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SUMMARY


A parametric study of lunar-mission vehicles designed for lunar-orbitrendezvous and direct lunar missions was made for the purpose of determining the injected weight required for missions performed under various circumstances. Missions were considered which had crew sizes from 2 to 14 men, transported supplies to be deposited on the moon up to 40,000 pounds, circular and elliptic orbits at the moon with maximum altitudes from 50 to 8,000 international nautical miles, and points of entry into lunar orbit at both apolune and perilune. Three fuel combinations were considered.

The results of this study indicate that the lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission requires much smaller weights injected to the moon than the direct lunar mission. For the lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission, the lowest lunar-mission-vehicle weights were generally obtained for low-altitude orbits. In the case of elliptic lunar orbits entered at perilune, vehicle weight was relatively insensitive to lunarorbit altitude. In the cases of circular lunar orbits and elliptic lunar orbits entered at apolune, vehicle weight increased markedly with lunar-orbit altitude.

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years the Langley Research Center has investigated the use of rendezvous to assist in accomplishment of the manned lunar mission. As a result of this work the merits of the use of rendezvous have become apparent, and a particular form of lunar mission has been developed which uses lunar orbit rendezvous. This mission substantially reduces the earth boost requirement for making a lunar mission. In this plan the command module in which the men make the trip to the moon and the associated propulsion for return to earth are left in a lunar orbit and descent to the lunar surface is made in a small lander vehicle. On return to the orbiting command module the lander vehicle is discarded and earth return is made in the command module which is designed for the required atmospheric reentry. As a result of avoiding the deceleration and acceleration of components not needed on the lunar surface the overall weight of the vehicle in transit to the moon is much less than would be required for a direct mission to the moon wherein all components are placed on the lunar surface. The substantial
benefits of this lunar rendezvous concept were outlined in a summary of rendezvous research in reference 1 and to a further extent in reference 2.

The purpose of the present investigation was to study the lunar-orbitrendezvous mission parametrically to determine the injected weight required for missions performed under various circumstances. In this regard, missions were considered which had:
(1) crew sizes ranging from 2 to 14 men,
(2) weights of transported supplies to be deposited on the moon of 0 and 40,000 pounds,
(3) maximum lunar-orbit altitudes from 50 to 8,000 international nautical miles,
(4) circular and elliptic lunar orbits with entry into and exit from the elliptic orbits made at apolune and perilune, and
(5) three different fuel combinations.

In addition, an analysis was made wherein the results were normalized in terms of the command-module weight in order to illustrate the relative effects of lander-capsule weight and weight transported to the moon. Throughout this report the direct lunar mission, wherein all components were taken to the lunar surface, is used for comparison.

SYMBOLS

E total energy factor, $\frac{2 U}{m},(f t / \mathrm{sec})^{2}$
$g_{e} \quad$ acceleration of gravity at surface of earth, $32.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$
gm acceleration of gravity at surface of moon, $5.32 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$
H total number of men in crew
h altitude, international nautical miles
I specific impulse, Ib-sec/Ib
$K \quad$ mass-ratio factor, $K=\frac{M R}{1+\left(k_{T}-k_{G}\right)-\left(k_{T}+k_{C}\right) M R}=\frac{W_{i}}{W_{P}}$
percentage weight factors

$$
\left(\text { e.g., } \quad k_{G}=\frac{\text { Weight of landing gear }}{\text { Weight supported by landing gear }}\right)
$$

mass ratio, $\frac{W_{i}}{W_{f}}$
mass, slugs
radius, measured from center of lunar sphere, ft
radius of the lunar sphere, $5.702 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{ft}$
total energy, ft-lb
velocity, ft/sec
change in velocity, ft/sec
weight, lb
pilotage factor, allowances made for deviations from the flight profiles used in the computations
the acute angle between the earth-moon line and the asymptote of a hyperbolic lunar orbit, deg
flight-path angle, angle made by velocity vector with local lunar horizontal, deg
orbital eccentricity
orbital angle measured from perilune, deg

Subscripts:
$a, b, c, d$ quantities associated with four propulsive efforts of lunar-orbitalrendezvous mission
quantities associated with four propulsive efforts of direct lunar mission
apolune
supplies container
circular, when referring to velocities; thrust and attitude controls when referring to weights

| DLV | direct lunar vehicle |
| :---: | :---: |
| E | elliptic |
| F | fuel |
| f | final |
| G | landing gear |
| H | hyperbolic when referring to orbital elements; man when referring to weights |
| i | initial |
| L | ```lunar-lander manned module including lander crew (i.e., one less than total crew)``` |
| LORV | Iunar-orbital-rendezvous vehicle |
| M | command module including total crew |
| m | surface of moon |
| max | maximum altitude |
| P | payload |
| p | perilune |
| R | rotation of elliptic lunar orbit with respect to earth-moon line, used in appendix A |
| S | supplies |
| $T$ | tanks and engines |
| $\alpha$ | apolune of Hohmann descent ellipse when used in section "Propulsive Increments" |
| $\pi$ | perilune of Hohmann descent ellipse when used in section "Propulsive Increments" |
| 50 | altitude of 50 nautical miles |
| Vehicle designations: |  |
| DLV | direct lunar vehicle |
| L | lunar-lander manned module |
| LIV | lunar-lander vehicle |
| 4 |  |

## MISSION PROFILE

The mission profile for the lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission considered in this investigation is shown in figure l. A similar profile is shown for the direct lunar mission in figure 2. The operations of most significance in this study are establishment of lunar orbit, descent to surface with lander vehicle, take-off for lunar rendezvous with command module left in orbit, and orbital launch for earth return in command module. Although specific allowance was not made for a plane change at the moon this situation is considered to be adequately covered by a percentage allowance for deviation from the profiles given here.

Three lunar-orbit situations were assumed for the investigation. (See fig. 3.) In one situation, circular lunar orbits of various altitudes were considered. In the other two situations, elliptic orbits having various maximum altitudes and a perilune distance of 50 nautical miles were considered. For elliptic orbits, in one case, entrance and exit from lunar orbit were made at perilune; in the other case, at apolune. It is recognized that stay time and the initial inclination of the lunar orbit, in general, will dictate the point in lunar orbit for injection to earth return and will prohibit operation exactly from either apolune or perilune, but these conditions were chosen as representative of the situations that will be faced in orbit establishment. Appendixes A and B give a more careful examination of this matter in terms of the direction of approach and departure from the moon.

In this investigation, descent to the lunar surface and launch to lunar rendezvous with the command module are assumed to be accomplished by a Hohmann transfer. It is recognized that, in general, shorter transfers may be more practical from guidance, control, and other considerations, but for assessment of relative weights the Hohmann transfer was believed to be adequate. In this regard, one of the more attractive descent orbits is one having a period equal to that of the rendezvous orbit. In this case, rendezvous 1 period later is facilitated in the event that final braking and descent is deferred. A substantial allowance was made to account for such deviations from the Hohmann transfer.

For the purpose of establishing velocity increments, the sequence of orbits in the direct lunar mission was assumed to be the same as for the lunar-orbitrendezvous missions. In the direct lunar mission, the entire lunar vehicle was taken to the surface of the moon.

The impulsive velocity increments necessary to obtain the various trajectories considered in this investigation are given in table $I$. Velocity increments $\Delta V_{a}, \Delta V_{b}, \Delta V_{c}$, and $\Delta V_{d}$ apply to the lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission. Velocity increments $\Delta V_{a}$ and $\Delta V_{d}$ are required for braking into lunar orbit and injection
to earth return. Velocity increments $\Delta V_{b}$ and $\Delta V_{c}$ are required for landing on the moon and launch to rendezvous in lunar orbit. Velocity increments $\Delta V_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\Delta V_{f}$ apply to the direct lunar mission and are required for braking and landing on the moon and launch and injection to earth return, respectively.

These velocity increments were multiplied by the factors indicated in table II to allow for orbital plane changes, gravity influence due to finite thrusting times, and piloting errors. The method of utilizing these velocity increments to calculate the vehicle weights for the conditions investigated is discussed in "Method of Analysis."

## LUNAR-MISSION VEHICLES

## Lunar-Orbit-Rendezvous Vehicle

A schematic of the lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle considered is shown in figure 4. This vehicle consists of a command module $M$, propulsive elements a and $d$, and a lunar lander $L, c, S$, and $b$. The propulsive element a serves to brake the entire vehicle into lunar orbit, and the propulsive element $d$, to inject the command module $M$ to earth return. The lander vehicle has propulsive elements $b$ and $c$, a supply element $S$, and a manned module $L$. The propulsive element $b$ brakes the lander to the surface of the moon, and the propulsive element $c$ launches the manned module $I$ to a lunar rendezvous with the command module M .

A significant version of the lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle is obtained if the propulsive element $d$ is omitted. Propulsive element $a$ is then used to brake the lander vehicle and command module into lunar orbit and to launch the command module to earth return. This plan is reasonable if no large supply weights are deposited on the moon in that the velocity increment associated with braking into and launch from lunar orbit is only a total of about 6,600 ft/sec. Staging boosters at velocity increments of $10,000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ or more is accepted as good practice. In this investigation it was intended to study the effect of transporting large weights to the lunar surface and the booster requirements for this task are inconsistent with the requirements for launch of the command module to earth return; therefore, staging was employed to obtain a more realistic weight structure.

For purposes of this analysis, the fuel-tank weight was assumed to be proportional to the fuel contained so that $W_{T}=k_{T} W_{F}$. The attitude control system of a given stage was assumed to be proportional to the stage initial weight so that $W_{C}=k_{C} W_{i}$. The landing gear was assumed to be proportional to stage final weight so that $W_{G}=k_{G} W_{f}$. The factors $k_{T}$, $k_{C}$, and $k_{G}$ are shown in figure 4 for the various propulsive efforts. For propulsive efforts $a, c$, and $d, k_{G}$ is 0 because no landing gear is necessary on these stages.

The command-module weight was considered to be a function of the mission crew size. The weights for the various crew sizes included in this investigation are given in table III. The items that make up these weights are a fixed weight of 1,000 pounds for instruments, guidance, and communications; a weight of 2,375 pounds per man for men and associated equipment; a structural weight equal to 0.25 of the first two items; and a heat shield weight equal to $1,300(H / 3)^{2 / 3}$.

The lander-module (L) weight was considered to be a function of lander crew size. The weights considered for the various crew sizes included in this investigation are given in table IV. In all cases, the lander crew is considered to be one less than the mission crew ( $\mathrm{H}-1$ ). One man is left in charge of the command module on descent to the moon. The weight of the lander module is constituted of a fixed weight of 535 pounds for guidance, instrumentation, and communication; a weight of 439 pounds per man for a man, life support, and associated gear; and a structural weight of 0.25 of the sum of the first two items.

The weight of the container for the supplies to be transported to the moon was assumed to be proportional to the supply weight so that $W_{B}=k_{S} W_{S}$. The factor $k_{S}$ was taken to be 0.25 . A man and space suit were assumed to weigh 200 pounds.

