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#### Abstract

The paper studies the existence and non-existence of global weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the multi-dimensional Boussinesq type equation $u_{t t}-\Delta u+\Delta^{2} u=\Delta \sigma(u)$. It proves that the Cauchy problem admits a global weak solution under the assumptions that $\sigma \in C(\mathbf{R}), \sigma(s)$ is of polynomial growth order, say $p(>1)$, either $0 \leqslant \sigma(s) s \leqslant \beta \int_{0}^{s} \sigma(\tau) d \tau, s \in \mathbf{R}$, where $\beta>0$ is a constant, or the initial data belong to a potential well. And the weak solution is regularized and the strong solution is unique when the space dimension $N=1$. In contrast, any weak solution of the Cauchy problem blows up in finite time under certain conditions. And two examples are shown.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence and non-existence of global weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the multi-dimensional Boussinesq type equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}-\Delta u+\mu \Delta^{2} u=\Delta \sigma(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu>0$ is a constant and $\sigma(s)$ is a nonlinear function specified later.
When the space dimension $N=1$, Eq. (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\mu u_{x x x x}=\sigma(u)_{x x}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. (1.3) is a generalization of the well-known Boussinesq equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-u_{x x}+\mu u_{x x x x}=a\left(u^{2}\right)_{x x}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\mu>0$ denotes the dispersive parameter depending on the compression and rigidity characteristics of the materials, $a=$ const $\in \mathbf{R}$ is a constant coefficient controlling nonlinearity, $u$ is the vertical deflection, and the quadratic nonlinearity accounts for the curvature of the bending beam. Equation (1.4) was derived by Boussinesq [4] in 1872 and is called the "good" Boussinesq equation because of its linear stability, it models small oscillations of nonlinear beams (see [24]), and it governs the oscillation of the nonlinear strings and the two-dimensional irrotational flows of a inviscid liquid in a uniform rectangular channel as well (see [2,3,14]).

Equation (1.4) with $\mu<0$ is known as the "bad" Boussinesq equation because of its linear instability, it describes the propagation of long surface waves on shallow water, and it also arises in a large range of physical phenomena including the propagation of ion-sound waves in a uniform isotropic plasma and nonlinear lattice waves (see [17]). For the "bad" Boussinesq equation and its generalizations, there have been many researches from various points of view (cf. [1,6,7,10,13,26-28]).

With regard to the Cauchy problem of the "good" Boussinesq type equation, Bona and Sachs [3] established the global existence of smooth solutions under the assumptions that: " $1<p<5,(p-1) / 4<c^{2}<1$, where $c>0$ is the wave speed, $\sigma(u)$ being either $u^{p}$ with $p$ integer or $|u|^{p-1} u$ with $p$ real and initial data lie relatively close to stable solitary waves." Tsutsumi and Matahashi [20] proved the local and global well posedness by means of transforming the Cauchy problem of Eq. (1.3) into the system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Linares [14,15] improved the results in [20] and further discussed the asymptotic behavior of the solutions provided that $\sigma(u)=|u|^{p-1} u$ with $p>1$ and the initial data are small. Sachs [19] proved that for a large set of initial data, the Cauchy problem of Eq. (1.4), with $\mu=a=1$, has no smooth solution for all time, which was claimed by Kalantarov and Ladyzhenskaya [11]. Liu [16] studied the instability of solitary waves for Eq. (1.3). And some related works can be seen in [9,18]. Recently, Chen and Yang [5] proved the existence and uniqueness of global solution to the IBVP of Eq. (1.3) under the assumptions that " $\sigma \in C^{3}(\mathbf{R}), \sigma^{\prime}(s)$ is bounded below, $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ and $\sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)$ satisfies local Lipschitz condition," and some blowup results were also given.

But to the authors' best knowledge, there are very few works on the multi-dimensional Boussinesq type equation. Recently, taking into account the role of viscosity in real process, Varlamov [21-25] studied in detail the existence, uniqueness and long-time asymptotics of solutions to the Cauchy problem and the IBVP of the damped Boussinesq equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}-2 b \Delta u_{t}-\Delta u+\alpha \Delta^{2} u=\beta \Delta\left(u^{2}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the cases of space dimension $N=1,2,3$, respectively. When $N=1$, the long-time asymptotic expansion of the classical solution was computed for a Cauchy problem with periodic small initial data (see [22]), and the blowup of solutions (even for small initial data) was also studied (see [23]). When $N=2$, Eq. (1.5) models the small nonlinear oscillations of elastic membranes, and the author constructed the global solution by using eigenfunction expansion method and computed the longtime asymptotics on the basis of obtained the serious representation for the IBVP of Eq. (1.5) in both a disk and a ball, respectively (see [24,25]).

When the space dimension $N=2$, Akmel [1] established the global existence of small solutions for the "bad" Boussinesq equation provided that the potential $v(x)$ verifying $\hat{v}(\xi) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$, and he showed further that the small solution decays to zero when the nonlinear term possesses an appropriate lower bound.

But for general space dimension, does Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) still admit a global solution? In the case of space dimension $N=1$, does the corresponding Cauchy problem still admit a global solution provided that the conditions in [3] are not valid (say $p \geqslant 5$ )? Can the theoretically qualitative condition: "smallness of initial data" in [14,15] be replaced by a quantitative one? All these questions are still open.

Various versions of the Boussinesq equation discussed in literature all possess one obvious characteristic: they are perturbations of the linear wave equation that takes into account the effects of small nonlinearity and dispersion. From the physical points of view, in real process, dispersion plays an important spreading role for the energy gather arising from nonlinearity, and the interaction of it with the nonlinearity accompanies accumulation, balance and dissipation of the energy in the configurations. And hence, it is interesting to consider the interaction between the two factors. In fact, despite the status of Boussinesq type equations as the first model for nonlinear dispersive wave propagation, the mathematical theory for such equations is not nearly so complete as is the case for the Korteweg-de Vries-type equations (see [3,24]).

In the present paper, first, by using a Galerkin approximation scheme combined with a limiting process of the solutions of a series of periodic boundary value problems (PBVP), which is different from those used in [1,21-25],
the authors establish the existence of global solutions to Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) under the assumptions that $\sigma \in C(\mathbf{R}), \sigma(s)$ is of polynomial growth order, say $p(>1)$, either $0 \leqslant \sigma(s) s \leqslant \beta \int_{0}^{s} \sigma(\tau) d \tau, s \in \mathbf{R}$, where $\beta>0$ is a constant, or the initial data belong to a potential well $W_{0}$.

Second, the above-mentioned weak solution is regularized and the strong solution is proved to be unique when the space dimension $N=1$. And where the restrictions for both $p$, i.e. " $1<p<5$ and $(p-1) / 4<c^{2}<1$ " and the initial data, i.e. "the initial data lie relatively close to stable solitary waves" (see [3]) are removed.

Third, any weak solution of the Cauchy problem blows up in finite time under certain conditions.
The plan of the paper is as follows. The main results and some notations are stated in Section 2. The global existence of weak solutions to the PBVP and Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) is discussed in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. In Section 5, the weak solutions are regularized and so the strong solution is proved to be unique in the case of space dimension $N=1$. And in Section 6, a blowup theorem is proved and two examples are shown.

