NASA TECHNICAL NOTE

NASA TN D-6323



NASA TN D-6323



AFWL DOGL KIRTLAND AFB. N. M

LARGE-SCALE WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF A DUCTED-FAN – DEFLECTED-SLIPSTREAM MODEL WITH AN AUXILIARY WING

by Michael D. Falarski and Kenneth W. Mort

Ames Research Center and U.S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory Moffett Field, Calif. 94035

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION . WASHINGTON



TECH LIBRARY KAFB, NM



				N1.3309c	
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession	No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.	
A Title and Subtitle			E Bonert Date		
LARGE-SCALE WIND-TUNNEL IN	VESTIGATION OF A	DUCTED-FAN -	5. Report Date		
DEFLECTED-SLIPSTREAM MODEL	WITH AN AUXILIAN	RY WING	6. Performing Organiz	ation Code	
7. Author(s)	<u></u>		8. Performing Organiz	ation Report No	
Michael D. Felerchi and Kenneth W. M	last		A-3670		
Michael D. Falarski and Kenneth W. W.	lon		10 Work Linit No		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
NASA Ames Research Center			/21-01-11-02-0	0-21	
and			11. Contract or Grant	No.	
U.S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laborat Moffett Field Calif 94035	ory				
Monett Field, Call. 94055			13. Type of Report and Period Covered		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical Note		
Washington D C 20546	nstration	-	14. Sponsoring Agency	Code	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
15. Supplementary Notes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		···		
16. Abstract					
The longitudinal aerodynamic	characteristics of a ser	nispan wing deflecte	d-slipstream_configu	uration with a	
double-slotted flap and an auxiliary wi	ng were determined. The	model was powered by	two low-pressure-ration	tio ducted fans.	
A comparison of static test results wi	th results obtained from	various propeller-driv	en configurations ind	licates that the	
turning effectiveness of fan-powered	deflected-slipstream co	onfigurations can be	correlated with pro	opeller-powered	
configurations with the same flap-chor	d to slipstream-diameter	ratio. The turning effe	ectiveness of the aux	iliary wing was	
essentially the same as would be produced by a conventional slotted flap system with the same flap-chord to slipstream-diameter ratio. The auviliary wing reduced the thrust required at low speeds as would be expected due to the					
increase in lifting surface area.					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))	18	3. Distribution Statement			
Deflected elimeters					
Externally blown flap		Unclassi	ified – Unlimited		
		C nortusar			
	1 ·····				
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif, (of th	his page)	21. No. of Pages	22. Price*	
Unclassified	Unclassif	fied	. 50	\$3.00	

Γ

_ - -----

*For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

_

·

ł

NOTATION

	•		
AK	wing	aspect	ratio

c wing chord, ft

_ _ _ _

5

 c_f flap chord, maximum projected length parallel to wing chord at $\delta_f = 0$, ft

 C_D drag coefficient, D/qS, wind axis

- C_L lift coefficient, L/qS, wind axis
- C_m pitching-moment coefficient, M/qSc, wind axis
- C_T thrust coefficient, $T/\rho n^2 d^4$
- d fan diameter, ft
- D drag, lb
- D_s slipstream diameter, ducted fan exit diameter or propeller diameter $/\sqrt{2}$, ft (for rectangular ducts it is equivalent diameter)
- J advance ratio, V/nd
- L lift, lb
- M pitching moment about wing quarter chord, ft-lb
- n fan rotational speed, rps
- q free-stream dynamic pressure, $(1/2)\rho V^2 lb/ft^2$
- R resultant force, $\sqrt{L^2 + D^2}$, lb
- S semispan wing area, ft²
- T total net ducted fan thrust, lb
- T_c' thrust coefficient, T/qS
- v dimensionless velocity, $V/V_w = \sqrt{(\pi/4)(AR/C_I)}$

V free-stream velocity, ft/sec

 V_W reference wing downwash velocity, analogous to hover jet velocity, $\sqrt{2L/\rho S}$, ft/sec

