002a, Kerkhoffs et al 2002b, Kerkhoffs et al 2002c, Van der Windt et al 2002, Verhagen et al 2000). The effects of interventions commonly used by physiotherapists are partly described in those reviews. Van der Windt et al (2002) found no effects of ultrasound in acute ankle sprains. A review done by the Dutch Health Council (1999) shows no effects for the use of ultrasound, electrotherapy, and laser-therapy. Handoll et al (2001) found limited evidence for the effects of ankle disc training in reducing recurrent ankle sprains.

The use of tape and brace has been reviewed by Kerkhoffs et al (2002b and 2002c), Verhagen et al (2000) and Handoll et al (2001). The review by Kerkhoffs (2002b) shows that functional treatment, based on an early mobilisation programme using external ankle support (brace, tape or elastic bandage) and exercises, appears to be the favourable strategy for treating acute ankle sprains when compared with immobilisation in a plaster cast. External ankle support using tape or brace is effective in preventing ankle injuries, specifically in preventing recurrent sprains (Handoll et al 2001, Verhagen et al 2000). Verhagen (2000) concludes that braces seem to be more effective in preventing ankle sprains than tape. A narrative review by Zöch et al (2003) describes the effects of rehabilitation of ligamentous ankle injuries. He concludes that improvement in proprioception is important in ankle rehabilitation, and could be associated with better postural control. Van Os et al (2005) compared supervised rehabilitation for treatment of acute lateral ankle sprains to usual care. The authors found limited evidence that the addition of supervised exercises results in greater reduction of swelling and faster return to work. The effects of exercise therapy and manual mobilisation of the ankle joint as common physiotherapy interventions in acute ankle sprains and functional instability are not yet described in a meta-analysis. The objective of this study is to evaluate qualitatively and quantitatively the effectiveness of exercise therapy and manual mobilisation of the ankle joint in acute
 Table 1. Criteria for assessment of methodological quality of studies.

- 1 Adequate randomisation: adequate procedure for generation of a random number sequence.
- 2 Baseline similarity: treatment and control group are comparable at entry.
- 4 Co-intervention: standardised or avoided.
- 4 Adherence: > 70% in intervention and control group(s),
- 5 Blinding of the therapist.
- 6 Blinding of the patient.
- 7 Withdrawals and drop outs: < 20% for short term follow up; and < 30% for intermediate and long term follow-up.
- 8 Identical timing of outcome measures for all groups.
- 9 Intention to treat analysis.
- 10 Blinding of the outcome assessors.

Based on the Amsterdam-Maastricht consensus list (Smidt et al 2002).

ankle sprains and in patients with functional instability. This systematic review was performed to collect evidence to update the Clinical Practice Guideline Ankle Injury of the Royal Dutch Society for Physical Therapy (KNGF).

Method

Literature search Two reviewers (TL and PvdW) searched computerised databases independently. The following databases were searched: MEDLINE (1966 to March 2005), EMBASE (1988 to March 2005), CINAHL (1982 to March 2005). In addition the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (2005, Issue 1), the PEDro database (to March 2005) and the DocOnline database (to March 2005) of the Dutch Institute of Allied Health Professions were searched. Subject-specific search was based on combinations of 'ankle', 'sprains', 'injuries', 'prevention', 'ligamentous', 'lateral', 'functional instability', 'rehabilitation', 'physiotherapy', 'physical therapy'. Finally, references from retrieved articles were screened.

Types of studies This review includes randomised controlled trials. Full text articles until March 2005, published in English, German or Dutch, were considered for this study. To determine whether a study should be included, the abstracts of all identified articles were assessed by both reviewers. If there was any doubt, the full text article was retrieved and read independently by both reviewers. Disagreement was resolved by consensus.

Types of participants Trials that include patients with acute ankle sprain, or with functional instability, or trials with a recognisable subgroup with a history of ankle injury were considered. In acute ankle sprain symptoms may be pain, swelling and functional disability. Functional instability includes residual problems after acute injury such as recurrent sprains, a feeling of giving way or a feeling of apprehension.

Types of interventions At least one of the interventions in the trial had to be an intervention aimed at exercise

Table 2. Hierarchy of quality of individual studies and strength of evidence.

Hierarchy of evidence

- A1 Systematic reviews, which include trials at quality level A2, and have consistent results
- A2 Randomised controlled trials of good quality and sufficient power and consistency
- B Randomised controlled trials of moderate quality or insufficient power, or other non-randomised controlled studies
- C Non-controlled studies
- D Expert opinion, such as working group members

Strength of evidence

- Level 1 1 systematic review (A1) or 2 studies at level A2
- Level 2 2 studies of level B
- Level 3 1 study of level A2 or B or C
- Level 4 Expert opinion, such as working group members

Formulation of recommendations

- Level 1 It has been shown that ...
- Level 2 It is likely that ...
- Level 3 There are indications that ...
- Level 4 The opinion of the working group is ...

Source: CBO 2005

therapy (including proprioceptive training, co-ordination training, strength training or functional exercises), or at manual mobilisation of the ankle joint. The intervention had to be compared with placebo, no treatment or other interventions.

Outcome measures At least one of the following outcome measures had to be used for inclusion in this study: recurrent sprains, functional disability, gait pattern, subjective instability, postural control, ankle joint range of motion, pain.

Quality assessment Two reviewers (PvdW and TL) assessed the methodological quality independently. A slightly modified version of the Amsterdam-Maastricht consensus list (Smidt et al 2002) was used to assess the quality of the internal validity of the studies. The list of criteria for assessment of the methodological quality is shown in Table 1. The reviewers scored each item with a 'Yes' (sufficient information is available and bias is considered to be unlikely), 'No' (bias was considered to be likely), or 'Don't know' (insufficient information is given, the criterion is rated as inconclusive). Positive scores (Yes) were added. A study was considered high quality when it had a minimum score of 4. Disagreement was followed by discussion, followed if necessary by scrutiny from another reviewer (EH).

Data collection Two reviewers (PvdW and TL) extracted the data independently from the studies using a standard data-extraction form and cross checked for accuracy. Disagreement was resolved by a consensus procedure, followed if necessary by scrutiny from a third reviewer (EH). When appropriate and possible, additional data were obtained from authors of the studies.



Figure 1. Selection of studies

Table 3. Quality of studies.

Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Score	Quality
Bernier 1998	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	3	В
Brooks 1981	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	1	В
Collins 2004	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	5	A2
Eils 2001	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	2	В
Eisenhart 2003	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	4	A2
Green 2001	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	5	A2
Hess 2001	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	2	В
Hoiness 2003	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	7	A2
Holme 1999	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	2	В
Nilsson 1983	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	2	В
Oostendorp 1987	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	4	A2
Pellow 2001	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	4	A2
Powers 2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	2	В
Stasinopoulos 2004	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	2	В
Tropp 1985	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	+	-	-	1	В
Verhagen 2004	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	3	В
Wester 1996	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	3	В

* study design allows 'voluntary' withdrawals in experimental group

Data analysis For dichotomous outcomes we calculated Relative Risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). For continuous outcomes, Standardised Mean Differences (SMD) were calculated. RR and SMD were calculated for three most common outcome measures (recurrent sprains, postural sway, range of motion) when sufficient data were available. A random effects model was used if the studies or subgroups of studies were considered clinically heterogeneous; otherwise where appropriate a fixed effects model was used to pool the outcomes.

Best evidence synthesis Further analysis to weigh the quality of the evidence from the selected studies was done using a rating system with a hierarchy of four levels. The strength of the evidence of combined studies is also expressed in four levels. The levels of evidence are derived

Table 4. Included studies.

