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## FOREWORD

This final report documents and sumarizes the work accomplished by the Electrical Engineering Department, Auburn University, in the performance of Contract NAS8-27827 granted to Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama. The contract was awarded July 23, 1971, by the George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Huntsville, Alabama.

## SUMMARY

This report applies multivariable control theory to the design of a hierarchial attitude control system for the CARD space vehicle. The system selected uses reaction control jets (RCJ) and control moment gyros (CMG). The RGJ system uses linear signal mixing and a no-fire region similar to that used on the Skylab program; the $y$-axis and $z$-axis systems which are coupled use a sum and difference feedback scheme. The CMG system uses the optimum steering law [4] and the same feedback signals as the RCJ system. When both systems are active the design is such that the torques from each system are never in opposition.

A state-space analysis was made of the CMG system to determine the general structure of the input matrices (steering law) and feedback matrices that will decouple the axes. It is shown that the optimum steering law and proportional-plus-rate feedback are special cases.

A third part of the report is a derivation of the disturbing torques on the space vehicle due to the motion of the on-board television camera. A simple procedure for computing an upper bound on these torques (given the system parameters) is included.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The attitude control of a spacecraft visiting comets and asteroids for scientific study requires a multivariable attitude control system. During the journey from earth to rendezvous with the target (comet or asteroid), the attitude control is provided by the thrust vector control (TVC) system, the propulsion being supplied by a solar electric system augmented by a chemical propulsion unit. When the spacecraft rendezvous with the target, the attitude control for station keeping, docking, and separation from the target requires more capability than the TVC system can provide.

The objective of this study was to design a highly reliable attitude control system for experiment pointing, station keeping, docking and separation from the target for the Comet and Asteroid Rendezvous and Docking (CARD) space vehicle [1]. Early in the study it was determined that a combination of a reaction control jet (RGJ) control system and a control moment gyro (CMG) control system properly mated would be a good approach. Such systems have been successfully used on other space vehicles although problem areas still exist [2].

The RCJ system is by nature an on-off linear control system and for small errors will limit cycle; energy to generate torques is from an ever-decreasing supply of pressurized gases. On the other hand the CMG system is a continuous non-linear control system using solarenergy to maintain the spinning of the gyros. For small disturbances the CMG system can keep the attitude errors inside the RCJ system dead-
band. The RCJ system is available to desaturate the CMG system and to correct for large disturbances.

The design objectives given primary consideration were:
(1) Minimize fuel consumption when the RGJ system is the only active system, and when both systems are active design the system so that the torques produced are never in opposition.
(2) Minimize coupling between the three axes of the attitude control system.

The spacecraft's general appearance, size, configuration, inertias, etc., were taken from the Northrup Services, Inc., final report of its feasibility study [1]. Figures I-1, I-2, I-3 are taken from that report

An additional area of study included in this report concerns the disturbances on the spacecraft due to the motion of the scanning platform on which is mounted the television camera. A procedure to compute the disturbing torques as a function of the television camera inertias and scanning rates is included.


Figure I-1. Baseline CARD Planetary Vehicle Concept (from Ref 1)


Figure I-2. Baseline CARD Planetary Vehicle Concept (from Ref 1)


Figure I-3. Baseline CARD Planetary Vehicle Concept (from Ref 1)
II. THE REACTION CONTROL JET ATTITUDE CONTROL SYSTEM

The attitude control system controls the orientation of the spacecraft with respect to the XYZ axes; the outputs are the angles $\phi_{\mathrm{X}}, \phi_{\mathrm{y}}$, $\phi_{2}$. The reaction control jet (RGJ) attitude control system uses cold gas thrusters to produce torques about the spacecraft center-of-gravity. The location of the thrusters is taken from [1]; the numbering of the thrusters and the spacecraft axes are as shown in Figure II-1. The spacecraft center-of-gravity is at the origin of the XYZ axes and it is assumed that the thrusters produce torques in the $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ plane.

To simplify the analysis the dead-time, rise-time and decay-time of the thrusters are neglected; in addition it is assumed that all thrusters produce the same torque with no misalignment. Once an engine is activated it is assumed to continue to produce a constant torque for at least fifty milliseconds. This is a commonly used value.

