Redox Biology 11 (2017) 103-110

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Redox Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/redox

Research Paper Sulforaphane is a Nrf2-independent inhibitor of mitochondrial fission

Gary B. O'Mealey^a, William L. Berry^b, Scott M. Plafker^{a,*}

^a Aging and Metabolism Research Program, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, OK, United States
^b Department of Cell Biology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, United States

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Sulforaphane

Mitochondria

Nrf2

Drp1

Fission

Fusion

Apoptosis

ABSTRACT

The KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE antioxidant system is a principal means by which cells respond to oxidative and xenobiotic stresses. Sulforaphane (SFN), an electrophilic isothiocyanate derived from cruciferous vegetables, activates the KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE pathway and has become a molecule-of-interest in the treatment of diseases in which chronic oxidative stress plays a major etiological role. We demonstrate here that the mitochondria of cultured, human retinal pigment epithelial (RPE-1) cells treated with SFN undergo hyperfusion that is independent of both Nrf2 and its cytoplasmic inhibitor KEAP1. Mitochondrial fusion has been reported to be cytoprotective by inhibiting pore formation in mitochondria during apoptosis, and consistent with this, we show Nrf2-independent, cytoprotection of SFN-treated cells exposed to the apoptosis-inducer, staurosporine. Mechanistically, SFN mitigates the recruitment and/or retention of the soluble fission factor Drp1 to mitochondria and to peroxisomes but does not affect overall Drp1 abundance. These data demonstrate that the beneficial properties of SFN extend beyond activation of the KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE system and warrant further interrogation given the current use of this agent in multiple clinical trials.

1. Introduction

Sulforaphane (SFN) is an isothiocyanate compound derived in the diet most commonly from cruciferous vegetables [56]. It is generated in plants as a xenobiotic response to predation via vesicular release of the hydrolytic enzyme myrosinase from damaged cells; this enzyme converts glucosinolates to isothiocyantes [42]. Over the last two decades, SFN has been extensively characterized for its reported anticancer, antioxidant, and antimicrobial properties [57]. Much of this efficacy has been attributed to the capacity of SFN to modulate the KEAP1-Nrf2-antioxidant response element (ARE) signaling pathway, although additional activities of the compound have been identified, including the inhibition of histone deacetylase activity and cell cycle progression [29]. Nrf2 is the master antioxidant transcription factor and under conditions of homeostasis, its stability is suppressed through the action of the cytoplasmic Cullin3^{KEAP1} ubiquitin ligase complex [20]. Specifically, Nrf2 is recruited to the Cullin3^{KEAP1} ligase by binding to the dimeric substrate adaptor KEAP1 and is subsequently modified with polyUb chains that target the transcription factor for proteasomemediated degradation. This constitutive turnover limits the half-life of Nrf2 in unstressed cells to ~15 min [30,33,46,55]. In response to numerous types of stress, most notably oxidative stress, KEAP1, a cysteine-rich protein, acts as a redox sensor, and oxidative modification of critical cysteines, particularly C151, of KEAP1 dissociates Nrf2KEAP1 from CUL3 thereby preventing Nrf2 degradation [20,55,8]. Notably, SFN, and possibly other Nrf2 activators, mimic oxidative stress by modifying C151 of KEAP1 e.g. [21]. Stabilization of Nrf2 allows for its translocation to the nucleus where it induces the expression of a battery of Phase II antioxidant and detoxification genes. Nrf2 binds to the antioxidant response promoter elements (ARE) of its cognate target genes through heterodimerization with small Maf proteins [19]. This system presents a dynamic and sensitive response to indirect antioxidants like SFN, free radicals generated by the mitochondria [16], or other physiologic sources of oxidative stress [41].

Mitochondria are dynamic, subcellular organelles that regulate a host of cellular functions ranging from ATP production and intracellular calcium buffering to redox regulation and apoptosis [13,49]. Mitochondria also represent the principal source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) within the cell. Proper regulation of mitochondrial function is therefore necessary for optimizing ATP production to meet cellular needs while simultaneously minimizing the potentially harmful effects of excessive free radical production. A critical requirement for fine modulation of mitochondrial function is the capacity for mitochondria to function both independently as biochemical machines and as part of a vast, responsive network.

Mitochondrial network morphology and function are determined by a regulated balance between fission and fusion. Mitochondrial fission is

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: plafkers@omrf.org (S.M. Plafker).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2016.11.007

Received 19 October 2016; Received in revised form 3 November 2016; Accepted 4 November 2016 Available online 21 November 2016

2213-2317/ © 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



required for daughter cell inheritance of mitochondria during cell division [28] as well as for the selective, autophagic degradation of depolarized or damaged mitochondria, termed mitophagy [1]. Conversely, fusion is required for complementation of mitochondrial genomes and sharing of electron transport chain components between neighboring mitochondria [54]. At the molecular level, mitochondrial fission and fusion are regulated by large, dynamin-like GTPases. Three enzymes primarily regulate fusion: Mitofusins 1 and 2 (Mfn1/2) are two-pass outer membrane proteins that mediate outer membrane fusion via heterotypic interactions between adjacent mitochondria [15,25,37], while OPA1 is an inner membrane protein that simultaneously ensures matrix connectivity by regulating the melding of inner membranes [5]. The GTPase activity of all three proteins is required for robust fusion [18,5], and OPA1 is further regulated by complex proteolysis within the mitochondrial inner membrane by the proteases OMA1 [14], PARL [6], and YME1L [45]. Importantly, intact mitochondrial membrane potential is required for efficient fusion in order to suppress integration of damaged and healthy mitochondria [26].

