O Springer Plus

Dumont et al. SpringerPlus (2015) 4:327 DOI 10.1186/s40064-015-1053-0

RESEARCH



a SpringerOpen Journal



ERCC1 and *CYP1B1* polymorphisms as predictors of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in estrogen positive breast tumors

Aurélie Dumont^{1*}, Diane Pannier², Agnès Ducoulombier², Emmanuelle Tresch³, Jinying Chen¹, Andrew Kramar³, Françoise Révillion¹, Jean-Philippe Peyrat¹ and Jacques Bonneterre²

Abstract

Purpose: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NCT) using anthracyclines and taxanes is a standard treatment for locally advanced breast cancer. Efficacy of NCT is however variable among patients and predictive markers are expected to guide the selection of patients who will benefit from NCT. A promising approach stand with polymorphisms located in genes encoding drug transporters, drug metabolizing enzymes and target genes which can affect drug efficacy. Our study investigated the potential of 37 polymorphisms to predict response to NCT in breast cancer.

Methods: 118 women with breast adenocarcinoma were treated with FEC100 and taxotere. Genotyping was performed on germline DNA using the BioMark platform (Fluidigm). Pathological complete response (pCR) according to Sataloff criteria was correlated to clinical characteristics and genotypes using univariate and multivariate analyses.

Results: 25 patients (21.2%) reached complete pathologic response. pCR rate is increased in SBRIII (p = 0.009), ER negative (p = 0.005) and triple negative (p = 0.006) tumors. pCR rate is significantly increased for patients carrying at least one variant allele for *BRCA1*, *ERCC1* or *SLCO1B3*, and for patients homozygous for *CYP1B1*. The combination of *ERCC1* and *CYP1B1* polymorphisms is a potential predictor of NCT response in breast cancer (pCR rate reached 50 vs 21.2% for unselected patients), and particularly in ER + breast cancer subtype where pCR rate reached 41.2 vs 13.5% for unselected patients.

Conclusions: This study is the first to report *ERCC1*, *BRCA1* and *SLCO1B3* as markers of response to NCT in breast cancer. *ERCC1/CYP1B1* combination might be of particular interest to predict response to NCT in breast cancer and particularly to help NCT indication for ER+ breast tumors.

Keywords: Breast cancer, Neoadjuvant chemotherapy, *ERCC1*, *CYP1B1*, Single nucleotide polymorphism, Predictive factor

Background

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NCT) improves clinical outcome as patients whose tumors respond to NCT have superior disease-free and overall survival than patients whose tumors do not (Rastogi et al. 2008). Thus,

*Correspondence: a-dumont@o-lambret.fr

¹ Laboratoire d'Oncologie Moléculaire Humaine, Centre Oscar Lambret,

3 rue Frédéric Combemale, BP 307, 59020 Lille Cedex, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

D Springer

predicting the chance of response before starting NCT is crucial to identify patients who will benefit from NCT and help to select for the appropriate drugs. Up to now, several markers such as tumor size, histological type, hormone receptor status, and HER2 expression are used to predict efficiency of NCT. However, despite the consideration of these tumor characteristics, heterogeneity in therapy efficacy still subsists and accurate predictive markers for NCT are lacking.

© 2015 Dumont et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Genetic variations such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are present across individuals and might affect pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of drugs. SNPs in genes encoding drug transporters, drug metabolizing enzymes and target genes can directly affect the drug uptake, its activation and its excretion, accessibility of the target and also related pathways that can potentiate its action. Consequently, SNPs might lead to therapeutic failures and/ or adverse drug reactions. Currently, UDP glucuronosyltransferase 1A1polymorphism UGT1A1*28 is used to predict toxicity of irinotecan in colorectal cancer treatment. Genotyping allows individuals homozygous for the variant allele of UGT1A1 to be treated with reduced doses of irinotecan and to avoid severe toxicity.

The aim of our study was to identify SNPs as predictive markers for breast cancer NCT. In our institution, breast cancer women treated with NCT receive 5-FU, cyclophosphamide, anthracyclines and/or taxanes. Thus, we selected SNPs previously reported in the literature to be associated with response to these chemotherapeutic agents. Thirty-seven SNPs, located in genes encoding influx and efflux transporters such as *SLCO1B3, MDR1* and *ABCC4*, in genes belonging to drug metabolism (*CYP1B1, CYP2B6, CYP3A4, MTHFR* and *GSTP1*...) and also in genes of DNA repair pathway such as *XRCC1, ERCC1, BRCA1* and *p53* (Additional file 1: Table S1) were investigated in breast cancer patients receiving NCT.

Patients and methods

Patients

191 women with histological proven breast adenocarcinoma were enrolled in the study between November 2007 and January 2012 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT00959556). Patients were treated with anthracyclines and/or taxanes based NCT in our institution (Centre Oscar Lambret, Lille, France). Among them, 118 received FEC100-Taxotere, 46 patients with HER2+ tumors were treated with FEC100-Taxotere-Herceptin, 16 patients were treated with FEC100 and 11 patients received diverse chemotherapy regimens.