Bernier 199848 patients with ankle instability (23; 18–32) Males and females Dropouts: 3 Follow-up: 6 wkExp: (6 wks; 18 sessions; 10 min) 1. Balance and coordination training, including wobble board (17)Postural sway index0Brooks 1981102 patients with acute inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/ females: unknown Follow-up: 4 wkExp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 min)Joint position sense0Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wkExp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 min)Clinical score0Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 18 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 2. Usual care (10)Joint position sense0Eisenhart55 patients with acute ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (1 ws; 1 session; 10–20 min)Joint position sense Postural sway0Eisenhart55 patients with acute ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownControl: Contro	Study	Population (age; range)	Intervention (# patients analysed)	Outcome measures	Results ¹
1998 instability (23; 18–32) Males and females 1. Balance and coordination training, including wobble board (17) Modified equilibrium score + (1 vs. Dropouts: 3 Control: Joint position sense 0 Follow-up: 6 wk 2. Placebo electrical stimulation (14) Joint position sense 0 Brooks 1981 102 patients with acute inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/ females: unknown Exp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 min) Clinical score 0 Dropouts: unknown Control: 2. Double tubigrip support (28) 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26) 4. Usual care (27) Control: Pressure pain threshold 0 Collins 2004 16 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Exp: (1 session; 3x10 repetitions) Dropouts: 2 Dorsiflexion + Follow-up: 1 wk 2. Sham mobilisation (16*) *cross-over design Joint position sense 0 Eils 2001 30 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Exp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20) Joint position sense 0 Wales/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Control: Postural sway 0 Follow-up: 1 y 2. Usual care (10) Exp: (1wk; 1 session; 10–20 min) Swelli	Bernier	48 patients with ankle	Exp: (6 wks; 18 sessions; 10 min)	Postural sway index	0
Dropouts: 3 Follow-up: 6 wkControl: 2. Placebo electrical stimulation (14) 3. Usual care (14)Joint position sense0Brooks 1981102 patients with acute inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/ females: unknownExp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 1. Physiotherapy (ice, mobilisation, gait training, wobble board) (21)Clinical score0Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 4 wk2. Double Tubigrip support (28) 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26) 4. Usual care (27)Dorsiflexion+Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wkExp: (1 session; 3x10 repetitions) 1. Muligan mobilisation with movement (16*)Dorsiflexion+Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, molucing ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, molucing ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Fils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18Exp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 10–20 min)Joint position sense0Follow-up: 1 y2. Usual care (10)Exp: (10%: 1 session; 10–20 min)Swelling (1 wk follow-up)0	1998	instability (23; 18–32) Males and females	1. Balance and coordination training, including wobble board (17)	Modified equilibrium score	+ (1 vs. 2,3)
Follow-up: 6 wk2. Placebo electrical stimulation (14) 3. Usual care (14)Brooks 1981102 patients with acute inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/ females: unknownExp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10Clinical score0Dropouts: unknown1. Physiotherapy (ice, mobilisation, gait training, wobble board) (21)Control:0Dropouts: unknownControl:2. Double Tubigrip support (28) 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26) 		Dropouts: 3	Control:	Joint position sense	0
3. Usual care (14)Brooks 1981102 patients with acute inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/ females: unknownExp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 min)Clinical score0Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 4 wk1. Physiotherapy (ice, mobilisation, gait training, wobble board) (21)Control:0Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/82. Double Tubigrip support (28) 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26) 4. Usual care (27)Dorsiflexion+Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8Exp: (1 session; 3x10 repetitions) 1. Multigam mobilisation with movement (16*)Dorsiflexion+Pressure pain threshold 0 Thermal pain threshold *cross-over design0Thermal pain threshold 00Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense Mulce reaction times 00Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense Mulce reaction times 00Eisenhart55 patients with acuteExp: (1 wk: 1 session; 10–20 min)Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 00		Follow-up: 6 wk	2. Placebo electrical stimulation (14)		
Brooks 1981 102 patients with acute inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/females: unknown Exp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 Clinical score 0 Inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/females: unknown 1. Physiotherapy (ice, mobilisation, gait training, wobble board) (21) 0 0 Dropouts: unknown Exp: (1 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 Clinical score 0 Follow-up: 4 wk 2. Double Tubigrip support (28) 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26) 0 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (27) Exp: (1 session; 3x10 repetitions) Dorsiflexion + Collins 2004 16 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Exp: (1 session; 3x10 repetitions) Dorsiflexion + Dropouts: 2 Control: 2. Sham mobilisation (16*) 3. Assuming stance position 5 min (16*) - + Sells 2001 30 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Exp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) Joint position sense 0 Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Control: 2. Usual care (10) Postural sway 0 Eisenhart 55 patients with acute 55 patients with acute Exp: (1/w: 1 session; 10–20 min) Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 0			3. Usual care (14)		
Ternales: unknown Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 4 wktraining, wobble board) (21) Control: 2. Double Tubigrip support (28) 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26) 4. Usual care (27)Dorsiflexion Pressure pain threshold 0 Thermal pain threshold 0Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wkExp: (1 session; 3x10 repetitions) 1. Mulligan mobilisation with movement (16*)Dorsiflexion Pressure pain threshold 0 Thermal pain threshold 0Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 1 yExp: (6 wks; 6 session; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense 0 Postural sway Muscle reaction times 0Eisenhart55 patients with acuteExp: (1 wk; 1 session; 10–20 min)Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 0	Brooks 1981	102 patients with acute inversion injuries (age; range unknown). Males/	Exp: (4 wks; # sessions unknown; >10 min) 1. Physiotherapy (ice, mobilisation, gait	Clinical score	0
Follow-up: 4 wk2. Double Tubigrip support (28) 3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26) 4. Usual care (27)Dorsiflexion+Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wkExp: (1 session; 3x10 repetitions) 1. Mulligan mobilisation with movement (16*)Dorsiflexion+Pressure pain threshold 0 Thermal pain threshold * cross-over designOThermal pain threshold 00Eils 200130 patients with chronic 		females: unknown Dropouts: unknown	training, wobble board) (21)		
Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Dropouts: 2 		Follow-up: 4 wk	2 Double Tubigrip support (28)		
 Collins 2004 16 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wk Eils 2001 30 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 1 y Eils 2001 55 patients with acute Eils 2001 50 min 20 min 2			3. Plaster-of-Paris cast (26)		
Collins 200416 patients with subacute ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8Exp: (1 session; 3×10 repetitions) 1. Mulligan mobilisation with movement (16*)Dorsiflexion+Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wkControl: 2. Sham mobilisation (16*) 3. Assuming stance position 5 min (16*) *cross-over designDorsiflexion+Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 1 yExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Eisenhart55 patients with acuteControl: 2. Usual care (10)Recurrent sprains0Eisenhart55 patients with acuteExp: (1wk; 1 session; 10–20 min)Swelling (1 wk follow-up)0			4 Usual care (27)		
ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8 Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wk1. Mulligan mobilisation with movement (16*)Pressure pain threshold02Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wk2. Sham mobilisation (16*) 3. Assuming stance position 5 min (16*) *cross-over designPressure pain threshold0Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 1 yExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Postural sway Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 1 yControl: 2. Usual care (10)Postural sway Males/including ankle disc (20 min)0Eisenhart55 patients with acuteExp: (1wk; 1 session; 10–20 min)Swelling (1 wk follow-up)0	Collins 2004	16 patients with subacute	Exp: $(1 \text{ session: } 3 \times 10 \text{ repetitions})$	Dorsiflexion	+
Dropouts: 2 Follow-up: 1 wkControl: 2. Sham mobilisation (16*) 3. Assuming stance position 5 min (16*) *cross-over designThermal pain threshold0Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknownExp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Males/females: 12/18 Follow-up: 1 yControl: 2. Usual care (10)Postural sway Males/females: 10–20 min)0		ankle sprain (28; 18–50) Males/females: 8/8	1. Mulligan mobilisation with movement	Pressure pain threshold	0
Follow-up: 1 wk 2. Sham mobilisation (16*) 3. Assuming stance position 5 min (16*) *cross-over design Eils 2001 30 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/18 Exp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) Dropouts: unknown Control: Follow-up: 1 y 2. Usual care (10) Eisenhart 55 patients with acute		Dropouts: 2	Control	I hermal pain threshold	0
Eils 2001 30 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Sessions; 25–30 min) Joint position sense 0 Males/females: 12/18 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20) Postural sway 0 Dropouts: unknown Control: Recurrent sprains 0 Follow-up: 1 y 2. Usual care (10) Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 0		Follow-up: 1 wk	2 Sham mobilisation (16*)		
Eils 2001 30 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47) Exp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) Joint position sense 0 Males/females: 12/18 Including ankle disc (20) Postural sway 0 Dropouts: unknown Control: Recurrent sprains 0 Follow-up: 1 y 2. Usual care (10) Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 0			2. Shari mobilisation (10)		
Eils 200130 patients with chronic ankle instability (27; 14–47)Exp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min) 1. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Joint position sense0Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 1 yControl: 2. Usual care (10)Postural sway 			*cross-over design		
ankle instability (27; 14–47) Males/females: 12/181. Multi-station proprioceptive training, including ankle disc (20)Postural sway0Males/females: 12/18 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 1 y0.Muscle reaction times0Control: Follow-up: 1 y2. Usual care (10)Recurrent sprains0Eisenhart55 patients with acuteExp: (1wk: 1 session: 10–20 min)Swelling (1 wk follow-up)0	Eils 2001	30 patients with chronic	Exp: (6 wks; 6 sessions; 25–30 min)	Joint position sense	0
Males/females: 12/18 including ankle disc (20) Muscle reaction times 0 Dropouts: unknown Control: Recurrent sprains 0 Follow-up: 1 y 2. Usual care (10) Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 0		ankle instability (27; 14-47)	1. Multi-station proprioceptive training,	Postural sway	0
Dropouts: unknown Control: Recurrent sprains 0 Follow-up: 1 y 2. Usual care (10) 55 patients with acute Exp: (1wk: 1 session: 10–20 min) Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 0		Males/females: 12/18	including ankle disc (20)	Muscle reaction times	0
Follow-up: 1 y2. Usual care (10)Eisenhart55 patients with acuteExp: (1wk: 1 session: 10–20 min)Swelling (1 wk follow-up)0		Dropouts: unknown	Control:	Recurrent sprains	0
Eisenhart 55 patients with acute Exp: (1wk: 1 session: 10–20 min) Swelling (1 wk follow-up) 0		Follow-up: 1 y	2. Usual care (10)		
2002 ankle aproin (21: range	Eisenhart	55 patients with acute	Exp: (1wk; 1 session; 10–20 min)	Swelling (1 wk follow-up)	0
2003 ankle sprain (31, range 1. Manipulative treatment (28) ROM (after session) 0 unknown)	2003	unknown)	1. Manipulative treatment (28)	ROM (after session)	0
Males/females: 21/34 Control: ROM (1 wk follow-up) + (1 vs. 2)		Males/females: 21/34	Control:	ROM (1 wk follow-up)	+ (1 vs. 2)
Dropouts: 15 Eollow-up: 1 wk		Dropouts: 15 Follow-up: 1 wk	2. Usual care: RICE protocol, NSAIDs, crutches (27)	Pain (1 wk follow-up)	0
Green 41 patients with acute Exp. (2 wks: max 6 sessions: $3x60$ sec) Dorsiflexion (after 3 + (1 vs 2))	Green	41 patients with acute	Exp: (2 wks: max 6 sessions: 3x60 sec)	Dorsiflexion (after 3	+ (1 vs 2)
2001 lateral ankle sprain (26; 15-48) of talus using oscillatory technique (19) Gait: stride speed + (1 vs. 2)	2001	lateral ankle sprain (26; 15–48)	1. Anterior-posterior manual mobilisation of talus using oscillatory technique (19)	sessions)	+ (1 vs 2)
Males/females: 28/13 Control:		Males/females: 28/13	Control:	Gait: step length	$\pm (1 \text{ vs. } 2)$
Dropouts: 3 2 Usual care: RICE protocol (19) Symmetry		Dropouts: 3	2 Usual care: RICE protocol (19)	symmetry	+ (1 v3. 2)
Follow-up: 2 wk Gait: symmetry single 0		Follow-up: 2 wk		Gait: symmetry single	0
Return to daily activity 0				Return to daily activity	0
Hess 2001 20 patients with functional Exp: (4 wks; 12 sessions; 20 min) Postural sway 0 instability (21; range 1 Agility-training using ladder (unknown)	Hess 2001	20 patients with functional instability (21; range	Exp: (4 wks; 12 sessions; 20 min) 1. Agility-training using ladder (unknown)	Postural sway	0
unknown) Males/females: 7/13 Control:		unknown) Males/females: 7/13	Control:		
Dropouts: unknown 2. Usual care (unknown)		Dropouts: unknown	2. Usual care (unknown)		
Follow-up: 4 wk		Follow-up: 4 wk			
Hoiness19 patients with recurrent ankle sprains (25; 20–33) Males/females: 8/11Exp: (6 wks; 18 sessions; 45 min)Figure-of-eight running020031. Bi-directional pedal bicycle training (10) Control: (6 wks, 18 sessions; 45 min)Postural sway0	Hoiness 2003	19 patients with recurrent ankle sprains (25; 20–33) Males/females: 8/11	Exp: (6 wks; 18 sessions; 45 min) 1. Bi-directional pedal bicycle training (10) Control: (6 wks, 18 sessions; 45 min)	Figure-of-eight running Postural sway	0 0
Dropouts: 0		Dropouts: 0	2. Uni directional padal biovala training (0)		0
Follow-up: 6 wk 2. One-directional pedal bicycle training (9) Eversion peak torque 0		Follow-up: 6 wk	2. oni-unectional pedal bicycle training (9)	Lversion peak torque	U
Holme 199992 patients with acute ankle injury (27; rangeExp: (duration unknown; 2 sessions/wk; 1 hr)Joint position sense000	Holme 1999	92 patients with acute ankle injury (27; range	Exp: (duration unknown; 2 sessions/wk; 1 hr)	Joint position sense Isometric strength	0 0
unknown) 1. Physical therapy rehabilitation (balance Postural sway 0		UNKNOWN) Males/females: 11/18	1. Physical therapy rehabilitation (balance	Postural sway	0
Males/females. 44/40exercises, figure-of-eight running, balanceRecurrent sprains+ (1 vs. 2)Dropouts: 21board) (29)		Dropouts: 21	exercises, figure-of-eight running, balance board) (29)	Recurrent sprains	+ (1 vs. 2)
Follow-up: 1 y Control:		Follow-up: 1 y	Control:		

