Lindblom et al. Radiation Oncology 2014, 9:149 http://www.ro-journal.com/content/9/1/149

RESEARCH





Treatment fractionation for stereotactic radiotherapy of lung tumours: a modelling study of the influence of chronic and acute hypoxia on tumour control probability

Emely Lindblom^{1*}, Laura Antonovic¹, Alexandru Dasu², Ingmar Lax³, Peter Wersäll⁴ and Iuliana Toma-Dasu^{1,5}

Abstract

Background: Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has led to promising local control and overall survival for fractionation schemes with increasingly high fractional doses. A point has however been reached where the number of fractions used might be too low to allow efficient local inter-fraction reoxygenation of the hypoxic cells residing in the tumour. It was therefore the purpose of this study to investigate the impact of hypoxia and extreme hypofractionation on the tumour control probability (*TCP*) from SBRT.

Methods: A three-dimensional model of tumour oxygenation able to simulate oxygenation changes on the microscale was used. The *TCP* was determined for clinically relevant SBRT fractionation schedules of 1, 3 and 5 fractions assuming either static tumour oxygenation or that the oxygenation changes locally between fractions due to fast reoxygenation of acute hypoxia without an overall reduction in chronic hypoxia.

Results: For the schedules applying three or five fractions the doses required to achieve satisfying levels of *TCP* were considerably lower when local oxygenation changes were assumed compared to the case of static oxygenation; a decrease in D_{50} of 17.7 Gy was observed for a five-fractions schedule applied to a 20% hypoxic tumour when fast reoxygenation was modelled. Assuming local oxygenation changes, the total doses required for a tumor control probability of 50% were of similar size for one, three and five fractions.

Conclusions: Although attractive from a practical point of view, extreme hypofractionation using just one single fraction may result in impaired local control of hypoxic tumours, as it eliminates the possibility for any kind of reoxygenation.

Keywords: Hypoxia, Hypofractionation, SBRT, NSCLC

Background

The use of stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) has continuously grown and proven highly successful in the treatment of lung cancer [1-9] since the first treatments of extra-cranial malignancies employing few high-dose fractions performed by Blomgren and Lax in the 1990s [1,2]. As treatments delivered in fewer fractions are more advantageous from both economical and practical points of view, there is a tendency towards extreme hypofractionation in SBRT. The high precision allowed by the use of a stereotactic frame to fixate the patient or, more recently, imageguided frameless techniques has enabled an escalation of the fractional dose. However, the impact of extreme hypofractionation on the treatment outcome must also be evaluated from a radiobiological point of view as such schedules may pose a challenge to the radiobiological rationale behind fractionation summarized by the so-called 5 R's of radiobiology. A reduced number of fractions implies a shorter treatment time, but also requires a higher dose per fraction to achieve the same effect. Therefore, the impact of redistribution and repopulation can be neglected as the high doses will most likely cause cell cycle arrest [10]



© 2014 Lindblom et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: emely.lindblom@ki.se

¹Medical Radiation Physics, Department of Physics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

and accelerated repopulation does not usually occur until after about four weeks of conventional radiotherapy [11]. Furthermore, due to the high dose rates of today's modern accelerators, repair during delivery will also be negligible [12].

Particular attention might be required for the hypoxic cells that are more likely to survive irradiation due to their increased radioresistance compared to well-oxygenated cells [13]. For conventional fractionation, reoxygenation of hypoxic tumour cells during therapy is considered a crucial process as some of the hypoxic cells are assumed to become oxic between fractions and thus radiosensitized at the next fraction [14,15]. Although no improvement in the global oxygenation status through tumour shrinkage could be expected during a short SBRT treatment course [13], experimentally observed inter-fraction local changes in oxygenation might benefit the treatment outcome [16]. The reduced possibility of these local changes implied by a considerably reduced number of fractions might however compromise the treatment outcome for patients with hypoxic tumours. The oxygenation, together with the related radiosensitivity of a tissue, should thus be considered in evaluating the impact of extreme hypofractionation. Previous studies on this topic by Ruggieri et al. [17] and Carlson et al. [18] led to seemingly conflicting conclusions on how SBRT-like treatment schemes impact upon the treatment outcome in hypoxic tumours. The present study adds to the previous work and aims to bring further arguments that may clarify the impact of hypoxia on tumour control probability (TCP) when the dose is delivered in very few, large fractions.

