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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the grow-up rate of solutions for the heat equation with a sublinear source. We find that if the initial value grows fast enough, then it plays a major role in the growing up of solutions, while if the initial value grows slowly, then the sublinear source prevails. As a direct application of these results, we show that the effect of the sublinear source is negligible in the asymptotic behavior of solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if the initial value grows fast enough. MSC: 35K55; 35B40
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## 1 Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem of the heat equation with the source

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\Delta u=u^{p}, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{1.2}
\end{array}
$$

Here $p>0, N \geq 1$, and $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right) \equiv\left\{\varphi: \rho_{\sigma} \varphi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$ with $\rho_{\sigma}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$.
After the famous work [1], this problem has been widely studied by several authors. It is well known that any positive solutions blow up in finite time if $1<p \leq p_{F} \equiv 1+\frac{2}{N}[1-3]$, while positive global solutions exist if $p>p_{F}[1,4]$. Let

$$
p_{c}= \begin{cases}\frac{(N-2)^{2}-4 N+8 \sqrt{N-1}}{(N-2)(N-10)}, & \text { if } N>10 \\ \infty, & \text { if } 1 \leq N \leq 10\end{cases}
$$

If $p \geq p_{c}$, the existence of growing up global solutions, the solutions $u(x, t)$ exist for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty)$ and $u(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in some senses, has been established by Poláčik and Yanagida [5, 6]. If $p>p_{c}$ and the initial data $u_{0}$ satisfy some conditions, Fila, Winkler and Yanagida [7] in 2004 precisely evaluated the grow-up rate of solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and they found that for large $t$ and some $\ell>0$, the solution $u(x, t)$ satisfies

$$
C_{1} t^{\ell} \leq\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C_{2} t^{\ell}
$$

see also [8]. For the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem of (1.1), the existence of growing up global solutions and the grow-up rate of solutions has been investigated by Dold, Galaktionov,

[^0]Lacey and Vázquez in [9], Galaktionov and King in [10]. If $p>1+\frac{2}{N}$, there are also a lot of papers which intensely investigate the solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) converging to zero at different algebraic rates [11-16].
For the sublinear case ( $0<p<1$ in (1.1)), it was Aguirre and Escobedo [17] who first proved that if $0<\sigma<\infty$, and the initial value $u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
0 \leq u_{0}(x) \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)
$$

then the solutions $u(x, t)$ of (1.1)-(1.2) are global.
Our interest in this paper is to investigate the grow-up rate of solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) with a sublinear source. We first show that if the initial value $u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{-\sigma} u_{0}(x)=A \quad \text { for some } A>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solutions of $(1.1)-(1.2)(0<p<1)$ are growing up solutions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} t^{\frac{\ell_{1}}{2}} \leq\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)} \leq C_{2} t^{\frac{\ell_{2}}{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $t$. Here $\ell_{1}=\ell_{2}=\sigma$ if $\sigma>\frac{2}{1-p}$, and $\ell_{1}=\frac{2}{1-p}<\ell_{2} \leq \frac{2}{1-p}+\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon>0$ if $0<\sigma \leq \frac{2}{1-p}$. Moreover, as an application of these results, we get that if $\frac{2}{1-p}<\sigma<\infty$ and the initial value $u_{0}$ satisfies (1.3), (1.4), then the effect of the sublinear source is negligible in the asymptotic behavior of solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$. While for $\sigma=\frac{2}{1-p}$, Aguirre and Escobedo [17] revealed that the effect of the sublinear source cannot be negligible in the asymptotic behavior of the solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For the absorption case ( $u^{p}$ is replaced by $-u^{p}$ in (1.1)) and the supercritical case ( $p>1+\frac{2}{N}$ in (1.1)), some similar results about the asymptotic behavior of solutions for these problems were established by a lot of papers, see [18-20].
The paper is organized as follows. The next section is devoted to giving the grow-up rate for the solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $0<p<1$. In Section 3, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

