HU



RSIC-637

COMMENTS ON "WAVE DAMPING COMPUTATION FOR A VISCOUS LIQUID OF FINITE DEPTH."

BY F. BIESEL, LA HOUILLE BLANCHE, NO. 5, 630-634 (1949)

by

C. Carty

La Houille Blanche, No. 1, 75-79 (1956)

Translated from the French

January 1967

DISTRIBUTION LIMITED
SEE NOTICES PAGE

REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER REDSTONE ARSENAL, ALABAMA

JOINTLY SUPPORTED BY



U.S. ARMY MISSILE COMMAND



GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

602	N67-263	2 8.
	(ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)
FORM	12	
FACILITY	(PAGES)	(CODE)
¥	(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)	(CATEGORY)
	TMX-59643	

FORM AMSMI-R-78, 1 NOV 65

Distribution Limitation

Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U.S. Government must have prior approval of this Command, ATTN:

AMSMI-RBT.

Disclaimer

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

COMMENTS ON "WAVE DAMPING COMPUTATION FOR A VISCOUS LIQUID OF FINITE DEPTH," (BY F. BIESEL) LA HOUILLE BLANCHE, NO. 5, 630-634 (1949)

by

C. Carry

La Houille Blanche, No. 1, 75-79 (1956)

DISTRIBUTION LIMITED SEE NOTICES PAGE

Note: This translation from the French language was prepared for urgent official Government use only.

No verification of the copyright status was made.

Distribution is limited to official recipients.

Translation Branch
Redstone Scientific Information Center
Research and Development Directorate
U.S. Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

INTRODUCTION

Shortly after publication of the article referred to, we had some doubts about the validity of the energy method used to determine the rate of damping in space from the rate of time damping.

A more complete analysis of the problem seemed to show, in fact, that only first order terms (in $\nu^{1/2}$) were appropriately calculated, whereas terms of second order (in ν) contained possible errors.

To finally settle this question and, at the same time, give the exact expression for the spacial damping coefficient, it was necessary to repeat entirely the calculation made for spacial damping. This quite long and delicate calculation, carried out by Carry, shows definitely that the second order terms given by the energy method were incorrect. Nevertheless, the error introduced in this way was negligible for almost all practical cases. For this reason <u>La Houille Blanche</u>, busy with other things, asked us to suspend a publication which is nonessential and repeats a previous one. However, the importance of the question was renewed with the publication by Miche of a work on the "Properties of Ocean and Laboratory Wave Trains" which, furthermore, was recently analyzed by <u>La Houille Blanche</u>. In a note in his work (page 93), Miche claims without formal proof that the principle term in spacial damping is in ν and not in $\nu^{1/2}$, and suggests that the fact of having obtained a term in $\nu^{1/2}$ results from the use of the energy balance method.

The calculations of Carry which are independent of the energy balance method show that that is not the case and that our conclusions with respect to the existence and importance of the term in $\nu^{1/2}$ remain unchanged; when the speed of the wave on the bottom becomes appreciable, this term predominates. The term becomes negligible only when the depth is greater than one-half the wave length and when, as a result, the speed on the bottom is less than a few percent of the speed on the surface.

We believe that Miche's error results from his omitting to write that the speeds go to zero on the bottom. This condition, as is known, corresponds to the usual hypotheses of theories of viscous fluid flow. While Biesel has calculated the time damping of a wave, it is sometimes useful to have the expression for spacial damping; the calculational scheme is the same, but we shall repeat it for the sake of clarity.

We know that there exists a current function of the form $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2$, where ψ_1 and ψ_2 satisfy, respectively,

$$\Delta \psi_1 = 0$$

and

$$\Delta \psi_2 - \frac{1}{v} \frac{\delta \psi_2}{\delta t} = 0 .$$

The excess of pressure over the hydrostatic pressure is given by the total differential,

$$\label{eq:def} d\ p = \rho \ \frac{\delta}{\delta t} \left[\frac{\delta \psi_1}{\delta x} \ d\ \mathbf{z} \ - \ \frac{\delta \psi_1}{\delta \mathbf{z}} \ d\ \mathbf{x} \right] \ ,$$

or with Φ_1 being the conjugate function of ψ_1 ,

$$p = - \rho \frac{\delta \Phi_1}{\delta t} + C'.$$

For the case of waves propagating in a finite depth H, ψ can be written in the form,

 $\psi = (A \cosh \alpha z + B \sinh \alpha z + C \cosh \beta z)$

+ D
$$\sinh \beta z$$
) $e^{-iax + ibt}$, (1)

where the x axis coincides with the free surface, and the z axis is perpendicular to it., β is determined from the relation,

$$\beta^2 = \alpha^2 + \frac{ib}{\nu} \quad . \tag{2}$$

The aim of our study is to find the complex numbers a and β , thus defining the function ψ .

