

SHORT REPORT**Open Access**

The susceptibility of five African *Anopheles* species to *Anabaena* PCC 7120 expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* mosquitoicidal cry genes

Irene Ketseoglou and Gustav Bouwer*

Abstract

Background: Malaria, one of the leading causes of death in Africa, is transmitted by the bite of an infected female *Anopheles* mosquito. Problems associated with the development of resistance to chemical insecticides and concerns about the non-target effects and persistence of chemical insecticides have prompted the development of environmentally friendly mosquito control agents. The aim of this study was to evaluate the larvicidal activity of a genetically engineered cyanobacterium, *Anabaena* PCC 7120#11, against five African *Anopheles* species in laboratory bioassays.

Findings: There were significant differences in the susceptibility of the anopheline species to PCC 7120#11. The ranking of the larvicidal activity of PCC 7120#11 against species in the *An. gambiae* complex was: *An. merus* < *An. arabiensis* < *An. gambiae* < *An. quadriannulatus*, where < indicates a statistically lower LC₅₀. The LC₅₀ of PCC 7120#11 against the important malaria vectors *An. gambiae* and *An. arabiensis* was 12.3×10^5 cells/ml and 8.10×10^5 cells/ml, respectively. PCC 7120#11 was not effective against *An. funestus*, with less than 50% mortality obtained at concentrations as high as 3.20×10^7 cells/ml.

Conclusions: PCC 7120#11 exhibited good larvicidal activity against larvae of the *An. gambiae* complex, but relatively weak larvicidal activity against *An. funestus*. The study has highlighted the importance of evaluating a novel mosquitoicidal agent against a range of malaria vectors so as to obtain a clear understanding of the agent's spectrum of activity and potential as a vector control agent.

Keywords: Malaria vectors, *Anopheles*, *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*, Cry proteins, Cyanobacteria, *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120, Genetic engineering, Bioassays, Larvicidal activity

Background

Species within the genus *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae) play a major role in the transmission of malaria in Africa, in particular mosquitoes from the *An. gambiae* complex and the *An. funestus* group [1,2]. The *An. gambiae* complex contains excellent and efficient vectors of malaria (*An. gambiae* s.s. and *An. arabiensis*), as well as minor vectors (*An. merus*) and non-vectors (*An. quadriannulatus* species A and B) [1]. The *An. funestus* group contains an important vector of malaria, *An. funestus* s.s. [2].

Although chemical insecticides have been used successfully in integrated vector control programs [3], many malaria vector control programs are hampered by the development of resistance of the vectors to chemical insecticides [4-6]. In addition to development of resistance, concerns about the non-target effects and persistence of the chemical insecticides have prompted the development of environmentally friendly control agents and control programs [7].

Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. *israelensis* (*Bti*) is a Gram-positive, aerobic, spore-forming, bacterium that produces crystalline inclusions that contain crystal (Cry) or cytolytic (Cyt) proteins that are highly toxic to

* Correspondence: gustav.bouwer@wits.ac.za
School of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3, Wits 2050, Johannesburg, South Africa

mosquito larvae [8,9]. Although there is low risk of resistance being developed to *Bti* [10], there are several disadvantages to using *Bti* as a control agent [11,12]. These include its low persistence in the field due to inactivation by UV, ingestion of *Bti* by other aquatic organisms, and the settling of *Bti* from the mosquito larval feeding zone [11-13]. One strategy to overcome some of the disadvantages of *Bti* is to clone the *cry* genes of *Bti* into aquatic microorganisms that: (1) are not toxic to other organisms, (2) inhabit and persist in the larval feeding zone, (3) are used by mosquito larvae as a food source, (4) express Cry proteins at levels that are mosquito larvicidal, and (5) have cell walls that reduce inactivation of the Cry proteins by UV [13-15].

Xiaoqiang *et al.* [15] inserted the *Bti cry4Aa*, *cry11Aa*, and *p20* genes under the control of two tandem promoters (cyanobacterial constitutive promoter, P_{psbA} , and *Escherichia coli* T7 early promoter, P_{AI}) into a filamentous nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium, *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC 7120 (PCC 7120). The *Bti* genes are integrated into the chromosome of PCC 7120, resulting in a stable recombinant strain [16]. Laboratory bioassays have shown that the resultant recombinant strain, PCC 7120#11, is a very effective larvicidal agent against *Aedes aegypti* [13,15,16].