## 2 Growth-up rate of solutions

We take $0<p<1$ in the rest of this paper. For any $0<\sigma<\infty$, we define a weighted $L^{\infty}$ space as

$$
L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right) \equiv\left\{\varphi(x) ; \rho_{\sigma} \varphi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)}=\left\|\rho_{\sigma} \varphi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$, where $\rho_{\sigma}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$. If $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq u_{0} \leq$ $C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$, then there exist two subsolutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \rightarrow S(t) u_{0}(x)=(4 \pi t)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \exp ^{\left(-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}\right)} u_{0}(y) \mathrm{d} y \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \rightarrow((1-p) t)^{1 /(p-1)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a similar method as in [21] (see the Appendix), we can get that there exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq S(t) u_{0}(x) \leq C_{2}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, those two growing up effects given by (2.1) and (2.2) can be compared as $t \rightarrow \infty$. When $0<\sigma<\frac{2}{1-p}$, the one given by (2.2) prevails; when $\frac{2}{1-p}<\sigma<\infty$, the one given by (2.1) prevails; and they coincide in the critical case $\sigma=\frac{2}{1-p}$.

Inspired by the above discussions, in this paper we first study the grow-up rate of solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2). The mild solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=S(t) u_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u^{p}(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the initial value $0 \not \equiv u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)$, the existence and uniqueness of a mild solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has been given in [17].

Lemma 2.1 ([17]) Suppose $0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)$ and $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$, then there exists a unique mild global solution $u$ for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $0<p<1$ such that
I. $u \in C^{\infty}\left((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left((0, \infty) ; L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)\right)$;
II. $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t)=u_{0}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Moreover, if $u_{0} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Our results about the grow-up rate of solutions are the following two theorems.
Theorem 2.1 Let $0<p<1, A>0$ and $\frac{2}{1-p}<\sigma<\infty$. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{-\sigma} u_{0}(x)=A . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist constants $T, C_{1}, C_{2}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)} \leq C_{2} t^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \quad \text { for } t>T \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u(x, t)$ is the solution of (1.1)-(1.2).

Proof The hypothesis (2.6) clearly implies that there exists a constant $R>0$ such that if $|x| \geq R$, then

$$
\frac{A}{2}|x|^{\sigma} \leq u_{0}(x) \leq 2 A|x|^{\sigma} .
$$

So,

$$
u_{0}(x) \geq \frac{A}{2}|x|^{\sigma}-\frac{A}{2} R^{\sigma} .
$$

From the property of the heat semigroup, we have

$$
S(t) u_{0}(x) \geq S(t) \varphi(x)-\frac{A}{2} R^{\sigma},
$$

where $\varphi(x)=\frac{A}{2}|x|^{\sigma}$. Using a similar method as [21] (see (A.5)), we obtain that there exists a constant $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
S(\tau) \varphi(x) \geq C_{0}\left(\tau+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} .
$$

So, for $\tau=1+2\left(\frac{A}{2 C_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{\sigma}} R^{2}$, there exists a constant $C>0$ (depending on $A$ and $\sigma$ ) such that

$$
S(\tau) u_{0}(x) \geq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} .
$$

It follows from the comparison principle that

$$
u(x, \tau) \geq S(\tau) u_{0}(x) \geq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}
$$

From $0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)$ and I of Lemma 2.1, we obtain that there exists a constant $C>0$ (depending on $\tau$ ) such that

$$
u(x, t)\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq \sup _{0 \leq s \leq \tau}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)} \leq C \quad \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq \tau .
$$

Therefore,

$$
u(x, t) \leq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq \tau
$$

So, from (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq S(t)[u(\tau)](x) \leq C_{2}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are positive constants depending on $A, \sigma$ and $\tau$. The hypothesis $\frac{2}{1-p}<$ $\sigma<\infty$ indicates that

$$
\sigma(1-p)-2>0 .
$$

Let

$$
a(t)=\left[\left(1+C_{1}^{p-1}(1-p) \int_{0}^{t}(1+s)^{\frac{\sigma(p-1)}{2}} \mathrm{~d} s\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-p}}
$$

