



The Ramsey numbers for cycles versus wheels of odd order

Yaojun Chen^{a,b,*}, T.C. Edwin Cheng^c, Zhengke Miao^d, C.T. Ng^c

^a Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, PR China

^b State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, PR China

^c Department of Logistics and Maritime Studies, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Kom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, PR China

^d School of Mathematical Sciences, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 April 2009

Received in revised form 21 July 2009

Accepted 21 July 2009

Keywords:

Ramsey number

Cycle

Wheel

ABSTRACT

For two given graphs G_1 and G_2 , the Ramsey number $R(G_1, G_2)$ is the smallest integer n such that for any graph G of order n , either G contains G_1 or the complement of G contains G_2 . Let C_n denote a cycle of order n and W_m a wheel of order $m + 1$. It is conjectured by Surahmat, E.T. Baskoro and I. Tomescu that $R(C_n, W_m) = 2n - 1$ for even $m \geq 4$, $n \geq m$ and $(n, m) \neq (4, 4)$. In this paper, we confirm the conjecture for $n \geq 3m/2 + 1$.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. The *minimum degree*, *connectivity* and *independence number* of G are denoted by $\delta(G)$, $\kappa(G)$ and $\alpha(G)$, respectively. The number of components of G is $\omega(G)$. We use C_n and mK_n to denote a cycle of order n and the union of m vertex disjoint complete graphs K_n , respectively. A *Wheel* $W_m = K_1 + C_m$ is a graph of $m + 1$ vertices. The lengths of the longest and shortest cycles of G are denoted by $c(G)$ and $g(G)$, respectively. A graph G is *Hamilton-connected* if it contains a Hamiltonian path between any two distinct vertices. A graph on n vertices is *pancyclic* if it contains cycles of every length l , $3 \leq l \leq n$ and *weakly pancyclic* if it contains cycles of every length l , $g(G) \leq l \leq c(G)$. All graphs considered in this paper are finite simple graphs without loops. If G has an edge-induced subgraph G_i , we say G contains G_i . For two given graphs G_1 and G_2 , the *Ramsey number* $R(G_1, G_2)$ is the smallest integer n such that for any graph G of order n , either G contains G_1 or \bar{G} contains G_2 , where \bar{G} is the complement of G .

Some Ramsey numbers concerning cycles versus wheels have been obtained; see [1] for details. In [2], Surahmat et al. considered the Ramsey number $R(C_n, W_m)$ in the case when $n \geq m$ and established the following.

Theorem 1 (Surahmat et al. [2]). $R(C_n, W_m) = 2n - 1$ for even m and $n \geq 5m/2 - 1$.

In the same paper, they made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (Surahmat et al. [2]). $R(C_n, W_m) = 3n - 2$ for odd m , $n \geq m \geq 3$ and $(n, m) \neq (3, 3)$.

For Conjecture 1, Surahmat et al. [3] obtained the following partial result.

Theorem 2 (Surahmat et al. [3]). $R(C_n, W_m) = 3n - 2$ for odd m and $n > (5m - 9)/2$.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, PR China.
E-mail address: yaojunc@nju.edu.cn (Y. Chen).

In the paper, they posed the following.

Conjecture 2 (Surahmat et al. [3]). $R(C_n, W_m) = 2n - 1$ for even m , $n \geq m \geq 4$ and $(n, m) \neq (4, 4)$.

Recently, by using the technique of dominating cycles, Zhang et al. [4] established the following result.

Theorem 3 (Zhang et al. [4]). $R(C_n, W_m) = 3n - 2$ for odd m , $n \geq m$ and $n \geq 20$.

By Theorem 3, we see that Conjecture 1 is solved completely except several small values of n and m . In this paper, we consider the Ramsey number $R(C_n, W_m)$ in the case when m is even. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 4. $R(C_n, W_m) = 2n - 1$ for even $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 3m/2 + 1$.

2. Several lemmas

In order to prove Theorem 4, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (Bondy [5]). Let G be a graph of order n . If $\delta(G) \geq n/2$, then either G is pancyclic or n is even and $G = K_{n/2, n/2}$.

Lemma 2 (Brandt et al. [6]). Every non-bipartite graph G of order n with $\delta(G) \geq (n + 2)/3$ is weakly pancyclic with $g(G) = 3$ or 4.

Lemma 3 (Dirac [7]). Let G be a 2-connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ with $\delta(G) = \delta$. Then $c(G) \geq \min\{2\delta, n\}$.

Lemma 4 (Dirac [7]). Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3$. If $\delta(G) \geq n/2 + 1$, then G is Hamilton-connected.

