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#### Abstract

Let $J$ consist of all endomorphisms $\psi$ of $S=k\left|x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right|$ whose Jacobian determinant. $\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{C} \psi\left(x_{i}\right) / c x_{j}\right)$, is a non-zero constant. Let $G$ be the group of tame automorphisms of $S$. In this paper we prove thar $G$ is dense in $J$ in the "formal power series topology." In particular. every automorphism of $S$ is a limit of tame automorphisms. We also demonstrate that certain subquotients of $G$ have a natural Lie algebra structure.


## Introduction

Let $N \geqslant 2$ be a fixed positive integer. Let $k$ be any field of characteristic zero and let $S=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right]$. Let $R=\operatorname{End}(S)$ be the set of all endomorphisms of $S$ which leave $k$ fixed. Any $f \in R$ is completely determined by $f\left(x_{i}\right), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$. By identifying $f$ with ( $f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{N}\right)$ ), we may view $R$ as consisting of all $N$-vectors with components in $S . R$ has a ring structure given by componentwise addition and multiplication.

Take each $x_{i}$ to have degree one. Let $P_{n}$ be the $k$-vector space of all $N$. vectors whose components are homogeneous $n$th degree polynomials in $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$. We may write any $f \in R$ as the sum of its homogeneous parts: $f=\sum_{n=0}^{M} f_{(n)}$, where $f_{(n)} \in P_{n}$. The height of $f$ is defined by ht $(f)=$ $\inf \left\{n \mid f_{(n)} \neq 0\right\} ; \operatorname{ht}(0)=\infty$. Let $R_{0}$ denote $\{f \in R \mid \operatorname{ht}(f)>0\} . R_{0}$ is also the kernel of the augmentation $\varepsilon_{0}: R \rightarrow k^{\wedge}$ given by $\varepsilon_{0}(f)=f(0)$. Note that $h t(f) \geqslant n$ iff $f \equiv 0\left(\bmod R_{\mathfrak{0}}^{n}\right)$.

Let $G$ be the group of all tame automorphisms of $S . G$ is generated by the invertible atfine automorphisms, $L=\left\{f^{\prime} \in R \mid f_{(n)}=0\right.$ for $n>1$ and $f^{-1}$ exists $\}$ and by $\left\{f \in R \mid f\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+g\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right), f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i>1\right\}$. Although we view $G$ as a subset of $R$, the group operation on $G$, which is function composition, bears little connection with the commutative multiplication in $R$. When $f, g \in R, g f$ will denote the composition $g \circ f$ and $g \cdot f$ will denote the product in $R$. For scalar or matrix quantities, however, juxtaposition will denote multiplication.

[^0]$R$ is given the $R_{0}$-adic topology. We could also define a metric on $R$ by $d(f, g)=\exp (-\mathrm{ht}(f-g)$, which would induce the same topology. We call this the "formal power series topology." $R$ has this topology when it is viewed as a subset of its $R_{0}$-adic completion, which is isomorphic to $\left(k\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right]\right]\right)^{N} . f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $R$ iff $\operatorname{ht}\left(f_{n}-f\right) \rightarrow \infty$ iff for every $m$ there is an $n_{0}$ such that $f_{n} \equiv f\left(\bmod R_{0}^{m}\right)$ for all $n \geqslant n_{0} . G, L$, and other subsets of $R$ are given the subspace topology.

Henceforth, for any $N$-vector quantity, a subscript of $i$ will designate the $i$ th component. For $f \in R, f_{i}$ denotes $f\left(x_{i}\right)$. In keeping with this, we let $x$ be the vector $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) .\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N}$ are the standard basis vectors of $k^{N}$. Let id be the identity of $G, \operatorname{id}(x)-x$.

Lastly, for $f \in R$, let $f^{\prime}$ denote the Jacobian of $f$, the $N$ by $N$ matrix $\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)$. (If $k$ is not $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}, \partial / \partial x_{j}$ still denotes the unique derivation satisfying $\partial x_{i} / \partial x_{j}=\delta_{i j}$ and $\partial($ constant $) / \partial x_{j}=0$.) If $S=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right]$ is also given the formal power series topology, the function $D: R \rightarrow S$ given by $D(\psi)=\operatorname{det}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$ is continuous.

