


NASA TECHNICAL NOTE



NASA TN D-5686

c. 1

NASA TN D-5686



**LOAN COPY: RETURN TO
AFWL (WL0L)
KIRTLAND AFB, N MEX**

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF THE AERODYNAMIC PRESSURES ON THE APOLLO LAUNCH ESCAPE VEHICLE CONFIGURATION

by William C. Moseley, Jr., and B. J. Wells

Manned Spacecraft Center

Houston, Texas



0132549

| | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. REPORT NO. NASA TN D-5686 | 2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO. | 3. RECIPIENT'S CAT | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF THE AERODYNAMIC PRESSURES ON THE APOLLO LAUNCH ESCAPE VEHICLE CONFIGURATION | | 5. REPORT DATE April 1970 | 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE |
| 7. AUTHOR(S) William C. Moseley, Jr., MSC, and B. J. Wells, Federal Electric Corp. | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO. MSC S-230 | |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Manned Spacecraft Center Houston, Texas 77058 | | 10. WORK UNIT NO. 914-50-10-03-72 | 11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. |
| 12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, D. C. 20546 | | 13. REPORT TYPE AND PERIOD COVERED Technical Note | |
| 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | |
| 16. ABSTRACT A program of wind-tunnel tests was conducted at Mach numbers from 0.4 to 9.08 to determine the pressure distribution on the Apollo launch escape vehicle. Data are presented for the angle-of-attack range from 0° to 136°. The data are plotted as the pressure coefficient versus the physical position of the pressure orifice to show some effects of the flow separator, of the escape-tower length, and of the angle of attack on the pressure distribution over the command module in the presence of the escape tower. | | | |
| 17. KEY WORDS (SUPPLIED BY AUTHOR) Aerodynamic Characteristics Apollo Launch Escape Vehicle Wind Tunnel Manned Spacecraft Command Module Pressure Coefficient Scale Model | | 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited | |
| 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (THIS REPORT) None | 20. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (THIS PAGE) None | 21. NO. OF PAGES 71 | 22. PRICE * \$3.00 |

CONTENTS

| Section | Page |
|--|------|
| SUMMARY | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| SYMBOLS | 2 |
| MODELS AND TEST TECHNIQUES | 3 |
| FACILITIES | 4 |
| TEST CONDITIONS AND ACCURACY | 4 |
| SUMMARY OF RESULTS | 4 |
| REFERENCES | 5 |

TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| I | MODEL FULL-SCALE DIMENSIONS | |
| | (a) Command module | 6 |
| | (b) Tower structure | 6 |
| | (c) Escape motor | 6 |
| II | TEST CONDITIONS | 7 |
| III | TEST FACILITIES | 8 |
| IV | PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS OF THE ESCAPE ROCKET | |
| | (a) Configuration E ₅₄ | 9 |
| | (b) Configuration E ₅₃ | 9 |

FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1 | Sketch showing system of body axes | 10 |
| 2 | Test models | |
| | (a) Escape rocket E ₁₀ | 10 |
| | (b) Escape rocket E ₅₃ | 11 |
| | (c) Escape rocket E ₅₄ | 11 |
| | (d) Escape-tower structure T ₇ | 12 |
| | (e) Escape-tower structure T ₈ | 12 |
| | (f) Escape-tower structure T ₁₄ | 13 |
| | (g) Command modules | 13 |
| 3 | Pressure-orifice locations | |
| | (a) Configuration C 0.02-scale pressure model | 14 |
| | (b) Configuration C ₂ 0.045-scale pressure model | 15 |
| | (c) Escape-rocket motor | 16 |