To our knowledge, no studies have examined the larvicidal activity of PCC 7120#11 against several African malaria vectors. The aim of this study was, thus, to evaluate the larvicidal activity of PCC 7120#11 against five African *Anopheles* species in order to determine if PCC 7120#11 may have potential as a malaria vector control agent.

Methods

Larvicidal activity of PCC 7120#11 was determined by laboratory bioassays against four species in the *An. gambiae* complex and one species in the *An. funestus* group. The *An. gambiae* complex species used in the study were (origin, colony name, and colonisation date provided): *An. gambiae* s.s. (Ibadan, Nigeria; NAG; 2001), *An. arabiensis* (Kanyemba, Zimbabwe; KGB; 1975), *An. merus* (KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa; MAF; 1988), and *An. quadriannulatus* species A (Sangwe, Zimbabwe; SANGWE; 1998). The species from the *An. funestus* group that was used in the study was *An. funestus* s.s. (Maputo, Mozambique; FUM0Z; 2000).

The anopheline mosquito species were obtained from colonies maintained at the National Institute of Communicable Diseases (Johannesburg, South Africa). Since the activity of PCC 7120#11 against *A. aegypti* had been previously evaluated [15], we included it as a control in the bioassays. The *A. aegypti* larvae were obtained from the South African Bureau of Standards (Pretoria, South Africa).

PCC 7120 and PCC 7120#11, were cultured in BG-11 medium [15], at 30°C under continuous illumination (2000 lux) with constant agitation [13,16]. The PCC 7120 and PCC 7120#11 cells were harvested by differential centrifugation and the cell concentration was determined by haemocytometer counts. Two millilitres of the appropriate dilution (covering an in-cup concentration range of 1.00×10^4 to 3.20×10^7 cells/ml) of either PCC 7120 or PCC 7120#11 was added to 130 ml plastic cups that contained 98 ml sterile distilled water and 20 third-instar mosquito larvae. In the case of *An. merus*, a sterile 5 M saline solution was used instead of sterile distilled water.

Larvicidal activity was determined 24 hours post-inoculation, with larvae presumed dead if they did not move when prodded. An untreated control (sterile distilled water) was included in the bioassays. If mortality in the controls (PCC 7120 and untreated) exceeded 5%, the test was discarded and repeated. Each bioassay was repeated in triplicate on different days. The lethal concentration (LC_{50} and LC_{90}) for each species was determined by probit analysis [17]. For each species, probit analysis was based on the mortality data obtained from five PCC 7120#11 concentrations.

Results and discussion

The concentration-mortality data for the mosquito species are summarised in Table 1. The heterogeneity factors for the different mosquito species evaluated were all less than one, indicating a good fit of the concentration-mortality data to the probit model [18].

The concentration-mortality regression slopes indicate the variability in response to a toxin within the vector population being examined [19]. In this study, *An. arabiensis* had a significantly steeper slope than the other species evaluated, suggesting that *An. arabiensis* had lower response variability or reduced heterogeneity in its population compared to the other mosquito species examined. The shallower slopes of the concentration-mortality regression lines obtained for *An. gambiae* and *An. quadriannulatus* mean that there are larger differences between the LC_{50} and LC_{90} values for these species than for the other anopheline species evaluated.

Although slight variation in LC_{50} s between studies may be expected due to differences in experimental conditions such as rearing conditions of the larvae and natural variations in the larval populations [20], the susceptibility of *A. aegypti* larvae to PCC 7120#11 in this study was comparable to that (LC_{50} of 0.9×10^5 cells/ml) previously reported by Xiaoqiang *et al.* [15]. However, the LC_{50} value of PCC 7120#11 against *A. aegypti* larvae was significantly lower than those of the anopheline species evaluated (Table 1). The decreased susceptibility of anopheline larvae compared to *A. aegypti* larvae

Table 1 Probit analysis of concentration-mortality data for *Anabaena* PCC 7120#11 against third instar mosquito larvae

