



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory,
Series Awww.elsevier.com/locate/jcta

Note

Lattice polytopes of degree 2

Jaron Treutlein

Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 February 2008

Available online 7 August 2009

Communicated by Günter M. Ziegler

Keywords:

Lattice polytope

 h^* -polynomial

Scott's theorem

ABSTRACT

A theorem of Scott gives an upper bound for the normalized volume of lattice polygons with exactly $i > 0$ interior lattice points. We will show that the same bound is true for the normalized volume of lattice polytopes of degree 2 even in higher dimensions. In particular, there is only a finite number of quadratic polynomials with fixed leading coefficient being the h^* -polynomial of a lattice polytope.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

An n -dimensional lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the convex hull of a finite number of elements of \mathbb{Z}^n . In the following, we denote by $\text{Vol}(P) = n! \text{vol}(P)$ the normalized volume of P and call it the volume of P . By $\Pi^{(1)} := \Pi(P) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we denote the convex hull of $(P, 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which we will call the standard pyramid over P . Recursively we define $\Pi^{(k)}(P) = \Pi(\Pi^{(k-1)}(P))$ for all $k > 0$. Δ_n will denote the n -dimensional basic lattice simplex throughout, i.e. $\text{Vol}(\Delta_n) = 1$. If two lattice polytopes P and Q of the same dimension are equivalent via some affine unimodular transformation, we will write $P \cong Q$. The k -fold of a polytope P will be the convex hull of the k -fold vertices of P for every $k \geq 0$.

Pick's formula gives a relation between the normalized volume, the number of interior lattice points and the number of lattice points of a lattice polygon, i.e. of a two-dimensional lattice polytope: $\text{Vol}(P) = |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| + |P^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| - 2$. Here P° means the interior of the polytope P .

In 1976 Paul Scott [10] proved that the volume of a lattice polygon with exactly $i \geq 1$ interior lattice points is constrained by i :

Theorem 1 (Scott). *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a lattice polygon such that $|P^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = i \geq 1$. If $P \cong 3\Delta_2$, then $\text{Vol}(P) = 9$ and $i = 1$. Otherwise the normalized volume is bounded by $\text{Vol}(P) \leq 4(i + 1)$. According to Pick's formula, this implies $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| \leq 3i + 6$ and $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| \leq \frac{3}{4}\text{Vol}(P) + 3$.*

E-mail address: jaron@mail.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de.

Besides Scott’s proof, there are two proofs by Christian Haase and Joseph Schicho [5]. Another proof is given in [15].

Our aim is to generalize Scott’s theorem. Therefore we need to introduce another invariant, the degree of a lattice polytope: It is known from [4,11,12] that $h_p^*(t) := (1-t)^{n+1} \sum_{k \geq 0} |kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| t^k \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of degree $d \leq n$. This number is described as the degree of P and is the largest number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is an interior lattice point in $(n+1-k)P$ (cf. [2]). The leading coefficient of h_p^* is the number of interior lattice points in $(n+1-d)P$ and the constant coefficient is $h_p^*(0) = 1$. Moreover the sum of all coefficients is the normalized volume of P and all coefficients are non-negative integers by the non-negativity theorem of Richard P. Stanley [11].

It is easy to show that the h^* -polynomial of P and $\Pi(P)$ are equal. So P and $\Pi(P)$ have the same degree and the same normalized volume, which is the sum of all coefficients of the h^* -polynomial. Moreover

$$|((n+2-d)\Pi(P))^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}| = |((n+1-d)P)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|.$$

Scott’s theorem shows that the normalized volume of a two-dimensional lattice polytope of degree 2 with exactly $i > 0$ interior lattice points is bounded by $4(i+1)$, except for one single polytope: $3\Delta_2$. We generalize this result to the case of n -dimensional lattice polytopes of degree 2.

Theorem 2. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope of degree 2. If $P \cong \Pi^{(n-2)}(3\Delta_2)$, then $\text{Vol}(P) = 9$, $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 8 + n$ and $|((n-1)P)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 1$. Otherwise the following equivalent statements hold:*

- (1) $\text{Vol}(P) \leq 4(i+1)$,
- (2) $b \leq 3i + n + 4$,
- (3) $b \leq \frac{3}{4}\text{Vol}(P) + n + 1$,

where $b := |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ and $i := |((n-1)P)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \geq 1$.

