**NASA TN D-4503** 

٠,

NASA TECHNICAL NOTE



NASA TN D-4503 C./



LOAN COPY: RETURN TO AFWL (WLIL-2) KIRTLAND AFB, N MEX

# A LEAST SQUARES METHOD FOR THE REDUCTION OF FREE-OSCILLATION DATA

by Phillip R. Wilcox and William L. Crawford Ames Research Center Moffett Field, Calif.



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION •



## A LEAST SQUARES METHOD FOR THE REDUCTION OF

—

### FREE-OSCILLATION DATA

### By Phillip R. Wilcox and William L. Crawford

Ames Research Center Moffett Field, Calif.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

For sale by the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information Springfield, Virginia 22151 – CFSTI price \$3.00

#### A LEAST SQUARES METHOD FOR THE REDUCTION OF

#### FREE-OSCILLATION DATA

#### By Phillip R. Wilcox and William L. Crawford

Ames Research Center

#### SUMMARY

The classical least squares curve fitting method is used to determine the frequency, amplitude, damping ratio, phase angle, and zero offset of both a one- and two-degree-of-freedom system from free oscillation data.

The method is applied to a number of experimental transients with good results. Where possible, comparisons are made with the results of other methods. The least-squares method is found to be particularly useful in the analysis of two-degree-of-freedom systems where other techniques are difficult or impossible to apply.

#### INTRODUCTION

In wind-tunnel testing when the dynamic stability of a configuration is one of the parameters to be determined, either free-oscillation or forcedoscillation techniques can be used. The free-oscillation technique is easier to implement and was used recently at Ames Research Center in testing axisymmetric hammerhead models (ref. 1). A typical model was mounted in the wind tunnel on a free-oscillation balance and a disturbance in the model attitude was introduced by rotating the model and then quickly releasing it. A continuous signal proportional to the model attitude was obtained and later passed through an analog to digital converter to provide discrete measurements at equal time intervals. When this record corresponds to a one-degree-offreedom system, there are several methods commonly used to obtain the damping ratio and natural frequency. One of these methods (called here amplitude response method) is to use the amplitude response curve (ref. 2) as indicated in figure 1. This curve is obtained from the Fourier transform of the freeoscillation transient. Another method (called here peak amplitude method) is to plot the values of the peak amplitude on a semilog plot and then calculate the damping ratio as indicated in figure 2.

During the reduction of the data from these tests it was found the methods mentioned above failed to yield consistent data for a number of transients. These transients all showed evidence of multiple-mode oscillations. In an effort to find a data analysis technique that could be applied to transients of this type, a least-squares method was developed. It is the purpose of this paper to describe this method which will analyze either a one- or twodegree-of-freedom system rapidly and accurately, and to describe how the results obtained by this method compare with the results obtained by the amplitude response and peak amplitude methods.

#### NOTATION

А	amplitude of the envelope of curve 1 at $t = 0$ , same units as $y(t)$										
В	amplitude of the envelope of curve 2 at $t = 0$ , same units as $y(t)$										
C	zero offset, same units as y(t)										
f	phase angle of curve 1, radians										
g	phase angle of curve 2, radians										
n	number of data points										
р	natural frequency of curve 1, radians/sec										
q	natural frequency of curve 2, radians/sec										
t	time, sec										
y(t)	amplitude of transient										
y <sub>m</sub> (t)	measured values of transient										
y <sub>c</sub> (t)	calculated values of transient										
α	-ζ <sub>1</sub> p, l/sec										
β	-529, l/sec										
ζι	damping ratio of curve l										
52	damping ratio of curve 2										

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE LEAST-SQUARES METHOD

The response of the one- and two-degree-of-freedom transient is assumed to be of the form

.

$$y(t) = Ae^{\alpha t} \sin(pt + f) + C$$
 (1)

---

and

$$y(t) = Ae^{\alpha t} \sin(pt + f) + Be^{\beta t} \sin(qt + g) + C$$
 (2)

The problem is to determine the constants in these equations so that the equation best describes, in a least-squares sense, a set of experimental data.

Appendix A is a detailed description of the derivation of the equations needed to solve for the unknowns in equation (1) or (2) by the LSM (Least Squares Method). The analysis results in a matrix equation that can be solved by normal matrix techniques. To apply this method to a two-degree-of-freedom system, first assign a vector  $(A_0,\alpha_0,p_0,f_0,C_0,B_0,\beta_0,q_0,g_0)$  of initial estimates for all the unknowns. Using these values, calculate both y (denoted by  $y_c$ ) and the partial derivatives of y with respect to each of the unknowns  $(\partial y/\partial A, \partial y/\partial \alpha, \ldots, \partial y/\partial g)$  at times corresponding to each of the n data points. After doing the indicated summations the following matrix equation for corrections to the initial estimates is obtained.