Nilsson 1983	178 patients with acute ankle sprain (33; 15–66): (a) without ligament rupture (80); (b) with ruptures (95) Males/females: 105/73 Dropouts: 3 Follow-up: 3 y	Exp: (3 wks; maximum 10 sessions; 45 min) 1. Physiotherapy (exercises (limbering, coordination, strength), ultrasound); coldpack, elastic wrapping (59) 2. Physiotherapy (exercises (limbering, co-ordination, strength), ultrasound); coldpack, elastic wrapping, steroid injection (57) Control:	Pain Swelling Walking ability ROM Mean sick leave	0 0 0 + (1b,2b vs. 3b) 0 (1a,2a vs. 3a)
Oostendorp 1987	24 patients with acute ankle injury (22; 15–30) Males/females: 16/8 Dropouts: 0 Follow-up: 24 wk	 3. Elastic wrapping (59) Exp: (6 wks; 18 sessions) 1. Exercise program, including wobble board; and tape (12) Control: 2. Tape (12) 	Pain Fear of ankle giving way Sick leave Return to training	0 + (1 vs. 2) 0 + (1 vs. 2)
Pellow 2001	36 patients with (sub)acute or chronic ankle sprain (25; 15–50) Males/females: 19/11 Dropouts: 6 Follow-up: 1 month	 Exp: (max. 4 wks; 8 sessions): 1. Mortise separation adjustment (15) Control: 2. Sham ultrasound (15) 	Return to competition ROM: dorsiflexion McGill pain questionnaire Numeric Pain Rating Scale Pressure pain threshold Functional scoring scale	+ (1 vs. 2) + (1 vs. 2) + (1 vs. 2) 0 0 + (1 vs. 2)
Powers 2004	38 patients with functional instability (22; range unknown) Males/females: 22/16 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 6 wk	Exp: (6 wks; 3 sessions/wk) 1. Strength training (theraband) (unknown) 2. Proprioception training (theraband) (unknown) 3. Strength and proprioception training (theraband) (unknown) Control: 4. Usual care	Muscle fatigue Postural sway	0
Stasino- poulos 2004	52 female volleyball players with history of ankle injury (23; range unknown) Dropouts: 0 Follow-up: 9 months	 Exp: (single instruction at begin season) Balance board (17) Control: (single instruction at begin season): Specific technical training (18) Orthosis (17) 	Recurrent sprains	0 (1 vs. 2) 0 (1 vs. 3) 0 (2 vs. 3)
Tropp 1985	450 male soccer players (age unknown). 220 players with previous injury. Dropouts: 19 Follow-up: 6 months	 S. Otthosis (17) Exp: (6 months: 10wks, 5 sessions/wk, 10 min; 16 wks, 3 sessions/wk, 10 min) 1. Ankle disc, players with previous ankle problems (65) Control: 2. No ankle disc, players in exp. group without previous ankle problems (71) 3. Orthosis (60) 4. Orthosis offered but not used (64) 5. Usual care (171) 	Recurrent sprains	+ (1 vs. 5) + (3 vs. 5) 0 (1 vs. 3)
Verhagen 2004	1127 volleyball players (24; range unknown). 758 players with previous injury. Males/females: 483/644 Dropouts: 395 Follow-up: 36 wk	Exp: (36 wks; 4 exercises/wk, 5 min/ exercise; educated by physiotherapist or sports physician; performed by coach) 1. Basic exercises on and off balance board (392) Control: 2. Usual care (340)	Ankle sprains Primary ankle sprains Recurrent ankle sprains	+ (1 vs. 2) 0 + (1 vs. 2)
Wester 1996	48 patients with acute primary ankle sprains (25; range unknown). Males/females: 29/19 Dropouts: unknown Follow-up: 230 days (+/-63)	Exp: (12 wks; 15 min/day) 1. Balance board + written instructions (24) Control: 2. Usual care (24)	Recurrent sprains Subjective functional instability Oedema Pain	+ (1 vs. 2) + (1 vs. 2) 0 0

¹statistically significant as reported by authors

Table 5. Effect sizes.

