BMC Gastroenterology

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Leukotriene receptor expression in esophageal squamous cell cancer and non-transformed esophageal epithelium: a matched case control study

M. Venerito^{1†}, C. Helmke^{1†}, D. Jechorek², T. Wex¹, R. Rosania¹, K. Antweiler³, J. Weigt¹ and P. Malfertheiner^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Leukotriene B4 (LTB4R and LTB4R2) and cysteinyl leukotriene receptors (CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2) contribute to malignant cell transformation. We aimed to investigate the expression of LTB4R, LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and adjacent non-transformed squamous epithelium of the esophagus, as well as in control biopsy samples from esophageal squamous epithelium of patients with functional dyspepsia.

Methods: Expression of LTB4R, LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 was analyzed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) in biopsy samples of 19 patients with esophageal squamous cell cancer and 9 sex- and age-matched patients with functional dyspepsia.

Results: LTB4R, LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 were expressed in all biopsy samples. Major findings were: 1) protein levels of all leukotriene receptors were significantly increased in esophageal squamous cell cancer compared to control mucosa (p < 0.05); 2) CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 gene expression was decreased in cancer tissue compared to control at 0.26–fold and 0.23–fold respectively; 3) an up-regulation of LTB4R (mRNA and protein expression) and a down-regulation of CYSLTR2 (mRNA expression) in non-transformed epithelium of cancer patients compared to control (p < 0.05) was observed.

Conclusions: The expression of leukotriene receptors was deregulated in esophageal squamous cell cancer. Up-regulation of *LTB4R* and down-regulation of *CYSLTR2* gene expression may occur already in normal squamous esophageal epithelium of patients with esophageal cancer suggesting a potential role of these receptors in early steps of esophageal carcinogenesis. Larger studies are warranted to confirm these observations.

Keywords: Esophageal squamous cell cancer, Leukotriene receptors, Eicosanoids, Carcinogenesis

Background

The incidence of squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus (ESCC) varies widely in the world, with ageadjusted incidence rates ranging from 5 to 100/100.000 inhabitants/year [1]. In Europe, the incidence of this subtype of esophageal cancer is of 5.4/100.000 for men and 1.1/100.000 for women, with highest rates in Scotland (13/100.000 for men and 4/100.000 for women) and lowest rates in Greece and Bulgaria (below 2/ 100.000 for men and 0.5/100.000 for women) [2]. In Germany the incidence is of 6–10/100.000, occurring four times more often in men than in women [3]. The prognosis is poor, with only 1 on 5 patients surviving 3 years or more after the initial diagnosis [4]. Many epidemiological studies have demonstrated that hazardous alcohol consumption and tobacco smoking increase the risk of ESCC [5–7].

Leukotrienes belong to the large group of eicosanoids that originate from the oxidative degradation of



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: peter.malfertheiner@med.ovgu.de

[†]Equal contributors

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Infectious Diseases, Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital, Leipziger Str. 44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

arachidonic acids [8]. Eicosanoids have pleiotropic effects on various cellular functions and numerous studies have shown their role in the pathogenesis of chronic inflammation and cancer [9, 10]. In particular, recent studies have shown an involvement of leukotriene receptors in the development of carcinomas of the pancreas, stomach, colon, urinary bladder and ovary [11–15].

We hypothesized that the expression of these receptors might be deregulated also in ESCC. Therefore, the expression pattern of the two leukotriene B4 receptors LTB4R and LTB4R2 and the two receptors for cysteinyl leukotrienes (CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2) was studied by immunohistochemistry and quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) in a prospective study cohort of patients with esophageal squamous cell cancer. Tissue specimen from cancer and adjacent non-transformed squamous epithelium were analyzed. Gene expression may also be deregulated in adjacent nontransformed squamous epithelium of patients with esophageal squamous cell cancer [16]. Thus, a control group of patients with functional dyspepsia was recruited.

Methods

Study population

The study was conducted according to the declaration of Helsinki of 1975, as revised in 1983 and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital of Magdeburg (No. 34/08). All subjects provided written informed consent before entering the study. Nineteen newly diagnosed patients with ESCC were prospectively enrolled from March 2009 to April 2010 at the Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital, Magdeburg, Germany. After overnight fasting all individuals underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy with videogastroscope (GIF Q145 or GIF Q180, Olympus Medical, Hamburg, Germany). The following exclusion criteria were applied: malignancies other than ESCC, lack of signed informed consent, clinically instable patient. None of the patients with ESCC had chemotherapy, radiotherapy or surgery prior to endoscopy. For each patient four biopsies each were collected from the tumor and from macroscopically non transformed mucosa. Two biopsies were sent to the pathologist for histology, one biopsy was immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C and one other was directly paraffin embedded. Narrow-band imaging was used to better demarcate neoplastic lesions from the surrounding normal non neoplastic mucosa. Lugol's chromoendoscopy was used to further investigate flat lesions suspicious of being cancerous.