Lemma 5 (Surahmat et al. [3]). Let G be a graph of order $2n - 1$ without C_n . Suppose $m \geq 4$ is even and $n \geq 3m/2$. If G contains no W_m , then $\delta(G) \geq n - m/2$.

3. Proof of main result

Proof of Theorem 4. Let G be a graph of order $2n - 1$. Suppose to the contrary neither G contains a C_n nor \bar{G} contains a W_m .

If G is bipartite, then $\alpha(G) \geq |G|/2 \geq n$, which implies that G contains a K_n . Since $n \geq 3m/2 + 1 \geq m + 1$, we see that \bar{G} contains a K_{m+1} and hence \bar{G} has a W_m , a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that G is non-bipartite. By Lemma 5, $\delta(G) \geq n - m/2$. Since $n \geq 3m/2 + 1$, we have $\delta(G) \geq n - m/2 \geq n - (n - 1)/3 = [(2n - 1) + 2]/3$. By Lemma 2, G is weakly pancyclic of girth 3 or 4. If $\kappa(G) \geq 2$, then $c(G) \geq \min\{2n - m, 2n - 1\} > n$ by Lemma 3, which implies that G contains a C_n , a contradiction. Thus we have $\kappa(G) \leq 1$.

If G is disconnected, then since $\delta(G) \geq n - m/2 \geq (2n + 1)/3$, we have $\omega(G) = 2$ for otherwise $2n - 1 = |G| \geq 3[\delta(G) + 1] \geq 2n + 4$. If $\kappa(G) = 1$ and s is a cut-vertex of G , then since $\delta(G) \geq n - m/2 \geq (2n + 1)/3$, we have $\omega(G - s) = 2$ for otherwise $2n - 1 = |G| \geq 3[\delta(G - s) + 1] + 1 \geq 3\delta(G) + 1 \geq 2n + 2$. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that G_1 and G_2 be the two components of G or $G - s$ for some cut-vertex s with $|G_1| \geq |G_2|$. It is easy to see that $|G_1| \leq (2n - 1) - (n - m/2 + 1) = n + m/2 - 2$ in both cases. If $|G_1| \geq n$, then since $\delta(G_1) \geq n - m/2 - 1$, we have $\delta(G_1) > |G_1|/2$ since $n \geq 3m/2 + 1$. By Lemma 1, G contains a C_n , a contradiction. Thus we have $|G_1| = |G_2| = n - 1$. This implies that G is connected and $\kappa(G) = 1$. Since $\delta(G) \geq n - m/2 > 3$, by the symmetry of G_1 and G_2 , we may assume that x, y are two neighbors of s in G_1 . Note that $\delta(G_1) \geq n - m/2 - 1 \geq (2n - 2)/3$ and $|G_1| = n - 1$, we see that $\delta(G_1) \geq |G_1|/2 + 1$ since $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 3m/2 + 1$. By Lemma 4, G_1 has a Hamiltonian (x, y) -path P . Thus, P together with s form a C_n in G , a final contradiction.

By the arguments above, we have $R(C_n, W_m) \leq 2n - 1$. On the other hand, since the graph $2K_{n-1}$ has no C_n and its complement graph contains no wheels, we have $R(C_n, W_m) \geq 2n - 1$, and hence $R(C_n, W_m) = 2n - 1$.

The proof of Theorem 4 is completed. ■

Acknowledgements

Many thanks go to Professor Alan Tucker for his many helpful comments. This research was supported by NSFC under grant number 10671090, 10871166 and in part by the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong under grant number PolyU5136/08E. Miao was also supported in part by NSF of Jiangsu Province under grant number 07KJD110207 and NSF of University in Jiangsu Province under grant number BK2007030.

References

- [1] S.P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics (2006) DS1.11.
- [2] Surahmat, E.T. Baskoro, I. Tomescu, The Ramsey numbers of large cycles versus wheels, Discrete Mathematics 306 (2006) 3334–3337.
- [3] Surahmat, E.T. Baskoro, I. Tomescu, The Ramsey numbers of large cycles versus odd wheels, Graphs and Combinatorics 24 (2008) 53–58.
- [4] L.M. Zhang, Y.J. Chen, T.C. Edwin Cheng, The Ramsey numbers for cycles versus wheels of even order, European Journal of Combinatorics (in press).
- [5] J.A. Bondy, Pancyclic graphs, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 11 (1971) 80–84.
- [6] S. Brandt, R.J. Faudree, W. Goddard, Weakly pancyclic graphs, Journal of Graph Theory 27 (1998) 141–176.
- [7] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 2 (3) (1952) 69–81.