The following theorem of Victor Batyrev [1] motivates our estimation of the normalized volume of a lattice polytope of degree d :

Theorem 3 (Batyrev). *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope of degree d . If*

$$n \geq 4d \binom{2d + \text{Vol}(P) - 1}{2d},$$

then P is a standard pyramid over an $(n-1)$ -dimensional lattice polytope.

There is a recent result by Benjamin Nill [8] which even strengthens this bound:

Theorem 4 (Nill). *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional lattice polytope of degree d . If*

$$n \geq (\text{Vol}(P) - 1)(2d + 1),$$

then P is a standard pyramid over an $(n-1)$ -dimensional lattice polytope.

Jeffrey C. Lagarias and Günter M. Ziegler showed in [7] that up to unimodular transformation there is only a finite number of n -dimensional lattice polytopes having a fixed volume. From Theorem 3 or Theorem 4 follows

Corollary 5 (Batyrev). *For a family \mathcal{F} of lattice polytopes of degree d , the following is equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{F} is finite modulo standard pyramids and affine unimodular transformation.
- (2) There is a constant $C_d > 0$ such that $\text{Vol}(P) \leq C_d$ for all $P \in \mathcal{F}$.

Conjecture 6 (Batyrev). Let P be a lattice polytope of degree d with exactly $i \geq 1$ interior lattice points in its $(\dim(P) + 1 - d)$ -fold. Its normalized volume $\text{Vol}(P)$ can then be bounded by a constant $C_{d,i}$, only depending on d and i . The finiteness of lattice polytopes of degree d with this property up to standard pyramids and affine unimodular transformation follows from Theorem 3.

Theorem 2 proves Conjecture 6 in the case $d = 2$.

Corollary 7. Up to affine unimodular transformations and standard pyramids there is only a finite number of lattice polytopes of degree 2 having exactly $i \geq 1$ interior lattice points in their adequate multiple.

This follows from Theorems 2 and 3.

Corollary 8. There is only a finite number of quadratic polynomials $h \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ with leading coefficient $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that h is the h^* -polynomial of a lattice polytope.

This follows from Theorem 2 and the fact that all coefficients of h_p^* are positive integers summing up to $\text{Vol}(P)$.

In the remaining part of the paper we prove Theorem 2.

2. Preparations

The formula of Pick can be easily generalized for higher dimensional polytopes of degree 2 using their h^* -polynomial. This shows that statements (1)–(3) in Theorem 2 are equivalent.

Lemma 9. An n -dimensional lattice polytope of degree 2 has normalized volume $\text{Vol}(P) = b + i - n$, where $b := |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ and $i := |(n - 1)P^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$.

Proof. The normalized volume of P can be computed by adding the coefficients of the h^* -polynomial of P . Recall that $h_1^* = b - n - 1$. Consequently $\text{Vol}(P) = 1 + (b - n - 1) + i$. \square

Let $s \subset P$ be a face of P . By $\text{st}(s) = \bigcup F$, we denote the star of s in P , where the union is over all faces $F \subset P$ of P containing s .

Lemma 10. Let P be an n -dimensional lattice polytope of degree 2 and $s \subset P$ a face of P having exactly $j > 0$ interior lattice points in its $(n - 2)$ -fold:

$$((n - 2)s)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{x_1, \dots, x_j\}.$$

Moreover, we suppose

$$z := |P \setminus \text{st}(s) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \geq 1.$$

Then $0 < j + z - 1 \leq |((n - 1)P)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$.

Proof. Given non-empty finite sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, there is the following well-known inequality:

$$|A + B| \geq |A| + |B| - 1.$$

This is a special case of Kneser’s addition theorem [6] or Theorem 5.5 in [14].

The claim follows by applying this inequality to $A := \{x_1, \dots, x_j\}$ and $B := P \setminus \text{st}(s) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, since $A + B \subseteq ((n - 1)P)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. \square

3. Proof of the main theorem

For the proof recall that a Lawrence polytope L is a lattice polytope projecting along an edge onto an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional basic simplex, i.e.

$$L \cong \text{conv}(0, h_1 e_1, e_l, e_l + h_l e_1 : 2 \leq l \leq n),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ should denote a lattice basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . The numbers $h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathbb{N}$ are called the heights of L .

If $n = 2$, then Theorem 2 is equal to Scott’s Theorem 1. So let $n > 2$.