## Statement of Results

We are ready to state the main theorem of this paper.
Theorem 1. Let $J=\{\psi \in R \mid D(\psi)$ is a non-zero constant $\}$. Then $J$ is closed and $G$ is dense in $J$.

In fact, we will prove a much stronger result. For $\psi \in R_{0}$ define the rank of $\psi$ by $\operatorname{rk}(\psi)=\mathrm{ht}(\psi-\mathrm{id})-1$. An automorphism of rank $n$ agrees with the identity in degrees $\leqslant n$. Let $R_{n}=\left\{\psi \in R_{0} \mid \operatorname{rk}(\psi) \geqslant n\right\}$; this agrees with the old $R_{0}$ for $n=0$. Each $R_{n}$ is closed under composition. Let $G_{n}=G \cap R_{n}$ and $J_{n}=J \cap R_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 0$. Each $G_{n}$ is a subgroup of $G$, and $D(\psi)=1$ for $\psi \in J_{n}$ if $n \geqslant 1$. It is well known that $G \subseteq J$, hence $G_{n} \subseteq J_{n}$.

Let $B \subseteq G_{0}$ be the subgroup generated by $L_{0}=L \cap R_{0}$ and $w$, where $w(x)=x+x_{2}^{2} e_{1}$. Let $A$ be the subgroup $B \cap G_{1}$ and let $A_{n}$ be the $n$th term in the lower central scrics for $A$, starting with $A_{1}=A$. Then we will prove

Theorem 2. $\quad \bar{A}_{n}=J_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$, where $\bar{A}_{n}$ is the closure of $A_{n}$.
Let us first see how our main theorem will be a corollary of this result.
Proof of Theorem 1 from Theorem 2. $k^{*}=k-\{0\}$ is a closed subset of $S=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right]$ in the formal power series topology. Since $D$ is continuous, $J=D^{-1}\left(k^{*}\right)$ is also closed.

Let $\psi \in J$ and let $n>0$ be arbitrary. We wish to find $\theta \in G$ such that $\mathrm{ht}(\psi-\theta) \geqslant n . \psi$ may be written uniquely as $\tau \rho$, where $\tau$ is a translation and
$\rho \in J_{0}$ with $D(\rho)=D(\psi)=c \in k^{*} . \rho_{(1)}$ is invertible because $D\left(\rho_{(1)}\right)=c$; let $\sigma=\rho \rho_{(1)}^{-1}$. Then $\operatorname{rk}(\sigma) \geqslant 1$, so by Theorem 2. we may choose $\phi \in A_{1} \subseteq G$ with $\operatorname{ht}(\phi-\sigma) \geqslant n$. Let $\theta=\tau \phi \rho_{(1)} . \operatorname{Ht}(\theta-\psi)=\operatorname{ht}\left(\phi \rho_{(1)}-\rho\right)=\operatorname{ht}(\phi-\sigma) \geqslant n$, as desired.

The last equality is a special case of the following lemma, of which we will make frequent use.

Lemma 1. If $\mathrm{ht}(\psi-\phi) \geqslant n$, then $\mathrm{ht}(\psi \theta-\phi \theta) \geqslant n$ for any $\theta \in R_{0}$.
Proof. This is clear since $(\psi \theta-\phi \theta)(x)=(\psi-\phi)(\theta(x))$ is a sum of terms of degree $n$ and higher only.

We postpone the proof of Theorem 2 until after we have developed some more theory.

## The Structure of $G_{n} / G_{n+1}$

We prove next a series of lemmas concerning the behavior of functions of rank $n$ under composition. Recall that $\psi \in R_{n-1}$, $\operatorname{rk}(\psi) \geqslant n-1$, $\psi \equiv \mathrm{id}\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n}\right)$, and $\psi \equiv \mathrm{id}+\psi_{(n)}\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n+1}\right)$ are all equivalent for $n>1$.