Mitochondrial fission is primarily catalyzed by a cytosolic protein called Dynamin-related protein 1 (Drp1/DNM1L). Drp1 is recruited from the cytosol to prospective sites of fission on the mitochondrial outer membrane [43]. The major receptors for Drp1 on the outer membrane are mitochondrial fission factor (Mff) [32] and, to a lesser extent, Fission 1 (Fis1) [51]. Additionally, a decoy receptor, MIEF1/ MiD51, was discovered that acts to further limit the activity of Drp1 protein at potential fission sites [58]. Once docked at the mitochondrial outer membrane, Drp1 oligomerizes into spiral-like structures around the body of the mitochondrion and then utilizes the energy derived from GTP hydrolysis to mediate the physical scission of the mitochondrial outer and inner membranes [17]. Endoplasmic reticulum-derived tubules act as an initial constrictor of mitochondria prior to Drp1 oligomerization, underscoring the revelation that non-constricted mitochondria are wider than the permissive circumference of a completed Drp1 spiral [12]. Actin dynamics are also important for the ER-mitochondria interactions that precede mitochondrial fission [24]. In addition to its role in mitochondrial fission, Drp1 catalyzes the fission of peroxisomes [40].

Drp1 is very similar to the well-characterized dynamin protein in that both proteins contain an N-terminal GTPase domain, a Middle domain that is critical for self-oligomerization, and a C-terminal GTPase effector domain [31]. Drp1 achieves selectivity for mitochondrial membranes through a combination of interactions with its receptor proteins Mff and Fis1 and also through its affinity for the mitochondria-specific phospholipid cardiolipin via the unique B-insert domain of Drp1 [2]. Drp1 typically exists as a homotetramer in the cytoplasm, and higher order assembly at mitochondrial fission sites is mediated by the Middle domain of Drp1 [3].

Given the implicit link between mitochondrial function and the KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE pathway, we investigated the effects of Nrf2 activation on mitochondrial structure and function. We demonstrate here that SFN induces mitochondrial hyperfusion that, unexpectedly, is independent of both Nrf2 and KEAP1. This effect of SFN is through an inhibition of Drp1 function. We further demonstrate that SFN confers resistance to apoptosis that is Nrf2-independent and mimics that observed in cells depleted of Drp1. These data collectively indicate that in addition to stabilizing and activating Nrf2, SFN modulates mitochondrial dynamics and preserves cellular fitness and survival.

2. Results

2.1. Sulforaphane induces Nrf2/KEAP1-independent hyperfusion of mitochondria

In the course of studying the effects of Nrf2 activation on mitochondrial network dynamics, we discovered that treatment of immortalized, human retinal pigment epithelial (RPE-1) cells with sulforaphane (SFN), a potent activator of Nrf2 signaling, induced a robust fusion of the mitochondrial network when compared with vehicle-treated control cells (Fig. 1A and B). The morphology of the mitochondria in these cells greatly resembled that of the mitochondria in cells depleted by siRNA of endogenous Drp1, the principal mitochondrial fission factor (Fig. 1A). This result raised the intriguing idea that mitochondrial fission and fusion status responds directly to Nrf2 levels in the cell. However, stimulation of cells with other Nrf2 stabilizers and activators such as the proteasome inhibitor MG132, the pro-oxidant tBHQ, or knockdown of the Nrf2 inhibitor KEAP1 did not induce mitochondrial fusion (Fig. 1A and B). Stabilization of Nrf2 by these manipulations was confirmed by western blotting for endogenous Nrf2 (Fig. 1C). Furthermore, expression of Nrf2 was dispensable for SFN-induced mitochondrial fusion, as knockdown of endogenous Nrf2 with siRNA failed to counter this phenotype (Fig. 1D-F). Because SFN stimulates the KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE pathway by covalently modifying cysteine residues of KEAP1 [21], we knocked down KEAP1 to address whether SFN-induced mitochondrial hyperfusion is stimulated through a KEAP1-dependent, but Nrf2 independent pathway. However, depletion of KEAP1 also failed to abrogate SFN-induced mitochondrial fusion (Fig. 1G-I). In fact, SFN reversed the pro-fission morphology induced by depletion of KEAP1 (Fig. 1G, panel b versus panel d). These results indicate that SFN treatment causes mitochondrial fusion independent of the canonical KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE pathway and led us to interrogate whether SFN directly affects components of the mitochondrial fission or fusion machinery.

2.2. Sulforaphane impairs the mitochondrial association of Drp1

Based on the finding that SFN-treatment induces mitochondrial hyperfusion, we reasoned that this phenotype was either a consequence of excessive fusion activity or an inhibition of fission activity. To discriminate between these two possibilities, we compared the morphology of peroxisomes in the presence and absence of SFN. Peroxisomes are similar to mitochondria in that they are dynamic organelles the shape and length of which are constantly in flux [44]. Peroxisomes contain both Fis1 and Mff in their outer membrane and, as a consequence, are targets for Drp1-mediated fission [22,23]. However, peroxisomes do not utilize the fusion machinery of the mitochondrial network and consequently, do not undergo fusion [39]. Rather, peroxisomal fission is opposed by the lengthening of existing peroxisomes via de novo addition of membranes and proteins [44]. Because peroxisomes lack Mfn1/2 and OPA1, we reasoned that if SFN activates the fusion machinery rather than inhibiting the fission machinery, peroxisome length would not be affected. In vehicle-treated cells, peroxisomes are maintained as short, round, punctiform organelles (Fig. 2, panels b and d). However, SFN treatment increased peroxisome length by ~2-fold as compared to control cells (Fig. 2, panels f and h). Furthermore, many of the peroxisomes were pinched near the center, indicating a potential scission defect (Fig. 2, panel h, arrowheads). Likewise, peroxisomes in cells transfected with Drp1 siRNA were abnormally long (Fig. 2, panels j and l), confirming that Drp1 is required for peroxisomal fission and suggesting that SFNtreatment causes mitochondrial and peroxisomal phenotypes by disrupting the fission machinery.