## 2. Statement of main results

We first introduce the following abbreviations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega=(-L, L)^{N}, \quad Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T), \quad L_{p}=L_{p}(\Omega), \quad W^{m, p}=W^{m, p}(\Omega), \\
& C_{0}^{\infty}=C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad H^{m}=W^{m, 2}, \quad\|\cdot\|_{p}=\|\cdot\|_{L_{p}}, \quad\|\cdot\|_{k, p}=\|\cdot\|_{W^{k, p}}, \\
& \|\cdot\|_{H^{k}}=\|\cdot\|_{H^{k}(\Omega)}, \quad\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}}, \quad \dot{H}^{s}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)=(-\Delta)^{-\frac{s}{2}} L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We denote the operators $G=(-\Delta)^{-1}, G^{1 / 2}=(-\Delta)^{-1 / 2}, p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$, with $p \in(1, \infty)$, and we denote the measure of $\Omega$ by $|\Omega|$. And the notation (.,.) for the $L_{2}$-inner product will also be used for the notation of duality paring between dual spaces.

Without loss of generality, we take $\mu=1$ in Eqs. (1.1) and (1.3).
Applying $G$ to both sides of Eq. (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G u_{t t}+u-\Delta u+\sigma(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition. The function $u \in L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)\right)$, with $G^{1 / 2} u_{t} \in L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)\right)$, is called a weak solution of Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2), if for any $\chi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, supp $\chi$ is a bounded set in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$, and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} u_{t t}, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+(u, \chi)+(\nabla u, \nabla \chi)+(\sigma(u), \chi)=0,  \tag{2.3}\\
& u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), \quad G^{1 / 2} u_{t}(\cdot, 0)=G^{1 / 2} u_{1} \quad \text { in } L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $v(x, t)=e^{-\lambda t} u(x, t)$, where $\lambda>0$ is a constant. Then problem (1.1), (1.2) is equivalent to the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(v_{t t}+2 \lambda v_{t}+\lambda^{2} v\right)+v-\Delta v+\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbf{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.5}\\
& v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), \quad v_{t}(x, 0)=v_{1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{0}(x)=u_{0}(x), v_{1}(x)=u_{1}(x)-\lambda u_{0}(x), \tilde{\sigma}(t, v)=e^{-\lambda t} \sigma\left(e^{\lambda t} v\right)$.
For any $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, let

$$
\tilde{u}(x)= \begin{cases}u(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}-\Omega,\end{cases}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G u=G \tilde{u}, \quad G^{1 / 2} u=G^{1 / 2} \tilde{u} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G u \in \dot{H}^{3}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ and $G^{1 / 2} u \in \dot{H}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), G u$ and $G^{1 / 2} u$ are meaningful.
We first consider the PBVP of Eq. (2.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.v\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad v(x, t)=v\left(x+2 L e_{i}, t\right), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, t>0,  \tag{2.8}\\
& v(x, 0)=\varphi(x), \quad v_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x+2 L e_{i}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i}+2 L, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right), L>0$ is a real number, $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \psi \in L_{2}(\Omega)$, with $\left.\varphi\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\left.\psi\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \varphi(x)=\varphi\left(x+2 L e_{i}\right), \psi(x)=\psi\left(x+2 L e_{i}\right), x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, i=1, \ldots, N$.

Definition. The function $v \in L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$, with $G^{1 / 2} v_{t} \in L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\right)$, is called a weak solution of problem (2.5), (2.8), (2.9), if for any $\chi \in H_{0}^{1}$, and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t t}, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+\lambda^{2}\left(G^{1 / 2} v, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+(v, \chi)+(\nabla v, \nabla \chi)+(\tilde{\sigma}(t, v), \chi)=0,  \tag{2.10}\\
& v(\cdot, 0)=\varphi \quad \text { in } H^{1}, \quad G^{1 / 2} v_{t}(\cdot, 0)=G^{1 / 2} \psi \quad \text { in } L_{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the potential wells with parameter $t$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{t}=\left\{v(t) \in H_{0}^{1} \mid I(v(t))=\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|_{p+1}^{p+1}>0\right\} \cup\{0\},  \tag{2.12}\\
& \tilde{W}_{t}=\left\{v(t) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \mid I(v(t))=\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}-e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{p+1}>0\right\} \cup\{0\}, \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

For later purposes we introduce the functional $J$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v(t)):=\frac{1}{2}\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\frac{b}{p+1} e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|_{p+1}^{p+1}, \quad t \in[0, T], \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable $v(t)$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(v(t))=\frac{1}{2} I(v(t))+b_{1} e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|_{p+1}^{p+1}=\frac{b}{p+1} I(v(t))+b_{1}\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all such $v(t)$, where and in the sequel $b_{1}=\frac{p+1-2 b}{2(p+1)}$.
Lemma 2.1. For any $T>0$ and each $t \in[0, T], W_{t}$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $H_{0}^{1}$ provided $1<p \leqslant \frac{N+2}{(N-2)^{+}}(p<$ $+\infty)$, where $a^{+}=\max \{a, 0\}$.

Proof. By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|_{p+1}^{p+1} & \leqslant C_{*} e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|^{(p+1)(1-\theta)}\|\nabla v(t)\|^{(p+1) \theta} \\
& \leqslant C_{*} e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{p-1}\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \\
& <\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}, \quad t \in[0, T], \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

as long as $\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}}<\left(1 / C_{*}\right)^{1 /(p-1)} e^{-\lambda T}, t \in[0, T]$, where $\theta=\frac{N(p-1)}{2(p+1)}(\leqslant 1)$. Lemma 2.1 is proved.
Remark 1. From (2.16) we know that $H_{0}^{1} \hookrightarrow L_{p+1}$, with the embedding constant $C_{*}$ independent of $\Omega$.
Now we state the main results of the paper.
Theorem 2.1. Assume that
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \sigma \in C(\mathbf{R}),|\sigma(s)| \leqslant b|s|^{p}, s \in \mathbf{R}$, with $1<p \leqslant \frac{N+2}{(N-2)^{+}}(p<+\infty)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) G^{1 / 2} \psi \in L_{2}, \varphi \in H_{0}^{1}$, and one of the following conditions holds:
(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \sigma(s) s \leqslant \beta \int_{0}^{s} \sigma(\tau) d \tau, \quad s \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta>0$ is a constant.
(ii) $b<\frac{p+1}{2}, \varphi \in W_{0}$, i.e. $\varphi=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\varphi)=\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\|\varphi\|_{p+1}^{p+1}>0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{*}(0)<\frac{b_{1}}{2 e} C_{*}^{\frac{-2}{p+1}}, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}=\frac{p+1-2 b}{2(p+1)}(>0), C_{*}$ as shown in (2.16) and

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{*}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2} \psi\right\|^{2}+\lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} \varphi\right\|^{2}+\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\varphi} \sigma(s) d s d x+\lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} \psi, G^{1 / 2} \varphi\right)+\lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} \varphi\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\lambda=2 /(3 T)$.
Then PBVP (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) admits a weak solution on $[0, T]$.
Theorem 2.2. In addition to assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we assume that
( $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) G^{1 / 2} u_{1} \in L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, and one of the following conditions holds:
(i) inequality (2.17).
(ii) $b<\frac{p+1}{2}, u_{0} \in \tilde{W}_{0}$, i.e. $u_{0}=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{0}\right)=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}-\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{p+1}>0, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}^{*}(0)<\frac{b_{1}}{2 e} C_{*}^{\frac{-2}{p+1}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{*}$ as shown in (2.16), and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{E}^{*}(0)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2}\left(u_{1}-\lambda u_{0}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+\lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{u_{0}} \sigma(s) d s d x+\lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} u_{1}, G^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\lambda=2 /(3 T)$.
Then Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) admits a weak solution on $[0, T]$.
Remark 2. Since for $T>1$,

$$
\tilde{E}^{*}(0) \leqslant 2\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}\right)+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{u_{0}} \sigma(s) d s d x \equiv \bar{E}^{*}(0)
$$

(2.22) holds true for all $T>1$ as long as $\bar{E}^{*}(0)<b_{1} C_{*}^{\frac{-2}{p+1}} / 2 e$.