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| 4 | Effect of flow separator on C_p with increasing Mach number at $\lambda = 0^\circ$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0.16° to 0.39° | 17 |
| | (b) α range is from 4.17° to 5.30° | 18 |
| | (c) α range is from 9.04° to 10.15° | 19 |
| | (d) α range is from 14.71° to 15.45° | 20 |
| | (e) α range is from 19.75° to 24.90° | 21 |
| 5 | Effect of tower length on C_p at $\lambda = 0^\circ$ when $M = 1.48$ and the α range is from 0° to 90° | 22 |
| 6 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.4$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 23 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 60° | 24 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 136° | 25 |
| 7 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.7$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 26 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 60° | 27 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 136° | 28 |
| 8 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.9$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 29 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 60° | 30 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 136° | 31 |
| 9 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.95$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 32 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 60° | 33 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 136° | 34 |

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 10 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.05$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 35 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 60° | 36 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 136° | 37 |
| 11 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.20$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 38 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 60° | 39 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 136° | 40 |
| 12 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.34$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 41 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 60° | 42 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 136° | 43 |
| 13 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.48$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 44 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 61° | 45 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 90° | 46 |
| 14 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 2.01$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 47 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 61° | 48 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 90° | 49 |
| 15 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 3.01$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 50 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 61° | 51 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 90° | 52 |

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 16 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 3.99$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 53 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 61° | 54 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 90° | 55 |
| 17 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 5.01$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 56 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 61° | 57 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 90° | 58 |
| 18 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 7.35$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 59 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 61° | 60 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 90° | 61 |
| 19 | Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 9.08$ | |
| | (a) α range is from 0° to 15° | 62 |
| | (b) α range is from 20° to 61° | 63 |
| | (c) α range is from 80° to 90° | 64 |

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF THE AERODYNAMIC PRESSURES ON THE APOLLO LAUNCH ESCAPE VEHICLE CONFIGURATION

By William C. Moseley, Jr., and B. J. Wells *
Manned Spacecraft Center

SUMMARY

Wind-tunnel tests were conducted to determine the effects of the tower length and the flow separator on the pressure distribution over the Apollo launch escape vehicle configuration at Mach numbers from 0.4 to 9.08 and at an angle-of-attack range from 0° to 136°. The data are presented as the pressure coefficient versus the physical position of the pressure orifice.

From the data, aerodynamic loads on the Apollo launch escape vehicle can be determined by the analysis of the pressure distribution over the surface of the command module.

INTRODUCTION

In late 1959, personnel from several NASA Centers recommended a circumlunar flight and an earth-orbiting laboratory program to be called the Apollo Program. The program was initiated and assigned to the NASA Space Task Group. On May 25, 1961, the Apollo Program was reoriented to achieve a manned lunar landing, as part of the continuing program of space exploration following Project Mercury and the Gemini Program.

In the formulation of the design criteria, the guidelines established by NASA personnel were developed around certain stipulations. With these stipulations and with the aerodynamic limitations, many possible types of configurations were considered. The basic configuration chosen for development was the one that was determined to be most practical for the development of the state of the art at that time.

The launch escape vehicle (LEV) provides an escape capability in the event of a malfunction of the booster or spacecraft (refs. 1 and 2). The LEV is used only during the atmospheric portion of the ascent trajectory and is composed of an escape rocket, the escape tower, and the command module (CM). After the atmospheric portion of the ascent trajectory is completed, the escape tower and rocket are jettisoned.

*ITT Federal Electric Corp.

The basic design of the Apollo spacecraft had to be evaluated thoroughly. One method used to evaluate the basic design was the Apollo wind-tunnel testing program (AWTTP), which is discussed more thoroughly in reference 3. Some of the early wind-tunnel studies that were used to support and verify the design are reported in references 4 to 7.

The AWTTP incorporated tests that were designed to gather data necessary for the study of atmospheric abort situations involving the LEV from the launch pad through atmospheric flight. Also, investigations were made to determine the aerodynamic pressures on the LEV configuration. From these tests, the load distribution was determined and was used to define the criteria for the structural design of the vehicle.

The purposes of this paper are to present the pressure distributions on the LEV and to indicate the effects of the tower length and the flow separator on the pressure distribution. Pressure distribution data will be presented with minimal analysis and discussion because the static and dynamic stability characteristics of the LEV configurations have been discussed in references 1 and 2.