For comparison, a single-stage lunar lander was considered. This vehicle is shown schematically in figure 5. Propulsive elements $b$ and $c$ are employed as for the two-stage lunar lander, but in this case the fuels are contained in a single tank. The weights of lander module $L$, fuel tank, control system, landing gear, and supply container were defined in much the same way as was employed for the two-stage lunar lander. The fuel-tank weight was assumed to be proportional to the fuel contained so that $W_{T}=k_{T}\left(W_{F}, b+W_{F}, c\right)$; the attitude-control-system weight was assumed to be proportional to the initial weight of the vehicle so that $W_{C}=k_{C} W_{i}, b$; the landing-gear weight was assumed to be proportional to the weight of the vehicle landed on the moon so that $W_{G}=k_{G} W_{f}, b$; and the supplycontainer weight was assumed to be proportional to the weight of the supplies so that $W_{B}=k_{S} W_{S}$. The values of the factors $k_{T}, k_{C}$, $k_{G}$, and $k_{S}$ employed for these calculations are given in figure 5.

## Direct-Lunar-Mission Vehicle

A schematic of the direct-lunar-mission vehicle considered is shown in figure 6. This vehicle consists of a command module $M$, transported supplies $S$, and propulsive elements $e$ and $f$. The propulsive element $e$ serves to brake and land the entire vehicle at the moon, and the propulsive element $f$ serves to launch and inject the command module $M$ to earth return. The considerations concerning the weights of fuel tank, the control system, and the landing gear were much the same for this vehicle as for the lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle. The weight factors for the two propulsive efforts $e$ and $f$ are given in figure 6.

Two fuels were considered in this investigation. One was hydrogen/oxygen with a specific impulse of 425 seconds; the other was nitrogen tetroxide/unsymmetrical dimethyl hydrazine with a specific impulse of 315 sec onds. These fuels were considered in the combinations shown in table $V$ for the various phases of the lunar missions studied. Fuel combination 2 (425/315) involved the use of the fuel with specific impulse of 315 in the lander and the fuel with specific impulse of 425 for braking into and launch from lunar orbit. This combination was not considered for the direct lunar mission.

## MEITHOD OF ANALYSIS

Unit Rocket Equation
Consider a rocket which consists of a useful payload, a landing gear, attitude control system, tanks and engines, and a fuel supply. (See fig. 7.) The initial weight of such a rocket may be expressed as the sum of these components as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}=W_{P}+W_{G}+W_{C}+W_{T}+W_{F} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final weights after a propulsive effort which consumes the fuel may be written as:

$$
W_{f}=W_{i}-W_{F}
$$

which, for later convenience, may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{F}=W_{i}-W_{f} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the landing gear, attitude control, and tank and engine weights may be written as simple proportions of their governing weights (i.e., final, initial, and fuel weights, respectively) so that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
W_{G}=k_{G} W_{f}  \tag{3}\\
W_{C}=k_{C} W_{i} \\
W_{T}=k_{T} W_{F}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Substituting equations (3) into equation (1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}=W_{P}+k_{G} W_{P}+k_{C} W_{i}+k_{T} W_{F}+W_{F} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) reduces to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-k_{C}\right) W_{i}=W_{P}+k_{G} W_{f}+\left(1+k_{T}\right) W_{F} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (2) into equation (5) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-k_{C}\right) W_{i}=W_{P}+k_{G} W_{f}+\left(1+k_{P}\right)\left(W_{i}-W_{f}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substituting $W_{f}=\frac{W_{i}}{M R}$ for the final weight and combining terms gives

$$
\left[\frac{I+\left(k_{I}-k_{G}\right)}{M R}-\left(k_{I}+k_{C}\right)\right] W_{i}=W_{P}
$$

and dividing by the quantity inside the brackets gives the following result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}=\frac{W_{P} M R}{I+\left(k_{T}-k_{G}\right)-\left(k_{T}+k_{C}\right) M R} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}=W_{P} K \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{M R}{1+\left(k_{T}-k_{G}\right)-\left(k_{I}+k_{C}\right) M R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the mass ratio may be written as a function of the change in velocity resulting from the propulsive effort as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M R=e^{\frac{\Delta V \alpha}{g_{e} I}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor $\alpha$ accounts for the influence of gravity during the finite burning time, plane changes, and piloting inefficiency. (See table II.)

## Lunar-Orbit-Rendezvous Rocket Equation

Consider the entire lunar-orbit-rendezvous-mission vehicle. (See fig. 4.) The vehicle shown is staged after each propulsive effort because of the large masses transported in some missions considered. When a large mass is deposited on the lunar surface only a modest thrust capability is required to either return the small lander capsule to orbit or inject the command module to earth return in proportion to that required initially to establish orbit or to land. In cases involving more or less constant payloads, staging for velocity increments less than 10,000 feet per second could hardly be justified because of the additional complexity involved.

The initial weight of the entire lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle is formulated by combining the unit rocket equation (eq. (8)) appropriately for the vehicle elements of figure 4. In this formulation tbe payload element $W p$ of the unit rocket equation has different values for the various propulsive efforts. These values may be obtained by summing the elements of figure 4 , and are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
W_{P, a}=W_{i}, d+W_{i, b}-(H-1) W_{H}  \tag{11}\\
W_{P}, b=W_{i}, c+\left(1+k_{S}\right) W_{S} \\
W_{P}, c=W_{L} \\
W_{P, d}=W_{M}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

By use of the unit rocket equation (eq. (8)), the following equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i, a}=W_{P, a} K_{a} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
W_{i, b}=W_{P}, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{b}}  \tag{13}\\
\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~d}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{d}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Substituting equations (11) and (13) into equation (12) gives the following equation for the initial weight of the vehicle in transit to the moon:

$$
W_{i, a}=\left\{W_{M} K_{d}+\left[W_{I} K_{c}+\left(1+K_{S}\right) W_{S}\right] K_{b}-(H-1) W_{H}\right\} K_{a}
$$

and finally when normalized with respect to the command-module weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{i, a}}{W_{M}}=\left\{K_{d}+\left[\frac{W_{L}}{W_{M}} K_{c}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) \frac{W_{S}}{W_{M}}\right] K_{b}-(H-I) \frac{W_{H}}{W_{M}}\right\} K_{a} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass-ratio factors $K_{a} ; K_{b}, K_{c}$, and $K_{d}$ correspond to propulsive increments $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}, \Delta \mathrm{Vb}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$, respectively. (See eqs. (9) and (10).) The factor $k_{S}$ when multiplied by the weight of the transported supplies gives the weight of the containing structure. This factor was taken as 0.25 in this analysis. The factor $W_{H}$ is the weight of one man and a space suit, and ( $H$ - l) is the number of men carried in the lander vehicle.

If two lander vehicles are carried on the mission, then equation (14) becomes

$$
\frac{W_{i, a}}{W_{M}}=\left\{K_{d}+2\left[\frac{W_{L}}{W_{M}} K_{c}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) \frac{W_{S}}{W_{M}}\right] K_{b}-(H-1) \frac{W_{H}}{W_{M}}\right\} K_{a}
$$

## Direct-Lunar-Mission Rocket Equation

Consider now the entire direct-lunar-mission vehicle. (See fig. 6.) In this case,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
W_{P, e}=W_{i, f}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) W_{S}  \tag{15}\\
W_{P, f}=W_{M}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and, from the unit rocket equation (eq. (8)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}, e=W_{P}, e^{K_{e}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}, f=W_{P}, f_{f}^{K_{f}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equations (15) and (17) into equation (16) gives the following equation for the initial weight of the direct-lunar-mission vehicle in transit to the moon:

$$
W_{i, e}=\left[W_{M} K_{f}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) W_{S}\right] K_{e}
$$

and finally when normalized with respect to the command-module weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{i, e}}{W_{M}}=\left[K_{f}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) \frac{W_{S}}{W_{M}}\right] K_{e} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass-ratio factors $K_{e}$ and $K_{f}$ correspond to propulsive increments $\Delta V_{e}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}$, respectively. (See eqs. (9) and (10).).

The ratio of the injected weight for a lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission in comparison with that for a direct mission is the ratio of equation (14) to equation (18).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{i, L O R V}}{W_{i, D L V}}=\frac{K_{a}\left\{K_{d}+\left[\frac{W_{L}}{W_{M}} K_{c}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) \frac{W_{S}}{W_{M}}\right] K_{b}-(H-1) \frac{W_{H}}{W_{M}}\right\}}{\left[K_{f}+\left(1+K_{S}\right) \frac{W_{S}}{W_{M}}\right] K_{e}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a parametric analysis consider a three-man mission such that $(H-1)=2$ and $\frac{W_{H}}{W_{M}}=0.0175$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{i, L O R V}}{W_{i, D L V}}=\frac{K_{a}\left\{K_{d}+\left[\frac{W_{L}}{W_{M}} K_{c}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) \frac{W_{S}}{W_{M}}\right] K_{b}-0.0350\right\}}{\left[K_{f}+\left(1+k_{S}\right) \frac{W_{S}}{W_{M}}\right] K_{e}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Single-Stage Lander Rocket Equation

Consider the case of a single-stage lander vehicle. (See fig. 5.) In this case there is no staging of tanks on the moon; however, there is allowance for the deposit of supplies after landing. The propulsive efforts are indicated as $b$ and $c$ corresponding to the propulsive efforts of the two-stage lander vehicle shown in figure 4. These efforts correspond to landing on the moon and take-off, respectively.

The weights of the tank, control system, landing gear, and supply container are defined as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
W_{T}=k_{T}\left(W_{F, b}+W_{F}, c\right)  \tag{21}\\
W_{C}=k_{C} W_{i, b} \\
W_{G}=k_{G} W_{f, b} \\
W_{B}=k_{S} W_{S}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

so that the total final weight of the single-stage lander may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{f, c}=W_{L}+W_{C}+W_{G}+W_{T} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{F, b}$ and $W_{F, c}$ refer to weights of fuel for propulsive efforts $b$ and $c$, $W_{i}, b$ refers to the initial weight of the lander prior to propulsive effort $b$, $W_{f, b}$ refers to the final weight of the lander after propulsive effort $b$, $W_{S}$ refers to the weight of supplies transported to the moon, and $W_{L}$ refers to the weight of the lander capsule. Now the mass ratio becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MR}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{W_{i, b}}{W_{f, b}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MR}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the deposit of supplies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{f, b}-\left(1+k_{S}\right) W_{S}=W_{i, c} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations (23), (24), and (25) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i, b}=M R_{b}\left[\mathrm{MR}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}}+\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{F, c}=\left(M R_{c}-1\right) W_{f, c} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{F, b}=\left(M R_{b}-1\right)\left[\operatorname{MR}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}}+\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equations (21), (22), (23), (24), (25), (27), and (28) into equation (26) and solving for $W_{i}, b$ gives the following equation for the initial weight of a single-stage lander:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i, b}=\left\{\frac{{M R_{c} W_{L}}+\left[1-k_{T}\left(M R_{c}-1\right)\right]\left(1+k_{S}\right) W_{S}}{1-k_{C} M R_{c}-k_{C} M R_{b} M R_{c}-k_{T}\left(M R_{b} M R_{c}-1\right)}\right\rangle M R_{b} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M R=e^{\frac{\Delta V \alpha}{g_{e} I}}$. Equation (29) may be combined with the unit rocket equation (eq. (8)) for propulsive efforts $a$ and $d$ of the vehicle shown in figure 4 to obtain the initial weight of a lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle having a singlestage lander. In this case,

$$
W_{i}, d=W_{M^{K}} K_{d}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{i, b}=W_{i} \text {, lander (from eq. (29)) } \\
W_{i, a}=\left[W_{M} K_{d}+W_{i, \text { lander }}-(H-1) W_{H}\right] K_{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

and finally

$$
\frac{W_{i, a}}{W_{M}}=K_{a}\left[K_{d}+\frac{W_{i, \text { lander }}}{W_{M}}-(H-I) \frac{W_{H}}{W_{M}}\right]
$$

Propulsive Increments
The velocity increments necessary for accomplishment of the lunar-orbitrendezvous mission are given as $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$ in table I . These increments are the impulsive values required for accomplishing the required orbital transfers according to two-body theory. The velocity increments $\Delta V_{e}$ and $\Delta V_{f}$ are those required for the direct lunar mission. These quantities were calculated from the following formulation.

Lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission.- For a circular lunar orbit, the following velocity increments are used:

For entrance into lunar orbit,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}
$$

for descent and landing on the moon,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}-\dot{\mathrm{V}}_{\alpha}\right)+\mathrm{V}_{\pi}
$$

for ascent to lunar orbit,

$$
\Delta V_{c}=\left(V_{C}-V_{\alpha}\right)+V_{\pi}
$$

and, for launch out of lunar orbit to an earth return,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}
$$

For an elliptic lunar orbit entered at apolune, the following velocity increments are used:

For entrance into lunar orbit,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}
$$

for descent and landing on the moon,

$$
\Delta V_{\mathrm{b}}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}\right)+\mathrm{V}_{\pi}
$$

for ascent to lunar orbit,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}\right)+\mathrm{V}_{\pi}
$$

and, for launch out of lunar orbit to an earth return,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}
$$

For an elliptic lunar orbit entered at perilune, the following velocity increments are used:

For entrance into lunar orbit,

$$
\Delta V_{a}=V_{H, 50}-V_{p}
$$

for descent and landing on the moon,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}\right)+\mathrm{V}_{\pi}
$$

for ascent to lunar orbit,

$$
\Delta V_{c}=\left(V_{p}-V_{\alpha}\right)+V_{\pi}
$$

and, for launch out of lunar orbit to an earth return,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, 50}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}
$$

Direct lunar mission.- For direct lunar missions corresponding to each of the three modes of lander missions, the following velocity increments are used:

For braking, descent, and landing,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}=\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}+\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}
$$

and, for ascent to orbit and launch,

$$
\Delta V_{f}=\Delta V_{c}+\Delta V_{d}
$$

The velocities required for these expressions are obtained from two-body theory with $V_{H, 50}=8,700 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ given to establish a reasonable energy level for the hyperbolic lunar approach trajectories.

The hyperbolic velocities are

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}}+2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where the total hyperbolic energy factor $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}}$ is

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, 50^{2}}{ }^{2}-2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, 50^{2}}
$$

The circular satellite velocities are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{m}}}{r_{\max }}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, 50}=\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{m}}}{r_{50}}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the circular satellite velocity at the surface of the moon $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{m}}$ is obtained from the expression

$$
v_{C, m}=\left(g_{m} r_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The elliptic lunar orbit satellite velocities are apolune velocity

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}=2^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{m}}}{r_{\max }+r_{50}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{r_{50}}{r_{\max }}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}
$$

and perilune velocity

$$
v_{p}=2^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r_{\max }+r_{50}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{r_{\max }}{r_{50}}\right)^{1 / 2} V_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}
$$

The Hohmann descent velocities are apolune (initiation of descent) velocity

$$
V_{\alpha}=2^{I / 2}\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r+r_{m}}\right)^{I / 2}\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r}\right)^{I / 2} V_{C, m}
$$

and perilune (touchdown) velocity

$$
V_{\pi}=2^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r+r_{m}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{r}{r_{m}}\right)^{1 / 2} V_{C, m}
$$

where $r$ in the equations for $V_{\alpha}$ and $V_{\pi}$ takes the value of $r_{\max }$ for descent from a circular orbit and $r_{50}$ for descent from an elliptic orbit.

## RESULITS

The results of the calculation of vehicle weights for the lunar-orbitrendezvous and direct lunar missions considered in this investigation are given in table VI. This table gives the entire lunar-vehicle weight approaching the moon and lunar-lander-vehicle initial weight for the lunar-orbit-rendezvous missions and the entire lunar-vehicle weight approaching the moon for the direct lunar missions. Values are given for the specific-impulse combinations of table V, for various orbit altitudes, for both circular and elliptic lunar orbits, for entrance into elliptic orbits at both apolune and perilune, and for weights transported to the moon of 0 and 40,000 pounds. Some of these results are plotted in figures 8 to 18 in order to better illustrate the effects involved. Figures 8 to 13 show the effects of orbit altitude and specific impulse on vehicle weights for three-man lunar missions with circular lunar orbits and elliptic lunar orbits entered at apolune and perilune. Figures 14 to 18 show the effects
of transported weight and mission complement on vehicle weights for lunar missions with close circular lunar orbits ( $h=100$ nautical miles) and three specificimpulse combinations. Figures 19 and 20 give a comparison of the weights of lunar-orbit-rendezvous- and direct-lunar-mission vehicles as a function of transported weight for two specific impulses. These results are for three-man crews and circular lunar orbits with altitude of 100 nautical miles. Figure 21 shows the effect of varying the ratio of module weights (command to lunar lander) on the ratio of vehicle weights (lunar orbit rendezvous to direct mission) for various amounts of weight transported to the moon. Table VII gives a comparison of the initial weights of one-stage and two-stage lunar-lander vehicles. The two-stage vehicle was used for most of this investigation.

## DISCUSSION

## Effect of Orbit Altitude

The substantial weight advantage of the lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission in comparison with the direct lunar mission is readily evident on examination of the results of table VI. The lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission requires much less vehicle weight for all the missions considered. For no transported weight the ratio of vehicle weights (lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission to direct lunar mission) is $1 / 3$ or less. Lunar-orbit altitude has a substantial effect on the weights of lunar vehicles for both the lunar-orbit-rendezvous and direct lunar missions in a majority of the cases investigated. Vehicle weights increase with orbit altitude for circular lunar orbits and elliptic lunar orbits entered at apolune. The weight of the direct-lunar-mission vehicle is not affected by lunar-orbit altitude for the elliptic lunar orbit entered at perilune. (See figs. 10 and 13 and table VI.) The insensitivity to lunar-orbit altitude in this case results from the fact that the velocity increments do not change with lunar-orbit altitude. (See table I.)

The weight of the lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle is affected by lunar-orbit altitude in varying ways for the case of the elliptic lunar orbit entered at perilune depending on the transported weight and specific-impulse combination employed. (See figs. 8 and ll.) When a supply package of 40,000 pounds is transported to the moon the vehicle weights increase appreciably with orbit altitude for all specific-impulse combinations investigated. (See fig. ll.) In figure 8, when no weight is transported to the moon the effect of orbit-altitude change is dependent on the specific-impulse combination chosen. For a mission with a specific impulse of 315 throughout, the minimum vehicle weight occurs at about 750 nautical miles. For a mission with a specific impulse of 315 employed in the lander and a specific impulse of 425 employed for deceleration into and launch from lunar orbit a different result is obtained. In this case vehicle weight increases with orbital altitude throughout the range studied. (See fig. 8.) For a mission with a specific impulse of 425 throughout, the vehicle weight decreases with increase in orbital altitude. The major decrease in vehicle weight is obtained for an increase in orbital altitude to 2,000 nautical miles. Little additional benefit accrues when the maximum orbital altitude is increased to 8,000 nautical miles. Basically the changes in vehicle weight with
orbital altitude for the elliptic orbit entered at perilune are small in comparison with the changes that occur for the other two types of lunar orbits considered.

The weights of the lunar landers which descend from the perilune of the elliptic lunar orbits are appreciably lighter than those of the landers which descend from the circular lunar orbit. The velocity increment required for descent to the lunar surface from a circular lunar orbit is greater than that required for descent from an elliptic orbit of the same maximum altitude. This difference requires a greater propulsive weight for the lander in circular orbit. (See table VI.)

## Effect of Transported Weight

Transporting cargo to the lunar surface and increasing the crew size increases the weight of the required lunar vehicle. (See table VI and figs. 14 to 18.) A comparison of vehicle weights for direct and lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicles as conceived for this study is given in figures 19 and 20 for a threeman mission using a circular lupar orbit with altitude of 100 nautical miles. The rate of change of vehicle weight with increase in transported weight is only slightly different for the two mission concepts. As greater weights are transported the direct-lunar-mission-vehicle weight becomes closer percentagewise to the weight of the lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle. With a transported weight of 40,000 pounds, however, the three-man direct mission vehicle is still 1.83 times as heavy as the lunar-orbit-rendezvous-mission vehicle for a specific impulse of 315 seconds. For a specific impulse of 425 seconds this ratio is about 1.35 . For a specific impulse of 315 and 425 seconds and no weight transported to the moon, this ratio is 5.35 and 3.08 , respectively.

## Effect of Lander Weight

Changes in the ratio of lander-capsule weight to command-module weight as would be required in order to change the environmental situation for the lander crew has a substantial effect on the relative weights of lunar-orbit-rendezvous and direct-lunar-mission vehicles. (See fig. 21.) The range of the ratio of lander-capsule weight to command-module weight used in most of this investigation is indicated to be about 0.16. Varying this factor from 0 to 0.4 changes the ratio of lunar-orbit-rendezvous-vehicle weight to direct-lunar-missionvehicle weight from about 0.2 to about 0.5 for no transported weight. As the transported weight is increased the sensitivity of this ratio to lander-capsule weight is substantially decreased. In these calculations the lander is assumed to always carry two men to and from the moon even when the lander-capsule weight goes to 0 . This assumption was felt to be reasonable in that the purpose of the calculation was to illustrate the effect of different design concepts for the lander module. In some cases, simple unenclosed designs have been proposed which weigh very little. In other cases more substantial "shirt-sleeve" environment designs have been put forward.

Effect of staging on lunar-lander weight.- A two-stage lunar lander is appreciably lighter than a single-stage lunar lander for the conditions
investigated. (See table VII.) However, when no weight was transferred to the lunar surface and the specific impulse of the fuel was 425 seconds the weight penalty for the use of a single-stage lander was only 25 percent. Where 40,000 pounds of supplies were deposited on the moon and a specific impulse of 315 seconds was employed, the single-stage lander weighed about three times as much as the two-stage lander.

## CONCIUDING REMARKS

A parametric study of lunar-mission vehicles designed for lunar-orbitrendezvous and direct lunar missions was made for the purpose of determining the injected weight required for missions performed under various circumstances.