After solving these nine equations for the corrections  $(\triangle A, \triangle \alpha, \ldots, \triangle g)$ , they are added to the original estimates of the constants and the process is repeated. This iteration process is continued until the corrections are arbitrarily small (assuming convergence) at which time the estimate vector contains values of the constants which best describe the experimental data.

This procedure does not guarantee convergence. It has been found, however, that if reasonable initial estimates can be made of the constants (in particular the p and q), then convergence is assured and is very rapid.

#### PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

#### One Degree of Freedom

The transients of the three models selected are plotted in figure 3. They were chosen because they are representative of the range of damping ratios encountered during the actual testing program described in reference 1.

The peak amplitude and amplitude response plots of each transient ar shown in figures 4 and 5, respectively. The Share Library Program, AAHAN, mode 3 (ref. 3) was used to calculate the amplitude response of the transier. (i.e., Fourier transforms). This program was converted to MAP for use with Fortran IV programs by the staff of the Computation and Analysis Branch at Ames. The damping ratios of the peak amplitude plots were calculated as indicated in figure 2 while the frequencies were determined from figure 3 by counting the number of cycles in a given time increment. The damping ratios and frequencies of the amplitude response plots were calculated as indicated in figure 1. These results, the results obtained from the LSM, and the normalized standard deviation, or SD, (see section on Error) are recorded in table I. From this table it can be seen that the SD's, calculated with the parameters from the LSM, for the three transients are very small. Since these values are less than the accuracy of the data, the values obtained from the LSM for the frequencies and damping ratios are assumed to be correct. Now, with the parameters of the LSM as the basis, the errors of the other methods are as follows:

Transient A		Transient B		<u> </u>	<u>ent C</u>	Method		
p	5	p	<u>5</u>	p	<u>5</u>			
-0.36%	+7.14%	-2.09%	-5.94%	-1.68%	+2.17%	Peak amplitude		
-0.06%	+185.60%	+0.17% -	+37•95%	+0.20%	+34•35%	Amplitude response		

From this, it can be seen that all three methods compare well on frequency but the amplitude response method gives damping ratios that do not agree with those obtained from the other two methods.

The solutions obtained from the LSM have been plotted against the experimental data in figure 6. It can be seen from this plot that there is very good agreement between the generated curves and the transient data.

#### Two Degree of Freedom

The three transients chosen for the two-degree-of-freedom system have been plotted in figure 7. They were chosen to give a variety of two-degreeof-freedom cases in which the applicability of peak amplitude and amplitude response methods becomes doubtful. The peak amplitude plots are as shown in figure 8, and the fact that no straight line can adequately represent the peak values is apparent. The amplitude response plots are shown in figure 9, and although the frequencies are well defined, the bandwidth measurement for the second mode of transient E was uncertain. These results, along with those obtained from the LSM, and the normalized standard deviation, or SD, are recorded in table II. Here again the parameters as calculated by the LSM are assumed to be correct for the reason stated previously. With these parameters as a basis, the errors for the amplitude response parameters are as follows:

	Transient D	Transient E	<u>Transient F</u>
р	+0.02%	+0.18%	+0.33%
q	+0.53%	+3.68%	+0.10%
ζı	+18.45%	-15.15%	+33.80%
ζε	-25.30%		+22.10%

From this, it can be seen that although both methods agree well on frequency, they disagree on the damping ratios.

The results of the LSM for transient D are shown in figure 10 where the individual transients, curves 1 and 2, and their sum have been plotted. The sum is plotted against the experimental data. The results obtained for transients E and F are plotted in figures 11 and 12, respectively. It can be seen that there is again good agreement between the experimental data and the theoretical curve for each of the transients.

#### Error

The present LSM has been programmed to assume that it has successfully arrived at the values of the parameters when the incremental change in all parameters is less than 0.05 percent of the previous value of that parameter.

As an aid in judging how well the theoretical curve fits the experimental data, the normalized standard deviation is computed.