Method and materials

Calculation of surviving fraction

The study was performed on voxelized three-dimensional models simulating tumours with a diameter of 20 mm and heterogeneous oxygenations as previously described by Dasu et al. (2003, 2005) and Toma-Dasu et al. (2009) [19-21]. Cell response to the treatment was calculated using two different cell survival models, the linearquadratic (LQ) model [22] and the universal survival curve (USC) model [23]. There is an on-going debate on whether the well-established LQ model overestimates the cell-kill for the high doses per fraction typically employed in SBRT [24]. The universal survival curve model, which is an empirical joining of the LQ model at low doses and the single-hit multi-target (SHMT) model at higher doses causing an exponential fall-off in survival as opposed to the continuously bending LQ-curve, has been proposed as an alternative. Therefore, to compare the radiobiological impact of the different fractionation schemes, both the LQ and USC approaches were considered. Using the LQ model the surviving fraction SF in a fully oxygenated cell population following irradiation with dose *d* is given by:

$$SF = \exp\left(-\alpha \cdot d - \beta \cdot d^2\right) \tag{1a}$$

where α and β are the radiosensitivity parameters for oxic conditions. The values of α and β used in all calculations were 0.33 Gy⁻¹ and 0.038 Gy⁻² respectively ($\alpha/\beta = 8.6$ Gy), in accordance with the values reported by Park *et al.* (2008) for NSCLC.

If the universal survival curve model is applied, the corresponding expression for survival is given by:

$$SF = \begin{cases} \exp(-\alpha \cdot d - \beta \cdot d^2) & \text{if } d \le D_{\mathrm{T}} \\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{D_0} \cdot d + \frac{D_{\mathrm{q}}}{D_0}\right] & \text{if } d \ge D_{\mathrm{T}} \end{cases}$$
(1b)

where D_q is the dose at which the tangent of the final slope D_0 of the survival curve intercepts the horizontal axis at 100% survival and D_T is the threshold dose at which the LQ model transitions into the SHMT model. Values of D_0 , D_q and D_T for NSCLC were 1.25 Gy, 1.8 Gy and 6.2 Gy respectively [23].

As a result of the spatially varying oxygenation in the tumour, the radiosensitivity distribution will be non-homogeneous, causing a spatial variation in the effectiveness of the delivered dose. To account for the relative increase in the effect of ionizing radiation in the presence of oxygen [13,20], the survival models were modified to include oxygen modifying factors (OMFs) dependent on the local oxygen tension (pO_2) [20]:

$$OMF(pO_2) = OMF_{\max} \cdot \frac{k + pO_2}{k + OMF_{\max} \cdot pO_2}$$
(2)

where OMF_{max} is the maximum relative resistance achieved in the absence of oxygen corresponding to an oxygen enhancement ratio (OER) of 3 and *k* is a reaction constant around 2.5-3 mmHg [25]. A value of k =2.5 mmHg was used in the current simulations.

Thus, at voxel level, the surviving fraction of cells with a given sensitivity depending on the pO_2 using the LQ model was calculated as [26]:

$$SF(d,pO_2) = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha}{OMF(pO_2)} \cdot d - \frac{\beta}{OMF^2(pO_2)} \cdot d^2\right]$$
(3a)

Similarly, the survival under various oxygenation conditions given by the universal survival curve model was calculated as:

$$SF(d,pO_2) = \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha}{OMF(pO_2)} \cdot d - \frac{\beta}{OMF^2(pO_2)} \cdot d^2\right] & \text{if } d \leq D_T \cdot OMF(pO_2) \\ \exp\left[-\frac{1}{D_0} \cdot \frac{d}{OMF(pO_2)} + \frac{D_q}{D_0}\right] & \text{if } d \geq D_T \cdot OMF(pO_2) \end{cases}$$
(3b)

Simulation of tumours

The three-dimensional tumour oxygenation was modelled based on biologically relevant inter-vessel distance (IVD) distributions derived from the experimental work by Konerding et al. (1999) [27]. By defining well-oxygenated and hypoxic regions and assigning IVD distributions with different average values to these regions, *in silico* tumours with different levels of hypoxic fraction (*HF*) were constructed based on the diffusion and consumption of oxygen. Two different oxygen distributions were considered for the 20 mm tumour in this study: a 13 mm hypoxic core resulting in an overall hypoxic fraction less than



 $HF \approx 64\%$, ii) Oxic tumour with an overall HF < 1%.

