https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19680012807 2020-03-24T00:13:08+00:00Z

X-525-67-604 PREPRINT

NASA IN X- 63173 BACKFIRE YAGI ANTENNA MEASUREMENTS

L. R. DOD

GPO PRICE \$	
CFSTI PRICE(S) \$	
	a di
Hard copy (HC)	_3.00
Microfiche (MF)	, 65

	8.0
1303777 13037777	1366
2321J3U	
	and the second

DECEMBER 1967

ff 653 July 65

	····		
SFC	GODDARD SPA	CE FLIGHT CEI	NTER -
	GREENBE	LT, MARYLAND	
	N68 - 222	76	7 N
-	$\frac{1}{52}$ (ACCESSION NUMBER)	(THRU)	
	(PAGES) 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 1	(CODE) D 7 (CATEGORY)	
		(CATEGORT)	

X-525-67-604

BACKFIRE YAGI ANTENNA MEASUREMENTS

2

•

-

¥

.

L. R. Dod

December 1967

GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER Greenbelt, Maryland

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.

1

Ŕ

.

ABSTRACT

Radiation patterns and gain measurements on 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 λ backfire Yagi antennas are given. The backfire antenna was tested for two dipole feed locations, and variations in the diameters of the two planar reflectors forming the backfire cavity. The optimum dimensions of the three test antennas are tabulated. The frequency bandwidth of the backfire antenna is limited to several percent due to impedance matching. The backfire antenna should find application in broadside arrays where conventional endfire antennas are presently used.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.

CONTENTS

Ī	Page
ABSTRACT	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
Test Models	1
Radiation Patterns	2
Gain Measurements	2
Test Site	2
CONCLUSIONS	2
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	3
REFERENCES	4

TABLES

<u>Table</u>

•

ė

.

1	Dimensions	of	Antenna	Models	in	Wavelengths								5
-	DIMONDIOLO	~~					•	•	•	•	-	•	•	

ILLUSTRATIONS

Ż

R,

Figure		Page
1	Photo of the Backfire Antenna	6
2	1.0 λ Backfire Antenna	7
3	1.5 λ Backfire Antenna	8
4	2.0 λ Backfire Antenna	9
5	1.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	11
6	1.0 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	13
7	1.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector	15
8	1.0λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2λ from the Secondary Reflector	17
9	1.5 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.3 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	19
10	1.5 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.3 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	21
11	1.5 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector	23
12	1.5 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector	25
13	2.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	27

ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

Page

*

Figure

14	2.0 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	2 9
15	2.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector	31
16	2.0 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector	33
17	2.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Director Lengths with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	35
18	2.0 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Director Lengths with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	37
19	2.0λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Secondary Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	39
20	2.0λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Secondary Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2λ from the Surface Wave Reflector	41
21	1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 λ Backfire Antenna Gain Versus the Diameter of the Surface Wave Reflector with the Feed Located Near the Surface Wave Reflector	43
22	1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 λ Backfire Antenna Gain Versus the Diameter of the Surface Wave Reflector with the Feed Located Near the Secondary Reflector	44
23	2.0λ Backfire Antenna Gain Versus the Director Length Variation and Secondary Reflector Diameter	45

BACKFIRE YAGI ANTENNA MEASUREMENTS

INTRODUCTION

An experimental test program has been conducted to supplement the available data on the backfire Yagi.¹⁻⁶ The backfire antenna has been considered for possible application in V.H.F. command and tracking arrays in the Space Tracking and Data Acquisition Network (STADAN). The backfire is being considered because it offers greater gain per unit length than the conventional endfire antennas in the existing arrays.