Weights for vehicles in transit to the moon were obtained for missions which had crew sizes from 2 to 14 men, transported supplies to be deposited on the moon up to 40,000 pounds, circular and elliptic orbits at the moon with maximum altitudes from 50 to 8,000 nautical miles, points of entry into elliptic lunar orbit at both apolune and perilune, and three fuel combinations.

The vehicle weight in transit to the moon was much less for the lunar-orbitrendezvous missions than for the direct lunar missions. For the cases where no weight was transported to be left on lunar surface, the ratio of injected weights varied from about 0.4 to 0.1 depending on the fuel combination and lunar-orbit altitude considered.

For the lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission the lowest lunar-mission-vehicle weights were generally obtained for low-altitude orbits. For elliptic lunar orbits entered at perilune, vehicle weight was relatively insensitive to lunarorbit altitudes. For circular lunar orbits and elliptic lunar orbits entered at apolune, vehicle weight increased markedly with lunar-orbit altitude.

For a booster with an injection capability of 120,000 pounds, the direct three-man lunar mission, as analyzed herein, using fuel with a specific impulse of 425 seconds would have no capability for transporting supplies to be left on the moon. The comparable lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission would have the capability of transporting about 20,000 pounds of supplies or scientific equipment to the moon.

Langley Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Station, Hampton, Va., January 14, 1963.

## APPENDIX A

## ESTABLISHMENT OF ELLIPTIC LUNAR ORBITS

Consider the problem of the establishment of an elliptic lunar orbit with the major axis alined in a chosen direction with respect to the earth-moon line. The orbit to be established at the moon and the transfer orbit to the moon are assumed to be coplanar. Figure 22 shows the geometry of the problem. The angle $\theta_{\mathrm{R}}$ through which the major axis of the elliptic lunar orbit is rotated with respect to the earth-moon line is specified. Also, the elliptic lunar orbit is specified by its perilune and apolune altitudes. The hyperbolic transfer trajectory is only partially specified by its total energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and by the constraint that its perilune lies on the earth-moon line.

In this analysis the impulsive braking increment of velocity $\Delta V_{R}$ is applied opposite to the direction of the hyperbolic velocity vector so that the condition is imposed that the hyperbolic and elliptic orbits about the moon be tangent at the braking point. The braking point is defined by $r_{R}, \theta_{H}, R$ for the hyperbolic orbit and $r_{R}, \theta_{E, R}$ for the elliptic orbit, where $\theta$ is measured clockwise from the perilune of the respective orbits. Since it is desired to examine the effect that the rotation has on the propulsive expense of entry into a specified elliptic orbit the pertinent expressions will be derived in terms of the known elliptic orbit and a hyperbolic orbit of the specified energy that has no rotation associated with it (i.e., the perilune of the hyperbolic orbit is coincident with the perilune of the elliptic orbit). The zero rotation hyperbolic orbital elements are specified by the subscript 0 and may be obtained as follows:

From the condition of coincident perilunes,

$$
r_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{p}}
$$

and, from the condition of fixed total energy,

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{o}}=\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}}+2\left(\frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}}{r_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, 0}}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}{ }^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

where $V_{C, m}$ is the circular satellite velocity at the surface of the moon and is equal to $\left(g_{m} r_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}$; therefore, the eccentricity is

$$
\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}}=\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{O}}}{r_{\mathrm{m}}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}}\right)^{2}-1
$$

and the angle made by the asymptote of the hyperbolic trajectory with the earthmoon line is

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, 0}}\right)
$$

The braking velocity increment for zero rotation then is

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{0}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, 0}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{o}}
$$

where $V_{E, O}$ is the velocity at perilune of the elliptic orbit and may be computed from the expression

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{E}, 0}=\left[\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r_{E, p}}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}
$$

For the more general tangency condition where the radii and flight-path angles of the hyperbolic and elliptic orbits are equal, the following expressions may be written from the equations for conic sections:
equal radii

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{H, p, R}\left(\epsilon_{H, R}+l\right)}{I+\epsilon_{H, R} \cos \theta_{H, R}}=\frac{r_{E, p}\left(\epsilon_{E}+l\right)}{I+\epsilon_{E} \cos \theta_{E, R}} \tag{AI}
\end{equation*}
$$

equal flight-path angles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\epsilon_{H, R} \sin \theta_{H, R}}{I+\epsilon_{H, R} \cos \theta_{H, R}}=\frac{\epsilon_{E} \sin \theta_{E, R}}{1+\epsilon_{E} \cos \theta_{E, R}} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from figure 22 the angular relationship may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}-\theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}=\theta_{\mathrm{R}} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By use of the fixed hyperbolic energy condition the following expression may be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{R}}=\left[\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}-1\right)}{\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}}-1\right)}\right] r_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, 0} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (A4) into equation (Al) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{H, p, 0}\left(\epsilon_{H, R}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{H, R}-1\right)}{1+\epsilon_{H, R} \cos \theta_{H, R}}=\frac{r_{E, p}\left(\epsilon_{E}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{H, O}-1\right)}{1+\epsilon_{E} \cos \theta_{E, R}} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r_{H, p, 0}=r_{E, p}$, equation (A5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}-1\right)}{1+\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}} \cos \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}}=\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, 0}-1\right)}{1+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \cos \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}} \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve for $\epsilon_{H, R}$ in terms of $\theta_{H, R}$ and $\theta_{E, R}$, first cross-multiply equation (A2) and collect terms so that
$\epsilon_{H, R}\left[\sin \theta_{H, R}+\epsilon_{E}\left(\sin \theta_{H, R} \cos \theta_{E, R}-\cos \theta_{H, R} \sin \theta_{E, R}\right)\right]=\epsilon_{E} \sin \theta_{E, R}$
Equation (A7) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}\left[\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}-\theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}\right)\right]=\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\theta_{R}$ for $\left(\theta_{H, R}-\theta_{E, R}\right)$ in equation (A8) and dividing results in the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}=\frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{E} ; \mathrm{R}}}{\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{R}}} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (A9) into equation (A6) gives the following equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}+\left(\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right]\left[\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}-\left(\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right]} \\
{\left[1+\frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}} \cos \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}}{\left(\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)}\right]\left(\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}} \\
=\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, 0}-1\right)}{1+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \cos \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}} \tag{AlP}
\end{array}
$$

Equation (All) may be reduced to the following form with the aid of equation (A3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}{ }^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}-\left(\sin \theta_{H, R}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{R}\right)^{2}}{\left(\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{R}\right) \sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}}=\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, 0}-1\right) \tag{All}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting $\theta_{H, R}-\theta_{R}$ for $\theta_{E, R}$ in the numerator of equation (All) and reducing gives

$$
\left(A-\cos 2 \theta_{R}\right) \sin \theta_{H, R}+\sin 2 \theta_{R} \cos \theta_{H, R}=-B \sin \theta_{R}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{A}=\frac{1+\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, 0}-1\right)}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}{ }^{2}}
$$

and

$$
B=\frac{2+\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}+l\right)\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, 0}-1\right)}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}}
$$

Dividing by $\cos \theta_{H, R}$ and squaring both sides gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(A-\cos 2 \theta_{R}\right)^{2} \tan ^{2} \theta_{H, R}+2\left(A-\cos 2 \theta_{R}\right) \sin 2 \theta_{R} \tan \theta_{H, R}+\sin ^{2} 2 \theta_{R} \\
&=B^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{R}\left(1+\tan ^{2} \theta_{H, R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting terms and solving for $\theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}$ results in the expression
$\theta_{H, R}=\tan ^{-1}-\left\{\frac{\left(A-\cos 2 \theta_{R}\right) \sin 2 \theta_{R}+\left[(A-1)^{2}-C^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{R}\right]^{1 / 2} B \sin \theta_{R}}{\left(A-\cos 2 \theta_{R}\right)^{2}-B^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{\theta_{R}}}\right\}$
where

$$
C=\frac{\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}+1\right)\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, 0}-1\right)}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}}}
$$

It is now possible to completely define the new hyperbolic orbit that will permit the specified rotation of the elliptic orbit. The eccentricity may be determined by the use of equation (A9) which is

$$
\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}=\frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}}}{\left(\sin \theta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}+\epsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \sin \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{E, R}=\theta_{H, R}-\theta_{R}
$$

The perilune radius of the new orbit may be obtained from equation (A4) which is

$$
r_{H, p, R}=\left[\frac{\left(\epsilon_{H, R}-1\right)}{\left(\epsilon_{H, 0}-I\right)}\right] r_{H, p, 0}
$$

The angle made by the asymptote of the new hyperbolic trajectory with the earthmoon line is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}}}\right) \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the definition of the new hyperbolic trajectory.
In order that the hyperbolie and elliptic velocities be determined, the tangency radius $r_{R}$ may be evaluated as shown in the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{R}=\frac{r_{H, p, R}\left(\epsilon_{H, R}+I\right)}{I+\epsilon_{H, R} \cos \theta_{H, R}} \tag{AI4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hyperbolic velocity at the tangency point then is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{H, R}=\left[2\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r_{R}}\right)+\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r_{H, p, 0}}\right)\left(\epsilon_{H, 0}-I\right)\right]^{1 / 2} V_{C, m} \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the elliptic velocity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{E, R}=\left[2\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r_{R}}\right)-\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r_{E, p}}\right)\left(1-\epsilon_{E}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} V_{C, m} \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the impulsive velocity increment required to brake from a hyperbolic orbit of a given energy to a specified elliptic orbit having its major axis at a specified angle $\theta_{R}$ with respect to the earth-moon line is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{R}=V_{H, R}-V_{E, R} \tag{Al7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case in which $180^{\circ}$ rotation of the elliptic orbit is desired, the simpler approach used in computing the zero rotation quantities may be used as shown hereinafter (the subscript $\pi$ is used to denote the $180^{\circ}$ rotation condition). From figure 22 it may be seen that this situation is one in which the perilune of the hyperbolic trajectory is coincident with the apolune of the elliptic orbit

$$
r_{H, p, \pi}=r_{E, a}
$$

and from the condition of fixed total energy

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \pi}=\left[\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}}+2\left(\frac{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}}{r_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \pi}}\right) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

so that the eccentricity is

$$
\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \pi}=\left(\frac{r_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \pi}}{r_{\mathrm{m}}}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, \pi}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~m}}}\right)^{2}-1
$$

and the angle made by the asymptote of the hyperbolic trajectory with the earthmoon line is

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{H}, \pi}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{H}, \pi}}\right)
$$

The braking velocity increment for $180^{\circ}$ rotation then is

$$
\Delta V_{\pi}=V_{H, p, \pi}-V_{E, \pi}
$$

where $V_{E, \pi}$ is the velocity at apolune of the elliptic orbit and may be computed from the expression

$$
V_{E, \pi}=\left[\left(\frac{r_{m}}{r_{E, a}}\right)\left(l-\epsilon_{E}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} V_{C, m}
$$

The results of this analysis for the case of an elliptic orbit having a perilune altitude of 50 nautical miles and an apolune altitude of 2,000 nautical miles are presented in figure 23.

## APPENDIX B

CONSIDERATION OF A PLANE CHANGE MADE ON ENTRY TO LUNAR ORBIT

Plane changes may be required in order to enter the desired lunar orbit. One way in which such changes may be made without undue cost in fuel expenditure is by appropriate direction of the thrust vector at the time that deceleration is made into lunar orbit. Such a change would be made near perilune of the hyperbolic approach trajectory. Because of this factor such a maneuver may not be desirable for all translunar trajectories.

For the case where perilune of the hyperbolic approach trajectory is near the lunar equator, the trajectory is inclined at an angle $\theta$ to the lunar equator, and the desire is to enter lunar orbit in the plane of the lunar equator. The initial velocity $V_{1}$ and final velocity $V_{2}$ are arranged as shown in the following sketch:


The objective in this appendix is to calculate the difference between the velocity change required to enter an equatorial orbit when $\theta$ has a value greater than 0 and when $\theta$ has a value equal to 0 . From the sketch, this difference is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{\theta \neq 0}-\Delta V_{\theta=0}=\left(V_{1}^{2}+V_{2}^{2}-2 V_{1} V_{2} \cos \theta\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(V_{1}-V_{2}\right) \tag{Bl}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression may be written in the following form:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\theta \neq 0}-\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\theta=0}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)\left\{\left[1+\frac{2 \mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}(1-\cos \theta)}{\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2}-1\right\}
$$

The radical may be expanded in a power series and only the first order terms retained so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\theta \neq 0}-\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\theta=0}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \theta^{2}}{2\left(\mathrm{~V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{2}\right)} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula is restricted by the requirement that $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be appreciably different and that $\theta$ be small.

For $V_{1}=8,700 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=5,400 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$, the values in the following table result from the approximate expression (eq. (B2)) and the exact expression (eq. (Bl)).

| $\theta$, radian | $\Delta V_{\theta \neq 0}-\Delta V_{\theta=0}, \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approximate | Exact |
|  | 17.95 | 17.94 |
| .10 | 71.18 | 70.37 |
| .15 | 160.16 | 156.16 |
| .25 | 416.31 | 444.89 |
| .35 | 772.66 | 871.98 |
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TABLE I

VELOCITY INCREMENIS FOR VARIOUS MISSIONS CONSIDERED

| Maximum orbital altitude, $h_{\text {max }}$, nautical miles | Velocity increment, ft/sec, for - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Circular lunar orbit |  |  | Elliptic lunar orbit, entrance at perilunea |  |  | Elliptic lunar orbit, entrance at apolune ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\Delta V_{e}, \Delta \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}, \Delta \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\Delta V_{e}, \Delta V_{f}$ |
| 50 | 3,333 | 5,649 | 8,982 | 3,333 | 5,649 | 8,982 | 3,333 | 5,649 | 8,982 |
| 100 | 3,303 | 5,779 | 9,083 | 3,268 | 5,715 | 8,982 | 3,368 | 5,715 | 9,083 |
| 500 | 3,145 | 6,555 | 9,700 | 2,857 | 6,125 | 8,982 | 3,579 | 6,125 | 9,704 |
| 1,000 | 3,057 | 7,131 | 10,187 | 2,524 | 6,459 | 8,982 | 3,740 | 6,459 | 10,198 |
| 2,000 | 3,008 | 7,728 | 10,736 | 2,135 | 6,847 | 8,982 | 3,912 | 6,847 | 10,760 |
| 4,000 | 3,041 | 8,184 | 11,226 | 1,772 | 7,210 | 8,982 | 4,056 | 7,210 | 11,266 |
| 8,000 | 3,161 | 8,416 | 11,577 | 1,498 | 7,484 | 8,982 | 4,149 | 7,484 | 11,633 |

${ }^{\text {a Perilune distance, } 50 \text { nautical miles for elliptic orbits. }}$

TABIE II

PLANE CHANGE AND PILOTING ALLOWANCES IN VELOCITY INCREMENVIS

| Mission phase |  | $\alpha$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission |  |  |  |  |
| Establish and launch from orbit   <br> (propulsive efforts a and   <br> Descend and launch to rendezvous <br> (propulsive efforts b and   <br> Direct lunar mission   | 1.05 |  |  |  |
| Overall allowance <br> (propulsive efforts |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III

COMMAND-MODULE WEIGHTS

| Mission <br> crew | Weight, lb |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed | Men and <br> associated <br> equipment | Structural | Heat shield | Total |
| 2 | 1,000 | 4,750 | 1,437 | 993 | 8,180 |
| 3 | 1,000 | 7,125 | 2,031 | 1,300 | 11,456 |
| 8 | 1,000 | 19,000 | 5,000 | 2,500 | 27,500 |
| 14 | 1,000 | 33,250 | 8,563 | 3,630 | 46,443 |

TABLE IV

LUNAR-LANDER-MODULE WEIGHTS

| Mission <br> crew | Lander <br> crew | Fixed | Men and <br> associated <br> equipment | Structural | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 535 | 439 | 244 |
| 2 |  | 535 | 878 | 1,218 |  |
| 3 |  | 535 | 3,073 | 353 | 1,766 |
| 14 | 13 | 535 | 5,707 | 902 | 4,510 |

## TABLE V

SPECIFIC IMPULSES EMPLOYED

| Fuel <br> combination | Fuel <br> designation | Braking <br> to orbit | Landing <br> from orbit | Take-off <br> to orbit | Launch <br> from orbit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $425 / 425$ | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 |  |
| 3 | $425 / 315$ | 425 | 315 | 315 | 425 |  |
| $315 / 315$ | 315 | 315 | 315 | 315 |  |  |
| 3 | $425 / 425$ | 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 |  |
| 3 | $315 / 315$ | 315 | 315 | 315 | 315 |  |

(a) I = 425 and 425 (see table $V$ )

| Type of orbit <br> (a) | Vehicle description <br> (b) | Weight, lb, for - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} h_{\max }=50 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $h_{\max }=100$ <br> nautical miles |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\max }=500 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\max }=1,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} h_{\text {max }}=2,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $n_{\max }=4,000$nautical miles |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}=8,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $W_{i b}=0$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=40,000 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathrm{W}_{S}=40,000 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=0 \\ l b \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=40,000 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=0 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{WS}=40,000 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $w_{S}=0$ | $\mathrm{WS}=40,000$ lb |
| Two-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { Liv } \\ & \text { DIV } \end{aligned}$ | 26,793 <br> 5,909 <br> 81,493 | $\begin{aligned} & 198,018 \\ & 120,502 \\ & 246,497 \end{aligned}$ | 26,965 6,114 83,738 | 200,644 122,695 251,037 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,320 \\ 99,509 \\ 99,264 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 218,081 \\ & 136,879 \\ & 281,689 \end{aligned}$ | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}29,783 \\ 8,787 \\ 113,997\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 233,498 \\ & 148,881 \\ & 309,749 \end{aligned}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}31,881 \\ 10,391 \\ 133,887\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 252,628 \\ & 162,923 \\ & 346,382 \end{aligned}$ | [ $\begin{array}{r}34,159 \\ 11,851 \\ 155,287\end{array}$ | 270,919 174,900 384,514 | 35,997 12,687 173,312 | $\begin{aligned} & 284,002 \\ & 181,507 \\ & 415,793 \end{aligned}$ |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,793 \\ 5,909 \\ 81,493 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 198,018 \\ & 120,502 \\ & 246,497 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,654 \\ 6,011 \\ 81,493 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 198,250 \\ & 121,600 \\ & 246,497 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,894 \\ 6,695 \\ 81,493 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 199,957 \\ & 128,763 \\ & 246,497 \end{aligned}$ | 25,413 7,318 81,493 | $\begin{aligned} & 201,672 \\ & 135,002 \\ & 246,497 \end{aligned}$ | 25,002 8,130 81,493 | $\begin{aligned} & 204,061 \\ & 142,805 \\ & 20,007 \end{aligned}$ $246,497$ | 24,766 8,983 81,493 | $\begin{aligned} & 206,684 \\ & 150,649 \end{aligned}$ $246,497$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,684 \\ 9,698 \\ 81,493 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 208,931 \\ & 156,974 \\ & 246,497 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LIV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,793 \\ 5,909 \\ 81,493 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 198,018 \\ 20,502 \\ 246,497 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,102 \\ 6,011 \\ 83,741 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200,410 \\ & 121,600 \\ & 251,043 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29,116 \\ 6,695 \\ 99,372 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 215,993 \\ & 128,763 \\ & 281,898 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,866 \\ 7,318 \\ 114,352 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 229,489 \\ & 135,002 \\ & 310,416 \end{aligned}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}33,037 \\ 8,130 \\ 134,829\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 246,177 \\ & 142,805 \\ & 348,087 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 35,184 \\ 8,983 \\ 157,219 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262,624 \\ & 150,649 \\ & 387,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,875 \\ 9,698 \\ 176,397 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 275,553 \\ & 156,974 \\ & 421,080 \end{aligned}$ |
| Three-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DIV } \end{aligned}$ | ( $\begin{array}{r}37,790 \\ 8,573 \\ 114,139 \\ 37\end{array}$ | 209,015 123,166 279,143 | \|r $\begin{array}{r}38,045 \\ 8,870 \\ 117,283\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 211,724 \\ & 125,451 \\ & 284,582 \end{aligned}$ | [ $\begin{gathered}40,042 \\ 10,894 \\ 139,029\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 229,802 \\ & 140,264 \\ & 321,453 \end{aligned}$ | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}42,180 \\ 12,748 \\ 159,663\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 245,895 \\ & 152,842 \\ & 355,415 \end{aligned}$ | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}45,232 \\ 15,075 \\ 187,521\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 265,979 \\ & 167,607 \\ & 400,016 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 48,532 \\ 17,193 \\ 217,494 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 285,292 180,261 446,720 | $\begin{array}{r} 51,176 \\ 18,406 \\ 242,739 \end{array}$ | 299,181 187,226 485,221 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1ORV } \\ & \text { ILV } \\ & \text { DIV } \end{aligned}$ | 37,790 8,593 114,139 | 209,015 123,166 279,143 | 37,601 8,721 114,139 | 209,197 124,310 279,143 | r $\begin{array}{r}36,575 \\ 9,713 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | 2106,638 131,781 279,143 | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}35,934 \\ 10,616 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212,194 \\ & 138,300 \\ & 279,143 \end{aligned}$ | ( $\begin{array}{r}35,400 \\ 11,794 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | 214,460 146,470 279,143 | [ $\begin{array}{r}35,112 \\ 13,032 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | 217,030 154,698 279,343 | $\begin{array}{r} 35,030 \\ 14,069 \\ 114,139 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 219,278 \\ & 16,, 345 \\ & 279,143 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{B}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | \|r|r|r $\begin{array}{r}37,790 \\ 8,573 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | 209,015 123,166 279,143 | r $\begin{array}{r}38,231 \\ 8,721 \\ 117,287\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 211,539 \\ & 124,310 \\ & 284,590 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 41,110 \\ 9,713 \\ 139,180 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 227,987 \\ & 131,781 \\ & 321,706 \end{aligned}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}43,614 \\ 10,616 \\ 160,161\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242,238 \\ & 138,300 \\ & 356,224 \end{aligned}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}46,927 \\ 11,794 \\ 188,841\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 259,366 \\ & 146,470 \\ & 402,099 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 49,809 \\ 13,032 \\ 200,200 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 277,249 \\ & 154,698 \\ & 450,881 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,240 \\ 14,069 \\ 247,061 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290,918 \\ & 161,345 \\ & 491,744 \end{aligned}$ |
| Eight-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LIV } \\ & \text { DIV } \end{aligned}$ | \| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}92,016 \\ 21,892 \\ 273,983\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263,241 \\ & 136,485 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}92,691 \\ 22,649 \\ 281,531\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 266,370 \\ & 139,230 \\ & 448,830 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 97,918 \\ 27,819 \\ 333,730 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 287,679 \\ & 157,188 \\ & 516,154 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 103,448 \\ 32,552 \\ 383,260 \end{array}\right\|$ | 307,163 <br> 579,012 <br> 579, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 111,279 \\ 38,493 \\ 450,130 \end{array}$ | 332,026 <br> 191,025 <br> 662,625 | $\left[\left.\begin{array}{l} 119,680 \\ 43,902 \\ 522,079 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 356,439 \\ & 206,970 \\ & 751,306 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126,337 \\ 47,000 \\ 582,679 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 374,342 \\ & 215,819 \\ & 825,160 \end{aligned}$ |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { IIN } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}92,016 \\ 21,892 \\ 273,983\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263,241 \\ & 136,485 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}91,588 \\ 22,269 \\ 273,983\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263,184 \\ & 137,858 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 89,289 \\ 24,803 \\ 273,983 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263,351 \\ & 146,871 \end{aligned}$ $438,987$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 87,892 \\ 27,108 \\ 273,983 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 264,152 \\ & 154,792 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 86,792 \\ 30,116 \\ 273,983 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 265,851 \\ & 164,791 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 86,281 \\ 33,277 \\ 273,983 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 268,198 \\ & 174,943 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86,230 \\ 35,924 \\ 273,983 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 270,478 \\ & 18,480 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LWV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | 伎 $\begin{array}{r}92,016 \\ 21,892 \\ 273,983\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263,241 \\ & 136,485 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | 93,112 22,269 281,541 | $\begin{aligned} & 266,421 \\ & 137,858 \\ & 448,843 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 100,233 \\ 24,803 \\ 334,093 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 287,161 \\ & 146,871 \\ & 516,618 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 106,536 \\ 27,108 \\ 384,456 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305,160 \\ & 154,792 \\ & 580,519 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 114,324 \\ 30,116 \\ 453,300 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 327,464 \\ & 164,791 \\ & 666,557 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 122,058 \\ 33,277 \\ 528,574 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 349,498 \\ & 174,943 \\ & 759,256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 128,175 \\ 35,924 \\ 593,052 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366,853 \\ & 183,200 \\ & 837,735 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fourteen-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { ITV } \\ & \text { DIV } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 156,397 \\ 37,874 \\ 462,714 \end{array}\right\|$ | 327,621 152,467 627,717 | 157,579 39,784 475,460 | $\begin{aligned} & 331,259 \\ & 155,766 \\ & 642,759 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 166,704 \\ 48,128 \\ 563,615 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 356,465 \\ & 177,497 \\ & 746,040 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 176,315 \\ 56,317 \\ 647,264 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 380,030 \\ & 196,411 \\ & 843,016 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 189,887 \\ 66,595 \\ 760,197 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 410,634 \\ & 219,128 \\ & 972,692 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 204,404 \\ 75,953 \\ 881,707 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 441,164 \\ 239,021 \\ 1,110,933 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 215,861 \\ 81,312 \\ 984,050 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 463,866 \\ 250,131 \\ 1,226,531 \end{array}$ |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156,397 \\ 37,874 \\ 462,714 \end{gathered}$ | 327,621 152,467 627,717 | $\begin{array}{r} 155,690 \\ 38,527 \\ 462,714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 327,285 \\ & 154,116 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 151,917 \\ 42,911 \\ 462,714 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325,979 \\ & 164,978 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149,653 \\ 46,898 \\ 462,714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325,913 \\ & 174,583 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 147,914 \\ 52,102 \\ 462,714 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 326,973 \\ & 186,778 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 147,172 \\ 57,570 \\ 462,714 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 329,090 \\ & 199,237 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147,186 \\ 62,150 \\ 462,714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 331,434 \\ & 209,427 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORN } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|} 156,397 \\ 37,874 \\ 462,714 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 327,621 \\ & 152,467 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158,275 \\ 38,527 \\ 475,477 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 331,583 \\ & 154,116 \\ & 642,779 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 170,566 \\ 42,911 \\ 564,229 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 357,444 \\ & 164,978 \\ & 746,754 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181,294 \\ & 46,898 \\ & 649,283 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 379,918 \\ & 174,583 \\ & 845,347 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 194,666 \\ 52,102 \\ 765,549 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 407,806 \\ & 186,778 \end{aligned}$ $978,807$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 207,960 \\ 57,570 \\ 892,676 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 435,400 \\ 199,237 \\ 1,123,358 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 218,485 \\ 62,150 \\ 1,001,569 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 457,163 \\ 209,427 \\ 1,246,252 \end{array}$ |
| ${ }^{a_{A}}$ refers to circular orbit with altitude equal to $h_{\max }, B$ refers to elliptic orbit entered at apolune altitude equal to $h_{\text {max }}$ (perilune altitude equal to 50 nautical miles), and $C$ refers to elliptic orbit entered at perilume altitude equal to 50 nautical miles (apolune altitude equal to $h_{\text {max }}$ ). <br> ${ }^{b_{\text {LORN }}}$ refers to lunar-orbital-rendezvous vehicle, LLV refers to lunar-lander vehicle, and div refers to direct lunar vehicle. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(b) I = 425 and 315 (see table V)

| Type of orbit <br> (a) | Vehicle description <br> (b) | Weight, 1b, for - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\max }=50 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{b}_{\max }=100 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} h_{\text {max }}=500 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\max }=1,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} h_{\max }=2,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{b}_{\max }=4,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\text {max }}=8,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$ | $\mathrm{WS}_{S}=40,000$ lb | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=0 \\ \mathrm{Ib} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{WS}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} W_{S}=40,000 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \\ & \mathrm{lb} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=40,000 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=0 \\ 1 b \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \\ \mathrm{lb} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b} \end{gathered}$ |
| Two-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Lory | 33,027 10,081 81,493 | 257,463 160,286 246,497 | $\begin{aligned} & 33,647 \\ & 10,600 \\ & 83,738 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263,189 \\ & 164,678 \\ & 251,037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38,454 \\ & 14,418 \\ & 99,264 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 302,728 \\ & 194,587 \\ & 281,689 \end{aligned}$ | [ $\begin{gathered}43,688 \\ 18,350 \\ 113,997\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 339,938 \\ & 222,080 \\ & 309,749 \end{aligned}$ | \|r|r|r $\begin{array}{r}51,426 \\ 23,897 \\ 133,887 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 388,872 \\ & 257,066 \\ & 346,382 \end{aligned}$ | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}59,885 \\ 29,570 \\ 155,287 \\ \hline 15\end{array}$ | 437,464 289,628 384,514 | $\begin{array}{r} 65,984 \\ 33,099 \\ 173,312 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 470,795 \\ & 308,658 \\ & 415,793 \end{aligned}$ |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | 33,027 <br> 10,081 <br> 81,493 | 257,463 160,286 246,497 | 33,078 10,338 81,493 | 258,934 162,477 246,497 | 33,646 12,131 81,493 | 268,951 177,147 246,497 | 34,449 13,864 81,493 | 278,249 190,475 246,497 | 35,830 16,273 81,493 | 290,608 207,900 246,497 | 37,616 18,989 81,493 | 303,844 226,312 246,497 | $\begin{aligned} & 39,339 \\ & 21,492 \\ & 81,493 \end{aligned}$ | 315,116 <br> 241,852 <br> 246,497 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DIV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33,027 \\ & 10,081 \\ & 81,493 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 257,463 \\ & 160,286 \\ & 246,497 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33,589 \\ & 10,338 \\ & 83,741 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261,699 \\ & 162,477 \\ & 251,043 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37,438 \\ & 12,131 \\ & 99,372 \end{aligned}$ | 290,068 177,147 281,898 | 41,049 <br> 13,864 <br> 114,352 | 315,781 190,475 310,416 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 45,925 \\ 16,273 \\ 134,829 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 349,196 \\ & 207,900 \\ & 348,087 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51,248 \\ 18,989 \\ 157,219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 384,097 \\ & 226,312 \\ & 387,900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,860 \\ 21,412 \\ 176,397 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 413,106 \\ & 241,852 \\ & 421,080 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Three-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | LORV LIV DIV | \| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}46,833 \\ 144 \\ 14,625 \\ 14,139\end{array}\right.$ | 271,269 164,830 279,143 | [ 47,7391 | 277,281 169,456 281,582 | [ $\begin{array}{r}54,743 \\ 20,917 \\ 139,029 \\ 4\end{array}$ | 319,017 <br> 201,086 <br> 321,453 | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 62,353 \\ 26,621 \\ 159,663 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 358,603 \\ & 230,351 \\ & 355,475 \end{aligned}$ | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}73,587 \\ 34,668 \\ 187,597 \\ \hline 51\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411,033 \\ & 267,837 \\ & 400,016 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{r}85,853 \\ 42,898 \\ 217,494 \\ 53,758\end{array}\right.$ | 463,432 302,956 446,720 | 94,678 48,018 242,739 | $\begin{aligned} & 499,489 \\ & 323,577 \\ & 485,221 \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | [ $\begin{array}{r}46,833 \\ 144,625 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272,269 \\ & 164,830 \\ & 279,143 \end{aligned}$ | 46,920 14,998 114,139 | 272,777 167,137 279,143 | [ $\begin{array}{r}47,820 \\ 17,599 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | 283,126 182,616 279,143 | [ $\begin{array}{r}49,044 \\ 20,113 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | 292,843 196,724 279,143 | \|r|r|r|r| $\begin{array}{r}51,108 \\ 23,608 \\ 114,139\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305,887 \\ & 215,234 \\ & 279,143 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 53,753 \\ 27,548 \\ 114,139 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 319,981 \\ & 234,871 \\ & 279,143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,291 \\ 31,064 \\ 114,139 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 332,068 \\ & 251,503 \\ & 279,243 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,833 \\ 14,625 \\ 114,139 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 271,269 \\ & 164,830 \\ & 279,143 \end{aligned}$ | 47,643 14,998 117,287 | 275,753 166,137 284,590 | [ 53,183 | 305,812 182,616 321,706 | 58,388 20,113 160,161 | $\begin{aligned} & 333,120 \\ & 196,724 \\ & 356,224 \end{aligned}$ | r $\begin{array}{r}65,424 \\ 23,608 \\ 188,841\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 368,694 \\ & 215,234 \\ & 402,099 \end{aligned}$ | ( $\begin{gathered}73,114 \\ 27,548 \\ 200,200\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 405,963 \\ & 234,871 \\ & 450,881 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79,782 \\ 31,064 \\ 247,061 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 437,029 \\ & 251,503 \\ & 491,744 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Eight-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { Liv } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | [ $\begin{array}{r}115,106 \\ 37,345 \\ 273,983\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 339,543 \\ & 187,550 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | \|r 117,445 | $\begin{aligned} & 346,987 \\ & 193,344 \\ & 448,830 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135,457 \\ 53,411 \\ 333,730 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 399,731 \\ & 233,580 \\ & 516,154 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 154,957 \\ 67,975 \\ 383,260 \end{array}\right\|$ | 451,207 <br> 271,705 <br> 579,012 | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 183,682 \\ 83,523 \\ 450,130 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 521,128 \\ & 321,692 \\ & 662,625 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\left.\begin{array}{l} 214,975 \\ 109,537 \\ 522,079 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 592,554 \\ & 369,595 \\ & 751,306 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 237,415 \\ & 122,612 \\ & 582,679 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 642,226 \\ & 398,170 \\ & 825,160 \end{aligned}$ |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | [ $\begin{array}{r}115,106 \\ 37,345 \\ 273,983\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 339,543 \\ & 187,550 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,383 \\ 38,298 \\ 273,983 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 341,239 \\ & 190,437 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 118,003 \\ 44,940 \\ 273,983 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353,308 \\ & 209,956 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 121,368 \\ 51,358 \\ 273,983 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 365,167 \\ & 227,968 \end{aligned}$ $438,987$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 126,900 \\ 60,282 \\ 273,983 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 381,678 \\ & 251,909 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | 133,879 70,343 273,983 | $\begin{aligned} & 400,107 \\ & 277,666 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140,51.9 \\ 79,319 \\ 273,983 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 416,296 \\ & 299,759 \end{aligned}$ $438,987$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LONV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | \| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 115,106 \\ & 37,345 \\ & 273,983\end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 339,543 \\ & 187,550 \\ & 438,987 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 117,145 \\ 38,298 \\ 281,541 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 345,255 \\ & 190,437 \\ & 448,843 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131,111 \\ 44,940 \\ 334,093 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 383,741 \\ & 20,956 \\ & 516,618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144,259 \\ 51,358 \\ 384,456 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 418,991 \\ & 227,968 \\ & 580,519 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 162,066 \\ 60,282 \\ 453,300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 465,337 \\ & 251,909 \\ & 666.557 \end{aligned}$ $666,557$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 181,567 \\ 70,343 \\ 528,574 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 514,415 \\ & 277,666 \\ & 759,256 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 198,503 \\ 79,319 \\ 593,052 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 555,749 \\ & 299,759 \\ & 837,735 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fourteen-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | LORV LLV DLV | [ $\begin{aligned} & 196,344 \\ & 64,609 \\ & 462,714\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 420,780 \\ & 214,814 \\ & 627,727 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 200,406 \\ 67,931 \\ 475,460 \end{array}\right\|$ | 429,948 222,010 642,759 | $\begin{array}{r} 231,648 \\ 92,403 \\ 563,615 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495,921 \\ & 272,572 \\ & 746,040 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 265,428 \\ & 117,600 \\ & 647,264 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 561,678 \\ & 321,330 \\ & 843,016 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 315,148 \\ 153,148 \\ 760,197 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 625,594 \\ & 386,317 \\ & 972,692 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 369,269 \\ & 189,504 \\ & 881,707 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 746 ; 849 \\ 449,562 \\ 1,110,933 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 408,032 \\ & 212,124 \\ & 984,050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 812,842 \\ 487,683 \\ 1,226,531 \end{array}$ |
| c | LORV LLV DLV | 196,344 <br> 64,609 <br> 462,714 | $\begin{aligned} & 420,780 \\ & 214,814 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 196,856 \\ 66,257 \\ 462,714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 422,713 \\ & 218,396 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201,592 \\ 77,748 \\ 462,714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 436,898 \\ & 242,764 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 207,567 \\ 88,852 \\ 462,714 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 451,366 \\ & 265,462 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 217,303 \\ & 104,291 \\ & 462,714 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 472,081 \\ & 295,918 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 229,519 \\ 121,697 \\ 462,714 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495,748 \\ & 329,020 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 241,109 \\ & 137,227 \\ & 462,714 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 516,885 \\ & 357,666 \\ & 627,717 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 196,344 \\ 64,609 \\ 462,714 \end{array}\right\|$ | 420,780 214,814 627,717 | $\begin{aligned} & 199,852 \\ & 66,257 \\ & 475,477 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 427,962 \\ & 218,396 \\ & 642,779 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 223,899 \\ 77,748 \\ 564,229 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476,529 \\ & 242,764 \\ & 746,754 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 246,556 \\ 88,852 \\ 649,283 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 521,289 \\ & 265,462 \\ & 845,347 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 277,262 \\ 104,291 \\ 765,549 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 580,533 \\ & 295,918 \\ & 978,807 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 310,913 \\ & 121,697 \\ & 892,676 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 643,761 \\ 3,729,020 \\ 1,123,358 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 340,154 \\ 137,227 \\ 1,001,569 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 697,400 \\ 357,666 \\ 1,246,252 \end{array}$ |

$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{A}}$ refers to circular orbit with altitude equal to $\mathrm{h}_{\text {max }}$, B refers to elliptic orbit entesed at apolune altitude equal to $\mathrm{h}_{\text {max }}$ (perilune altitude equal to 50 nautical miles), and $c$ refers to elliptic orbit entered at perilune altitude equal to 50 nautical miles (apolune altitude equal to $h_{\max }$ ).
${ }^{b}$ LONV refers to lunar-orbital-rendezvous vehicle, LLV refers to lunar-lander vehicle, and DLV refers to direct lunar vehicle.
(c) $I=315$ and 315 (see table $V$ )

| Type of orbit <br> (a) | Vehicle description <br> (b) | Weight, 1b, for - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{\max }=50 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\max }=100 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\text {max }}=500 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\text {max }}=1,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}_{\text {max }}=2,000 \\ & \text { nautical miles } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} h_{\text {max }}=4,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}_{\max }=8,000 \\ \text { nautical miles } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=0 \\ 1 b \end{gathered}$ | \| $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=40,000 \\ \mathrm{lb}\end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$ 1 lb | $W_{S}=40,000$ $1 b$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}W_{S}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b}\end{gathered}\right.$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}W_{S}=40,000 \\ 1 \mathrm{~b}\end{gathered}\right.$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$ | $W_{S}=40,000$ $l b$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=0 \\ 1 b \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}}=40,000$ lb | $\begin{gathered} W_{S}=0 \\ l b \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{S}=40,000$ 1 b |
| Two-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LIV } \\ & \text { DIV } \end{aligned}$ | 39,633 10,081 206,399 | 291,763 160,286 471,605 | 40,243 10,600 255,892 | $\begin{aligned} & 297,814 \\ & 164,678 \\ & 487,294 \end{aligned}$ | 45,158 14,418 287,958 | $\begin{aligned} & 339,936 \\ & 194,587 \\ & 602,726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50,717 \\ 18,350 \\ 367,485 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 380,066 \\ & 222,080 \\ & 724,526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,153 \\ 23,897 \\ 494,302 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 433,618 \\ & 257,066 \\ & 910,749 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68,665 \\ 29,570 \\ 659,604 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 488,183 \\ 289,628 \\ 1,143,756 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75,930 \\ 33,099 \\ 826,086 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 527,730 \\ 308,658 \\ 1,370,983 \end{array}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,633 \\ 10,081 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 291,763 \\ & 160,286 \\ & 471,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,502 \\ 10,338 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 292,594 \\ & 162,477 \\ & 471,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,026 \\ 12,131 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 298,681 \\ & 177,147 \\ & 471,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,083 \\ 13,864 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 304,841 \\ & 190,475 \\ & 471,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,680 \\ 16,273 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 313,552 \\ & 207,900 \\ & 471,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,799 \\ 18,989 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 323,345 \\ & 226,312 \\ & 471,605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42,045 \\ 21,412 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | 331,957 <br> 241,852 <br> 471,605 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LVV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,633 \\ 10,081 \\ 206,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 291,763 \\ & 160,286 \\ & 471,605 \end{aligned}$ | 40,368 10,338 215,905 | 296,966 168,477 487,315 | 45,370 12,131 288,500 | 331,860 177,147 603,574 | 50,031 13,864 369,548 | $\begin{aligned} & 363,540 \\ & 190,475 \\ & 727,626 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,270 \\ 16,273 \\ 500,918 \end{array}$ | 404,705 207,900 920,259 | $\begin{array}{r} 63,004 \\ 18,989 \\ 676,179 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 447,605 \\ & 226,312 \\ & 116,656 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68,770 \\ & 21,412 \\ & 85,739 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 483,113 \\ 241,852 \\ 1,413,076 \end{array}$ |
| Three-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | LORV LV DLV | 56,157 14,625 289,080 | 308,287 164,830 554,287 | 57,052 15,377 302,377 | 314,623 169,456 573,779 | 64,235 20,917 403,311 | 359,012 201,086 718,079 | $\begin{array}{r} 72,328 \\ 26,621 \\ 514,696 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 401,677 \\ & 230,351 \\ & 871,737 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84,581 \\ 34,668 \\ 692,315 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 459,046 \\ 267,837 \\ 1,108,762 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98,369 \\ 42,898 \\ 923,835 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 517,887 \\ 302,956 \\ 1,407,987 \end{array}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}108,871 \\ 4,18,018 \\ 1,157,009\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 560,671 \\ 323,577 \\ 1,701,906 \end{array}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LJV } \\ & \text { DNV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,157 \\ 14,625 \\ 289,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308,287 \\ & 164,830 \\ & 554,287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,990 \\ 14,998 \\ 289,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 309,081 \\ & 167,137 \\ & 554,287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55,429 \\ 17,599 \\ 289,080 \end{array}$ | 315,083 <br> 182,616 <br> 554,287 | $\begin{array}{r} 55,605 \\ 20,113 \\ 289,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 321,363 \\ & 196,724 \\ & 554,287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,570 \\ 23,608 \\ 289,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330,442 \\ & 215,234 \\ & 554,287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58,277 \\ 27,548 \\ 289,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 340,823 \\ & 234,871 \\ & 554,287 \end{aligned}$ | 60,142 31,064 289,080 | 350,054 <br> 251,503 <br> 554,287 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LIV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,157 \\ 14,625 \\ 289,080 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308,287 \\ & 164,830 \\ & 554,287 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,211 \\ 14,998 \\ 302,395 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 313,809 \\ & 167,137 \\ & 573,805 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64,392 \\ 17,599 \\ 404,070 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 350,883 <br> 182,616 <br> 719,144 | $\begin{array}{r} 71,094 \\ 20,113 \\ 517,586 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 384,603 \\ & 196,724 \\ & 875,664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80,077 \\ 23,608 \\ 701,582 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 428,511 \\ 215,234 \\ 1,120,923 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89,787 \\ 27,548 \\ 947,050 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 474,388 \\ 234,872 \\ 1,437,538 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98,111 \\ 31,064 \\ 1,200,859 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 512,454 \\ 251,503 \\ 1,756,540 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Eight-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LIV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137,820 \\ & 37,345 \\ & 693,917 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 389,950 \\ & 187,550 \\ & 959,123 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140,149 \\ & 39,265 \\ & 725,835 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 397,719 \\ & 193,344 \\ & 997,237 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158,709 \\ & 53,411 \\ & 968,119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 453,487 \\ 233,580 \\ 1,282,888 \end{array}$ | \|r|r|rer $\begin{array}{r}179,492 \\ 1,235,490\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 508,841 \\ 271,705 \\ 1,592,531 \end{array}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}210,845 \\ 88,523 \\ 1,661,852\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 585,309 \\ 321,692 \\ 2,078,500 \end{array}$ | $\|$246,007 <br> 109,537 <br> $2,217,599$ | $\begin{array}{r} 665,526 \\ 369,595 \\ 2,701,752 \end{array}$ | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}272,662 \\ 122,612 \\ 2,777,317\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 724,463 \\ 398,170 \\ 3,322,214 \end{array}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LoRV } \\ & \text { LIV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137,820 \\ 37,345 \\ 693,917 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 389,950 \\ & 187,550 \\ & 959,123 \end{aligned}$ | 137,486 38,298 693,917 | $\begin{aligned} & 390,577 \\ & 190,437 \\ & 959,123 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136,598 \\ & 44,940 \\ & 693,917 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 396,252 \\ 209,956 \\ .959,123 \end{array}$ | 137,444 51,358 693,917 | $\begin{aligned} & 403,203 \\ & 227,968 \\ & 959,123 \end{aligned}$ | 140,326 60,282 693,917 | $\begin{aligned} & 414,199 \\ & 251,909 \\ & 959,123 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145,034 \\ 70,343 \\ 693,917 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 427,581 \\ & 277,666 \\ & 959,123 \end{aligned}$ | 150,041 79,319 693,917 | $\begin{aligned} & 439,952 \\ & 299,759 \\ & 959,123 \end{aligned}$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LWV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137,820 \\ 37,345 \\ 693,917 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 389,950 \\ & 187,550 \\ & 959,123 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140,460 \\ 38,298 \\ 725,878 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 397,057 \\ & 19,437 \\ & 997,288 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158,481 \\ 44,940 \\ 969,942 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 444,972 \\ 209,956 \\ 1,285,016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 175,339 \\ 51,358 \\ 1,242,429 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 488,848 \\ 227,968 \\ 1,600,507 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 197,987 \\ 60,282 \\ 1,684,097 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 546,422 \\ 251,909 \\ 2,103,438 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 222,532 \\ 70,343 \\ 2,273,325 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 607,132 \\ 277,666 \\ 2,763,814 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 243,616 \\ 79,319 \\ 2,882,575 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 657,959 \\ 299,759 \\ 3,438,256 \end{array}$ |
| Fourteen-man crew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LIV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 234,944 \\ 64,609 \\ 1,171,912 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 487,074 \\ 214,814 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 238,999 \\ 67,931 \\ 1,225,817 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 496,570 \\ 222,010 \\ 1,497,219 \end{array}$ | \|r|r $\begin{array}{r}271,250 \\ 92,403 \\ 1,634,996\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 566,027 \\ 272,572 \\ 1,949,764 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 307,280 \\ 117,600 \\ 2,086,542 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 636,629 \\ 321,330 \\ 2,443,583 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 361,562 \\ 153,148 \\ 2,806,597 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 736,027 \\ 386,317 \\ 3,223,045 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 422,368 \\ 189,504 \\ 3,745,164 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 841,886 \\ 449,562 \\ 4,229,316 \end{array}$ | \|r|rer $\begin{array}{r}468,380 \\ 212,124 \\ 4,690,436\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 920,180 \\ 487,683 \\ 5,235,333 \end{array}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LWV } \\ & \text { DW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 234,944 \\ 64,609 \\ 1,171,912 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 487,074 \\ 214,814 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 234,425 \\ 66,257 \\ 1,171,912 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 487,516 \\ 218,396 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | \|r $\begin{array}{r}233,236 \\ 77,748 \\ 1,171,912\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 492,890 \\ 242,764 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 234,952 \\ 8,852 \\ 1,171,912 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500,710 \\ 265,462 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 240,202 \\ 1004,291 \\ 1,171,912 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 514,075 \\ 295,918 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 248,569 \\ 1,121,697 \\ 1,91,912 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 531,116 \\ 329,020 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 257,383 \\ 137,227 \\ 1,171,912 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 547,295 \\ 357,666 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LORV } \\ & \text { LLV } \\ & \text { DLV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 234,944 \\ 64,609 \\ 1,171,912 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 487,074 \\ 214,814 \\ 1,437,119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 239,478 \\ 66,257 \\ 1,225,889 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 496,076 \\ 218,396 \\ 1,497,299 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 270,455 \\ 77,748 \\ 1,638,07^{4} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 556,946 \\ 242,764 \\ 1,953,148 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 299,458 \\ 88,852 \\ 2,098,260 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 612,967 \\ 265,462 \\ 2,456,338 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 338,457 \\ 104,291 \\ 2,844,166 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 686,891 \\ 295,918 \\ 3,263,506 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 380,760 \\ 121,697 \\ 3,839,274 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 765,361 \\ 329,020 \\ 4,329,763 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 417,129 \\ 137,227 \\ 4,868,199 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 831,472 \\ 357,666 \\ 5,423,880 \end{array}$ |

[^0]

COMPARISON OF INITIAL WEIGHIS OF ONE-STAGE AND IWO-STAGE LUNAR LANDERS FOR A THREE-MAN MISSION USING

A 100-NNAUTICAL-MILE CIRCULAR LUNAR ORBIT

| Vehicle | Weight, 1 b, for - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $W_{S}=0 \mathrm{Ib}$ | $W_{S}=40,000 \mathrm{Ib}$ |
| $I=425$ seconds |  |  |
| One-stage lander | 11,032 | 181,012 |
| Two-stage lander | 8,870 | 125,451 |
| $I=315$ seconds |  |  |
| One-stage lander | 37,691 | 500,735 |
| Two-stage lander | 15,377 | 169,456 |



Figure 1.- Mission profile for lunar-orbit-rendezvous mission.


Figure 2.- Mission profile for direct lunar mission.
a Braking into lunar orbit
b Descent and landing
c Take-off and return to lunar orbit
d Lunar launch to earth return


Circular lunar orbit. (Orbit type A.)


Elliptic lunar orbit entered at apolune. (Orbit type B.)


Elliptic lunar orbit entered at perilune. (Orbit type C.)

Figure 3.- Types of lunar orbits considered in investigation.

Propulsive element

| a | 0 | .080 | .111 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b | .060 | .080 | .111 |
| c | 0 | .080 | .111 |
| d | 0 | .080 | .111 |

[^1]

| $k_{G}$ | $k_{C}$ | $k_{T}$ | ${ }^{k_{S}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .06 | .08 | .111 | .250 |

Figure 5.- Schematic of single-stage lunar lander. $W_{H}=200$ pounds.

Propulsive
element $\quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{G}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$

| $e$ | 0.060 | .080 | .111 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f | 0 | .080 | .111 |

[^2]

Figure 7.- Schematic of unit rocket system.


Figure 8.- Weight of lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle in transit to moon as a function of maximum lunar-orbit altitude for three types of lunar orbit and three combinations of fuel. Threeman crew; transported weight, 0 pound.

Type of lunar orbit


Figure 9.- Weight of lunar lander prion to descent to lunar surface as a function of maximum lunar-orbit altitude for three types of lunar orbit and two different fuels. Three-man crew; transported weight, 0 pound.


Figure 10.- Weight of direct lunar vehicle in transit to moon as a function of maximum lunarorbit altitude for three types of lunar orbit and two different fuels. Three-man crew; transported weight, 0 pound.


Figure li.- Weight of lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle in transit to moon as a function of maximum lunar-orbit altitude for three types of lunar orbit and three combinations of fuel. Three-man crew; transported weight, 40,000 pounds.

Type of lunar orbit


Figure 12. - Weight of lunar lander prior to descent to lunar surface as a function of maximum lunar-orbit altitude for three types of lunar orbit and two different fuels. Three-man crew; transported weight, 40,000 pounds.

Type of lunar orbit
A Circular
B Elliptic entered at apolune
C Elliotic entered at perilune


Figure 13.- Weight of direct lunar vehicle in transit to moon as a function of maximum Iunarorbit altitude for three types of lunar orbit and two different fuels. Three-man crew; transported weight, 40,000 pounds.


Figure 14.- Weight of lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle and lunar lander in transit to moon as a function of transported weight and crew size. 100-nautical-mile circular lunar orbit; $I=315$ for entering and leaving lunar orbit; $I=315$ for landing and take-off from moon.


Figure 15.- Weight of direct lunar vehicle in transit to moon as a function of transported weight and crew size. 100-nautical-mile circular lunar orbit; $I=315$.


Figure 16.- Weight of lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle and lunar lander in transit to moon as a function of transported weight and crew size. 100-nautical-mile circular lunar orbit;
$I=425$ for entering and leaving lunar orbit; $I=425$ for landing and take-off from moon.


Figure 17.- Weight of direct lunar vehicle in transit to moon as a function of transported weight and crew size. 100-nautical-mile circular lunar orbit; $I=425$.


Figure 18.- Weight of lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle and lunar lander in transit to moon as a function of transported weight and crew size. 100-nautical-mile circular lunar orbit; $I=425$ for entering and leaving lunar orbit; $I=315$ for landing and take-off from moon.


Figure 19.- Comparison of three-man vehicle weights for 100 -nautical-mile circular lunar orbit with $I=315$.
o


Figure 20.- Comparison of three-man vehicle weights for 100 -nautical-mile circular lunar orbit with $I=425$.


Figure 2l.- Ratio of initial vehicle weights of lander mode and direct mode as a function of the ratio of weight of lander to weight of control module for various ratios of supply weight to control module weight. Three-man mission; circular orbit altitude $=100$ nautical miles; $I=425$.


Figure 22.- Geometry of rotation of major axis of an elliptic orbit.


Figure 23.- Impulsive braking velocity increment as a function of rotation of the major axis of an elliptic orbit having a perilune altitude of 50 nautical miles and an apolune altitude of 2,000 nautical miles. Hyperbolic trajectory defined as having the energy. level of $8,700 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ at 50 -nautical-mile altitude.


[^0]:    to 50 nautical miles), and $c$ refers to elliptic orbit entered at perilune altitude equal to 50 nautical miles (apolune altitude equal to $h_{\text {max }}$ ).

[^1]:    Figure 4.- Schematic of lunar-orbit-rendezvous vehicle. $k_{S}=0.250, W_{H}=200$ pounds.

[^2]:    Figure 6.- Schematic of direct lunar-mission vehicle. $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{S}}=0.250$.