5 mmHg (*HF*) of about 20% (corresponding to 64% hypoxia within the core) and an oxic tumour with less than 1% hypoxia heterogeneously distributed. Examples of cross-sections through these tumours and the corresponding oxygen partial pressure histograms are shown in Figure 1.

Acute hypoxia is associated with local changes in perfusion, which might take place between two consecutive SBRT fractions [16]. In order to investigate the impact of the resulting local oxygenation changes (LOC) on tumour control, the values of the oxygen tension were randomly locally redistributed at each fraction by randomly closing a fraction of the simulated vessels. For comparison, the case of static oxygenation was also investigated, by keeping the oxygen distribution the same in all fractions. No substantial improvement of overall tumour oxygenation associated with the slow reoxygenation of chronically hypoxic regions was simulated, because of the short overall treatment time in the SBRT treatment schedules considered for this study [13].

Dose distribution and simulation of treatment

For comparison with clinical data the irradiation of the modelled tumour with 20% overall hypoxic fraction

(Figure 1i) was simulated using fractionated schedules currently employed in the clinic for the SBRT treatment of NSCLC [1-9] together with clinical prescription coverage of the planning target volume (PTV). The explicit number of fractions and the corresponding dose per fraction as well as the dose prescription planning details are given in Table 1. The diameter of the PTV was assumed to be 40 mm, corresponding to a clinical target volume (CTV) of 20 mm with an additional 10 mm margin. Using a clinically relevant dose distribution (Figure 2) the prescription details of the reported treatment schemes given in Table 1 were fulfilled in terms of dose escalation, dose to the PTV periphery and maximum dose.

In addition to the fractionation schemes clinically used, schedules in which the treatment is delivered in one, three or five fractions were employed for the modelled tumour types (Figure 1). For both cases, the clinically relevant dose distribution illustrated in Figure 2 was used with the fractional dose prescribed to the 69% isodose encompassing the PTV for the two tumour types considered. Prescription to the 69% isodose was chosen as representative of the current SBRT practice [1-9].

Reference	Dose scheme	Total dose (Gy)	Dose prescr.	Treatment	TCP for LQ (USC)		
				Overall survival	Local control	No LOC	LOC
Hof <i>et al.</i> 2007 [3] (Germany)	26 Gy × 1	26	lsocenter	74.5% at 1 year ^a , 65.4% at 2 years ^a , 37.4% at 3 years ^a	100% at 1 year ^b , 72% at ≥ 2 years ^b	0% (0%)	N/A
Fritz <i>et al.</i> 2008 [4] (Germany)	30 Gy × 1	30	lsocenter	66% at 2 years, 53% at 3 years	81% at 3 years	0% (0%)	N/A
Zimmermann <i>et al.</i> 2005 [5](Germany 65% isodose)	12.5 Gy × 3	37.5	60%	80% at 1 year ^c , 75% at 2 years ^c	100% at 1 year ^c , 87% at 2 years ^c	7% (4%)	99% (98%)
Baumann <i>et al.</i> 2009 [6] (Sweden)	15 Gy × 3	45	67%	86% at 1 year, 65% at 2 years, 60% at 3 years	86% at 1 year, 65% at 92% at 3 years 2 years, 60% at 3 years		100% (99%)
Olsen <i>et al.</i> 2011 [7] (USA, The Netherlands)	18 Gy × 3	54	80%	92/81% at 1 year ^d , 85/61% at 2 years ^d	99% at 1 year ^d , 91% at 2 years ^d	62% (50%)	100% (100%)
Haasbeek <i>et al.</i> 2010 [8] (The Netherlands)	20 Gy × 3	60	80%	85.7% at 1 year ^e , 54% at 2 years ^e , 45.1% at 3 years ^e	89% at 3 years ^e	96% (92%)	100% (100%)
Takeda <i>et al.</i> 2009 [9] (Canada)	10 Gy × 5	50	80%	90/63% at 3 years, (Stage 1A/1B)	93/96% at 3 years, (Stage 1A/1B)	0% (0%)	98% (98%)
Haasbeek <i>et al.</i> 2010 [8] (The Netherlands)	12 Gy × 5	60	80%	85.7% at 1 year ^e , 54% 89% at 3 years ^e at 2 years ^e , 45.1% at 3 years ^e		29% (28%)	100% (100%)
Haasbeek <i>et al.</i> 2010 [8] (The Netherlands)	7.5 Gy × 8	60	80%	85.7% at 1 year ^e , 54% at 2 years ^e , 45.1% at 3 vears ^e	89% at 3 years ^e	0% (0%)	100% (100%)