Nine sex- and age-matched subjects (± 4 years) undergoing upper GI endoscopy for dyspeptic symptoms were enrolled as control group. Exclusion criteria were: the presence of typical symptoms for gastro-esophageal reflux disease, the intake of proton pump inhibitors in the last 2 weeks, and/or the presence of esophageal erosions at endoscopy. Control biopsies were collected at least 2 cm cranial to the Z-line and subsequently snap frozen or directly paraffin embedded as mentioned above.

Structured questionnaire

Patients enrolled prospectively and controls were interviewed within 2 days before undergoing upper GI endoscopy using a structured questionnaire, providing information on demographics, medical history, smoking habits, alcohol intake and proton pump inhibitor (PPI) intake. The english version of the study questionnaire is shown in the Additional file 1. As there is no safe level of smoking [17], patients were classified into current smokers (within the past 12 months), former smokers and patients who never smoked. Alcohol consumption was consequently classified in 3 categories as most guidelines in different countries recommend that alcohol intake should not exceed 20g/day for men and 10g/day for women [18]: abstainers, low alcohol consumption (<20g/day for men, <10g/day for women) and hazardous alcohol consumption $(\geq 20g/day \text{ for men, } \geq 10g/day \text{ women}).$

Extraction of total RNA, cDNA synthesis and quantitative RT-PCR

Biopsies were stored at -80 °C and subjected to a two-step RNA extraction protocol as described previously [19]. cDNA transcription was performed using 250 ng of total RNA amount. In a final volume of 40 µl, 20 units of AMV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) in the buffer containing 1x reaction buffer, 0.5 mM dNTP (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), 10 mM random hexanucleotides and 50 units of placenta RNase inhibitor (all reagents from Promega) were utilized. After incubation at 42 °C for 1 h enzymes were inactivated at 95 °C for 10 min and the reaction mixture was kept frozen at -80 °C until enzymatic amplification. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using an iCycler (BioRad, Munich, Germany). A typical 30 µl reaction mixture consisted of 15µl Hot-StarTaq[™] Master Mix, 1.2 µl of the RT-reaction, 0.3 µl SYBR-Green I (1:10.000) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, USA), and 0.25 μ M of the specific primers for the gene analyzed. Primary denaturation and activation of Taqpolymerase at 95 °C for 15 min was followed by 40 cycles with denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s. The initial template mRNA amounts were calculated by determining the time point at which the linear increase of sample PCR product started, relative to the corresponding points of a standard curve; these are given as artificial units. All PCR products were cloned into the pDIRECT[™] (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and used as internal standard for PCR. All PCR

standard curves had correlation coefficients >0.95. β -actin mRNA amounts were used to normalize the cDNA contents of the different samples. The following primers were used for the RT-PCR analysis: β -actin (fw: 5'-cat-gcc-atc-ctg-cgt-ctg.gac-c-3', rev: 5'-aca-tgg-tgg-tgc-cgc-cag-aca-g-3'), *LTB4R* (fw: 5'-tca-gca-cca-tca-ggg-cag-tga-c-3', rev: 5'-ctg-acc-ctg-gga-ttg-gca-tca-g-3'), *LTB4R2* (fw: 5'-ggg-tgt-aaa-ggg-acg-tgc-aca-g-3', rev: 5'-gct-tgt-gct-gtt-cctgg-cag-g-3'), *CYSLTR1* (fw: 5'-caa-tag-tgg-aca-acg-cag-tgg-cag-aca-acg-c3'), *CYSLTR2* (fw: 5'-AGG-ATT-GAA-GCA-GGC-ATT-GG C-3', rev: 5'-aca-tgg-gga-ttg-gag-gtc-cca-gaa-tcg-g-3').