W aircraft weight, lb

Х	longitudinal force parallel to thrust axis, lb
α	angle of attack with respect to the thrust axis, deg
δ	deflection angle of last element of wing-flap system with respect to wing chord line, deg
δ_1	deflection of foreflap with respect to wing chord, deg
δ_2	deflection of aft flap with respect to foreflap chord, deg
δ_{D}	deflection of auxiliary wing with respect to wing chord, deg
θ	slipstream turning angle, measured from thrust axis, tan ⁻¹ L/D, deg
ρ	air density, slugs/ft ³
γ	descent angle, deg

_

LARGE-SCALE WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF A

DUCTED-FAN – DEFLECTED-SLIPSTREAM MODEL

WITH AN AUXILIARY FLAP

Michael D. Falarski and Kenneth W. Mort

Ames Research Center and U.S. Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory

SUMMARY

The longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of a semispan wing deflected-slipstream configuration with a double-slotted flap and an auxiliary wing were determined. The model was powered by two low-pressure-ratio ducted fans. A comparison of static test results with results obtained from various propeller-driven configurations indicates that the turning effectiveness of fan-powered deflected-slipstream configurations can be correlated with propeller-powered configurations with the same flap-chord to slipstream-diameter ratio. The turning effectiveness of the auxiliary wing was essentially the same as would be produced by a conventional slotted flap system with the same flap-chord to slipstream-diameter ratio. The auxiliary wing reduced the thrust required at low speeds as would be expected due to the increase in lifting surface area.

INTRODUCTION

The deflected-slipstream V/STOL concept employs large chord flaps to deflect the propulsive slipstream so as to generate high lift forces. The investigations of several such configurations are reported in references 1 through 3. The present investigation was undertaken to determine the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of a semispan wing equipped with a double-slotted flap system and an auxiliary wing.¹ Included was a static test to determine if the performance of geometrically similar propeller and ducted-fan power configurations is comparable when the comparison is based on the fully developed propulsive slipstream. The model was powered by ducted fans with a pressure ratio of approximately 1.03. The tests were performed in the Ames 40-by 80-Foot Wind Tunnel.

¹ This concept was originated by Harlan D. Fowler, who holds U. S. Patent No. 3,312,426.

Model

The model installed in the wind tunnel is shown in figure 1. Figure 1(a) shows the basic model with two ducted fans and the auxiliary wing. Figure 1(b) shows the model with the outboard ducted fan and auxiliary wing removed. Figure 2 shows the model mounted on the static test stand. Figure 3(a) is a drawing of the model as installed in the wind tunnel. A cross section of the wing showing flap system details is presented in figure 3(b). Basic model dimensions and airfoil coordinates are given in table 1. The ducted-fan blade form curves are shown in figure 4. The ducted fans were the same as those reported in references 4 through 6, except for the shroud diffuser and stators.

Instrumentation

During the wind-tunnel tests, forces and moments on the model were measured by the wind-tunnel balance system. The thrust of the outboard ducted fan was measured independently of the total model thrust by a strain gage balance. The ground plane was attached to the wing and therefore the loads on it are included in the model forces. The fairing under the ground plane was not attached to the model.

During the static tests the forces on the model were measured by three 3-axis load cells. The ducted-fan thrust was determined from calibrations made during the wind-tunnel tests.

Tests

The flap deflection, rotational velocity, and free-stream dynamic pressure were set and the model angle of attack was varied during the tests. The angle of attack ranged from -4° to $+16^{\circ}$; the dynamic pressure ranged from 0.5 to 20.0 psf; and the rotational speed ranged from 2000 to 4000 rpm. The basic model was tested with and without the auxiliary wing extended, with the auxiliary wing removed, with the outboard ducted fan removed, and with both ducted fans removed.

The static tests were performed outside the wind-tunnel test section to avoid the effects of flow recirculation (fig. 2). The flaps were deflected upward to prevent any ground effect. The tests were performed by setting the flap deflection and then varying rotational speed from 2000 to 3500 rpm. This was done with and without the auxiliary wing assembly installed.