The conditions which must be satisfied on the bottom are

$$\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta z} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta x} = 0$$

for

z = - H.

If, for simplification, we let

 $L = \cosh \alpha H$, $M = \sinh \alpha H$,

 $P = \cosh \beta H$, and $Q = \sinh \beta H$,

these conditions can be written as

(a)
$$AL - BM + CP - DQ = 0$$

(b)
$$(AM-BL)\alpha + (CQ-DP)\beta = 0$$
.

If $\eta(x)$ designates the ordinate of the free surface, one also has

$$\frac{\delta \eta}{\delta t} = -\left(\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta x}\right)_{z=0} = -i\alpha (A + C) e^{i\alpha x + ibt}$$

from which one finds

$$\eta + \frac{\alpha}{b} (A + C) e^{iax + ibt} = 0$$
.

Furthermore, the expression for the pressure is

$$p = -\rho gz - \rho b$$
 (A sinh $az + B \cosh az$) $e^{iax + ibt}$.

At the free surface (z = η), the normal pressure should be zero so that

$$p + 2\rho \nu \frac{\delta^2 \psi}{\delta x \delta z} = 0$$

(c) Ag
$$\frac{\alpha}{ib}$$
 + B $(2\alpha^2 \nu + ib)$ + Cg $\frac{\alpha}{ib}$ - 2D $\alpha\beta\nu = 0$.

The condition of zero tangential force is written as

$$\frac{\delta^2 \psi}{\delta x^2} - \frac{\delta^2 \psi}{\delta z^2} = 0$$

or, using Equation (2),

(d)
$$2A\alpha^2\nu + (2\alpha^2\nu + ib) C = 0$$
.

Eliminating A, B, C, and D from the preceding equations gives

Solving this determinant, one gets

$$-4a^3v^2\beta^2QM + \beta \left[PMga + PL (2a^2v + ib)^2 + 4a^2v^2PL\right]$$

$$-4a^{2}v (2a^{2}v + ib) - ga^{2} QL - QMa (2a^{2}v + ib)^{2} = 0.$$

Dividing by PL and replacing L, M, P, and Q by their values, one finds that

$$-4\alpha^{3}\nu^{2}\beta^{2} \tanh \alpha H \tanh \beta H + \beta \left| \left| g\alpha \tanh \alpha H + (2\alpha^{2}\nu + ib)^{2} \right| \right|$$

$$+4\alpha^{4}\nu^{2} - \frac{4\alpha^{2}\nu}{\cosh \alpha H \cosh \beta H} (2\alpha^{2}\nu + ib) - g\alpha^{2} \tanh \beta H$$
(3)

- a tanh β H tanh aH $(2\alpha^2 \nu + ib)^2 = 0$.

Equations (2) and (3) can be used to find a and β ; β^2 , on the order of $1/\nu$, will be very large so that we can set tanh $\beta H = 1$ and cosh $\beta H = \infty$. Thus Equation (3) becomes

-
$$4\alpha^3 \nu^2 \beta^2$$
 tanh $\alpha H + \beta$ (ga tanh αH - b^2 + 4ib $\alpha^2 \nu$

$$+ 8a^{4}v^{2}$$
) - ga^{2} - a tanh aH $(2a^{2}v + ib)^{2} = 0$.

Solving Equation (2) for β by a series expansion, we have

$$\beta = \frac{\sqrt{b} \, \left(1 \, + \, i \right)}{\sqrt{2} \, \nu^{1/2}} \, + \, \frac{\alpha^2 \, \left(1 \, - \, i \right) \sqrt{2} \, \nu^{1/2}}{4 \sqrt{b}} \quad . \label{eq:beta}$$

Replacing β in Equation (4) by this expression, we get

- $4a^5v^2$ tanh αH - i $4\alpha^3v$ b tanh αH - $g\alpha^2$ - α tanh αH $(2\alpha^2v + ib)^2$

$$+\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}(1+i)}{\sqrt{2}\nu^{1/2}} + \frac{\alpha^{2}(1-i)\sqrt{2}\nu^{1/2}}{4\sqrt{b}}\right)(\tanh \alpha H g\alpha - b^{2} + 4ib\alpha^{2}\nu + 8\alpha^{4}\nu^{2}) = 0.$$