Immunohistochemical staining and cell count

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed using the avidin-biotin complex immunostaining method and the automated immunohistochemistry slide staining system by Ventana NexES (Ventana Medical Sys-Strasbourg, France). Tissue sections were tem, deparafinized, dehydrated and underwent antigen retrieval using a Dako protocol. Slides were incubated with specific primary rabbit polyclonal antibodies for LTB4R, LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 or CYSLTR2 (Cayman chemicals, catalogue number 120114, dilution 1:100; Acris, catalogue number SP4368P, dilution 1:25; GeneTex Inc., catalogue number GTX70519, dilution 1:100; Lifespan Biosciences, catalogue number LS-A2255, dilution 1:100, respectively) either. All primary antibody incubations were followed by PBS-washing. Positive immunohistochemical reactions were revealed using the iVIEWTM DAB Detection Kit (Ventana, Germany). Specimens were counterstained with hematoxylin and mounted with DEPEX[™]. Specificity of immunostaining was checked with non-immune serum. Samples were examined independently by CH and DJ. For LTB4R, LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2, the staining intensity (SI) and the percentage of positive cells (PP) were scored as followed: SI was classified in 0 (no staining), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate) and 3 (strong); PP: 0 (no positive cells), 1 (<10 %), 2 (10–50 %), 3 (51-80 %), 4 (>80 %). For each slide the immunoreactive score (IRS) was calculated as SI x PP with a possible maximum score of 12. The esophageal mucosa consists of different layers including (from the basal membrane to the lumen) basal stratum, spinosum stratum and superficial stratum. An example for an absent staining is shown in superficial cells of Fig. 1c (IRS = 0), whereas basal stratum shows a weak SI (SI score = 1) for 10-50 % of cells in the same picture (IRS = 2). A moderate staining is displayed in figure 1G (SI score = 2) for >80 % of cancer cells (IRS = 8). In 1H, superficial stratum shows a strong SI (SI score = 3) for >80 % cells (PP score = 4) resulting in an IRS of 12. For IRS assessment and statistical analysis we focused on the sole basal stratum where (cancer) stem cells are supposed to originate from [20].

Statistical analysis

All data were entered into a database and analyzed using the R - 2.15.0. statistic software (free download on http:// www.r-project.org/). Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Mann-Whitney *U* test were used for comparisons of the groups where appropriate (2-sided). A statistical *p*-value <0.05 was considered as significant for all comparisons. In order to reduce the chances of obtaining false-positive results due to multiple comparisons (k = 12), Bonferroni correction was applied to the *p*-value of comparisons concerning immunohistochemistry of ESCC and basal stratum of non-transformed epithelium in cancer patients and control (p < 0.05).

Results

Overview on demographic population characteristics and tumor location, staging and grading

Baseline data of ESCC patients are presented in Table 1. The majority of subjects with ESCC and functional dyspepsia were male (14/19 and 5/9 respectively), and the mean age was 62 ± 11 years in cancer patients and 61.6 ± 12 years in controls. The most common symptoms reported at admission from patients with ESCC were loss of weight (60 %) and dysphagia (74.7 %), whereas only 28 % of patients reported heartburn and 25.3 % odynophagia.

For each patient the alcohol consumption was also recorded. In 94.7 % of cases (18 of 19) alcohol consume was accounted; 12/19 patients (63.2 %) were active alcohol consumer and 6/19 cases (31.6 %) were former drinker. 1 patient (5.3 %) never drank alcohol. According to the amount of alcohol, patients were considered low alcohol consumer (5/19, 41.7%) and high alcohol consumer (7/19, 58.3 %). Also smoking habits were recorded and 11/19 cases (57.9 %) were considered active smoker, whereas 8/19 patients (42.1 %) were former smoker in the last year. There was no never smoker in cancer patients.

Control group was composed by 9 sex-age matched patients with dyspeptic symptoms: 5 men (55.5 %) and 4 female (44.5 %). For 6/9 controls, smoking habits and alcohol intake were recorded: 3/ 6 controls had never smoked and further 3 controls were former smokers. Four out of 6 controls reported to consume alcohol currently whereas 2 controls were abstainers. In 3 cases questionnaires were not filled out before endoscopy. The use of sedation for endoscopy hampered to obtain missing information afterwards. Patients were not contacted again. No data on quantity of alcohol was available for controls.

In Fig. 2 an overview on tumor characteristics is presented. Tumor location was documented as distance from upper central incisor teeth. Distribution on upper (15 - 23 cm), mid-thoracic (23 - 32 cm) and lower



esophagus (32 – 40 cm) was 3 (15,8 %), 8 (42,1 %) and 8 (42,1 %).

With respect to the tumor grading, 0, 11/19 (57.9 %), 7/19 (36.8 %) and 1 (5.3 %) patients had G1, G2, G3 and G4, respectively.

According to the classification of the international Union Contre le Cancer [21] stage I, II, III and IV were present in 2/19 (10.5 %), 2/19 (10.5 %), 4/19 (21.1%) and 11/19 (57.9 %) patients, respectively. None of the patients in the control group had a malignancy of the upper GI tract.