The backfire principle may be explained as follows. The backfire antenna may be considered as a leaky cavity resonator formed by two planar reflectors as shown in Figure 1. Energy is introduced into the cavity, in the transmitting case, by a dipole and is confined to the cavity by a surface wave guiding structure, e.g., Yagi, dielectric rod, disc-on-rod, etc. Radiation from the leaky cavity is normal to the smaller (secondary) reflector. Zucker⁶ has compared the backfire antenna to a laser cavity with the smaller antenna reflector analagous to the partially silvered mirror of the laser. The larger (surface wave) reflector is analagous to the fully silvered mirror of the laser cavity. The separation of the two reflectors forming the cavity must be a multiple of a half-wavelength for backfire action. The velocity of the surface wave on the guiding structure is equal to the velocity of a conventional endfire antenna with twice the length of the backfire.⁶

In order to supplement existing data, 1^{-6} gain and radiation patterns were measured as functions of: (1) the diameters of the two reflectors forming the leaky cavity, (2) the feed dipole location in the cavity, and (3) the lengths of the Yagi directors forming the surface wave guiding structure. Backfire antennas with lengths of 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 wavelength (λ) were tested. Measurements of a 0.5 λ backfire have been reported previously.⁴,⁸

Test Models

The 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 λ backfire antennas are shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4. Two feed locations in the cavity were tested as shown in parts A and B of these figures.

The optimum dimensions of the three test antennas are given in Table 1.

Radiation Patterns

The linearly polarized E and H plane radiation patterns of the three test antennas are given in Figures 5-20. The radiation patterns of Figures 5-16 show the effects of variation in the diameter of the surface wave reflector and the feed dipole location in the cavity. Figures 17-20 show the effects of director length variation and secondary reflector diameter variation.

Gain Measurements

The gain curves of the three test antennas are shown in Figures 21-23. Figures 21 and 22 show the gain variation as a function of the feed dipole location and the diameter of the surface wave reflector. Figure 23 shows the gain variation as a function of the director lengths and the secondary reflector diameter for the 2.0λ antenna.

Test Site

The test site for the radiation patterns and gain measurements consisted of a pyramidal horn illuminating antenna separated 18 feet from the backfire test model with both antennas elevated 12 feet above ground. The backfire test antenna was compared with a 16.35 db standard horn for all gain measurements at the 1500 MHz test frequency. The accuracy of the gain measurements was limited to ± 0.5 db by the tolerance of the recording equipment and the range site. All gain measurements were made with the backfire antenna impedance matched to less than 1.20 to 1.00 s.w.r.

CONCLUSIONS

The gains of the three backfire test models and a previously measured 0.5λ backfire⁸ may be compared with a gain-optimized Yagi⁹ as shown in Figure 24. The backfire gain is 3.8db greater for the 0.5λ length, 3.0db greater for the 1.0λ and 1.5λ lengths, and 3.3db greater for the 2.0λ length. These gain improvements over the conventional endfire antenna are achieved by increasing the transverse dimension (surface wave reflector) of the backfire. In many applications, the larger transverse reflector given in Figures 21 and 22 show that the diameters of the reflectors may be reduced from the optimum values and still give gain increase over the conventional endfire antenna. There is a definite advantage in locating the feed dipole near the surface wave reflector as can be seen by comparing the gain curves of Figures 21 and 22 for the two feed locations tested. The gain differential using the two feed locations is greatest when the surface wave reflector diameter is reduced below the optimum value. There is

also a mechanical advantage in locating the feed near the surface wave reflector since the strength of the antenna support tube can be reduced.

Figure 23 shows typical gain variation for director length and secondary reflector diameter for the 2.0 λ backfire. The corresponding radiation patterns are shown in Figures 17-20. The secondary reflector diameter of 0.475-0.500 λ gave optimum gain for all models tested. The director length of 0.388 λ gave optimum gain for the 2.0 λ antenna. This value is 0.060 λ greater than the value predicted in reference 9 for an endfire with twice the length of the backfire. This difference may be due to the 0.127 λ diameter of the support tube since the antennas of reference 9 were half-models without support tubes.

The frequency bandwidth of the antennas tested was limited to several percent due to impedance matching. The frequency bandwidth of the radiation patterns and gain was greater than 10%. The impedance bandwidth agrees with the data of reference 7 for a backfire disc-on-rod antenna.

