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ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the prevalence and predictors of expressed breast milk feeding in healthy full-term infants and its association with total duration of breast milk feeding.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: In-patient postnatal units of four public hospitals in Hong Kong.

Subjects: A total of 2450 mother–infant pairs were recruited in 2006–2007 and 2011–2012 and followed up prospectively for 12 months or until breast milk feeding had stopped.

Results: Across the first 6 months postpartum, rates of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding ranged from 5.1% to 8.0% in 2006–2007 and from 18.0% to 19.8% in 2011–2012. Factors associated with higher rates of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding, include supplementation with infant formula, lack of previous breast milk feeding experience, having a planned cesarean section delivery, and returning to work postpartum. Exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was associated with an increased risk of early breast milk feeding cessation when compared with direct feeding at the breast. The hazard ratios (HR) ranged from 1.25 (95% CI: 1.04, 1.51) to 1.91 (95% CI: 1.34, 2.73) across the first 6 months.

Conclusions: Mothers of healthy term infants should be encouraged and supported to feed directly at the breast. Exclusive expressed breast milk feeding should be recommended only when medically necessary and not as a substitute for feeding directly at the breast. Further research is required to explore mothers’ reasons for exclusive expressed breast milk feeding and to identify the health outcomes associated with this practice.
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INTRODUCTION

Human milk provides optimal nutritional benefits for infants’ growth and development\(^{(1-3)}\). The majority of breast milk feeding studies have focused on the initiation, duration, or exclusivity of breast milk feeding\(^{(4, 5)}\). Notably, the delivery mode of breast milk (direct feeding at the breast, expressed breast milk feeding, or a combination) has been substantially less investigated. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that new mothers are taught the skill of hand expression during the postpartum hospital stay\(^{(6)}\), especially in cases of preterm delivery, low birth weight infants, or infants who were unable to feed at the breast\(^{(7-8)}\). In addition to hand expression, breast pumps provide an alternate way for mothers to express breast milk, with exclusive expression more feasible because of substantial developments in breast pump technology after the mid-20\(^{th}\) century\(^{(9)}\). When compared with direct feeding at the breast, expressed breast milk feeding provides an alternative method to provide breast milk during periods of maternal–infant separation\(^{(10)}\), when there is a need to store extra milk\(^{(11)}\), to manage breast milk feeding difficulties\(^{(12)}\), and to facilitate maternal independence\(^{(13, 14)}\). Conversely, potential contamination of expressed breast milk\(^{(15)}\), loss or depletion of nutritional components\(^{(15, 16)}\), the additional handling required in the process of expression\(^{(17)}\), breast pain or nipple trauma\(^{(18-20)}\), and lack of mother–infant skin-to-skin contact and bonding\(^{(21)}\) have been identified as disadvantages of feeding with expressed breast milk or the expression process.

Researchers in high-income countries have documented a growing trend in expressed breast milk feeding among healthy term babies\(^{(22)}\). Findings from the Infant Feeding Practices Study (IFPS) II in the United States showed that 85% of mothers had expressed breast milk at some point, 68% expressed within the first 2 weeks after birth, and 25% did so on a regular basis\(^{(10)}\). An Australian study found that 98% of mothers had expressed breast milk at least once\(^{(11)}\). Only two studies, however, have measured expressed breast milk feeding rates over time. One study in Australia reported that over a 10-year period from 1992–1993 to 2002–2003, rates of any expressed breast milk feeding within the first 6 weeks increased from 38% to 69%\(^{(12)}\). Another study in Singapore reported an increase in exclusive expressed breast milk feeding from 9% in 2000–2001 to 18% in 2006–2008\(^{(23)}\).

Studies examining the relationship between expressed breast milk feeding and total duration of breast milk feeding are also limited, and their conclusions are conflicting. Although some researchers have reported no significant associations between expressed breast milk feeding and the total duration of breast milk feeding\(^{(24)}\), others have shown both negative\(^{(25-28)}\) and positive relationships\(^{(29, 30)}\). Schwartz et al.\(^{(31)}\) found that expressed breast
milk feeding within the first 3 weeks postpartum was associated with early breast milk feeding cessation, whereas expressed breast milk feeding between 4 and 12 weeks postpartum predicted a lower risk of stopping breast milk feeding. Given the limited research on the practices and consequences of expressed breast milk feeding in healthy term infants, the aims of this study were: to describe and compare the prevalence of expressed breast milk feeding in healthy full-term infants at two time points (2006–2007 and 2011–2012); to identify the factors associated with expressed breast milk feeding; and to examine the association between expressed breast milk feeding at different time points and subsequent duration of any and exclusive breast milk feeding.