$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ y_{c}(t_{i}) - y_{m}(t_{i}) \right]^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ y_{m}(t_{i}) \right]^{2}}} \times 100$$

This parameter has been recorded in table I for the one-degree-of-freedom transients and in table II for the two-degree-of-freedom transients. The poorest fit was for transient D, where SD = 1.98. Thus, in all cases, very satisfactory fits were obtained.

Since the second frequency for transient E was not pronounced (see fig. 9), this transient was analyzed also as a one-degree-of-freedom system. The best fit obtainable under this assumption was SD = 2.11, significantly poorer than the two-degree-of-freedom case where SD = 1.29.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A least squares method has been developed for the analysis of freeoscillation data and a comparison of this technique with the peak amplitude and amplitude response methods has led to the following conclusions:

1. For the one-degree-of-freedom transient data, all three methods give good answers for the frequency but the amplitude response method gives poor values for the damping ratio.

2. For the two-degree-of-freedom transient data, the least squares method gave a good fit for cases where the other methods were not practical to apply.

Ames Research Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Moffett Field, Calif. 94035, Jan. 8, 1968 124-11-04-09-00-21

#### APPENDIX A

#### MATHEMATICAL DERIVATION OF NUMERICAL SOLUTION

It is assumed that the free-oscillation transients considered in this paper can be modeled as the sum of one or more damped sine waves; that is

$$y(t) = Ae^{\alpha t} \sin(pt + f) + Be^{\beta t}(qt + g) + ... + C$$
 (Al)

where t is the time variable; C is a zero offset correction; A, B, . . . are amplitude factors;  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , . . . are functions of the damping ratios; p, q, . . . are frequencies; and f, g, . . . are phase angles.

The problem is to determine these parameters from the transient data such that equation (Al) best describes the transient data in the least squares sense.

The usual method of using the least squares criterion (ref. 4) directly for fitting equation (Al) to the transient, y(t), would result in a set of nonlinear equations for which there is no known analytic solution. However, an iterative method of determining successive corrections to estimates of the parameters can be applied. This method (refs. 4 and 5) which results in linear equations is derived in the following paragraphs.

The derivation will be made for the solution of the equation

$$y(t) = Ae^{\alpha t} \sin(pt + f) + Be^{\beta t} \sin(qt + g) + C$$
 (A2)

Extending the results to any number of damped sine waves is straightforward.

Equation (A2) can be written in the symbolic form

$$y = y(t) = y(t,A,\alpha,p,f,C,B,\beta,q,g)$$
(A3)

To apply the least squares method, we need to express the relationship between the empirical data and equation (A3) as a set of residual equations. Given n data points  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , if  $v_i$  represents the difference or residual between the data  $y_i$ , taken at time  $t_i$ , and the value calculated from equation (A2) at time  $t_i$ , we obtain the following residual equations:

$$v_{1} = y(t_{1}, A, \alpha, \dots, g) - y_{1}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$v_{i} = y(t_{i}, A, \alpha, \dots, g) - y_{i}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$v_{n} = y(t_{n}, A, \alpha, \dots, g) - y_{n}$$
(A4)

If we now assume that we can obtain approximate values  $A_0,\alpha_0,p_0,f_0,C_0$ ,  $B_0,\beta_0,q_0,g_0$  for the true parameters  $A,\alpha$ , . . . , g, then we can express the true parameters as

 $A = A_{0} + \Delta A \qquad B = B_{0} + \Delta B$   $\alpha = \alpha_{0} + \Delta \alpha \qquad \beta = \beta_{0} + \Delta \beta$   $p = p_{0} + \Delta p \qquad q = q_{0} + \Delta q$   $f = f_{0} + \Delta f \qquad g = g_{0} + \Delta g$   $C = C_{0} + \Delta C$  (A5)

where  $\triangle A_{,\Delta \alpha}, \ldots, \Delta g$  are corrections to be determined. Substituting equation (A5) in (A4) we obtain the residual equations

$$v_{1} = y(t_{1}, A_{0} + \Delta A, \alpha_{0} + \Delta \alpha, \dots, g_{0} + \Delta g) - y_{1}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$v_{i} = y(t_{i}, A_{0} + \Delta A, \alpha_{0} + \Delta \alpha, \dots, g_{0} + \Delta g) - y_{i}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$v_{n} = y(t_{n}, A_{0} + \Delta A, \alpha_{0} + \Delta \alpha, \dots, g_{0} + \Delta g) - y_{n}$$
(A6)

For simplicity let us consider only the ith residual equation, which can be written as

$$v_{i} + y_{i} = y(t_{i}, A_{o} + \Delta A_{o}, A_{o} + \Delta \alpha_{o}, \dots, g_{o} + \Delta g)$$
 (A7)

By considering the right side of equation (A7) as a function of  $A,\alpha, \ldots, g$ with t constant, we can expand it by Taylor's theorem for a function of several variables (refs. 5 and 6) around the point  $(A_0,\alpha_0,\ldots,g_0)$ . This expansion is valid since equation (A2) has continuous partial derivatives of all orders with respect to  $A,\alpha,\ldots$ , and g.