Table 1 SBRT fractionations for NSCLC with clinical outcome and calculated TCP for the 20% hypoxic tumour (Figure 1i)

^aBased on doses 19-30 Gy to isocenter: 19 Gy (1 patient), 22 Gy (2), 24 Gy (7), 26 Gy (14), 28 Gy (10), 30 Gy (30).

^bPatients receiving 26-30 Gy.

^cDose range 24–40 Gy, 69% was given 37.5 Gy, (2 patients had doses prescribed to 80% isodose).

^dDoses prescribed to 60-90% isodose (median 84%), overall survival expressed as operable/inoperable patients and including other dose schemes.

^eBased on 60 Gy total doses given in 3, 5 and 8 fractions: 3×20 Gy (33%), 5×12 Gy (50%), 8×7.5 Gy (17%).

N/A = Not Applicable.



The response of the tumour was evaluated as the tumour control probability (*TCP*) calculated with a Poisson equation. Thus, the overall *TCP* was calculated as:

$$TCP = \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{vox}}} N_i \Pi_{j=1}^n SF(d_i, pO_{2\,i,j})\right\}$$
(4)

where *n* is the number of fractions, N_{vox} is the total number of voxels, N_i is the number of cells in each voxel *i* and d_i , $pO_{2\ i,j}$ and $SF(d_i, pO_{2\ i,j})$, is the dose, the oxygen tension and the cell survival in voxel *i* at fraction *j*. By randomly re-distributing the pO_2 -values between voxels at each fraction, experimentally observed local variations in oxygenation between fractions [16] were simulated [19-21,28].

In the case of static oxygenation, when the oxygen tension of the individual voxels does not change between fractions, the surviving fraction of each voxel remains constant during the treatment and the equation above reduces to:

$$TCP = \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{vox}}} N_i [SF(d_i, pO_{2\,i})]^n\right\}$$
(5)

In the current study the total number of clonogenic cells in the tumour was set to 10^8 .

The tumour control probability was determined for increasing values of total dose *D*, in order to generate typical dose–response curves by fitting a logit expression (6) to the resulting *TCP* data [29]:

$$TCP = 100 \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{D_{50}}{D}\right)^{4 \cdot \gamma}} \tag{6}$$

 D_{50} is the total dose required for a tumour control probability of 50% and γ is the slope of the curve at 50% *TCP*, similar to the clinical fit of dose–response curves.

Results

The TCP values for the 20% hypoxic tumour (Figure 1i) calculated using the clinical dose prescription schemes are presented in Table 1 together with the reported clinical outcome. For single-fraction schedules, there is a large difference between the predicted *TCP* and the clinically observed values of local control. For multifraction schemes, a trend of better agreement between clinical outcome and simulations assuming LOC compared to the case assuming static oxygenation was observed. For most of the schedules the choice of survival model between LQ and USC seems to have little, if any, impact on the outcome in terms of *TCP*.