Immunohistochemical expression of leukotriene receptors in ESCC, non-transformed epithelium of cancer patients and controls

Immunohistochemical staining was performed for all cancers (19/19) and control patients (9/9), as well as 15/19 normal esophageal epithelia of cancer patients. 4/19 biopsies from normal esophageal epithelia of cancer patients contained insufficient tissue for immunohistochemical evaluation. Raw data on immunohistochemical expression of leukotriene receptors are provided in the

Table 1 Baseline data of ESCC patients

	N = 19 (%)	
Male/female	14/5 (73.6/26.4)	
Mean age (years)	62 ± 11	
Alcohol consumption		
Abstainer	1 (5.3)	
Former drinking	6 (31.6)	
Active drinking	12 (63.2)	
Alcohol consumption (amount)		
Low ^a	5 (41.7)	
High ^b	7 (58.3)	
Smoking habits		
Never	0	
Former smoker	8 (42.1)	
Active smoker	11 (57.9)	

Subjects were considered former drinkers of alcohol and former smokers when no consumption was declared within the past 12 month, respectively. The amount of male and female alcohol intake was differently classified into (^a) low (<20g/day for men, <10g/day for women) and (^b) high risk (\geq 20g/day for men, \geq 10g/day women) intake. *ESCC* esophageal squamous cell cancer

Additional file 2. For each receptor staining, comparisons were performed between cancer tissue and basal strata of esophageal epithelium in cancer patients and control. An overview on LTB4R, LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 receptors and their distinct expression profiles in cancers and non-malignant tissue is presented in Fig. 1. In cancerous and non-cancerous epithelial cells, leukotriene receptors were located within the cytoplasm. For CYSLTR1, nuclear receptor staining was also detected in 14/19 ESCC (74 %) as well as in 12/15 nontransformed mucosa specimen of patients with cancer (80 %) and 6/9 in control (66 %). In the present study we focused on the expression of leukotriene receptors in cancer tissue and basal epithelial cells of the esophageal mucosa. Statistical results regarding staining intensities of the different leukotriene receptors are shown in Page 5 of 9

Table 2. IRS of LTB4R was medium in cancer tissue and basal stratum of esophageal epithelium in cancer patients, whereas in control epithelium basal cells presented a weak reactivity. LTB4R protein expression was increased in cancer and non-transformed epithelium of cancer patients compared to control (p < 0.05), whereas no difference in LTB4R expression was observed between ESCC and adjacent non-transformed epithelium in cancer patients. IRS of LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 receptors were medium in cancer tissue but low in normal epithelium of cancer patients and control basal stratum. Protein expression of LTB4R2 and CYSLTR2 was found to be significantly increased in cancer tissue compared to normal mucosa of cancer group and control (p < 0.05). With respect to CYSLTR1 expression, cancer tissue displayed a significant up-regulation compared to non-transformed squamous epithelium of cancer patients (p < 0.05) but not to control epithelium of dyspeptic patients. Protein expression of LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 in basal stratum of nontransformed epithelium of cancer patients did not differ from the corresponding layer in control.

Immune cells infiltrating cancerous and non-cancerous tissue also presented a cytosolic and occasionally membranous leukotriene receptor expression for the various receptors.

Expression of *LTB4R/LTB4R2* and *CYSLTR1/CYSLTR2* mRNA in cancer tissue, non-transformed epithelium of cancer patients and control

Raw data on mRNA expression of leukotriene receptors are provided in the Additional file 2. Figure 3 shows the mRNA transcript level of *LTB4R/LTB4R2* and *CYSLTR1/CYSLTR2* in the 3 groups. The *LTB4R* mRNA showed an increase of the transcript in cancer tissue (1.69-fold) and non-transformed esophageal epithelium of cancer patients (2.24-fold) compared to control. The increase of





Table 2 Immunohistochemical	expression	of leukotriene
receptors		

Immunoreactive score (IRS)						
	LTB4R	LTB4R2	CYSLTR1	CYSLTR2		
CSE median (range)	4 (0 - 8)	4 (4 - 6)	4 (4 - 8)	4 (-)		
NTSE median (range)	8 (4 - 8)	4 (0 - 4)	4 (0 - 8)	4 (-)		
ESCC median (range)	8 (4 - 12)	8 (4 - 12)	8 (4 - 12)	8 (4 - 12)		
NTSE/CSE, p-value	0.034	0.588	1	1		
ESCC/CSE, p-value	0.0016	0.016	0.252	0.016		
ESCC/NTSE, p-value	1	0.0059	0.047	0.0234		

IRS of all receptors are shown in the upper part of the table. Medians of IRS and corresponding range of staining intensities are shown for each group. In the lower part of the table comparisons between the different histological groups are shown. For statistical analysis, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied on groups of cancer patient whereas Mann-Whitney *U* test was used for inter-individual comparisons. All p-values were multiplied by k = 12 (Bonferroni correction) and considered significant when <0.05. Significant changes are displayed in bold letters. *ESCC* esophageal squamous cell cancer, *NTSE* non-transformed squamous epithelium of cancer patients, *CSE* control squamous epithelium; p < 0.05 (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, Mann-Whitney *U* test, Bonferroni correction he = 12)