Pressure coefficients have been determined at Mach numbers from 0.4 to 9.08 and at an angle-of-attack range from 0° to 136°. The data are presented in plotted form as the pressure coefficient versus the physical position of the pressure orifice.

SYMBOLS

Some of the symbols defined in this section are illustrated in figure 1, where the positive directions of the body axes system are also shown.

C_p pressure coefficient, $\frac{P_x - P_\infty}{q_\infty}$

D maximum diameter of CM (154 in., full scale)

M Mach number

P_x orifice pressure

P_∞ free-stream static pressure

q_∞ free-stream dynamic pressure, $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\rho V^2$

R radius of CM corners, in.

R_A radius of CM apex, in.

| | |
|-----------|--|
| R_C | radius of CM corner, in. |
| R_O | radius of CM aft heat shield, in. |
| Re | Reynolds number, $\frac{\rho}{\mu}VD$ (based on maximum diameter of CM) |
| r | radius of CM at maximum diameter, in. |
| s | distance to orifice from center of launch face (CM apex) of the model measured along the surface of the model, in. |
| V | velocity |
| X, Y, Z | body reference axes |
| α | angle of attack in the X-Z plane, deg |
| λ | angle of instrumentation plane relative to pitch plane, deg |
| μ | coefficient of viscosity of the fluid |
| ρ | air density |

MODELS AND TEST TECHNIQUES

The model nomenclature and full-scale dimensions are presented in table I. The 0.045- and the 0.02-scale static pressure models were tested. The test conditions are presented in table II.

The body axes system is presented in figure 1, and sketches of the test models are presented in figure 2. The basic rocket configurations are shown in figures 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c); the shorter rocket shown in figure 2(a) was tested early in the AWTTP. The longer rocket (figs. 2(b) and 2(c)) represents a later configuration as the design developed. The escape-rocket towers shown in figures 2(d) and 2(e) represent early configurations before the nominal 120-inch length was selected (fig 2(f)). The tower bracing was modified later to the hour-glass configuration to preclude escape-rocket impingement on the upper portion of the tower. The apex radius of the basic CM design, configuration C, was decreased for the final CM design, configuration C_2 (fig. 2(g)).

Sketches of the models which define the pressure-orifice location that were used in the different wind tunnels are shown in figure 3. These static pressure orifices are located over the surface of the Apollo CM. The physical position of the individual orifice is indicated by the ratio of s/r and λ (fig. 3) for the various models tested.

Each orifice is connected to a calibrated transducer and a known reference pressure is used. The data are presented as pressure coefficients and are defined by

$$C_p = \frac{P_x - P_\infty}{q_\infty}.$$

FACILITIES

The broad range of expected flight conditions (M , Re , and α) and the limitations of any single wind tunnel to simulate all these conditions required the use of a number of test facilities. The wind-tunnel test facilities used to obtain pressure distributions of the LEV configuration, along with the tunnel size and capability, are listed in table III.

TEST CONDITIONS AND ACCURACY

The test conditions for each facility are given in table II.

In the North American Aviation 7- by 7-Foot Trisonic Wind Tunnel (NAA-TWT), the C_p was repeated to within ± 0.012 , based on transducer sensitivities and the repeatability of the data system. The pressure measuring accuracy of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory 20-Inch Supersonic Wind Tunnel (JPL-20SWT) and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory 21-Inch Hypersonic Wind Tunnel (JPL-21HWT) is ± 0.25 percent of the full-scale transducer capability or approximately $\pm 0.008 C_p$.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The stability and dynamic characteristics of the Apollo LEV configuration (with the power on and off) were investigated; the results are presented in reference 1. The results of a configuration investigation during the development of the LEV configuration are presented in reference 2.

The pressure-coefficient data presented as plotted data in this paper represent measurements over the CM in the presence of the escape tower and rocket. Limited data were determined for orifice locations on the escape rocket. These data are presented in table IV for Mach numbers of 0.4, 1.05, and 1.34. The orifice locations for the escape-rocket measurements are defined in figure 3(c). Limited measurements were made both with and without the flow separator.

