



Available at
www.elseviermathematics.com
POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®
J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288 (2003) 646–659

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

A note on the Cochrane sum and its hybrid mean value formula [☆]

Wenpeng Zhang * and Huaning Liu

Research Center for Basic Science, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, PR China

Received 22 April 2003

Submitted by H.M. Srivastava

Abstract

In this paper, we use the properties of Gauss sums, primitive characters and the mean value theorems of Dirichlet L-functions to study the hybrid mean value of Cochrane sums and general Kloosterman sums, and give two sharp asymptotic formulae.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cochrane sums; General Kloosterman sums; Asymptotic formula

1. Introduction

For a positive integer k and an arbitrary integer h , the Dedekind sum $S(h, k)$ is defined by

$$S(h, k) = \sum_{a=1}^k \left(\left(\frac{a}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{ah}{k} \right) \right),$$

where

$$\left((x) \right) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - 1/2 & \text{if } x \text{ is not an integer,} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer.} \end{cases}$$

Conrey et al. [1] studied the mean value distribution of $S(h, k)$ and proved the following important asymptotic formula

[☆] This work was supported by the NSF (10271093) and PNSF of PR China.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wpzhang@nwu.edu.cn (W. Zhang).

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' |S(h, k)|^{2m} = f_m(k) \left(\frac{k}{12} \right)^{2m} + O((k^{9/5} + k^{2m-1+1/(m+1)}) \log^3 k),$$

where \sum_h' denotes the summation over all h such that $(k, h) = 1$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_m(n)}{n^s} = 2 \frac{\zeta^2(2m)}{\zeta(4m)} \frac{\zeta(s+4m-1)}{\zeta^2(s+2m)} \zeta(s).$$

In the spirit of [1] and [2], the first author [3] obtained a sharper asymptotic formula for $\sum_{h=1}^k |S(h, k)|^2$. That is,

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' |S(h, k)|^2 = \frac{5}{144} k \phi(k) \frac{\prod_{p^\alpha \parallel k} [(1 + \frac{1}{p})^2 - \frac{1}{p^{3\alpha+1}}]}{\prod_{p|k} (1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2})} + O\left(k \exp\left(\frac{4 \ln k}{\ln \ln k}\right)\right)$$

for any integer $k > 2$, where $\exp(y) = e^y$, $\phi(k)$ is the Euler function, $\prod_{p^\alpha \parallel k}$ denotes the production over all prime divisors of k with $p^\alpha \mid k$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid k$.

In October 2000, during his visiting in Xi'an, Professor T. Cochrane introduced a sum analogous to the Dedekind sum as follows:

$$C(h, k) = \sum_{a=1}^k' \left(\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{ah}{k} \right) \right),$$

where \bar{a} is defined by the equation $a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$. He asked us to study the arithmetical properties and mean value distribution properties of $C(h, k)$. About this problem, we know very little. Recently, Zhang and Yi [4] studied the upper bound estimate of Cochrane sums, and gave the following sharper upper bound estimates

$$|C(h, k)| \ll \sqrt{k} d(k) \ln^2 k$$

and

$$\sum_{h=1}^{p-1} C^2(h, p) = \frac{5}{144} p^2 + O\left(p \exp\left(\frac{4 \ln p}{\ln \ln p}\right)\right),$$

where $d(k)$ is the divisor function.

In Ref. [5], the first author found that there are some relationships between $C(h, k)$ and Kloosterman sums

$$K(m, n; k) = \sum_{b=1}^k' e\left(\frac{mb + n\bar{b}}{k}\right),$$

where $e(y) = e^{2\pi i y}$. For example, if k is a square-full number (i.e., $p \mid k$ if and only if $p^2 \mid k$), then we have the following asymptotic formula:

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1; k) C(h, k) = \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} k \phi(k) + O\left(k \exp\left(\frac{3 \ln k}{\ln \ln k}\right)\right).$$

For general integer $k \geq 3$, the first author [6] proved the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1; k) C(h, k) = \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} k \phi(k) \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(k^{3/2+\epsilon}),$$

where ϵ be any fixed positive number. In [7], the first author also studied the asymptotic property of a hybrid mean value of Cochrane sums and general Kloosterman sums

$$K(m, n, r; k) = \sum_{b=1}^k' e\left(\frac{mb^r + n\bar{b}^r}{k}\right),$$

and obtained the mean value theorem

$$\sum_{h=1}^{p-1} K(h, 1, r; p) C(h, p) = \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} p^2 + O(rp^{3/2} \ln^2 p).$$

Meanwhile, he conjectured that

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1, r; k) C(h, k) \sim \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} k \phi(k), \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

holds for all integer $k > 2$ and any fixed positive integer r .