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1 for the two main groups. Median age at diagnosis was 46.5 years for patients treated with FEC100-taxotere and 45.5 years for patients treated with FEC100-taxotere-herceptin. The median size of the tumors was 30 mm for patients treated with FEC100-taxotere and 30.5 mm for patients treated with FEC100-taxotereherceptin. The histoprognostic grading was established according to Scarff Bloom and Richardson modified by Elston and Ellis (1998). FEC100-Taxotere was mostly administrated during 6 cycles (84.7%) and the majority of patients (82.2%) received 3 cycles of FEC100 followed by 3 cycles of Taxotere. The median number

Table 1	Characteristics	of	patients	treated	with	FEC100-
taxoter	e and FEC100-ta	xot	ere-herce	eptin		

	FEC100-taxotere		FEC100-ta herceptin		
	N = 118	% ^a	N = 46	% ^a	
Tumor type					
Ductal carcinoma	106	89.9	44	95.6	
Lobular carcinoma	7	5.9	1	2.2	
Other	5	4.2	1	2.2	
Tumor size					
T0-T1	5	4.3	1	2.3	
T2	60	51.7	21	48.8	
Т3	24	20.7	11	25.6	
T4	27	23.3	10	23.3	
NA	2	1.7	3	6.5	
Histoprognostic grade					
SBRI	7	6.7	1	2.5	
SBRII	54	51.9	19	47.5	
SBRIII	43	41.3	20	50	
NA	14	11.9	6	13.1	
Nodal status					
Positive	63	54.3	30	65.2	
Negative	53	45.7	16	34.8	
NA	2	1.7	0	0	
Hormone receptor statu	IS				
Positive ^b	77	66.3	23	50.0	
Negative ^c	39	33.6	23	50.0	
NA	2	1.7	0	0.0	
HER-2 status					
Positive ^d	5	4.7	46	100.0	
Negative	102	95.3	0	0.0	
NA	11	9.3	0	0.0	
Clinical response					
CR	11	12.2	7	21.9	
PR	62	68.9	23	71.9	
SD	15	16.7	2	6.3	
PD	2	2.2	0	0.0	
NA	28	23.7	14	30.4	
pCR					
Yes	25	21.2	18	40.0	
No	93	78.8	27	60.0	
NA	0	0.0	1	2.2	

CR complete response, *PR* partial response, *SD* stable disease, *PD* progressive disease, *NA* not applicable, *pCR* pathological complete response.

^a Percentages of evaluable patients (except for NA: percentage of all patients).

^b Estrogen receptor or progesterone receptor positive.

 $^{\rm c}~$ Estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor negative.

^d IHC3+ or IHC2+/FISH+ or CISH+.

of treatment cycles of Herceptin per patient was 18 (3–18).

Our study only focused on patients treated with FEC100-Taxotere (n = 118), in order to identify potential predictive polymorphisms in a homogeneous group according to treatment.

Clinical response assessment

Clinical evaluation of response to therapy was assessed by measuring tumor size (physical examination and ultrasonography) before NCT, and after 3 and 6 cycles. Change in tumor size was determined by comparing the tumor size before and after NCT according to RECIST guidelines, version 1.1 (Eisenhauer et al. 2009).

Pathological response assessment

Tumor response was assessed by a pathologist and graded according Sataloff et al. (1995). Pathological tumor response was used as the gold standard to evaluate treatment response. On the basis of patients' pathological response in primary breast site and/or in the lymph nodes, patients were designated having a pCR when surgical samples showed total or near-total effect and absence of nodal involvement (TA and NA or NB).

Hormone receptors and HER2 assessment

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used for evaluation of oestrogen receptors (ER) (1D5 Dako clone, then SP1 Ventana clone) and progesterone receptors (PR) (1A6 Dako clone, then PR88 Biogenex clone); to be positive, more than 10% of the tumor nucleus cells had to be stained. Evaluation of HER2 was performed with IHC (CB11 Biogenex clone, then HER 485 Dako clone); only tumors classified 3+ were considered positive. All tumors HER2 2+ with IHC were tested by FISH. Triple negative tumors were defined as ER and PR negative and HER2 negative by immune histochemistry (IHC) or by FISH in case of HER2 2+.

DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA-treated blood samples (300 μ l) using the MagNA Pure Compact instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France) and the MagNA Pure Compact Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Genotyping

SNP genotyping was assessed using allelic discrimination with SNPType assays ordered from Fluidigm. When SNPType assays were not available, TaqMan assays (Life Technologies) were used instead. Because DNA concentration available was below supplier recommendation, a Specific Target Amplification was performed to enrich targeted SNP sequences according to the supplier's instructions.

Instrumentation and nanofluidic chips

48.48 Dynamic Array used in the present study are nanofluidic chips able to analyze 48 samples with 48 SNP assays on the BioMark platform (Fluidigm). The BioMark system is used to thermal cycle these nanofluidic chips and image the data in real time.