Effects of the flow separator on the CM pressure distribution are shown in figure 4. Data are given for $\lambda = 0^\circ$ only. Data for the same data plane are given in figure 5 to show some of the effects that varying the escape-tower length has on the pressure distribution. Data for nominal tower lengths of 85 and 119 inches are presented.

The data presented in figures 6 to 19 are for the nominal tower length of 120 inches. Although the tower bracing was modified later to the hour-glass spacing to preclude escape-rocket impingement at the upper braces of the tower, the data presented are considered indicative of the final flight configuration. These figures present data for the three data planes ($\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$) at angles of attack from 0° to 136° and at Mach numbers from 0.4 to 9.08.

Manned Spacecraft Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Houston, Texas, December 22, 1969
914-50-10-03-72

REFERENCES

1. Moseley, William C., Jr.; and Hondros, James G.: Aerodynamic Stability Characteristics of the Apollo Launch Escape Vehicle. NASA TN D-3964, 1967.
2. Moseley, William C., Jr.; and Bowen, Francis Evans: Aerodynamic Characteristics Determined During Development of the Apollo Launch Escape Vehicle Configuration. NASA TN D-5208, 1969.
3. Moseley, William C., Jr.; and Martino, Joseph C.: Apollo Wind Tunnel Testing Program — Historical Development of General Configurations. NASA TN D-3748, 1967.
4. Morgan, James R.; and Fournier, Roger H.: Static Longitudinal Characteristics of a 0.07-Scale Model of a Proposed Apollo Spacecraft at Mach Numbers of 1.57 to 4.65. NASA TM X-603, 1961.
5. Pearson, Albin O.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Static Longitudinal Aerodynamic Characteristics of Models of Reentry and Atmospheric-Abort Configurations of a Proposed Apollo Spacecraft at Mach Numbers from 0.30 to 1.20. NASA TM X-604, 1961.
6. Pearson, Albin O.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Static Longitudinal Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Modified Model of a Proposed Apollo Atmospheric-Abort Configuration at Mach Numbers from 0.30 to 1.20. NASA TM X-686, 1962.
7. Fournier, Roger H.; and Corlett, William A.: Aerodynamic Characteristics in Pitch of Several Models of the Apollo Abort System from Mach 1.57 to 2.16. NASA TM X-910, 1964.

TABLE I. - MODEL FULL-SCALE DIMENSIONS

(a) Command module

| Parameters | Command module | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| | C | C ₂ |
| Maximum diameter, in. | 154.0 | 154.0 |
| Radius of spherical blunt end, in. | 184.8 | 184.8 |
| Corner radius, in. | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| Nose-cone semiangle, deg | 33 | 33 |
| Nose-cone vertex radius, in. | 15.4 | 9.19 |

(b) Tower structure

| Parameters | Tower structure | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | T ₇ | T ₈ ^a | T ₁₄ ^b |
| Total length, in. | 119.0 | 82.75 | 114.62 |
| Number of longitudinal members | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Diameter of longitudinal members, in. | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.51 |
| Diameter of cross braces, in. | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.51 |
| Distance between attach points at CM | | | |
| Plan view, in. | 52.0 | 52.0 | 50.67 |
| Side view, in. | 52.0 | 52.0 | 46.84 |
| Distance between attach points at escape motor, in. | 12.0 | 30.0 | 36.06 |

^aTower structure T₈ has a stiffener gusset at the base of the tower; the height of the stiffener plate is 18 inches.

^bTower structure T₁₄ has stiffener gussets at the base and the top of the tower; the height of the stiffener plate at the base is 4.44 inches.