So,

$$
\eta \equiv C_{1}^{p-1}(1-p) \int_{0}^{\infty}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma(p-1)}{2}} \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{2 C_{1}^{p-1}(1-p)}{\sigma(1-p)-2}>0 .
$$

Therefore, $a(t)$ is an increasing function satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a(0)=1  \tag{2.9}\\
a(t) \leq(1+\eta)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{\prime}(t) & =C_{1}^{p-1} a(t)^{p}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma(p-1)}{2}} \\
& =a(t)^{p}\left[C_{1}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\right]^{p-1} \geq a(t)^{p}[S(t) u(\tau)(x)]^{p-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $w(x, t)=S(t) u(\tau)(x)$, and assume that

$$
\bar{w}(x, t)=a(t) w(x, t) .
$$

So, from (2.10), one can verify that $\bar{w}(x, t)$ is a supersolution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\Delta v=v^{p}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty) \\
& v(x, 0)=\bar{w}(x, 0)=u(x, \tau), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.8), (2.9) and the comparison principle, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} & \leq w(x, t) \leq v(x, t) \leq \bar{w}(x, t) \\
& =a(t) w(x, t) \leq(1+\eta)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} w(x, t) \leq C_{2}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that

$$
C_{1}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq u(x, t+\tau) \leq C_{2}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \quad \text { for } t>\tau
$$

Let $T=2 \tau+1$. So, there exist two constants, which we still write as $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq u(x, t) \leq C_{2}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \quad \text { for } t>T . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, we get (2.7) easily. So we complete the proof of this theorem.

In the following theorem, we consider the grow-up rate for the solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) when the nonnegative initial value $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)$ with $0<\sigma \leq \frac{2}{1-p}$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $0<p<1$, and assume that $0<\sigma \leq \frac{2}{1-p}$. If the initial value $u_{0}$ satisfies (2.5) and (2.6), then for any $\epsilon>0$, there exist constants $C_{1}, C_{2}, T>0$ such that

$$
C_{1} t^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \leq\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)} \leq C_{2} t^{\frac{1}{1-p}+\epsilon} \quad \text { for } t>T .
$$

Here $u(x, t)$ is also the solution of (1.1)-(1.2).

Proof Using the same method as the proof of (2.8), we can get if $0<\sigma \leq \frac{2}{1-p}$, then there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}, T_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\left(t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq S(t) u_{0}(x) \leq C_{2}\left(t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \quad \text { for } t>T_{1} \text { and } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by the comparison principle, we can get that there exists a constant $\tau>T_{1}$ satisfying

$$
u(x, \tau) \geq S(\tau) u_{0}(x) \geq C_{1}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}
$$

We first consider the case of $N>1$. Let

$$
B=(1-p)^{-1} C_{1}^{1-p} \quad \text { and } \quad \underline{w}(x, t)=(1-p)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\left(B\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma(1-p)}{2}}+t\right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} .
$$

So, $\underline{w}$ is a subsolution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\Delta v=v^{p}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{2.13}\\
& v(x, 0)=u(x, \tau), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used the facts that $\underline{w}(x, 0)=C_{1}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq u(x, \tau)$ and $N>1$. Therefore, by the comparison principle, for $t>0$, there exists a constant $C$ satisfying

$$
C\left(\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma(1-p)}{2}}+t\right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \leq \underline{w}(x, t) \leq u(x, t+\tau) .
$$

From this, we can get that there exist $C, T>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(1+t)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \leq\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)} \quad \text { for } t>T \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider the case of $N=1$. Let

$$
C_{3}=\min \left(C_{1},(2 \sigma)^{-\frac{1}{1-p}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B_{1}=(1-p)^{-1} C_{3}^{1-p} .
$$

Then, we define the function

$$
\underline{w_{1}}(x, t)=(1-p)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\left(B_{1}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma(1-p)}{2}}+\frac{1}{2} t\right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}
$$