SNP genotyping using SNPType assays

3 µL of SNPType ASP1/ASP2 (allele specific primer 1 and 2) and 8 µL of SNPtype LSP were premixed and dilute with 29 µL of DNA suspension buffer to prepare SNPType assay mix. Each assay (5 µL) comprised 1 µL SNPType assay mix, 2.5µL assay loading reagent 2X (fluidigm) and 1.5 µL DNA-free water. Each sample (6 µL) comprised 3 µL Biotium fast probe master mix 2X (Biotium), 0.3 µL sample loading reagent 20X (fluidigm), 0.1 µL SNPType reagent 60X (Fluidigm), 0.036 µL ROX 50X (Invitrogen), 0.064 µL DNA-free water and 2.5µL amplified genomic DNA. Each of the assays (4 µL) and samples (5 µL) was pipetted into separate inlets in the chip. Amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min, 38 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 45 s, 72°C for 15 s followed by 30 s at 20°C for fluorescence measurement.

SNP genotyping using TaqMan assays

Each assay (5 μ L) comprised 2.5 μ L assay loading reagent 2X (fluidigm), 0.25 μ L ROX 50X (Invitrogen), 1.25 μ L SNP Genotyping assay mix 40X (Applied Biosystems) and 1 μ L DNA-free water. Each sample (6 μ L) comprised 3 μ L TaqMan universal PCR master mix 2X (Applied Biosystems), 0.3 μ L sample loading reagent 20X (fluidigm), 0.3 U AmpliTaq gold polymerase (Applied Biosystems), 0.12 μ L DNA-free water and 2.52 μ L amplified genomic DNA. Each of the assays (4 μ L) and samples (5 μ L) was pipetted into separate inlets in the chip. Amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 50°C for 2 min, 98°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 60 s. Fluorescence was measured at each slice.

Software

The data were analyzed using the BioMark SNP Genotyping Analysis software version 3.1.2 to obtain genotype. Briefly, the software calculates the FAM, VIC or HEX fluorescence intensities relative to ROX fluorescence background, and then automatically classifies the samples into three possible genotypes.

Statistical analysis

Clinical and histopathological characteristics were presented as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and as medians and range for continuous variables. Associations between pCR and clinicopathological characteristics were assessed using Khi-2 test for qualitative variables or Fisher exact test in the case of small counts. Associations between pCR and continuous variables were performed using Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney test.

After ensuring that Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was respected, the search of SNP correlated to pCR was performed by univariate logistic regression (R SNPassoc package). For each SNP, analyses were done considering genotypes separately or grouped to compare each homozygous or heterozygous genotype to other ones (only significant results are shown). Univariate analyses were repeated on subgroups of the population according to ER tumor status.

Multivariate analysis was performed on whole population combining significant SNP in a stepwise multivariate logistic regression selecting variables according to the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The model was validated internally on 1,000 random samples with replacement on the whole dataset. The percentage of times each variable was selected was extracted. Only those variables which were selected in >80% of models were retained. The final model was adjusted for ER status.

Results of statistical tests were considered significant at the 5% level. Analyses were performed using v11.2 Stata software (StataCorp. 2009. Stata Statistical Software: Release 11. College Station, TX, USA) and v2.15.2 R software (R Core Team 2012).

Results

Association between pCR and clinicopathological characteristics

25 patients (21.2%, CI 95%: 14.2–29.7%) reached complete pathologic response according to Sataloff criteria. Median age at diagnosis was 46 years for responder patients, 47 years for non-responder patients and was not significantly correlated to pCR.

The associations between pCR and clinicopathological features are summarized in Table 2. No statistically significant correlations were observed between pCR and tumor size, nodal status or KI67. Patients with higher tumor grade were significantly more likely to achieve a pCR than those with lower tumor grade (p = 0.009). We also observed different pCR rates according to Hormone Receptors (HR) status: patients whose tumors were positive for at least one HR had a pCR rate of 15.6% whereas patients who were HR negative had a pCR rate of 33.3% (p = 0.003). Contrary to PR negativity, ER negativity is a significant marker of pCR (p = 0.005). pCR rate is higher for ER- tumors (35.7%) than for ER+ tumors (13.5%; p = 0.005). Tumors with triple negative phenotype achieved significantly higher pathologic response rate than those with non-triple negative phenotype (40.6 vs 16.0%, p = 0.006).

Association between pCR and the 37 selected SNPs

Among the 37 SNPs evaluated, genotyping data were available for 114 of the 118 patients enrolled in the study. The distribution of the genotypes and allelotypes indicated that all frequencies were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and were consistent with previously reported Caucasian populations (data not shown).

Univariate analysis revealed that 4 SNPs are significantly associated with pCR (Table 3).

Patients carrying at least one variant allele for *BRCA1* (T), ERCC1 (C) and *SLCO1B3* (G) have about a threefold higher likelihood to achieve a pCR to NCT than other patients. Patients homozygous for *CYP1B1* Leu432Val showed increased pCR rate compared to heterozygous patients (respectively pCR = 29% and pCR = 11.1%, p = 0.020).