Figure 3i and ii show the TCP curves obtained for the clinically-relevant theoretical schedules of different fractionations when either the linear-quadratic or the universal survival curve model was used to calculate the surviving fraction in the hypoxic ($HF \approx 20\%$) and the oxic (*HF* < 1%) tumours (Figures 1i and ii). In Table 2 summarizing the D_{50} values, it can be observed that the D_{50} increases as the number of fractions is increased. However, the increase is not as large as might have been expected from performing a simple calculation of the corresponding equivalent isoeffective dose using a typical biological effective dose (BED) conversion [22,30]. The impact of increasing the number of fractions is more pronounced for static oxygenation. For example in Figure 3i, the curves representing 1, 3 and 5 fractions (labelled "no LOC, LQ") lead to D_{50} values of 31.0, 46.0 and 53.9 Gy respectively. Assuming LOC, the 7.9 Gy difference in D_{50} between 3 and 5 fractions is reduced to only 0.6 Gy (35.6 Gy vs. 36.2 Gy for 3 and 5 fractions respectively). Furthermore, the difference in D_{50} between one and five fractions is only 5.2 Gy, (D_{50} = 31.0 Gy for one fraction and 36.2 Gy for five fractions assuming LOC).



A certain level of *TCP* requires higher doses with the USC than with the LQ model, in accordance with the predicted over-estimation of clonogenic cell killing by the LQ model. For single fraction doses, the difference between the LQ- and USC-curves is quite large. For three and five fractions however, the difference between using either of

the two models seems to be negligible, the two curves for 5 fractions being visually indistinguishable for both tumour types assuming LOC. Noticeable in Figure 3i and ii is the smaller range of D_{50} for the *TCP* curves obtained with USC model (thick curves) compared to the curves obtained with the LQ model (thin curves). This reflects the

Table 2 Values of D_{50} for the clinically-relevant theoretical fractionation schedules

Hypoxic fraction	Survival model	D ₅₀ (Gy)							
		1 fraction		3 fractions		5 fractions			
		No LOC	LOC	No LOC	LOC	No LOC	LOC		
< 1%	LQ	14.8	N/A	22.4	21.6	26.3	25.3		
	USC	20.1	N/A	23.3	22.9	26.3	25.3		
≈ 20%	LQ	31.0	N/A	46.0	35.6	53.9	36.2		
	USC	39.8	N/A	46.9	36.1	53.8	36.4		
	م								

N/A = Not Applicable.

lower fractionation-sensitivity of the USC model at high fractional doses [31].

An interesting feature of the curves in Figure 3i is that for a given level of TCP, the single-fraction curve obtained with the USC model predicts a higher total dose than some of the curves representing three and five fractions. Although this might seem counterintuitive, it is a consequence of the way the universal survival curve model is constructed. At doses per fraction above the transition dose $D_{\rm T}$, the universal survival curve predicts a difference in survival compared to the LQ model that increases with increasing dose. For the high doses required in single-fraction treatments, the difference is most pronounced, as reflected by the large difference in D_{50} between the single-fraction *TCP*-curves obtained with the LQ and USC models in Figure 3i. For a total dose delivered in three or five fractions, the fractional dose is much closer to the transition dose and the predicted survival is thus higher.

Discussion

Hypoxia is a common feature of solid tumours that is considered responsible for the failure of many treatment approaches [32]. It has been shown to affect non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) tumours, where more than 80% of the investigated patients had a fractional hypoxic volume (FHV) over 20% and the median FHV was 47.6% [33].

Reoxygenation of tumours is thought to be an effective way to increase local control and it represents one of the radiobiological rationales for conventionally-fractionated radiotherapy. However, the impact of inter-fraction local oxygenation changes for extremely hypofractionated SBRT employing high doses per fraction has not been fully investigated. SBRT treatments are usually very short and therefore they do not allow enough time for the global reoxygenation that results from tumour shrinkage in longer treatments [13]. Fluctuations of acute hypoxia on the microscale, as described by Ljungkvist *et al.* [16] thus remain the main mechanism that could change tumour oxygenation for extremely fractionated schedules. The outcome of the present study suggests that inter-fraction LOC is a process that could strongly modify the response of hypoxic tumours, possibly explaining the current success of SBRT in treating hypoxic tumours.