(c) Escape motor

| Parameters | Escape motor | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | E ₁₀ | E ₅₃ ^a | E ₅₄ ^b |
| Total length (including jettison motor), in. | 226.9 | 279.67 | 279.67 |
| Length of jettison motor, in. | 48.0 | 48.00 | 48.00 |
| Diameter of escape and jettison motor, in. | 26.0 | 26.00 | 26.00 |
| Nose-included angle, deg | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Diameter of escape-rocket base, in. | 47.0 | 54.60 | 54.60 |
| Skirt flare angle, deg: min | 30 | 36.55 | 34 |

^aThe skirt of model E₅₃ has a 28.89-inch-diameter ring installed at the interface of the skirt and the rocket.

^bModel E₅₄ has a 65.0-inch-diameter disk located 18 inches forward of the rocket base with a 51.07-inch-diameter fairing extending aft from the disk to the intersection of the flared skirt.

TABLE II. - TEST CONDITIONS

| Figure no. | Facility | Configuration | Scale, percent | Mach no. | Re $\times 10^{-6}$ |
|------------|------------------------|---|-------------------|----------|---------------------|
| 4 | NAA-TWT ^a | E _{53/54} T ₁₄ C ₂ | 0.045 | 0.4 | 0.87 |
| | | | | 1.05 | 1.01 |
| | | | | 1.34 | 1.09 |
| 5 | JPL-20SWT ^b | E ₁₀ T _{7/8} C | .02 | 1.48 | 1.68 |
| 6 | NAA-TWT | E ₅₃ T ₁₄ C ₂ | .045 | .4 | .87 |
| 7 | NAA-TWT | E ₅₃ T ₁₄ C ₂ | .045 | .7 | .91 |
| 8 | NAA-TWT | E ₅₃ T ₁₄ C ₂ | .045 | .9 | .96 |
| 9 | NAA-TWT | E ₅₃ T ₁₄ C ₂ | .045 | .95 | .98 |
| 10 | NAA-TWT | E ₅₃ T ₁₄ C ₂ | .045 | 1.05 | 1.01 |
| 11 | NAA-TWT | E ₅₃ T ₁₄ C ₂ | .045 | 1.20 | 1.06 |
| 12 | NAA-TWT | E ₅₃ T ₁₄ C ₂ | .045 | 1.34 | 1.099 |
| 13 | JPL-20SWT | E ₁₀ T ₇ C | .02 | 1.48 | 1.68 |
| 14 | JPL-20SWT | E ₁₀ T ₇ C | .02 | 2.01 | 1.71 |
| 15 | JPL-20SWT | E ₁₀ T ₇ C | .02 | 3.01 | .98 |
| 16 | JPL-20SWT | E ₁₀ T ₇ C | .02 | 3.99 | .75 |
| 17 | JPL-20SWT | E ₁₀ T ₇ C | .02 | 5.01 | .76 |
| 18 | JPL-21HWT ^c | E ₁₀ T ₇ C | .02 | 7.35 | .748 |
| 19 | JPL-21HWT | E ₁₀ T ₇ C | .02 | 9.08 | .456 |

^aNorth America Aviation-Trisomic Wind Tunnel.

^bJet Propulsion Laboratory 20-inch Supersonic Wind Tunnel.

^cJet Propulsion Laboratory 21-inch Hypersonic Wind Tunnel.

TABLE III. - TEST FACILITIES

| Facility | Size of test section | Mach no. range | Re range $\times 10^{-6}/ft$ |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Continuous tunnels | | | |
| JPL-20SWT | 18 by 20 in. | 1.3 to 5 | 0.4 to 6 |
| JPL-21HWT | 21 by 15 to 28 in. | 5 to 9.5 | .25 to 36 |
| Intermittent tunnel | | | |
| NAA-TWT | 7 ft ² | 0.2 to 3.5 | 5 to 14 |

TABLE IV.- PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS OF THE ESCAPE ROCKET