Subsequently, assessment of associations between combinations of these SNPs and pCR showed that a model containing only *ERCC1* and *CYP1B1* polymorphisms performed as well as more complex models. Stepwise logistic regression combining the four significant SNPs identified the model containing *CYP1B1* and *ERCC1* as the best: these SNPs were validated respectively in 81.0 and 80.8% of the models whereas *BRCA1* and *SLCO1B3* were selected in 69.2 and 72.3% of the models. The *ERCC1* CT genotype associated with *CYP1B1* CC genotype had the highest OR of 8.5 (p = 0.013; Table 4). pCR rate reached 50% for patients harboring these genotypes whereas the pCR rate is 21.2% in the whole population.

Association between pCR and SNPs considering ER status

In breast cancer, tumor subtypes are associated with different responses to neoadjuvant therapies. For example, highly proliferative ER– breast tumors are more sensitive to NCT than ER+ breast tumors: pCR rates are respectively 28–32 and 2–10% (von Minckwitz 2013; Colleoni et al. 2004; Kaufmann et al. 2012).

As pCR can be achieved only in a minority of patients with ER+ breast tumors, it is of particular interest to identify patients who can avoid neoadjuvant chemotherapy or who are candidates for a very high probability of pCR after chemotherapy. Therefore, among the four SNPs previously described as significantly associated to pCR, we searched for potential predictive marker for ER+ breast tumors. pCR is significantly increased for patients carrying variant alleles of *BRCA1*, *SLCO1B3*

	Total	pCR (N $=$ 2	25)	No pCR (N	P value	
	N = 118	n	% ^a	n	% ^a	
Tumor size						
T0-T1	5	1	20.0	4	80.0	
T2	60	16	26.7	44	73.3	
Т3	24	3	12.5	21	87.5	
T4	27	4	14.8	23	85.2	NS [†]
NA	2	1		1		
Histopronostic grade						
SBRI–SBRII	61	9	14.8	52	85.2	
SBRIII	43	16	37.2	27	62.8	0.009*
NA	14	0		14		
Nodal status						
Positive	63	12	19.1	51	80.9	
Negative	53	12	22.6	41	77.4	NS [†]
NA	2	1		1		
KI67						
Positive	42	12	28.6	30	71.4	
Negative	6	0	0.0	6	100.0	NS [†]
NA	70	13		57		
Hormone receptor sta	tus					
RH						
Positive ^b	77	12	15.6	65	84.4	
Negative ^c	39	13	33.3	26	66.7	0.003*
NA	2	0		2		
RE						
Positive	74	10	13.5	64	86.5	
Negative	42	15	35.7	27	64.3	0.005*
NA	2	0		2		
RP						
Positive	57	10	17.5	47	82.5	
Negative	58	15	25.9	43	74.1	NS*
NA	3	0		3		
Triple negative						
Yes	32	13	40.6	19	59.4	
No	75	12	16.0	63	84.0	0.006*
NA	11	0		11		

Table 2 Association between clinicopathological features and pCR in FEC-Taxotere treated group (N = 118)

NA not applicable, pCR pathological complete response.

* Khi-2 test.

⁺ Fisher exact test.

^{*} Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney test.

^a Percentages of evaluable patients.

^b Estrogen receptor or progesterone receptor positive.

^c Estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor negative.

and *CYP1B1* (Table 5, genotyping data obtained for 71 patients).

Considering patients with ER- tumors, only *ERCC1* (Asn118Asn) is significantly associated with response to NCT (Table 6, genotyping data obtained for 41 patients).

Patients carrying at least one variant allele for *ERCC1* (C) have a fourfold higher likelihood to achieve a pCR after NCT than patients with other genotypes.

Moreover, combined *ERCC1*-CT and *CYP1B1*-CC genotypes remain significant when adjusted on ER status

Gene	SNP		Genotype	N	pCR (N	l = 25)	OR	95% CI	P value
	rs #	wt			n	% ^a			
BRCA1	rs799917	С	CC	44	5	11.4	1		
	Pro871leu		CT-TT	66	19	28.8	3.15	(1.08-9.2)	0.025
			NA	4	1				
SLCO1B3	rs11045585	А	AA	79	13	16.5	1		
	IVS12-5676		AG-GG	34	12	35.3	2.77	(1.10–6.7)	0.031
			NA	1	0				
CYP1B1	rs1056836	С	CG	45	5	11.1	1		0.020
	Leu432Val		CC-GG	69	20	29.0	3.26	(1.12–9.5)	
ERCC1	rs11615	Т	TT	40	4	10.0	1		
	Asn118Asn		CT-CC	73	21	28.8	3.63	(1.15–11.5)	0.016
			NA	1	0				

Table 3 pCR is related to 4 SNPs (N = 114)

NA not applicable, *pCR* pathological complete response.

^a Percentages of evaluable patients.

Table 4 pCR is related to combination of CYP1B1 and ERCC1 polymorphisms

CYP1B1 (Leu432Val)	ERCC1	Ν	pCR		OR	95% CI	P value
	(Asn118Asn)		n	% ^a			
СС	Π	19	2	10.5	1	Reference	
CC	СТ	22	11	50.0	8.5	(1.6–46)	0.013
CC	CC	6	1	16.7	1.7	(0.13–23)	0.69
CG	TT	15	1	6.7	0.61	(0.05-7.4)	0.70
CG	CT	20	2	10.0	0.94	(0.12-7.5)	0.96
CG	CC	10	2	20.0	2.1	(0.25–18)	0.49
GG	TT	6	1	16.7	1.7	(0.13–23)	0.69
GG	CT	8	3	37.5	5.1	(0.66–39)	0.12
GG	CC	7	2	28.6	3.4	(0.38–31)	0.28

Significant results are shown in italic.

pCR pathological complete response.