**METHODS**

**Participants and Setting**

This multi-center prospective cohort study examined the association between sociodemographic factors and breast milk feeding. Study methods have been reported in more detail elsewhere\(^{(32, 33)}\). In brief, two cohorts of mother–infant pairs were recruited during their postpartum hospitalization from the obstetric units of four geographically distributed public hospitals in Hong Kong. Hong Kong has eight public and ten private hospitals that deliver obstetric care, with public hospitals accounting for 67.8% of all births to Hong Kong mothers\(^{(34)}\). In Hong Kong, about 75% of women of childbearing age are employed full-time\(^{(35)}\). The maximum maternity leave in Hong Kong is 10 weeks and at least 2 weeks must be taken before the expected date of delivery\(^{(36)}\). Over 80% of employed mothers return to work within 10 weeks postpartum, and more than one-half work 45 hours or more per week\(^{(37)}\). Childcare is generally provided in the home by family members or foreign domestic helpers employed by the family\(^{(37)}\) and workplace based childcare is very rare. Cohort 1 included 1417 mother–infant pairs recruited in 2006–2007, and Cohort 2 included 1287 mother–infant pairs recruited in 2011–2012. Participants were Cantonese-speaking, Hong Kong Chinese mothers who had just given birth to healthy, full-term infants with no serious obstetric or birth complications and who were intending to breastfeed their infants.

**Data Collection**

Sociodemographic characteristics were collected by maternal self-report during postpartum hospitalization. Pregnancy and birth data were collected from participants by two trained research nurses for each study cohort. Breast milk feeding status was assessed by maternal self-report through telephone follow-up at 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months postpartum or until breast milk feeding had stopped, whichever occurred first. Breast milk feeding patterns were classified as exclusive, predominant, or partial according to widely accepted definitions\(^{(38, 39)}\).
Infants who were still receiving breast milk at 1, 2, 3, and 6 months were also asked about the number of direct feedings at the breast and the number of expressed breast milk feedings during the previous 24 hours\(^\text{(39)}\). We did not collect data on the source of the expressed breast milk (i.e., infant’s mother, wet nurse, or breast milk bank). However, wet nursing is not commonly practiced in Hong Kong, and there are no established milk banks. Thus, we have assumed that the expressed breast milk was the participant’s milk. For infants who had stopped receiving breast milk during the follow-up, weaning data—including the duration of breast milk feeding in weeks—were reported by participants in the interview after their infants had stopped receiving breast milk. No further data were collected after this point. Both cohorts were recruited from the same hospitals using the same study protocols, inclusion criteria, questionnaires, and data collection procedures.

Variable Descriptions

The term “breastfeeding” has been used to describe the feeding of breast milk to infants by any and all means\(^\text{(38, 39)}\). Thus, confusion may arise when we try to distinguish between different modes of breast milk delivery. In this paper, we have used the term “breast milk feeding” to describe all breast milk feedings received by the infant or the generic act of feeding breast milk, irrespective of the delivery mode of breast milk. “Exclusive breast milk feeding” was defined as infant receiving only breast milk with no other liquids or breast milk substitutes (other than vitamins or medications). Additionally, we have used the term “expressed breast milk feeding” to denote breast milk received by infants as a result of expression either using manual expression or a breast pump and the term “direct feeding at the breast” to describe the feedings that were given directly from the breast.

At 1, 2, 3, and 6 months postpartum, we computed the proportion of expressed breast milk feedings among all breast milk feedings received by the infant in the preceding 24 hours. Expressed breast milk feedings were recoded as four levels: 0%, >0–50%, >50–99%, and 100%. Exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was defined as the infant receiving expressed milk for all breast milk feeds (100%). Breast milk feeding duration was defined as the total number of weeks the infant received any breast milk. Sociodemographic variables were measured to assess their association with expressed breast milk feeding and also served as confounding variables in the adjusted regression models. These variables included: the study cohort, proportion of total milk diet that was infant formula (0%, >0–50%, >50–99%), maternal age, maternal education level, monthly family income, length of residence in Hong Kong, intention to exclusively breastfeed, participant breastfed as a child, previous breast milk feeding experience, husband’s infant feeding preference, delivery type, and mother
returning to work. Length of residence in Hong Kong was assessed as many Hong Kong mothers have migrated from Mainland China where breast milk feeding rates in most regions are higher than in Hong Kong\(^{(40)}\). Previous research has shown differences in breast milk feeding duration between Hong Kong born and Mainland Chinese born mothers\(^{(42)}\).