The backfire antenna should be useful in broadside arrays that presently utilize conventional endfire antennas. The surface wave reflector can be made from wire mesh rather than a solid metal to reduce weight and wind loading. The gain curves of Figure 21 and the radiation patterns of Figures 5-16 should be useful for the array designer to supplement the data of reference 6.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge the assistance of John Fuchs who performed all the measurements and assembled the test models.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ehrenspeck, H.W., The Backfire Antenna, a New Type of Directional Line Source, AFCRL 722, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Mass., August, 1961, ASTIA 267015.
- 2. Ehrenspeck, H.W., and J.A. Strom, Backfire Antennas for SHF, UHF, and VHF Bands, AFCRL 63-114, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Mass., April, 1963, ASTIA 406095.
- 3. Ehrenspeck, H.W., The Backfire Antenna: New Results, AFCRL 65-647, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Mass., September, 1965.
- 4. Ehrenspeck, H.W., The Short Backfire Antenna, AFCRL 65-768, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Mass., October, 1965.
- 5. Ehrenspeck, H.W., The Backfire, a High-gain Cavity Antenna, URSI Symposium on Electromagnetic Wave Theory, September 6-11, 1965, Delft, Holland.
- Zucker, F.J., The Backfire Antenna: A Qualitative Approach to Its Design, AFCRL 66-317, Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Mass., May, 1966.
- 7. Spitz, Erich, Resonant Endfire Antennas, Proc. of I.E.E.E., Volume 53, Number 11, November, 1965, pp. 1965-66.
- Dod, L.R., Experimental Measurements of the Short Backfire Antenna, X-525-66-480, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md., October, 1966.
- 9. Ehrenspeck, H.W., and H. Poehler, A New Method for Obtaining Maximum Gain from the Yagi Antenna, I.R.E. Trans. on Ant. and Propag., Vol AP-7, No. 4, October, 1959, pp. 379-386.

Antenna length	1.0	1.5	2.0
Number of directors	3	5	8
Spacing of directors	.2	•2	•2
Thickness of directors	.048	.048	.048
Total director length	.421	.406	.388
Secondary reflector diameter	. 475	.475	.475
Secondary reflector thickness	.008	.008	.008
Surface wave reflector diameter	2.5	3.00	3.4
Surface wave reflector thickness	.008	.008	.008
Antenna support tube diameter	.127	.127	.127
Dipole sleeve diameter	.048	.048	.048
Dipole rod diameter	.0159	.0159	.0159
Dipole length	.5	.5	.5
Dipole spacing from surface wave reflector	•2	• 3	•2
Dipole spacing from secondary reflector	.2	•2	.2

Table 1Antenna Test Model Dimensions in Wavelengths


;

•

.

.

-



*

Figure 1. Photo of the Backfire Antenna









Figure 2. 1.0 A Backfire Antenna



1.5 λ MODEL

Α






2.0X MODEL

Α

;



B






Figure 4. 2.0λ Backfire Antenna





1 Ù






Figure 5. 1.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector



FOLDOUT FRAME









Figure 6. 1.0λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2λ from the Surface Wave Reflector




FOLDOUT FRAME /











FOLDOUT FRAME




) f






FOLDOUT FRAME 3

17





FOLDOUT FRAME 2






Figure 9. 1.5 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.3 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector



FOLDOUT FRAME /



FOLDOUT FRAME 2






Figure 10. 1.5 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.3 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector



.

FOLDOUT FRAME /



FOLDOUT FRAME 2






Figure 11. 1.5 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector





24






Figure 12. 1.5λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2λ from the Secondary Reflector



۴.,

FOLDOUT FRAME /









Figure 13. 2.0λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2λ from the Surface Wave Reflector









- ---

Figure 14. 2.0λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2λ from the Surface Wave Reflector





N






Figure 15. 2.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector



, *

FOLDOUT FRAME /



- --






Figure 16. 2.0 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variavble Surface Wave Reflector Diameters with the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Secondary Reflector






\$

34

FOLDOUT FRAME 2








Figure 17. 2.0 λ Backfire E Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Director Lengths With the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector



36












Figure 18. 2.0 λ Backfire H Plane Radiation Patterns for Variable Director Lengths With the Feed Dipole Located 0.2 λ from the Surface Wave Reflector










J









PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED.