^a Percentages of evaluable patients.

(p = 0.005; Table 7). In consequence, pCR rate reached 41.2% for patients with ER+ tumors harboring these genotypes whereas the pCR rate is only 13.5% for unselected patients with ER+ tumors. pCR rate is twice higher for patients with ER- tumors harboring combined *ERCC1*-CT and *CYP1B1*-CC genotypes than for unselected patients (80 vs 37.5%).

Discussion

In order to identify breast cancer patients who will benefit from anthracyclines and/or taxanes based NCT, we analyzed the relationship between 37 SNPs and the response to these chemotherapeutic agents.

First overall, our data confirmed that response to NCT is related to clinicopathological features such as histoprognostic tumor grade and hormone receptor status. According to previous published data (von Minckwitz 2013), we observed that pCR rate is increased in SBRIII and HR negative tumors and that ER- tumors respond better to NCT than ER+ tumors. Our data also indicated that patients with triple negative tumors achieve significantly higher pathologic complete response rate than those with non-triple negative tumors. Unexpectedly, pCR was not significantly associated to KI67 expression in our study. Nevertheless, this observation may be explained in part by the fact that data were missing for more than half of patients.

Secondly, considering the predictive value of the 37 studied SNPs, we demonstrated using univariate analyses that *BRCA1* (Pro871Leu) and *ERCC1* (Asn118Asn), two polymorphisms located in DNA repair genes, and also *CYP1B1* (Leu432Val) and *SLCO1B3* (IVS12-5676), two polymorphisms in genes involved in drug metabolism, are linked to response to taxanes and anthracyclines. Multivariate analysis revealed that *ERCC1* (Asn118Asn) combined to *CYP1B1* (Leu432Val) might be of particular

Gene	SNP		Genotype	Ν	pCR (N	l = 10)	OR	95% CI	P value
	rs #	wt			n	% ^a			
BRCA1	rs799917	С	CC	26	0	0.0			
	Pro871Leu		CT-TT	42	9	21.4			0.010
			NA	3	1				
SLCO1B3	rs11045585	А	AA	49	4	8.2	1		
	IVS12-5676		AG-GG	21	6	28.6	4.50	(1.12-18.1)	0.032
			NA	1	0				
CYP1B1	rs1056836	С	CG	26	0	0.0			
	Leu432Val		CC-GG	45	10	22.2			0.011
ERCC1	rs11615	Т	TT	22	1	1.5			
	Asn118Asn		CT-CC	48	9	18.8			0.08
			NA	1	0				

Table 5 BRCA1, SLCO1B3 and CYP1B1 are significantly associated with pCR in ER + tumors (N = 71)

Significant results are shown in italic.

NA not applicable, pCR pathological complete response.

^a Percentages of evaluable patients.

Table 6 ERCC1 is significantly associated with pCR in ER- tumors ($N = 41$	Table 6	ERCC1 is signific	cantly associate	d with pCR in ER	- tumors (N = 41)
---	---------	-------------------	------------------	------------------	-------------------

Gene	SNP		Genotype	Ν	pCR (N	l = 15)	OR	95% CI	P value
	rs #	wt			n	% ^a			
BRCA1	rs799917	С	CC	17	5	29.4			
	Pro871 leu		CT-TT	23	10	43.5			0.36
			NA	1	0				
SLCO1B3	rs11045585	А	AA	28	9	32.1			
	IVS12-5676		AG-GG	13	6	46.2			0.39
CYP1B1	rs1056836	С	CG	18	5	27.8			
	Leu432Val		CC-GG	23	10	43.5			0.29
ERCC1	rs11615	Т	TT	17	3	17.6	1		
	Asn118Asn		CT-CC	24	12	50.0	4.7	(1.06-20)	0.030

Significant results are shown in italic.

NA not applicable, pCR pathological complete response.

^a Percentages of evaluable patients.

interest as predictive markers for NCT in breast cancer. Indeed, we observed that patients exhibiting *ERCC1*-CT genotype combined to *CYP1B1*-CC genotype presented a doubling of pCR rate compared with unselected patients (50 vs 21.2%).

Our results are in agreement with previous published data. The *BRCA1* variant allele (T) is associated with better overall survival and longer progression-free survival compared to the reference allele in patients with advanced gastric cancer treated with taxanes and cisplatin (Shim et al. 2010).

Although considered as neutral, the functional significance of Pro871Leu (C > T) polymorphism of *BRCA1* is still unknown. Pro871Leu might affect expression, activity or interaction of *BRCA1* with its partners. As a consequence, Pro871Leu might impair DNA repairing function of *BRCA1* and might contribute to potentiate efficacy of DNA damaging agents.