We next determined how SFN restricts Drp1 function. Possibilities included reductions in expression levels, recruitment/retention at mitochondria, oligomerization, or enzymatic activity of the GTPase. A deficit in any one of these would result in reduced mitochondrial fission and hyperfusion. We did not detect reproducible changes in Drp1 protein levels after SFN-treatment (Figs. 1C and 3A), and therefore concluded that SFN does not alter Drp1 stability or expression, consistent with Drp1 having a half-life of >10 h [50] and our SFN treatments being of shorter duration. Next, we investigated whether SFN affected the recruitment or retention of Drp1 to mitochondria. Fractionation studies showed that SFN induced a loss of Drp1 from the



Fig. 1. SFN induces Nrf2/KEAP1-independent mitochondrial fusion. (A) RPE-1 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and 3 days later treated with DMSO or the Nrf2 activators SFN (50 μ M), MG132 (10 μ M), or tBHQ (100 μ M) for 4 h. Mitochondria (red) are labeled with an anti-Tom20 antibody, and nuclei (blue) are counterstained with DAPI. (B) Graph showing quantification of mitochondrial morphology scoring from (A). > 50 cells per condition were evaluated in a blinded fashion. (C) Representative western blots from (A). (D) RPE-1 cells were transfected with 10 nM siRNA and 3 days later treated with SFN for 4 h prior to being fixed and stained as in (A). (E) Graph showing quantification of mitochondrial phenotype scoring from (D). > 100 cells per condition were evaluated in a blinded fashion. (F) Representative western blots from (D). (G) Cells were transfected and treated as in (D) with siCON or siKEAP1. (H) Cells from (G) were scored as in (B) and (E) on the basis of mitochondrial morphology. (I) Representative western blots from (G). Data in (B), (E), and (H) were compiled from 3 independent experiments each and statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's *t*-test. Error bars reflect +/- S.D. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

mitochondrial fraction (Fig. 3A, lanes 7–8 and Fig. 3B). As reported previously [43], only a minor fraction of Drp1 (~3%) is associated with the mitochondrial network at any given time during steady state conditions with most of the enzyme residing in the cytoplasm (Fig. 3A, lanes 5–8). These fractionation data were confirmed using co-localization analysis which showed a ~40% reduction in mitochondria-localized, punctate Drp1 foci after SFN-treatment (Fig. 3C and D). Together, these data indicate that the mitochondrial fusion induced by SFN is, at least partially, due to the attenuated association of Drp1 with

the mitochondria. Our data do not distinguish between whether SFN interferes with the mitochondrial recruitment versus the mitochondrial retention of Drp1, or both, as the analysis of endogenous Drp1 was not amenable to visualizing the GTPase by live-cell microscopy.

2.3. Sulforaphane confers protection against staurosportine-induced apoptosis independent of Nrf2

Previous work has shown that mitochondrial fission is permissive in



Fig. 2. SFN induces peroxisomal lengthening. (A) RPE-1 cells were transfected with 10 nM of the indicated siRNA and 3 days later treated with DMSO or 50 μ M SFN for 4 h. Peroxisomes (green) were labeled with an anti-PMP70 antibody, mitochondria with MitoTracker (red), and DNA counterstained with DAPI. Enlarged insets of peroxisomes are shown on the right (panels d, h, and l) to facilitate visualization of the changes in morphology induced by SFN and Drp1 depletion. Arrowheads highlight constriction points. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

the formation of pores in the outer mitochondrial membrane generated by Bax/Bak during apoptosis [11]. Drp1 has been shown to be selectively recruited to mitochondria during apoptosis [11] and, consistent with this, fragmented mitochondria have been observed early in the process [27]. Conversely, inhibiting mitochondrial fission is thought to inhibit apoptosis by blocking the formation of the outer membrane pores that allow for cytochrome c release [53]. Accordingly, stimulating mitochondrial fusion delays the progression of apoptosis induced by compounds including staurosporine (STS) [14]. To determine whether SFN protects RPE-1 cells from STS-mediated apoptosis and if so, whether this requires Nrf2, we established an assay to readily induce poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) cleavage, a substrate of activated caspase-3 and definitive marker of apoptosis. Treatment of RPE-1 cells with 1 µM STS for 6 h only caused a very modest cleavage of PARP vet this was prevented by SFN co-treatment (e.g., Fig. 4A, lane 3 versus 4). To increase the robustness of this assay, we further sensitized cells to STS-induced apoptosis by pre-treating them with siRNA targeting the anti-apoptotic factor, Bcl-XL. This pretreatment reduced the expression of Bcl-XL and markedly promoted PARP cleavage as a function of time exposed to STS (Fig. 4B, compare lane 2 to lanes 4-10). Importantly, 2 h of pre-treatment with SFN mitigated PARP cleavage in cells exposed to STS (Fig. 4C, lane 3 versus 4 and lane 5 versus 6). Likewise, cells stably depleted of Nrf2 by CRISPR/Cas9 were comparably protected from STS toxicity by SFN pre-treatment (Fig. 4C, lane 11 versus 12 and lane 13 versus 14 and Fig. 4D). This protection was observed using both PARP cleavage (Fig. 4C and D) and cellular morphology (Fig. 4E) as readouts. The efficacy of Nrf2 depletion by CRISPR/Cas9 was confirmed by western blotting (Fig. 4C, Nrf2 blot). As predicted, depleting cells of Drp1, which also yields a hyperfusion phenotype (Fig. 1A), also blocked PARP cleavage in response to STS as compared to control cells incubated with SFN (Fig. 4F and G). Together, these findings are consistent with SFN conferring protection against apoptosis through its capacity to restrict Drp1 function, independent of the stabilization and activation of Nrf2.