Therefore, $\underline{w}_{1}$ is also a subsolution of the problem (2.13). In fact,

$$
\frac{\partial \underline{w_{1}}}{\partial t}-{\underline{w_{1}}}^{p}=-\frac{1}{2}(1-p)^{\frac{p}{1-p}}\left(B_{1}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma(1-p)}{2}}+\frac{1}{2} t\right)^{\frac{p}{1-p}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{w_{1}}}{\partial x^{2}} \geq-(1-p)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} B_{1} \sigma\left(B_{1}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma(1-p)}{2}}+\frac{1}{2} t\right)^{\frac{p}{1-p}}
$$

SO,

$$
\frac{\partial \underline{w_{1}}}{\partial t}-\underline{w}_{1}^{p}-\frac{\partial^{2} \underline{w_{1}}}{\partial x^{2}} \leq 0
$$

Using the same method as above, we can get that (2.14) holds for $N=1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $t>T$ in the rest of this proof. From the definition of the mild solutions with (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) & =S(t) u_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u^{p}(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq C\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left[\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(x, s)\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\right]^{p} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq C\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+C \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)}^{p} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+|x|^{2}+(t-s)\right)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq C\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+C \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)}^{p}\left(1+|x|^{2}+t\right)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}} t \\
& \leq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+C \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)}^{p}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}+1} \\
& \leq C\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+C \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)}^{p}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used $0<p<1$ and Lemma A.1, see the Appendix. The assumption $0<\sigma \leq$ $\frac{2}{1-p}$ implies that

$$
\frac{\sigma}{2} \leq \frac{\sigma p}{2}+1
$$

Therefore

$$
(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}+1} .
$$

By (2.14), we deduce that there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
u(x, t) \leq C \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)}^{p}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}+1} .
$$

This implies that

$$
\sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)} \leq C(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2(1-p)}+\frac{1}{1-p}} .
$$

Using the integral expression (2.4) again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) & \leq C\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+C \sup _{0 \leq s \leq t}\|u(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)}^{p} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+t-s+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq C\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+C(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p^{2}}{2(1-p)}+\frac{p}{1-p}}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p}{2}} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$
\left(1+|x|^{2}+t\right)^{\alpha} \leq(1+t)^{\alpha}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \quad \text { for } \alpha>0 .
$$

Notice that for $t>s$ and $m>0$,

$$
S(t-s) \phi(x) \leq C\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}}
$$

where $\phi(x)=\left(1+s+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}}$. So,

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t)= & S(t) u_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u^{p}(x, s) \mathrm{d} s \leq C\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
& +C \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p \sigma}{2}}+(1+s)^{\frac{\sigma p^{3}}{2(1-p)}+\frac{p^{2}}{1-p}}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p^{2}}{2}} s^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
\leq & C\left[\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p \sigma}{2}} t\right. \\
& \left.+(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p^{3}}{2(1-p)}+\frac{p^{2}}{1-p}}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p^{2}}{2}} t^{1+p}\right] . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Iterating (2.15) $n-1$ times, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) \leq & C\left[\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p \sigma}{2}} t+\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{2} \sigma}{2}} t^{1+p}+\cdots\right. \\
& +\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{n-1} \sigma}{2}} t^{\frac{1-p^{n-1}}{1-p}} \\
& \left.+(1+t)^{\left[\frac{\sigma p}{2(1-p)}+\frac{1}{1-p}\right] p^{n}}\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p^{n}}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{1-p}-\frac{p^{n}}{1-p}}\right] \\
\leq & C\left[\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}+\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p \sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{1+\frac{p \sigma}{2}}\right. \\
& +\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{2} \sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{1-p^{2}}{1-p}+\frac{p^{2} \sigma}{2}}+\cdots+\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{n-1} \sigma}{2}}(1+t)^{\frac{1-p^{n-1}}{1-p}+\frac{p^{n-1} \sigma}{2}} \\
& \left.+(1+t)^{\frac{\sigma p^{n}}{2(1-p)}+\frac{1}{1-p}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p^{n}}{2}}\right] \\
\leq & C(n)\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\left[(1+t)^{\frac{1}{1-p}+\frac{\sigma p^{n}}{2(1-p)}}+(1+t)^{\frac{1}{1-p}+\frac{\sigma p^{n-1}}{2}}\right] . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used the facts that