The results for the clinical multifraction schedules presented in Table 1 indicate a trend of better agreement between local control and the calculated TCP values assuming LOC, as opposed to the case of static oxygenation. This could indicate that local oxygenation changes might take place between fractions in clinical SBRT patients. As no information of the oxygenation or number of clonogenic cells is available for the tumours included in the clinical studies, a direct comparison between calculated values and the reported local control is difficult to make. For the single fraction schemes, the difference between the observed local control and the calculated values of TCP is large. This could be explained by the limited knowledge of tumour response to the high doses delivered in single-fraction treatments. It has been hypothesized that there might be processes leading to increased cell death only taking place at these high doses such as vascular damage [34]. As such effects are not included in the present modelling, they could be one of the reasons for the observed discrepancies.

The dose-response curves and corresponding values of D_{50} (Table 2) show that a great decrease in dose per fraction can be expected if LOC is assumed, the total doses for three and five fractions being almost equally low. This offers an interesting point of view for the issue of fractionation for stereotactic treatments. While extremely hypofractionated schedules may not be preferred from the point of view of the conventional fractionations where the focus is on the differential between tumour response and normal tissue damage, they might provide an advantage for stereotactic treatments that are based on the limiting of the 'red shell', the high-risk zone of normal tissues receiving therapeutic doses [35]. Thus, shorter schedules might appeal both to patients that would have to go through fewer treatment sessions and for the radiotherapy departments as they will free valuable accelerator time that could in turn be used to increase patient throughput. Nevertheless, this would apply only if LOC take place during the treatment, as otherwise much higher doses would be needed to achieve the same control rates.

The simulations in the present study have been performed using both the LQ model and the universal survival curve (USC) model which is an empirical extension of the LQ model. The suitability of the LQ model for high doses has been intensely debated in recent years [24] focusing on the possibility of overestimating cell kill at high doses like those used in SBRT. This has led to the development of the universal survival curve model which is thought to better fit the experimental data in the high-dose range [23], although the mathematical framework and the lack of mechanistic basis of the model has been criticized [36]. The results of the current work indicate that for multifraction schedules the difference in terms of the calculated *TCP* between using either the LQ or USC formalism is not considerable.

The present study adds to the results of two previous studies based on the LQ formalism [17,18]. Indeed, comparing the results in Figure 3i and ii and Table 2, it can be seen that tumours with increased hypoxia would require higher radiation doses to achieve a high TCP, especially in the absence of inter-fraction LOC, which is in agreement with the proposal of Carlson and colleagues [18]. Ruggieri and colleagues argued that intra-tumour simultaneous dose-boosting is capable to counteract hypoxic radioresistance [17]. This statement is not in contradiction with the results of Carlson et al. or with the results of the present simulations. Indeed, dose escalations towards the centre of the tumour will increase cell kill and therefore lead to better tumour control compared to homogeneous dose distributions, especially if the hypoxic areas are centrally located. However for non-gated treatments, the tumour movement relative to the treatment beams could bring the tumour towards the lower dose regions at the margin of the PTV, which could in turn lead to a shift of the dose response curve to higher doses. Nevertheless, choosing suitable PTV-to-CTV margins might minimize the impact of this factor and the expected differences will be small.

Conclusion

The results of this study illustrate the interplay that could be expected between total dose, fractionation, hypoxia, and the dynamics of oxygenation for SBRT treatments. They suggest that extreme hypofractionation, as low as one single dose fraction, should be pursued with caution so that the current success of SBRT should not be jeopardized.

Competing interests

The authors' declare that they have no competing interest.

Authors' contributions

All authors have been involved in the study and the manuscript. EL participated in the design of the study, the theoretical modelling and simulations, the analysis and interpretation of data and drafted the manuscript, LA participated in the analysis and interpretation of data and reviewing the manuscript, AD provided the basic software for the theoretical simulations, IL participated in the design of the study, interpretation of data and reviewing the manuscript, PW participated in the interpretation of data and reviewing the manuscript and IT-D coordinated the design of the study, analysis and interpretation of data. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The first author (Emely Lindblom) was partially financially supported from the Cancer Research Funds of Radiumhemmet which is gratefully acknowledged.