Neglecting terms of order greater than $\nu^1/2$, this becomes

$$\frac{\sqrt{b}(1+i)}{\nu^{1/2}\sqrt{2}} \text{ (tanh aH ga - b²) - ga² + a tanh aH b²}$$

$$+ v^{1/2} \left[4iba^2 \sqrt{b} \frac{(1+i)}{\sqrt{2}} + (\tanh aH ga - b^2) \frac{a^2 (1-i)^2 \sqrt{2}}{4 \sqrt{b}} \right] = 0.$$

A solution for a is looked for of the form

$$\alpha = C_1 + C_2 v^{1/2} + C_3 v + \dots$$

Noting that

$$\tanh \alpha H = \tanh C_1 H + \frac{C_2 H}{\cosh^2 C_1 H} v^{1/2} + \left[\frac{C_3 H}{\cosh^2 C_1 H} - \frac{C_2^2 H^2 \tanh C_1 H}{\cosh^2 C_1 H} \right] v$$

and equating the different powers of ν in Equation (6), we have the three equations:

$$gC_1 \tanh C_1 - b^2 = 0,$$

$$-gC_1^2 + b^2 C_1 \tanh C_1H + \frac{\sqrt{b(1+i)}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[gC_2 \tanh C_1H + \frac{gC_1C_2 H}{\cosh^2 C_1H} \right] = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{4 b^{3/2} C_1^2}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (i-1) - 2 gC_1C_2 + b^2 \cdot \left(C_2 \tanh C_1H + \frac{C_1C_2H}{\cosh^2 C_1H}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{b} (1+i)}{\sqrt{2}} \left[gC_1 \frac{(C_3H - C_2^2H^2 \tanh C_1H)}{\cosh^2 C_1H} + gC_3 \tanh C_1H\right] = 0.$$

As is known, the wave number $a=2\pi/L$ of waves of angular frequency b in a perfect fluid is given by ga tanh $ah=b^2$. By analogy we shall use here the notation $C_1=a$, but it must be emphasized that a does not represent the same concept for waves in viscous fluids.

Thus, we have

$$C_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2} (1 - i) a^2}{\sqrt{b} (\sinh 2aH + 2aH)}$$

and

$$C_{3} = -\frac{4ia^{3}}{b(2aH + 2 \sinh 2aH)} \left[\sinh 2aH + \frac{1}{\sinh 2aH + 2aH} + \frac{2 \sinh aH \cosh^{3} aH}{(\sinh 2aH + 2aH)^{2}} \right]$$

from which

$$\alpha = a \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha^2 \nu}{b}} \frac{(1-i)}{\sinh 2aH + 2aH} - i4 \frac{a^2 \nu}{b (2aH + 2 \sinh 2aH)} \right]$$

$$\times \left(\sinh 2aH + \frac{1}{\sinh 2aH + 2aH} + \frac{2 \sinh aH \cosh^3 aH}{(\sinh 2aH + 2aH)^2} \right)$$
(7)

and

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\nu}} (1+i) + \frac{a^2(1-i)}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{b}}$$
 (8)

From Equation (7), the formula giving the damping law as a function of distance traveled can be written as

$$2h = 2h_0 \exp \left[\frac{-2}{\sinh 2aH + 2aH} \left\{ \sqrt[3]{\frac{a^2 \nu}{2b}} + \left(\frac{2a^2 \nu}{b} \right) \left(\sinh 2aH + \frac{1}{\sinh 2aH + 2aH} + \frac{2 \sinh aH \cosh^3 aH}{(\sinh 2aH + 2aH)^2} \right) \right\} \right].$$

Before comparing this formula to that obtained by Biesel using the energy method, a preliminary remark must be made on the definition of a and b.

In the case of damping in time and not in space, treated "in extenso" by Biesel, the wave length, L, was defined unambiguously, allowing the determination of a from the formula,

$$a = \frac{2\pi}{L} .$$

b was then defined from a:

$$b = \sqrt{ag \tanh aH}$$
.

For the case of spacial damping, the concept of wave length is no longer obvious since the profile of the wave at a given time is no longer periodic in space, the amplitude decreasing from one crest to another. a could be defined as the real part of a, but we have preferred to proceed more rationally starting from the periodicity in time. The latter is free from ambiguity. Thus, we have taken

$$b = \frac{2\pi}{T}$$

and have defined a as the solution of

$$b = \sqrt{ag \tanh aH}$$
.

In this way, we avoid talking of a wave length that does not correspond to an obvious physical reality, as Biesel avoided speaking of a period in his article.