LTB4R mRNA in normal mucosa of patients with cancer compared to control was statistically significant (p < 0.05). No significant differences were found between ESCC and non-transformed mucosa in cancer patients. The *LTB4R2* transcript showed no statistically significant



difference between cancer tissue and the esophageal squamous epithelium (patients with ESCC and control). In cancer tissue, *CYSLTR1* showed a decrease to 0.26-fold in mRNA levels compared to control with statistical significance (p < 0.05). Between cancers and non-transformed mucosa of cancer patients, no differences were statistically detectable. The expression of *CYSLTR2* mRNA was reduced in cancer tissue to 0.23-fold and in normal epithelium of cancer patients to 0.25-fold compared to control. This reached statistical significance (p < 0.05).

Expression of leukotriene receptors and tumor stage

The clinical classification of tumor stages was performed according to UICC [21]. As the numbers of patients in stages I-III were small, stage I, II and III were grouped together and compared with stage IV. There was no observed difference in the expression of the receptors LTB4R, LTB4R2, CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 between the groups (Mann-Whitney U test).

Discussion

Herein we report for the first time the expression of leukotriene receptors in ESCC as well as in esophageal squamous epithelium of patients with and without esophageal neoplasia. The role of leukotriene receptors in immune cells is established [22], but their role in the esophageal mucosa has not been investigated so far, and thus future studies focusing on the physiological role of leukotriene receptors in the esophageal mucosa are warranted.

In the present study we report an up-regulation of LTB4R protein in ESCC compared to control. Similarly, a trend toward an up-regulation of LTB4R transcripts in ESCC compared to control was observed. An upregulation of LTB4R protein has been reported in gastric and pancreatic cancer as well [15, 23]. However, combining the LTB4R antagonist LY293111 to gemcitabine did not add any benefit in terms of survival to chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma [24]. Whether the use of a LTB4R antagonist might be an option for treating ESCC has not been studied yet. LTB4R expression was observed to be increased in the proliferative zone of gastric epithelium [25]. Moreover, in a placebo controlled trial on human volunteers, the oral LTB4-receptor antagonist VML295 diminished the proliferative epidermal activity after traumatically induced skin lesions [26]. LTB4R showed an up-regulation in proliferative areas of esophageal mucosa as well, suggesting a role of this receptor for the proliferation of esophageal squamous epithelium. Interestingly, an increased expression of LTB4R was observed also in non-transformed esophageal mucosa of cancer patients compared to control. This phenomenon suggests a potential role of LTB4R in early steps of esophageal carcinogenesis.

LTB4R2 protein expression is also up-regulated in ESCC whereas the specific transcripts did not show any difference between cancerous and non-cancerous epithelia. Previous studies have shown an increased LTB4R2 receptor expression in different epithelial cancers, suggesting a role of this receptor in cancer spread [27, 28]. In a recent study on an orthotopic breast cancer model, increasing the expression of the LTB4R2/LTB4/12(S)-HETE receptor system by lipopolysaccharide stimulation enhanced invasion of breast cancer cells, whereas its selective inhibition turned out in a reduced number of metastatic nodules [29]. Whether pharmacological inhibition of LTB4R2 might offer new options for treatment of ESCC has still to be determined.

LTB4R2 has not been described in normal esophageal mucosa before. The expression of LTB4R2 has been reported in different tissues and apart from its role in inflammatory cells LTB4R2 function needs to be clarified [30]. In a mice model, LTB4R2 was found to be expressed in colon cryptic cells and had a protective role against dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis, possibly by enhancing barrier function in epithelial cells of the colon [31]. A protective function of the LTB4R2 is to assume for the esophageal epithelium as well.

CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 proteins are up-regulated in ESCC, while the specific transcripts show a downregulation compared to the esophageal mucosa of dyspeptic patients. An increased expression of CYSLTR1 receptor protein was described in gastrointestinal and urological malignancies before [15, 32], whereas a loss of CYSLTR2 protein expression was shown in colon cancer cells compared to non-malignant intestinal cells [33]. According to functional studies, CYSLTR1 mediates preferentially pro-carcinogenic effects, whereas CYSLTR2 has been associated with anti-tumor mechanisms. In a recent study on colon cancer cells, CYSLTR1 signaling induced β -catenin translocation and the activation of β -catenin target genes, resulting in increased proliferation and migration of colon cancer cells [34]. Furthermore, CYSLTR1 expression was correlated with enhanced levels of antiapoptotic proteins in colon cancer and CYSLTR1 transfection resulted in prolonged survival of Caco-2 cancer cells in vitro [35]. With respect to the CYSLTR2 function, a study on 329 colorectal cancers showed a more favorable prognosis for patients with high nuclear CYSLTR2 staining in combination with low nuclear CYSLTR1 receptor. Statistical analysis found high CYSLTR2 expression associated with a decreased risk of death [36]. In another study, aggressive CYSLTR2 negative breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) exhibited a decrease in migratory capacity after CYSLTR2 transfection which is associated with a reduction in metastatic potential [37]. Whether CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 might promote carcinogenesis and spread of ESCC needs to be addressed in future studies. The observed discordance between CYSLTR1, CYSLTR2 protein expression and gene transcription can be explained by the presence of leukotriene receptor positive inflammatory cells infiltrating transformed and non-transformed tissue of cancer patients. An increase of β -actin in these leukocytes might have biased RT-PCR results in these patients mocking a total lower cysteinyl leukotriene receptor transcription. Furthermore, within the esophageal mucosa, spinosal and superficial cell layers constitute the main part of cellular mass. In the immunohistochemical analysis, the comparison was focused on the protein expression of cancer tissue and basal stratum only whereas in the RT-PCR all esophageal layers were analyzed. Thus, methodological aspects explain the differences between protein and mRNA expression pattern of the different leukotriene receptors.

The expression of CYSLTR1 and CYSLTR2 receptors was observed in non-transformed esophageal tissue as well. Similarly, non-transformed esophageal mucosa of cancer patients showed a significant reduction in *CYSLTR2* mRNA transcription compared to the esophageal mucosa of dyspeptic control patients. Synthesis of cysteinyl leukotriene by the esophageal mucosa has been demonstrated, suggesting a physiological role of these receptors within the esophageal mucosa [38]. Further studies to define the role of cysteinyl leukotriene receptors in esophageal squamous epithelium are warranted.

The relatively small groups of patients and controls represent the major limitation of our study. This resulted in limited statistical power for some of the subgroups analysis and prevented stratified analysis by sex and some other risk modifiers. Moreover, the small number of recruited patients may be responsible for the lack of correlation between leukotriene receptor expression and ESCC stage (I-III versus IV). Larger studies may provide clearer results.

Furthermore, all inflammatory cells revealed a constant expression of leukotriene receptors. Cancerous and non-cancerous tissue of patients often showed a varying degree of inflammatory cell infiltration which may have influenced results of RT-PCR.

In addition, biopsies were not checked by microdissection for content of cancerous and non-cancerous tissue. Thus, the presence of non-transformed epithelium in cancer samples cannot be excluded and may have influenced results of mRNA analysis.

Another limitation is the observational character of this study. Although we explored leukotriene receptor expression in ESCC, the functional relevance of this finding remains to be determined. Functional research was not planned beforehand. Future in vitro analysis using siRNA knock-down techniques could clearly address this issue elucidating the role of leukotriene receptors in ESCC.

Conclusions

In the present study we report a deregulated expression of leukotriene receptors in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Furthermore, our results suggest a possible up-regulation of *LTB4R* and down-regulation of *CYSLTR2* gene expression also in the adjacent nontransformed squamous epithelium of the esophagus. Our data may implicate a potential role of these receptors in the early steps of esophageal carcinogenesis. Further studies with a larger number of patients and controls are warranted to validate our findings and to determine clinical implications for therapeutic and prevention purposes.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Study questionnaire. (DOC 21 kb)

Additional file 2: Raw data of immunohistochemical scores and polymerase chain reactions. (XLS 10 kb)

Abbreviations

cDNA, complementary desoxyribonucleic acid; CSE, control surface epithelium; CYSLTR1/2, cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1/2; dNTP, desoxyribonucleic triphosphate; GI, gastrointestinal tract; IHC, immunohistochemistry; IRS, immunoreactive score; LTB4R, leukotriene B4 receptor 1; LTB4R2, leukotriene B4 receptor 2; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; NTSE, non-transformed surface epithelium; PP, percentage of positive cells; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; SI, staining intensity; UICC, Union Contre le Cancer

Acknowledgments

We thank Ingrid Bierwirth and Simone Phillipsen for technical assistance for PCR-analysis (Department of Gastroenterology). Our appreciation goes also to Claudia Miethke and Carola Kügler for their skillful help by performing immunohistochemistry (Institute of Pathology).

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and its additional files.

Authors' contributions

MV, TW and PM designed this study. CH and MV enrolled patients and collected clinical data. MV and JW performed endoscopic procedures. CH and TW performed and evaluated quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reactions. DJ and CH evaluated histopathologic sections. KA and CH conducted statistical analysis. The manuscript was drafted by CH, MV, RR and reviewed for content by TW, DJ and PM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that none of them has financial interests in context to this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted after approval by the local Ethics Committee of the Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital of Magdeburg. All procedures were performed in line with the declaration of Helsinki's version of 1983.