(a) Configuration E₅₄

| α , deg | Mach no. | Pressure coefficients | | | | | Orifice 6 |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Orifice 2 | Orifice 3 | Orifice 4 | Orifice 5 | Orifice 6 | |
| 0 | 0.4 | 0.5982 | -0.1121 | -0.1321 | -0.1567 | 0.4761 | |
| 5 | .4 | .6978 | -.2167 | -.1597 | -.1603 | .4682 | |
| 10 | .4 | .9374 | -.3158 | -.2979 | -.2807 | .4692 | |
| 15 | .4 | 1.0064 | -.4402 | -.4820 | -.3728 | .8610 | |
| 20 | .4 | .9708 | -.4937 | -.5352 | -.4249 | .7484 | |
| 0 | 1.05 | .7042 | .0303 | .0250 | .0175 | .6579 | |
| 5 | 1.05 | .9330 | -.0089 | .0441 | -.0024 | .5825 | |
| 10 | 1.05 | .9168 | -.0071 | .0392 | -.0037 | .5718 | |
| 20 | 1.05 | 1.1970 | -.1997 | -.2265 | -.1611 | 1.0006 | |
| 0 | 1.34 | .5962 | .1875 | .2366 | .1888 | .4827 | |
| 5 | 1.34 | 1.0514 | .1138 | .2019 | .1158 | .5311 | |
| 10 | 1.34 | 1.4811 | .0679 | .0843 | .0625 | .9251 | |
| 15 | 1.34 | 1.4762 | -.0127 | -.0449 | .0229 | 1.1442 | |
| 20 | 1.34 | 1.4091 | -.0514 | -.0651 | -.0306 | 1.1402 | |

(b) Configuration E₅₃

| α , deg | Mach no. | Pressure coefficients | | | | | Orifice 10 |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | | Orifice 8 | Orifice 7 | Orifice 4 | Orifice 9 | Orifice 10 | |
| 0 | 0.4 | 0.5446 | 0.5804 | -0.0012 | 0.5506 | 0.5242 | |
| 5 | .4 | .6105 | .6312 | -.1001 | .4950 | .4535 | |
| 10 | .4 | .5880 | .6062 | -.1793 | .4127 | .3709 | |
| 15 | .4 | .5956 | .6249 | -.2465 | .3219 | .3568 | |
| 20 | .4 | .5652 | .5762 | -.2794 | .2605 | .2867 | |
| 0 | 1.05 | .7187 | .7840 | .2126 | .7699 | .7036 | |
| 5 | 1.05 | .8603 | .8806 | .1869 | .6642 | .5631 | |
| 10 | 1.05 | .8519 | .8785 | .0839 | .6405 | .6364 | |
| 20 | 1.05 | .8184 | .8435 | .0029 | .4859 | .5026 | |
| 0 | 1.34 | .6157 | .7545 | .3315 | .7344 | .5557 | |
| 5 | 1.34 | 1.2298 | 1.2571 | .3043 | .4755 | .5311 | |
| 10 | 1.34 | 1.1411 | 1.1686 | .2454 | .6531 | .7182 | |
| 15 | 1.34 | 1.0631 | 1.0905 | .1304 | .7280 | .7859 | |
| 20 | 1.34 | 1.0148 | 1.0435 | .0949 | .6985 | .7507 | |






Figure 1.- Sketch showing system of body axes. Arrows indicate positive directions.




Full scale dimensions in inches
Drawing not to scale

(a) Escape rocket E₁₀.

Figure 2. - Test models.




(b) Escape rocket E₅₃.




(c) Escape rocket E₅₄.

Figure 2. - Continued.




(d) Escape-tower structure T_7 .



Full-scale dimensions in inches
Drawing not to scale


(e) Escape-tower structure T_8 .

Figure 2. - Continued.



Full-scale dimensions in inches
Drawing not to scale


(f) Escape-tower structure T₁₄.



| Command module | R _A , in. | R _C , in. | R _O , in. |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| C ₁ | 15.4 | 7.7 | 184.8 |
| C ₂ | 9.152 | 7.7 | 184.8 |

(g) Command modules.