Taylor's expansion yields

$$v_{i} + y_{i} = y(t_{i}, A_{o}, \alpha_{o}, \dots, g_{o}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left[ \left( \Delta A \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + \Delta \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \dots + \Delta g \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right)^{j} y(t, A, \alpha, \dots, g) \right]_{*}$$
(A8)

where \* means that after differentiation the numerical values of the partials are calculated for  $t = t_i$ ,  $A = A_0$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_0$ ,  $p = p_0$ ,  $f = f_0$ ,  $C = C_0$ ,  $B = B_0$ ,  $\beta = \beta_0$ ,  $q = q_0$ ,  $g = g_0$ .

Expanding equation (A8) gives

$$v_{i} + y_{i} = y(t_{i}, A_{o}, \alpha_{o}, \dots, g_{o}) + \Delta A \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial A}\right)_{*} + \Delta \alpha \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{*} + \dots + \Delta g \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial g}\right)_{*} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} R_{j}$$
(A9)

Since  $sin(pt + f, qt + g) \le l$ ,  $cos(pt + f, qt + g) \le l$  for all (pt + f, qt + g); and  $\alpha t$ ,  $\beta t$ , A, and B are bounded; it is clear that the  $R_j$  consist of sums of terms  $R_{jk}$  of the order

$$R_{jk} = \text{order} \left[ \max(1, A, B) \max(\Delta A^2, \Delta A \Delta \alpha, \dots \Delta A \Delta g, \Delta \alpha^2, \Delta \alpha \Delta p, \dots, \Delta p^2, \dots, \Delta f^2, \dots, \Delta g^2) \right]$$
(A10)

Since all the  $\triangle a, \triangle \alpha, \ldots, \triangle g$  are considerably less than 1, and all the partials are bounded, all the R<sub>j</sub> approach zero as j gets large. This result, together with the fact that all the partials exist and are continuous in the range  $0 \le t \le 1$  is a sufficient condition (ref. 7) for equations (A8) and (A9) to be valid.

If we now approximate  $y(t_1, A_0 + \triangle A, \alpha_0 + \triangle \alpha, \dots, g_0 + \triangle g)$  by dropping the second and higher order terms of equation (A9),

$$v_{i} + y_{i} = y(t_{i}, A_{o}, \alpha_{o}, \dots, g_{o}) + \Delta A \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial A}\right)_{*}$$
$$+ \Delta \alpha \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{*} + \dots + \Delta g \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial g}\right)_{*}$$
(A11)

or

$$v_{i} = \Delta A \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} + \Delta \alpha \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{*} + \cdots + \Delta g \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial g} \right)_{*}$$
$$+ y(t_{i}, A_{o}, \alpha_{o}, \cdots, g_{o}) - y_{i}$$
(A12)

If we let  $\gamma_1 = y(t_1, A_0, \alpha_0, \ldots, g_0) - y_1$ , equation (A12) becomes

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}} = \Delta A \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial A} \right)_{\mathbf{x}} + \Delta \alpha \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{\mathbf{x}} + \dots + \Delta g \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial g} \right)_{\mathbf{x}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad \mathbf{i} = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(A13)

Equation (Al3) now represents a set of n residual equations which are linear in the corrections  $\triangle A$ ,  $\triangle \alpha$ ,  $\ldots$ ,  $\triangle g$  and can thus be solved for the corrections by a least squares technique.