Author details

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Infectious Diseases, Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital, Leipziger Str. 44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany. ²Institute of Pathology, Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital, Leipziger Str. 44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany. ³Department of Biometrics and Medical Informatics, Otto-von-Guericke University Hospital, Leipziger Str. 44, 39120 Magdeburg, Germany.

Received: 18 March 2016 Accepted: 21 July 2016 Published online: 30 July 2016

References

- Parkin DM, Bray FI, Devesa SS. Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. Eur J Cancer. 2001;37:S4–S66.
- Bosetti C, Levi F, Ferlay J, Garavello W, Lucchini F, Bertuccio P, Negri E, La Vecchia C. Trends in oesophageal cancer incidence and mortality in Europe. Int J Cancer. 2008;122:1118–29.
- Muhr-Wilkenshoff F, Stahl M, Faiss S, Zeitz MSH. Current diagnosis and therapy of esophageal carcinoma. Z Gastroenterol. 2004;42:615–21.
- Polednak AP. Trends in survival for both histological types of esophageal cancer in US surveillance, epidemiology and end results areas. Int J Cancer. 2003;105:98–100.
- Zamboni P, Talamini R, La Vecchia C, Dal Maso L, Negri E, Tognazzo S, Simonato L, Franceschi S. Smoking, type of alcoholic beverage and squamous cell oesophageal cancer in northerm Italy. Int J Cancer. 2000;86:144–9.
- Freedman ND, Abnet CC, Leitzmann MF, Mouw T, Subar AF, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A. A prospective study of tobacco, alcohol and the risk of esophageal and gastric cancer subtypes. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;165:1424–33.
- Hashibe M, Boffetta P, Janout V, Zaridze D, Shangina O, Mates D, Szeszenia-Dabrowska N, Bencko V, Brennan P. Esophageal cancer in Central and Easrern Europe: tobacco and alcohol. Int J Cancer. 2007;120:1518–22.
- Harizi H, Corcuff JB, Gualde N. Arachidonic-acid-derived eicosanoids: Roles in biology and immunopathology. Trends Mol Med. 2008;14:461–9.
- Agarwal S, Reddy GV, Reddanna P. Eicosanoids in inflammation and cancer: The role of COX-2. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2009;5:145–65.
- Singh RK, Gupta S, Dastidar S, Ray A. Cystenyl leukotrienes and their receptors: Molecular and functional characteristics. Pharmacology. 2010;85:336–49.
- Seo JM, Cho KJ, Kim EY, Choi MH, Chung BC, Kim JH. Up-regulation of BLT2 is critical for the survival of bladder cancer cells. Exp Mol Ned. 2011;43:129–37.
- Rocconi RP, Kirby TO, Seitz RS, Beck R, Straughn Jr JM, Alvarez RD, Huh WK. Lipoxygenase pathway receptor expression in ovarian cancer. Reprod Sci. 2008;15:321–6.
- Nielsen CK, Campbell JI, Ohd JF, Mörgelin M, Riesbeck K, Landberg G, Sjölander A. A novel localization of the G-protein-coupled CysLT1 receptor in the nucleus of colorectal adenocarcinoma cells. Cancer Res. 2005;65:732–42.
- Hennig R, Osman T, Esposito I, Giese N, Rao SM, Ding XZ, Tong WG, Büchler MW, Yokomizo T, Friess H, Adrian TE. BLT2 is expressed in PanlNs, IPMNs, pancreatic cancer and stimulates tumour cell proliferation. Br J cancer. 2008; 99:1064–73.
- Venerito M, Kuester D, Harms C, Schubert D, Wex T, Malfertheiner P. Upregulation of Leukotriene receptor in gastric cancer. Cancer. 2011;3:3156–68.
- Miyazaki M, Ohno S, Futatsugi M, Saeki H, Ohga T, Watanabe M. The relation of alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking to the multiple occurrence of esophageal dysplasia and squamous cell carcinoma. Surgery. 2002;131:S7–S13.
- Bjartveit K, Tverdal A. Health consequences of smoking 1-4 cigarettes per day. Tob control. 2005;14:315–20.
- Venerito M, Kohrs S, Wex T, Adolf D, Kuester D, Schubert D, Peitz U, Mönkemüller K, Malfertheiner P. Helicobacter pylori infection and fundic gastric atrophy are not associated with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a case-control study. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;23:859–64.
- Wex T, Treiber G, Lendeckel U, Malfertheiner P. A two-step method for the extraction of high-quality RNA from endoscopic biopsies. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2003;41:1033–7.
- Rosekrans SL, Bart B, Vanesa M, van den Brink GR. Esophageal development and epithelial homeostasis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2015;309:G216–28.

- Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK, Wittekind C. Digestive system tumours. TNM: Classification of malignant tumours. 7th ed. John Wiley & Sons; 2009. p. 66-72.
- Nakamura M, Shimizu T. Leukotriene receptors. Chem Rev. 2011;111:6231–98.
 Hennig R, Ding XZ, Tong WG, Schneider MB, Standop J, Friess H, Büchler
- MW, Pour PM, Adrian TE. 5-Lipoxygenase and leukotriene B(4) receptor are expressed in human pancreatic cancers but not in pancreatic ducts in normal tissue. Am J Pathol. 2002;161:421–8.
- Saif MW, Oettle H, Vervenne WL, Thomas JP, Spitzer G, Visseren-Grul C, Enas N, Richards DA. Randomized double-blind phase II trial comparing gemcitabine plus LY293111 versus gemcitabine plus placebo in advanced adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Cancer J. 2009;15:339–43.
- Venerito M, Kuester D, Wex T, Roessner A, Malfertheiner P, Treiber G. The long-term effect of Helicobacter pylori eradication on COX-1/2, 5-LOX and leukotriene receptors in patients with a risk gastritis phenotype–a link to gastric carcinogenesis. Cancer Lett. 2008;270:218–28.
- 26. Seegers BA, Andriessen MP, van Hooijdonk CA, de Bakker ES, van Vlijmen-Willems IM, Parker GL, van Erp PE, van de Kerkhof PC. Pharmacological effects of a specific leukotriene B(4) receptor antagonist (VML 295) on blood leukocytes, cutaneous inflammation and epidermal proliferation. Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol. 2000;13:75–85.
- Kim EY, Seo JM, Kim C, Lee JE, Lee KM, Kim JH. BLT2 promotes the invasion and metastasis of aggressive bladder cancer cells through a reactive oxygen species-linked pathway. Free Radic Biol Med. 2010;49:1072–81.
- Seo JM, Park S, Kim JH. Leukotriene B4 receptor-2 promotes invasiveness and metastasis of ovarian cancer cells through signal transducer and activator of transcription 3(STAT3)-dependent up-regulation of matrix metalloproteinase 2. J Biol Chem. 2012;287:13840–9.
- Park GS, Kim JH. Myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88leukotriene B4 receptor 2 cascade mediates lipopolysaccharide-potentiated invasiveness of breast cancer cells. Oncotarget. 2015;6:5749–59.
- Yokomizo T, Kato K, Terawaki K, Izumi T, Shimizu T. A second leukotriene B(4) receptor, BLT2. A new therapeutic target in inflammation and immunological disorders. J Exp Med. 2000;192:421–32.
- lizuka Y, Okuno T, Saeki K, Uozaki H, Okada S, Misaka T, Sato T, Toh H, Fukayama M, Takeda N, Kita Y, Shimizu T, Nakamura M, Yokomizo T. Protective role of the leukotriene B4 receptor BLT2 in murine inflammatory colitis. FASEB J. 2010;24:4678–90.
- Matsuyama M, Yoshimura R. Cysteinyl-leukotriene1 receptor is a potent target for the prevention and treatment of human urological cancer. Mol Med Rep. 2010;3:245–51.
- Magnusson C, Ehrnström R, Olsen J, Sjölander A. An increased expression of cysteinyl leukotriene 2 receptor in colorectal adenocarcinomas correlates with high differentiation. Cancer Res. 2007;67:9190–8.
- Salim T, Sand-Demjek S, Sjölander A. The inflammatory mediator LTD4 induces subcellular β-catenin translocation and migration of colon cancer cells. Exp Cell Res. 2014;321:255–66.
- Ohd JF, Nielsen CK, Campbell J, Landberg G, Löfberg H, Sjölander A. Expression of the leukotriene D4 receptor CysLT1, COX-2, and other cell survival factors in colorectal adenocarcinomas. Gastroenterology. 2003;124:57–70.
- Magnusson C, Mezhybovska M, Lorinc E, Fernebro E, Nilbert M, Sjolander A. Low expression of CYsLT1R and high expression of CysLT2R mediate good prognosis in colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2010;46:826–35.
- Magnusson C, Liu J, Ehrnstrom R, Manjer J, Jirstrom K, Andersson T, Sjolander A. Cysteinyl leukotriene receptor expression pattern affects migration of breast cancer cell s and survival of breast cancer patients. Int J Cancer. 2011;129:9–22.
- Gupta SK, Peters-Golden M, Fitzgerald JF, Croffie JM, Pfefferkorn MD, Molleston JP, Corkins MR, Lim JR. Cysteinyl leukotriene levels in esophageal mucosal biopsies of children with eosinophilic inflammation: are they all the same? Am J Gastroenterol. 2006;101:1125–8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