Thus, the definitions of a and b cannot automatically be transposed from the time damping case to the spacial damping case; strictly, Biesel would have had to have given the definitions of these quantities at the same time as the last formula of his article. Furthermore, note that the various definitions that can be logically considered differ by quantities of the order of $v^{1/2}$. As a result, to the order of approximation used, only terms in v in the damping formula should be affected by the choice of definitions of a and b.

Thus, the differences that can be noted between the terms in ν in our formula and that of Biesel can be partially explained. However, there exists another possible cause for the difference in the estimation of the rate of energy propagation; the latter may be effected by the viscosity, the most important term in the expression for this effect being probably of the order of $\nu^{1/2}$. Strictly, it would be necessary to understand this modification to perfect Biesel's energy method and to obtain correctly the terms of the order of ν .

Ultimately, if one wanted to obtain the terms in ν using the energy method, it would be necessary to complicate the method and, in doing so, take away the simplicity that is its primary advantage.

The comparison between our formula and that of Biesel is clarified by the preceding remarks. Such a comparison shows that the term in $\nu^{1/2}$ is correctly given by the energy method whereas the term in ν is in error.

The practical importance of the differences between the two formulas is, nevertheless, not great, since:

- 1) For large relative depths the difference disappears.
- 2) For moderate depths (less than a half wave length) the difference in the term in ν is small and, furthermore, the term $\nu^{1/2}$ predominates.
- For very small relative depths the term in ν becomes the most important, and the asymptotic values are different, the damping being

$$2h = 2h_0 \exp \left[\frac{3}{8 (aH)^2} \cdot \left(\frac{a^2 v}{b}\right) ax\right] = 2h_0 \exp \left[\frac{3v}{8bH^2} - ax\right]$$

instead of

$$2h = 2h_0 \exp\left[\frac{1}{4(aH)^2} \cdot \left(\frac{a^2 \nu}{b}\right) ax\right] = 2h_0 \exp\left[\frac{\nu}{4bH^2} ax\right].$$

In any case, it is important to note that the method used cannot give sure results for these orders of magnitude, since the assumptions

made during the calculation imply that ν is very small and that h is not too small (hypothesis P/Q=1). But the numerical examples given by Biesel show that that difference is significant only for liquids with viscosities much greater than that of water or for very small relative depths (on the order of 1/60 of a wave length).

Thus, the general conclusions of Biesel's article remain tenable, at least qualitatively. In particular, it is true that in depths that are not too large, the damping is linked particularly to the term in $v^{1/2}$. This is in contradiction to the statement of Miche on page 93 of his book, Proprietes des Trains d'Ondes Oceaniques et de Laboratoire (Properties of Ocean and Laboratory Wave Trains), edited by COEC.

To finish the calculation we shall look for the expression for the current function, ψ . Equation (d) gives

$$A = -\frac{C}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \right) .$$

Equations (a) and (b) give

$$D = \frac{Aa + C \left[a \cosh aH \cosh \beta H - \beta \sinh \beta H \sinh aH \right]}{a \cosh aH \sinh \beta H - \beta \sinh \beta H \sinh aH}$$

and

$$B = \frac{C\beta + \alpha \left[\beta \cosh \beta H \cosh \alpha H - \alpha \sinh \alpha H \sinh \beta H\right]}{\beta \cosh \beta H \sinh \alpha H - \alpha \cosh \alpha H \sinh \beta H}.$$

Inserting A, B, and D in Equation (1), we get

$$\begin{split} &\psi = C_1 e^{-iax + ibt} \left[\sinh \alpha \left(H + Z \right) \right] - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left[\tanh \beta H \cosh \alpha \left(H + Z \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\sinh \beta Z}{\cosh \beta H} \right] - 2 \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \right) \left[\frac{\sinh \alpha H \cosh \beta \left(H + Z \right)}{\cosh \beta H} + \frac{\sinh \alpha Z}{\cosh \beta H} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2} \sinh \alpha \left(H + Z \right) \right] - 2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^3 \left[- \frac{\cosh \alpha H \sinh \beta (H + Z)}{\cosh \beta H} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2} \tanh \beta H \cosh \alpha \left(H + Z \right) + \frac{\sinh \beta Z}{2 \cosh \beta H} \right] \end{split}$$

with

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^3 \frac{C \, a \, \cosh \, \beta H}{\beta \, \sinh \, \alpha H \, \cosh \, \beta H \, - \, a \, \cosh \, \alpha H \, \sinh \, \beta H} ,$$

a and β being defined by Equations (7) and (8).