Figure 2. - Concluded.



| Model orifice no. | λ , deg | Apex forward, s/r | Model orifice no. | λ , deg | Apex forward, s/r |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 0 | 0.000 | 42 | 45 | -0.497 |
| 190 | 0 | -.064 | 452 | 45 | -1.046 |
| 20 | 0 | -.118 | 52 | 45 | -1.155 |
| 250 | 0 | -.208 | 552 | 45 | -1.372 |
| 30 | 0 | -.289 | 62 | 45 | -1.589 |
| 40 | 0 | -.497 | 72 | 45 | -1.742 |
| 450 | 0 | -.936 | 82 | 45 | -1.797 |
| 50 | 0 | -1.155 | 92 | 45 | -1.854 |
| 550 | 0 | -1.372 | 102 | 45 | -1.918 |
| 60 | 0 | -1.589 | 112 | 45 | -2.085 |
| 70 | 0 | -1.742 | 122 | 45 | -2.354 |
| 80 | 0 | -1.797 | 140 | 45 | 2.886 |
| 90 | 0 | -1.854 | 126 | 45 | 2.354 |
| 100 | 0 | -1.918 | 116 | 45 | 2.085 |
| 1030 | 0 | -1.979 | 106 | 45 | 1.918 |
| 1060 | 0 | -2.034 | 96 | 45 | 1.854 |
| 110 | 0 | -2.085 | 86 | 45 | 1.797 |
| 120 | 0 | -2.354 | 76 | 45 | 1.742 |
| 130 | 0 | -2.626 | 66 | 45 | 1.589 |
| 142 | 0 | 2.886 | 552 | 45 | 1.372 |
| 139 | 0 | 2.626 | 56 | 45 | 1.155 |
| 129 | 0 | 2.354 | 456 | 45 | .936 |
| 1159 | 0 | 2.143 | 46 | 45 | .497 |
| 119 | 0 | 2.085 | 36 | 45 | .289 |
| 1069 | 0 | 2.034 | 256 | 45 | .208 |
| 1039 | 0 | 1.979 | 26 | 45 | -.118 |
| 109 | 0 | 1.918 | 1 | 90 | .000 |
| 99 | 0 | 1.854 | 194 | 90 | .064 |
| 89 | 0 | 1.797 | 24 | 90 | -.118 |
| 79 | 0 | 1.742 | 254 | 90 | -.208 |
| 69 | 0 | 1.589 | 34 | 90 | -.289 |
| 559 | 0 | 1.372 | 44 | 90 | .497 |
| 59 | 0 | 1.155 | 454 | 90 | .936 |
| 459 | 0 | .936 | 54 | 90 | 1.155 |
| 49 | 0 | .497 | 554 | 90 | 1.372 |
| 39 | 0 | .289 | 64 | 90 | 1.589 |
| 259 | 0 | .208 | 74 | 90 | 1.742 |
| 29 | 0 | .118 | 84 | 90 | 1.797 |
| 199 | 0 | .064 | 94 | 90 | 1.854 |
| 1 | 45 | -.000 | 104 | 90 | 1.918 |
| 22 | 45 | -.118 | 114 | 90 | 2.085 |
| 252 | 45 | -.208 | 124 | 90 | 2.354 |
| 32 | 45 | -.289 | 140 | 90 | 2.886 |

(a) Configuration C 0.02-scale pressure model. Apex forward: positive s in positive Z-direction.


Figure 3. - Pressure-orifice locations.