$$
\frac{\sigma}{2} \leq \frac{p \sigma}{2}+1 \leq \frac{p^{2} \sigma}{2}+\frac{1-p^{2}}{1-p} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{p^{n-1} \sigma}{2}+\frac{1-p^{n-1}}{1-p} \leq \frac{p^{n-1} \sigma}{2}+\frac{1}{1-p}
$$

and

$$
\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma p^{m}}{2}} \leq\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \quad \text { for } m>0
$$

So, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can select $n$ large enough to satisfy

$$
\epsilon>\max \left(\frac{p^{n} \sigma}{2(1-p)}, \frac{p^{n-1} \sigma}{2}\right) .
$$

From (2.16), we thus have

$$
\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)} \leq C(1+t)^{\frac{1}{1-p}+\epsilon} .
$$

Combining this with (2.14), we can get the desired results. So we complete the proof of this theorem.

Remark 2.1 From Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we find that if $\sigma>\frac{2}{1-p}$, then the main effect on the growing up of solutions comes from the initial value; while if $0<\sigma \leq \frac{2}{1-p}$, then the sublinear source has a major effect.

## 3 Asymptotic behavior

In this section, we will use the fact that the mild solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) given by Lemma 2.1 also satisfy the following integral identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}+\Delta \xi\right) u+\xi u^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \xi(x, 0) u_{0}(x) \mathrm{d} x=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\xi \in C^{1,2}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ which vanishes for large $|x|$ and at $t=T$.
The following result gives the fact that if $\sigma>\frac{2}{1-p}$, then the sublinear source is negligible in the asymptotic behavior of the rescaled solution $t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u(x, t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Similar to [19, 22, 23], we follow the framework by Kamin and Peletier [19] to give the proof of our result.

Theorem 3.1 Let $0<p<1$ and $\frac{2}{1-p}<\sigma<\infty$. If the initial value $u_{0}$ satisfies (2.5) and (2.6), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}|u(x, t)-S(t) \varphi(x)|=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on sets $\left\{(y, s) ;|y| \leq \gamma s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}, \gamma>0$. Here $u(x, t)$ is the mild solution of (1.1)-(1.2) and $\varphi(x)=A|x|^{\sigma}$.

Proof We first define the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w(x, t)=S(t) u_{0}(x), \\
& u_{\lambda}(x, t)=\lambda^{-\sigma} u\left(\lambda x, \lambda^{2} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
w_{\lambda}(x, t)=\lambda^{-\sigma}\left[S\left(\lambda^{2} t\right) u_{0}\right](\lambda x) .
$$

Using the comparison principle, we know that

$$
w(x, t) \leq u(x, t)
$$

and

$$
w_{\lambda}(x, t) \leq u_{\lambda}(x, t) \quad \text { for all } \lambda \geq 1 .
$$

For $t>0$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lambda$ is large enough to satisfy $\lambda t>T$, where $T$ is the constant given by Theorem 2.1. So, from (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\lambda}(x, t) & \leq C \lambda^{-\sigma}\left[1+\lambda^{2} t+\lambda^{2}|x|^{2}\right]^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq C\left(\lambda^{-2}+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
& \leq C\left(\lambda^{-2}+t\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\left(1+\left(\lambda^{-2}+t\right)^{-1}|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \\
& \leq C\left(\lambda^{-2}+t\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\left(1+\left(\lambda^{-2}+t\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|x|\right)^{\sigma} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