The $X$-axis control system uses thrusters which produce no torques about the $Y$ or $Z$ axes. The control system uses proportional-plus-rate feedback with a saturation block in the position feedback path. This produces a no-fire region similar to that used in the Skylab program [2].

Figure II-2 contains a block diagram of the $X$-axis control system and a phase-plane showing the boundaries of the no-fire region. The controller parameters were calculated assuming that the system deadband, $\phi_{\mathrm{db}}$, and the saturation value, $\phi_{\mathrm{R}}$, were specified and that a trajectory originating on the rate limit boundary would intersect the $\phi_{X}$ axis at $\phi_{\mathrm{db}}$. The $\phi_{\mathrm{db}}$ specification represents a compromise between fuel consump-


NOTE: Attitude control thrusters are larger than scale to show numbering system.

Figure II-1. RCJ Attitude Control Thrusters


Figure II-2. X-axis RCJ Attitude Control System
tion and steady-state accuracy; the rate ledge specification represents a compromise between fuel consumption and speed of response.
$A_{1 x}$ is computed in the following manner:
Assume $\phi_{C}=0$ and $\varepsilon_{x}<-\phi_{d b}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\phi}_{x}=\frac{\dot{d} \dot{\phi}_{x}}{d t}=-M_{x} \tag{II-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Also } \frac{d \phi_{X}}{d t}=\dot{\phi}_{\mathbf{x}} \tag{II-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (II-2) by (II-1) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \phi_{x}}{\dot{d}} & =-\frac{\dot{\phi}_{\mathbf{x}}}{M_{\mathbf{x}}} \\
\text { or } \quad & d \phi_{\mathbf{x}} \\
=- & {\dot{\dot{\phi}_{\mathbf{x}}}}_{M_{\mathbf{x}}}^{d} \dot{\phi}_{\mathbf{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating and requiring that the trajectory go through ( $\phi_{d b}, 0$ ) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_{\mathrm{X}}}{\sqrt{M_{X}}}\right)^{2}+\phi_{\mathrm{x}}=\phi_{\mathrm{db}} \tag{II-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $-\phi_{R} \leq \phi_{x} \leq \phi_{R}$, the boundary is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=-\phi_{\mathrm{db}}=-\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{lx}} \dot{\phi}_{\mathrm{x}}+\phi_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \tag{II-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the curves given by (II-3) and (II-4) to intersect at $\phi_{x}=$ - $\phi_{\mathrm{R}}$ requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1 x}=\sqrt{\frac{\phi_{R}+\phi_{\mathrm{db}}}{2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}}} \tag{II-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate ledge is $\frac{\left(\phi_{R}-\phi_{d b}\right) \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\phi_{R}+\phi_{d b}\right)}}$

Since the $Y$ and $Z$ axes share thrusters a linear-signal-mixing scheme [3] is used to insure that opposing thrusters are not energized at the same time. A block diagram of the system is shown in Figure II-3. $A_{1 Y}$ and $A_{1 Z}$ are calculated in the same manner as $A_{1 X}$.

For the digital simulation the rate ledge is taken to be 0.172 degrees/second. From [1] $\phi_{\mathrm{db}}=0.3^{\circ}$ and the acceleration produced in each axis, for error in that axis only, and the thrusters producing the acceleration are:


Some typical trajectories are given in Figures II-4 and II-5.
A modification to this system was made which gives promise of better performance. In place of feeding the position signals $\phi_{y}$ and $\phi_{z}$ to the saturation blocks, the sum and difference, $(\phi y+\phi z)$ and ( $\left.\phi_{z}-\phi_{y}\right)$ are the inputs to the saturation blocks. The block diagram of this system is given in Figure II-6. Figure II-7 is a phase-plane plot showing the boundaries of the no-fire region. Figure II-8 is a typical trajectory for this system.