The monotonicity theorem of Stanley [13] says that the degree of every face of a polytope is not greater than the degree of the polytope itself. In particular this is true for every facet. So we will distinguish the two cases that there is a facet of P having degree 2 or there is not.

For the second case we need a result of Victor Batyrev and Benjamin Nill. They proved in [2] that every n -dimensional lattice polytope of degree less than 2 either is equivalent to a pyramid over the exceptional lattice simplex $2\Delta_2$ or it is a Lawrence polytope.

Case 1. There is a facet $F \subset P$ of P having degree two, i.e.

$$|((n - 2)F)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = j \geq 1.$$

Define $z := |P \setminus F \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$. From Lemma 10 we get $z + j - 1 \leq i$. Thus, by induction, we get, if $F \cong \Pi^{(n-3)}(3\Delta_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &= |F \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + |(P \setminus F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \leq 3j + n - 1 + 4 + z \\ &= 3(j + z - 1) - 2z + 2 + n + 4 \stackrel{z \geq 1}{\leq} 3i + n + 4. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise $F \cong \Pi^{(n-3)}(3\Delta_2)$ and again by induction and Lemma 10: $|F \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = (n - 1) + 8$, $z \leq i$ and so $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = n - 1 + 8 + z \leq i + 7 + n$. This term is smaller than $3i + n + 4$ if $i \geq 2$. If $i = 1$ however, we get

$$n + 8 \leq |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = n + 7 + z \leq i + 7 + n = 8 + n,$$

so $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 8 + n$ and $\text{Vol}(P) = 9$ by Lemma 9. In this case $P \cong \Pi^{(n-2)}(3\Delta_2)$ because $\text{Vol}(F) = 9$ and $F \cong \Pi^{(n-3)}(3\Delta_2)$.

Case 2. Every facet F of P has degree $\text{deg}(F) \leq 1$.

Let y be an edge of P having the maximal number of lattice points; its length will be denoted by h_1 , i.e. $h_1 = |y \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| - 1$. Among all 2-codimensional faces of P containing y , s should be the face having the maximal number of lattice points. We will denote by F_1 and F_2 the two facets of P containing s .

Again the monotonicity theorem of Stanley [13] implies $\text{deg}(s) \leq \text{deg}(F_1) = 1$. Similarly to Case 1, we will denote by $z := |P \setminus \{F_1 \cup F_2\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ the number of lattice points of P not in F_1 and F_2 .

By the result of Victor Batyrev and Benjamin Nill [2] we find that the facets F_1 and F_2 are either $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lawrence polytopes or pyramids over $2\Delta_2$.

(A) F_1 and F_2 are Lawrence polytopes with heights $h_1^{(k)}, h_2^{(k)}, \dots, h_{n-1}^{(k)} \forall k \in \{1, 2\}$, where we assume that $h_1^{(1)} = h_1^{(2)} = h_1 \forall l \in \{1, \dots, n - 2\}$,

$$s = \text{conv}(0, h_1 e_1, e_l, e_l + h_l e_1 : 2 \leq l \leq n - 2),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}^{(k)}\}$ should denote a lattice basis of $\text{lin}(F_k) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $F_k = \text{conv}(s, e_{n-1}^{(k)}, e_{n-1}^{(k)} + h_{n-1}^{(k)} e_1)$ for $k \in \{1, 2\}$. Since the degree of the Lawrence prism s is at most one, we obtain

$$|((n - 2)s)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \text{Vol}(s) - 1 = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} h_l \right) - 1.$$

We may assume $z = |(P \setminus \{F_1 \cup F_2\}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \neq 0$ because otherwise P would be a prism over the face $P \cap \{X_1 = 0\}$, which is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional lattice simplex of degree at most 1, whose only lattice points are vertices. By [2] this is a basic simplex and hence P is a Lawrence polytope. Consequently $\text{deg}(P) < 2$, a contradiction. We have to distinguish the following two cases:

(i) $|((n - 2)s)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \geq 1$.

Because of Lemma 10, we get the estimation

$$z + \left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} h_l \right) - 1 \right) - 1 \leq i.$$

In particular, $h_1 \leq i + 1$. So we can bound the number of lattice points of P :

$$\begin{aligned} |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &= |(F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + z = |s \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + h_{n-1}^{(1)} + 1 + h_{n-1}^{(2)} + 1 + z \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} h_l + (n - 2) + h_{n-1}^{(1)} + h_{n-1}^{(2)} + 2 + z \leq i + n + 2h_1 + 2 \\ &\stackrel{h_1 \leq i+1}{\leq} i + n + 2(i + 1) + 2 = 3i + n + 4. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $|((n - 2)s)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 0$.