REDUCTION OF DATA

Corrections

No wind-tunnel wall effects were applied to the data because they were estimated to be within the accuracy of the measuring devices.

Accuracy of Data

The data are accurate within the following limits which include errors in reading and reducing the data as well as the errors of the device itself.

±3 lb	
±3 lb	
±100 ft-lb	
±10 lb	
±3 rpm	
±0.1 psf	
±0.5°	
±1°	· · ·
±15 lb	
±15 lb	
	$\begin{array}{c} \pm 3 \ lb \\ \pm 3 \ lb \\ \pm 100 \ ft-lb \\ \pm 10 \ lb \\ \pm 3 \ rpm \\ \pm 0.1 \ psf \\ \pm 0.5^{\circ} \\ \pm 1^{\circ} \\ \pm 15 \ lb \\ \pm 15 \ lb \end{array}$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 is an index to the data figures. Ducted-fan thrust coefficient referenced to fan rotational speed and to free-stream dynamic pressure are presented in figures 5 and 6, respectively, as functions of advance ratio. The results of the static tests are shown in figure 7 and the force data are compared with other data in figure 14. Figures 8-13 show the longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for the various configurations tested. These data are summarized and compared with other data in figure 15.

The static results presented in figure 14 include data for a flapped wing exposed to an air stream from a circular duct (ref. 7), a cruise fan V/STOL model (ref. 3), and a propeller-STOL model (unpublished data for model of ref. 2). The propeller-STOL model has a wing-flap system very similar to the present model with the auxiliary wing removed.

Figure 14(a) shows that the static thrust recovery R/T was approximately the same for the model with or without the auxiliary wing for the same turning angle up to about 40°. The primary effect of the auxiliary wing is to increase the maximum turning angle from 45° to 75°. The model without the auxiliary wing and the propeller STOL model had essentially the same static thrust recovery. In addition it is evident that removing the inboard ducted fan did not change the static performance, indicating there was no interference between the ducted fans.

The static turning effectiveness, θ/δ as a function of flap-chord to slipstream-diameter ratio, c_f/D_s is shown in figure 14(b). To evaluate θ/δ , the summary curve for various propeller-wing-flap configurations in reference 8 is presented. The curve has been replotted versus fully developed slipstream diameter rather than propeller diameter ($D_s =$ duct exit diameter = propeller diameter/ $\sqrt{2}$). The turning effectiveness of the various configurations tested in this investigation agreed reasonably well with the summary curve, indicating that the comparison based on the developed slipstream is valid. It is also evident from this figure that the auxiliary wing produced the same θ/δ as would be expected from a flap system with an equivalent c_f/D_s .

The transition performance has been summarized in figure 15 which presents the variation of thrust-to-weight ratio with dimensionless forward velocity for level unaccelerated flight. A theoretical optimum curve developed from the theory of reference 9 is also presented. It can be seen that adding the auxiliary wing resulted in a decrease in thrust required at speeds less than v = 2.0 as would be expected due to the increase in lifting surface area. Also as a result of the large flap chord the auxiliary wing configuration can descend at fairly high descent angles as shown in figure 8. The removal of the outboard ducted fan caused no change in thrust required at low speeds which is consistent with the results of reference 10.

. . . .

.

II II I

Ames Research Center

I

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Moffett Field, Calif., Sept. 29, 1970