The least squares criterion is that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i^2$  will be a minimum (ref. 7). This criterion will be satisfied when the first partials with respect to all

the unknowns, evaluated at the point  $(A_0,\alpha_0, \ldots, g_0)$ , are equal to zero. Thus for the partial with respect to  $\Delta A$ :

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta A} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial v_{i}^{2}}{\partial \Delta A}$$

Substituting equation (Al3) for  $v_i$  becomes

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta A} \left[ \Delta A \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} + \Delta \alpha \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{*} + \cdots + \Delta g \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial g} \right)_{*} + \gamma_{i} \right]^{2}$$

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} \Delta A + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{*} \Delta \alpha + \cdots \right] + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial g} \right)_{*} \Delta g + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} \gamma_{i} \right]$$

Distributing the summation and taking the constants  $\triangle A$ ,  $\triangle \alpha$ , . . ,  $\triangle g$  outside the summations gives

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial A}\right)_{*} \gamma_{i} = \mathcal{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial A}\right)_{*}^{2} + \Delta \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial A}\right)_{*} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{*} + \dots + \Delta g \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial A}\right)_{*} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial g}\right)_{*}$$
(A14)

Proceeding similarly for each of the other corrections  $\Delta \alpha$ ,  $\Delta p$ , . . ,  $\Delta g$  results in nine linear equations in the nine unknown corrections. Numerical values for the corrections can now be obtained by matrix techniques (ref. 8). If we arrange into an array the coefficients of the unknowns in the set of nine equations derived in the same manner as equation (Al4) we obtain the square matrix U of order 9:



or

We now define a column vector  $\,V\,$  as the left hand members of the nine equations

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial A}\right)_{*} \gamma_{i} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{*} \gamma_{i} \\ \cdots \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial g}\right)_{*} \gamma_{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial A} \right)_{*} \left[ y_{i} - y(t_{i}, A_{o}, \alpha_{o}, \dots, g_{o}) \right] \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{*} \left[ y_{i} - y(t_{i}, A_{o}, \alpha_{o}, \dots, g_{o}) \right] \\ \dots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial g} \right)_{*} \left[ y_{i} - y(t_{i}, A_{o}, \alpha_{o}, \dots, g_{o}) \right] \end{pmatrix}$$
(A16)

We now wish to determine the vector X such that UX = V. Jordon's method (ref. 9) is used to reduce U to I, the identity matrix, through a series of elementary transformations, which when applied to V result in X. The vector X now represents the corrections:

Since this result was obtained by a truncation of the Taylor series expansion in equation (All), X contains only approximate values for the corrections. We, therefore, form a new set of approximate values  $A_1$ ,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ ,  $g_1$  by adding the computed corrections to the initial estimates

$$\begin{array}{c} A_{1} = A_{0} + \Delta A \\ \alpha_{1} = \alpha_{0} + \Delta \alpha \\ \cdot \cdot \cdot \\ g_{1} = g_{0} + \Delta g \end{array} \right\}$$
 (A18)

For notational convenience we now let  $A_1$  be called  $A_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$  be called  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\cdots$ , and  $g_1$  be called  $g_0$ , and return to equation (A12). We now proceed as before to obtain an improved approximation to the true parameters A,  $\alpha$ ,  $\cdots$ , g. This process of iteration is repeated until there is no change (to the degree of accuracy obtainable from the input data) in the parameters A,  $\alpha$ ,  $\cdots$ , g in equation (A18) in two subsequent iterations.

By using the computer program based on this analysis, we have shown that this iterative process does converge rapidly under a specific range of conditions. The primary condition is that the initial estimate of the frequencies be close to the true values. The choice of first and last data points presented to the program is not critical because of the parameters included for phase angles. However, including data containing extraneous forces or vibrations, or data with nonstationary parameters within the time period used will definitely limit or negate convergence to meaningful values.

#### REFERENCES

- Robinson, Robert C.; Wilcox, Phillip R.; Gambucci, Bruno J.; and George, Robert E.: Dynamic Response of a Family of Axisymmetric Hammerhead Models to Unsteady Aerodynamic Loading. NASA TN D-4504, 1968.
- 2. Fêng, Yüan-Chên: An Introduction to the Theory of Aeroelasticity. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1955.
- AAHAN3 Harmonic Analysis and Fourier Transform, Fortran II Subroutine, SAP Produced, Cynthia T. Cannaday, June 1959. Westinghouse, Air Arm (AA). Share Library Distribution Number 750.
- 4. Bartlett, D. P.: General Principles of the Method of Least Squares. The Rumford Press, 1915.
- 5. Scarborough, J. B.: Numerical Mathematical Analysis. Fifth ed., The Johns Hopkins Press, 1962.
- 6. Shinbrot, Marvin: A Least Squares Curve Fitting Method With Applications to the Calculation of Stability Coefficients From Transient-Response Data. NACA TN 2341, 1951.
- 7. Olmsted, J. M.: Advanced Calculus. Appleton Century Crofts, 1961.
- 8. Bodewig, E.: Matrix Calculus. N. Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1959.
- 9. ANF402 Matrix Inversion With Accompanying Solutions of Linear Equations. Share Library Distribution Number 664.