Lemma 2. Let $m \geqslant 2, n \geqslant 2$. If $\operatorname{rk}(f) \geqslant 1, \operatorname{rk}(g) \geqslant m-1$, and $\operatorname{ht}(h) \geqslant n$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
(g)(f+h) & \equiv g f+h+g_{(m)}^{\prime} h_{(n)} & & \left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n}\right)  \tag{1}\\
(g)(f+h) & \equiv g f+h & & \left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n-1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The $i$ th component of $(g)(f+h)$ may be written as the sum of a series (a finite series because $g$ is a polynomial):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((g)(f(x)+h(x)))_{i} \\
& \quad=g_{i}(f(x)+h(x)) \\
& \quad=g_{i}(f(x))+\sum_{p_{1}=1}^{N} \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial x_{p_{1}}}(f(x)) h_{p_{1}} \\
& \quad+\sum_{j \geqslant 2}\left(\sum_{p_{1}, \ldots p_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial^{j} g_{i}}{\partial x_{p_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{p_{j}}}\right)(f(x)) h_{p_{1}} \cdots h_{p_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h t(h) \geqslant n$ and $f \in R_{0}$, the $j$ th term of this series has height $\geqslant m-j+n j$ for $j \geqslant 2$. For $j \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 2, m-j+n j=m+n+(n-1)(j-1)-1 \geqslant$ $m+n$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g)(f+h)(x) \equiv g(f(x))+g^{\prime}(f(x)) h(x) \quad\left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\operatorname{rk}(g) \geqslant m-1$ and $\operatorname{ht}(h) \geqslant n$,

$$
g^{\prime}(f(x)) h(x)=\sum_{s \geqslant n}^{-}\left(h_{(s)}(x)+\sum_{t \geqslant m} g_{(i)}^{\prime}(f(x)) h_{(s)}(x)\right) .
$$

The term $g_{(0)}^{\prime}(f(x)) h_{(s)}(x)$ has height $\geqslant t-1+s$, which is smaller than $m+n$ only when $t=m$ and $s=n$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(f(x)) h(x) & \equiv \sum_{s \geqslant n} h_{(s)}(x)+g_{(m)}^{\prime}(f(x)) h_{(n)}(x) \\
& \equiv h(x)+g_{(m)}^{\prime}(x) h_{(n)}(x) \quad\left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this into (3) gives the desired result (1). Equation (2) then follows from (1) by observing that $g_{(m)}^{\prime} h_{(n)}$ has degree $m+n-1$.

Lemma 3. (a) If $\operatorname{rk}(\psi) \geqslant n-1 \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{rk}(\phi) \geqslant m-1 \geqslant 1$, then

$$
\phi \psi \equiv \psi \phi \equiv \psi+\phi-\mathrm{id} \quad\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n+m-1}\right) .
$$

(b) If $\phi \in G_{1}$ and $\psi \equiv \phi\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\phi^{-1} \psi \equiv \psi \phi^{-1} \equiv \psi-\phi+\mathrm{id} \quad\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n+1}\right)
$$

Proof. (a) Apply Lemma 2 with $g=\phi, f=\mathrm{id}$, and $h=\psi$-id. Then $\phi \psi \equiv g+h=\phi+\psi-\mathrm{id}\left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n-1}\right)$. A symmetrical argument works for $\psi \phi$.
(b) By Lemma 1, $\psi \equiv \phi\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n}\right)$ yields $\psi \phi^{-1} \equiv \mathrm{id}\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n}\right)$. By part (a) we get $\psi=\left(\psi \phi^{-1}\right) \phi \equiv \psi \phi^{-1}+\phi-\mathrm{id}\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n+1}\right)$. Solve this for $\psi \phi^{-1}$. For $\phi^{-1} \psi$, let $g=\phi^{-1}, f=\phi$, and $h=\psi-\phi$ in Lemma 2. We get $\phi^{-1} \psi=$ $\phi^{-1}(\phi+(\psi-\phi)) \equiv \phi^{-1} \phi+(\psi-\phi)=\mathrm{id}+\psi-\phi\left(\bmod R_{0}^{n+1}\right)$.

Lemma 4. Suppose $\psi \in G_{m}, \phi \in G_{n}$ for $m \geqslant 1, n \geqslant 1$, and let $\theta=\psi^{-1} \phi^{-1} \psi \phi$. Then $\theta \in G_{m+n}$ and $\theta_{(m+n+1)}=\psi_{(m+1)}^{\prime} \phi_{(n+1)}-\phi_{(n+1)}^{\prime} \psi_{(m+1)}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha=\psi_{(m+1)}, \beta=\phi_{(n+1)}$. Setting $g=\psi, f=\mathrm{id}$, and $h=\psi$-id in lemma 2 gives $\psi \phi \equiv \psi+\phi-\mathrm{id}+\alpha^{\prime} \beta\left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n+2}\right)$. Switching $\psi$ and $\phi$ gives $\phi \psi \equiv \psi+\phi-\mathrm{id}+\beta^{\prime} \alpha\left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n+2}\right)$. By Lemma $3(\mathrm{~b})$ we obtain $\theta=$ $(\phi \psi)^{-1}(\psi \phi) \equiv \mathrm{id}+\alpha^{\prime} \beta-\beta^{\prime} \alpha\left(\bmod R_{0}^{m+n+2}\right)$, which is equivalent to the desired result.