ERCC1 CC genotype was reported to confer longer survival and time to progression in patients with NSCLC treated with cisplatin plus docetaxel (Isla et al. 2004). Any other study described a link between *ERCC1* polymorphism and response to taxanes/anthracyclines, probably because *ERCC1* polymorphism has extensively been studied in response to platinium compounds, *ERCC1* C genotype being related to both a better (Isla et al. 2004; Chang et al. 2009; Kalikaki et al. 2009; Warnecke-Eberz et al. 2009; Metzger et al. 2012) and a worse response (Viguier et al. 2005; Kamikozuru et al. 2008; Ren et al. 2012). The consequence of the silent polymorphism Asn118Asn (T > C) on *ERCC1* transcription and expression is not elucidated and remains still conflicting. Gao & co had

CYP1B1 (Leu432Val)	ERCC1 (Asn118Asn)	N	pCR		OR	95% CI	P value
			n	% ^a			
СС	TT	19	2	10.5	1	Reference	
CC	CT	22	11	50.0	16.1	(2.3–112)	0.005
CC	CC	6	1	16.7	2.8	(0.16–47)	0.48
CG	TT	15	1	6.7	0.41	(0.03-5.6)	0.51
CG	CT	20	2	10.0	0.77	(0.09-6.8)	0.81
CG	CC	10	2	20.0	2.4	(0.24–25)	0.45
GG	TT	6	1	16.7	0.89	(0.06-13.5)	0.93
GG	CT	8	3	37.5	4.4	(0.46-41)	0.20
GG	CC	7	2	28.6	3.1	(0.28-34)	0.35
ER—		42	15		1	Reference	
ER+		71	10		0.12	(0.03-0.43)	0.001

Table 7 pCR is related to combination of CYP1B1 and ERCC1 polymorphisms when adjusted on ER status

Significant results are shown in italic.

pCR pathological complete response.

^a Percentages of evaluable patients.

demonstrated no difference in *ERCC1* transcription nor expression between cells stably expressing *ERCC1-T* allele or *ERCC1-C* allele (Gao et al. 2011). However, data obtained on lymphocytes of prostate cancer patients revealed that carriers of CC genotype showed lower *ERCC1* mRNA levels (Woelfelschneider et al. 2008).

Thus, by decreasing *ERCC1* mRNA levels, *C* genotype might decrease *ERCC1* mediated DNA repair and might contribute to potentiate efficacy of DNA damaging drugs. This hypothesis is in agreement with clinical data which present that low levels of *ERCC1* are favorable for sensitivity to platinium compounds (Li et al. 2000; Shirota et al. 2001; Lord et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2008).

CYP1B1 Val genotype is associated with lower response rate, shorter progression-free-survival and decrease overall survival in breast and prostate cancer patients treated with taxanes based chemotherapy (Marsh et al. 2007; Sissung et al. 2008; Pastina et al. 2010). However, in our study, only Leu/Val genotype provided the best data fit for the SNP Leu432Val. The hypothesis of an overdominant model, previously described in bladder cancer (Salinas-Sanchez et al. 2012), might be explained by a higher enzyme activity in heterozygous than in homozygous. CYP1B1 binds and sequestrates docetaxel reducing its available concentration and influencing its activity (McFadyen et al. 2001). CYP1B1 also produces oestrogen metabolites that limit docetaxel efficacy by inhibition of tubulin polymerization (Sissung et al. 2008). Thus, by increasing CYP1B1 mRNA expression and catalytic activity (Shimada et al. 1999; Hanna et al. 2000; Li et al. 2000; Landi et al. 2005), Leu432Val substitution appears to modulate response to taxanes.

SLCO1B3 is an influx transporter involved in the uptake of a broad range of drug substrates including docetaxel (Konig et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2005). The functional impact of rs11045585 polymorphism is yet unknown. However, asian nasopharyngeal cancer patients homozygous for the variant allele had higher area under curve and less plasma clearance of docetaxel compared to patients carrying at least one reference allele (Chew et al. 2011). It is to be noted that rs11045585 polymorphism is proposed to exert its functional effect by being in haplotypic combination with 3 other polymorphism in the *SLCO1B3* gene (Chew et al. 2012).

Finally, as pCR is rarely achieved by NCT in ER+ breast tumors, we searched if *BRCA1* (Pro871Leu), *ERCC1* (Asn118Asn), *CYP1B1* (Leu432Val) and *SLCO1B3* (IVS12-5676) could stand for predictive markers of NCT for patients with this subtype of tumor. Our results indicated that *BRCA1* (Pro871Leu), *CYP1B1* (Leu432Val) and *SLCO1B3* are significantly associated with response to NCT in ER+ tumors. *ERCC1/CYP1B1* combination remains significant for ER+ tumors and might be useful to help indication of NCT in this particular type of tumors as patients exhibiting *ERCC1*-CT genotype combined to *CYP1B1*-CC genotype presented a threefold higher pCR rate compared with unselected patients: 41.2 vs 13.5%.

Our data also indicated that *ERCC1* Asn118Asn might be a potential predictive marker for NCT in ER- tumors, as pCR is increased to 50% for patients carrying at least one variant allele (C).