**Data Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the characteristics of study participants and practices of expressed breast milk feeding at 1, 2, 3, and 6 months postpartum. Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models were performed to identify factors associated with exclusive expressed breast milk feeding across the first 6 months postpartum. The Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit test\(^{(41)}\) was used to assess the adequacy of the logistic models, and the variance inflation factor (VIF)\(^{(42)}\) was used to assess for multicollinearity. We also employed interaction terms between the predictor variables and the study cohort in all adjusted regression models. Kaplan–Meier survival curves and log-rank tests (trend) were performed to explore the association between the different levels of expressed breast milk feeding at the selected time points and the total duration of breast milk feeding\(^{(43)}\). We used unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazards models to evaluate the extent to which expressed breast milk feeding influenced subsequent duration of any and exclusive breast milk feeding\(^{(44)}\). Breast milk feeding duration in participants with partial follow-up (n=72) was censored at the last contact. To assess whether the association between expressed breast milk feeding and cessation of breast milk feeding varied between infants who were exclusively breastfed and those who were not, interaction terms between the predictor variables and supplementation with infant formula (0%, >0–50%, >50–99%) were tested in the adjusted regression models. All data analysis was conducted using Stata version 13.1 statistical software (Stata Corp, College Station, TX)\(^{(45)}\), and a 0.05 nominal level of significance was used throughout the statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

In total, 2704 (Cohort 1=1417; Cohort 2=1287) mother–infant pairs were eligible for analysis. We excluded 18 participants (Cohort 1=8; Cohort 2=10) who subsequently did not meet the study eligibility criteria, two participants (Cohort 1) without demographic data, 124 participants (Cohort 1=87; Cohort 2=37) with whom there was no contact after hospitalization, and 110 participants (Cohort 1=66; Cohort 2=44) with missing values related the primary variables. A total 2450 mother–infant pairs (Cohort 1=1254; Cohort 2=1196) were included in the final analysis. Of the 2450 participants, 2.9% (n=72) had partial follow-up, with 0.9% (n=22) lost to follow-up after 1 month, 0.6% (n=14) lost to follow-up after 2 months, 0.5%
Characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. Among all of the participants, about 40% had obtained a university degree, and almost 70% returned to work postpartum. Approximately one-half of the participants’ husbands supported exclusive breast milk feeding, and over one-third had no specific infant feeding preference.

Of the 2450 participants, 64.7% (n=1584) fed their infants with breast milk for at least 1 month, 51.0% (n=1249) for at least 2 months, 41.9% (n=1027) for at least 3 months, and 29.0% (n=710) for at least 6 months or longer. Figure 1 shows the levels of expressed breast milk feeding over the first 6 months by study cohort. The proportion of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding ranged from 5.1% to 8.0% across the first 6 months in Cohort 1, whereas the rates ranged from 18.0% to 19.8% in Cohort 2. Rates of exclusive direct feeding at the breast ranged from 62.2% to 71.4% in Cohort 1 and from 52.4% to 58.1% in Cohort 2. In cohort 1 across the first 6 months, 28.6% to 37.8% of participants still breast milk feeding were giving some amount of expressed milk. In cohort 2, the proportions ranged from 41.9% to 47.6%.

In the unadjusted analysis (Table 2), exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was associated with a number of variables including: study cohort, infant formula supplementation, maternal age, maternal education, family income, length of residence in Hong Kong, participant breastfed as a child, previous breast milk feeding experience, husband’s infant feeding preference, delivery type, and returning to work postpartum. The fully adjusted odds ratios of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding are presented in Table 3. When compared with participants in Cohort 1, infants in the Cohort 2 were approximately three times more likely to be fed only with expressed breast milk at all time points. Proportion of infant formula supplementation, having a planned cesarean section delivery, and returning to work postpartum were all significantly associated with exclusive expressed breast milk feeding.

Conversely, participants with previous breast milk feeding experience were less likely to feed exclusively with expressed breast milk. Results of the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit tests for the adjusted logistic models ranged from 0.21 to 0.92, indicating that the models were good fits for the data. VIF values also indicate a low degree of multicollinearity. There were no significant interactions between the study cohort and the independent variables in all adjusted logistic regression models (P>0.05).