In this paper, we studied this conjecture, and proved that it is true. Note that $K(h, 1, r; k) = K(h, 1; k)$ if $(r, \phi(k)) = 1$, so we suppose $(r, \phi(k)) > 1$. Then we shall use the properties of Gauss sums, primitive characters and the mean value theorems of Dirichlet L-functions to prove the following two main theorems.

Theorem 1. *For any integer $k \geq 3$, we have the asymptotic formula*

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1; k) C(h, k) = \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} k \phi(k) \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(k^{1+\epsilon}).$$

Theorem 2. *For any positive integers $k \geq 3$ and r with $d_r = (r, \phi(k)) > 1$, we have the asymptotic formula*

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1, r; k) C(h, k) = \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} k \phi(k) \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}).$$

It is clear that the error terms in our Theorem 1 is much better than that in Ref. [6], and our Theorem 2 is a generalization of Theorem 2 in [7].

2. Some lemmas

To prove the theorems, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let integer $k \geq 3$ and $(a, k) = 1$. Then we have

$$C(a, k) = \frac{-1}{\pi^2 \phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } k \\ \chi(-1) = -1}} \bar{\chi}(a) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi, n)}{n} \right)^2,$$

where χ denotes a Dirichlet character modulo k with $\chi(-1) = -1$, and $G(\chi, n) = \sum_{b=1}^k \chi(b)e(bn/k)$ denotes the Gauss sum corresponding to χ .

Proof. This is Lemma 1 of [5]. \square

Lemma 2. For any positive integer k and $r > 1$, let $d_r = (r, \phi(k))$ and χ_1 be a d_r th-order character modulo k . Then for any character χ modulo k , we have the identities

$$\sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h) K(h, 1; k) = \tau^2(\bar{\chi})$$

and

$$\sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h) K(h, 1, r; k) = \tau^2(\bar{\chi}) + \tau(\bar{\chi}) \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \tau(\bar{\chi} \chi_1^i),$$

where $\tau(\chi) = G(\chi, 1) = \sum_{a=1}^k \chi(a)e(a/k)$.

Proof. From the properties of Gauss sums and note $(b, k) = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h) K(h, 1, r; k) &= \sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h) \sum_{b=1}^k e\left(\frac{hb^r + \bar{b}^r}{k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h) e\left(\frac{h}{k}\right) \sum_{b=1}^k \chi(b^r) e\left(\frac{\bar{b}^r}{k}\right) \\ &= \tau(\bar{\chi}) \sum_{b=1}^k \bar{\chi}(b^r) e\left(\frac{b^r}{k}\right) = \tau(\bar{\chi}) G(1, \bar{\chi}^r, r; k). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $G(1, \bar{\chi}, 1; k) = \tau(\bar{\chi})$, so we have

$$\sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h) K(h, 1; k) = \tau^2(\bar{\chi}).$$

On the other hand, let χ_1 be a d_r th-order character modulo k , then for any integer $1 \leq a \leq k$ with $(a, k) = 1$, we have the identity

$$1 + \chi_1(a) + \cdots + \chi_1^{d_r-1}(a) = \begin{cases} d_r, & \text{if } a \text{ is a } d_r\text{th residue mod } k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by the definition of Gauss sums, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(1, \bar{\chi}^r, r; k) &= \sum_{b=1}^k \bar{\chi}(b^r) e\left(\frac{b^r}{k}\right) = \sum_{b=1}^k \bar{\chi}(b^{d_r}) e\left(\frac{b^{d_r}}{k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{b=1}^k (1 + \chi_1(b) + \dots + \chi_1^{d_r-1}(b)) \bar{\chi}(b) e\left(\frac{b}{k}\right) \\ &= \tau(\bar{\chi}) + \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \tau(\bar{\chi} \chi_1^i). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 2. \square

Lemma 3. For any positive integer k , let χ be a non-primitive character modulo k , and k^* denote the conductor of χ with $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_{k^*}^*$. If $(n, k) > 1$, then we have

$$G(\chi, n) = \begin{cases} \bar{\chi}^*(\frac{n}{(n,k)}) \chi^*(\frac{k}{k^*(n,k)}) \mu(\frac{k}{k^*(n,k)}) \phi(k) \phi^{-1}(\frac{k}{(n,k)}) \tau(\chi^*), & k^* = \frac{k_1}{(n,k_1)}, \\ 0, & k^* \neq \frac{k_1}{(n,k_1)}, \end{cases}$$

where χ_1 denotes the principal character modulo k , k_1 is the largest divisor of k that has the same prime factors with k^* .