Species	LC ₅₀ (10 ⁵ cells/ml)*	LC ₉₀ (10 ⁵ cells/ml)*	Slope ± SE [†]	Heterogeneity [‡]
<i>A. aegypti</i>	1.42 (1.12-1.76) ^a	8.21 (6.05-12.3) ^a	1.70±0.15 ^a	0.75
<i>An. merus</i>	3.90 (3.58-4.17) ^b	9.30 (8.0-11.4) ^a	3.37±0.31 ^b	0.62
<i>An. arabiensis</i>	8.10 (7.62-8.56) ^c	14.3 (12.8-16.5) ^b	5.18±0.46 ^c	0.19
<i>An. gambiae</i>	12.3 (11.4-13.3) ^d	35.1 (29.5-44.9) ^c	2.81±0.24 ^d	0.49
<i>An. quadriannulatus</i>	15.7 (14.3-17.3) ^e	43.0 (35.9-54.9) ^c	2.93±0.26 ^{bd}	0.92
<i>An. funestus</i>	N.D. [§]	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.

* Values in brackets show the 95% fiducial limits (FLs). Values in a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different (overlapping 95% FLs).

[†] Slope ± standard error. Values followed by the same letters are not significantly different ($p > 0.05$).

[‡] Heterogeneity factor = $\chi^2 / \text{d.f.}$ (degrees of freedom).

[§] Not determined. Less than 50% mortality was obtained even at concentrations as high as 3.2×10^7 cells/ml.

may be due to differences in feeding behaviour [21]; *Anopheles* larvae (surface feeders) may ingest fewer PCC 7120#11 cells than *A. aegypti* larvae that feed at all levels in the water column.

The LC₅₀ values differed significantly between the anopheline species examined, with PCC 7120#11 being most effective against *An. merus* larvae (Table 1). On the basis of LC₅₀ ratios, the larvae of *An. quadriannulatus* were 4-fold less susceptible to PCC 7120#11 than the larvae of *An. merus*. PCC 7120#11 had relatively weak larvicidal activity against *An. funestus*, even at concentrations as high 3.2×10^7 cells/ml. The LC₉₀ values for *An. merus* and *A. aegypti* were significantly lower than the LC₉₀ values for *An. arabiensis*, *An. quadriannulatus*, or *An. gambiae* (Table 1). Furthermore, the LC₉₀ for *An. arabiensis* was significantly lower than that of *An. quadriannulatus* or *An. gambiae* (Table 1).

The significant differences in susceptibility to PCC 7120#11 between the anopheline species may reflect species-specific differences in one or more of the steps in the ingestion-to-toxicity process. For example, differences in the ingestion rate or efficiency of digestion of PCC 7120#11 cell walls by the different anopheline species may significantly affect the concentration of Cry proteins in the larval midgut. However, preliminary evaluations (unpublished data) did not show marked differences in the ingestion or digestion rates between *An. funestus* and *An. arabiensis*.

A factor to consider in interpreting the significant differences in susceptibility between *An. funestus* and species of the *An. gambiae* complex is the combination of the toxins present in PCC 7120#11. *Bti*, which naturally produces crystals that contain the toxins Cry4Aa, Cry4Ba, Cry10Aa, Cry11Aa, Cyt1Aa and Cyt2Ba [9,22], has higher larvicidal activity against mosquitoes than recombinant clones containing a subset of toxins [9,23,24]. In a previous study [25], *Bti* strain HD522 was evaluated against the same anopheline species, with *An. funestus* larvae having an LC₅₀ that was statistically similar to the LC₅₀ values obtained for *An. arabiensis*, *An. gambiae*,

and *An. merus* larvae. The comparatively low larvicidal activity of PCC 7120#11 against *An. funestus* could be due to the absence of the other *Bti* Cry and Cyt proteins in PCC 7120#11, which produces only the Cry4Aa and Cry11Aa proteins. Since the larvicidal activity of Cry proteins is often correlated with the high affinity binding of the proteins to specific membrane-bound receptors in the larval midgut [26,27], the susceptibility differences could be due to inherent differences between the species in the structure or density of midgut receptors for Cry4Aa or Cry11Aa. In this context, it is noteworthy that Cyt1A is known to synergize Cry11A toxicity by functioning as a membrane-bound receptor [28]. Further research is required to determine which combinations of the Cry and Cyt proteins would result in the highest larvicidal activity against *An. funestus* larvae.