3. Discussion

We have discovered that SFN modulates mitochondrial fission/fusion dynamics independent of its effects on the KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE pathway. This is intriguing because of an assumed link between mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS production and the necessity of squelching mitochondria-derived free radicals through the activation of Nrf2. This additional functional impact of SFN is of potential importance given the more than 30 clinical trials currently underway testing SFN for the treatment of a variety of diseases including prostate cancer, obstructive pulmonary disease, and sickle cell disease [10,47,7].

Because SFN is an isothiocyanate [56] and it activates Nrf2 signaling by directly acylating critical KEAP1 cysteines to suppress Nrf2 degradation [21], it follows that SFN exerts its pro-fusion effects by modulating the activity of a fission or fusion factor via cysteine modification. Our data strongly support Drp1 being negatively regulated by SFN although whether the GTPase is a direct target of acylation remains to be elucidated. Despite this knowledge gap, the function of Drp1 is clearly being compromised by SFN as both mitochondria and peroxisomes become hyperfused in response to SFN treatment and these organelles share Drp1 for their respective scission events [38]. In addition, SFN decreases the amount of Drp1 that localizes and accumulates at mitochondria (Fig. 3). Because our



Fig. 3. SFN causes a loss of Drp1 from the mitochondria. (A) Subcellular fractionation of RPE-1 cells following 4 h of DMSO or SFN. Whole-cell lysates (WCL), nuclear (Nuc), cytosolic (Cyto), and crude mitochondrial (Mito) fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE and processed for western blotting with the indicated antibodies. The migration of molecular weight markers is indicated on the left. (B) Graphs showing densitometric quantification of Drp1 in the indicated fractions from (A). (C) RPE-1 cells were transfected with 10 nM siCON or siDrp1 and 3 days later treated with DMSO or SFN for 4 h. Drp1 (green) was visualized with an anti-Drp1 antibody, mitochondria with MitoTracker (red), and nuclei with DAPI (blue). (D) Automated co-localization analysis of Drp1 and MitoTracker signal from (C). Data in (B) and (D) were compiled from 3 and 5 independent experiments, respectively, and statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Student's t-test. Error bars reflect +/- S.D and asterisks denote statistical significance. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

experiments were done with all endogenous proteins, our detection of Drp1 at mitochondrial fission sites is under steady-state conditions, and consequently, we cannot distinguish between a recruitment versus a retention defect of the enzyme caused by SFN. Further, we cannot eliminate the possibility that SFN acylates a receptor at the mitochondria (Fis1 or Mff) to block Drp1 recruitment yet, we suspect that Drp1 is directly modified. Drp1 has nine cysteines, eight of which reside within the Middle Domain that is required for oligomerization [3], and one of which resides in the GTPase Effector Domain (GED) at the Cterminus of Drp1. Direct acylation of any of these cysteines could cause an activity defect in Drp1 and therefore underlie the effect of SFN on mitochondrial dynamics. Notably, prior work suggests that defects in oligomerization and catalytic activity can abrogate the retention of Drp1 at the mitochondria [52]. Cys644 in the GED domain is a particularly attractive target based on previous work showing that mutation of this cysteine phenocopies mutations that impair Drp1 GTPase activity [4] and that this particular cysteine is modified by thiol-reactive electrophiles [9]. Resolution of this outstanding question will require mass spectrometric validation.

master anti-oxidant transcription factor Nrf2, SFN promotes mitochondrial and peroxisomal fusion, and this effect is independent of Nrf2. The mechanism underlying this phenomenon involves a reduction in the function of the GTPase Drp1, the primary mediator of mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission. A major consequence of SFN-mediated mitochondrial fusion is that cells become resistant to the toxic effects of the apoptosis inducer staurosporine. This additional cytoprotective action of SFN could be of particular clinical utility in the numerous neurodegenerative diseases for which age is the leading risk factor (e.g., Parkinson's Disease, Alzheimer's Disease, Age-related Macular Degeneration) as these maladies have been associated with apoptosis and reduced levels and/or dysregulation of Nrf2 [35,36,48]. Together, these data demonstrate that the cytoprotective properties of SFN extend beyond activation of the KEAP1-Nrf2-ARE system and warrant further studies given the current use of this agent in multiple clinical trials.

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Apoptosis assays

In summary, we have identified a novel, cytoprotective function for the clinically-relevant compound SFN. In addition to activating the

Cells were seeded and transfected with siRNA as indicated below.



Fig. 4. The cytoprotective effects of SFN are independent of Nrf2 expression (A) RPE-1 cells were pre-treated with DMSO or 50 μ M SFN for 2 h prior to treatment with DMSO, 1 μ M staurosporine (STS), or 50 μ M etoposide for 6 h and were processed for anti-PARP western blotting. (B) RPE-1 cells were transfected with 2.5 nM siCON, 1 nM siBcl-XL, or 2.5 nM siBcl-XL and 3 days later were treated with DMSO or 1 μ M STS for 2, 4, or 6 h. Representative western blots are shown and the migration of molecular weight markers is indicated on the left. (C) CRISPR/Cas9-generated wild-type (Nrf2WT) and Nrf2 knockout (Nrf2KO) RPE-1 cells were transfected with 1 nM siBcl-XL and 3 days later were pre-treated with DMSO or 50 μ M SFN for 2 h. Subsequently, the cells were treated with 1 μ M STS for 2, 4, or 6 h. Representative western blots with the indicated antibodies are shown. (D) Quantification of cleaved PARP as a percentage of total PARP (cleaved+uncleaved) from 3 independent experiments. Importantly, the levels of cleaved PARP were comparable whether cells expressed Nrf2 or not, indicating that SFN protection from STS is independent of the transcription factor. (E) 20X phase-contrast images taken immediately prior to harvest of lysates from (C). Scale bar=65 μ m. (F) Representative western blots demonstrating that depletion of Drp1 confers near-comparable protection from STS as SFN treatment. RPE-1 cells were transfected with 1 nM siBcl-XL and additionally transfected with either 10 nM siCON or 10 nM siDrp1.3 days later, siCON cells were pre-treated with SFN as in (A) and (C) and then exposed to STS for 4 h prior to being harvested and processed for western blotting with the indicated antibodies. (G) Same as (D) for the data presented in (F) compiled from 3 independent experiments. Error bars reflect +/- S.E.M.