Study	Patient	Intervention	Outcome measure
Bernier 1998	FI	Exercise therapy:	Postural sway
		(1) exercise therapy;	
		(2) placebo;	
		(3) usual care	
Collins 2004	Subacute	Manual mobilisation	Dorsiflexion ROM
Eils 2001	FI	Exercise therapy	Postural sway
Green 2001	Acute	Manual mobilisation	Dorsiflexion ROM
Holme 1999	Acute	Exercise therapy	Postural sway
			Recurrent sprains
Pellow 2001	(Sub)acute & FI	Manual mobilisation	Dorsiflexion ROM
Stasinopoulos 2004	FI	Exercise therapy:	Recurrent sprains
		(1) wobble board;	
		(2) technical training;	
		(3) orthosis	
Tropp1985	FI	Exercise therapy:	Recurrent sprains
		(1) exercise therapy;	
		(2) orthosis;	
		(3) usual care	
Verhagen 2004	FI	Exercise therapy	Recurrent sprains
Wester 1996	Acute	Exercise therapy	Recurrent sprains

FI, functional instability. ROM, range of motion

from the methodology used in clinical practice guidelines and are shown in Table 2.

Results

Selection of studies is shown in Figure 1. After extraction of doubles and inclusion of manually retrieved articles 198 titles were considered for initial selection. Based on the abstracts 169 studies were excluded. Twenty-nine full text articles were retrieved for further analysis, resulting in exclusion of another twelve studies. Two studies did not meet the criteria for study design (Bahr et al 1997, Hart and Macintyre 2002); six studies did not meet the intervention criteria (Coetzer et al 2001, Freeman 1965, Karlsson et al 1996, Matsusaka et al 2001, Paris et al 1983, Ekstrand et al 1983), in three studies the patients did not meet the criteria (Fryer et al 2002, Soderman et al 2000, Wedderkopp et al 1999), and in one study the outcome measure led to exclusion (Kaminski et al 2003). As a result 17 studies were included in this systematic review.

Table 3 shows the methodological quality of the studies. The average score of the studies was 3.1 (range 1–7). Six studies were considered high quality (Collins et al 2004; Green et al 2001, Hoiness et al 2003, Oostendorp 1987, Pellow and Brantingham 2001, Eisenhart et al 2003) at the A2 level. Identical timing of outcome measures (V8) had a positive score in all studies, while eight studies had a positive score for loss to follow-up (V7). None of the studies scored positive on blinding of the therapist (V5).

An overview of the studies is shown in Table 4 which describes the population, intervention, outcome measures and results. Eight studies concerned patients with (sub)acute ankle sprain (Brooks et al 1981, Collins et al 2004; Green et al 2001, Holme et al 1999, Nilsson 1983; Oostendorp 1987, Wester et al 1996, Eisenhart et al 2003). Patients with functional instability were included in six studies (Bernier and Perrin 1998, Eils and Rosenbaum 2001, Hoiness et al 2004). One study included patients with both (sub)acute and chronic ankle injuries (Pellow and Brantingham 2001). Two studies included cohorts of sports players with a recognisable subgroup with history of ankle injury (Tropp et al 1985; Verhagen et al 2004).

Interventions In thirteen studies (Bernier and Perrin 1998, Brooks et al 1981, Eils and Rosenbaum 2001, Hoiness et al 2003, Holme et al 1999, Nilsson 1983, Oostendorp 1987, Stasinopoulos 2004, Tropp et al 1985, Verhagen et al 2004, Wester et al 1996, Hess et al 2001, Powers et al 2004) the intervention included exercise therapy. A wobble board, ankle disc or tilt board was used in nine studies (Bernier and Perrin 1998, Brooks et al 1981, Eils and Rosenbaum 2001, Holme et al 1999, Oostendorp 1987, Stasinopoulos 2004, Tropp et al 1985, Verhagen et al 2004, Wester et al 1996). In three studies (Brooks et al 1981, Nilsson 1983, Oostendorp 1987) exercise therapy was embedded in a multi-facetted intervention under supervision of a physiotherapist. Four studies (Collins et al 2004; Green et al 2001, Pellow and Brantingham 2001, Eisenhart et al 2003) studied the specific effects of manual mobilisation.

Measurement scale	Measurement	SMD (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)
Sway index (cm)	6 wks	0.65 (-0.08 to 1.38)	(1 vs 2)
		0.69 (-0.04 to 1.42)	
			(1 vs 3)
Weight bearing (mm)	1 session	0.43 (-0.36 to 1.04)	
Sway distance (mm)	6 wks	0.04 (-0.72 to 0.80)	
Passive (degrees)	2 wks	0.35 (-0.29 to 0.99)	1 st session
		0.00 (-0.64 to 0.64)	2 nd session
		0.23 (-0.45 to 0.91)	3 rd session
Total sway (cm)	4 months	-0.12 (-0.67 to 0.42)	
Incidence	12 months		0.24 (0.06 to 0.99)
Passive (degrees)	4 wks	1.06 (0.29 to 1.83)	
	8 wks	1.49 (0.67 to 2.31)	
Incidence	9 months	0.31 (0.07 to 1.35)	(1 vs 3)
			(0
		0.50 (0.15 to 1.68)	(2 VS 3)
	0	(4	
Incidence	6 months	(1 vs 2)	2.08 (0.22 to 19.43)
		(1 vs 3)	0.18 (0.06 to 0.59)
Incidence	36 wks		0.48 (0.28 to 0.83)
Incidence	230 davs		0.46 (0.21 to 1.01)

Effect sizes of the different interventions for three most common outcome measures (recurrent sprains, postural sway, range of motion) are listed in Table 5. Relative Risks (RR) are calculated for the incidence of recurrent injuries and Standardised Mean Differences (SMD) for postural sway and range of motion.

Exercise therapy

Exercise therapy versus usual care Eight studies compared exercise therapy with usual care (Brooks et al 1981, Eils and Rosenbaum 2001, Holme et al 1999, Tropp et al 1985, Verhagen et al 2004, Wester et al 1996, Hess et al 2001, Powers et al 2004). In five of these studies the effect of exercise therapy was related to the incidence of recurrent injuries: Holme et al (1999), Tropp et al (1985), Verhagen et al (2004) and Wester et al (1996) found less recurrent injuries in the experimental groups that received exercise therapy, while Eils and Rosenbaum (2001) described no differences between experimental and control groups.

Concerning patients with acute ankle sprains, pooling of the results was possible for two studies (Holme et al 1999, Wester et al 1996). The pooled results show a statistical significant RR of 0.37 (95% CI 0.18 to 0.74) in favour of exercise therapy (Table 6). Pooling was also possible in two studies for patients with functional instability (Tropp et al 1985, Verhagen et al 2004). These pooled results show a statistical significant RR of 0.38 (95% CI 0.23 to 0.62) in favour of exercise therapy (Table 6). It is likely (Level 2) that exercise therapy is effective in the prevention of recurrent ankle sprains, both for patients with acute ankle sprain and with functional instability

The effect of exercise therapy on postural sway was investigated in six studies (Bernier and Perrin 1998, Eils and Rosenbaum 2001, Holme et al 1999, Hess et al 2001, Hoiness et al 2003, Powers et al 2004). The individual studies showed no effect on postural sway. Pooling of the results of two studies with patients with functional instability (Bernier and Perrin 1998, Eils and Rosenbaum 2001) resulted in a non-significant SMD of 0.38 (95% CI –0.15 to 0.91) (Table 6).