This lemma shows that there is a strong connection between the lower central series for $G_{1}$ and the groups $G_{n}=G_{1} \cap R_{n}$. We are particularly interested in this connection for the subgroup $A \subseteq G_{1}$. Recall that $A_{n}$ is defined to be the $n$th term in the lower central series for $A$, i.e., $A_{1}=A$ and $A_{n}=\left[A, A_{n-1}\right]$.

Lemma 5. $\quad A_{n} \subseteq G_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$.
Proof. By induction on $n . f \in A=A_{1}$ implies $f \in G_{1} . A_{n}$ is generated by $\left\{f^{-1} g^{-1} f g \mid f \in A, g \in A_{n-1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{f^{-1} g^{-1} f g \mid f \in G_{1}, g \in G_{n-1}\right\} \subseteq G_{n}$.

Since $G_{n} \subseteq J_{n}$, one corollary of Lemma 5 is that $A_{n} \subseteq J_{n}$. This is a step toward our goal (Theorem 2) of showing that $\bar{A}_{n}=J_{n}$.

Lemma 6. Let $f \in G_{n}, n \geqslant 1$, and let $c \in k$. There exists $g \in G_{n}$ such that $g_{(n+1)}=c f_{(n+1)}$.

Proof. Let $K=\left\{c \in k \mid \forall f \in G_{n}, \exists g \in G_{n}\right.$ such that $\left.g_{(n+1)}=c f_{(n+1)}\right\}$. Taking $g=$ id gives $0 \in K$. If $g, h \in G_{n}$ and $g_{(n+1)}=c f_{(n+1)}$ and $h_{(n+1)}=$ $d f_{(n+1)}$, then by Lemma $3(\mathrm{~b}),\left(g h^{-1}\right)_{(n+1)}=(c-d) f_{(n+1)}$, so $K$ is an abelian subgroup of $k . K$ is closed under multiplication and $1 \in K$, so $K$ is a subring of $k$ and $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq K$. If $\lambda_{c}$ denotes multiplication by $c$ (i.e., $\left.\lambda_{c}(x)-c x\right)$, then $\lambda_{c-1} f \lambda_{c} \in G_{n}$ for $f \in G_{n} .\left(\lambda_{c^{-1}} f \lambda_{c}\right)_{(n+1)}=c^{n} f_{(n+1)}$, so $K$ contains all $n$th powers of elements of $k$. Since $\operatorname{char}(k)=0, \mathbb{Q} \subseteq k$, so for any rational $r / s$, $r / s=\left(r s^{n-1}\right)\left(s^{-1}\right)^{n} \in K$, i.e., $\mathbb{Q} \leq K$. Finally, note that any $c \in k$ may be written as a linear combination of $c^{n},(c+1)^{n}, \ldots,(c+n)^{n}$ with rational coefficients, hence $K=k$.

Proposition 1. Let $H_{n}=\left\{f_{(n+1)} \mid f \in G_{n}\right\}$. Then $H_{n}$ is a $k$-module and is isomorphic as an abelian group to $G_{n} / G_{n+1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, $G_{n+1}$ contains all commutators of elements of $G_{n}$, so $G_{n} / G_{n+1}$ is abelian. By lemma 3(b) it is clear that the correspondence $\Phi: G_{n} / G_{n+1} \rightarrow H_{n}$, given by $\Phi(\bar{f})=f_{(n+1)}$, is well-defined, one-to-one, and onto. Also by Lemma 3, $\Phi$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups. By Lemma $6, H_{n}$ is closed under scalar multiplication and it is trivial to check the axioms for a vector space. Indeed, $H_{n}$ is a submodule of $P_{n+1}$.

