

10 Points in Dimension 4 not Projectively Equivalent to the Vertices of a Convex Polytope

David Forge, Michel Las Vergnas[†] and Peter Schuchert

Using oriented matroids, and with the help of a computer, we have found a set of 10 points in \mathbb{R}^4 not projectively equivalent to the vertices of a convex polytope. This result confirms a conjecture of Larman [6] in dimension 4.

© 2001 Academic Press

PROBLEM (McMullen [6]). Determine the largest integer n = f(d) such that for any given n points in general position in \mathbb{R}^d there is an admissible projective transformation mapping these points onto the vertices of a convex polytope.

Here admissible means that none of the n points is sent to infinity by the projective transformation.

For dimension two and three the numbers f(d) are known: f(2) = 5 and f(3) = 7. For $d \ge 2$, Larman has established in [6] the bounds $2d + 1 \le f(d) \le (d+1)^2$, and conjectured that f(d) = 2d + 1. The upper bound has been improved to $f(d) \le (d+1)(d+2)/2$ by Las Vergnas [7], as a corollary of Redei's theorem for tournaments. Recently, Ramírez Alfonsín [8] has proven the linear upper bound $f(d) \le 5d/2 + 1$, by a construction using Lawrence oriented matroids (unions of rank 1 oriented matroids).

In the context of oriented matroids the problem can be conveniently restated in terms of hyperplanes. We refer the reader to [1] for information regarding oriented matroid theory. As easily seen, the oriented matroids of the images of a given configuration of points by admissible projective transformations are all the acyclic reorientations of the oriented matroid defined by the affine dependencies of the configuration. The dual of a configuration of points is an arrangement of hyperplanes, and the regions defined by this arrangement are in 1-1 correspondence with the acyclic reorientations of the oriented matroid. We say that a region which meets all hyperplanes in dimension d-1 is *complete*. It is almost immediate to verify that a region is complete if and only if all corresponding admissible projective transformations maps the given n points onto the set of vertices of convex polytopes (note that these convex polytopes necessarily have the same oriented matroid).

Hence the McMullen problem is equivalent to: determine the largest integer n = f(d) such that any arrangement of n hyperplanes in general position in \mathbb{R}^d contains a complete region.

The same problem for general oriented matroids has been considered by Cordovil and Da Silva [4]: determine the largest integer n=g(r) such that any uniform rank r oriented matroid M with n elements has a complete region. A region (or tope) of an oriented matroid is a region determined by the pseudohyperplanes of its topological representation. The regions of an oriented matroid are in 1–1 correspondence with its maximal covectors, and a region is complete if and only if changing the sign of any element in the corresponding maximal covector produces another maximal covector. Obviously $g(r) \le f(r+1)$. Cordovil and Da Silva have shown in [4] that $2r-1 \le g(r)$, generalizing Larman's lower bound.

In this paper, we construct uniform rank 5 oriented matroids on 10 elements without complete region, hence, g(5) = 9. One of these oriented matroids has a realization in R^4 , hence f(4) = 9.

[†]C.N.R.S., Paris.

As a preliminary step for the rank 5 case, using a computer, we have gone through the complete list of all 2628 reorientation classes of uniform rank 4 oriented matroids on eight elements, as per the work of Bokowski and Richter-Gebert [2].

PROPOSITION 1. There are precisely 114 non-isomorphic reorientation classes of uniform rank 4 oriented matroids on eight elements without complete region. One such reorientation class has only mutants without complete region. Two of them are not realizable.

The unique realizable uniform rank 4 oriented matroid on eight elements without complete region, such that all its mutants are also without complete region, has the following base signature (or chirotope):

THEOREM 2. There is a set of 10 points of \mathbb{R}^4 in general position such that:

- there is no admissible projective transformation mapping these points onto the vertices of a convex polytope, or, equivalently,
- the corresponding uniform oriented matroid has no complete region.

The theorem means that f(4) = g(5) = 9.

PROOF. Using a computer, it can be checked that the oriented matroid of affine dependencies of the following 10 points of \mathbb{R}^4 has no complete region.