The cells were pre-treated with 50 μ M sulforaphane for 2 h to induce mitochondrial fusion and were then treated with 1 μ M staurosporine to induce apoptosis. At the time of harvest, media was collected in individual tubes and subjected to high speed centrifugation to pellet apoptotic cells. This cell pellet was combined with adherent cells and solubilized in 2 times-concentrated Laemmli buffer. Samples were subjected to anti-PARP western blotting.

4.2. CRISPR/Cas9 construct generation

To create LentiCRISPR/eCas9 1.1, LentiCRISPR v2 (addgene #52961) was first cut with Age1 and BamH1. Next, SpCas9 from eSpCas9 1.1 (addgene #71814) was PCR amplified with Age1 and overhangs using the following primers (Forward BamH1 AGCGCACCGGTTCTAGAGCGCTGCCACCATGGACTATAAGGACCAC-GAC, Reverse AAGCGCGGATCCCTTTTTTTTTGCCTGGCCGG) and ligated into the cut vector above. sgRNA sequences were determined by using Benchling.com. Parameters were set to target the coding sequence with the highest on-target and lowest off-target scores. The following sequences (targeting sequence underlined, hs sgNFE2L2#1 sense CACCGCGACGGAAAGAGTATGAGC, antisense AAACGCTCATACTCTTTCCGTCGC; hs sgNFE2L2#2 sense CACCGG-TTTCTGACTGGATGTGCT, antisense AAACAGCACATCCAGTCAGAA-ACC; hs sgNFE2L2#3 sense CACCGGAGTAGTTGGCAGATCCAC, antisense AAACGTGGATCTGCCAACTACTCC) were annealed and ligated into BsmB1 cut LentiCRISPR/eCas9 1.1. Lentivirally infected RPE-1 cells were selected with puromycin and maintained as a pooled population. Knockout was confirmed by immunofluorescence and western blotting.

4.3. Cell culture and transfections

Human retinal pigment epithelial cells transformed with telomerase (RPE-1) (ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 1 g/L glucose supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin, 1X non-essential amino acid cocktail (Life Technologies), and 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Life Technologies). For siRNA-transfections, 30,000–35,000 cells/mL were seeded overnight. Cells received 10 nM siRNA diluted in serum-free DMEM and combined with 0.3% Interferin transfection reagent (PolyPlus). For apoptosis sensitization, cells received 1 nM Bcl-XL siRNA. Cells were harvested 2–3 days post-transfection.

4.4. Chemicals, antibodies, and siRNA oligos

Antibodies against α -tubulin (Cell Signaling), β -tubulin (Sigma), Drp1 (BD Biosciences), KEAP1 (Proteintech), Lamin B1 (Abcam), PARP (Cell Signaling), PMP70 (Abcam), and Tom20 (BD Biosciences) were used at 1:1000 dilutions for western blotting and for immunofluorescence. In-house, anti-Nrf2 rabbit antibody was used at 1:2000 for western blotting [34,59]. Sulforaphane (Sigma) and staurosporine (Tocris) were used at 50 μ M and 1 μ M respectively. siRNAs against Drp1 (Dharmacon), Nrf2 (Dharmacon), KEAP1 (Cell Signaling), and Bcl-XL (Cell Signaling) were used at 10 nM unless otherwise noted.

4.5. Immunofluorescence and in vivo labeling

Cells seeded on 18 mm glass coverslips were treated with vehicle or drug, fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde and then permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100/PBS on ice for 10 min. Primary antibodies were incubated in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS overnight at 4 °C. Following PBS washes, cells were incubated for 1 h in species-appropriate, Alexa₄₈₈- or Alexa₅₄₆-, conjugated secondary antibodies (diluted 1:1000) and 0.1 μ g/mL DAPI (Sigma) in 3% BSA/PBS. Mitochondria were visualized either by anti-Tom20 immunofluorescence or by

incubating cells in 200 nM MitoTracker Red CMXRos (Molecular Probes, Inc.) in serum-free DMEM for 30 min at 37 °C prior to fixation.

4.6. Microscopy and image analysis

Immunofluorescence samples were viewed on an LSM710 Confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss). Micrographs were captured using 63X or 100X oil immersion objectives and images adjusted and enhanced using Adobe Photoshop CS6. Co-localization analysis was performed using Carl Zeiss LSM710 co-localization feature with thresholds manually set while blinded to the identity of the samples. Scale bars throughout, unless otherwise indicated, are 10 μ m. Mitochondrial morphology was assessed by blinded scoring. If the mitochondria of a cell were maintained as multiple, round, discriminate puncta, the cell was scored as 'fission'. If individual mitochondria were indistinguishable and the whole mitochondrial network appeared continuous, the cell was scored as 'fusion'. All other cells, including those with clustering mitochondria, were scored as 'intermediate'.