Lemma 4 suggests that a much stronger structure exists on the $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$. A connected graded Lie algebra over $k$ is a collection $F=\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ of $k$ modules, together with a bilinear operation $[]:, F_{m} \otimes_{k} F_{n} \rightarrow F_{m+n}$ which satisfies the Jacobi identities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[f, g]=-[g, f]}  \tag{4}\\
{[[f, g], h]+[[g, h], f]+[[h, f], g]=0 .} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proposition 2. For $\alpha \in H_{n}, \beta \in H_{m}$, define $[\alpha, \beta]=\alpha^{\prime} \beta-\beta^{\prime} \alpha$. Then [, ] makes $H=\left\{H_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ into a connected graded Lie algebra over $k$.

Proof. [,] is bilinear, the dimensions work, and (4) holds. To check (5), let $\alpha \in H_{n}, \beta \in H_{m}, \gamma \in H_{p}$. Observe that $\left(\alpha^{\prime} \beta\right)^{\prime} \gamma=\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\beta, \gamma)+\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \gamma$, where $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\beta, \gamma)_{i}=\sum_{s-1}^{N} \sum_{t-1}^{N}\left(\partial^{2} \alpha_{i} / \partial x_{s} \partial x_{t}\right) \beta_{s} \gamma_{t}=\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\gamma, \beta)_{i}$. Hence $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\beta, \gamma)=$ $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\gamma, \beta)$. Likewise for $\left(\beta^{\prime} \gamma\right)^{\prime} \alpha$, etc. With this simplifying tool we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[[\alpha, \beta],} & \gamma]+[[\beta, \gamma], \alpha]+[[\gamma, \alpha], \beta] \\
= & \alpha^{\prime \prime}(\beta, \gamma)+\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \gamma-\beta^{\prime \prime}(\alpha, \gamma)-\beta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \gamma-\gamma^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \beta+\gamma^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \alpha \\
& +\beta^{\prime \prime}(\gamma, \alpha)+\beta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \alpha-\gamma^{\prime \prime}(\beta, \alpha)-\gamma^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \alpha-\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \gamma+\alpha^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \beta \\
& +\gamma^{\prime \prime}(\alpha, \beta)+\gamma^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \beta-\alpha^{\prime \prime}(\gamma, \beta)-\alpha^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \beta-\beta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \alpha+\beta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \gamma=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3. Define a k-module structure on $G_{n} / G_{n+1}$ by $c \cdot \bar{f}=$ $\Phi^{-1}(c \cdot \Phi(\bar{f}))$, where $\Phi: G_{n} / G_{n+1} \rightarrow H_{n}$ is the isomorphism of Proposition 1. Define [,]: $\left(G_{n} / G_{n+1}\right) \times\left(G_{m} / G_{m+1}\right) \rightarrow\left(G_{m+n} / G_{m+n+1}\right)$ by $[\bar{f}, \bar{g}]=\overline{f^{-1} g^{-1} f g}$. Then $\left\{G_{n} / G_{n+1}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a connected graded Lie algebra over $k$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 1, Proposition 2, and Lemma 4.

## A Basis for $H_{n}$

We now return to the proof of Theorem 2. Briefly, our plan is as follows. Because each $H_{n}=\left\{f_{(n+1)} \mid f \in G_{n}\right\}$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $k$, it is possible to write down an explicit basis. Using this basis we will show that we get the same vector space when $G_{n}$ is replaced by $J_{n}$ or by $A_{n}$. This will lead naturally to a proof of Theorem 2 . The reader is warned that, because of the explicit calculational nature of these results, their demonstrations are rather technical and some straightforward but lengthy computations have been omitted.

For $n \geqslant 2$, let $V_{n}=\left\{\psi_{(n)} \mid \psi \in J_{n-1}\right\}$. Then $H_{n-1} \subseteq V_{n}$. Let $W_{n}=$ $\left\{\alpha \in P_{n} \mid \nabla \alpha=0\right\}$, where $\nabla \alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial \alpha_{i} / \partial x_{i}$.

Proposition 3. For $n \geqslant 2, V_{n} \subseteq W_{n}$.
Proof. Of course $V_{n} \subseteq P_{n}$. For $\psi \in J_{n-1}, D(\psi)=1$, so in particular, the homogeneous degree $n-1$ part of the polynomial $\operatorname{det}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$ is zero. We see that this is just $\nabla \psi_{(n)}$. Every entry of $\psi^{\prime}-I$ has height $\geqslant n-1$. The only term contributing to the determinant in degrees smaller than $2(n-1)$ is the product of the entries on the main diagonal. In degree $n-1$, this product contributes precisely $\nabla \psi_{(n)}$.