It should be noted that the form of the current function is the same for time damping if one sets α = a and ib = K, a and K being defined by Biesel, and where

$$K = ib \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sinh 2aH} \sqrt{\frac{a^2 v}{b}}\right) + b \left[\frac{1}{\sinh 2aH} \sqrt{\frac{a^2 v}{b}}\right]$$

$$+ 2 \frac{a^2 v}{b} \frac{\cosh 4aH + \cosh 2aH - 1}{\cosh 4aH - 1}$$

and

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{b}{2\nu}} \left[(1+i) - \frac{a}{2 \sinh 2aH} \sqrt{\frac{2a^2\nu}{b}} + \frac{a^2\nu}{8 b \sinh^2 2aH} \right]$$

$$\times (1 - 4 \cosh 2aH - 2 \cosh 4aH) (1 - i) .$$

DISTRIBUTION

	No. of Copies
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	20
mickandria, virginia 22311	
Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: OCR/DD-Standard Distribution Washington, D. C. 20505	4
Foreign Science and Technology Center, USAMC ATTN: Mr. Shapiro Washington, D.C. 20315	3
NASA Scientific & Technical Information Facility ATTN: Acquisitions Branch (S-AK/DL) P.O. Box 33 College Park, Maryland 20740	5
Division of Technical Information Extension, USAEC P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830	1
Foreign Technology Division ATTN: Library Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45400	1
USACDC-LnO	1
MS-T, Mr. Wiggins	5
AMSMI-D -XE, Mr. Lowers -XS, Dr. Carter -Y -R, Mr. McDaniel -RAP -RB, Mr. Croxton -RBLD	1 1 1 1 1 1 10 8
-RBT	U

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)							
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION					
Redstone Scientific Information Center		Unclassified					
Research and Development Directorate U.S. Army Missile Command		2b GROUP					
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809		N/A					
OF FINITE DEPTH, BY F. BIESEL, LA HOUILLE BLANCHE, NO. 5, 630-634 (1949) La Houille Blanche, No. 1, 75-79 (1956)							
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)							
Translated from the French							
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)							
Carry, C.							
6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF P.	AGES	7b. NO. OF REFS				
20 January 1967	11						
8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO, N/A . b. project no. N/A	96. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) RSIC-637						
N/A	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may * assigned this report)						
d.	AD						
Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U.S. Government must have prior approval of this Command, ATTN: AMSMI-RBT.							
11. SUPPL EMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILI	TARY ACTI	VITY				
None	Same as No. 1						
13. ABSTRACT Shortly after publication of the arti	ala br E Biog	ol ther	o was some doubt about				

Shortly after publication of the article by F. Biesel there was some doubt about the validity of the energy method used to determine the rate of damping in space from the rate of time damping. A more complete analysis of the problem seemed to indicate that only first order terms (in $v^{1/2}$) were appropriately calculated, and that terms of second order (in v) contained possible errors.

To finally settle this question and to give the exact expression for the spacial damping coefficient it was necessary to repeat entirely the calculation that was made for spacial damping. This delicate calculation was carried out by Carry who showed definitely that the second order terms given by the energy method were incorrect. Nevertheless, the error introduced in this way was negligible for almost all practical cases. The question became important again with the publication by Miche of a work on the "Properties of Ocean and Laboratory Wave Trains", which was recently analyzed by <u>La Houille Blanche</u>. In a note in his work (page 93) Miche claimed that the principle term in spacial damping is in ν and not in $\nu^{1/2}$. Miche suggests that the fact of having obtained a term in $\nu^{1/2}$ results from the use of the energy balance method.

The calculations by Carry which are independent of that method show that that is not the case and that the conclusions with respect to the existence and importance

(Continued on Page 14)

DD 15084 1473

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

KEY WORDS	LIN	LINK A		< 🖪	LINKC	
	ROLE	wт	ROLE	wT	ROLE	WT
Spacial damping						
Time damping of a wave						
Hydrostatic pressure						
Finite depth					ŀ	
ı		1				
TN	STRUCTIONS	L	11		L	

- INSTRUCTION
- 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

- 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:
 - "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
 - (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
 - (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through
 - (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
 - (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known

- 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.
- 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Idenfiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

DD FORM 1473

13. Abstract (Concluded)

of the term in $\nu^1/_2$ remain unchanged. When the speed of the wave on the bottom becomes appreciable, this term predominates. The term becomes negligible only when the depth is greater than 1/2 the wave length, and when, as a result, the speed on the bottom is less than a few percent of the speed on the surface.