REFERENCES

- 1. Kirk, Jerry V.; Hickey, David H.; and Aoyagi, Kiyoshi: Large-Scale Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a Model With an External Jet-Augmented Flap. NASA TN D-4278, 1967.
- 2. Page, V. Robert; Dickinson, Stanley O.; and Deckert, Wallace H.: Large-Scale Wind-Tunnel Tests of a Deflected Slipstream STOL Model With Wings of Various Aspect Ratios. NASA TN D-4448, 1968.
- 3. Newsom, William A., Jr.: Wing-Tunnel Investigation of a Deflected-Slipstream Cruise-Fan V/STOL Aircraft Wing. NASA TN D-4262, 1967.
- 4. Mort, Kenneth W.; and Yaggy, Paul F.: Aerodynamic Characteristics of a 4-Foot-Diameter Ducted Fan Mounted on the Tip of a Semispan Wing. NASA TN D-1301, 1962.
- 5. Yaggy, Paul F.; and Mort, Kenneth W.: A Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a 4-Foot-Diameter Ducted Fan Mounted on the Tip of a Semispan Wing. NASA TN D-776, 1961.
- 6. Mort, Kenneth W.: Performance Characteristics of a 4-Foot-Diameter Ducted Fan at Zero Angle of Attack for Several Fan Blade Angles. NASA TN D-3122, 1965.
- Marsden, J. D.; and Pocock, P. J.: An Experimental Investigation of the Deflection of a Free-Air Jet by a Flapped Wing: The Super-Additive Effects of Shielded Flow Control Devices. National Research Council of Canada Aeronautical Rep. LR-285, 1960.
- 8. Kuhn, Richard E.: Semiempirical Procedure for Estimating Lift and Drag Characteristics of Propeller-Wing-Flap Configurations for Vertical- and Short-Take-Off-and-Landing Airplanes. NASA MEMO 1-16-59L, 1959.
- Templin, R. J.: A Momentum Rule for Optimum Aircraft Performance in the V/STOL Transition Regime. National Research Council of Canada Aeronautical Rep. LR-470, 1967.
- 10. Parlett, Lysle P.; Fink, Marvin P.; and Freeman, Delma C., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of a Large Jet Transport Model Equipped With an External-Flow Jet Flap. NASA TN D-4928, 1968.

TABLE 1.- MODEL GEOMETRY

Basic Wing	
Area (semispan) so ft 79.75	
Semispan ft 14.5	
Chord ft 55	
Airfoil section 63.416 mod	
Agreet ratio 5.275	
$ = \frac{110}{110} $	
Aft flap and wave abord ft	
Auxiliary wing	
Area, sq ft	
(extended), sq It	
Semispan, It	
Chord, It	
(extended), ft	
Airfoil section NACA 0015	
Duct	
Inside diameter, ft	
Outside diameter	
Chord	
Exit diameter	
Exit area, sq ft	
Diffuser angle, deg 0	
Fan station, percent of duct chord 22.72	
Inlet Guide Vanes	
Chord, in	
Number of vanes	
Airfoil section, percent NACA 65A010	
Position of vane c/4, percent of duct chord 11.25	
Twist, deg	
Fan	
Planform curves see fig. 4	
Number of blades	
Hub-to-tip diameter ratio 0.333	
Design static thrust disk loading, psf 150	
Blade angle at tip, deg 11	
Approximate blade tip clearance, in	
Total activity factor	
Stators	
Chord, in	
Number of stators	
Position of stator centerline, percent of duct chord	

TABLE 1.- MODEL GEOMETRY - Continued

(b) Basic wing coordinates

Upper surface		Lower surf	Lower surface		
Chordwise station	Ordinate	Ordinate Chordwise station		Ordinate Chordwise station	Ordinate
0	0	. 0	0		
.198	.908	.463	767		
.347	1.119	.645	921		
.656	1.463	.998	-1.162		
1.454	2.092	1.854	-1.566		
3.083	3.009	3.532	-2.117		
4.728	3.712	5.195	-2.516		
6.381	4.288	6.849	-2.829		
9.704	5.185	10.141	-3.282		
13.035	5.842	13.425	-3.595		
16.372	6.309	16.703	-3.782		
19.713	6.606	19.977	-3.862		
23.055	6.745	23.250	-3.838		
26.397	6.722	26.523	-3.699		
29.738	6.554	29.797	-3.464		
33.075	6.259	33.075	-3.146		
36.408	5.855	36.357	-2.764		
39.736	5.359	37.000	-2.700		
43.059	4.784 ·	39.644	1.850		
47.000	4.000	42.936	3.850		
		47.000	3.950		

.