Let $T_{n}=\left\{a-\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)\right\}$ each $a_{i}$ is a non-negative integer and $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}=n\right\}$. For $a \in T_{n}$, let $x^{a}$ denote the monomial $x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{N}^{a_{\nu}}$.

Lemma 7. Let $n \geqslant 2$. A basis for $W_{n}$ is $Y_{n}=\left\{x^{a} e_{i} \mid a \in T_{n}, a_{i}=0\right\} \cup$ $\left\{b_{i}(a) \mid a \in T_{n-1}, 1<i \leqslant N\right\}, \quad$ where $\quad b_{i}(a)=-\left(a_{i}+1\right) x^{a+e_{1}} e_{1}+$ $\left(a_{1}+1\right) x^{a+e_{i}} e_{i}$.

Proof. This set is linearly independent. To see this, note that the
monomials $\left\{x^{a} e_{i} \mid a \in T_{n}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N\right\}$ are independent in $P_{n}$ and that each of these monomials appears in exactly one element of $Y_{n}$. Thus no non-trivial combination of the $Y_{n}$ may be zero without also contradicting the independence of $\left\{x^{a} e_{i}\right\}$.

It is also an easy check that $Y_{n} \subseteq W_{n}$, so it suffices to show that $Y_{n}$ spans $W_{n}$.

Let $\alpha \in W_{n}$. For each $i$, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i} & =\sum_{\substack{a \in T_{n} \\
a_{i}=0}} c_{a, i} x^{a}+\sum_{a \in T_{n-1}} d_{a . i} x^{a+e_{i}} . \\
\frac{\partial \alpha_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} & =\sum_{a \in T_{n-1}} d_{a, i}\left(a_{i}+1\right) x^{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\nabla \alpha=0$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{a, i}\left(a_{i}+1\right)=0$ for each $a \in T_{n-1}$. Solving for $d_{a, 1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a, 1}=-\sum_{i=2}^{N}\left(a_{1}+1\right)^{-1} d_{a, i}\left(a_{i}+1\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\substack{a \in T_{n} \\
a_{i}=0}} c_{a, i} x^{a} e_{i} \\
& +\sum_{i=2}^{N} \sum_{a \in T_{n-1}}\left(a_{1}+1\right)^{-1} d_{a, i} b_{i}(a) \in \operatorname{Span}\left(Y_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We assert that $\alpha=\beta$. First note that $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}$ for $i>1$. As to the first components,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1} & =\sum_{\substack{a \in T_{n} \\
a_{1}=0}} c_{a, 1} x^{a}-\sum_{i=2}^{N} \sum_{a \in T_{n-1}}\left(a_{1}+1\right)^{-1} d_{a, i}\left(a_{i}+1\right) x^{a+e_{i}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{a \in T_{n} \\
a_{1}=0}} c_{a, 1} x^{a}+\sum_{a \in T_{n-1}}\left(-\sum_{i=2}^{N}\left(a_{1}+1\right)^{-1} d_{a, i}\left(a_{i}+1\right)\right) x^{a+e_{1}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{a \in T_{n} \\
a_{1}=0}} c_{a, 1} x^{a}+\sum_{a \in T_{n-1}} d_{a, 1} x^{a+e_{1}}=\alpha_{1} \quad(b y(6))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\alpha=\beta \in \operatorname{Span}\left(Y_{n}\right)$.

Recall that the subgroup $A$ of $G$ is defined by $A=B \cap G_{1}$, where $B$ is generated by $w(x)=x+x_{2}^{2} e_{1}$ and the linear automorphisms $L_{0} . A$ is closed under conjugation by elements of $L_{0}$. To certain automorphisms we give specific names. For $i \neq j, v_{i j}(x)=x+x_{j} e_{i}$, i.e., $v_{i j}$ adds the $j$ th component to the $i$ th. For $i \neq j, \tau_{i j}$ switches coordinates $i$ and $j . \lambda_{c}$ is scalar multiplication by $c$. Last, let $w_{i j}(x)=x+x_{j}^{2} e_{i}$ for $i \neq j$. Since $w=w_{12} \in A$ and we may conjugate by any $\tau_{i j}$, any $w_{i j} \in A$.

For $n \geqslant 2$, let $U_{n}=\left\{\psi_{(n)} \mid \psi \in A_{n-1}\right\}$. We have already observed that this is a subset of $H_{n-1}$ and hence a subset of $V_{n}$.

Proposition 4. $W_{2}=V_{2}=U_{2}$.
Proof. Since $U_{2} \subseteq V_{2} \subseteq W_{2}$, it is enough to show that $W_{2} \subseteq U_{2}$. As in the prool of Lemma $6,\left(\lambda_{c^{-1}} \psi \lambda_{c} \phi\right)_{(2)}=c \psi_{(2)}+\phi_{(2)}$ for $\psi, \phi \in A$ and $c \in k^{*}$, so $U_{2}$ is a vector space over $k$. It suffices to show that $U_{2}$ contains the basis $Y_{2} . Y_{2}$ may be divided into the five subsets $\left\{x_{i}^{2} e_{m} \mid m \neq i\right\} ;\left\{x_{i} x_{j} e_{m} \mid i \neq\right.$ $j \neq m \neq i\} ;\left\{b_{i}\left(e_{1}\right) \mid i>1\right\} ;\left\{b_{i}\left(e_{i}\right) \mid i>1\right\} ;$ and $\left\{b_{i}\left(e_{j}\right) \mid 1<j \neq i>1\right\}$. We do a case by case consideration. For $i \neq j$, let $\mu_{i j}=\lambda_{2} w_{i j}^{2} v_{i j} w_{j i}^{-1} v_{i j}^{-2} w_{j i} v_{i j} \lambda_{1 / 2}$. $\mu_{i j} \in A$ and $\mu_{i j}(x) \equiv x-x_{i}^{2} e_{i}+2 x_{i} x_{j} e_{j}\left(\bmod R_{0}^{3}\right)$.

Case 1. We have already seen that $w_{m i} \in A$.
Case 2. $\left(\lambda_{2} \nu_{m j}^{-1} w_{m i}^{-1} v_{i j}^{-1} w_{m i} v_{i j} \lambda_{1 / 2}\right)(x)=x+x_{i} x_{j} e_{m}$.
Case 3. $\mu_{1 i}(x) \equiv x+b_{i}\left(e_{1}\right)\left(\bmod R_{0}^{3}\right)$.
Case 4. $\mu_{i 1}^{-1}(x) \equiv x+b_{i}\left(e_{i}\right)\left(\bmod R_{0}^{3}\right)$.
Case 5. $\quad\left(\lambda_{2} \mu_{j 1}^{-1} \mu_{j i} \lambda_{1 / 2}\right)(x) \equiv x+b_{i}\left(e_{j}\right)\left(\bmod R_{0}^{3}\right)$.

Proposition 5. $W_{n}=V_{n}=U_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 2$.
Proof. Since $U_{n} \subseteq V_{n} \subseteq W_{n}$, it is enough to show that $W_{n} \subseteq U_{n}$. We proceed by induction on $n$. Assuming that $W_{n}=U_{n}$, we show that $W_{n+1} \subseteq$ $U_{n+1}=\left\{\psi_{(n+1)} \mid \psi \in\left[A, A_{n-1}\right]\right\}$. By Lemma 4 and Proposition 4, it suffices to show that any $\theta \in W_{n+1}$ is a sum of the expressions $[\alpha, \beta]=\alpha^{\prime} \beta-\beta^{\prime} \alpha$ for $\alpha \in W_{2}$ and $\beta \in W_{n}$. The set of finite sums of $[\alpha, \beta]$ for $\alpha \in W_{2}, \beta \in W_{n}$, is a $k$-module because $W_{2}$ is. It is consequently enough to demonstrate that the basis elements $Y_{n+1}$ may be written in this form. Again we do a case-by-case consideration.

The basis elements $x^{a} e_{i}$ for $a \in T_{n+1}, a_{i}=0$, are covered by one of the following:

Case 1. $i=1$. Pick $j$ such that $a_{j}>0$. Then $\left[b_{j}\left(e_{j}\right), x^{a-e_{j}} e_{1}\right]=$ $\left(a_{j}+1\right) x^{a} e_{1}$.

Case 2. $i>1$ and $a_{1}>0$. Then $\left[b_{i}\left(e_{1}\right), x^{a-e_{i}} e_{i}\right]=\left(a_{1}+1\right) x^{a} e_{i}$.

Case 3. $i>1$ and $a_{1}=0$. Pick $j$ such that $a_{j}>0$. Then $\left[b_{i}\left(e_{j}\right), x^{a-e_{i}} e_{i}\right]=$ $x^{a} e_{i}$.

The basis elements $b_{i}(a), a \in T_{n}, i>1$, are covered by one or more of the following:

Case 4. $\quad a_{1}=0$. Then $\left[b_{i}\left(e_{1}\right), x^{a} e_{1}\right]=2 b_{i}(a)$.
Case 5. $\quad a_{i}=0$. Then $\left[b_{i}\left(e_{i}\right), x^{a} e_{i}\right]=2 b_{i}(a)$.
Case 6. $\quad a_{1}>0 \quad$ and $\quad a_{1} \neq 2 a_{i}+2$. Then $\quad\left[b_{i}\left(e_{1}\right), b_{i}\left(a-e_{1}\right)\right]=$ $\left(a_{i}-2 a_{i}-2\right) b_{i}(a)$.

Case 7. $a_{i}>0 \quad$ and $\quad a_{i} \neq 2 a_{1}+2$. Then $\quad\left[b_{i}\left(e_{i}\right), b_{i}\left(a-e_{i}\right)\right]=$ $\left(2 a_{1}-a_{i}+2\right) b_{i}(a)$.

We are at last able to complete the proof of the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 2. $J_{n}=R_{n} \cap D^{-1}(1)$ is closed and $A_{n} \subseteq J_{n}$, so it is enough to show that $J_{n} \subseteq \bar{A}_{n}$.

Given $\psi \in J_{m}$ and $M>m$, we must find $\phi \in A_{m}$ with $\operatorname{ht}(\psi-\phi)>M$. Use induction on $M-m$. If $M-m=1$, this is the claim that $\psi_{(m+1)}$ occurs among $\left\{\phi_{(m+1)} \mid \phi \in A_{m}\right\}$, which is just what Proposition 5 tells us. Suppose the result is true when $M-m=j$ and let $M=m+j+1$. Use the inductive assumption to choose $\theta \in A_{m}$ with $\operatorname{ht}(\psi-\theta) \geqslant M$. By Lemma I. $\mathrm{ht}\left(\psi \theta^{-1}-\mathrm{id}\right) \geqslant M$, i.e. $r k\left(\psi \theta^{-1}\right) \geqslant M-1 . \psi \theta^{-1} \in J_{M-1}$ so by Proposition 5 there is some $\sigma \in A_{M-1} \subseteq A_{m}$ with $\sigma_{(M)}=\left(\psi \theta^{-1}\right)_{(M)} . \operatorname{Ht}\left(\psi \theta^{-1}-\sigma\right)>M$ and ht $(\psi-\sigma \theta)>M$ with $\sigma \theta \in A_{m}$, as desired.

## Conclusion

We have shown that any element of $J$ is a limit of elements of $G$. In fact we have shown much more, namely, that $J$ is the closure of a rather sparsely generated subgroup of $G$.

The main theorem enables us to recast two major outstanding problems in ring theory into topological terms. The tame automorphism problem, i.e., whether all automorphisms of $S$ are tame, becomes the question of whether or not $G$ is closed in $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$. The Jacobian determinant problem, i.e., whether $D(\psi)=c \in k^{*}$ implies $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(S)$, becomes the question of whether or not limits of invertible endomorphisms are invertible.

A few words are in order concerning the subgroup $A$ of $G_{1}$. Is $A$ a proper subgroup of $G_{1}$ ? If not, we can hardly justify using $A_{n}$ in Theorem 2 instead of the conceptually simpler $G_{n}$. When $N=2$ we have a canonical way of writing any element of $G_{1}$ as a product of "shear" automorphisms and $\tau_{12}{ }^{\text {" }}$ s [6], and this decomposition shows that $A$ is indeed much smaller than $G_{1}$.

For $N=2, A$ consists of all automorphisms of rank one such that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ have (highest) degrees which are powers of two. However, no such decomposition is known for $N>2$ and, at this writing, it is unknown whether $A=G_{\mathrm{I}}$ for $N>2$. Determining which automorphisms belong to $A$ seems to be as hard as the tame automorphism problem itself. The case $N=2$ illustrates that it is possible for the closure of a group of automorphisms to be strictly larger than the group itself. It also shows that very little of the full "strength" of $G$ is needed in order to approximate any element of $J$.
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