In this case, s has degree zero, so it is a basic simplex. Our assumption on s implies that every lattice point of P is a vertex. If $n = 3$, then Howe’s theorem [9] yields that P has at most 8 vertices, therefore $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \leq 8 < n + 4 + 3i$. So let $n \geq 4$.

In that case, since every 2-codimensional face is a simplex and every facet is a Lawrence prism, we see that P is simplicial, i.e. every facet is a simplex. We may suppose that P is not a simplex. Let S be a subset of the vertices of P such that the convex hull of S is not a face of P . Then the sum over the vertices of S is a lattice point in the interior of $|S| \cdot P$. Since the degree of P is two, this implies $|S| \geq n - 1$. In other words, every subset of the vertices of P that has cardinality at most $n - 2$ forms the vertex set of a face of P , i.e. P is $(n - 2)$ -neighbourly. As is known from [3], a polytope of dimension n that is not a simplex is at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ -neighbourly. Therefore $n - 2 \leq \frac{n}{2}$. This shows $n = 4$.

Let $f_j \geq 0$ be the number of j -dimensional faces of P . Since P is a 2-neighbourly simplicial 4-dimensional polytope we get $f_1 = \binom{f_0}{2}$ and $f_2 = 2f_3$. Since the Euler characteristic of the boundary of P vanishes, i.e. $f_0 - f_1 + f_2 - f_3 = 0$, we deduce $f_3 = \frac{f_0(f_0-3)}{2}$. Let \mathcal{D} denote the set of subsets Δ of the vertices of P such that Δ has cardinality three but Δ is not the vertex set of a face of P . Therefore, $|\mathcal{D}| = \binom{f_0}{3} - f_2 = f_0 \left(\frac{(f_0-1)(f_0-2)}{6} - (f_0-3) \right)$. Since $|\{(e, \Delta) : e \text{ is an edge of } P, \Delta \in \mathcal{D}, e \subset \Delta\}| = 3|\mathcal{D}|$, double counting yields that there exists an edge e of P that is contained in at least $\frac{3|\mathcal{D}|}{f_1}$ many elements $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore, any such Δ contains one vertex that is not in the star of e , and hence Lemma 10 yields

$$i \geq \frac{3|\mathcal{D}|}{f_1} = f_0 - 2 - 6 \frac{f_0 - 3}{f_0 - 1} \geq f_0 - 8.$$

Thus, $|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = f_0 \leq 8 + i < n + 4 + 3i$.

(A’) F_1, F_2 and s are Lawrence polytopes that have no common projection direction.

Without loss of generality let F_1 and s have two different projection directions. If s contains an edge of length at least 2, then this has to be a common projection direction with F_1 , because s and F_1 are Lawrence prisms. But this is a contradiction. Hence, all lattice points in s are vertices. In particular, y has length one, so also all lattice points of P are vertices.

Since any of the two different projection directions of the Lawrence prism s maps a four-gon face onto the edge of an unimodular base simplex and two edges of the four-gon give the projection

direction, we see that there is at most one four-gon face in s . Therefore, s contains at most $(n - 2) + 2 = n$ lattice points.

Since F_k contains at most two vertices not in s for $k \in \{1, 2\}$, we get $|(F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \leq n + 4 < n + 4 + 3i$. Therefore we may assume $z := |P \setminus (F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \neq 0$.

If $|((n - 2)s)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 0$, then we will proceed exactly like in case (ii) from (A). So let $j := |((n - 2)s)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \geq 1$.

Because of Lemma 10, we get the estimation $z + j - 1 \leq i$, in particular $z \leq i$. Hence we can bound the number of lattice points of P :

$$|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = |(F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + z \leq n + 4 + i < 3i + n + 4.$$

(B) F_1 is a Lawrence polytope with the heights $h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_{n-1}$, $F_2 \cong \Pi^{(n-3)}(2\Delta_2)$.