1	0.7702	0.2217	-6.3645	0
2	0.7426	0.2284	-6.3977	0
3	0.6	1.01	-5.44	0
4	1.75	7.07	-0.45	0
5	-2	2	2	1
6	2	-2	2	1
7	2	2	-2	1
8	-2	-2	-2	1
9	-2.44	-2.13	1.4	1.71
10	0.35	1.77	-0.38	1.011

The signature of the 252 bases of this uniform rank 5 oriented matroid on 10 elements is:

Its face lattice is that of a stacked 4-cross-polytope with 19 facets (we recall that a *stacked* polytope is obtained by the addition of new vertices building shallow pyramids over facets):

```
1234
       1238
              1247
                      1278
                             1346
                                    1368
                                           1467
                                                   1678
                                                          2345
                                                                 2358
2457
       2578
              3456
                     3568
                             4567
                                    5679
                                           5689
                                                   5789
                                                          6789
```

The point 9 is stacked on the 4-cross-polytope by the vertices $1, \ldots, 8$ and the point 10 lies inside the convex hull of the points 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. The vertices 5, 6, 7 and 8 form a

regular tetrahedron. The computer program provides the number of regions adjacent to each of the 256 regions of the oriented matroid: there are 16 with five neighbours, 57 with six neighbours, 72 with seven neighbours, 65 with eight neighbours, 46 with nine neighbours and 0 with 10 neighbours.

We now explain how we arrived to our example. Since a list of all reorientation classes of uniform rank 5 oriented matroids on 10 elements does not exist we cannot use exhaustion as in the rank 4 case.

We start with the list of 135 reorientation classes of uniform rank 5 oriented matroids on eight elements [2, 3]. From this list we can generate the 3501 non-isomorphic matroid polytopes of rank 5 with eight vertices. The face lattices of these matroid polytopes are the 37 3-spheres with eight vertices described by Grünbaum and Sreedharan [5].

For any such matroid polytope P and any disjoint pair of facets f_1 , f_2 of its face lattice we generate a partial uniform rank 5 oriented matroid M on 10 elements as follows. The face lattice of M is a stacked 3-sphere where the vertex 9 is stacked on f_1 in the 3-sphere P. The element 10 of M is an interior element with a special relationship to some of its combinatorial hyperplanes. The facets f_1 and f_2 each have four elements. Let H_{31} resp. H_{13} be a combinatorial hyperplane with three elements of f_1 and 1 of f_2 resp. three elements of f_2 and one of f_1 . Then the element 10 lies on the same side of H_{31} as the element of $f_1 \setminus H_{31}$ and on the same side of H_{13} as the element of $f_2 \setminus H_{13}$. In this way we can construct 18 872 partial oriented matroids. Starting from these partial oriented matroids, we generate 1112 uniform rank 5 oriented matroids on 10 elements without complete region. They lie in 414 reorientation classes. If we build the mutants of these oriented matroids, we come up to 465 non-isomorphic reorientation classes of oriented matroids without complete region. None of them has all its mutants without complete region.

THEOREM 3. There are at least 465 non-isomorphic reorientation classes of uniform rank 5 oriented matroids on 10 elements without complete region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The third author (PS) wishes to thank the Equipe Combinatoire for the nice atmosphere during his visit to Paris. He also acknowledges partial support from the European HCM-Network DIMANET ERBCHRXCT 94 0429.

REFERENCES

- A. Björner, M. Las Vergnas, B. Sturmfels, N. White and G. Ziegler, *Oriented Matroids*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- 2. J. Bokowski, Oriented matroids, in: *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, Chapter 2.5, P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills (eds), North-Holland, 1993.
- 3. J. Bokowski, Finite point sets and oriented matroids, in: *Learning and Geometry*, W. Kucker and C. H. Smith (eds), Birkhäuser, 1996.
- 4. R. Cordovil and I. P. Silva, A problem of McMullen on the projective equivalences of polytopes, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, **6** (1985), 157–161.
- 5. B. Grünbaum and V. Sreedharan, An enumeration of simplicial 4-polytopes with 8 vertices, *J. Comb. Theory*, **2** (1967), 437–465.
- D. G. Larman, On sets projectively equivalent to the vertices of a convex polytope, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 4 (1972), 6–12.

- 7. M. Las Vergnas, Hamilton paths in tournaments and a problem of McMullen on projective transformations in \mathbb{R}^d , *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **18** (1986), 571–572.
- 8. J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, Lawrence oriented matroids and a problem of McMullen on projective equivalence of polytopes, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, **22** (2001), 723–731, doi: 10.1006/eujc.2000.0492.

Received 31 January 2000 in revised form 4 December 2000

DAVID FORGE AND MICHEL LAS VERGNAS

Université Paris 6,
case 189 - Combinatoire, 4 Place Jussieu,
75005 Paris,
France
E-mail: forge@ccr.jussieu.fr; mlv@ccr.jussieu.fr

AND

PETER SCHUCHERT
Technische Hochschule Darmstadt,
Schloßgartenstr. 7,
D-64289 Darmstadt,
Germany
E-mail: schucher@mathematik.th-darmstadt.de