4.7. Subcellular fractionations

RPE-1 cells were grown to confluence. Following a PBS wash, cells were subjected to centrifugation at $600 \times g$ for 10 min and resuspended in 600 µL isolation buffer (210 mM Mannitol, 70 mM Sucrose, 5 mM MOPS, 1 mM EDTA pH 7.4+1 mM PMSF). The suspension was lysed 30 times in a Dounce homogenizer. A fraction of the homogenate was preserved as a "whole cell lysate." The remainder was subjected to centrifugation at $800 \times g$ for 10 min to pellet nuclei. Supernatants were subjected to centrifugation at $1500 \times g$ for 10 min to clear remaining nuclei and unlysed cells. This supernatant was subjected to centrifugation at $15,000 \times g$ for 15 min to pellet mitochondria. The supernatant was preserved as the "cytosolic fraction". The pellet was washed gently with PBS and resuspended in isolation buffer. The protein concentration of each fraction was measured by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay and equivalent amounts of protein were resolved by SDS-PAGE.

4.8. Western blotting

Cells were washed in PBS and solubilized in 2 times concentrated Laemmli solubilizing buffer (100 mM Tris [pH 6.8], 2% SDS, 0.008% bromophenol blue, 2% 2-mercaptoethanol, 26.3% glycerol, and 0.001% Pyrinin Y). Lysates were boiled for 5 min prior to loading on sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and the membranes were blocked for 1 h in 5% Milk/TBST. Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% Milk/TBST and incubated with the blot overnight at 4 °C. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies were diluted in 5% Milk/ TBST. Blots were processed with enhanced chemiluminescence and densitometric quantifications were performed using ImageJ software.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a National Institutes of Health Grant R01GM092900 (to S.M.P.) and a Oklahoma Center for the Advancement of Science and Technology Grant HR13-182 (to S.M.P.). Neither funding agency was directly involved in the design of experiments, nor the collection or interpretation of data. We are very grateful to members of the Plafker laboratory for insightful discussions and to the OMRF Imaging Core for use of the confocal microscope.

References

- G. Ashrafi, T.L. Schwarz, The pathways of mitophagy for quality control and clearance of mitochondria, Cell Death Differ. 20 (2013) 31–42.
- [2] I. Bustillo-Zabalbeitia, S. Montessuit, E. Raemy, G. Basanez, O. Terrones,
- J.C. Martinou, Specific interaction with cardiolipin triggers functional activation of dynamin-related protein 1, PLoS One 9 (2014) e102738.