It is likely (Level 2) that exercise therapy for patients with functional instability has no effect on postural sway

Brooks et al (1981) found no clinical effects of a physiotherapy program (including ice, mobilisation, gait training, wobble board) using a 'clinical score' (score of 0-3 points on pain, swelling and bruising) as outcome measure.

Exercise therapy versus external support Five studies (Brooks et al 1981, Nilsson 1983, Oostendorp 1987, Stasinopoulos 2004, Tropp et al 1985) compared a physiotherapy program including exercise therapy versus external support only. Nilsson (1983) found a difference in mean sick leave in patients with ligament ruptures in favour of two experimental physiotherapy groups compared to elastic wrapping. Oostendorp (1987) found no differences in sick leave after 6, 12 and 24 weeks between physiotherapy

Table 6. Pooled effect sizes.

Recurrent sprains				
Intervention	Patients	Studies	RR (95% CI)	Pooled RR* (95% CI)
Exercise therapy vs.	Acute ankle sprain	Holme	0.24 (0.06 to 0.99)	0.37 (0.18 to 0.74)**
control		Wester	0.46 (0.21 to 1.01)	
Exercise therapy vs.	Functional instability	Tropp	0.18 (0.06 to 0.59)	0.38 (0.23 to 0.62)#
control		Verhagen	0.48 (0.28 to 0.83)	
Exercise therapy vs.	Functional instability	Stasinopoulos	0.50 (0.15 to 1.68)	0.76 (0.27 to 2.11)
orthosis		Tropp	2.08 (0.22 to 19.34)	
Postural sway				
Intervention	Patients	Studies	SMD (95% CI)	Pooled SMD* (95% CI)
Exercise therapy vs.	Acute ankle sprain	Bernier	0.69 (-0.04 to 1.42)	0.38 (-0.15 to 0.91)
control		Eils	0.04 (-0.72 to 0.80)	

*Fixed effects model **statistically significant (p = 0.005) #statistically significant (p < 0.001)

including tape, versus tape alone. Brooks et al (1981) found no difference between physiotherapy versus Tubigrip support using a clinical score. Oostendorp (1987) describes a positive effect in favour of physiotherapy intervention for fear of ankle giving way at 6 weeks and at 24 weeks follow-up, but not at 12 weeks. Oostendorp also found positive effects in favour of physiotherapy at 12 week follow-up on return to training and return to sports competition.

Tropp et al (1985) and Stasinopoulos (2004) found no differences between wobble board exercises versus external support using an orthosis on recurrent sprains in sports players with a history of ankle sprains. Statistical pooling (Table 6) of both studies resulted in a non-significant RR of 0.76 (95% CI 0.27 to 2.11).

Exercise therapy versus immobilisation Only Brooks et al (1981) examined the effect of physiotherapy versus immobilisation (plaster-of-Paris). No differences were found using a clinical score as outcome measure.

Comparison of different exercise therapy modes Hoiness et al (2003) compared two different modes of exercise therapy, using a bi-directional versus unidirectional pedal bicycle training program in patients with functional instability of the ankle. None of the outcome measures showed any difference. Stasinopoulos (2004) compared wobble board exercises with a specific technical training program and found no difference in the incidence of recurrent ankle sprains.

Manual mobilisation

Four studies investigated the effects of manual mobilisation versus placebo treatment (Collins et al 2004, Pellow and Brantingham 2001) or usual care (Green et al 2001, Eisenhart et al 2003). Green et al (2001) compared manual mobilisation on patients with acute ankle sprain. The intervention consisted of anterior-posterior mobilisation of the talus (3 sessions in total, follow-up of two weeks). The authors found a positive effect on dorsiflexion range of motion during the first three treatment sessions. The authors also found an increase in stride speed (first and third session). At the second session the intervention group showed greater gains in step length symmetry. This difference had vanished at the third session. No differences were found for return to normal activity.

The effects of Mulligan's mobilisation with movement technique was studied by Collins et al (2004). The initial effects of manual mobilisation with subacute ankle sprains on dorsiflexion range of motion (both pressure pain and thermal pain) were evaluated. Using a crossover design an initial effect of Mulligan's technique on dorsiflexion range of motion for pre- to post-application in one session was found, compared to placebo and control group.

Pellow and Brantingham (2001) studied the effect of a mortise separation adjustment, described by the authors as a chiropractic technique. A positive effect on dorsiflexion range of motion, pain and functional score until one month follow-up was found. Manual mobilisation using osteopathic manipulative technique was studied by Eisenhart et al (2003). A significant difference in delta-range of motion (difference between injured and contralateral ankle in range of motion from active plantarflexion to dorsiflexion) was found in favour of the experimental group one week after injury.

It is likely (Level 2) that manual mobilisation has an initial effect on dorsiflexion range of motion after ankle sprains

Discussion

Prevention of recurrent injuries The main finding of this review is that exercise therapy is effective in the prevention of recurrent ankle sprains. This finding is important for strategies which treat both acute ankle sprains and functional instability. Verhagen et al (2004) suggest that the effect of exercise therapy is not only relevant for prevention of injuries but may also have a rehabilitative effect in the treatment of acute ankle sprains. This is in accordance with the study by Tropp et al (1985), who found that ankle disk training reduced the incidence of ankle sprains among soccer players with a history of ankle problems to the same level as men without history of ankle problems. Their studies indicate that exercise therapy is an effective prophylactic for

people with acute ankle sprains and/or chronic functional instability, who are at risk for the incidence of recurrent injuries.

It is not clear from this review what mechanism underlies the effect of exercise therapy. Tropp et al (1985) suggested that functional factors such as postural control are important in the development of functional instability and a predisposition to recurrent sprains. However, this review shows no effects of exercise therapy on postural sway.

The effects of exercise therapy on other outcome measures are unclear. Two studies (Oostendorp 1987, Wester et al 1996) show effects of exercise therapy on the subjective feeling of giving way, but their findings are not consistent during follow-up.

Manual mobilisation This review shows that manual mobilisation has an (initial) effect on dorsiflexion range of motion. Two studies (Collins et al 2004, Eisenhart 2003) used a single session intervention to measure the effects with a follow-up of one week, while Green et al (2001) describe an intervention of three sessions with a follow-up of 2 weeks. The clinical relevance of these initial, effects may be limited, because the short-term follow-up does not give sufficient insight in functional consequences. Green et al (2001) found no effects on return to normal activity, while Collins et al (2004) assumed a wash-out of the effect after 24 hours in their cross-over design.