5

ł

### TABLE I.- COMPARISON OF RESULTS FOR ONE-DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM TRANSIENTS

Transient	Method	Frequency (p/2π) Hz	Damping ratio (ζ=-α/p)	Amplitude (A)	Phase angle (f) radians	Zero offset (C)	Normalized standard deviation (SD) (%)
A	Peak amplitude Amplitude response Least squares	16.6 16.65 16.66	0.0018 .0048 .00168	1.9801	0.0761	-0.0044	0.20
В	Peak amplitude Amplitude response Least squares	17.3 17.70 17.67	.0088 .0129 .00935	<b>.</b> 8634	0069	•0138	•78
С	Peak amplitude Amplitude response Least squares	19.8 20.30 20.26	.0165 .0217 .01615	-1.715	•0428	.0257	1.42

TABLE II. - COMPARISON OF RESULTS FOR TWO-DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM TRANSIENTS

	Method	Frequency		Damping ratio		Amplitude		Phase angle		Zero	Normalized
Transient		Curve l (p/2π) Hz	Curve 2 (q/2π) Hz	Curve l (ζ <sub>l</sub> =-α/p)	Curve 2 (ζ <sub>2</sub> =-β/q)	Curve l (A)	Curve 2 (B)	Curve l (f) radians	Curve 2 (g) radians	offset (C)	deviation (SD)
D	Peak amplitude	1			<b></b> -					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Amplitude response	25.50	32.45	0.0088	0.0157	I					
	Least squares	25.46	32.28	•00743	.02097	1.0161	1.3805	-0.8603	0.3161	-0.0147	1.98
E	Peak amplitude										
	Amplitude response	21.70	23•55	•0235							
1	Least squares	21.66	24.45	.02769	.01546	-1.9502	4288	.0017	2.499	.0009	1.29
F	Peak amplitude										
	Amplitude response	45.20	102.20	.0149	.0069						
	Least squares	45.05	102.10	•01114	. 00565	.6614	-1.7834	•4784	.0429	0141	1.57



Figure 1.- An amplitude response curve.



Cycles (linear)

Figure 2.- Plot of peak amplitudes.

18

i.



Figure 3.- Transients used in the one-degree-of-freedom analysis.



Figure 4.- Peak amplitude of transients used in single-degree-of-freedom analysis.



ļ

÷----

Figure 5.- Amplitude response of transients used in single-degree-offreedom analysis.









Figure 6.- Results of the transients as calculated from the LSM.



--- -

Figure 7.- Transients used in the two-degree-of-freedom analysis.



Figure 8.- Peak amplitude of transients used in the two-degree-of-freedom analysis.



-

Figure 9.- Amplitude response of transients used in two-degree-of-freedom analysis.



Figure 10.- Results of transient D as calculated from the LSM.



ļ

Figure 11.- Results of transient E as calculated from the LSM.



Figure 12.- Results of transient F as calculated from the LSM.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20546 POSTAGE AND FEES PAID NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

FIRST CLASS MAIL

rUSIMASTER: If Undeliverable (Section 158 Postal Manual) Do Not Return

"The aeronautical and space activities of the United States shall be conducted so as to contribute . . . to the expansion of human knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space. The Administration shall provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof."

--- NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ACT OF 1958

# NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

TECHNICAL REPORTS: Scientific and technical information considered important, complete, and a lasting contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL NOTES: Information less broad in scope but nevertheless of importance as a contribution to existing knowledge.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS: Information receiving limited distribution because of preliminary data, security classification, or other reasons.

CONTRACTOR REPORTS: Scientific and technical information generated under a NASA contract or grant and considered an important contribution to existing knowledge. TECHNICAL TRANSLATIONS: Information published in a foreign language considered to merit NASA distribution in English.

SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS: Information derived from or of value to NASA activities. Publications include conference proceedings, monographs, data compilations, handbooks, sourcebooks, and special bibliographies.

TECHNOLOGY UTILIZATION

PUBLICATIONS: Information on technology used by NASA that may be of particular interest in commercial and other non-aerospace applications. Publications include Tech Briefs, Technology Utilization Reports and Notes, and Technology Surveys.

Details on the availability of these publications may be obtained from:

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C. 20546