[All dimensions in inches]

TABLE 1.- MODEL GEOMETRY - Continued

(c) Foreflap, vane, and aft flap coordinates

	Foreflap			Vane			Aft flap	
Chordwise station	Upper ordinate	Lower ordinate	Chordwise station	Upper ordinate	Lower ordinate	Chordwise station	Upper ordinate	Lower ordinate
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
.099	.595	825	.066	.290	240	.165	.740	594
.198	.872	-1.070	.132	.420	330	.165	1.045	820
.330	1.140	-1.320	.198	.510	380	.495	1.270	960
.495	1.420	-1.550	.264	.580	430	.660	1.425	-1.070
.660	1.650	-1.720	.330	.660	450	.980	1.650	-1.175
1.320	2.270	-2.080	.495	.780	450	1.320	1.835	-1.210
1.980	2.730	-2.200	.660	.880	400	1.650	1.950	-1.190
2.640	3.050	-2.170	.980	1.000	200	· 1.980	2.040	-1.160
3,300	3.280	-2.100	1.320	1.070	.026	2.640	2.120	-1.095
3.960	3.450	-2.040	1.650	1.070	.211	3.300	2.150	-1.035
4.620	3.550	-1.980	1.980	1.040	.316	4.950	1.880	880
5.280	3.620	-1.920	2.310	.965	.350	6.600	1.550	715
5.940	3.660	-1.860	2.640	.845	.350	8.250	1.220	560
6.600	3.650	-1.800	2.970	.700	.310	9.900	.880	410
8.200	3.520	-1.700	3.300	.515	.238	11.550	.550	244
8.410	3.500	.000	3.630	.300	.132	13.200	.210	099
9.900	3.250	1.650	3.960	.066	.020	14.200	013	020
11.550	2.920	2.330						
13.200	2.540	2.510						
L. F	E. radius - 1.9	8	L. E	. radius - 0.5	6	L. E	. radius - 1.1	9

[All dimensions in inches]

TABLE 1.- MODEL GEOMETRY - Continued

(d) Auxiliary wing coordinates

Chordwise station	Upper ordinate	Lower ordinate		
0	0	0		
.33	.628	628		
.66	.865	865		
1.32	1.172	-1.172		
1.98	1.390	-1.390		
2.64	1.545	-1.545		
3.96	1.765	-1.765		
5.28	1.890	-1.890		
7.92	1.980	-1.980		
10.56	1.915	-1.915		
13.20	1.750	-1.750		
15.84	1.505	-1.505		
18.50	1.210	-1.210		
21.12	.78	78		
23.78	.34	34		
26.40	.042	042		
L.E. radius – 0.655				

[All dimensions in inches]

TABLE 1.- MODEL GEOMETRY - Concluded

(e) Ducted-fan shroud coordinates

[All dimensions in inches]

Chord length	Outside radius	Inside radius
0	. 26.86	26.86
.1658	27.54	26.265
.2465	27.625	26.053
.4123	27.838	25.84
.8075	28.178	25.458
1.6575	. 28.518	24.99
2.465	28.73	24.735
3.315	28.90	24.48
4.973	29.24	24.183
6.588	1	24.055
8.245		23.97
9.903		
11.56		t de la companya de l
13.218		23.843
14.83		23.503
16.49		1
18.148		
19.805	+	
21.42	29.028	
23.12	28.858	1
24.735	28.645	
26.393	28.348	
28.05	28.093	
29.708	27.795	1
33.49	27.455	
32.98	27.115	
34.00	26.903	
36.125	26.18	
38.25	25.415	
40.375	24.608	
42.5	23.588	ł