- [3] C.R. Chang, C.M. Manlandro, D. Arnoult, J. Stadler, A.E. Posey, R.B. Hill, C. Blackstone, A lethal de novo mutation in the middle domain of the dynaminrelated GTPase Drp1 impairs higher order assembly and mitochondrial division, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 32494–32503.
- [4] D.H. Cho, T. Nakamura, J. Fang, P. Cieplak, A. Godzik, Z. Gu, S.A. Lipton, Snitrosylation of Drp1 mediates beta-amyloid-related mitochondrial fission and neuronal injury, Science 324 (2009) 102–105.
- [5] S. Cipolat, O. Martins de Brito, B. Dal Zilio, L. Scorrano, OPA1 requires mitofusin 1 to promote mitochondrial fusion, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004) 15927-15932
- [6] S. Cipolat, T. Rudka, D. Hartmann, V. Costa, L. Serneels, K. Craessaerts, K. Metzger, C. Frezza, W. Annaert, L. D'Adamio, C. Derks, T. Dejaegere, L. Pellegrini, R. D'Hooge, L. Scorrano, B. De Strooper, Mitochondrial rhomboid PARL regulates cytochrome c release during apoptosis via OPA1-dependent cristae remodeling, Cell 126 (2006) 163-175.
- [7] J.D. Clarke, R.H. Dashwood, E. Ho, Multi-targeted prevention of cancer by [7] J. Clarke, Ref. Dashnood, D. Ho, Land T. Sagara, P. S. S. Cullinan, J.D. Gordan, J. Jin, J.W. Harper, J.A. Diehl, The Keap1-BTB protein
- is an adaptor that bridges Nrf2 to a Cul3-based E3 ligase: oxidative stress sensing by a Cul3-Keap1 ligase, Mol. Cell Biol. 24 (2004) 8477–8486.
- [9] M.K. Dennehy, K.A. Richards, G.R. Wernke, Y. Shyr, D.C. Liebler, Cytosolic and nuclear protein targets of thiol-reactive electrophiles, Chem. Res Toxicol. 19 (2006) 20 - 29
- [10] J.F. Doss, J.C. Jonassaint, M.E. Garrett, A.E. Ashlev-Koch, M.J. Telen, J.T. Chi, Phase 1 study of a sulforaphane-containing broccoli sprout homogenate for sickle cell disease, PLoS One 11 (2016) e0152895.
- [11] S. Frank, B. Gaume, E.S. Bergmann-Leitner, W.W. Leitner, E.G. Robert, F. Catez, C.L. Smith, R.J. Youle, The role of dynamin-related protein 1, a mediator of mitochondrial fission, in apoptosis, Dev. Cell 1 (2001) 515-525.
- [12] J.R. Friedman, L.L. Lackner, M. West, J.R. DiBenedetto, J. Nunnari, G.K. Voeltz, ER tubules mark sites of mitochondrial division, Science 334 (2011) 358–362. [13] E.J. Griffiths, G.A. Rutter, Mitochondrial calcium as a key regulator of mitochon-
- drial ATP production in mammalian cells, Bba-Bioenergetics 1787 (2009) 1324-1333.
- [14] B. Head, L. Griparic, M. Amiri, S. Gandre-Babbe, A.M. van der Bliek, Inducible proteolytic inactivation of OPA1 mediated by the OMA1 protease in mammalian cells, J. Cell Biol. 187 (2009) 959-966
- [15] S. Hoppins, F. Edlich, M.M. Cleland, S. Banerjee, J.M. McCaffery, R.J. Youle, J. Nunnari, The soluble form of Bax regulates mitochondrial fusion via MFN2 homotypic complexes, Mol. Cell 41 (2011) 150-160.
- [16] B.R. Imhoff, J.M. Hansen, Extracellular redox status regulates Nrf2 activation
- through mitochondrial reactive oxygen species, Biochem. J. 424 (2009) 491-500. [17] E. Ingerman, E.M. Perkins, M. Marino, J.A. Mears, J.M. McCaffery, J.E. Hinshaw, J. Nunnari, Dnm1 forms spirals that are structurally tailored to fit mitochondria, J. Cell Biol. 170 (2005) 1021–1027.
- [18] N. Ishihara, Y. Eura, K. Mihara, Mitofusin 1 and 2 play distinct roles in mitochondrial fusion reactions via GTPase activity, J. Cell Sci. 117 (2004) 6535-6546.
- [19] K. Itoh, T. Chiba, S. Takahashi, T. Ishii, K. Igarashi, Y. Katoh, T. Oyake, N. Hayashi, K. Satoh, I. Hatayama, M. Yamamoto, Y. Nabeshima, An Nrf2/small Maf heterodimer mediates the induction of phase II detoxifying enzyme genes through antioxidant response elements, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 236 (1997) 313-322.
- [20] A. Kobayashi, M.I. Kang, H. Okawa, M. Ohtsuji, Y. Zenke, T. Chiba, K. Igarashi, M. Yamamoto, Oxidative stress sensor Keap1 functions as an adaptor for Cul3based E3 ligase to regulate proteasomal degradation of Nrf2, Mol. Cell Biol. 24 (2004) 7130-7139.
- [21] M. Kobayashi, L. Li, N. Iwamoto, Y. Nakajima-Takagi, H. Kaneko, Y. Nakayama, M. Eguchi, Y. Wada, Y. Kumagai, M. Yamamoto, The antioxidant defense system Keap1-Nrf2 comprises a multiple sensing mechanism for responding to a wide
- range of chemical compounds, Mol. Cell Biol. 29 (2009) 493–502. [22] A. Koch, Y. Yoon, N.A. Bonekamp, M.A. McNiven, M. Schrader, A role for Fis1 in both mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission in mammalian cells, Mol. Biol. Cell 16 (2005) 5077-5086.
- [23] J. Koch, C. Brocard, PEX11 proteins attract Mff and human Fis1 to coordinate peroxisomal fission, J. Cell Sci. 125 (2012) 3813-3826.
- [24] F. Korobova, V. Ramabhadran, H.N. Higgs, An actin-dependent step in mitochondrial fission mediated by the ER-associated formin INF2, Science 339 (2013) 464-467
- [25] T. Koshiba, S.A. Detmer, J.T. Kaiser, H. Chen, J.M. McCaffery, D.C. Chan, Structural basis of mitochondrial tethering by mitofusin complexes, Science 305 (2004) 858-862
- [26] F. Legros, A. Lombes, P. Frachon, M. Rojo, Mitochondrial fusion in human cells is efficient, requires the inner membrane potential, and is mediated by mitofusins, Mol. Biol. Cell 13 (2002) 4343-4354.
- [27] M. Mancini, B.O. Anderson, E. Caldwell, M. Sedghinasab, P.B. Paty, D.M. Hockenbery, Mitochondrial proliferation and paradoxical membrane depolarization during terminal differentiation and apoptosis in a human colon carcinoma cell line, J. Cell Biol. 138 (1997) 449-469.
- [28] P. Mishra, D.C. Chan, Mitochondrial dynamics and inheritance during cell division, development and disease, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 15 (2014) 634-646. [29] M.C. Myzak, R.H. Dashwood, Chemoprotection by sulforaphane: keep one eye beyond Keap1, Cancer Lett. 233 (2006) 208–218.
- [30] T. Nguyen, P.J. Sherratt, H.C. Huang, C.S. Yang, C.B. Pickett, Increased protein

stability as a mechanism that enhances Nrf2-mediated transcriptional activation of the antioxidant response element. Degradation of Nrf2 by the 26 S proteasome, J. Biol. Chem. 278 (2003) 4536-4541.