In conclusion, *BRCA1* (Pro871Leu), *ERCC1* (Asn118Asn), *CYP1B1* (Leu432Val), and *SLCO1B3* (rs11045585) are associated with response to NCT in our cohort of breast cancer patients. To our knowledge, this study is the first to report *ERCC1*, *BRCA1* and *SLCO1B3* as markers of taxanes and/or anthracyclines response in breast cancer NCT.

Moreover *ERCC1/CYPB1* combination appeared to be a potential predictive marker to guide NCT indication in breast cancer and particularly for ER+ breast tumors.

Additional file

Additional file 1. Table S1: List of polymorphisms selected for the study.

Authors' contributions

AD, FR, JPP and JB planned and designed the study. The development of methodology was assessed by AD, FR, JPP, ET, AK and JB. JC, DP, ADuc performed acquisition of the data. Analysis and interpretation of the data were carried out by JC, ET, AD, FR, JPP and JB. AD, FR, JPP, JB, ET and AK wrote or reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Laboratoire d'Oncologie Moléculaire Humaine, Centre Oscar Lambret, 3 rue Frédéric Combemale, BP 307, 59020 Lille Cedex, France. ² Département de Sénologie, Centre Oscar Lambret, 3 rue Frédéric Combemale, BP 307, 59020 Lille Cedex, France. ³ Unité de Méthodologie et Biostatistique, Centre Oscar Lambret, 3 rue Frédéric Combemale, BP 307, 59020 Lille Cedex, France.

Compliance with ethical guidelines

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

All patients gave written informed consent for genetic testing. This study was approved by the Comité de Protection des Personnes Nord Ouest IV (Lille) and by the Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits de Santé (Paris), N°IDRCB: 2007-A00908-45.

Received: 19 May 2015 Accepted: 21 May 2015 Published online: 07 July 2015

References

- Chang PM, Tzeng CH, Chen PM, Lin JK, Lin TC, Chen WS et al (2009) ERCC1 codon 118 C-> T polymorphism associated with ERCC1 expression and outcome of FOLFOX-4 treatment in Asian patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma. Cancer Sci 100:278–283
- Chew SC, Singh O, Chen X, Ramasamy RD, Kulkarni T, Lee EJ et al (2011) The effects of CYP3A4, CYP3A5, ABCB1, ABCC2, ABCG2 and SLCO1B3 single nucleotide polymorphisms on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of docetaxel in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 67:1471–1478
- Chew SC, Sandanaraj E, Singh O, Chen X, Tan EH, Lim WT et al (2012) Influence of SLCO1B3 haplotype-tag SNPs on docetaxel disposition in Chinese nasopharyngeal cancer patients. Br J Clin Pharmacol 73:606–618
- Colleoni M, Viale G, Zahrieh D, Pruneri G, Gentilini O, Veronesi P et al (2004) Chemotherapy is more effective in patients with breast cancer not expressing steroid hormone receptors: a study of preoperative treatment. Clin Cancer Res 10:6622–6628
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R et al (2009) New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 45:228–247
- Elston CW, Ellis IO (1998) Assessment of histological grade. The Breast, 3rd edn. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, pp 365–384
- Gao R, Reece K, Sissung T, Reed E, Price DK, Figg WD (2011) The ERCC1 N118 N polymorphism does not change cellular ERCC1 protein expression or platinum sensitivity. Mutat Res 708:21–27