Configuration	Type of data	$\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D$	Figure
	C _T vs. J		5
Assuiliant min-	T _c ' vs. J		6
2 ducted fans	Static performance	~	/(a)
Auxiliary wing removed- 2 fans		~	7(b)
Auxiliary wing and inboard fan removed –	Ļ	~ .	7(c)
Auxiliary wing	Longitudinal aero.	50/50/90	8(a)
2 ducted fans	characteristics		
I	1	40/40/90	8(b)
		30/30/90	8(c)
		40/40/45	8(d)
		· 30/30/45	8(e)
		40/40/2	8(f)
		30/30/2	8(g)
		0/0/-3	8(h)
+		0/0/2	8(i)
Auxiliary wing extension –		40/40/90	9(a)
2 ducted fans			
		30/30/45	9(b)
Auxiliary wing struts		0/0	10
only – 2 fans			
Auxiliary wing removed –		40/40	11(a)
2 ducted fans			
		30/30	11(b)
+		0/0	11(c)
Auxiliary wing and outboard		50/40	12(a)
fan removed			
		40/50	12(b)
		40/40	12(c)
		30/30	12(d)
*		0/0	12(e)
Auxiliary wing and both		0/0-40/50	13
fans removed	↓		
	Summary of static		14
	performance		
	Transition performance		15
*	T/W vs. $\sqrt{(AR/C_L)(\pi/4)}$		

TABLE 2.- INDEX TO FIGURES

.



(a) Basic model with two ducted fans and auxiliary wing.Figure 1.- Ducted fan-deflected slipstream model installed in wind tunnel.



(b) Outboard ducted fan and auxiliary wing assembly removed. Figure 1.- Concluded.





Figure 2.- Ducted fan-deflected slipstream model installed in static test stand with auxiliary wing removed.



(a) Basic arrangement.

Figure 3.- Model dimensions.



(b) Details of basic wing and auxiliary wing.

Figure 3.- Concluded.

1



Figure 4.- Fan blade-form curves with the design lift coefficient, blade chord, blade angle, and blade thickness to chord ratio as functions of the radial distance from the duct center.



Figure 5.- Thrust coefficient referenced to rotational speed as a function of advance ratio for one and two ducted fans.



Figure 6.- Thrust coefficient referenced to free-stream velocity as a function of advance ratio for one and two ducted fans.





(a) Auxiliary wing with extension.

Figure 7.- Static performance characteristics for various flap deflections for model with two ducted fans.





(b) Basic wing with auxiliary wing assembly removed.

Figure 7.- Continued.



Ę.



(c) Basic wing with auxiliary wing and inboard ducted fan removed. Figure 7.- Concluded.



(a) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 50^{\circ}/50^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for several flap deflections with auxiliary wing and two ducted fans.



(b) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 40^{\circ}/40^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Continued.

25

1

F.



(c) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$





(d) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 40^{\circ}/40^{\circ}/45^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Continued.



(e) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}/45^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Continued.



(f) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 40^{\circ}/40^{\circ}/2^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Continued.



(g) $\delta_1/\delta_1/\delta_D = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}/2^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Continued.



(h) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/-3^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Continued.





(i) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}/2^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Concluded.



(a) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 40^{\circ}/40^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$

Figure 9.- Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for various flap deflections for model with auxiliary wing extension and two ducted fans.

33



(b) $\delta_1/\delta_2/\delta_D = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}/45^{\circ}$

Figure 9.- Concluded.



Figure 10.- Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for various thrust coefficients for model with auxiliary wing struts only and two ducted fans; $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$.



(a) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 40^{\circ}/40^{\circ}$

Figure 11.- Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for various flap deflections for model with auxiliary wing removed and two ducted fans.



(b) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}$

Figure 11.- Continued.

R.



(c) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$

Figure 11.- Concluded.



(a) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 50^{\circ}/40^{\circ}$

Figure 12.- Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for various flap deflections for model with auxiliary wing and outboard ducted fan removed.



(b) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 40^{\circ}/50^{\circ}$

Figure 12.- Continued.



(c) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 40^{\circ}/40^{\circ}$ Figure 12.- Continued.



(d) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 30^{\circ}/30^{\circ}$

Figure 12.- Continued.



(e) $\delta_1/\delta_2 = 0^{\circ}/0^{\circ}$

Figure 12.- Concluded.

43



Figure 13.- Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics for various flap deflections for model with auxiliary wing and both ducted fans removed.







Figure 14.- Summary of static performance.



Figure 15.- Summary of transition performance; $C_{\rm D}$ = 0 and α = 2°.