In the case of space dimension $N=1$, the weak solutions can be regularized.
Theorem 2.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 (with $N=1$ ), if also $\sigma \in C^{4}(\mathbf{R}), u_{0} \in H^{4}(\mathbf{R})$ and $u_{1} \in H^{2}(\mathbf{R})$, then for any $T>0$, Cauchy problem (1.3), (1.2), with $N=1$, admits a unique strong solution

$$
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}(\mathbf{R})\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\mathbf{R})\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}(\mathbf{R})\right)
$$

Theorem 2.4. Assume that
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(s) s \leqslant 2(2 \alpha+1) \int_{0}^{s} \sigma(\tau) d \tau, \quad s \in \mathbf{R} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), G^{1 / 2} u_{1} \in L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ such that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(0)=\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{1}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}+2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{u_{0}} \sigma(s) d s d x<0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant E(0)<\frac{\left(G^{1 / 2} u_{0}, G^{1 / 2} u_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}} \quad \text { with }\left(G^{1 / 2} u_{0}, G^{1 / 2} u_{1}\right)>0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any weak solution $u$ of Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) blows up in finite time $\tilde{T}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G^{1 / 2} u(t)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{-} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3. We set $\sigma(s)=a|s|^{p-1} s$ and $\sigma(s)=a s^{p}$, with $a \neq 0, p>1$, in Eq. (1.1), respectively. A simple verification shows that (2.24) is valid, with $\alpha=\frac{p-1}{4}(>0)$, and then we have by Theorem 2.4 that any weak solution of the corresponding Cauchy problem blows up in finite time as long as the initial data satisfy condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.

## 3. Global existence of weak solutions to the PBVP

Lemma 3.1. (See [8].) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain, and $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ a complete orthogonal system in $L_{2}(\Omega)$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a positive integer $N_{\varepsilon}$ such that for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\|u\| \leqslant\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}}\left(u, w_{j}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon\|u\|_{1, p},
$$

where $2 \leqslant p<+\infty$.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. We look for approximate solutions $v^{n}$ of problem (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{n}(t):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{j n}(t) w_{j}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis in $H_{0}^{1}$ and at the same time an orthonormal basis in $L_{2}$, with $w_{j}(x)=$ $w_{j}\left(x+2 L e_{i}\right), x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, i=1, \ldots, N$, and the coefficients $\left\{T_{j n}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ satisfy $T_{j n}(t)=\left(v^{n}(t), w_{j}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t t}^{n}, G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}, G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right)+\lambda^{2}\left(G^{1 / 2} v^{n}, G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(v^{n}, w_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla v^{n}, \nabla w_{j}\right)+\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right), w_{j}\right)=0, \quad t>0,  \tag{3.2}\\
& v^{n}(0)=\varphi^{n}, \quad G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(0)=G^{1 / 2} \psi^{n}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n, n \in \mathbf{N}$, and where $\varphi^{n}$ and $\psi^{n}$ are in $C_{0}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1 / 2} \varphi^{n} \rightarrow G^{1 / 2} \varphi \quad \text { in } H^{3}, \quad G^{1 / 2} \psi^{n} \rightarrow G^{1 / 2} \psi \quad \text { in } L_{2} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $w_{j}$ in (3.2) by $v_{t}^{n}$ and $v^{n}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right)+e^{-2 \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t} v^{n}} \int_{0} \sigma(s) d s d x\right] \\
& \quad+2 \lambda\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+e^{-2 \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t} v^{n}} \int_{0} \sigma(s) d s d x\right) \\
& \quad=\lambda e^{-2 \lambda t}\left(\sigma\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n}\right), e^{\lambda t} v^{n}\right), \quad t>0,  \tag{3.5}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}, G^{1 / 2} v^{n}\right)+\lambda\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}\right]+\lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+e^{-2 \lambda t}\left(\sigma\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n}\right), e^{\lambda t} v^{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}, \quad t>0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The combination of (3.5) with (3.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E_{n}^{*}(t)+\bar{E}_{n}(t)=0, \quad t>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n}^{*}(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+3 \lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}\right)+e^{-2 \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t} v^{n}} \int_{0} \sigma(s) d s d x \\
& +\lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}, G^{1 / 2} v^{n}\right),  \tag{3.8}\\
\bar{E}_{n}(t)= & \lambda\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\lambda^{3}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\lambda\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+2 \lambda e^{-2 \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t} v^{n}} \int_{0} \sigma(s) d s d x, \quad t \geqslant 0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

(1) If $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ holds true, by noticing $\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}, G^{1 / 2} v^{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}\right\|^{2}$, and by taking $\varepsilon=2 \lambda$ and $\varepsilon=\lambda / 2$, respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+e^{-2 \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t} \int_{0}^{n}} \sigma(s) d s d x \\
& \quad \leqslant E_{n}^{*}(t) \leqslant \frac{2}{\lambda} \bar{E}_{n}(t), \quad t>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, Remark 1 and (3.4) that

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} \int_{\varphi}^{\varphi^{n}} \sigma(s) d s d x\right| \leqslant\left|\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(\kappa^{n}\right)\left(\varphi^{n}-\varphi\right) d x\right| \leqslant b\left\|\kappa^{n}\right\|_{p+1}^{p}\left\|\varphi^{n}-\varphi\right\|_{p+1} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\kappa^{n}=\theta \varphi^{n}+(1-\theta) \varphi, 0<\theta<1$. As a result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{*}(0) \rightarrow E^{*}(0) \quad(>0) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{*}(0)$ as shown in (2.20). Without loss of generality we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{*}(0)<2 E^{*}(0) \quad \text { for all } n \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of (3.7) with (3.10) and (3.12) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} E_{n}^{*}(t)+\frac{\lambda}{2} E_{n}^{*}(t) \leqslant 0 \\
& \frac{1}{4}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+e^{-2 \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t}} \int_{0}^{n} \sigma(s) d s d x \leqslant 2 E^{*}(0) e^{\frac{-\lambda t}{2}}, \quad t>0 \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ and (3.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right)\right|^{(p+1)^{\prime}} d x & =e^{-(p+1)^{\prime} \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}\left|\sigma\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n}\right)\right|^{(p+1)^{\prime}} d x \\
& \leqslant b^{1 / p} e^{-(p+1)^{\prime} \lambda t} \int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n}\right) e^{\lambda t} v^{n} d x \\
& \leqslant \beta b^{1 / p} e^{-(p+1)^{\prime} \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t} v^{n}} \int_{0} \sigma(s) d s d x \\
& \leqslant 2 \beta b^{1 / p} e^{\frac{(p-2)}{2 p} \lambda t} E^{*}(0), \quad t>0 . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) If $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)($ ii) holds true, by (3.4) and Remark 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\varphi^{n}\right)=\left\|\varphi^{n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}-\left\|\varphi^{n}\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \rightarrow I(\varphi)>0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $I\left(\varphi^{n}\right)>0$, i.e. $\varphi^{n} \in W_{0}$ for all $n$. By the continuity of $I\left(v^{n}(t)\right)$, there exists a right neighborhood $(0, \delta)$ such that $I\left(v^{n}(t)\right)>0, t \in(0, \delta)$. We claim that for any $T>0, v^{n}(t) \in W_{t}, t \in[0, T]$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(v^{n}(t)\right)>0, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if there exists $t_{0}: 0<t_{0} \leqslant T$ such that $v^{n}(t) \in W_{t}, t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right)$, and $v^{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \in \partial W_{t_{0}}$, i.e. $I\left(v^{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=0$. Then by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{ii}),(2.14)$ and (2.15),

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+e^{-2 \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}^{e^{\lambda t}} \int_{0}^{v^{n}} \sigma(s) d s d x \geqslant J\left(v^{n}(t)\right) \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2},  \tag{3.17}\\
b_{1} e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence (3.10) and (3.13) hold true on $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. The combination of (3.17) with (3.13) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \leqslant 2 E^{*}(0) e^{\frac{-\lambda t}{2}}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $\lambda=2 /(3 T)$, we get from (2.16) and (2.19) that

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1} & \leqslant C_{*}\left(\frac{2 E^{*}(0)}{b_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} e^{\frac{3}{4} \lambda(p-1) T}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant C_{*}\left(\frac{2 e E^{*}(0)}{b_{1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}<\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, $I\left(v^{n}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)>0$, which violates the assumption. So (3.16) is valid. And hence (3.17)-(3.19) hold true on $[0, T]$.

The combination of (3.13) with (3.17) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2}\left\|G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{b_{1}}{2}\left(\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{p+1}^{p+1}\right) \leqslant 2 E^{*}(0) e^{\frac{-\lambda t}{2}}, \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{ii})$ and (3.20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right)\right|^{(p+1)^{\prime}} d x \leqslant b^{(p+1)^{\prime}} e^{-(p+1)^{\prime} \lambda t} \int_{\Omega}\left|e^{\lambda t} v^{n}(t)\right|^{p+1} d x \leqslant \frac{4}{b_{1}} b^{(p+1)^{\prime}} e^{\frac{(p-2)}{2 p} \lambda t} E^{*}(0), \quad t \in[0, T], \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=2 /(3 T)$. Integrating (3.2) over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n}(t), G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} v^{n}(t), G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\lambda^{2}\left(G^{1 / 2} v^{n}, G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(v^{n}, w_{j}\right)+\left(\nabla v^{n}, \nabla w_{j}\right)+\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right), w_{j}\right)\right) d \tau \\
& \quad=\left(G^{1 / 2} \psi^{n}, G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} \varphi^{n}, G^{1 / 2} w_{j}\right), \quad t>0,  \tag{3.22}\\
& v^{n}(0)=\varphi^{n} . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $T>0$, it follows from (3.13), (3.14) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ holds true) or (3.20), (3.21) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (ii) holds true) that the nonlinear terms appearing in (3.22) are uniformly bounded on $[0, T]$, so the solution of problem (3.22), (3.23) exists on $[0, T]$ for each $n$.

From (3.13), (3.14) (if ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ )(i) holds true) or (3.20), (3.21) (if ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ )(ii) holds true) we can extract a subsequence from $\left\{v^{n}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{v^{n}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{1 / 2} v^{n} \rightarrow G^{1 / 2} v \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\right) \text { weak* }^{*},  \tag{3.24}\\
& v^{n} \rightarrow v \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\right) \text { weak }^{*},  \tag{3.25}\\
& G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{n} \rightarrow G^{1 / 2} v_{t} \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\right) \text { weak }^{*},  \tag{3.26}\\
& \tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right) \rightarrow \gamma \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{(p+1)^{\prime}}\right) \text { weak }^{*} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Lemma 3.1, (3.25), (3.13) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (i) holds true) or (3.20) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (ii) holds true) that for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a positive integer $N_{\varepsilon}$ such that for all $v^{n}$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{n}(t)-v(t)\right\| \leqslant\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}}\left(v^{n}-v, w_{j}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon\left\|v^{n}(t)-v(t)\right\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant C E^{*}(0) \varepsilon, \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{n} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\right) \text { and a.e. on } Q_{T}, \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.29) and the continuity of $\sigma$, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}(t, v), \quad \text { a.e. on } \Omega \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from the Egoroff theorem that for any $\delta>0$, there exists a measurable set $\Omega_{\delta} \subset \Omega,\left|\Omega_{\delta}\right|<\delta$ such that for a.e. $t \in[0, T], \tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}(t, v)$ uniformly on $\tilde{\Omega}=\Omega-\Omega_{\delta}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for any $p_{1}: p_{1}>p$, by the embedding theorem, (3.14) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (i) holds true) or (3.21) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (ii) holds true), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right)-\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)\right\|_{L_{\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\prime}}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} & \leqslant\left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right)-\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)\right\|_{L_{(p+1)^{\prime}}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \delta^{\frac{p_{1}-p}{(p+1)\left(p_{1}+1\right)}} \\
& \leqslant M(T) \delta^{\frac{p_{1}-p}{(p+1)\left(p_{1}+1\right)}}, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where and in the sequel $M(T)$ denotes positive constants depending only on $T$. Therefore, when $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right)-\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)\right\|_{L_{\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\prime}}(\Omega)} & \leqslant\left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right)-\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)\right\|_{L_{\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\prime}}(\tilde{\Omega})}+\left\|\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right)-\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)\right\|_{L_{\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\prime}}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)} \\
& \leqslant M(T) \delta^{\frac{p_{1}-p}{(p+1)\left(p p_{1}+1\right)}}, \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{n}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}(t, v) \quad \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\prime}}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.33) and the uniqueness of weak* limit that $\gamma(t)=\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)$ in $L_{\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\prime}}$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Since $C_{0}^{\infty}$ is dense in $L_{p+1}$, for any $\kappa \in L_{p+1}$ there exists a sequence $\left\{\kappa_{n}\right\}, \kappa_{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}$, such that $\kappa_{n} \rightarrow \kappa$ in $L_{p+1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)-\gamma(t), \kappa_{n}-\kappa\right)\right| \leqslant\|\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)-\gamma(t)\|_{(p+1)^{\prime}}\left\|\kappa_{n}-\kappa\right\|_{p+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, t \in[0, T]  \tag{3.34}\\
& (\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)-\gamma(t), \kappa)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\tilde{\sigma}(t, v)-\gamma(t), \kappa_{n}\right)=0  \tag{3.35}\\
& \tilde{\sigma}(t, v)=\gamma(t) \quad \text { in } L_{(p+1)^{\prime}} \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $\chi \in H_{0}^{1}$, it follows from (2.7) that $G^{1 / 2} \chi \in H^{2}$. Hence, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.22) and making use of (3.24)(3.27), (3.36) and (3.4) we obtain, for any $\chi \in H_{0}^{1}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}(t), G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} v(t), G^{1 / 2} \chi\right) \\
& \quad \quad+\int_{0}^{t}\left[\lambda^{2}\left(G^{1 / 2} v, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+(v, \chi)+(\nabla v, \nabla \chi)+(\tilde{\sigma}(\tau, v), \chi)\right] d \tau \\
& \quad=\left(G^{1 / 2} \psi, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} \varphi, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (3.37) we get that for any $\chi \in H_{0}^{1}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, (2.10) is valid. By (3.24), (3.26) and (3.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} v^{n}, w_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(G^{1 / 2} v, w_{j}\right) \quad \text { in } H^{1}[0, T] \text { and in } C[0, T], \\
& G^{1 / 2} v(0)=G^{1 / 2} \varphi \quad \text { in } L_{2} . \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}$, applying the operators $G^{-1 / 2}=(-\Delta)^{1 / 2}$ and $G^{-1}=-\Delta$ to both sides of (3.38), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0)=\varphi \quad \text { in } H^{1} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $t=0$ in (3.37) and using (3.38) and the density of $H_{0}^{1}$ in $L_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G v_{t}(0)=G \psi \quad \text { in } L_{2} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $G^{-1 / 2}=(-\Delta)^{1 / 2}$ to both sides of (3.40) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1 / 2} v_{t}(0)=G^{1 / 2} \psi \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of (2.10) with (3.39) and (3.41) indicates that $v$ is a weak solution of PBVP (2.5), (2.8), (2.9). Theorem 2.1 is proved.

## 4. Global weak solutions to the Cauchy problem

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We take a sequence $\left\{L_{s}\right\}$, where $L_{s}(>1)$ are real numbers, and $L_{s} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\Omega_{s}=\left(-L_{s}, L_{s}\right)^{N}$. For each $s$ we construct the potential wells with parameter $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}^{s}=\left\{v(t) \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right) \mid I_{s}(v(t))=\|v(t)\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)}^{2}-e^{\lambda(p-1) t}\|v(t)\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)}^{p+1}>0\right\} \cup\{0\}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the periodic functions $\varphi_{s} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right), \psi_{s} \in L_{2}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)$, with $\left.\varphi_{s}\right|_{\partial \Omega_{s}}=\left.\psi_{s}\right|_{\partial \Omega_{s}}=0$, and
(i) $\varphi_{s}(x)=\varphi_{s}\left(x+2 L_{s} e_{i}\right), \psi_{s}(x)=\psi_{s}\left(x+2 L_{s} e_{i}\right), x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, i=1, \ldots, N$.
(ii) $\varphi_{s}(x)=v_{0}(x), \psi_{s}(x)=v_{1}(x)$ on $\Omega_{s}^{*}=\left(-L_{s}+1, L_{s}-1\right)^{N}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|G^{1 / 2} \varphi_{s}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)} \leqslant\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}, \quad\left\|\varphi_{s}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)} \leqslant\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \left\|\varphi_{s}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)} \leqslant\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}, \quad\left\|G^{1 / 2} \psi_{s}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)} \leqslant\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{1}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{s}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\varphi_{s}(x), & x \in \Omega_{s},  \tag{4.3}\\
0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}-\Omega_{s},
\end{array} \quad \tilde{\psi}_{s}(x)= \begin{cases}\psi_{s}(x), & x \in \Omega_{s}, \\
0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}-\Omega_{s}\end{cases}\right.
$$

By (4.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{s}-G^{1 / 2} v_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \leqslant 2\left\|G^{1 / 2} v_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{s}-G^{1 / 2} v_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}=\left\|G^{1 / 2} \tilde{\varphi}_{s}-G^{1 / 2} v_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}-\Omega_{s}^{*}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi}_{s} \rightarrow v_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \cap L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), \quad G^{1 / 2} \tilde{\psi}_{s} \rightarrow G^{1 / 2} v_{1} \quad \text { in } L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (4.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s}\left(\varphi_{s}\right)=\left\|\varphi_{s}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)}^{2}-\left\|\varphi_{s}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)}^{p+1} \rightarrow I\left(v_{0}\right)=\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}-\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{p+1} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$. By the integral mean value theorem, the Hölder inequality and (4.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{\Omega_{s}} \int_{0}^{\varphi_{s}} \sigma(\tau) d \tau d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{v_{0}} \sigma(\tau) d \tau d x\right| & \leqslant\left\|\sigma\left(\xi_{s}\right)\right\|_{L_{(p+1)^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}_{s}-v_{0}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|\xi_{s}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}\left\|\tilde{\varphi}_{s}-v_{0}\right\|_{L_{p+1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$, where $\xi_{s}=v_{0}+\theta_{s} \tilde{\varphi}_{s}, 0<\theta_{s}<1$. By (4.5), (4.6) and (4.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s}^{*}(0) \rightarrow \tilde{E}^{*}(0) \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{s}^{*}(0)$ as shown in (2.20) (substituting $\varphi, \psi$ and $\Omega$ there by $\varphi_{s}, \psi_{s}$ and $\Omega_{s}$, respectively), and $\tilde{E}^{*}(0)$ as shown in (2.23), where the facts: $v_{0}=u_{0}$ and $v_{1}=u_{1}-\lambda u_{0}$ have been used. By (2.21), (2.22), (4.7) and (4.9), without loss of generality we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\varphi_{s}\right)>0, \quad E_{s}^{*}(0)<\min \left\{\frac{b_{1}}{2 e} C_{*}^{\frac{-2}{p-1}}, 2 \tilde{E}^{*}(0)\right\} \quad \text { for all } s . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the arguments of Theorem 2.1, for each $s$ we get a weak solution $v^{s}$ of corresponding PBVP (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) (substituting $L, \Omega, \varphi$ and $\psi$ there by $L_{s}, \Omega_{s}, \varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$, respectively), and $v^{s}$ is a weak* limit of a sequence $\left\{v^{n_{s}}\right\}$, where $v^{n_{s}}$ are the solutions of problem (3.2), (3.3) (substituting $\Omega, v^{n}, \varphi^{n}$ and $\psi^{n}$ there by $\Omega_{s}, v^{n_{s}}, \varphi^{n_{s}}$ and $\psi^{n_{s}}$, respectively). And inequalities (3.13), (3.14) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ holds true) or (3.20), (3.21) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{ii})$ holds true) are valid for $v^{n_{s}}$ (substituting $v^{n}$ and $\Omega$ there by $v^{n_{s}}$ and $\Omega_{s}$, respectively).
(1) If $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{i})$ holds true, then $F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n_{s}}\right)=\int_{0}^{e^{\lambda t} v^{n_{s}}} \sigma(\tau) d \tau \geqslant 0$ are measurable functions defined on $\Omega_{s}$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Since $v^{n_{s}} \rightarrow v^{s}$ a.e. on $\Omega_{s}$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ as $n_{s} \rightarrow \infty$ (see (3.29)), and by the continuity of $F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n_{s}}\right)$,

$$
F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n_{s}}\right) \rightarrow F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{s}\right) \quad \text { a.e. on } \Omega_{s} \text { as } n_{s} \rightarrow \infty,
$$

i.e. there exists a set $\tilde{\Omega}_{s} \subset \Omega_{s},\left|\tilde{\Omega}_{s}\right|=0$, such that

$$
F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n_{s}}\right) \rightarrow F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{s}\right) \quad \text { on } \Omega_{s}-\tilde{\Omega}_{s} \text { as } n_{s} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then, by the Fatou theorem, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{s}} F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{s}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega_{s}-\tilde{\Omega}_{s}} F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{s}\right) d x \leqslant \lim _{n_{s} \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_{\Omega_{s}-\tilde{\Omega}_{s}} F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n_{s}}\right) d x=\lim _{n_{s} \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_{\Omega_{s}} F\left(e^{\lambda t} v^{n_{s}}\right) d x \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the sequential lower semi-continuity of the norm and (4.11) we conclude that inequalities (3.13) and (3.14) are still valid for $v^{s}$ (substituting $v^{n}, \Omega$ and $E^{*}(0)$ there by $v^{s}, \Omega_{s}$ and $\tilde{E}^{*}(0)$, respectively).
(2) If $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (ii) holds true, from the sequential lower semi-continuity of the norm we deduce that inequalities (3.20) and (3.21) are still valid for $v^{s}$ (substituting $v^{n}, \Omega$ and $E^{*}(0)$ there by $v^{s}, \Omega_{s}$ and $\tilde{E}^{*}(0)$, respectively).

Hence, by the same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can extract a subsequence from $\left\{v^{s}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{v^{s}\right\}$, such that, for any $\Omega_{L}=(-L, L)^{N}$ and $T>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{1 / 2} v^{s} \rightarrow G^{1 / 2} v \quad \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \text { weak }^{*}, \\
& v^{s} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \text { weak }^{*}, \\
& G^{1 / 2} v_{t}^{s} \rightarrow G^{1 / 2} v_{t} \quad \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \text { weak }^{*}, \\
& \tilde{\sigma}\left(t, v^{s}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}(t, v) \quad \text { in } L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{(p+1)^{\prime}}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \text { weak }^{*} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$. And by the same method used above we see that inequalities (3.13) and (3.20) still hold true for the limit function $v$ (substituting $v^{n}, \Omega$ and $E^{*}(0)$ there by $v, \Omega_{L}$ and $\tilde{E}^{*}(0)$, respectively).

From the arbitrariness of $L$ and the bounded-ness of the norm of $v$ (see (3.13) and (3.20)) we know that the limiting function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \in L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)\right), \quad \text { with } G^{1 / 2} v_{t} \in L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\chi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), \operatorname{supp} \chi$ is a bounded set in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$, there must be an $L>0$ such that supp $\chi \subset \Omega_{L}$ and $\chi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)$. Substituting $v^{n}, w_{j}, \varphi^{n}$ and $\psi^{n}$ in (3.22) by $v^{s}, \chi, \varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$, respectively, and letting $s \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} v_{t}(t), G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} v(t), G^{1 / 2} \chi\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\lambda^{2}\left(G^{1 / 2} v(\tau), G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+(v(\tau), \chi)+(\nabla v(\tau), \nabla \chi)+(\tilde{\sigma}(\tau, v(\tau)), \chi)\right) d \tau \\
& \quad=\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{1}, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right)+2 \lambda\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{0}, G^{1 / 2} \chi\right), \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.12), for any $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{1 / 2} v^{s}, \chi\right) \rightarrow\left(G^{1 / 2} v, \chi\right) \quad \text { in } H^{1}[0, T] \text { and in } C[0, T] . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (4.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{1 / 2} v(0), \chi\right)=\left(G^{1 / 2} v_{0}, \chi\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ is dense in $L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, from the continuity of the inner-product in $L_{2}$ we get that (4.16) holds true for arbitrary $\chi \in L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1 / 2} v(0)=G^{1 / 2} v_{0} \quad \text { in } L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G^{1 / 2} v_{0} \in H^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, applying $G^{-1 / 2}$ and $G^{-1}$ to both sides of (4.17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0)=v_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\chi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, letting $t=0$ in (4.14), exploiting (4.17) and using the same method as above, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G v_{t}(0), \chi\right)=\left(G v_{1}, \chi\right), \quad G v_{t}(0)=G v_{1} \quad \text { in } L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G^{1 / 2} v_{1} \in L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$, applying $G^{-1 / 2}$ to both sides of (4.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1 / 2} v_{t}(0)=G^{1 / 2} v_{1} \quad \text { in } L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.14) and combining the result with (4.18) and (4.20) we see that $v$ is a weak solution of Cauchy problem (2.5), (2.6) on $[0, T]$. Therefore, $u=e^{\lambda t} v$ is a weak solution of Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) on $[0, T]$. Theorem 2.2 is proved.

## 5. The case in one dimension

Lemma 5.1. (See [29].) Assume that $G\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{h}\right)$ is a $k$-times continuously differentiable function with respect to variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{h}$ and $z_{i} \in L_{\infty}\left([0, T] ; H^{k}(\Omega)\right)(i=1, \ldots, h)$. Then

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}} G\left(z_{1}(\cdot, t), \ldots, z_{h}(\cdot, t)\right)\right\|^{2} \leqslant C(\bar{M}, k, h) \sum_{i=1}^{h}\left\|z_{i}(t)\right\|_{H^{k}}^{2}
$$

where $\bar{M}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant h} \max _{(x, t) \in \bar{Q}_{T}}\left|z_{i}(x, t)\right|, C(\bar{M}, k, h)$ is a positive constant depending only on $\bar{M}, k$ and $h$.
In this section, we still use the notations in (2.1), with $\Omega=(-L, L)$. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_{C}=\|\cdot\|_{C(\Omega)}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We first consider the PBVP of Eq. (1.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad u(x, t)=u(x+2 L, t), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, t>0,  \tag{5.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=\xi(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\eta(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi \in H^{4} \cap H_{0}^{1}, \eta \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}$, with $\xi(x)=\xi(x+2 L), \eta(x)=\eta(x+2 L), x \in \mathbf{R}$. And it follows from (2.9) (with $N=1)$ that $\xi(x)=\varphi(x), \eta(x)=\psi(x)+\lambda \varphi(x)$.

We still start with the approximate solutions of $\operatorname{PBVP}$ (1.3), (5.1), (5.2) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{n}(t):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{j n}(t) w_{j}, \quad t \geqslant 0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis in $H^{4} \cap H_{0}^{1}$ and at the same time an orthonormal basis in $L_{2}$, with $w_{j}(x)=$ $w_{j}(x+2 L), x \in \mathbf{R}$, and the coefficients $\left\{\tilde{T}_{j n}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ satisfy $\tilde{T}_{j n}(t)=\left(u^{n}(t), w_{j}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u_{t t}^{n}, w_{j}\right)-\left(u_{x x}^{n}, w_{j}\right)+\left(u_{x^{4}}^{n}, w_{j}\right)=\left(\sigma\left(u^{n}\right)_{x x}, w_{j}\right), \quad t>0,  \tag{5.4}\\
& u^{n}(0)=\xi^{n}, \quad u_{t}^{n}(0)=\eta^{n} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n, n \in \mathbf{N}$, where and in the sequel $u_{x^{k}}=\frac{\partial^{k} u}{\partial x^{k}}, \xi^{n}, \eta^{n} \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{n} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{4}, \quad \eta^{n} \rightarrow u_{1} \quad \text { in } H^{2} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.13) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (i) holds true) or (3.20) (if $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (ii) holds true), and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}=e^{\lambda t}\left\|v^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}} \leqslant M(T), \\
& \left\|u^{n}(t)\right\|_{C} \leqslant C\left\|u^{n}(t)\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_{x}^{n}(t)\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant M(T), \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $w_{j}$ in (5.4) by $u_{t}^{n}+u_{x^{4} t}^{n}$, integrating by parts and exploiting Lemma 5.1, (5.7) and the Gronwall inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|u_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{x^{2} t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\sigma\left(u^{n}\right)_{x x}, u_{t}^{n}\right)+\left(\sigma\left(u^{n}\right)_{x^{4}}, u_{x^{2} t}^{n}\right)+\left(u^{n}, u_{t}^{n}\right) \leqslant M(T)\left(\left\|u_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{x^{2} t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|u^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2}\right), \\
& \left\|u_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2} \leqslant\left(\left\|\eta^{n}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\xi^{n}\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2}\right) e^{2 M(T) T} \leqslant M(T), \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $w_{j}$ in (5.4) by $u_{t t}^{n}$ and using the Hölder inequality, Lemma 5.1 and (5.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{t t}^{n}(t)\right\| \leqslant\left\|u_{x^{2}}^{n}(t)\right\|+\left\|u_{x^{4}}^{n}(t)\right\|+\left\|\sigma\left(u^{n}(t)\right)_{x x}\right\| \leqslant M(T), \quad t \in[0, T] . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w^{n}=u^{n}-u^{n-1}$, then $w^{n}(t)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(w_{t t}^{n}, w_{j}\right)-\left(w_{x x}^{n}, w_{j}\right)+\left(w_{x^{4}}^{n}, w_{j}\right)=\left(\sigma\left(u^{n}\right)_{x x}-\sigma\left(u^{n-1}\right)_{x x}, w_{j}\right), \quad t>0,  \tag{5.10}\\
& w^{n}(0)=\xi^{n}-\xi^{n-1}, \quad w_{t}^{n}(0)=\eta^{n}-\eta^{n-1}, \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n, n \in \mathbf{N}$. Substituting $w_{j}$ in (5.10) by $w^{n}+w_{x^{4} t}^{n}$ and exploiting the Lagrange mean value theorem, (5.8), Lemma 5.1 and the Gronwall inequality, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|w_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|w_{x^{2} t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|w^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(\sigma\left(u^{n}\right)-\sigma\left(u^{n-1}\right)\right)_{x x}, w_{t}^{n}\right)+\left(\left(\sigma\left(u^{n}\right)-\sigma\left(u^{n-1}\right)\right)_{x^{4}}, w_{x^{2} t}^{n}\right)+\left(w^{n}, w_{t}^{n}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant M(T)\left(\left\|w_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|w_{x^{2} t}^{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|w^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2}\right), \quad t \in[0, T],  \tag{5.12}\\
& \left\|w_{t}^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\left\|w^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2} \leqslant\left(\left\|\eta^{n}-\eta^{n-1}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\xi^{n}-\xi^{n-1}\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2}\right) e^{2 M(T) T} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly on $[0, T]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Substituting $w_{j}$ in (5.10) by $w_{t t}^{n}$ and exploiting Lemma 5.1 and (5.13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{t t}^{n}(t)\right\| & \leqslant\left\|w_{x^{2}}^{n}(t)\right\|+\left\|w_{x^{4}}^{n}(t)\right\|+\left\|\left(\sigma\left(u^{n}(t)\right)-\sigma\left(u^{n-1}(t)\right)\right)_{x x}\right\| \\
& \leqslant M(T)\left\|w^{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly on $[0, T]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{t}^{n}(t)-u_{t}^{m}(t)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2}+\left\|u^{n}(t)-u^{m}(t)\right\|_{H^{4}}^{2}+\left\|u_{t t}^{n}(t)-u_{t t}^{m}(t)\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $[0, T]$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\left\{u^{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\right) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.4) and (5.5) we get that $u$ is a strong solution of PBVP (1.3), (5.1), (5.2).
Now, we restrict our attention to Cauchy problem (1.3), (1.2), with $N=1$.
We still take a sequence $\left\{L_{s}\right\}$ as usual and construct the periodic functions $\xi_{s}(x)=\varphi_{s}(x), \eta_{s}(x)=\psi_{s}(x)+\lambda \varphi_{s}(x)$, where $\varphi_{s} \in H^{4}\left(\Omega_{s}\right) \cap H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right), \psi_{s} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{s}\right) \cap H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)$, and $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) in the proof of Theorem 2.2, with $N=1$ and $\Omega_{s}=\left(-L_{s}, L_{s}\right)$. Obviously, $\left.\xi_{s}\right|_{\partial \Omega_{s}}=\left.\eta_{s}\right|_{\partial \Omega_{s}}=0, \xi_{s}(x)=\xi_{s}(x+2 L), \eta_{s}(x)=$ $\eta_{s}(x+2 L), x \in \mathbf{R}$, and $\xi_{s}(x)=u_{0}(x), \eta_{s}(x)=u_{1}(x)$ in $\Omega_{s}^{*}=\left(-L_{s}+1, L_{s}-1\right)$. Moreover, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\xi_{s}\right\|_{H^{4}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)} \leqslant\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{4}(\mathbf{R})}, \quad\left\|\eta_{s}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)} \leqslant\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{H^{2}(\mathbf{R})} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{\xi}_{s}(x)=\tilde{\varphi}_{s}(x), \tilde{\eta}_{s}(x)=\tilde{\psi}_{s}(x)+\lambda \tilde{\varphi}_{s}(x)$, where $\tilde{\varphi}_{s}(x), \tilde{\psi}_{s}(x)$ as shown in (4.3), with $N=1$. As a result, (4.5)(4.10) hold true. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}_{s} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { in } H^{4}(\mathbf{R}), \quad \tilde{\eta}_{s} \rightarrow u_{1} \quad \text { in } H^{2}(\mathbf{R}) \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in the proof of Theorem 2.2, for each $s$ we get a strong solution $u^{s} \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)\right) \cap$ $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\left(\Omega_{s}\right)\right)$ of corresponding problem (1.3), (5.1), (5.2) (substituting $\xi, \eta$ and $L$ there by $\xi_{s}, \eta_{s}$ and $L_{s}$, respectively), and $u^{s}$ is a weak* limit of a sequence $\left\{u^{n_{s}}\right\}$, where $u^{n_{s}}$ are the solutions of problem (5.4), (5.5) (substituting $u^{n}, \xi^{n}, \eta^{n}, \xi$ and $\eta$ there by $u^{n_{s}}, \xi^{n_{s}}, \eta^{n_{s}}, \xi_{s}$ and $\eta_{s}$, respectively). From the sequential lower semi-continuity of the norm we know that inequalities (5.7)-(5.9) still hold true for $u^{s}$ (substituting $u^{n}, \xi^{n}$ and $\eta^{n}$ there by $u^{s}$, $\xi_{s}$ and $\eta_{s}$, respectively). And inequalities (5.13), (5.14) still hold true for $w^{s}=u^{s}-u^{s-1}$ (substituting $w^{n}, \xi^{n}$ and $\eta^{n}$ there by $w^{s}, \xi_{s}$ and $\eta_{s}$, respectively). Then $\left\{u^{s}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{s} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}\left(\Omega_{L}\right)\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$. And inequalities (5.7)-(5.9) are still valid for the limit function $u$. From the arbitrariness of $L$ and the bounded-ness of the norm of $u$ (see (5.8)-(5.9)) we know that the limiting function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}(\mathbf{R})\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\mathbf{R})\right) \cap C^{2}\left([0, T] ; L_{2}(\mathbf{R})\right), \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u$ is a strong solution of Cauchy problem (1.3), (1.2), with $N=1$.
By using the standard method we easily get the uniqueness of the strong solution, so we omit the process. Theorem 2.3 is proved.