| Model orifice no. | λ , deg | Apex forward, s/r | Model orifice no. | λ , deg | Apex forward, s/r |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 99 | 0 | 0.000 | 94 | 0 | 0.686 |
| 16 | 0 | -.253 | 95 | 0 | .470 |
| 15 | 0 | -.470 | 96 | 0 | .253 |
| 14 | 0 | -.686 | 99 | 45 | .000 |
| 13 | 0 | -.902 | 35 | 45 | -.470 |
| 12 | 0 | -1.118 | 32 | 45 | -1.118 |
| 11 | 0 | -1.334 | 30 | 45 | -1.550 |
| 10 | 0 | -1.550 | 29 | 45 | -1.765 |
| 9 | 0 | -1.765 | 27 | 45 | -2.052 |
| 8 | 0 | -1.914 | 25 | 45 | -2.322 |
| 7 | 0 | -2.052 | 23 | 45 | -2.586 |
| 6 | 0 | -2.118 | 1 | 45 | 2.846 |
| 5 | 0 | -2.322 | 63 | 45 | 2.586 |
| 4 | 0 | -2.455 | 65 | 45 | 2.322 |
| 3 | 0 | -2.586 | 67 | 45 | 2.052 |
| 2 | 0 | -2.716 | 69 | 45 | 1.765 |
| 1 | 0 | 2.846 | 70 | 45 | 1.550 |
| 82 | 0 | 2.716 | 72 | 45 | 1.118 |
| 83 | 0 | 2.586 | 75 | 45 | .470 |
| 84 | 0 | 2.455 | 99 | 90 | .000 |
| 85 | 0 | 2.322 | 55 | 90 | .470 |
| 86 | 0 | 2.188 | 52 | 90 | 1.118 |
| 87 | 0 | 2.052 | 50 | 90 | 1.550 |
| 88 | 0 | 1.914 | 49 | 90 | 1.765 |
| 89 | 0 | 1.765 | 47 | 90 | 2.052 |
| 90 | 0 | 1.550 | 45 | 90 | 2.322 |
| 91 | 0 | 1.334 | 43 | 90 | 2.586 |
| 92 | 0 | 1.118 | 1 | 90 | 2.846 |
| 93 | 0 | .902 | | | |

(b) Configuration C₂ 0.045-scale pressure model. Apex forward: positive s in positive Z-direction.


Figure 3. - Continued.



Note: E_{54} configuration taps 2, 3, 6, and 5
 become taps 8, 7, 10, and 9 in the E_{53} configuration.
 Taps 8 and 10 are on the left side of the rocket.


(c) Escape-rocket motor.

Figure 3. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0.16° to 0.39° .

Figure 4. - Effect of flow separator on C_p with increasing Mach number at $\lambda = 0^\circ$.
Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 4.17° to 5.30° .

Figure 4. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 9.04° to 10.15° .

Figure 4. - Continued.



(d) α range is from 14.71° to 15.45° .

Figure 4. - Continued.



(e) α range is from 19.75° to 24.90°.

Figure 4. - Concluded.






Figure 5. - Effect of tower length on C_p at $\lambda = 0^\circ$ when $M = 1.48$ and the α range is from 0° to 90° . Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 6. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.4$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 60° .

Figure 6. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 136° .

Figure 6. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 7. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.7$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 60°.

Figure 7. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 136° .

Figure 7. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 8. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.9$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 60°.

Figure 8. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 136° .

Figure 8. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 9. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 0.95$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 60° .

Figure 9. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 136° .

Figure 9. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 10. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.05$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 60° .

Figure 10. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 136° .

Figure 10. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 11. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.20$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 60°.

Figure 11. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 136° .

Figure 11. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 12. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.34$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 60° .

Figure 12. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 136° .

Figure 12. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 13. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 1.48$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 61°.

Figure 13. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 90° .

Figure 13. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 14. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 2.01$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 61° .

Figure 14. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 90° .

Figure 14. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 15. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 3.01$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 61° .

Figure 15. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 90° .

Figure 15. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 16. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 3.99$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 61° .

Figure 16. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 90° .

Figure 16. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 17. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 5.01$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 61° .

Figure 17. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 90° .

Figure 17. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 18. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 7.35$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.




(b) α range is from 20° to 61° .

Figure 18. - Continued.




(c) α range is from 80° to 90° .

Figure 18. - Concluded.




(a) α range is from 0° to 15° .

Figure 19. - Variation of C_p with increasing α at $\lambda = 0^\circ$, $\lambda = 45^\circ$, and $\lambda = 90^\circ$ at $M = 9.08$. Data are for the command module only, in the presence of the escape tower and the rocket.



(b) α range is from 20° to 61° .

Figure 19. - Continued.



(c) α range is from 80° to 90° .

Figure 19. - Concluded.