So, if $\lambda>2$ and $0<\tau<\frac{1}{4}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{B_{1}} u_{\lambda}(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t & \leq C \int_{\lambda^{-2}}^{\tau+\lambda^{-2}} s^{\frac{N+\sigma}{2}} \int_{0}^{s^{-\frac{1}{2}}}(1+r)^{N+\sigma-1} \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq C \int_{\lambda^{-2}}^{\tau+\lambda^{-2}} s^{\frac{N+\sigma}{2}}\left[\left(1+s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{N+\sigma}-1\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq C \int_{\lambda^{-2}}^{\tau+\lambda^{-2}} s^{\frac{N+\sigma}{2}}\left(2 s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{N+\sigma} \mathrm{d} s \leq C \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for any $q>0$, from (3.3), we can obtain the following integral estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{B_{1}} u_{\lambda}(x, t)^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t & \leq C \int_{\lambda^{-2}}^{\tau+\lambda^{-2}} s^{\frac{N+q \sigma}{2}}\left[\left(1+s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{N+q \sigma}-1\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq C \int_{\lambda^{-2}}^{\tau+\lambda^{-2}}\left(1+s^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{N+\sigma}{2}} \mathrm{~d} s \leq C\left(1+\tau+\lambda^{-2}\right)^{N+q \sigma} \int_{\lambda^{-2}}^{\tau+\lambda^{-2}} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& \leq C\left(1+\tau+\lambda^{-2}\right)^{N+q \sigma} \tau . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the same method as above and the comparison principle, we can get the similar integral estimates for $w_{\lambda}(x, t)$. For any $T_{1}>t>0$, from (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S_{\tau}+S_{T_{1}}^{\tau}}\left[\xi_{t}\left(u_{\lambda}-w_{\lambda}\right)+\Delta \xi\left(u_{\lambda}-w_{\lambda}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x=\iint_{\overline{S_{T_{1}}}} \lambda^{-\kappa} \xi u_{\lambda}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{\tau} \equiv(0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, S_{T_{1}}^{\tau} \equiv\left(\tau, T_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \kappa=\sigma(1-p)-2>0$ and $\xi \in C^{1,2}\left(\overline{S_{T_{1}}}\right)$ which vanishes for large $|x|$ and at $t=T_{1}$. For any $\epsilon>0$, by the integral estimates of $u_{\lambda}(x, t)$ and $w_{\lambda}(x, t)$, there exists $\tau>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S_{\tau}}\left[\xi_{t}\left(w_{\lambda}-u_{\lambda}\right)+\Delta \xi\left(w_{\lambda}-u_{\lambda}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t<\frac{\epsilon}{3} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the fact that $\kappa>0$ and (3.4), we can get that there exists $\lambda_{1}$ such that if $\lambda>\lambda_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{S_{T_{1}}} \lambda^{-\kappa} \xi u_{\lambda}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t<\frac{\epsilon}{3} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $z_{\lambda}(x, t)=u_{\lambda}(x, t)-w_{\lambda}(x, t)$. From (3.1), we can get that for any compact subset $K$ of $S_{T_{1}}$, $u_{\lambda}(x, t)$ and $w_{\lambda}(x, t)$ have a uniform upper bound, which means that the sequence $z_{\lambda}(x, t)$
is equicontinuous on $K$ (see [17, 24, 25]). So, we can get that there exist a subsequence $z_{\lambda^{\prime}}(x, t)$ and a function $z(x, t) \in C\left(S_{T_{1}}\right)$ such that

$$
z_{\lambda^{\prime}}(x, t) \rightarrow z(x, t)
$$

as $\lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $K$. Therefore, we have as $\lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, omitting the primes,

$$
\iint_{S_{T_{1}}^{\tau}}\left[\xi_{t}\left(u_{\lambda}-w_{\lambda}\right)+\Delta \xi\left(u_{\lambda}-w_{\lambda}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \rightarrow \iint_{S_{T_{1}}^{\tau}}\left[\xi_{t}+\Delta \xi\right] z \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Combining this with (3.5)-(3.7), we obtain that

$$
\iint_{S_{T_{1}}}\left[\xi_{t}+\Delta \xi\right] z \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