## 6. Blowup of solutions

Lemma 6.1. (See [12].) Assume that $\phi \in C^{2}, \phi(t) \geqslant 0$ satisfies

$$
\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(1+\delta) \phi^{\prime 2}(t) \geqslant 0
$$

for certain real number $\delta>0, \phi(0)>0$ and $\phi^{\prime}(0)>0$. Then there exists a real number $\tilde{T}: 0<\tilde{T} \leqslant \frac{\phi(0)}{\delta \phi^{\prime}(0)}$ such that

$$
\phi(t) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{-}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Taking $L_{2}$-inner product of Eq. (2.2) with $u$ and $u_{t}$, respectively, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G^{1 / 2} u_{t t}, G^{1 / 2} u\right)+\|u(t)\|^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \sigma(u) u d x=0, \quad t>0,  \tag{6.1}\\
& E^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad E(t)=E(0), \quad t>0, \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{t}\right\|^{2}+\|u(t)\|^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{u} \sigma(s) d s d x \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. If (2.25) holds true, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\left\|G^{1 / 2} u(t)\right\|^{2}+\beta\left(t+t_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)= & 2\left(G^{1 / 2} u, G^{1 / 2} u_{t}\right)+2 \beta\left(t+t_{0}\right),  \tag{6.5}\\
\phi^{\prime 2}(t) \leqslant & 4 \phi(t)\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\beta\right),  \tag{6.6}\\
\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)= & 2\left[2 \alpha\left(\|u(t)\|^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)+2(2 \alpha+1) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{u} \sigma(s) d s d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \sigma(u) u d x-(2 \alpha+1)(E(0)+\beta)\right] \\
& +4(1+\alpha)\left(\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{t}(t)\right\|^{2}+\beta\right), \quad t>0, \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where (6.1)-(6.3) have been used. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(1+\alpha) \phi^{\prime 2}(t) \geqslant \phi(t) Q(t), \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(t)=2\left[2 \alpha\left(\|u(t)\|^{2}+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)+2(2 \alpha+1) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \int_{0}^{u} \sigma(s) d s d x-\int_{\mathbf{R}^{N}} \sigma(u) u d x-(2 \alpha+1)(E(0)+\beta)\right] . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking $\beta=-E(0)(>0)$, we get from (2.24) and (6.9) that $Q(t) \geqslant 0$. And hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(1+\alpha) \phi^{\prime 2}(t) \geqslant 0, \quad t \geqslant 0 . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously $\phi(0)=\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|^{2}+\beta t_{0}^{2}>0$. By taking $t_{0}$ large enough such that $\phi^{\prime}(0)=2\left(G^{1 / 2} u_{0}, G^{1 / 2} u_{1}\right)+2 \beta t_{0}>0$, we deduce from Lemma 6.1 that there must be $\tilde{T} \leqslant \phi(0) / \alpha \phi^{\prime}(0)$ such that $\phi(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{-}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G^{1 / 2} u(t)\right\|^{2} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{-} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. If (2.26) holds true, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\left\|G^{1 / 2} u(t)\right\|^{2}, \quad t \geqslant 0 \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, (6.5)-(6.9) still hold true, with $\beta=0$. And it follows from (6.8) and (6.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(1+\alpha) \phi^{\prime 2}(t) \geqslant-2(2 \alpha+1) E(0) \phi(t), \quad t \geqslant 0 . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) If $E(0)=0$, it follows from the facts: $\phi(0)=\left\|G^{1 / 2} u_{0}\right\|^{2}>0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=2\left(G^{1 / 2} u_{0}, G^{1 / 2} u_{1}\right)>0$ and Lemma 6.1 that (6.11) holds true.
(2) If $E(0)>0$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\phi^{-\alpha}(t), \quad t \geqslant 0 . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha \phi^{-\alpha-1}(t) \phi^{\prime}(t) \\
& P^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\alpha \phi^{-\alpha-2}(t)\left(\phi(t) \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-(1+\alpha) \phi^{2}(t)\right) \leqslant 2 \alpha(2 \alpha+1) E(0) \phi^{-\alpha-1}(t), \quad t \geqslant 0 \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

By assumption, $P^{\prime}(0)=-\alpha \phi^{-\alpha-1}(0) \phi^{\prime}(0)<0$. We claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(t)<0, \quad t>0 \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $P^{\prime}(t)<0, t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right)$ and $P^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, multiplying (6.15) by $2 P^{\prime}(t)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} P^{\prime 2}(t) \geqslant 4 \alpha^{2} E(0)\left(\phi^{-2 \alpha-1}(t)\right)^{\prime}  \tag{6.17}\\
& P^{\prime 2}(t) \geqslant 4 \alpha^{2} E(0) \phi^{-2 \alpha-1}(t)+P^{\prime 2}(0)-4 \alpha^{2} E(0) \phi^{-2 \alpha-1}(0)  \tag{6.18}\\
& P^{\prime}(t) \leqslant-\left(P^{\prime 2}(0)-4 \alpha^{2} E(0) \phi^{-2 \alpha-1}(0)\right)^{1 / 2}<0, \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, $P^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$, which violates the assumption. So (6.16) is valid.
Therefore, (6.19) holds true for $t>0$. Integrating (6.19) over $(0, t)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t) \leqslant P(0)-\left(P^{\prime 2}(0)-4 \alpha^{2} E(0) \phi^{-2 \alpha-1}(0)\right)^{1 / 2} t, \quad t>0 \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (6.20) that there exists a number $\tilde{T}: 0<\tilde{T} \leqslant P(0) /\left(P^{\prime 2}(0)-4 \alpha^{2} E(0) \phi^{-2 \alpha-1}(0)\right)^{1 / 2}$ such that $P(\tilde{T})=0$, i.e. $\phi(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{-}$. Theorem 2.4 is proved.

Example 1. We set $\sigma(s)=a|s|^{p-1} s$ in Eq. (1.1), where $a \neq 0, p>1$ are real numbers.

1. When $a>0,1<p \leqslant \frac{N+2}{(N-2)^{+}}(p<+\infty)$, obviously, (2.17) holds true, with $\beta=p+1$. So Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) admits a weak solution on $[0, T]$ (for any $T>0$ ) as long as $G^{1 / 2} u_{1} \in L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right), u_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ (see Theorem 2.2).
2. When $a<0,|a|<\frac{p+1}{2}, 1<p \leqslant \frac{N+2}{(N-2)^{+}}(p<+\infty)$, Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) admits a weak solution on [ $0, T$ ] (for any $T>0$ ) as long as $u_{0} \in \tilde{W}_{0}$ (see (2.21)), $G^{1 / 2} u_{1} \in L_{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ such that (2.22) holds true (see Theorem 2.2).
3. When the space dimension $N=1$ and $p>4$, obviously $\sigma \in C^{4}(\mathbf{R})$. Then the weak solution of Cauchy problem (1.3), (1.2) in both cases 1 and 2 can be regularized, the strong solution $u$ is unique and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{4}(\mathbf{R})\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{2}(\mathbf{R})\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; L_{2}(\mathbf{R})\right) \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $u_{0} \in H^{4}(\mathbf{R}), u_{1} \in H^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ (see Theorem 2.3).
4. A simple verification shows that (2.24) is valid, with $\alpha=\frac{p-1}{4}(>0)$. As a result, any weak solution of Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2) blows up in finite time, i.e. (2.27) holds true as long as the initial data $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ satisfy condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ of Theorem 2.4 (see Theorem 2.4).

Example 2. We set $\sigma(s)=a s^{p}$ in Eq. (1.1), where $a \neq 0, p>1$ are real numbers.

1. When $a>0, p$ is an odd integer and $1<p \leqslant \frac{N+2}{(N-2)^{+}}(p<+\infty)$, a simple computation shows that (2.17) holds true, with $\beta=p+1$. So the same conclusion as in the case 1 of Example 1 is valid.
2. When $|a|<\frac{p+1}{2}$ and $1<p \leqslant \frac{N+2}{(N-2)^{+}}(p<+\infty)$, we have the same conclusion as in the case 2 of Example 1 .
3. When the space dimension $N=1, p>4$ or $p$ is an integer, the same conclusion as in the case 3 of Example 1 holds true.
4. Obviously (2.24) holds, with $\alpha=\frac{p-1}{4}(>0)$. And hence, we have the same conclusion as in the case 4 of Example 1.
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