PCC 7120#11 displayed good larvicidal activity against key malaria vectors, including *An. gambiae* and *An. arabiensis*. Although PCC 7120#11 displayed comparatively weak larvicidal activity against *An. funestus* at economically practical concentrations, PCC 7120#11 may have potential as a larvicidal agent in geographic regions where *An. funestus* is not the predominant vector. Alternatively, PCC 7120#11 could be applied as a larvicidal agent as part of an integrated vector control program that targets *An. funestus* adults and the larvae of other malaria vectors. However, before PCC 7120#11 can be used in vector control programs, its effects on non-target organisms and its persistence in aquatic environments would have to be comprehensively evaluated.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Conceived the idea: GB. Performed the experiment and analyzed the data: IK and GB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GB. Wrote the manuscript: IK. Clarified the manuscript: GB. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank M. Coetzee and L.L. Koekemoer (Vector Control Reference Unit, National Institute for Communicable Diseases of the National Health

Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa), for providing the *Anopheles* larvae and the South African Bureau of Standards (Pretoria, South Africa) for the *A. aegypti* larvae used in this study. The authors express gratitude to A. Zaritsky (Department of Life Science, Ben Gurion University, Israel) for providing the recombinant clone, *Anabaena* PCC 7120#11. This project was partially funded by a National Research Foundation grant awarded to GB. We thank anonymous reviewers for comments that improved this manuscript.