Therefore, it follows from the uniqueness of the solutions of the heat equation that

$$
z(x, t)=0 \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in S_{T_{1}} .
$$

Thus the entire sequence $z_{\lambda}$ converges to $z=0$. Therefore, we have proved that for any $0<t<T<\infty$,

$$
u_{\lambda}(x, t)-w_{\lambda}(x, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Thus, taking $t=1$ and $\lambda=s^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\left\|u\left(s^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot, s\right)-w\left(s^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot, s\right)\right\|_{L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.8) and $0 \leq u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(\rho_{\sigma}\right)$, we have

$$
w_{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}(x, 1)=t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} S(t) u_{0}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right) \leq C\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}+1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} .
$$

So, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}(x, 1) & =t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} S(t) u_{0}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right) \\
& =(4 \pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4}\right) t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u_{0}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} y\right) \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow S(1) \varphi(x) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The uniform upper bound of $w_{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}(x, 1)$ on any compact subset $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ implies that the sequence $w_{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}(x, 1)$ is equicontinuous on $M$, see $[17,24,25]$. Therefore, from (3.9), we have

$$
w_{t^{1 / 2}}(x, 1)=t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} S(t) u_{0}\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right) \rightarrow S(1) \varphi(x)
$$

uniformly on any compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.8), we thus have (3.2). So we complete the proof of this theorem.

## Appendix

Lemma A. 1 Let $M_{1}, M_{2}>0$ and $0<\sigma<\infty$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq u_{0} \leq M_{2}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist two constants $C\left(M_{1}, \sigma\right), C\left(M_{2}, \sigma\right)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(M_{1}, \sigma\right)\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq S(t) u_{0}(x) \leq C\left(M_{2}, \sigma\right)\left(1+t+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Consider the following problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\Delta v=0, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \times(0, \infty) \\
& v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x)=M|x|^{\sigma}, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M>0$ is a constant. For $\lambda>1$, from (2.1), we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\left[S(\lambda t) v_{0}\right]\left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right)=S(t)\left[\lambda^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} v_{0}\left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot\right)\right](x)=S(t) v_{0}(x) \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By existence and regularity theories for solutions, we can obtain that for $t>0$,

$$
0<S(t) v_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

see [24, 25]. Now taking $t=1, \lambda=s$ and $g(x)=S(1) v_{0}(x)$ in the expression (A.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(s) v_{0}(x)=s^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} g\left(s^{-\frac{1}{2}} x\right) \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that $S(s) v_{0}(x) \in C\left([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ clearly implies that for $|x|=1$,

$$
s^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} g\left(s^{-\frac{1}{2}} x\right)=S(s) v_{0}(x) \rightarrow v_{0}(x)=M|x|^{\sigma}=M
$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$
y=s^{-\frac{1}{2}} x .
$$

So

$$
|y| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } s \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore,

$$
|y|^{-\sigma} g(y)-M \rightarrow 0
$$

as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$. So, there exist constants $0<C_{1}(M) \leq C_{2}(M)<\infty$ satisfying

$$
C_{1}(M)\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq g(x) \leq C_{2}(M)\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} .
$$

By (A.4), we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(M)\left(s+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq S(s) v_{0}(x) \leq C_{2}(M)\left(s+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi(x)=M\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$. So there exist two constants $C_{1}(M, \sigma), C_{2}(M, \sigma)>0$ such that

$$
C_{1}(M, \sigma)\left(1+v_{0}(x)\right) \leq \varphi(x) \leq C_{2}(M, \sigma)\left(1+v_{0}(x)\right) .
$$

Therefore, by the comparison principle and (A.5), we can get that for all $t \geq 0$, there exist constants $C_{1}(M, \sigma), C_{2}(M, \sigma)>0$ such that

$$
C_{1}(M, \sigma)\left(1+s+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq S(t) \varphi(x) \leq C_{2}(M, \sigma)\left(1+s+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} .
$$

By (A.1) and the comparison principle, we have (A.2). So we complete the proof of this lemma.
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