If $(n, k) = 1$, then we have

$$G(\chi, n) = \bar{\chi}^*(n) \chi^*\left(\frac{k}{k^*}\right) \mu\left(\frac{k}{k^*}\right) \tau(\chi^*).$$

If χ be a primitive character modulo k , then

$$G(\chi, n) = \bar{\chi}(n) \tau(\chi).$$

Proof. See Ref. [8]. \square

Lemma 4. Let q and r be integers with $q \geq 2$ and $(r, q) = 1$, χ be a Dirichlet character modulo q . Then we have the identities

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \chi(r) = \sum_{d|(q,r-1)} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \phi(d)$$

and

$$J(q) = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \phi\left(\frac{q}{d}\right),$$

where $\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^*$ denotes the summation over all primitive characters modulo q and $J(q)$ denotes the number of primitive characters modulo q .

Proof. This is Lemma 3 of [5]. \square

Lemma 5. Let $k = uv$, where $(u, v) = 1$, u be a square-full number or $u = 1$, v be a square-free number. Then we have the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) L^2(1, \bar{\chi}) \\ &= \frac{k}{2} \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(k^\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\tau(n)$ be the divisor function. Then for any parameter $N \geq ud$ and non-principal character $\chi \bmod ud$, applying Abel's identity we have

$$L^2(1, \bar{\chi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\chi}(n) \tau(n)}{n} = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{\bar{\chi}(n) \tau(n)}{n} + \int_N^{\infty} \frac{A(y, \bar{\chi})}{y^2} dy,$$

where $A(y, \bar{\chi}) = \sum_{N < n \leq y} \bar{\chi}(n) \tau(n)$. We can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} A(y, \bar{\chi}) &= 2 \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{y}} \bar{\chi}(n) \sum_{m \leq y/n} \bar{\chi}(m) - 2 \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{N}} \bar{\chi}(n) \sum_{m \leq N/n} \bar{\chi}(m) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{n \leq \sqrt{y}} \bar{\chi}(n) \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{n \leq \sqrt{N}} \bar{\chi}(n) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Pólya–Vinogradov inequality

$$\left| \sum_{n=a}^b \chi(n) \right| \ll \sqrt{ud} \ln(ud)$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* |A(y, \bar{\chi})| \ll y^{1/2} (ud)^{3/2} \ln(ud).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) \int_N^{\infty} \frac{A(y, \bar{\chi})}{y^2} dy \\ & \ll k^\epsilon \int_N^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \left(\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod k \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} |A(y, \bar{\chi})| \right) dy \ll k^\epsilon \int_N^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} (y^{1/2} k^{3/2}) dy \ll \frac{k^{3/2+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) L^2(1, \bar{\chi}) \\
&= \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) \bar{\chi}(n) + O\left(\frac{k^{3/2+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $(a, k) = 1$, from Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod k \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \chi(a) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^* (1 - \chi(-1)) \chi(a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^* \chi(a) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^* \chi(-a) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u|(k,a-1)} \mu\left(\frac{k}{u}\right) \phi(u) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u|(k,a+1)} \mu\left(\frac{k}{u}\right) \phi(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) \bar{\chi}(n) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n,ud)=1}} \sum_{s|(ud,d_1 d_2 n-1)} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n,ud)=1}} \sum_{s|(ud,d_1 d_2 n+1)} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{\phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n,ud)=1 \\ d_1 d_2 n \equiv 1(s)}} \frac{\tau(n)}{d_1 d_2 n} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{\phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n,ud)=1 \\ d_1 d_2 n \equiv 1(s)}} \frac{\tau(n)}{d_1 d_2 n}.
\end{aligned}$$