Author details

¹Medical Radiation Physics, Department of Physics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden. ²Department of Radiation Physics and Department of Medical and Health Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden. ³Department of Radiation Physics, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁴Department of Oncology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. ⁵Medical Radiation Physics, Department of Oncology and Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. Received: 19 March 2014 Accepted: 19 June 2014 Published: 30 June 2014

References

- 1. Lax I, Blomgren H, Näslund I, Svanström R: Stereotactic radiotherapy ofmalignancies in the abdomen. *Acta Oncol* 1994, **33:**677–683.
- Blomgren H, Lax I, Näslund I, Svanström R: Stereotactic high dose fraction radiation therapy of extracranial tumours using an accelerator. *Acta Oncol* 1995, 34:861–870.
- Hof H, Muenter M, Oetzel D, Hoess A, Debus J, Herfarth K: Stereotactic single-dose radiotherapy (radiosurgery) of early-stage nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Cancer* 2007, 110:148–155.
- Fritz P, Kraus HJ, Blaschke T, Mühlnickel W, Strauch K, Engel-Riedel W, Chemaissani A, Stoelben E: Stereotactic, high single-dose irradiation of stage I non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) using four-dimensional CT scans for treatment planning. *Lung Cancer* 2008, 60:193–199.
- Zimmermann FB, Geinitz H, Schill S, Grosu A, Schratzenstaller U, Molls M, Jeremic B: Stereotactic hypofractionated radiation therapy for stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2005, 48:107–114.
- Baumann P, Nyman J, Hoyer M, Wennberg B, Gagliardi G, Lax I, Drugge N, Ekberg L, Friesland S, Johansson KA, Lund JÅ, Morhed E, Nilsson K, Levin N, Paludan M, Sederholm C, Traberg A, Wittgren L, Lewensohn R: Outcome in a prospective phase II trial of medically inoperable stage I non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2009, 27:3290–3296.
- Olsen JR, Robinson CG, El Naqa I, Creach KM, Drzymala RE, Bloch C, Parikh PJ, Bradley JD: Dose–response for stereotactic body radiotherapy in early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011, 81:e299–e303.
- Haasbeek CJA, Lagerwaard FJ, Antonisse ME, Slotman BJ, Senan S: Stage I nonsmall cell lung cancer in patients aged ≥ 75 years: outcomes after stereotactic radiotherapy. *Cancer* 2010, 116:406–414.
- Takeda A, Sanuki N, Kunieda E, Ohashi T, Oku Y, Takeda T, Shigematsu N, Kubo A: Stereotactic body radiotherapy for primary lung cancer at a dose of 50 Gy total in five fractions to the periphery of the planning target volume calculated using a superposition algorithm. *Int J Radiat* Oncol Biol Phys 2009, 73:442–448.
- Song CW, Park H, Griffin RJ, Levitt SH: Radiobiology of stereotactic radiosurgery and stereotactic body radiation therapy. In *Technical Basis of Radiation Therapy – Practical Clinical Applications*. 5th edition. Edited by Levitt SH, Purdy JA, Perez CA, Poortmans P. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2012:51–61.
- 11. Withers HR, Taylor JMG, Maciejewski B: The hazard of accelerated tumour clonogen repopulation during radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 1988, **27:**131–146.
- 12. Paganetti H: Changes in tumour cell response due to prolonged dose delivery times in fractionated radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005, 63:892–900.
- Hall EJ, Giaccia AJ: Radiobiology for the Radiologist. 6th edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott/ Williams & Wilkins; 2006:85–89–378–379.
- 14. Kallman RF: The phenomenon of reoxygenation and its implications for fractionated radiotherapy. *Radiology* 1972, **105**:135–142.
- 15. Brown JM: Evidence for acutely hypoxic cells in mouse tumours, and a possible mechanism of reoxyenation. *Br J Radiol* 1979, **52**:650–656.
- Ljungkvist AS, Bussink J, Kaanders JH, Wiedenmann NE, Vlasman R, van der Kogel AJ: Dynamics of hypoxia, proliferation and apoptosis after irradiation in a murine tumour model. *Rad Res* 2006, 165:326–336.
- 17. Ruggieri R, Naccarato S, Nahum AE: Severe hypofractionation: nonhomogeneous tumour dose delivery can counteract tumour hypoxia. *Acta Oncol* 2010, **49**:1304–1314.
- Carlson DJ, Keall PJ, Loo BW Jr, Chen ZJ, Brown JM: Hypofractionation results in reduced tumour cell kill compared to conventional fractionation for tumours with regions of hypoxia. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011, 79:1188–1195.
- Dasu A, Toma-Dasu I, Karlsson M: Theoretical simulation of tumour oxygenation and results from acute and chronic hypoxia. *Phys Med Biol* 2003, 48:2829–2842.
- Dasu A, Toma-Dasu I, Karlsson M: The effects of hypoxia on the theoretical modelling of tumour control probability. *Acta Oncol* 2005, 44:563–571.
- 21. Toma-Dasu I, Dasu A, Brahme A: Dose prescription and optimisation based on tumour hypoxia. Acta Oncol 2009, 48:1181–1192.

