1	Post-plasma catalytic removal of methanol over Mn-Ce
2	catalysts in an atmospheric dielectric barrier discharge
3	
4	Xinbo Zhu ^{1, 2§} , Shiyun Liu ^{2§} , Yuxiang Cai ¹ , Xiang Gao ^{1*} , Jinsong Zhou, Chenghang Zheng ¹ , Xin Tu ²
5	*
6	¹ State Key Laboratory of Clean Energy Utilization, Department of Energy Engineering, Zhejiang
7	University, Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang Province, China
8	² Department of Electrical Engineering and Electronics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3GJ,
9	UK
10	§ - equal contribution
11	
12	Corresponding authors
13	* Dr. Xin Tu
14	Department of Electrical Engineering and Electronics,
15	University of Liverpool,
16	Liverpool, L69 3GJ, UK
17	E-mail: <u>xin.tu@liverpool.ac.uk</u>
18	Tel: +44-1517944513
19	
20	* Prof. Xiang Gao
21	State Key Laboratory of Clean Energy Utilization, Zhejiang University,
22	Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang Province, China
23	E-mail: xgao1@zju.edu.cn
24	

25 Abstract:

A post-plasma catalysis system has been developed for the removal of methanol over Mn-Ce oxide 26 catalysts with different Mn/Ce molar ratios at low temperatures. The Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ oxide catalyst 27 28 (Mn/Ce=1:1) shows the best performance in terms of methanol removal efficiency and energy 29 efficiency of the plasma-catalytic process. The maximum methanol removal efficiency of 99.8% can be achieved at a discharge power of 16.5 W, while the highest energy efficiency of the plasma-30 catalytic process is 47.5 g/kWh at 1.9 W. The combination of plasma and Mn-Ce catalysts 31 32 significantly reduces the formation of major by-products (methane, formaldehyde and formic acid) based on the Fourier transform infrared spectra. Possible reaction mechanisms and pathways of the 33 34 post-plasma catalytic removal of methanol are also proposed. A three-layer back propagation artificial neural network (ANN) model has been developed to get a better understanding of the roles of different 35 process parameters on methanol removal efficiency and energy efficiency in the post-plasma catalytic 36 37 process. The predicted data from the ANN model show a good agreement with the experimental 38 results. Catalyst composition (i.e. Mn/Ce ratio) is found to be the most important factor affecting 39 methanol removal efficiency with a relative importance of 31.53%, while the discharge power is the 40 most influential parameter for energy efficiency with a relative weight of 30.40%. These results indicate that the well-trained ANN model provides an alternative approach for accurate and fast 41 42 prediction of the plasma-catalytic chemical reactions.

43

Keywords: Plasma-catalysis, dielectric barrier discharge, methanol removal, artificial neural
network, environmental clean-up

- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50

51 **1. Introduction**

52 Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted by human activities are one of the major sources 53 for the formation of photochemical smog and haze [1]. Due to their negative effects on both the 54 environment and human health, air pollution of VOCs has become a global environmental issue. 55 However, conventional technologies, such as adsorption, absorption, thermal catalytic oxidation and 56 combustion, are not cost-effective when dealing with dilute VOCs in high volume gas streams [2].

57 Over the past decades, non-thermal plasma (NTP) technology has been considered as an 58 attractive and promising alternative for the removal of a wide range of VOCs at atmospheric pressure and low temperatures [3]. A hybrid technology combining the use of non-thermal plasma with 59 60 catalysis, known as "plasma-catalysis", has gained increased attention to overcome the disadvantages of NTP processes such as the formation of hazardous by-products. The synergistic effect resulting 61 62 from the interactions between the plasma and catalysts can lower the operating temperature of 63 catalytic reactions, improve the decomposition of environmental pollutants and change the selectivity 64 of the plasma process to minimize unwanted by-products, as well as enhance the energy efficiency 65 of the plasma process [4].

66 Plasma-catalysis technology has been demonstrated to be promising for the removal of a wide 67 range of dilute VOCs in waste gas streams [5]-[11]. Mn-based catalysts have been widely used in 68 plasma-catalytic processes especially in the post-plasma catalysis configuration due to their ability to 69 efficiently decompose ozone at ambient temperature. This leads to the formation of reactive oxygen 70 species for further oxidation of residual pollutants in the effluent, and consequently increases the 71 removal efficiency of VOCs. Jarrige et al. reported that the combination of a pulsed corona discharge 72 with MnO_x-Al₂O₃ post-treatment improved the removal efficiency of propane (200 ppm) by over 40% at a specific energy density (SED) of 200 J/L, while the yield of CO₂ was 15% higher than that using 73 74 plasma alone [12]. Li et al. found that the removal of acetaldehyde was enhanced by over 20% in the presence of MnO₂/ γ -Al₂O₃ compared to that using plasma alone at the SED of 8 J/L, while α -MnO₂/ γ -75 76 Al₂O₃ catalyst exhibited the best activity among all tested catalysts [13]. Cerium oxide (CeO₂) is 77 known as a good promoter for Mn-based catalysts due to its oxygen storage capacity (OSC). Mn-Ce oxides have shown excellent activity in thermal-catalytic processes such as selective catalytic 78 79 reduction of NO_x and catalytic oxidation of VOCs [14][15]. The addition of Ce to MnO_x can enhance 80 catalyst performance due to the increased surface area and Mn dispersion, and higher reducibility 81 with the involvement of surface oxygen species in reactions [16][17]. However, very limited work 82 has been carried out using Mn-Ce catalysts in plasma-catalytic oxidation of VOCs [18]. In addition, 83 previous works were mainly focused on the plasma-catalytic removal of low concentration (10-1000 84 ppm) gas pollutants in high volume waste streams, while the destruction of VOCs with higher 85 concentration (e.g. several thousand ppm) using plasma-catalysis is more challenging and has 86 attracted considerable interest from industry, especially the industry in China due to serious environmental pollutions. Removal of dilute methanol of either low or high concentration over Mn-87 88 Ce catalysts in a plasma-catalysis system has not been reported before.

89 Plasma-catalysis is a complex process and the reaction performance of the process is controlled by a wide range of process parameters [18]-[22]. Most of the previous work has mainly focused on 90 91 experimental investigations to evaluate the effect of individual process parameters on the plasma 92 reaction performance [7][23], while a fundamental understanding of the contribution of each factor 93 and the interaction of different factors to plasma-catalytic reactions is almost nonexistent, making it 94 difficult to optimize the process parameters and predict the plasma reaction performance theoretically. 95 Numerical modeling of plasma-assisted VOC decomposition has been proposed to solve this problem [24][25]. Aerts et al. developed a global kinetic 0D model which consisted of 113 species (electrons, 96 97 atoms, ions and molecules) and 1639 reactions, even without a catalyst to investigate the removal of 98 ethylene in an air dielectric barrier discharge reactor [26][27]. However, although model calculations 99 can be fast, depending on the type of model, the development of a comprehensive model takes time, 100 and is thus not always desirable for the fast and cost-effective prediction and optimization of highly 101 complex and non-linear plasma processes. A recent review article has pointed out that it is still a 102 challenge to develop a comprehensive model involving plasma physics, plasma chemistry and surface
103 science to deal with the entire plasma-catalytic process [25].

104 Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are considered as a promising tool for process modeling and 105 optimization. Due to their ability of self-learning, modeling and prediction, ANNs are able to 106 reproduce a mapping of the input and output variables based on limited experimental samples with 107 sufficient process units (neurons). As a data-driven model, ANNs are able to predict the performance 108 of complex processes, which are often not represented by mathematical formulas. More importantly, 109 less time is needed for the training and optimization of the ANN model [28]. The developed and well-110 trained ANN model can precisely predict the output performance of complex nonlinear systems as a 111 function of suitable input variables. Such a mapping can subsequently be used to predict desired outputs as a function of suitable input variables, even out of the trained regions. For example, 112 113 multiple-layer ANNs have been used for forecasting a wide range of industrial processes such as the 114 prediction of electrical demand for the national grid. However, there are very few studies on the application of ANNs in the simulation and prediction of plasma chemical reactions, especially 115 116 plasma-catalytic processes [29][30].

In this work, methanol is chosen as a model environmental pollutant since it is a toxic and 117 118 volatile alcohol that has been widely used industrially as a solvent, pesticide, and alternative fuel 119 source. Inhalation or ingestion of methanol may result in blurred vision, headache, dizziness, and 120 nausea. A post-plasma catalytic process has been developed for the removal of dilute methanol over the Mn-Ce catalysts with different Mn/Ce molar ratios. The effect of a wide range of plasma process 121 122 parameters including the discharge power, Mn percentage, gas flow rate and initial methanol 123 concentration on the reaction performance has been investigated in terms of methanol removal 124 efficiency and energy efficiency of the plasma-catalytic process. Possible reaction mechanisms and 125 pathways involved in the plasma-catalytic process are discussed and proposed based on the identified gas products. A well-trained back propagation (BP) artificial neural network model has been 126 127 developed for the modeling of the post-plasma catalytic process to get new insights into the effect 128 and relative importance of different plasma process parameters on the plasma reaction performance 129 and to predict the post-plasma catalytic processing of methanol in terms of methanol removal 130 efficiency and energy efficiency.

131

132 **2.** Materials and methods

133 2.1 Experimental Setup



134

135

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup

136

137 The experiment was carried out in a coaxial dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor, as shown in Fig. 1. A 60 mm-long aluminum foil (ground electrode) was wrapped over a quartz tube with an 138 139 inner diameter of 8 mm and wall thickness of 1 mm. A stainless steel rod with an outer diameter of 4 140 mm was placed in the quartz tube and acted as a high voltage electrode. As a result, the discharge volume was 2.26 cm³, while the residence time of the mixture gas was 0.14 s at an air flow rate of 1 141 142 L/min. The reactor was connected to an AC power supply with a maximum peak voltage of 30 kV and frequency of 10 kHz. Air was used as carrier gas (BOC, zero grade, moisture less than 5 ppm), 143 144 while methanol (Alfa Aesar) was introduced into the DBD reactor by passing a dry air flow (10 mL/min) through a bubbler kept in a thermostatic ice-water bath (0 °C). High concentration of 145 146 methanol (1500-4500 ppm) was chosen for the modeling of the ANN. All gas streams were premixed

prior to the DBD reactor. An online power measurement system was used to monitor and control the discharge power (P) of the DBD reactor in real time. The discharge power of the DBD was calculated using Lissajous method [31]. The gas temperature in the center of the discharge area was measured by using a fiber optical thermometer (Omega, FOB102). The maximum gas temperature in the DBD was less than 150 °C.

152

153 2.2 Catalysts preparation and characterizations

154 Mn-Ce oxide catalysts with different Mn contents were synthesized using the citric-acid method 155 [8]. Desired amount of manganese nitrate, ceria nitrate and citric acid (Alfa Aesar) were dissolved in 156 deionized water. The stoichiometric ratio of citric acid to metal salts was 1.5. The obtained solution 157 was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 2 h. After that, the solution was stirred in a water bath at 80 °C to get wet gel, followed by further drying overnight at 110 °C and calcination at 500 °C for 158 159 5 h. Pure manganese oxide and ceria oxide were prepared in the same way. All catalysts were denoted as Mn_xCe_{100-x}, where x is the molar percentage (%) of Mn. All the samples were sieved to 35-60 160 161 meshes. The catalyst pellets (100 mg) were packed into the discharge gap at 50 mm downstream of 162 the plasma zone, as a post-plasma catalysis configuration. There is no extra heating for the catalyst bed. 163

164

165 **2.3 Gas analysis**

Gas compositions before and after the catalyst bed were analyzed using a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Jasco FTIR-4200) with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. The FTIR spectrometer was equipped with a 1-16 m variable gas cell (PIKE Technologies), while the effective path length used in this study was 5.3 m. Measurements were carried out after running the plasma reaction for about 40 minutes, when a steady-state was reached. All experimental data were obtained by averaging 128 scans and repeating 3 times, with the average value of the three measurements being presented.

172

173 The removal efficiency (RE) of methanol (CH₃OH) is defined as:

174
$$\eta_{\rm CH_3OH} = \frac{c_{in} - c_{out}}{c_{in}} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

175 where c_{in} and c_{out} are the methanol concentrations in the untreated gas and effluent, respectively. 176 The energy efficiency (EE) for the removal of methanol in the plasma-catalytic process can be 177 defined as:

178
$$EE (g/kWh) = \frac{M_{CH_3OH} \cdot \eta_{CH_3OH} \cdot c_{in} \cdot Q}{P \cdot V_m} \times 3.6 \times 10^6$$
(3)

179 where M_{CH_3OH} is the molecular weight of methanol (*g/mol*), *Q* is the total gas flow rate (*L/min*), *P* is 180 the discharge power (*W*), and V_m is the molar volume (*L/mol*).

181

182 2.4 Artificial neural network

A three-layer (input, hidden and output layers) ANN model has been developed for the modeling and prediction of the plasma-catalytic removal of methanol by using MATLAB neural network toolbox. Four key process parameters: discharge power, total gas flow rate, initial methanol concentration and catalyst composition (Mn percentage) are identified as the input variables of the ANN, while methanol removal efficiency and energy efficiency of the process are used as the output variables. Therefore, the input and output layer consist of 4 and 2 neurons, respectively.

The experimental samples were split into two groups: an input group (X) and a target output group (T). 70 sets of experimental data were randomly divided into training (70%), validation (~15.7%) and test (~14.3%) groups; each contains 49, 11 and 10 data sets, respectively. The ANN model learns by manipulating the connection weights. The weights are adjusted to minimize the mean square error (MSE) and standard deviation error (SDE). Each network topology was trained for 20 times to counteract the effect of random weights initialization of the network.

BP training algorithm is one of the most popular supervised learning algorithms for the training
of feed-forward ANN [30]. The combination of Levenberg–Marquardt (LM) training algorithm with

a TANSIG transfer function at both the hidden and output layers (TT) is chosen for the ANN due to
its best accuracy among the tested cases using orthogonal experiments (see Table S1 in Supporting
Information).

The optimal neuron number at the hidden layer was determined to be 12 as the minimum training error was obtained (see Fig. S1 in Supporting Information). The optimized configuration of the ANN for plasma-catalytic methanol decomposition is shown in Fig. 2. Finally, the validation of ANN model shows a perfect agreement between the predicted and experimental results with a correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.99662 (Fig. S2 in Supporting Information).





Fig. 2. Optimized three-layer ANN model

208

206

207

- 209
- 210 **3. Results and discussion**

211 **3.1 Catalyst characterization**

The material characteristics of the fresh catalyst samples are listed in Table 1. Compared to the specific surface area (S_{BET}) of MnO_x (15.0 m² g⁻¹) and CeO_2 (23.8 m² g⁻¹), the combination of Mn and Ce species resulted in the formation of a large specific surface area (46.5 m² g⁻¹ to 88.9 m² g⁻¹) of the Mn-Ce catalysts, which could provide more active sites on the catalyst surface for the oxidation of methanol. The $Mn_{50}Ce_{50}$ catalyst has the highest S_{BET} of 88.9 m² g⁻¹, while further increasing the amount of Mn or Ce resulted in a decrease of the S_{BET} of the Mn-Ce catalysts.

Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of the fresh catalysts. For the MnO_x catalyst, typical diffraction 218 219 peaks of Mn₂O₃ phase (JCPDS 78-0390) can be clearly observed. The XRD spectra of the CeO₂ and 220 Mn-Ce catalysts show a typical diffraction pattern of cubic fluorite-type oxide structure (JCPDS 34-221 0394). No obvious peaks of manganese oxides are observed in the Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ and Mn₂₅Ce₇₅ samples, 222 which might be attributed to the effective dispersion of manganese oxides on the catalyst surface or 223 the incorporation of Mn species into CeO₂ lattice. Further increasing the Mn content leads to the 224 formation of bulk Mn₃O₄ (JCPDS 024-0734) and Mn₂O₃, which can be confirmed by the diffraction 225 peaks in the XRD pattern of the Mn₇₅Ce₂₅ catalyst. Moreover, the introduction of Mn into CeO₂ results in broad diffraction peaks, indicating the formation of the amorphous structure and smaller 226 crystalline size of the catalysts, which favours the removal of methanol. 227

- 228
- 229

Table 1. Material properties of Mn-Ce catalysts

Sample	Specific surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Total pore volume (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	Average pore size (nm)
MnO _x	15.0	0.049	8.35
Mn75Ce25	71.0	0.144	5.99
Mn ₅₀ Ce ₅₀	88.9	0.222	4.76
Mn ₂₅ Ce ₇₅	46.5	0.201	6.17
CeO ₂	23.8	0.106	7.62

230 231



Fig. 3. XRD patterns of Mn-Ce catalysts.

232

233

235

236 **3.2 Plasma-catalytic removal of methanol**

237 **3.2.1 Effect of discharge power**

238 Fig. 4 shows a comparison between the experimental and predicted results for the plasma-239 catalytic removal of methanol over different Mn-Ce catalysts in the discharge power range of 1.9 -240 15 W. The simulated results obtained from the ANN model are in good agreement with the 241 experimental data. The discharge power significantly influences the reaction performance of the 242 plasma-catalytic removal of methanol regardless of the catalysts used. Taking the Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ catalyst 243 as an example, methanol removal efficiency increases almost linearly from 34.6% to 95.4% with the 244 increase of the discharge power from 1.9 W to 15.0 W, as shown in Fig. 4a. The well-trained ANN 245 model could predict the performance of the plasma-catalysis system under other operating conditions. For example, the predicted methanol removal efficiency at the discharge power of 7.0 W and 16.5 W 246 247 is 65.4% and 97.8%, respectively. In contrast, increasing the discharge power from 1.9 W to 15.0 W 248 decreases the energy efficiency by a factor of 2.88. In this study, the maximum energy efficiency of 249 47.5 g/kWh is obtained at the lowest discharge power of 1.9 W (Fig. 4b). These results suggest that 250 a balance between the destruction of pollutants and energy efficiency of the plasma-catalytic process 251 should be considered when developing a cost-effective plasma-catalytic technology.



263 different discharge powers has also been investigated, as presented in Fig. 4. Both predicted removal

264 efficiency and energy efficiency are in good agreement with the experimental data inside and outside of the catalyst composition range. In this study, pure MnO_x is the least active catalyst for the plasma 265 266 removal of methanol followed by pure CeO₂ in the tested discharge power range. The interaction of 267 Mn and Ce species significantly enhances the reaction performances and the activity of Mn-Ce catalysts follow the order of $Mn_{50}Ce_{50} > Mn_{75}Ce_{25} > Mn_{25}Ce_{75}$. This agrees with the properties of the 268 269 Mn-Ce catalysts given in Table 1 which show that the Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ sample has the largest specific 270 surface area. At the discharge power of 9.4 W, the maximum methanol removal efficiency and energy 271 efficiency of the system can be achieved at 73.5% and 20.1 g/kWh, respectively, in the presence of 272 the Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ catalyst. Previous work also reported that Mn-Ce oxide catalyst with a molar ratio of 273 1:1 exhibited the best performance for thermal catalytic oxidation of toluene, ethanol and ethyl acetate [16]. The influence of Mn content on the energy efficiency of the process follows the similar trend as 274 275 the removal efficiency. These results suggest that the catalyst composition significantly affects the oxidation process in the catalyst bed. Due to the smaller radius of Mn ions ($Mn^{2+} = 0.83$ Å, $Mn^{3+} =$ 276 0.65 Å and $Ce^{4+} = 0.97$ Å), the partial substitution of Ce^{4+} by Mn cations results in the formation of 277 Mn-Ce solid solutions. Meanwhile, Ce³⁺, oxygen vacancies and unsaturated chemical bonds could be 278 formed on the catalyst surface to maintain the electroneutrality, which contributes to the formation of 279 280 active O species for oxidation reactions [32]. When the Mn content is lower than 50%, Mn particles 281 are well dispersed on the surface of CeO₂ and facilitate oxygen mobility in the redox cycles, which 282 enhances the reducibility of the Mn-Ce catalysts [33]. The oxygen storage capacity is determined by the redox couple of Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+} . Further increasing the content of Mn leads to the formation of separated 283 284 bulk Mn, and the Mn-O-Mn connections would become more abundant, which may inhibit the formation of oxygen vacancies on the catalyst surface [34], and in turn decrease the oxidation capacity 285 of the Mn-rich catalysts (i.e., Mn₇₅Ce₂₅ and pure MnO_x). As a result, both removal efficiency and 286 287 energy efficiency are decreased when using the Mn-rich samples.

- 288
- 289

290 **3.2.3 Effect of gas flow rate**

291 Fig. 5 shows the effect of the gas flow rate and Mn-Ce catalyst composition on the removal 292 efficiency of methanol and energy efficiency of the plasma-catalytic process. There is a perfect match between the experimental results and predicted data. The removal efficiency of methanol decreases 293 294 from 99.5% to 73.3% over the tested flow rate range for the Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ catalyst. The gas flow rate 295 shows a significant effect on the reaction performance. Increasing the gas flow rate leads to the decrease of the residence time of pollutants in the plasma region, reducing the possibility of the 296 297 collisions between methanol molecules and reactive species, and consequently decreasing the 298 removal efficiency of methanol. The optimized ANN model predicts the removal efficiency of 89.5% 299 and 69.3% at the gas flow rate of 0.7 and 1.2 L/min, respectively. However, increasing the flow rate 300 leads to a significant enhancement in the energy efficiency of the process even though the removal 301 efficiency is much lower at a high gas flow rate.



302

303

(a)



- 304
- 305

Fig. 5. Effect of gas flow rate on the plasma-catalytic removal of methanol over Mn-Ce

307 catalysts: (a) removal efficiency; (b) energy efficiency (*P*: 9.4 W, *C*: 3000 ppm).

- 308
- 309

310 **3.2.4 Effect of initial concentration of methanol**

311 The influence of the initial methanol concentration on the plasma-catalytic removal of methanol 312 over different Mn-Ce catalysts is shown in Fig. 6a. The experimental results are well matched by the 313 ANN simulation. It is clear that the removal efficiency of methanol increases with decreasing initial 314 methanol concentration in the gas flow regardless of the catalysts used. For the Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ catalyst, 315 the experimental removal efficiency decreases from 82.7% to 63.3% when the initial concentration 316 of methanol increases from 1500 ppm to 4500 ppm. For a given plasma-catalytic system, the number 317 density of plasma-generated reactive species and active sites could be similar at a same working 318 condition. At this point, only limited number of reactive species and active sites are available for the 319 oxidation of methanol molecules at higher initial concentration, which subsequently reduces the 320 removal efficiency. In contrast, the energy efficiency of the plasma-catalytic process changes from 321 11.3 g/kWh to 26.0 g/kWh as the concentration of methanol rises from 1500 ppm to 4500 ppm (Fig. 322 6b). Note that the energy efficiency at 4500 ppm is only 2.3 times of that at 1500 ppm, which is in

- 323 line with the results of reduced removal efficiency at high initial concentration. The ANN model
- 324 predicts that the energy efficiency of the process increases to 27.3 g/kWh at an initial concentration
- of methanol of 5500 ppm.



334 **3.3 Contribution of different process parameters**

335 Net weight matrix and Garson equation were used in this work to evaluate the relative importance of each process parameter on the plasma chemical reactions [35]. The weight matrix produced by the 336 well-trained ANN model is listed in Table S2 in the Supporting Information, while the calculated 337 338 relative importance of each process parameter is plotted in Fig. 7. Both gas flow rate and catalyst 339 composition (Mn percentage) show a significant impact on the removal efficiency of methanol with a relative weight of ~31%, while the initial concentration of methanol plays a very weak role in the 340 341 plasma-catalytic abatement of methanol, which suggests that the plasma system is suitable for the 342 removal of methanol with a wide range of concentration. The discharge power is found to be the most 343 important factor affecting the energy efficiency of the process, while the relative importance of other 344 process parameters on the energy efficiency is similar, ranging from 20.99% to 24.62%.

345



346

347



- **Fig. 7.** Relative importance (%) of processing parameters on plasma-catalytic removal of methanol:
- 351 (a) removal efficiency; (b) energy efficiency.
- 352

349



353 **3.4 Reaction mechanisms and pathways**

- Fig. 8. FTIR spectra of plasma-catalytic removal of methanol over Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ catalyst (*P*: 15.0 W, *Q*:
 1 L/min, *C*: 3000 ppm).
- 357

354

Fig. 8 shows the distribution of the gas products in the plasma-catalytic removal of methanol over the Mn₅₀Ce₅₀ catalyst. The main gas products sampled before the catalyst bed were CO, CO₂ and H_2O , while small amount of CH_4 , HCHO, HCOOH, N_2O and NO_2 were also detected. Ozone was not detected since it could be consumed in the oxidation reactions or decomposed by the local heating in the plasma. Similar gas products were detected at the outlet of the plasma reactor after the catalyst bed. However, the intensity of most gas products (CO, CH₄, HCHO, HCOOH, N_2O and NO_2) is significantly decreased except CO_2 and H_2O at the exit of the DBD reactor. These results suggest that the catalyst bed placed after the plasma region plays an important role in the further oxidation of methanol and by-products in this post-plasma catalysis system.

In this post-plasma catalysis system, the catalyst bed is located at 5 cm downstream of the plasma region. Only long-lived species (e.g. methanol and intermediates) can reach the surface of the Mn-Ce catalysts, while most short-lived species such as O and OH could be quenched before reaching the catalyst surface due to their extremely short lifetime and high chemical activity. Thus, the dominant reaction pathways of methanol removal in the two-stage post-plasma catalytic process without extra heating can be identified as two separate steps: plasma reaction in the discharge region and catalytic reaction in the catalyst bed, as shown in Fig. 8.

374 It is well known that abatement of dilute VOCs in air plasmas is initiated by direct electron impact 375 dissociation of carrier gas (nitrogen and oxygen) to form chemically reactive species such as O, O 376 (1 D), N and N₂ (A) for the stepwise decomposition and oxidation of pollutants and/or intermediates 377 into CO, CO₂, H₂O and other by-products. The formation of these reactive species allows methanol 378 decomposition or oxidation through the following reactions (R1-R5) [36]:

R2

379
$$CH_3OH + O, O(^1D) \rightarrow CH_2OH + OH$$
 R1

$$380 \rightarrow CH_3O \cdot + OH \cdot$$

381
$$CH_3OH + N, N_2(A) \rightarrow CH_3 \cdot + OH \cdot + N, N_2$$
 R3

$$382 \rightarrow CH_3O \cdot + H + N, N_2 \qquad R4$$

$$383 \rightarrow CH_2OH \cdot + H + N, N_2$$
R5

384 Hydroxyl radicals (OH·) generated from R1, R2 and R3 can also oxidize methanol molecules via
385 [37]:

$$386 \qquad CH_3OH + OH \cdot \rightarrow CH_2OH \cdot + H_2O \qquad R6$$

387
$$CH_3OH + OH \cdot \rightarrow CH_3O \cdot + H_2O$$
 R7

Hamdane et al. reported that the reaction between $OH \cdot and CH_3OH$ is a major pathway for methanol oxidation [37]. However, the effect of electron impact dissociation could be weak or negligible for the dissociation of methanol and other by-products due to the relatively low concentration of these products compared to carrier gas.

392 CH₃·, CH₂OH· and CH₃O· radicals are the main intermediates of methanol decomposition and 393 oxidation in the air DBD. Previous works also reported that CH₂OH· and CH₃O· are very important 394 radicals for the oxidation of higher hydrocarbons and oxygenates [38]. H-abstractions of CH₂OH· and 395 CH₃O· radicals are also likely to occur via reactions with O, H and OH·, forming CH₂O and HCO·. 396 In the air plasma removal of methanol, CH₃· radicals can be reacted with O and OH to form CH₂· radicals, while some of the CH₃· radicals recombine with H atoms to form CH₄ (Fig. 8). In the 397 398 presence of oxidative radicals, CH₂· radicals can also be converted to CH₂O and HCO·. Both CH₂O 399 and HCO· can react with O and OH radicals, and form HCOOH [39]. The intermediates and by-400 products could be further oxidized to form end-products such as CO, CO₂ and H₂O.

401 In the catalyst bed, methanol and by-products in the gas mixture were adsorbed onto the surface 402 active sites prior to catalytic reactions. It is generally recognized that the oxidation of VOCs on Mn 403 catalysts proceeds via the classical Mars-van Krevelen (MVK) mechanism [40][41]. According to 404 this mechanism, adsorbed VOC molecules were oxidized by active surface oxygen species, while the 405 resultant oxygen vacancies could be replenished by gas phase oxygen. The alternative oxidation and 406 reduction of metal active sites on the catalyst surface in the whole catalytic reaction makes the supply of oxygen species as a rate-determining step [42]. CeO₂ acted as a reservoir for the release and storage 407 of oxygen as a result of the Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+} redox cycle in the Mn-Ce oxide catalysts. The interactions 408 409 between Mn and Ce oxides in the Mn-Ce catalysts shows a synergistic effect in oxygen activation,

410 which enhances the oxygen mobility on the catalysts and accelerates the conversion of oxygen from 411 chemisorbed and lattice oxygen to active oxygen species (O*) on the oxygen vacancies and active metal sites. These processes lead to the deep oxidation of methanol and intermediates by surface 412 413 active oxygen in the catalyst bed [43]. Finocchio et al. reported that methanol adsorbed onto the 414 surface of Mn-based catalysts can be dissociated and resulted in the break of O-H bonds [44]. The formed CH₃O· can be further dissociated to HCHO and H with the aid of O* [45]. The conversion of 415 416 HCHO to HCOOH via HCO· on the surface of Mn catalysts was also reported [46]. A fraction of 417 these intermediates and by-products can be further oxidized by O* and finally desorbed as CO and 418 CO₂. The inhibition of NO_x formation in a post-plasma catalysis system are mainly attributed to the 419 oxidation of NO_x to HNO_x and surface adsorbed NO₂⁻ or NO₃⁻ cations [10].

420



421

422

(a)



(b)

424

425 **Fig. 9.** Plausible major reaction pathways of methanol removal in the post-plasma catalysis system:

426 (a) Plasma induced chemical reactions in the discharge region; (b) Catalytic reactions on the

427 catalyst surface. The 'square' symbol represents oxygen vacancies on the surface of the Mn-Ce428 catalysts.

- 429
- 430

431 **4.** Conclusions

432 In this study, effective removal of methanol over Mn-Ce oxide catalysts with different Mn/Ce 433 molar ratios has been achieved in a post-plasma catalysis system. Compared to pure MnO_x and CeO₂ 434 oxide catalysts, the combination of plasma and binary Mn-Ce catalysts significantly enhances both 435 methanol removal efficiency and energy efficiency of the plasma-catalytic process, while the 436 $Mn_{50}Ce_{50}$ catalysts exhibits the best performance among all the tested catalysts. The presence of these 437 Mn-Ce catalysts in the plasma process also inhibits the formation of various by-products including CH₄, HCHO and HCOOH. Possible reaction pathways have been proposed based on the detected by-438 products. A well-trained and optimized three layer neural network has been used to get a better 439 440 understanding of the effect and importance of different processing parameters and catalyst 441 composition on the plasma chemical reaction. Sensitivity analysis shows that catalyst composition 442 (Mn percentage) is the most important factor affecting the removal efficiency of methanol, while the 443 discharge power plays a crucial role in the energy efficiency of the plasma-catalytic process. The 444 good agreements between experimental and predicted results indicate ANN model can be an effective 445 approach for fast and reliable simulation and prediction of the complex plasma-catalytic process.

446

447 Supporting Information

Definition of MSE and SDE; Comparison of 5 best combinations of BP algorithms and transfer
functions with a hidden layer of 5 neurons (Table S1); MSE as a function of neuron number for the
LM-TT configuration (Fig. S1); Comparison between measured removal efficiency T and predicted

451	data Y (Fig. S2); Weight matrices W1 (weights between the input and hidden layers) and W2 (weights
452	between the hidden and output layer) (Table S2).

454 Acknowledgements

- 455 Support of this work by the UK EPSRC Bioenergy Challenge Programme (EP/M013162/1),
- 456 National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51076140 & No. 51206143) and National Science
- 457 Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 51125025) is gratefully acknowledged.
- 458

459 **References**

- 460 [1] J.H. Seinfeld, S.N. Pandis, Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate
 461 change, John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- 462 [2] K.B. Schnelle Jr, C.A. Brown, Air pollution control technology handbook, CRC press2001.
- 463 [3] F. Thevenet, L. Sivachandiran, O. Guaitella, C. Barakat, A. Rousseau, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.
 464 47 (2014) 224011.
- 465 [4] J.C. Whitehead, Pure Appl. Chem. 82 (2010) 1329-1336.
- 466 [5] H. Zhang, K. Li, T. Sun, J. Jia, Z. Lou, L. Feng, Chem. Eng. J. 241 (2014) 92-102.
- 467 [6] L. Sivachandiran, F. Thevenet, P. Gravejat, A. Rousseau, Chem. Eng. J. 214 (2013) 17-26.
- 468 [7] A.M. Harling, D.J. Glover, J.C. Whitehead, K. Zhang, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 90 (2009) 157469 161.
- 470 [8] X.B. Zhu, X. Gao, R. Qin, Y.X. Zeng, R.Y. Qu, C.H. Zheng, X. Tu, Appl. Catal. B: Environ.
 471 170 (2015) 293-300.
- 472 [9] X. B. Zhu, X. Gao, X. N. Yu, C. H. Zheng, X. Tu, Catal. Today, 256 (2015), 108-114
- 473 [10] X. Fan, T.L. Zhu, M.Y. Wang, X.M. Li, Chemosphere, 75 (2009) 1301-1306.
- 474 [11] H.Q. Trinh, Y.S. Mok, Chem. Eng. J. 251 (2014) 199-206.
- 475 [12] J. Jarrige, P. Vervisch, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 90 (2009) 74-82.
- 476 [13] Y. Li, Z. Fan, J. Shi, Z. Liu, W. Shangguan, Chem. Eng. J. 241 (2014) 251-258.
- 477 [14] F. Lin, X. Wu, S. Liu, D. Weng, Y. Huang, Chem. Eng. J. 226 (2013) 105-112.

- 478 [15] S.M. Saqer, D.I. Kondarides, X.E. Verykios, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 103 (2011) 275-286.
- 479 [16] D. Delimaris, T. Ioannides, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 84 (2008) 303-312.
- 480 [17] N. Drenchev, I. Spassova, E. Ivanova, M. Khristova, K. Hadjiivanov, Appl. Catal. B: Environ.
 481 138 (2013) 362-372.
- 482 [18] M.T.N. Dinh, J.M. Giraudon, A.M. Vandenbroucke, R. Morent, N. De Geyter, J.F. Lamonier,
 483 Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 172 (2015) 65-72.
- 484 [19] A.M. Vandenbroucke, R. Morent, N. De Geyter, C. Leys, J. Hazard. Mater. 195 (2011) 30-54.
- 485 [20] X. Tu, J.C. Whitehead, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 125 (2012) 439-448.
- 486 [21] W. Somers, A. Bogaerts, A.C.T. van Duin, E.C. Neyts, Appl. Cataly. B: Environ.154 (2014) 1487 8.
- 488 [22] E.C. Neyts, K. Ostrikov, Catal. Today 256 (2015) 23-28.
- 489 [23] H. Huang, D. Ye, D.Y.C. Leung, F. Feng, X. Guan, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 336 (2011) 87-93.
- 490 [24] A.M. Vandenbroucke, R. Aerts, W. Van Gaens, N. De Geyter, C. Leys, R. Morent, A. Bogaerts,
 491 Plasma Chem. Plasma Process. 35 (2014) 217-230.
- 492 [25] E.C. Neyts, A. Bogaerts, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 47 (2014) 224010.
- 493 [26] R. Aerts, X. Tu, W. Van Gaens, J.C. Whitehead, A. Bogaerts, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 (2013)
 6478-6485.
- 495 [27] R. Aerts, X. Tu, C. De Bie, J. C. Whitehead, A. Bogaerts, Plasma Process. Polymers 9 (2012)
 496 994-1000.
- 497 [28] I.A. Basheer, M. Hajmeer, J. Microbiol. Meth. 43 (2000) 3-31.
- 498 [29] Istadi, N.A.S. Amin, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 45 (2006) 6655-6664.
- 499 [30] S.Y. Liu, D.H. Mei, Z. Shen, X. Tu, J. Phys. Chem. C 118 (2014) 10686-10693.
- 500 [31] T.C. Manley, T. Electrochem. Soc. 84 (1943) 83.
- 501 [32] H. Chen, A. Sayari, A. Adnot, F. Larachi, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 32 (2001) 195-204.
- 502 [33] X. Wang, Y. Zheng, Z. Xu, Y. Liu, X. Wang, Catal. Sci. Technol. 4 (2014) 1738-1741.
- 503 [34] X. Tang, J. Chen, X. Huang, Y. Xu, W. Shen, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 81 (2008) 115-121.

- 504 [35] G.D. Garson, AI expert, 6 (1991) 46-51.
- 505 [36] P.F. Lee, H. Matsui, D.W. Xu, N.S. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 525-534.
- 506 [37] S. Hamdane, Y. Rezgui, M. Guemini, Kinet. Catal. 53 (2012) 648-664.
- 507 [38] P.G. Kristensen, B. Karll, A.B. Bendtsen, P. Glarborg, K.I.M. Dam-Johansen, Combust. Sci.
 508 Technol. 157 (2000) 262-292.
- 509 [39] W. Sun, M. Uddi, S.H. Won, T. Ombrello, C. Carter, Y. Ju, Combust. Flame 159 (2012) 221510 229.
- 511 [40] S. Todorova, A. Naydenov, H. Kolev, J.P. Holgado, G. Ivanov, G. Kadinov, A. Caballero, Appl.
 512 Catal. A: Gen. 413-414 (2012) 43-51.
- 513 [41] J.P. Durand, S.D. Senanayake, S.L. Suib, D.R. Mullins, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (2010) 20000514 20006.
- 515 [42] C. Doornkamp, V. Ponec, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 162 (2000) 19-32.
- 516 [43] O. D'Alessandro, H.J. Thomas, J.E. Sambeth, React. Kinet. Mech. Cat. 107 (2012) 295-309.
- 517 [44] E. Finocchio, G. Busca, Catal. Today 70 (2001) 213-225.
- 518 [45] K.R. Phillips, S.C. Jensen, M. Baron, S.C. Li, C.M. Friend, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 574-
- 519 577.
- 520 [46] S. Park, Y. Xie, M.J. Weaver, Langmuir, 18 (2002) 5792-5798.