Results from Kaplan–Meier survival analysis and log-rank tests (trend) showed the effect of different levels of expressed breast milk feeding on the duration of breast milk
feeding (Figure 2). Across the first 6 months, when compared with exclusive direct feeding at the breast, exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was consistently associated with the highest risk of early breast milk feeding cessation. The results of the unadjusted and fully adjusted Cox proportional hazards models show that exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was associated with an increased risk of early breast milk feeding cessation when compared with direct feeding at the breast (Table 4). The hazards ratios ranged from 1.25 (95% CI: 1.14, 1.51) to 1.91 (95% CI: 1.34, 2.73) across the first 6 months. The unadjusted Cox proportional hazards analysis on exclusive breast milk feeding showed that exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was associated with a higher risk of stopping exclusive breast milk feeding with the hazards ratios ranging from 1.32 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.66) to 1.79 (95% CI: 1.54, 2.09) (Results not shown). However, these associations were no longer statistically significant after adjustment for confounding variables. There were no significant interactions between infant formula supplementation and different levels of expressed breast milk feeding in all adjusted Cox proportional hazards models (P>0.05).

DISCUSSION
This study is one of only a few studies that have examined the rates of expressed breast milk feeding over time\(^{(12, 23)}\). We found a substantial increase in the rates of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding among healthy full-term infants between 2006–2007 and 2011–2012. At 1 month postpartum, the rates of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding increased from 6.8% in Cohort 1 to 18.8% in Cohort 2. At 2 months postpartum, one-fifth of infants in Cohort 2 who were still breast milk feeding were fed with exclusive expressed breast milk. We identified risk factors associated with exclusive expressed breast milk feeding, including supplementation with infant formula, lack of previous breast milk feeding experience, having a planned cesarean section, and returning to work postpartum. Our findings suggest that when compared with feeding solely at the breast, exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was associated with shorter duration of breast milk feeding across the first 6 months.

Despite the growing trend of breast milk expression, antenatal breast milk feeding education has not sufficiently addressed this issue\(^{(46)}\). Therefore, new mothers may believe there is no difference between expressed breast milk feeding and direct feeding at the breast and thus may express more liberally than necessary\(^{(47)}\). While some amount of expressed breast milk feeding may be necessary for healthy full-term infants to remedy short-term breast milk feeding problems, providing only expressed breast milk feedings may negatively impact the establishment of direct feeding at the breast\(^{(30)}\).
Returning to work has been identified as a common reason for healthy term infants to receive expressed breast milk\(^\text{(10, 11, 48, 49)}\). Globally, an increasing number of women have become involved in the labor market over the past several decades\(^\text{(35, 50, 51)}\). With the short maternity leave in Hong Kong and the long working hours, mothers may find it challenging to continue breast milk feeding when they are separated from their infants because of work\(^\text{(37)}\). Advances in breast pump technology have enabled mothers to effectively express breast milk at work so that they can continue breast milk feeding while employed\(^\text{(9, 11)}\). However, maternal employment is also identified as the main reason mothers provide only expressed breast milk\(^\text{(48)}\). In this study, when compared with participants not returning to work, mothers returning to employment postpartum were twice as likely to feed their infants only expressed breast milk. While exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was negatively associated with breast milk feeding duration, at 2 months postpartum, when most Hong Kong mothers return to work\(^\text{(36, 37)}\), >0–50% expressed breast milk feeding was associated with a lower, but not statistically significant, risk of breast milk feeding cessation. Fein et al.\(^\text{(48)}\) found that an infant feeding strategy that combined expressed breast milk feeding and direct feeding at the breast was more effective in prolonging breast milk feeding duration when compared with expressed breast milk feeding only. In most workplaces in Hong Kong, it is currently not an option for women to bring their infants for direct breast milk feeding, and childcare is often provided by a family member or domestic helper in the home while mothers are at work\(^\text{(37)}\). Employers should be encouraged, or even mandated, to provide a friendly work environment that allows mothers to express breast milk during their work hours\(^\text{(48)}\). Furthermore, reduced working hours, especially in the early postpartum period, would allow mothers more time to maintain direct breast milk feeding\(^\text{(37)}\).

We also found that lower household income was associated with lower likelihood of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding. This may be because lower income women are less likely to be employed full-time and therefore do not need to exclusively express breast milk. Another possible reason may be that mothers with lower income are less likely to own an electric breast pump, which is commonly used in exclusive expressed breast milk feeding\(^\text{(10)}\). In Hong Kong there are no subsidies provided by the government or employers for purchasing breast pumps and although high quality pumps are available to rent from commercial companies, they are highly sought after and must be booked well in advance.

Our study also found that participants with previous breast milk feeding experience were less likely to feed exclusively with expressed breast milk, which is consistent with a previous study\(^\text{(10)}\). Mothers without breast milk feeding experience often lack confidence in
their ability to breastfeed\textsuperscript{(52, 53)}, and may be more concerned about an over- or under-supply of breast milk, a frequently cited reason for expression\textsuperscript{(10, 11, 13, 54)}. Other researchers have also reported that cesarean section was associated with higher rates of expressed breast milk feeding, likely because of the delayed onset of lactation when compared with vaginal delivery\textsuperscript{(10, 12)}. However, we found that participants who had a planned cesarean section were more likely to feed their infants with only expressed breast milk, whereas participants who had an emergency (unplanned) cesarean section were not more likely to do so. Another study in this population found that women undergoing a planned cesarean section were less likely to initiate breast milk feeding when compared with mothers who had an emergency cesarean or who delivered vaginally\textsuperscript{(55)}. In Hong Kong public hospitals, elective cesarean sections are not permitted and all planned operative births are medically indicated. Therefore, it is unlikely that participants with a planned cesarean birth were substantially different from those who experienced an emergency cesarean section, except that they knew in advance they would have an operative delivery. Thus, these women may prepare in advance to feed their infant with expressed breast milk after birth and may not even attempt direct breast milk feeding. Existing research, however, had rarely distinguished between these two types of cesarean birth when assessing the relationship between delivery type and expressed breast milk feeding\textsuperscript{(10, 12)}.

Our findings suggest that supplementation with infant formula was associated with an increased likelihood of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding. Infants supplemented with formula, especially if the supplements are given using a bottle, are more likely to experience nipple confusion and problems with direct feeding at the breast\textsuperscript{(56)}. Thus, to maintain breast milk feeding, mothers may be more likely to provide expressed breast milk. Another possible explanation is that both early formula supplementation and exclusive expressed breast milk feeding are consequences of breast milk feeding infants who experience difficulty in latching and sucking\textsuperscript{(10-12, 57)}. Either way, mothers who experience difficulties in establishing breast milk feeding require adequate support and guidance, especially if they need to transition from a bottle to direct feeding at the breast.

The previous conflicting research findings on the association between expressed breast milk feeding and breast milk feeding duration\textsuperscript{(24, 25, 29-31)} may have resulted from variations in study designs and sample size or the measurement of expressed breast milk feeding using a single yes/no variable without distinguishing between different levels of the expressed breast milk feeding\textsuperscript{(24, 29-31)}. Consistent with another recent study\textsuperscript{(28)}, we found that exclusive expressed breast milk feeding was negatively associated with the total duration of breast milk...
feeding whereas other levels of expressed breast milk feeding were not. Existing evidence suggests that, apart from the extra time and work involved with producing and handling expressed breast milk \(^{(17)}\) and possible nipple confusion \(^{(56)}\), expression can cause breast pain, nipple trauma, and mastitis \(^{(11, 58, 59)}\), which may contribute to early discontinuation of breast milk feeding. Also, exclusive expressed breast milk feeding is associated with a lack of skin-to-skin contact and bonding when compared with direct feeding at the breast \(^{(21)}\). Although expressed breast milk feeding provides greater nutritional benefits than infant formula, bottle-feeding may diminish the positive effects of direct breast milk feeding on the infant’s respiratory system. Expressed breast milk is usually fed with a bottle, which can cause a shorter duration of sucking, a higher rate of swallowing, more frequent ventilator interruptions, and a lower oxygen saturation \(^{(60)}\). The negative pressure before milk ejection in direct breast milk feeding is approximately three times higher than the pressure developed during bottle-feeding \(^{(61)}\). As a result, bottle-feeding reduces the lung function (lung volume and flow rate) of infants \(^{(62)}\), which is associated with a higher risk of asthma at 10 years of age \(^{(63)}\). In addition to the negative effect on the respiratory system, bottle-feeding, irrespective of the type of milk, is also associated with a compromised ability to self-regulate milk intake \(^{(64)}\), rapid weight gain \(^{(65)}\), and oral diseases \(^{(66)}\).

Researchers have raised awareness about the increasing trend of expressed breast milk feeding \(^{(67, 68)}\), especially exclusive expressed breast milk feeding. On one hand, the increase in expressed breast milk feeding may be because more mothers are choosing expressed breast milk over infant formula. In that context, this is a positive trend as expressed breast milk can provide infants with the superior nutritional benefits when compared with infant formula \(^{(3)}\). Conversely, the increase in expressed breast milk feeding may be because new mothers are unaware of the benefits of direct breast milk feeding, and that expressed breast milk feeding may be correlated with some negative health outcomes \(^{(3, 62, 65)}\). Unfortunately, no qualitative data on the reasons for and experiences with expressed breast milk feeding were collected. Further studies would be helpful to explore the reasons why mothers are increasingly expressing breast milk. It is also necessary to conduct studies to identify the health risks of expressed breast milk feeding when compared with direct breast milk feeding. Furthermore, researchers have recommended that in addition to the existing methods of classifying breast milk feeding status as exclusive or non-exclusive, infant feeding studies should also categorize breast milk feeding according to the mode of breast milk delivery \(^{(69-71)}\).

To our knowledge, this study is one of only a few studies to describe the prevalence of expressed breast milk feeding over time and to examine its association with breast milk.
feeding duration. A large number of participants were followed prospectively for up to 12 months, with low dropout and loss to follow-up (4.6%). This study also has some limitations. First, it was not population-based. It is also possible that mothers with less positive breast milk feeding attitudes chose not to participate. We do not have data on those who refused to participate, and participants in our sample have higher levels of maternal education and family income when compared with all mothers who initiate breastfeeding. Other population-based surveys suggest however, that the breast milk feeding patterns reported in our study are similar to those in the larger population. Second, breast milk feeding duration was self-reported by participants and may be affected by recall bias. Such bias, however, is likely to be minimal as we followed participants on a regular and frequent basis after hospital discharge and studies have reported that mothers accurately report breast milk feeding duration many years later. Third, we did not measure the method of breast milk expression (i.e., hand expression, manual pump, or electric pump). However, a Cochrane review found no significant difference in breast milk volume between manual and electric pumps, whereas hand expression did produce less milk than an electric pump. Furthermore, mothers who exclusively express their breast milk are more likely to use electric breast pumps. Fourth, at the follow-up intervals, we recorded the proportion of total feedings given—not the total volume of feeding. Thus, some misclassification bias may have been caused among those infants receiving both expressed breast milk feeding and direct feeding at the breast. However, such misclassification bias would be unlikely to affect the interpretation of the results as infants who were fed with exclusive expressed breast milk, and those who were receiving all breast milk directly at the breast would not be subject to misclassification. Finally, at the follow-up intervals we assessed infant feeding status by asking the mother to report the feeding patterns on the day before the follow-up. Thus, it is possible that the feeding pattern reported did not reflect the usual infant feeding pattern of the infant.

Conclusions

An increasing number of healthy full-term infants are given expressed breast milk. Exclusive expressed breast milk feeding is associated with a significantly higher risk of early breast milk feeding cessation when compared with feeding directly at the breast. Thus, in both clinical practice and research, it is necessary to collect data on the mode of breast milk delivery. Mothers who feed their infants with expressed breast milk should be encouraged to feed directly at the breast as much as possible to prolong the total duration of breast milk feeding and to maximize the health benefits of breast milk feeding. Further studies are needed to re-examine the current definitions of “breast milk feeding” by taking into account both the
duration and exclusivity of breast milk feeding as well as the method of breast milk delivery. Further studies are also needed to identify the reasons why more infants are given expressed breast milk and to assess the effect of the breast milk delivery mechanism on maternal and child health outcomes.
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Table 1. Characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total (N=2450)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24 years</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29 years</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34 years</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35 years</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University degree or above</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly household income (HKD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $15,000</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$29,999</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ $30,000</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of residence in Hong Kong</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5 years</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to ≥15 years</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since birth</td>
<td>61.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participant breastfed as a child</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous breast milk feeding experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Husband’s infant feeding preference</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast milk feeding only</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant formula &amp; mixed feeding</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No preference</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivery type</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous vaginal delivery</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted vaginal delivery</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned cesarean delivery</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency cesarean delivery</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Return to work postpartum</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* 1 USD=7.78 HKD
Table 2. Unadjusted odds ratios of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding at 1, 2, 3, 6 months postpartum by participants’ characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>1 month&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2 months&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>3 months&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>6 months&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; 95% CI</td>
<td>OR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; 95% CI</td>
<td>OR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; 95% CI</td>
<td>OR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td>3.18  2.28-4.42</td>
<td>2.86  2.00-4.08</td>
<td>2.55  1.71-3.79</td>
<td>4.10  2.33-7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportion of infant formula supplementation&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0–50%</td>
<td>1.82  1.27-2.61</td>
<td>1.77  1.21-2.59</td>
<td>1.47  0.96-2.23</td>
<td>1.36  0.83-2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50–99%</td>
<td>7.13  4.88-10.41</td>
<td>5.64  3.71-8.56</td>
<td>3.45  2.16-5.51</td>
<td>2.54  1.33-4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–24 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29 years</td>
<td>1.60  0.61-4.20</td>
<td>4.40  1.03-18.76</td>
<td>6.11  0.81-46.03</td>
<td>3.59  0.46-27.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–34 years</td>
<td>2.26  0.89-5.74</td>
<td>5.16  1.23-21.59</td>
<td>8.48  1.15-62.52</td>
<td>4.73  0.63-35.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35 years</td>
<td>1.69  0.65-4.39</td>
<td>2.76  0.64-11.83</td>
<td>4.21  0.56-31.90</td>
<td>2.44  0.31-19.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>0.35  0.11-1.15</td>
<td>0.34  0.10-1.13</td>
<td>0.11  0.01-0.81</td>
<td>0.15  0.02-1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>0.81  0.60-1.08</td>
<td>0.63  0.46-0.88</td>
<td>0.52  0.36-0.76</td>
<td>0.49  0.31-0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University degree or above</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly household income (HKD)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$15,000</td>
<td>0.29  0.18-0.49</td>
<td>0.25  0.14-0.43</td>
<td>0.19  0.10-0.38</td>
<td>0.13  0.05-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000–$29,999</td>
<td>0.61  0.43-0.85</td>
<td>0.60  0.42-0.87</td>
<td>0.60  0.39-0.90</td>
<td>0.47  0.28-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥$30,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of residence in Hong Kong</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 years</td>
<td>0.25  0.12-0.49</td>
<td>0.13  0.05-0.38</td>
<td>0.14  0.05-0.36</td>
<td>0.25  0.10-0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to ≥15 years</td>
<td>0.47  0.33-0.67</td>
<td>0.47  0.40-0.89</td>
<td>0.60  0.40-0.88</td>
<td>0.36  0.21-0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since birth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participant breastfed as a child</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.41  0.29-0.56</td>
<td>0.46  0.32-0.67</td>
<td>0.48  0.33-0.69</td>
<td>0.32  0.19-0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous breast milk feeding experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.42  0.30-0.59</td>
<td>0.38  0.26-0.57</td>
<td>0.42  0.28-0.61</td>
<td>0.43  0.26-0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Husband’s infant feeding preference</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast milk feeding only</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant formula &amp; mixed feeding</td>
<td>1.71  1.03-2.84</td>
<td>1.03  0.45-2.35</td>
<td>1.18  0.54-2.59</td>
<td>1.18  0.40-3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No preference</td>
<td>2.48  1.81-3.39</td>
<td>1.92  1.32-2.78</td>
<td>1.92  1.33-2.78</td>
<td>2.23  1.40-3.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Unadjusted odds ratios of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding at 1, 2, 3, 6 months postpartum by participants’ characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>1 month*</th>
<th>2 months*</th>
<th>3 months*</th>
<th>6 months*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORb</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>ORb</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous vaginal delivery</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted vaginal delivery</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.36-1.49</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.68-2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned cesarean delivery</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.28-3.09</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.99-2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency cesarean delivery</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.90-2.36</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.85-2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to work postpartum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.50-3.02</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.03-4.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sample size for mothers who still breastfed at each time points were as follows: 1 month, n=1584; 2 months, n=1249; 3 months, n=1027; 6 months, n=710
*OR: Odds ratio
*Supplementation with infant formula was measured at 1, 2, 3, and 6 months for each model
*1 USD=7.78 HKD
Table 3. Adjusted odds ratios of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding at 1, 2, 3, 6 months postpartum by participants’ characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>1 month&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2 months&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>3 months&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>6 months&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aOR&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>aOR&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study Cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort 2</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>2.34-5.08</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>2.10-4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportion of infant formula supplementation</strong>&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0─50%</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.22-2.66</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.17-2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50─99%</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>3.68-8.57</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>3.24-8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29 years</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.41-3.29</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.70-15.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34 years</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.54-4.20</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.71-15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥35 years</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.42-3.52</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.38-8.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.30-4.58</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.44-6.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.01-2.16</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.83-1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University degree or above</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly household income (HKD)</strong>&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $15,000</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.30-1.12</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.32-1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$29,999</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.39-0.89</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.39-0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ $30,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of residence in Hong Kong</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5 years</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.31-1.53</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.14-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to ≥15 years</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.50-1.10</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.42-1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Since birth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participant breastfled as a child</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.51-1.13</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.41-0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous breast milk feeding experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.32-0.69</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.48-1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Husband’s infant feeding preference</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast milk feeding only</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant formula &amp; mixed feeding</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.87-2.73</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.63-2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No preference</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.24-2.52</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.86-1.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Adjusted odds ratios of exclusive expressed breast milk feeding at 1, 2, 3, 6 months postpartum by participants’ characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>1 month&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2 months&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>3 months&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>6 months&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aOR&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>aOR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous vaginal delivery</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted vaginal delivery</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.29-1.46</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.79-3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned cesarean delivery</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.48-4.04</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.29-4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency cesarean delivery</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.66-1.99</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.75-2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to work postpartum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.93-2.11</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.21-3.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Sample size for infants who received breast milk at each time points were as follows: 1 month, n=1584; 2 months, n=1249; 3 months, n=1027; 6 months, n=710

<sup>b</sup> aOR: Adjusted odds ratio. Adjusted for all the variables shown in the table

<sup>c</sup> Supplementation with infant formula was measured at 1, 2, 3, and 6 months for each model

<sup>d</sup> 1 USD=7.78 HKD
Table 4. Unadjusted and adjusted hazards ratios of breast milk feeding cessation by different levels of expressed breast milk feeding at 1, 2, 3, and 6 months postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expressed Breast Milk Feeding</th>
<th>Unadjusted Model</th>
<th>Adjusted Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR 95% CI</td>
<td>aHR 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Levels of expressed breast milk feeding at 1 month</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1 --</td>
<td>1 --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0─50%</td>
<td>1.07 0.91-1.27</td>
<td>0.99 0.83-1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50─99%</td>
<td>1.40 1.13-1.73</td>
<td>1.08 0.87-1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1.82 1.54-2.14</td>
<td>1.25 1.04-1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Levels of expressed breast milk feeding at 2 months</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1 --</td>
<td>1 --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0─50%</td>
<td>0.82 0.66-1.01</td>
<td>0.81 0.65-1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50─99%</td>
<td>1.15 0.92-1.43</td>
<td>1.10 0.86-1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1.60 1.33-1.93</td>
<td>1.19 0.96-1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Levels of expressed breast milk feeding at 3 months</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1 --</td>
<td>1 --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0─50%</td>
<td>0.92 0.74-1.16</td>
<td>0.93 0.72-1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50─99%</td>
<td>1.11 0.88-1.41</td>
<td>1.19 0.90-1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1.61 1.30-2.01</td>
<td>1.43 1.12-1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Levels of expressed breast milk feeding at 6 months</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1 --</td>
<td>1 --</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0─50%</td>
<td>0.74 0.53-1.03</td>
<td>1.03 0.69-1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50─99%</td>
<td>0.98 0.69-1.40</td>
<td>1.38 0.91-2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1.67 1.24-2.26</td>
<td>1.91 1.34-2.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for study cohort, supplementation with infant formula, maternal age, maternal education level, monthly household income, length of residence in Hong Kong, participant breastfed as a child, previous breast milk feeding experience, husband’s infant feeding preference, delivery type, and mother returning to work postpartum

<sup>b</sup> Sample size for infants who received breast milk at each time points were as follows: 1 month, n=1584; 2 months, n=1249; 3 months, n=1027; 6 months, n=710
Figure 1. Different levels of expressed breast milk feeding over the first six months of life by study cohort. Sample size were as follows: 1 month, n=1584; 2 months, n=1249; 3 months, n=1027; 6 months, n=710.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival estimates of breast milk feeding duration by different levels of expressed breast milk feeding at 1 month (a), 2 months (b), 3 months (c), and 6 months (d). Sample size were as follows: 1 month, n=1584; 2 months, n=1249; 3 months, n=1027; 6 months, n=710.