- 22. Barendsen GW: Dose fractionation, dose rate and iso-effect relationships for normal tissue responses. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1982, 8:1981–1997.
- Park C, Papiez L, Zhang S, Story M, Timmerman RD: Universal survival curve and single fraction equivalent dose: useful tools in understanding potency of ablative radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2008, 70:847–852.
- 24. Kirkpatrick JP, Brenner DJ, Orton CG: Point/Counterpoint. The linearquadratic model is inappropriate to model high dose per fraction effects in radiosurgery. *Med Phys* 2009, **36**:3381–3384.
- 25. Alper T: *Cellular radiobiology*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1979.
- Toma-Dasu I, Dasu A: Modelling tumour oxygenation, reoxygenation and implications on treatment outcome. *Comput Math Methods Med* 2013, 2013:141087.
- 27. Konerding MA, Malkusch W, Klapthor B: Evidence for characteristic vascular patterns in solid tumours: quantitative studies using corrosion casts. *Br J Cancer* 1999, **80**:724–732.
- Antonovic L, Lindblom E, Dasu A, Bassler N, Furusawa Y, Toma-Dasu I: Clinical oxygen enhancement ratio of tumors in carbon ion radiotherapy: The influence of local oxygenation changes. J Radiat Res 2014. Epub ahead of print, doi:10.1093/jrr/rru020.
- 29. Dasu A, Toma-Dasu I: Prostate alpha/beta revisited an analysis of clinical results from 14 168 patients. *Acta Oncol* 2012, 51:963–974.
- Dale RG: The application of the linear-quadratic dose-effect equation to fractionated and protracted radiotherapy. Br J Radiol 1985, 58:515–528.
- Wennberg B, Lax I: The impact of fractionation in SBRT: analysis with the linear quadratic model and the universal survival curve model. *Acta Oncol* 2013. 52:902–909.
- Overgaard J: Hypoxic radiosensitization: adored and ignored. J Clin Oncol 2007, 25:4066–4074.
- Rasey JS, Koh WJ, Evans ML, Peterson LM, Lewellen TK, Graham MM, Krohn KA: Quantifying regional hypoxia in human tumors with positron emission tomography of [18 F]Fluoromisonidazole: a pretherapy study of 37 patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1996, 36:417–428.
- Park HJ, Griffin RJ, Hui S, Levitt SH, Song CW: Radiation-induced vascular damage in tumors: implications of vascular damage in ablative hypofractionated radiotherapy (SBRT and SRS). *Rad Res* 2012, 177:311–327.
- Yang J, Fowler JF, Lamond JP, Lanciano R, Feng J, Brady LW: Red shell: defining a high-risk zone of normal tissue damage in stereotactic body radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010, 77:903–909.
- 36. Tomé WA: Universal survival curve and single fraction equivalent dose: useful tools in understanding potency of ablative radiotherapy: in regard to Parks et al. (Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008;72:1620–1621). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009, 73:1286.

doi:10.1186/1748-717X-9-149

Cite this article as: Lindblom *et al.*: Treatment fractionation for stereotactic radiotherapy of lung tumours: a modelling study of the influence of chronic and acute hypoxia on tumour control probability. *Radiation Oncology* 2014 **9**:149.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

) BioMed Central

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit