NASA TN D-3715

# NASA TECHNICAL NOTE



**NASA TN D-3715** 

IBRARY

. .

0.1

# NOISE MEASUREMENT EVALUATIONS OF VARIOUS TAKE-OFF—CLIMBOUT PROFILES OF A FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE

by W. Latham Copeland, David A. Hilton, Vera Huckel, Andrew C. Dibble, Jr., and Domenic J. Maglieri Langley Research Center Langley Station, Hampton, Va.

Tromical intrations

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION . WASHINGTON, D. C. . DECEMBER 1966



# NOISE MEASUREMENT EVALUATIONS OF

# VARIOUS TAKE-OFF-CLIMBOUT PROFILES OF A

# FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE

By W. Latham Copeland, David A. Hilton, Vera Huckel, Andrew C. Dibble, Jr., and Domenic J. Maglieri

> Langley Research Center Langley Station, Hampton, Va.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22151 – Price \$2.50

----

# NOISE MEASUREMENT EVALUATIONS OF VARIOUS TAKE-OFF—CLIMBOUT PROFILES OF A FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE

By W. Latham Copeland, David A. Hilton, Vera Huckel, Andrew C. Dibble, Jr., and Domenic J. Maglieri Langley Research Center

### SUMMARY

Noise measurement evaluations have been conducted on a four-engine turbojet transport airplane for several climbout profiles involving various climb speeds, flap settings, and engine pressure ratios; these data were correlated with airplane operations and position data.

The main result of these studies is that power reductions during second segment climb generally result in reduced noise levels on the ground compared with those associated with a full-power take-off climbout. The amount of noise reduction attained depends upon the amount of power reduction, and the noise level profile on the ground is related directly to the engine power schedule. Tables and figures are presented to show detailed comparisons.

#### INTRODUCTION

Noise produced during take-off by jet airplanes constitutes a serious problem with regard to community reaction. It is also known that the noise exposure in the community is closely related to the manner in which the airplane is operated. (See refs. 1 and 2.) The information presented in this paper was obtained from results of a series of experiments that were conducted jointly by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Federal Aviation Agency to evaluate the noise exposures resulting from various take-off—climbout profiles of a four-engine turbojet transport airplane. These data were obtained under closely controlled conditions with the objective of correlating the noise measurements with the operations of the airplane.

# APPARATUS AND METHODS

### **Test Conditions**

Tests were conducted in the vicinity of the NASA Wallops Station January 12-13, 1966. Use was made of the runway and the generally flat terrain (elevation of 38 ft (11.4 m) above mean sea level) in the area of the Wallops Station to perform simulated take-off—climbout operations and to measure the associated noise at ground level. The general locations of the noise measuring stations with respect to the runway are noted in figure 1. Positions of the four noise measuring stations are given in figure 2 along with the location of the precision radar tracking station. The microphone arrays utilized at each of the four noise measuring stations are indicated schematically in figure 3. Two or three microphones were used at each of the four measuring stations. Measurements were made in accordance with the methods recommended in reference 3.

# Airplane Description

The airplane used in these tests was operated by FAA personnel and is shown in figure 4. The gross weight of the airplane during these tests varied from approximately 196 000 to 215 000 lbm (89 306 to 97 524 kg). This airplane is powered by four turbojet engines equipped with exhaust noise suppressors. One of the suppressors is shown in the insert of figure 4.

# Airplane Operations

Schematic illustrations of the seven flight profiles used in the test evaluations are shown in table I. The airplane climb speeds for the seven climbout profiles are given in table I along with the various flap settings and a description of the procedures for the profiles. Briefly, these profiles were as follows:

Profile 1 involved take-off power

- Profiles 2 and 3 involved power reductions corresponding to 1000 ft/min (305 m/min) and 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb, respectively
- Profile 4 involved power reduction for 10 sec followed by application of take-offclimb power
- Profiles 5 and 6 involved power reduction corresponding to 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb (differed from profile 3 in that flap and climb speed were varied, whereas for profile 3 flaps and climb speed were fixed)
- Profile 7 was a standard operating procedure take-off climbout involving a power reduction upon reaching a given climb speed

Profiles 1 to 4 were conducted at climb speeds of  $V_2$ ,  $V_2 + 20$ , and  $V_2 + 40$  knots (where  $V_2$  is the initial climb speed).

The simulated take-off climbouts were performed as closely as possible to the profiles of table I. In an attempt to expedite the test program, however, touch-and-go type operations were used for the landing—take-off procedure. The take-off distance required to rotate and lift-off was determined prior to beginning the test flights and this point was marked accordingly. During the actual test flights the airplane reached the desired conditions of engine power and speed at this point. (The test flights of January 12 involved the touch-and-go type procedures, whereas the test flights of January 13 did not involve touch down (wheels about 6 to 10 ft (1.63 to 3.05 m) above the runway) due to a tire problem.)

During these operations accurate positioning information was obtained with regard to airplane altitude, lateral distance from the runway center line extended, and distance from the tracking station. Acquisition by the radar was accomplished near the time of rotation and was continued until the airplane was out of the test area. Verbal instructions were relayed to the pilot with regard to the time of initiation of power cutback where appropriate (the power reduction procedures occurred midway between stations 1 and 2 for profiles 2 to 6). Complete radar tracking data for all the test flights are included in figures 5 to 11. From plots such as those of figures 5 to 11, aircraft altitude, lateral displacement, and calculated slant range from each noise measuring station were determined and these data are tabulated in table II.

Additional information regarding the operating conditions of the airplane was determined from photographs of the airplane flight deck instrumentation panel. An example of such a photograph is shown as figure 12. Such photographs were made periodically during the flight in order to provide engine pressure ratio and compressor rotational speed and airplane climb rate, altitude, climb speed, and flap setting to correlate with airplane position data from the ground radar. Airplane weight was estimated for each flight. The data obtained from the series of photographs are included in table III.

# Atmospheric Observations

During the time of the experiments, observations of surface temperature, wind velocity and direction, and relative humidity were made at the control tower which is located close to the active runway. Conventional radiosonde data were also recorded during the morning of each of the two days of tests. These data are tabulated in table IV. Generally calm and hazy conditions with relatively low wind velocities prevailed for the period of the tests. During data recording periods the wind velocities did not exceed 12 knots and, in addition, microphone wind screens were used at all times.

# **Noise Measurements**

The noise measuring instrumentation used in these tests is illustrated by means of the block diagram of figure 13. The microphones were conventional condenser type having a frequency response flat to within  $\pm 3$  dB over the frequency range of 20 to 12 000 cps. The microphones were located about 5 ft (1.53 m) above ground level, the longitudinal axis being parallel to the ground and generally perpendicular to the vertical projection of the flight path. The outputs of all the microphones at each measuring station were recorded on multichannel tape recorders. The entire sound measurement system was calibrated in the field by means of conventional discrete frequency calibrators before and after the flight measurements. The data records were played back from the tape (with the playback system shown in fig. 13) in the form of sound pressure level time histories similar to the one illustrated in figure 14. Perceived noise levels were determined by conventional calculation schemes based on octave band frequency analyses of the data for specific flights of each measuring station. These data were then used to provide an amplitude calibration for a filter device (which is indicated in fig. 13) which weighs the frequency spectrum in such a way as to provide a perceived noise level reading.

# MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The measured results of this study are presented in terms of overall sound pressure levels (OASPL) and perceived noise levels (PNdB) in figures 5 to 11 and 15 to 26.

# Sound Pressure Levels

The maximum overall sound pressure levels obtained by the microphones at each measuring station (without any normalizing operations having been performed) are tabulated in figures 5 to 11, along with the associated airplane position information as determined from ground radar tracking data. Three repeat flights were accomplished for each test condition. At the top of the figures are shown the altitude-distance profiles for each of the three flights at the various flight profiles illustrated in table I. At the bottom of the figures are shown the plots of lateral distance of the flight track from the runway center line extended as a function of distance from the start of roll. The overall sound pressure levels given are the maximum values determined from time histories of the form of figure 14, as obtained from playback of the magnetic tape records into a graphic level recorder for convenience in data processing.

These maximum sound pressure levels for each particular flight profile are plotted as a function of distance from start of take-off roll in figures 15 to 19. In each of these figures the data from three separate test flights shown in figures 5 to 11 have been normalized with respect to slant range. Data from the two extreme (in terms of altitude) flights have been normalized with respect to the slant range corresponding to the other flight of that particular climbout profile. The flight used for normalization along with the values of slant range at each of the four measuring stations is indicated. The normalizing operations involved only inverse square law adjustments and did not involve any minor adjustments for excess atmospheric attenuation or for jet velocity variations due to climb speed. In figure 20 the sound pressure levels for climb speeds  $V_2$ ,  $V_2 + 20$ , and  $V_2 + 40$  knots for profiles 1 to 4 can be compared directly for comparable distances from the measuring stations. They have been normalized with respect to those slant ranges chosen in part (b) of figures 15 to 18. These slant ranges relate to the climb speed  $V_2 + 20$  knots.

# Perceived Noise Levels

Also included in figures 5 to 11 are tables of maximum perceived noise levels. These values were obtained from a calibrated filter system having the proper frequency characteristic to permit direct determination of perceived noise levels from playback of the sound pressure level time histories. This special filter device (ref. 2) is believed to give good results for the broadband type of noise data of the present paper. Spot-check long-hand calculations of perceived noise levels (ref. 4) based on octave band spectral analyses suggest that the perceived noise level data of the present paper as obtained with the above filter network are accurate to within about  $\pm 1\frac{1}{2}$  PNdB. The perceived noise level data which are presented in the tables in figures 5 to 11 were normalized with respect to slant range in the same manner as that described for the sound pressure levels for presentation in figures 21 to 26.

# DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Examination of the data of figures 5 to 11 shows that even though an attempt was made to repeat the test conditions for each of the three flights, some variations occurred in the flight track and the associated noise levels. In order to correlate the noise level data from the various runs of a given profile, adjustments made to take into account variations of slant range result in reduced scatter for comparable flight conditions. (See figs. 15 to 19 and tables in figs. 5 to 11.)

Curves have been faired through the data of figure 15 which relate to full-power take-off climbout with no power reduction procedures involved and these curves are carried over as dashed lines for reference to figures 16 to 19. The data of table III include indications of the engine pressure ratio settings for each of the test conditions of these figures. Inspection of the data of figures 16 to 19 shows that a power reduction results in noise reduction and the amount of noise reduction is related to the amount of power reduction.

Similar results are obtained for climb speeds of  $V_2$ ,  $V_2 + 20$ , and  $V_2 + 40$  knots. Particular attention is directed toward the data of figure 18 for a profile which has sometimes been used for noise reduction and involves a power reduction for about 10 seconds with subsequent return to climb power (see profile 4 of table I). Noise level reductions are obtained only for the period during which the power was reduced.

The data of figure 19(c), which represent a standard operating procedure, seem to fit into the same general pattern of all the data. This particular procedure involves a power reduction at the point at which a specified climb speed is reached. Note that the resulting noise reduction is dependent upon power reduction and the point at which the power reduction is accomplished.

It is a general result of the data of figures 15 to 19 and table III that the greater altitude (distance) over a given point during climbout is associated with greater engine power or lower airplane velocity or both. The effect of increased altitude is beneficial in reducing noise, whereas increased power at a given altitude results in an increase in noise. For the ranges of engine power and altitude of the present tests, engine power appears to be the dominant factor with regard to noise levels on the ground.

With regard to the effect of climb speed of the airplane on the ground noise (i.e.,  $V_2$ ,  $V_2 + 20$ , and  $V_2 + 40$  knots for profiles 1 to 4), the data of figure 20 are presented. In this figure the data presented previously for different climb speeds are all normalized with respect to the slant ranges associated with the climb speed  $V_2 + 20$  knots. In figure 20(a) a curve is faired through the data for the take-off power condition and this curve is carried in figures 20(b) to (d) as the dashed curve for reference. In general, within the range of climb speeds of the current tests, the effect of airplane speed is a relatively minor one. Increased airplane speed at a given distance and power setting results in slightly lower noise levels as would be expected because of the decrease in relative velocity at the boundary of the engine jet exhaust. As in figures 15 to 19, it is obvious that generally lower noise levels are associated with engine power reduction.

Perceived noise level data corresponding to the test conditions of figures 15 to 20 have been determined and are presented in figures 21 to 26. In general, for the broadband noise spectra involved in these tests, the perceived noise levels are approximately 2 to 10 dB higher than the corresponding measured sound pressure levels. Aside from these differences in the absolute decibel values, the same general conclusions can be drawn from the perceived noise level plots as were drawn from the overall sound pressure level plots.

# CONCLUDING REMARKS

Noise measurement evaluations have been conducted on a four-engine turbojet transport airplane for several climbout profiles involving various climb speeds, flap settings, and engine pressure ratios; these data were correlated with airplane operations and position data.

The main result of these studies is that power reductions during second segment climb generally result in reduced noise levels on the ground compared with those associated with a full-power take-off climbout. The amount of noise reduction attained depends upon the amount of power reduction, and the noise level profile on the ground is related directly to the engine power schedule. Tables and figures are presented to show the detailed comparisons.

Langley Research Center,

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Station, Hampton, Va., July 20, 1966, 126-16-03-01-23.

#### REFERENCES

- Hubbard, Harvey H.; Cawthorn, Jimmy M.; and Copeland, W. Latham: Factors Relating to the Airport-Community Noise Problem. Conference on Aircraft Operating Problems, NASA SP-83, 1965, pp. 73-81.
- Galloway, W. J.; Pietrasanta, A. C.; and Pearsons, K. S.: Study of the Effect of Departure Procedures on the Noise Produced by Jet Transport Aircraft. ADS-41, FAA, Oct. 1965.
- 3. Anon.: Measurements of Aircraft Exterior Noise in the Field. ARP 796, Soc. Automotive Engrs., June 15, 1965.
- 4. Anon.: Definitions and Procedures for Computing the Perceived Noise Level of Aircraft Noise. ARP 865, Soc. Automotive Engrs., Oct. 15, 1964.

#### TABLE I

#### SCHEMATICS AND DESCRIPTIONS OF VARIOUS FLIGHT PROFILES USED FOR

Schematic	Profile	Climb speed, knots	Flap setting, deg	Description of procedure
Noise measuring stations	1	$v_2$ $v_2 + 20$ $v_2 + 40$	30	Take-off power and $30^{\circ}$ flaps maintained until clear of station 4; tests made at climb speeds of $V_2$ , $V_2 + 20$ , and $V_2 + 40$ knots
- Power cut point	2	$v_2  v_2 + 20  v_2 + 40$	30	Take-off power to power reduction point; reduced power to 1000 ft/min (305 m/min) rate of climb and maintained until clear of station 4; fixed flaps at 30°; tests made at climb speeds of $V_2$ , $V_2$ + 20, and $V_2$ + 40 knots
	3	$v_2 \\ v_2 + 20 \\ v_2 + 40$	30	Take-off power to power reduction point; reduced power to 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb and maintained until clear of station 4; fixed flaps at $30^{\circ}$ ; tests made at climb speeds of $V_2$ , $V_2 + 20$ , and $V_2 + 40$ knots
	• <b>4</b>	$v_2 \\ v_2 + 20 \\ v_2 + 40$	30 to 0	Take-off power and $30^{\circ}$ flaps to power reduction point; reduced power to 1.90 engine pressure ratio and held for 10 sec; returned to take-off power; retracted flaps to $0^{\circ}$ and accelerated in climb to 200 knots; reduced power to en route climb speed; tests made at $V_2$ , $V_2$ + 20, and $V_2$ + 40 knots
	5	$V_2 + 10$ to $V_2 + 20$	30 to 20	Take-off power at $V_2 + 10$ knots with $30^0$ flaps; at 400 to 800 ft (122 to 244 m) retracted flaps to $20^0$ and climbed at $V_2 + 20$ knots; at power reduction point reduced power to 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb at $V_2 + 20$ knots and maintained until clear of station 4
	6	V <sub>2</sub> + 20 to V <sub>2</sub> + 30	30 to 20	Take-off power at $V_2 + 20$ knots with $30^{\circ}$ flaps; at 400 to 800 ft (122 to 244 m) retracted flaps to $20^{\circ}$ and climbed at $V_2 + 30$ knots; at power reduction point reduced power to 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb at $V_2 + 30$ knots and maintained until clear of station 4
	7	$v_2 + 10$ to $v_2 + 30$ to $v_2 + 50$	30 to 20 to 0	Take-off power with $30^{\circ}$ flaps; initial climb speed of $V_2 + 10$ knots; retracted flaps to $20^{\circ}$ after 400 ft (122 m); accelerated to $V_2 + 30$ knots; retracted flaps to $0^{\circ}$ and accelerated to en route climb speed of 290-knot indicated airspeed; at approximately $V_2 + 50$ knots reduced to climb power

### TAKE-OFF-CLIMBOUT NOISE TESTS

í

.

ł

.

TABLE II

MEASURED ALTITUDE AND LATERAL DISPLACEMENT AND CALCULATED SLANT RANGE FOR FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE AT EACH NOISE MEASURING STATION

1						Stat	ion 1					Stati	on 2					Stati	ion 3					Stat	ion 4		
	Profile	Climb velocity, knots	Flight	Altit	ude	Late displac	e <b>ral</b> cement	Sla ran	nt ge	Altit	ude	Late displac	ral ement	Sia ran	nt ge	Altit	ude	Late displac	eral ement	Sla ran	nt ge	Altit	ude	Late displac	eral cement	Slav ran	nt ge
l				ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m	ft	m
			1	1150	351	980	299	1500	457	1480	451	1200	366	1905	581	1990	607	1300	396	2380	725	2860	872	380	116	2883	879
		v <sub>2</sub>	2	1300	396	250	76	1325	404	1540	469	300	91	1570	479	2130	649	200	61	2140	652	3020	920	100	30	3025	922
			3	1380	421	200	01	1395	420	1710	521	250	76	1730	527	2180	664	280	85	2200	671	3200	975	150	46	3225	983
	1	Va + 20		840	229	200	6	842	240 257	1140	335 347	220	0	1120	341 347	1600	488	280	85 30	1625	495 526	2690 2680	820	280	85 12	2710	826 817
		4	3	785	239	100	30	785	239	1140	347	160	49	1162	354	1690	515	120	37	1700	518	2620	799	100	30	2620	799
			1	390	119	180	55	429	131	700	213	300	91	762	232	1235	376	800	244	1470	448	2285	696	1800	549	2900	884
		V <sub>2</sub> + 40	2	360	110	220	67	422	129	650	198	140	43	665	203	1170	357	350	107	1220	372	2190	668	320	98	2215	675
			3	640	195	150	40	656	200	900	.274	0	0	900	274	1350	411	20	6	1360	415	2470	753	300	91	2485	757
		V.	2	1220	372	80	24	1262	385 347	1485	453 415	50	15	1485	453	1695	517	200	61	1710	521 520	2240 2275	683	40	12	2240	683
		Z	3	1170	357	100	30	1174	358	1495	456	100	30	1495	456	1820	555	0	0	1820	555	2400	732	40	12	2400	732
			1	830	253	0	0	830	253	1145	349	40	12	1150	351	1610	491	60	18	1610	491	2260	689	0	0	2260	689
	2	V <sub>2</sub> + 20	2	930	283	60	18	950	290	1275	389	60	18	1277	389	1630	497	180	55	1640	500	2350	716	120	37	2360	719
			3	900	274	20	6	904	276	1145	349	20	6	1150	351	1590	485	120	37	1592	485	2260	689	40	12	2260	689
		Vo + 40	2	680 510	155	100	30 49	687 535	209 163	980 760	299 232	50 60	15	982	299	1220	372	320 80	98	1259	384 344	1900 1970	579 600	180	30	1910	603
l		. 2	3	595	181	150	46	614	187	900	274	100	30	907	276	1350	411	150	46	1359	414	2040	622	680	207	2148	655
			1	1270	387	260	79	1300	396	1600	488	100	30	1604	489	1725	526	40	12.	1725	526	2010	613	40	12	2010	613
		v <sub>2</sub>	2	1270	387	0	0	1270	387	1450	442	40	12	1450	442	1660	506	0	0	1660	506	1960	597	50	15	1960	597
			3	1170	357	0	0	1170	357	1420	433	0	0	1420	433	1555	474	50	15	1555	474	1820	555	- 0	0	1820	555
	3	V - + 20	2	850	259	120	37	857	261	1190	363	20	6 15	1190	363	1400	427	40	12	1400 1480	427 451	1700	518	100	6 30	1700	518
	·	2.20	3	1020	311	0	ō	1020	311	1390	424	80	24	1412	430	1465	447	20	6	1465	447	1910	582	0	0	1910	582
1		ĺ	1	600	183	100	30	610	186	925	282	40	12	927	283	1120	341	80	24	1125	343	1450	442	200	61	1463	446
		V <sub>2</sub> + 40	2	560	171	260	79	617	188	880	268	260	79	907	276	1020	311	120	37	1030	314	1310	399	60	18	1310	399
	-	ł	3	660	201	140	43	675	206	825	251	200	61	850	259	1140	347	250	100	1108	355	1400	442	80	61 -	1400	444
		v.	2	1060	323	350	107	1107	337	1250	381	350	107	1300	396	1375	419	420	67	1382	427	1665	507	160	49	1670	509
		1 2	3	1090	332	40	12	1090	332	1360	415	40	12	1360	415	1445	440	200	61	1459	445	1875	572	200	61	1885	575
			1	820	250	60	18	822	251	1145	349	40	12	1145	349	1210	369	40	12	1200	366	1690	515	160	49	1700	518
ļ	4	V <sub>2</sub> + 20	2	860	262	140	43	872	266	1200	366	250	76	1225	373	1255	383	100	30	1260	384	1400	427	200	0	1400	427
-			3	860	262	100	30	865	264	1220	372	80	64	1220	372	1100	304	200	01	1170	350	1570	440	260	70	1500	485
		V. + 40	2	510	155	180	55	540	165	830	253	100	30	835	255	1080	329	60	18	1080	329	1350	411	380	116	1400	427
		2	3	770	235	0	0	770	235	1080	329	0	0	1080	329	1095	334	100	30	1098	335	1550	472	200	61	1565	477
		V2 + 10	1	805	245	80	24	810	247	1108	338	50	15	1108	338	1370	418	120	37	1375	419	1510	460	150	46	1519	463
	5	to	2	805	245	100	30	812	247	1110	338	120	37	1118	341	1375	419	40	12	1375	419	1560	475	120	37	1955	596
		V2 + 20	3	880	268	20	6	880	268	1205	380	00	10	1205	200	1400	402	20	67	1940	408	1605	480	100	30	1609	490
	6	<sup>v</sup> 2 + 20   to	2	850	204	100	30	855	261	1215	370	0	0	1215	370	1360	415	140	43	1365	416	1700	518				
		V2 + 30	3	820	250	240	73	855	261	1250	381	200	61	1265	386	1470	448	20	6	1470	448	1880	573	120	37	1883	574
		V2 + 10	1	1			l			ĺ	ĺ	İ															
		to	1	760	232	40	12	760	232	840	256	100	30	845	258	1065	325	0	0	1065	325 488	1460 2660	445	200	104 61	2663	457
	1 '	v2+30 to	3	1045	319	80	24	1045	319	1360	415	340	104	1400	427	1790	546	500	152	1860	567	2360	719	200	61	2362	720
		V2 + 50																							l		
		•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	-		-		1		-									

#### TABLE III

# OPERATING CONDITIONS OF FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE OBTAINED

				Ainmle							Flig	ht deck r	eadouts							
Profile	Climb velocity, knots	Flight	Date	Airplar gross weight	te	Photo	Indicated airspeed,	Altit	ude	Ra o cli	te f mb	Flap setting,	Compr	essor for e	'N <sub>1</sub> , % ngine	6 rpm,	Engir	e pres for es	sure ngine	ratio
				lbm	kg		knots	ft	m	ft/min	m/min	aeg	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
						1	150	1110	338	1600	488	30	100	100	102	.101	2.64	2.62	2.62	2.65
		1	1-12-66	212 000	96	2	150	1590	485	1600	488	30	100	100	101	101	2.65	2.65	2.62	2.65
						3	150	1940	591	1200	366	30	100	100	101	101	2.66	2.64	2.63	2,65
						1	148	780	238	2000	610	30	100	100	101	101	2.62	2.62	2.58	2.63
	V.	2	1-12-66	208 000	94	2	148	1330	405	1500	457	30	100	100	101	101	2.63	2.62	2.62	2.65
	•2	-	~ 10 00	200 000		3	145	1750	533	1400	427	30	100	100	101	101	2.63	2.62	2.60	2.65
						4	143	3060	933	1500	457	30	100	100	101	100	2.61	2.60	2.60	2.64
						1	148	510	155	2100	640	30	100	100	101	102	2.62	2.62	2.60	2.65
		3	1-12-66	204 000	93	2	140	1000	305	· 1800	549	30	100	100	101	101	2.65	2.62	2.60	2.65
		Ū	1-10-00	201 000	50	3	146	1310	399	1400	427	30	100	100	101	101	2.65	2.60	2.61	2.66
						4	143	2440	744	1100	335	30	100	100	101	101	2.62	2.61	2.61	2.66
						1	166	250	76	900	274	30	102	101	103	102	2,65	2.62	2.62	2.65
		1	1-12-66	215 000	98	2	169	970	296	2200	671	30	102	102	103	102	2.66	2.62	2.65	2.68
						3	169	2930	893	1300	396	30	102	101	103	103	2.72	2.66	2.70	2.72
						1	159	350	107	900	274	30	102	102	103	103	2.67	2.62	2.61	2.67
1						2	165	1010	308	1800	549	30	101	101	103	102	2.64	2.62	2.62	2.66
	V <sub>2</sub> + 20	2	1-12-66	211 000	96	3	167	2890	881	1300	396	30	101	101	103	102	2.67	2.65	2.65	2.70
						4	164	3670	1119	1500	457	30	101	101	103	102	2.66	2.63	2.63	2.68
					,	1	162	460	140	850	259	30	102	101	103	102	2,65	2.60	2.60	2.65
		3	1-12-66	207 000	94	2	169	1100	335	1900	579	30	101	101	103	102	2,66	2.62	2.63	2.66
						3	169	2620	799	1700	518	30	101	101	102	102	2.70	2.65	2.65	2.70
			······			1	190	570	174	1900	579	30	102	101	102	101	2.62	2.60	2.62	2.63
		1	1-12-66	213 000	97	2	190	1500	457	1600	488	30	102	101	102	102	2.62	2.60	2,62	2.63
						3	189	2840	866	1700	518	30	102	101	102	101	2.60	2.58	2.60	2.62
							188	830	253	1800	549	30	102	101	103	102	2.59	2.56	2.61	2.63
	$V_{0} + 40$	2	1-12-66	210 000	95	2	190	1640	500	1700	518	30	101	101	103	102	2.60	2.58	2.62	2.64
	Z					3	190			1300	396	30	101	101	103	102	2.56	2.55	2.60	2.62
						1	183	750	229	1600	488	30	101	101	103	102	2 62	2 58	2.62	2 66
		3	1-12-66	207 000	94	2	188	1580	482	2200	671	30	101	101	103	102	2.62	2.58	2.63	2.68
		v	_ 1_ 00	20.000		- 3	185	2860	872	1600	488	30	101	101	103	101	2.56	2.55	2.60	2.61

# FROM FLIGHT DECK PHOTOGRAPH READOUTS

T

#### TABLE III.- Continued

#### OPERATING CONDITIONS OF FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE OBTAINED

#### FROM FLIGHT DECK PHOTOGRAPH READOUTS

							d 1112 22				Flig	ht deck re	eadouts							
Profile	Climb velocity, knots	Flight	Date	Airplan gross weigh	ne 3 t	Photo	Indicated airspeed,	Altit	ude	Ra C cli	ate of mb	Flap setting, deg	Comp	ressor for e	N <sub>1</sub> , 9 ngine	% rpm,	Engi	ne pre for e	ssure ngine	ratio
				lbm	kg		MIOLS	ft	m	ft/min	m/min		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
				······································		1	140	780	238	1500	457	30	100	100	102	102	2.63	2.61	2.62	2.63
		1	1-12-66	215 000	98	2	152	1700	518	650	198	30	94	94	95	95	2.34	2.30	2,28	2.34
	1					3	147	2340	713	600	183	30	94	95	96	95	2.35	2.35	2.35	2.35
						1	154	400	122	2000	610	30	101	101	103	102	2.66	2.64	2.62	2.65
	v	2	1-12-66	212 000	96	2	150	1410	430	1000	305	30	94	95	97	96	2.32	2.36	2.36	2.35
	'2	-			00	3	150	1660	506	1000	305	30	94	95	96	95	2.34	2.34	2.33	2.35
						4	152	1900	579	650	198	30	94	95	96	95	2.34	2.34	2.32	2.35
						1	145	700	213	2000	610	30	101	102	102	102	2.66	2.65	2.64	2.66
						2	147	1610	491	1350	411	30	95	96	98	97	2,34	2.36	2,40	2.40
		3	1-12-66	208 000	94	3	146	1960	597	900	274	30	94	95	96	95	2.32	2.34	2.32	2.32
						4	143	2620	799	850	259	30	94	95	96	95	2.32	2.35	2,33	2.32
						1	158	480	146	1600	488	30	102 ·	102	103	103	2.66	2.65	2.64	2.65
		1	1-12-66	204 000	93	2	173	1080	329	1000	305	30	96	96	98	97	2.36	2.35	2.39	2.38
						3	167	2330	2539	1300	396	30	96	96	98	97	2.37	2.39	2.42	2.42
2						1	160	380	116	1700	518	30	102	102	103	103	2.64	2.62	2.62	2.65
	$V_2 + 20$	· 2	1-12-66	202 000	92	2	162	1300	396	1200	366	30	96	96	98	97	2.34	2.32	2.37	2.37
	-					3	164	1680	512	750	229	30	95	96	97	96	2.35	2.34	2.35	2.36
		-	<u>+</u>			1	169	390	119	1400	427	30	102	101	103	102	2.65	2.62	2.61	2.65
		3	1-12-66	212 000	96	2	164	1120	341	1000	305	30	96	96	98	97	2.36	2.35	2.39	2.36
						3	163	1740	530	1000	305	30	96	96	98	96	2,40	2.39	2.40	2.38
						1	184	700	213	2200	671	30	100	101	103	102	2.62	2.59	2.62	2.64
		1	1-12-66	205 000	93	2	186	1360	415	1000	305	30	96	96	98	97	2.36	2,36	2.38	2.36
						3	189	2240	683	1300	396	30	96	96	98	97	2.35	2.36	2.40	2.35
		<b></b>				1	190	660	201	1400	427	30	98	98	100	100	2.45	2.42	2.45	2.46
	$V_{2} + 40$	2	1-12-66	202 000	92	2	181	1860	567	1300	396	30	96	97	98	96	2.38	2.38	2.35	2.36
	4	i I				3	185	2230	680	1000	305	30	96	97	97	97	2.36	2.35	2.35	2.34
						1	183	870	265	2000	610	30	97	97	99	100	2.37	2.36	2.40	2,42
		3	1-12-66	199 000	90	2	182	1470	448	1000	305	30	95	96	97	96	2.30	2.32	2.31	2.32
		-				3	184	2310	704	950	290	30	95	96	97	96	2,30	2.32	2.30	2.32

.

#### TABLE III.- Continued

### OPERATING CONDITIONS OF FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE OBTAINED

#### FROM FLIGHT DECK PHOTOGRAPH READOUTS

					_															
				Airplan							Flig	ht deck r	eadouts							
Profile	Climb velocity, knots	Flight	Date	gross weight	ie	Photo	Indicated airspeed,	Altitu	ıde	Ra of clin	te f mb	Flap setting,	Compr	essor for e	N <sub>1</sub> , % ngine	rpm,	Engir	e pres for e	ssure ngine	ratio
				lbm	kg		knots	ft	m	ft/min	m/min	deg	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	·					1	148	770	235	2000	610	30	101	101	103	102	2.67	2.64	2.66	2.71
						2	144	1730	527	1500	457	30	92	93	96	96	2.10	2.13	2.24	2.20
		1	1-12-66	205 000	93	3	143	1970	600	500	152	30	90	90	91	90	2.05	2.05	2.05	2.03
						4	145	2220	677	400	122	30	90	90	90	90	2.05	2.05	2.05	2.03
					_	1	145	780	238	1900	579	30	101	101	103	102	2.65	2.62	2.62	2.70
	Va	2	1-12-66	201 000	91	2	140	1540	469	750	229	30	90	90	93	93	2.06	2.05	2.13	2.12
	- 2					3	140	1810	552	450	137	30	90	90	91	90	2.03	2.04	2.05	2.03
		<b>.</b>				4	142	2100	640	150	46	30	90	90	91	90	2.03	2.05	2.05	2.05
						1	150	420	128	2000	610	30	101	101	103	103	2.65	2.63	2.68	2.70
		3	1-12-66	215 000	98	2	152	750	229	1400	427	30	101	101	103	103	2.62	2.62	2,66	2.70
						3	144	1480	451	1300	396	30	90	91	93	96	2.05	2.07	2.15	2.18
	<u></u>					4	148	1710	521	250	76	30	90	90	92	92	2.06	2.06	2.14	2.15
						1	164	340	104	1000	305	30	101	10 <b>2</b>	103	103	2.63	2.62	2.60	2.65
		1	1-12-66	<b>2</b> 06 000	93	2	164	1150	351	2000	610	30	93	93	95	95	2.16	2.20	2.20	2.20
3						3	161	1370	418	550	168	30	90	92	93	92	2.07	2.10	2.10	2.10
						1	165	430	131	1000	305	30	101	101	103	10 <b>2</b>	2.61	2.59	2.62	2.65
	V <sub>2</sub> + 20	2	1-12-66	<b>2</b> 03 000	92	2	164	1270	387	1900	579	30	92	92	95	95	2.12	2.12	2.16	2.15
						3	164	1600	488	500	152	30	92	91	92	92	2.10	2.10	2.10	2.10
						1	161	650	198	1400	427	30	101	101	103	102	2.65	2.58	2.60	2.64
		3	1-12-66	200 000	91	2	159	1350	411	1900	579	30	92	92	93	93	2.10	2.07	2.12	2.13
		1				3	160	1890	576	650	198	30	90	90	92	92	2.10	2.09	2.12	2.12
						1	185	710	216	1900	579	30	100	100	101	102	2.47	2.40	2.46	2.50
		1	1-12-66	210 000	95	2	181	1210	369	400	122	30	92	93	94	93	2.15	2,15	2.16	2.15
						3	185	2030	619	850	259	30	93	93	94	94	2.16	2.18	2.19	2.18
				_		1	184	670	204	1900	579	30	100	101	101	101	2.48	2.41	2.45	2.45
	$V_2 + 40$	2	1-12-66	207 000	94	2	182	1060	323	320	98	30	92	92	94	93	2.11	2.13	2,12	2.12
	4					3	186	1830	558	500	15 <b>2</b>	30	93	92	94	93	2.15	2.15	2.15	2.15
						1	185	530	162	1500	457	30	101	103	104	103	2,64	2.62	2.64	2.68
		3	1-12-66	. 205 000	93	2	181	1200	366	470	143	30	92	92	93	92	2.10	2.08	2.09	2.08
						3	186			400	122	30	92	92	94	93	2.14	2.13	2.11	2.11

•

### TABLE III.- Continued

# OPERATING CONDITIONS OF FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE OBTAINED

# FROM FLIGHT DECK PHOTOGRAPH READOUTS

				A (	_						Flig	ht deck re	adouts							
Profile	Climb velocity, knots	Flight	Date	gross weigh	t	Photo	Indicated airspeed,	Altit	ude	Ra o cli	ite f mb	Flap setting,	Compr	essor for en	N <sub>1</sub> , % ngine	<sup>b</sup> rpm,	Engi	ne pre for e	ssure ngine	ratio
				lbm	kg		moto	ft	m	ft/min	m/min	ucs	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
						1	148	500	152	1700	518	30	102	103	104	103	2.63	2.62	2.62	2.65
		1	1-13-66	210 000	95	2	150	1260	384	1200	366	30	96	97	99	100	2.30	2.32	2.35	2.35
						3	150	1310	399	350	107	30	90	90	90	90	1.90	1.92	1.94	1.92
						1	150	310	94	1900	579	30	102	102	104	104	2.65	2.60	2.62	2.66
	v <sub>2</sub>	2	1-13-66	208 000	94	2	153	1290	393	1500	457	30	92	92	93	95	1.98	1.93	1.97	2.06
	_					3	149	1380	421	2300	701	30	89	88	90	90	1.90	1.85	1.90	1.90
						1	150	710	216	1500	457	30	102	103	104	103	2.65	2.62	2.61	2.63
,		3	1-13-66	205 000	93	2	144	1380	421	1200	366	30	90	90	92	92	1.95	1.92	1.99	2.00
						3	150	1410	430	50	15	30	90	89	90	90	1.90	1.90	1.92	1.92
						1	170	300	91	1000	305	30	102	103	105	104	2.65	2.65	2.65	2.70
		1	1-13-66	209 000	95	2	165	1130	344	1700	518	30	95				2.17	2.22	2.26	2.26
						3	164	1220	372	200	61	30	90	89	90	90	1.90	1.88	1.95	1.92
						1	160	350	107	1600	488	30	102	104	105	104	2.67	2.65	2.65	2.72
4	$V_2 + 20$	2	1-13-66	207 000	94	2	165	1170	357	1700	518	30	91	91			1.96	1,95	1,98	2.04
	-					3	162	1330	405	250	76	30	90	90	90	90	1.90	1.91	1.91	1.92
						1	171	680	207	2100	640	30	102	103	105	105	2.68	2.64	2.67	2.67
		3	1-13-66	204 000	93	2	169	1180	360	1800	549	30	98	99	100	101	2.37	2.36	2.36	2.40
						3	165	1320	402	300	91	30	90	89	90	90	1.90	1.88	1.91	1.91
						1	185	480	146	750	229	30	102	103	104	103	2.63	2.62	2.62	2.66
		1	1-13-66	202 000	92	2	187	870	265	1400	427	30	93	92	95	97	2.05	2.02	2.05	2.07
						3	285	2270	692	1000	305	0	98	98	100	100	2.45	2.40	2.42	2.44
						1	191	780	238	1900	579	30	92	90	92	92	1.97	1.89	1.95	1.95
	$V_2 + 40$	2	1-13-66	199 000	90	2	178	1060	323	1000	305	30	90	88	91	90	1,95	1.90	1.95	1.92
	-					3	292	1860	567	1100	335	0	92	92	93	94	1.90	1.88	1.86	1.85
						1	176	1080	329	1800	549	30	90	88	90	91	1.92	1.88	1.92	1.95
		3	1-13-66	195 000	88	2	175	1170	357	100	30	30	90	89	90	90	2.00	2.00	1.99	1.95
						3	285	2710	826	1700	518	0	90	89	100	100	2.42	2.42	2.40	2.45

#### TABLE III,- Concluded

# OPERATING CONDITIONS OF FOUR-ENGINE TURBOJET TRANSPORT AIRPLANE OBTAINED

				Aimpler	•		_			1	Flight de	ck readou	ts							
Profile	Climb velocity, knots	Flight	Date	gross weight	e	Photo	Indicated airspeed,	Altitu	de	Rat of clin	te nb	Flap setting, deg	Compr	essor for e	N <sub>1</sub> , % ngine	6 rpm,	Engi	ne pre for en	ssure ngine	ratio
				lbm	kg		KHOUS	ft	m	ft/min	m/min		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
						1	157	440	134	1700	518	28	102	101	103	103	2.68	2.62	2.65	2.70
	ļ	1	1-12-66	212 000	96	2	167	1110	338	1700	518	20	90	90	93	92	1.99	1.95	2.05	2.07
			I	1		3	· 165	1530	466	330	101	20	88	87	89	88	1.86	1.86	1.89	1.88
						4	165	1660	506	440	134	20	88	87	89	88	1.86	1.87	1.88	1.87
	$V_{0} + 10$					1	161	270	82	1500	457	30	102	101	103	103	2.65	2.62	2.63	2.68
5	to	2	1-12-66	210 000	95	2	170	1100	335	1800	549	20	90	90	93	92	1.98	2.00	2.10	2.03
•	$V_{0} + 20$					3	166			550	168	20	90	88	90	90	1.97	1.92	1.96	1.97
	4	<u> </u>				4	170	1620	494	300	91	20	90	88	90	90	1.98	1,92	1.98	1.97
						1	152	160	49	1000	305	30	102	103	105	104	2.62	2.61	2.63	2.67
		3	1-12-66	202 000	92	2	164	1250	381	2100	640	20	92	92	94	94	2.00	2.00	2.06	2.13
						3	165	1470	448	450	137	20	90	90	92	91	1,97	1.98	1.99	1,99
				,		1	160	300	91	1100	335	30	101	101	103	102	2.65	2.60	2.63	2.66
		1	1-12-66	207 000	94	2	181	1010	308	1900	579	20	90	90	93	92	2.00	2.00	2.03	2.05
		-	1 12-00	201 000	0.	3	170	1390	424	500	152	20	89	89	90	90	1.96	1.95	1.97	1.96
						4	177	1760	536	450	137	20	90	90	90	90	1.97	1.97	2.00	1.97
	$V_2 + 20$					1	175	500	152	1200	366	22	101	102	103	102	2,64	2.62	2,62	2.66
6	ťo	2	1-12-66	204 000	93	2	174	1210	369	1800	549	20	90	89	91	90	1,95	1.92	1.98	1.97
	$V_2 + 30$	2	1-12-00	201 000		3	173			550	168	20	88	88	90	89	1.92	1.92	1.90	1.90
						4	172	1700	518	000	000	20	89	88	90	89	1.93	1.94	1.92	1.90
						1	154	250	76	1400	427	30	101	102	104	103	2.61	2.58	2.63	2.63
		3	1-12-66	198 000	90	2	176	1150	351	2200	671	20	92	92	96	96	2.00	1.98	2.03	2.05
						3	170	1500	457	500	152	20	90	90	90	90	1.92	1.90	1.90	1.92
				-		1	150	490	149	2100	640	26	103	103	105	105	2.65	2.65	2,66	2.71
		1	1-12-66	201 000	91	2	180	730	223	500	152	15	102	103	105	104	2.62	2.64	2.65	2,70
	$V_0 \pm 10$					3	245	1360	415	1300	396	0	97	97	98	98	2.38	2.32	2.33	2.36
	to					1	153	480	146	2200	671	28	102	104	105	104	2.66	2.66	2.67	2.70
7	$V_2 + 30$	2	1-12-66	199 000	90	2	182	890	271	1200	366	18	102	103	104	104	2,62	2.65	2,63	2,69
	to				-	3	239	2770	844	1500	457	0	97	97	98	98	2,35	2.30	2.30	2.35
	V2 + 50	•				1	152	350	107	2200	671	30	102	103	105	104	2.63	2.62	2.63	2.68
		3	1-12-66	196 000	89	2	205	1730	52'	7 1300	396	0		-00	101	101	2.45	2,42	2.44	2.45
			••		-	3	230	2280	695	5 1000	305	0	98	97	99	98	2.37	2.32	2.32	2.35

### FROM FLIGHT DECK PHOTOGRAPH READOUTS

ſ

# TABLE IV

# SURFACE AND UPPER AIR ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS DURING TIME OF NOISE TESTS

				Uppe	r air	data					Surface w	inds
Date	Alti	tude	Atmo pre	spheric ssure	Tem atı	per- ire	Percent relative	Wind velocity,	Wind direction,*	Time of day,	Velocity,	Direction,*
	ft	m	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	$N/m^2$	°F	οK	humidity	knots	deg	hr	KNOUS	aeg
	0	0	2145	102 703	20	<b>2</b> 66	62	10	330	0000	10	290
-	1000	305	2036	97 484	14	263	61	23	330	0900	14	520
1 19 66	2000	610	1962	93 941	12	262	60	25	340	1900	0	200
1-12-66	3000	914	1885	90 254	15	<b>2</b> 64	36	23	340	1200	9	290
	4000	1219	1825	87 381	15	264	34	24	330	1500	0	210
	5000	1524	1755	84 030	15	264	30	26	320	1500	O	510
	0	0	2135	102 224	23	268	68	0	195	0000	9	120
	1000	305	2036	97 484	27	270	48	17	180	0300	2	120
1 19 66	2000	610	1962	93 941	30	272	28	18	180	1900	19	190
1-19-00	3000	914	1885	90 254	32	273	15	20	165	1200	14	100
	4000	1219	1825	87 381	32	273	16	19	180	1500	19	180
	5000	1524	1755	84 030	33	274	17	18	165	1000	14	100

\*Direction from which wind is blowing.



(a) View looking west.



(b) View looking east.

L-66-4562

Figure 1.- NASA Wallops Station test area used for take-off—climbout noise studies showing runway and terrain in vicinity of measuring stations.



**O** Noise measurement stations





Figure 3.- Microphone layout of four measuring stations used for take-off-climbout noise tests. Dimensions are in ft (m).

\_\_\_\_



L-66-732.1 Figure 4.- Four-engine turbojet transport airplane used for take-off— climbout noise measurements. Insert shows exhaust noise suppressor.



(a) Climb speed,  $V_2$ .

Figure 5.- Altitude-plan-position data from ground-based radar along with tabulated noise levels as obtained at each measuring station from flight profile 1.



Figure 5.- Continued.



(c) Climb speed,  $V_2$  + 40 knots.

Figure 5.- Concluded.



(a) Climb speed, V<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 6.- Altitude—plan-position data from ground-based radar along with tabulated noise levels as obtained at each measuring station from flight profile 2.



(b) Climb speed,  $V_2$  + 20 knots.

Figure 6.- Continued.



Figure 6.- Concluded.



(a) Climb speed, V2.

Figure 7.- Altitude-plan-position data from ground-based radar along with tabulated noise levels as obtained at each measuring station from flight profile 3.



(b) Climb speed,  $V_2$  + 20 knots.

Figure 7.- Continued.



(c) Climb speed,  $V_2$  + 40 knots.

Figure 7.- Concluded.

.28



(a) Climb speed, V<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 8.- Altitude—plan-position data from ground-based radar along with tabulated noise levels as obtained at each measuring station from flight profile 4.



(b) Climb speed, V<sub>2</sub> + 20 knots. Figure 8.- Continued.



(c) Climb speed,  $V_2$  + 40 knots.

Figure 8.- Concluded.



Figure 9.- Altitude—plan-position data from ground-based radar along with tabulated noise levels as obtained at each measuring station from flight profile 5.



l

Figure 10.- Altitude-plan-position data from ground-based radar along with tabulated noise levels as obtained at each measuring station from flight profile 6.

.33



Figure 11.- Altitude—plan-position data from ground-based radar along with tabulated noise levels as obtained at each measuring station from flight profile 7.



L-66-4563 Figure 12.- Flight deck instrumentation panel of four-engine turbojet transport airplane. Photograph taken during take-off—climbout noise tests; profile 1, flight 1; climb speed, V<sub>2</sub> + 40 knots; photo 1.



6. Oscilloscope

11. Graphic level recorder

When item 9 was in use, item 10 was used as an amplifier only.

Figure 13.- Block diagram of a typical noise measuring station showing system layout for data acquisition and data reduction.



Figure 14.- Typical time history of sound pressure level as obtained during take-off-climbout noise tests. Profile 1, flight 1; station 1; climb speed,  $V_2$  + 20 knots.







Figure 15.- Normalized overall sound pressure levels along ground track of airplane for profile 1 (take-off power) at climb speeds of  $V_2$ ,  $V_2$  + 20, and  $V_2$  + 40 knots.



Figure 16.- Normalized overall sound pressure levels along ground track of airplane for profile 2 (power reduction for 1000 ft/min (305 m/min) rate of climb) at climb speeds of V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> + 20, and V<sub>2</sub> + 40 knots.



I





Figure 17.- Normalized overall sound pressure levels along ground track of airplane for profile 3 (power reduction for 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb) at climb speeds of V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> + 20, and V<sub>2</sub> + 40 knots.



I



Figure 18.- Normalized overall sound pressure levels along ground track of airplane for profile 4 (power reduction for 10 sec) at climb speeds of  $V_2$ ,  $V_2$  + 20, and  $V_2$  + 40 knots.



Figure 19.- Normalized sound pressure levels along ground track of airplane for profiles 5 and 6 (power reduction for 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb) and 7 (standard operating procedure type profile).



Figure 20.- Normalized sound pressure levels along ground track of airplane for profiles 1, 2, 3, and 4 showing effect of climb speed on the ground noise.



Figure 20.- Concluded.



L

Figure 21.- Normalized perceived noise levels along ground track of airplane for profile 1 (take-off power) at climb speeds of  $V_2$ ,  $V_2$  + 20, and  $V_2$  + 40 knots.

.45



Figure 22.- Normalized perceived noise levels along ground track of airplane for profile 2 (power reduction for 1000 ft/min (305 m/min) rate of climb) at climb speeds of V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> + 20, and V<sub>2</sub> + 40 knots.



Figure 23.- Normalized perceived noise levels along ground track of airplane for profile 3 (power reduction for 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb) at climb speeds of  $V_2$ ,  $V_2$  + 20, and  $V_2$  + 40 knots.



Figure 24.- Normalized perceived noise levels along ground track of airplane for profile 4 (power reduction for 10 sec) at climb speeds of  $V_2$ ,  $V_2$  + 20, and  $V_2$  + 40 knots.



Figure 25.- Normalized perceived noise levels along ground track of airplane for profiles 5 and 6 (power reduction for 500 ft/min (152 m/min) rate of climb) and 7 (standard operating procedure type profile).

I



Figure 26.- Normalized perceived noise levels along ground track of airplane for profiles 1, 2, 3, and 4 showing effect of climb speed on the ground noise.



Figure 26.- Concluded.

"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute . . . to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

-NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ACT OF 1958

# NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

TECHNICAL REPORTS: Scientific and technical information considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL NOTES: Information less broad in scope but nevertheless of importance as a contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS: Information receiving limited distribution because of preliminary data, security classification, or other reasons.

CONTRACTOR REPORTS: Technical information generated in connection with a NASA contract or grant and released under NASA auspices.

TECHNICAL TRANSLATIONS: Information published in a foreign language considered to merit NASA distribution in English.

TECHNICAL REPRINTS: Information derived from NASA activities and initially published in the form of journal articles.

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS: Information derived from or of value to NASA activities but not necessarily reporting the results of individual NASA-programmed scientific efforts. Publications include conference proceedings, monographs, data compilations, handbooks, sourcebooks, and special bibliographies.

Details on the availability of these publications may be obtained from:

### SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Washington, D.C. 20546