Methodological limitations Since only six studies were considered high quality, the results must be viewed in perspective of the poor methodological quality of the individual studies. However, the nature of the interventions does not allow a design that meets all methodology criteria. For example: blinding of therapist and blinding of patients is usually impossible in studies with physiotherapy interventions. Therefore we used a low cut-off point (4 points) for considering a study as 'high quality'.

The best-evidence synthesis using a rating system based on the quality of the individual studies has its limitations. Rating is to some extent subjective, and since systematic reviews were not considered in this study, an A1 quality level was impossible to score. However, by ranking the evidence base of the conclusions some insight is gained in the strength of the conclusions.

Two studies (Tropp et al 1985, Verhagen et al 2004) included cohorts of sports players. These studies include a high number of participants (range: 180–1127) and therefore provide a lot of power. However, these studies used a method of cluster randomisation (randomisation of teams) which may influence the outcome of the study. Analysis of individual participants while not regarding their cluster can be a potential bias.

This review includes patients with (sub)acute ankle sprains and with functional instability. In order to make valid conclusions, different subgroups were considered in the analysis. However, no clear definition exists for the difference subgroups. Definitions of time-period after injury to distinguish between acute, subacute, and chronic problems vary. Also the definition of functional instability is the subject of debate. The conclusions of this review should be viewed in this perspective. **Outcome measures** The studies in this review show a variety of outcome measures for evaluating the effects of the interventions. Recurrent sprains and postural sway were each used as outcome measures in six studies, while range of motion was used in five studies. The main outcome measures are quite different and may also be of different quality and clinical relevance. The incidence of recurrent sprains seems to be a reliable, valid and clinical relevant measure, although the definitions used for 'recurrent sprains' were not clearly described in all studies.

Postural sway was measured using a force plate or balance system, measuring the sway (distance) from the centre of gravity while standing on one leg. Reliability, validity and responsiveness of these systems are not described. Postural sway is a commonly used outcome measure to assess proprioceptive deficits. The relevance of postural sway to detect proprioceptive deficits in relation to functional ankle instability, as well as other outcome measures e.g. joint position sense, joint movement sense, and reflex response time, is subject to debate. Stefanini and Marks conducted a narrative review to evaluate the relationship between proprioception and recurrent ankle inversion injuries (Stefanini and Marks 2003). Their review shows that postural sway seems to be impaired in ankle sprain populations, but the review also shows that the relationship between ankle sprain, proprioceptive deficits and the assessment of ankle proprioception remains unclear.

Clinical relevance for physiotherapy practice Exercise therapy and manual mobilisation are common interventions in physiotherapy treatment. In twelve studies the intervention included exercise therapy, although only in five studies was the intervention carried out by a physiotherapist, while in two studies a physiotherapist gave only initial instructions for the exercise program. Therefore, not all interventions can be seen as a specific physiotherapy intervention, which may influence the clinical relevance of some studies for physiotherapy practice.

Two studies on manual mobilisation (Pellow and Brantingham 2001; Eisenhart et al 2003) concerned techniques which are not commonly used by physiotherapists or manual therapists. Therefore these studies have limited value for physiotherapy treatment, although the outcome may be used to increase the body of knowledge concerning manual mobilisation in physiotherapy.

These limitations for clinical practice must be considered in formulating recommendations in the Clinical Practice Guideline for Ankle Injury.

The conclusions of this study are that it is likely (Level 2) that exercise therapy, which includes the use of a wobble board, is effective for patients with functional instability in the prevention of recurrent ankle sprains. Other effects of exercise therapy are unclear. It is likely (Level 2) that manual mobilisation has an (initial) effect on dorsiflexion range of motion, but the clinical relevance of these findings may be limited. The results of this review must be viewed in perspective to the poor methodological quality and heterogeneity of the studies.

Correspondence Philip J Van der Wees, Department of Epidemiology, Maastricht University, The Netherlands. Email: philip.vanderwees@epid.unimaas.nl

References

- Bahr R, Lian O and Bahr IA (1997): A twofold reduction in the incidence of acute ankle sprains in volleyball after the introduction of an injury prevention program: A prospective cohort study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports* 7: 172–177.
- Balduini FC, Vegso JJ, Torg JS and Torg E (1987): Management and rehabilitation of ligamentous injuries to the ankle. Ankle inversion injuries and hypermobility: Effect on hip and ankle muscle electromyography onset latency. *Journal of Sports Medicine* 4: 364–380.
- Bernier JN and Perrin DH (1998): Effect of coordination training on proprioception of the functionally unstable ankle. *Journal* of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy 27: 264–275.
- Brooks SC, Potter BT and Rainey JB (1981): Treatment for partial tears of the lateral ligament of the ankle: a prospective trial. *BMJ* 282: 606–607.
- CBO (2005): Evidence-based guideline development: Manual for guideline development groups (Evidence-based richtlijnontwikkeling: handleiding voor werkgroepleden). Dutch Institute for Health Care Improvement CBO, Utrecht.
- Coetzer D, Brantinham J and Nook B (2001): The relative effectiveness of piroxam compared to manipulation in the treatment of acute grades 1 and 2 inversion ankle sprains. *Journal of Neuromusculoskeletal Systems* 9: 1–12.
- Collins N, Teys P and Vincenzino B (2004): The initial effects of Mulligan's mobilization with movement technique on dorsiflexion and pain in subacute ankle sprains. *Manual Therapy* 9: 77–82.
- Eils E and Rosenbaum D (2001): A multi-station proprioceptive exercise program in patients with ankle instability. *Medicine and Science in Sports Exercise* 33: 1991–1998.
- Eisenhart AW, Gaeta TJ and Yens DP (2003): Osteopathic manipulative treatment in the emergency department for patients with acute ankle injuries. *Journal of the American Ostheopathic Association* 103: 417–421.
- Ekstrand J, Gillquist J and Liljehad SO (1983): Prevention of soccer injuries. Supervision by doctor and physiotherapist. *American Journal of Sports Medicine* 11: 116–120.
- Freeman MA (1965): Treatment of ruptures of the lateral ligament of the ankle. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (Britain)* 47: 661–668.
- Fryer GA, Mudge JM and McLaughlin PA (2002): The effect of talocrural joint manipulation on range of motion at the ankle. *Journal of Manipulative Physiological Therapy* 25: 384–390.
- Gezondheidsraad (1999): De effectiviteit van fysische therapie: elektrotherapie, lasertherapie, ultrageluidbehandeling. (Dutch Health Council. The effectiveness of physical modalities: Electro-therapy, laser-therapy, ultrasound) Gezondheidsraad, The Hague
- Green T, Refshauge K, Crosbie J and Adams R (2001): A randomized controlled trial of a passive accessory joint mobilization on acute ankle inversion sprains. *Physical Therapy* 81: 984–994.
- Handoll HHG, Rowe BH, Quinn KM and De Bie R (2001): Interventions for preventing ankle sprains. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 2. Chichester: Wiley.
- Hart LE and Macintyre J (2002): Passive joint mobilization for acute ankle inversion sprains. *Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine* 12: 54.
- Hess DM, Joyce CJ, Arnold BL and Gansneder BM (2001): The effect of a 4-week agility-training program on postural sway in the functionally unstable ankle. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation* 24: 17–24.
- Hoiness P, Glott T and Ingjer F (2003): High-intensity training with a bi-directional bicycle pedal improves performance in mechanically unstable ankles—a prospective randomized study of 19 subjects. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports 13: 266–271.

- Holme E, Magnusson SP, Becher K, Bieler T, Aagaard P and Kjaer M (1999): The effect of supervised rehabilitation on strength, postural sway, position sense and re-injury risk after acute ankle ligament sprain. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports* 9: 104–109.
- Kaminski TW, Buckley BD, Powers ME, Hubbard TJ and Ortiz C (2003): Effect of strength and proprioception training on eversion to inversion strength ratios in subjects with unilateral functional ankle instability. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 37: 410–415.
- Karlsson J, Eriksson BI and Sward L (1996): Early functional treatment for acute ligament injuries of the ankle joint. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports* 6: 341–345.
- Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Handoll HHG, de Bie R, Rowe BH and Struijs PAA (2002a): Surgical versus conservative treatment for acute injuries of the lateral ligament complex of the ankle in adults. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 2. Chichester: Wiley.
- Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Rowe BH, Assendelft WJJ, Kelly K, Struijs PAA and Van Dijk CN (2002b): Immobilisation and functional treatment for acute lateral ankle ligament injuries in adults. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 3. Chichester: Wiley.
- Kerkhoffs GMMJ, Struijs PAA, Marti RK, Assendelft WJJ, Blankevoort L and Van Dijk CN (2002c): Different functional treatment strategies for acute lateral ankle ligament injuries in adults. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 3. Chichester: Wiley.
- Matsusaka N, Yokoyama S, Tsurusaki T, Inokuchi S and Okita M (2001): Effect of ankle disk training combined with tactile stimulation to the leg and foot on functional instability of the ankle. *American Journal of Sports Medicine* 29: 25–30.
- Moller-Larssen F, Wethelund JO, Jurik AG, De Carvalho A and Lucht U (1988): Comparison of three different treatments for ruptured lateral ankle ligaments. *Acta Orthopedica Scandinavica* 59: 564–566.
- Nilsson S (1983): Sprains of the lateral ankle ligaments. *Journal* of Oslo City Hospital 33: 13–36.
- Oostendorp RAB (1987): Functionele instabiliteit na het inversietrauma van de enkel en voet: een effectonderzoek van pleisterbandage versus pleisterbandage gecombineerd met fysiotherapie (Functional instability after ankle sprains: A trial of taping versus taping and exercise). *Geneeskunde en Sport* 20: 45–55.
- Paris DL, Baynes F and Gucker B (1983): Effects of the neuroprobe in the treatment of second degree ankle inversion sprains. *Physical Therapy* 63: 35–40.
- Pellow JE and Brantingham JW (2001): The efficacy of adjusting the ankle in the treatment of subacute and chronic Grade I and Grade II ankle inversion sprains. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics* 24: 17–24.
- Pope R, Herbert R and Kirwan J (1998): Effects of ankle dorsiflexion range and pre-exercise calf muscle stretching on injury risk in Army recruits. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy* 44: 165–172.
- Powers ME, Buckley BD, Kaminski TW, Hubbard TJ and Ortiz C (2004): Six weeks of strength and proprioception training does not affect muscle fatigue and static balance in functional ankle instability. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation* 13: 201–227.
- Smidt N, Assendelft WJ, Van der Windt DA, Hay EM, Buchbinder R and Bouter LM (2002): Corticosteroid injections for lateral epicondylitis: A systematic review. *Pain* 96: 23–40.
- Soderman K, Werner S, Pietila T, Engstrom B and Alfredson H (2000): Balance board training: Prevention of traumatic injuries of the lower extremities in female soccer players? A prospective randomized intervention study. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 8: 356–363.

Stasinopoulos D (2004): Comparison of three preventive methods in order to reduce the incidence of ankle inversion

sprains among female volleyball players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 38: 182–185.

- Stefanini L and Marks R (2003): Proprioception and recurrent ankle inversion injuries: A narrative review. New Zealand Journal of Physiotherapy 31: 25–39.
- Tropp H, Askling C and Gillquist J (1985): Prevention of ankle sprains. *American Journal of Sports Medicine* 13: 259–262.
- Van der Windt DAWM, van der Heijden GJGM, van den Berd SGM, ter Riet G, de Winter AF, and Bouter LM (2002): Therapeutic ultrasound for acute ankle sprains. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue 3. Chichester: Wiley.
- Van Dijk CN (1994): On diagnostic strategies in patients with severe ankle sprain (unpublished thesis). Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Van Moppes FI and Van den Hoogenband CR (1982): Diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of inversion trauma of the ankle joint (unpublished thesis). Maastricht: Maastricht University.
- Van Os AG, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Verhagen AP, de Bie RA, Luijsterburg PA and Koes BW (2005): Comparison of conventional treatment and supervised rehabilitation for treatment of acute lateral ankle sprains: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy* 35: 95–105.
- Verhagen EALM, Van der Beek AJ, Twisk JWR, Bouter LM, Bahr R and Van Mechelen W (2004): The effect of a proprioceptive balance board training programme for the prevention of ankle sprains. *American Journal of Sports Medicine* 32: 1385– 1393.
- Verhagen EALM, van Mechelen W and de Vente W (2000): The effect of preventive measures on the incidence of ankle sprains. *Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine* 10: 291–296.
- Wedderkopp N, Kaltoft M, Lundgaard B, Rosendahl M and Froberg K (1999): Prevention of injuries in young female players in European team handball. A prospective intervention study. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports 9: 41–47.
- Wester JU, Jespersen SM, Nielsen KD and Neumann L (1996): Wobble board training after partial sprains of the lateral ligaments of the ankle: a prospective randomized study. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy* 23: 332–336.
- Zoch C, Fialka-Moser V and Quittan M (2003): Rehabilitation of ligamentous ankle injuries: a review of recent studies. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 37: 291–295.