Here

$$s = \text{conv}(0, h_1 e_1, e_l, 2 \leq l \leq n - 2)$$

and $h_1 = 2, h_2 = \dots = h_{n-2} = 0$, because s is contained in the simplex F_2 . If $z = |P \setminus \{F_1 \cup F_2\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &= |F_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + |F_1 \setminus F_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 6 + (n - 3) + h_{n-1} + 1 \\ &\stackrel{h_{n-1} \leq h_1 = 2}{\leq} 4 + n + 2 < 3i + n + 4. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise if $z \geq 1$, we obtain just like in (A) $0 < z + (h_1 - 1) - 1 \leq i$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &= |(F_1 \cup F_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + z = |s \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + (h_{n-1} + 1) + 3 + z \\ &= h_1 + (n - 2) + (h_{n-1} + 1) + 3 + z \leq i + 4 + h_{n-1} + n \\ &\stackrel{h_{n-1} \leq h_1 = 2}{\leq} 3i + n + 4. \end{aligned}$$

(C) $F_1 \cong F_2 \cong \Pi^{(n-3)}(2\Delta_2)$.

Here either s is a pyramid over $2\Delta_1$ or $s \cong \Pi^{(n-4)}(2\Delta_2)$. Again $h_1 = 2$.

If $z = |P \setminus \{F_1 \cup F_2\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 0$, then

$$|P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = |F_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + |F_1 \setminus F_2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \leq 6 + (n - 3) + 3 < 3i + n + 4.$$

Otherwise if $z \geq 1$, we obtain $z \leq i$ because of $|((n - 2)s)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| \geq 1$ and Lemma 10. So as a result

$$\begin{aligned} |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &= |F_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + |F_2 \setminus F_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| + z \leq (6 + n - 3) + 3 + z = n + z + 6 \\ &\leq n + i + 6 \leq n + 3i + 4. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 11. In [12], Stanley shows that the coefficients of h_p^* also appear in the polynomial $(1 - t)^{n+1} \sum_{k \geq 0} |(kP)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| t^k \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. So we can also compute the coefficients of h_p^* in a different way than in Lemma 9. Then it is easy to show that the bounds of Theorem 2 are also equivalent to the following estimations:

$$\begin{aligned} |(nP)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &\leq (n + 4)i + 3, \\ |2P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &\leq (4 + 3n)(i + 1) + \frac{n(n + 3)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Victor Batyrev and Benjamin Nill for discussions and joint work on this subject.

References

- [1] V.V. Batyrev, Lattice polytopes with a given h^* -polynomial, in: C.A. Athanasiadis, et al. (Eds.), Algebraic and Geometric Combinatorics, Proceedings of a Euroconference in Mathematics, Anogia, Crete, Greece, August 20–26, 2005, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 423, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007, pp. 1–10.
- [2] V.V. Batyrev, B. Nill, Multiples of lattice polytopes without interior lattice points, *Mosc. Math. J.* 7 (2007) 195–207.
- [3] A. Brøndstedt, *An Introduction to Convex Polytopes*, Springer, 1983.
- [4] E. Ehrhart, *Polynômes Arithmétiques et Méthode des Polyèdres en Combinatoire*, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., vol. 35, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1977.
- [5] C. Haase, J. Schicho, Lattice polygons and the number $2i + 7$, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 116 (2) (2009) 151–165.
- [6] M. Kneser, Abschätzung der asymptotischen Dichte von Summenmengen, *Math. Z.* 58 (1953) 459–484.
- [7] J.C. Lagarias, G.M. Ziegler, Bounds for lattice polytopes containing a fixed number of interior points in a sublattice, *Canad. J. Math.* 43 (1991) 1022–1035.
- [8] B. Nill, Lattice polytopes having h^* -polynomials with given degree and given linear coefficient, *European J. Combin.* 29 (2008) 1596–1602.
- [9] H.E. Scarf, Integral polyhedra in three space, *Math. Oper. Res.* 10 (1985) 403–438.
- [10] P.R. Scott, On convex lattice polygons, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 15 (1976) 395–399.
- [11] R.P. Stanley, Decompositions of rational convex polytopes, *Ann. Discrete Math.* 6 (1980) 333–342.
- [12] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. I, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1986.
- [13] R.P. Stanley, A monotonicity property of h -vectors and h^* -vectors, *European J. Combin.* 14 (1993) 251–258.
- [14] T. Tao, V.H. Vu, *Additive Combinatorics*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 105, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [15] J. Treutlein, Lattice polytopes of degree 2, preprint, math.CO/0706.4178v1.