Received: 8 July 2012 Accepted: 27 September 2012

Published: 4 October 2012

References

- Coetzee M, Craig M, le Sueur D: **Distribution of African malaria mosquitoes belonging to the *Anopheles gambiae* complex.** *Parasitol Today* 2000, **16**:74–77.
- Gillies MT, De Meillon B: *The Anophelinae of Africa South of the Sahara.* Publication no. 54. Johannesburg: South African Institute for Medical Research; 1968.
- Walker K, Lynch M: **Contributions of *Anopheles* larval control to malaria suppression in tropical Africa: review of achievements and potential.** *Med Vet Entomol* 2007, **21**:2–21.
- Awolola TS, Oduola OA, Strode C, Koekemoer LL, Brooke B, Ranson H: **Evidence of multiple pyrethroid resistance mechanisms in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* sensu stricto from Nigeria.** *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2009, **103**:1139–1145.
- Hargreaves K, Hunt RH, Brooke BD, Mthembu J, Weeto MM, Awolola TS, Coetzee M: ***Anopheles arabiensis* and *An. quadriannulatus* resistance to DDT in South Africa.** *Med Vet Entomol* 2003, **17**:417–422.
- Okoye PN, Brooke BD, Koekemoer LL, Hunt RH, Coetzee M: **Characterization of DDT, pyrethroid, and carbamate resistance in *Anopheles funestus* from Obuasi, Ghana.** *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2008, **102**:591–598.
- Federici BA, Park HW, Bideshi DK, Wirth MC, Johnson JJ: **Recombinant bacteria for mosquito control.** *J Exp Biol* 2003, **206**:3877–3885.
- Goldberg LJ, Margalit J: **A bacterial spore demonstrating rapid larvicidal activity against *Anopheles serengetii*, *Uranotaenia unguiculata*, *Culex univittatus*, *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex pipiens*.** *Mosq News* 1977, **37**:355–358.
- Schnepf E, Crickmore N, Van Rie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, Feitelson J, Zeigler DR, Dean DH: ***Bacillus thuringiensis* and its pesticidal crystal proteins.** *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 1998, **62**:775–806.
- Takken W, Knols B: **Malaria vector control: current and future strategies.** *Trends Parasitol* 2008, **25**:101–104.
- Becker N, Zgomba M, Ludwig M, Petric D, Rettich F: **Factors influencing the activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *israelensis* treatments.** *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 1992, **8**:285–289.
- Ohana B, Margalit J, Barak Z: **Fate of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* under stimulated field conditions.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1987, **53**:828–831.
- Manasherob R, Otieno-Ayayo ZN, Ben-Dov E, Miaskovsky R, Boussiba S, Zaritsky A: **Enduring toxicity of transgenic *Anabaena* PCC 7120 expressing mosquito larvicidal genes from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*.** *Environ Microbiol* 2003, **5**:997–1000.
- Armengol G, Guevara OE, Orduz S, Crickmore N: **Expression of *Bacillus thuringiensis* mosquitoicidal toxin Cry11Aa in the aquatic bacterium *Asticcacaulis excentricus*.** *Current Microbiol* 2005, **51**:430–433.
- Xiaoqiang W, Vennison SJ, Huirong L, Ben-Dov E, Zaritsky A, Boussiba S: **Mosquito larvicidal activity of transgenic *Anabaena* strain PCC 7120 expressing combinations of genes from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1997, **63**:4971–4974.
- Lluisma AO, Karmacharya N, Zarka A, Ben-Dov E, Zaritsky A, Boussiba S: **Suitability of *Anabaena* PCC 7120 expressing mosquitoicidal toxin genes from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* for biotechnological application.** *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2001, **57**:161–166.
- Finney DJ: *Probit analysis.* 3rd edition. London: Cambridge University Press; 1971.
- Champ BR, Campbell-Brown MJ: **Insecticide resistance in Australian *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) - A test method for detecting insecticide resistance.** *J Stored Prod Res* 1970, **6**:53–70.
- Jyoti JL, Brewer GJ: **Median lethal concentration and efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* against banded sunflower moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae).** *J Econ Entomol* 1999, **92**:1289–1291.
- Otieno-Ayaya ZN, Zaritsky A, Wirth MC, Manasherob R, Khasdan V, Cahan R, Ben-Dov E: **Variations in the mosquito larvicidal activities of toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* ssp. *israelensis*.** *Environ Microbiol* 2008, **10**:2191–2199.
- Merritt RW, Dadd RH, Walker ED: **Feeding behavior, natural food, and nutritional relationships of larval mosquitoes.** *Annu Rev Entomol* 1992, **37**:349–376.
- Ben-Dov E, Nissan G, Pelleg N, Manasherob R, Boussiba S, Zaritsky A: **Refined, circular restriction map of the *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* plasmid carrying the mosquito larvicidal genes.** *Plasmid* 1999, **42**:186–191.
- Delécluse A, Poncet S, Klier A, Rapoport G: **Expression of cryIVA and cryIVB genes, independently or in combination, in a crystal-negative strain of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis*.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1993, **59**:3922–3927.
- Poncet S, Delécluse A, Klier A, Rapoport G: **Evaluation of synergistic interactions among the CryIVA, Cry IVB, and CryIVD toxic components of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* crystals.** *J Invertebr Pathol* 1995, **66**:131–135.
- Ketseoglou I, Koekemoer LL, Coetzee M, Bouwer G: **The larvicidal efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* against five African *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae) species.** *Afr Entomol* 2011, **19**:146–150.
- Hofmann C, Vanderbruggen H, Hófte H, Van Rie J, Jansens S, Van Mellaert H: **Specificity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* δ -endotoxins is correlated with the presence of high-affinity binding sites in the brush border membrane of target insect midguts.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1988, **85**:7844–7848.
- Van Rie J, Jansens S, Hófte H, Degheele D, Van Mellaert H: **Receptors on the brush border membrane of the insect midgut as determinants of the specificity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* delta-endotoxins.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1990, **56**:1378–1385.
- Perez C, Fernandez LE, Sun JG, Folch JL, Gill SS, Soberon M, Bravo A: ***Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* Cyt1Aa synergizes Cry11Aa toxin by functioning as a membrane-bound receptor.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, **102**:18303–18308.

doi:10.1186/1756-3305-5-220

Cite this article as: Ketseoglou and Bouwer: The susceptibility of five African *Anopheles* species to *Anabaena* PCC 7120 expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *israelensis* mosquitoicidal cry genes. *Parasites & Vectors* 2012 **5**:220.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