The main term in the above formula comes from the term corresponding to $d_1 d_2 n = 1$ with $d_1 = d_2 = n = 1$, and all the other terms are the error terms. So we have

$$\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu(\frac{v}{dd_1}) \mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) \bar{\chi}(n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \frac{u^2 d^2}{\phi^2(k)} \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \\
&\quad + O\left(\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2}{\phi\left(\frac{k}{d_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{d_2}\right)} \sum_{s|ud} \left| \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \right| \phi(s) \sum_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{Nd_1 d_2}{s}} (ls+1)^{\epsilon-1}\right) \\
&\quad + O\left(\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2}{\phi\left(\frac{k}{d_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{d_2}\right)} \sum_{s|ud} \left| \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \right| \phi(s) \sum_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{Nd_1 d_2}{s}} (ls-1)^{\epsilon-1}\right) \\
&= \frac{u^2}{2\phi^2(k)} \sum_{d|v} d^2 J(ud) + O(k^\epsilon N^\epsilon) = \frac{u^2 J(u)}{2\phi^2(k)} \sum_{d|v} d^2 J(d) + O(k^\epsilon N^\epsilon) \\
&= \frac{u\phi^2(u)}{2\phi^2(k)} \prod_{p|v} \left[p(p-1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) \right] + O(k^\epsilon N^\epsilon) \\
&= \frac{k}{2} \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(k^\epsilon N^\epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimate $\tau(n) \ll n^\epsilon$, the fact that v is a square-free number and u is a square-full number, and the identity $J(u) = \phi^2(u)/u$, if u is a square-full number.

Taking $N = k^3$, we immediately get the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu\left(\frac{v}{dd_1}\right) \mu\left(\frac{v}{dd_2}\right)}{d_1 d_2 \phi\left(\frac{k}{d_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{d_2}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) L^2(1, \bar{\chi}) \\
&= \frac{k}{2} \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(k^\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 6. For any positive integers $k \geq 3$ and r with $d_r = (r, \phi(k)) > 1$, let $k = uv$, where $(u, v) = 1$, u be a square-full number or $u = 1$, v be a square-free number, χ_1 be a d_r -th-order character modulo k . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud \mu(d_1) \mu\left(\frac{v}{dd_2}\right)}{d_1 d_2 \phi\left(\frac{k}{d_1}\right) \phi\left(\frac{k}{d_2}\right)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1) \chi\left(\frac{v}{dd_2}\right) L^2(1, \bar{\chi}) \sum_{a=1}^{ud} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{a}{ud}\right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) \bar{\chi}(m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the estimate

$$\Psi \ll d_r k^{1/2+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. From the methods of proving Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{d_1 \frac{v}{dd_2} \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{a=1}^{ud} e\left(\frac{am}{ud}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n, ud)=1}} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 nm) \chi(d_2 am) + O\left(\frac{d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{d_1 \frac{v}{dd_2} \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum'_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
&\quad \times \sum'_{a=1}^{ud} e\left(\frac{am}{ud}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n, ud)=1}} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{s|ud \\ \frac{d_1 n}{d_2 a} \equiv 1(s)}} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{d_1 \frac{v}{dd_2} \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum'_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
&\quad \times \sum'_{a=1}^{ud} e\left(\frac{am}{ud}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n, ud)=1}} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{s|ud \\ \frac{d_1 n}{d_2 a} \equiv -1(s)}} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) + O\left(\frac{d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2}}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\frac{v}{d} \phi(\frac{k}{d_1}) \phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum'_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
&\quad \times \sum'_{a=1}^{ud} e\left(\frac{am}{ud}\right) \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n, ud)=1 \\ \frac{d_1 n}{d_2 a} \equiv 1(s)}} \frac{\tau(n)/a}{d_1 n / d_2 a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\frac{v}{d}\phi(\frac{k}{d_1})\phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \\
& \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{a=1}^{ud} e\left(\frac{am}{ud}\right) \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ (n, ud)=1 \\ \frac{d_1 n}{d_2 a} \equiv -1(s)}} \frac{\tau(n)/a}{d_1 n/d_2 a} + O\left(\frac{d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2}}\right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\frac{v}{d}\phi(\frac{k}{d_1})\phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ d_1|d_2 a}}^{ud} e\left(\frac{am}{ud}\right) \frac{\tau(d_2 a/d_1)}{a} \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) + O\left(\frac{d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2}}\right) \\
& + O\left(\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud}{\phi(k)\phi(ud)} d_r k \sum_{a=1}^{ud} \frac{1}{a} \sum_{s|ud} \phi(s) \sum_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{d_1 N}{d_2 a s}} (ls+1)^{\epsilon-1}\right) \\
& + O\left(\sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud}{\phi(k)\phi(ud)} d_r k \sum_{a=1}^{ud} \frac{1}{a} \sum_{s|ud} \phi(s) \sum_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{d_1 N}{d_2 a s}} (ls-1)^{\epsilon-1}\right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\frac{v}{d}\phi(\frac{k}{d_1})\phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ d_1|d_2 a}}^{ud} e\left(\frac{\frac{k}{ud}a(l \cdot ud + m)}{k}\right) \frac{\tau(d_2 a/d_1)}{a} \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) \\
& + O\left(\frac{d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2}}\right) + O(d_r k^\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $N = q^3$ in the above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi & = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\frac{v}{d}\phi(\frac{k}{d_1})\phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{b=1}^k \chi_1^i(b) e\left(\frac{b}{k}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ d_1|d_2 a}}^{ud} e\left(\frac{\frac{av}{d}b}{k}\right) \frac{\tau(d_2 a/d_1)}{a} \sum_{s|ud} \mu\left(\frac{ud}{s}\right) \phi(s) + O(d_r k^\epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{udJ(ud)\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)}{\frac{v}{d}\phi(\frac{k}{d_1})\phi(\frac{kdd_2}{v})} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum'_{\substack{a=1 \\ d_1|d_2a}}^{\frac{ud}{a}} \frac{\tau(d_2a/d_1)}{a} G(\chi_1^i, 1+av/d) + O(d_r k^\epsilon) \\
&\equiv \Omega + O(d_r k^\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Since χ_1 is a d_r -th-order character modulo k and $i < d_r$, χ_1^i cannot be principal character modulo k . From the properties of Gauss sums we get