- [31] H. Otera, N. Ishihara, K. Mihara, New insights into the function and regulation of mitochondrial fission, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1833 (2013) 1256-1268
- [32] H. Otera, C. Wang, M.M. Cleland, K. Setoguchi, S. Yokota, R.J. Youle, K. Mihara, Mff is an essential factor for mitochondrial recruitment of Drp1 during mito chondrial fission in mammalian cells, J. Cell Biol. 191 (2010) 1141-1158.
- [33] K.S. Plafker, L. Nguyen, M. Barneche, S. Mirza, D. Crawford, S.M. Plafker, The ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UbcM2 can regulate the stability and activity of the antioxidant transcription factor Nrf2, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 23064–23074.
- [34] K.S. Plafker, S.M. Plafker, The ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UBE2E3 and its import receptor importin-11 regulate the localization and activity of the antioxidant transcription factor NRF2, Mol. Biol. Cell 26 (2015) 327-338.
- [35] C.P. Ramsey, C.A. Glass, M.B. Montgomery, K.A. Lindl, G.P. Ritson, L.A. Chia, R.L. Hamilton, C.T. Chu, K.L. Jordan-Sciutto, Expression of Nrf2 in neurodegenerative diseases, J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 66 (2007) 75–85. [36] M.M. Sachdeva, M. Cano, J.T. Handa, Nrf2 signaling is impaired in the aging RPE
- given an oxidative insult, Exp. Eve Res 119 (2014) 111–114.
- [37] A. Santel, M.T. Fuller, Control of mitochondrial morphology by a human mitofusin, I. Cell Sci. 114 (2001) 867–874.
- [38] M. Schrader, Shared components of mitochondrial and peroxisomal division, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1763 (2006) 531-541.
- [39] M. Schrader, N.A. Bonekamp, M. Islinger, Fission and proliferation of peroxisomes, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1822 (2012) 1343–1357.
- [40] M. Schrader, Y. Yoon, Mitochondria and peroxisomes: are the 'big brother' and the 'little sister' closer than assumed?, Bioessays 29 (2007) 1105-1114.
- K.R. Sekhar, P.A. Crooks, V.N. Sonar, D.B. Friedman, J.Y. Chan, M.J. Meredith, [41] J.H. Starnes, K.R. Kelton, S.R. Summar, S. Sasi, M.L. Freeman, NADPH oxidase activity is essential for Keap1/Nrf2-mediated induction of GCLC in response to 2indol-3-yl-methylenequinuclidin-3-ols, Cancer Res. 63 (2003) 5636–5645. [42] T.A. Shapiro, J.W. Fahey, K.L. Wade, K.K. Stephenson, P. Talalay, Human
- metabolism and excretion of cancer chemoprotective glucosinolates and isothiocyanates of cruciferous vegetables, Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. 7 (1998) 1091-1100.
- [43] E. Smirnova, L. Griparic, D.L. Shurland, A.M. van der Bliek, Dynamin-related protein Drp1 is required for mitochondrial division in mammalian cells, Mol. Biol. Cell 12 (2001) 2245-2256.
- J.J. Smith, J.D. Aitchison, Peroxisomes take shape, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol, 14 [44] (2013) 803 - 817.
- [45] Z. Song, H. Chen, M. Fiket, C. Alexander, D.C. Chan, OPA1 processing controls mitochondrial fusion and is regulated by mRNA splicing, membrane potential, and Yme1L, J. Cell Biol. 178 (2007) 749-755.
- [46] D. Stewart, E. Killeen, R. Naquin, S. Alam, J. Alam, Degradation of transcription factor Nrf2 via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and stabilization by cadmium, J. Biol. Chem. 278 (2003) 2396-2402.
- [47] K. Sudini, G.B. Diette, P.N. Breysse, M.C. McCormack, D. Bull, S. Biswal, S. Zhai, N. Brereton, R.D. Peng, E.C. Matsui, A randomized controlled trial of the Effect of Broccoli Sprouts on antioxidant gene expression and airway inflammation in asthmatics, J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pr. 4 (2016) 932-940.
- [48] M. Todorovic, S.A. Wood, G.D. Mellick, Nrf2: a modulator of Parkinson's disease?, J. Neural Transm. 123 (2016) 611-619.
- C.X. Wang, R.J. Youle, The Role of Mitochondria in Apoptosis, Annual Review of [49] Genetics 43 (2009) 95–118.
- [50] H. Wang, P. Song, L. Du, W. Tian, W. Yue, M. Liu, D. Li, B. Wang, Y. Zhu, C. Cao, J. Zhou, Q. Chen, Parkin ubiquitinates Drp1 for proteasome-dependent degradation: implication of dysregulated mitochondrial dynamics in Parkinson disease, J. Biol. Chem. 286 (2011) 11649-11658.
- [51] Y. Yoon, E.W. Krueger, B.J. Oswald, M.A. McNiven, The mitochondrial protein hFis1 regulates mitochondrial fission in mammalian cells through an interaction with the dynamin-like protein DLP1, Mol. Cell Biol. 23 (2003) 5409–5420. [52] Y. Yoon, K.R. Pitts, M.A. McNiven, Mammalian dynamin-like protein DLP1
- tubulates membranes, Mol. Biol. Cell 12 (2001) 2894–2905.
- R.J. Youle, M. Karbowski, Mitochondrial fission in apoptosis, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 6 (2005) 657-663.
- [54] R.J. Youle, A.M. van der Bliek, Mitochondrial fission, fusion, and stress, Science 337 (2012) 1062-1065.
- [55] D.D. Zhang, M. Hannink, Distinct cysteine residues in Keap1 are required for Keap1-dependent ubiquitination of Nrf2 and for stabilization of Nrf2 by chemopreventive agents and oxidative stress, Mol. Cell Biol. 23 (2003) 8137-8151.
- [56] Y. Zhang, P. Talalay, C.G. Cho, G.H. Posner, A major inducer of anticarcinogenic protective enzymes from broccoli: isolation and elucidation of structure, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89 (1992) 2399-2403.
- [57] Y. Zhang, L. Tang, Discovery and development of sulforaphane as a cancer chemopreventive phytochemical, Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 28 (2007) 1343-1354.
- [58] J. Zhao, T. Liu, S. Jin, X. Wang, M. Qu, P. Uhlen, N. Tomilin, O. Shupliakov, U. Lendahl, M. Nister, Human MIEF1 recruits Drp1 to mitochondrial outer membranes and promotes mitochondrial fusion rather than fission, EMBO J. 30 (2011) 2762–2778.
- [59] A.G. Ziady, A. Sokolow, S. Shank, D. Corey, R. Myers, S. Plafker, T.J. Kelley, Interaction with CREB binding protein modulates the activities of Nrf2 and NFkappaB in cystic fibrosis airway epithelial cells, Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 302 (2012) L1221-L1231.