- Hanna IH, Dawling S, Roodi N, Guengerich FP, Parl FF (2000) Cytochrome P450 1B1 (CYP1B1) pharmacogenetics: association of polymorphisms with functional differences in estrogen hydroxylation activity. Cancer Res 60:3440–3444
- Isla D, Sarries C, Rosell R, Alonso G, Domine M, Taron M et al (2004) Single nucleotide polymorphisms and outcome in docetaxel-cisplatin-treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol 15:1194–1203
- Kalikaki A, Kanaki M, Vassalou H, Souglakos J, Voutsina A, Georgoulias V et al (2009) DNA repair gene polymorphisms predict favorable clinical outcome in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer 10:118–123
- Kamikozuru H, Kuramochi H, Hayashi K, Nakajima G, Yamamoto M (2008) ERCC1 codon 118 polymorphism is a useful prognostic marker in patients with pancreatic cancer treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. Int J Oncol 32:1091–1096
- Kaufmann M, von Minckwitz G, Mamounas EP, Cameron D, Carey LA, Cristofanilli M et al (2012) Recommendations from an international consensus conference on the current status and future of neoadjuvant systemic therapy in primary breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 19:1508–1516
- Konig J, Cui Y, Nies AT, Keppler D (2000) Localization and genomic organization of a new hepatocellular organic anion transporting polypeptide. J Biol Chem 275:23161–23168
- Landi MT, Bergen AW, Baccarelli A, Patterson DG Jr, Grassman J, Ter-Minassian M et al (2005) CYP1A1 and CYP1B1 genotypes, haplotypes, and TCDDinduced gene expression in subjects from Seveso, Italy. Toxicology 207:191–202
- Li Q, Yu JJ, Mu C, Yunmbam MK, Slavsky D, Cross CL et al (2000a) Association between the level of ERCC-1 expression and the repair of cisplatininduced DNA damage in human ovarian cancer cells. Anticancer Res 20:645–652
- Li DN, Seidel A, Pritchard MP, Wolf CR, Friedberg T (2000b) Polymorphisms in P450 CYP1B1 affect the conversion of estradiol to the potentially carcinogenic metabolite 4-hydroxyestradiol. Pharmacogenetics 10:343–353
- Lord RV, Brabender J, Gandara D, Alberola V, Camps C, Domine M et al (2002) Low ERCC1 expression correlates with prolonged survival after cisplatin plus gemcitabine chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 8:2286–2291
- Marsh S, Somlo G, Li X, Frankel P, King CR, Shannon WD et al (2007) Pharmacogenetic analysis of paclitaxel transport and metabolism genes in breast cancer. Pharmacogenomics J 7:362–365
- McFadyen MC, McLeod HL, Jackson FC, Melvin WT, Doehmer J, Murray GI (2001) Cytochrome P450 CYP1B1 protein expression: a novel mechanism of anticancer drug resistance. Biochem Pharmacol 62:207–212
- Metzger R, Warnecke-Eberz U, Alakus H, Kutting F, Brabender J, Vallbohmer D et al (2012) Neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy in adenocarcinoma of the esophagus: ERCC1 gene polymorphisms for prediction of response and prognosis. J Gastrointest Surg 16:26–34
- Pastina I, Giovannetti E, Chioni A, Sissung TM, Crea F, Orlandini C et al (2010) Cytochrome 450 1B1 (CYP1B1) polymorphisms associated with response to docetaxel in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (CRPC) patients. BMC Cancer 10:511
- R Core team (2012) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0. http://www.R-project.org/
- Rastogi P, Anderson SJ, Bear HD, Geyer CE, Kahlenberg MS, Robidoux A et al (2008) Preoperative chemotherapy: updates of National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Protocols B-18 and B-27. J Clin Oncol 26:778–785
- Ren S, Zhou S, Wu F, Zhang L, Li X, Zhang J et al (2012) Association between polymorphisms of DNA repair genes and survival of advanced NSCLC patients treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. Lung Cancer 75:102–109
- Salinas-Sanchez AS, Donate-Moreno MJ, Lopez-Garrido MP, Gimenez-Bachs JM, Escribano J (2012) Role of CYP1B1 gene polymorphisms in bladder cancer susceptibility. J Urol 187:700–706
- Sataloff DM, Mason BA, Prestipino AJ, Seinige UL, Lieber CP, Baloch Z (1995) Pathologic response to induction chemotherapy in locally advanced carcinoma of the breast: a determinant of outcome. J Am Coll Surg 180:297–306
- Shim HJ, Yun JY, Hwang JE, Bae WK, Cho SH, Lee JH et al (2010) BRCA1 and XRCC1 polymorphisms associated with survival in advanced gastric cancer treated with taxane and cisplatin. Cancer Sci 101:1247–1254

- Shimada T, Watanabe J, Kawajiri K, Sutter TR, Guengerich FP, Gillam EM et al (1999) Catalytic properties of polymorphic human cytochrome P450 1B1 variants. Carcinogenesis 20:1607–1613
- Shirota Y, Stoehlmacher J, Brabender J, Xiong YP, Uetake H, Danenberg KD et al (2001) ERCC1 and thymidylate synthase mRNA levels predict survival for colorectal cancer patients receiving combination oxaliplatin and fluorouracil chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 19:4298–4304
- Sissung TM, Danesi R, Price DK, Steinberg SM, de Wit R, Zahid M et al (2008) Association of the CYP1B1*3 allele with survival in patients with prostate cancer receiving docetaxel. Mol Cancer Ther 7:19–26
- Smith NF, Acharya MR, Desai N, Figg WD, Sparreboom A (2005) Identification of OATP1B3 as a high-affinity hepatocellular transporter of paclitaxel. Cancer Biol Ther 4:815–818
- Viguier J, Boige V, Miquel C, Pocard M, Giraudeau B, Sabourin JC et al (2005) ERCC1 codon 118 polymorphism is a predictive factor for the tumor

response to oxaliplatin/5-fluorouracil combination chemotherapy in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 11:6212–6217 von Minckwitz G (2013) Neoadjuvant therapy: what are the lessons so far? Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 27:767–784

- Wang L, Wei J, Qian X, Yin H, Zhao Y, Yu L et al (2008) ERCC1 and BRCA1 mRNA expression levels in metastatic malignant effusions is associated with chemosensitivity to cisplatin and/or docetaxel. BMC Cancer 8:97
- Warnecke-Eberz U, Vallbohmer D, Alakus H, Kutting F, Lurje G, Bollschweiler E et al (2009) ERCC1 and XRCC1 gene polymorphisms predict response to neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy in esophageal cancer. J Gastrointest Surg 13:1411–1421
- Woelfelschneider A, Popanda O, Lilla C, Linseisen J, Mayer C, Celebi O et al (2008) A distinct ERCC1 haplotype is associated with mRNA expression levels in prostate cancer patients. Carcinogenesis 29:1758–1764

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com