$$|G(\chi_1^i, 1+av/d)| \ll (1+av/d, k)k^{1/2+\epsilon}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega &\ll \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{(ud)^2}{\phi^2(ud)} d_r k^{1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{ud}{a}} \frac{(1+av/d, k)}{av/d} \\
&\ll \sum_{d|v} d_r k^{1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{s|k} \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{ud}{a}} \sum_{s|1+av/d} \frac{s}{av/d} \\
&\ll \sum_{d|v} d_r k^{1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{s|k} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq \frac{k+1}{s}} \frac{s}{ls-1} \ll d_r k^{1/2+\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 6. \square

3. Proofs of the theorems

In this section, we complete the proofs of the theorems. First we prove Theorem 1. For any positive integer $k \geq 3$, by Lemmas 1 and 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum'_{h=1}^k K(h, 1; k) C(h, k) &= \frac{-1}{\pi^2 \phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } k \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \left(\sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h) K(h, 1; k) \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi, n)}{n} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{-1}{\pi^2 \phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ mod } k \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \tau^2(\bar{\chi}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi, n)}{n} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $k = uv$, where $(u, v) = 1$, u be a square-full number or $u = 1$, v be a square-free number. Note that if χ^* is a primitive character modulo m , from the properties of Gauss sums we have

$$\tau(\chi^*)\tau(\bar{\chi}^*) = -m \quad \text{if } \chi(-1) = -1.$$

It is obvious that $\chi^*(k/m)\mu(k/m) \neq 0$ if and only if $m = ud$, where $d|v$. So for any non-primitive character χ modulo k with $\chi = \chi_1\chi^*$, from Lemma 3 we know that

$$\tau(\chi) = \chi^*\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)\mu\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)\tau(\chi^*) \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if } m = ud,$$

where $d|v$. On the other hand, from Lemma 3 we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi_1\chi^*, n)}{n} &= \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, ud)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\chi}^*\left(\frac{n}{(n, uv)}\right)\chi^*\left(\frac{uv}{ud(n, uv)}\right)\mu\left(\frac{uv}{ud(n, uv)}\right)\phi(k)\tau(\chi^*)}{n\phi\left(\frac{uv}{(n, uv)}\right)} \\ &= \sum_{d_1|v} \frac{\phi(k)\chi^*\left(\frac{v}{dd_1}\right)\mu\left(\frac{v}{dd_1}\right)\tau(\chi^*)L(1, \bar{\chi}^*)}{d_1\phi\left(\frac{k}{d_1}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_1 is the principal character modulo k ($= uv$).

Therefore, by Lemmas 3 and 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1; k)C(h, k) &= \frac{-1}{\pi^2\phi(k)} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}^2\left(\frac{v}{d}\right)\mu^2\left(\frac{v}{d}\right)\tau^2(\bar{\chi}) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{d_1|v} \frac{\phi(k)\chi\left(\frac{v}{dd_1}\right)\mu\left(\frac{v}{dd_1}\right)\tau(\chi)L(1, \bar{\chi})}{d_1\phi\left(\frac{k}{d_1}\right)} \right)^2 \\ &= -\frac{\phi(k)}{\pi^2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|v} \sum_{d_2|v} \frac{u^2 d^2 \mu\left(\frac{v}{dd_1}\right)\mu\left(\frac{v}{dd_2}\right)}{d_1 d_2 \phi\left(\frac{k}{d_1}\right)\phi\left(\frac{k}{d_2}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1 d_2) L^2(1, \bar{\chi}) \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} k\phi(k) \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(k^{1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1.

For any positive integer $r > 1$, let $d_r = (r, \phi(k))$ and χ_1 be a d_r -th-order character modulo k . Then by Lemmas 1 and 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1, r; k)C(h, k) &= \frac{-1}{\pi^2\phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod k \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \left(\sum_{h=1}^k \bar{\chi}(h)K(h, 1, r; k) \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi, n)}{n} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{-1}{\pi^2 \phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod k \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \left(\tau^2(\bar{\chi}) + \tau(\bar{\chi}) \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \tau(\bar{\chi} \chi_1^i) \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi, n)}{n} \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1; k) C(h, k) \\
&\quad + \frac{-1}{\pi^2 \phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod k \\ \chi(-1)=1}} \tau(\bar{\chi}) \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \tau(\bar{\chi} \chi_1^i) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi, n)}{n} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $k = uv$, where $(u, v) = 1$, u be a square-full number or $u = 1$, v be a square-free number. By Lemmas 3, 6 and the method of proving Theorem 1 we can also get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{-1}{\pi^2 \phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod k \\ \chi(-1)=-1}} \tau(\bar{\chi}) \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \tau(\bar{\chi} \chi_1^i) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{G(\chi, n)}{n} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{\phi(k)}{\pi^2} \sum_{d|v} \sum_{d_1|\frac{v}{d}} \sum_{d_2|\frac{v}{d}} \frac{ud\mu(d_1)\mu(\frac{v}{dd_2})}{d_1 d_2 \phi(\frac{k}{d_1})\phi(\frac{k}{d_2})} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod ud \\ \chi(-1)=-1}}^* \bar{\chi}(d_1) \chi\left(\frac{v}{dd_2}\right) L^2(1, \bar{\chi}) \sum_{a=1}^{ud} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{a}{ud}\right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{d_r-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k/ud-1} \sum_{m=1}^{ud} \chi_1^i(l \cdot ud + m) \bar{\chi}(m) e\left(\frac{l \cdot ud + m}{k}\right) \\
&\ll d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now from Theorem 1 and the above estimate we immediately obtain

$$\sum_{h=1}^k' K(h, 1, r; k) C(h, k) = \frac{-1}{2\pi^2} k \phi(k) \prod_{p \parallel k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right) + O(d_r k^{3/2+\epsilon}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for very helpful and detailed comments.

References

- [1] J.B. Conrey, E. Fransen, R. Klein, C. Scott, Mean values of Dedekind sums, *J. Number Theory* 56 (1996) 214–226.

- [2] H. Walum, An exact formula for an average of L -series, Illinois J. Math. 26 (1982) 1–3.
- [3] W. Zhang, A note on the mean square value of the Dedekind sums, Acta Math. Hungar. 86 (2000) 275–289.
- [4] W. Zhang, Y. Yi, On the upper bound estimate of Cochrane sums, Soochow J. Math. 28 (2002) 297–304.
- [5] W. Zhang, On a Cochrane sum and its hybrid mean value formula, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 267 (2002) 89–96.
- [6] W. Zhang, On a Cochrane sum and its hybrid mean value formula (II), J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276 (2002) 446–457.
- [7] W. Zhang, A sum analogous to Dedekind sums and its hybrid mean value formula, Acta Arith. 107 (2003) 1–8.
- [8] C. Pan, C. Pan, Goldbach Conjecture, Science Press, Beijing, 1981.