Thrusters 9,14

Figure II-3. Y-and-2-axis RCJ Aţtitude Control System Block Diagram


INITIAL CONDITIONS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\mathrm{yo}}=10.0^{\circ} \\
& \dot{\phi}_{\mathrm{yo}}=0.0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} . \\
& \phi_{\mathrm{zo}}=5.0^{\circ} \\
& \dot{\phi}_{\mathrm{zo}}=0.0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} .
\end{aligned}
$$

DESIGN PARAMETERS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{d b}= \pm .3^{\circ} \\
& M_{y}=.0391 \\
& M_{z}=.0378 \\
& A_{1 y}=4.080 \\
& A_{1 z}=4.176
\end{aligned}
$$

RATE LEDGE $=0.172$

RESULTS

1. Time to reach and remain inside deadband:
y - 48.60 secs. z - 28.85 secs.
2. Fuel consumption at:
48.60 secs: 52.0
28.85 secs: 28.5
( 1 unit is the energy consumed by one thruster firing for 1

Figure II-4. Phase-plane Trajectories of RCJ System.)


INITIAL CONDITIONS

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mathrm{yo}} & =10.0^{\circ} \\
\phi_{\mathrm{yo}} & =0.0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} \\
\phi_{z \mathrm{o}} & =10.0^{\circ} \\
\phi_{\mathrm{zo}} & =0.0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

DESIGN PARAMETERS
$\phi_{\mathrm{db}}= \pm .3^{\circ}$
$M_{y}=.0391$
$M_{z}=.0378$
$A_{1 y}=4.080$
$A_{1 z}=4.176$
RATE LEDGE $=0.172$

## RESULTS

1. Time to reach and remain inside deadband:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y-67.55 \\
& z-54.00
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Fuel Consumption:
```
67.55 sec: 49.1
54.00 sec: 30.0
(l unit is the energy consumed by l
thruster firing for 1 sec)
```

Figure II - 5 Phase-plane Trajectiries of RCJ System



Figure II-7. Boundaries of No-Fire Region for RCJ System Using Sum and Difference Saturation Signals.

INITIAL CONDITIONS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\text {yo }}=10.0^{\circ} \\
& \dot{\phi}_{\text {yo }}=0.0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} \\
& \phi_{z o}=5.0^{\circ} \\
& \dot{\phi}_{z o}=0.0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

DESIGN PARAMETERS
$\phi_{d b}= \pm .3^{\circ}$
$M_{y}=.0391$
$M_{z}=.0378$
$A_{1 y}=4.080$
$A_{1 z}=4.176$

RESULTS

1. Time to reach and remain inside deadband:
$y-84.35 \mathrm{sec}$
$z-87.8 \mathrm{sec}$
2. Fuel consumption at:
$84.35 \mathrm{sec}: 27.3$
$87.8 \mathrm{sec}: 27.3$
(1 unit is the energy consumed by one thruster firing for one sec)
RATE LEDGE $=0.172$

Figure II-8. Phase-Plane Trajectories of RCJ System--Sum and Difference Saturation Signal

Comparing the data presented in Figures II-4 and II-8, it can be seen that the modified system is slower but the fuel consumption (based on both the $\phi_{y}$ and $\phi_{z}$ errors being less than the deadband value) is reduced. Further work is needed to determine if the rate-ledge and feedback gains can be changed so that the modified system has comparable speed of response with less fuel consumption. It should be noted that with this modification the $\left(\phi_{z}+\phi_{y}\right)$ controller and the $\left(\phi_{y}-\phi_{z}\right)$ controller are decoupled even when the saturation limits are active; thrusters $11,12,15,16$ are active for $\left(\phi_{z}+\phi_{y}\right)$ errors and thrusters $9,10,13,14$ are active for $\left(\phi_{z}-\phi_{y}\right)$ errors.

## III. THE CONTROL MOME*T GYRO ATTITUDE CONTROL SYSTEM

The control moment gyro (CMG) attitude control system also controls the angles $\phi_{\mathrm{X}}, \phi_{\mathrm{y}}, \phi_{\mathrm{Z}}$. The control system simulated in this study uses the optimal steering law proposed in [4]. The CMG cluster arrangement is shown in Figure III-1. Part A of this section gives the block diagram and system equations in matrix form as taken from [4]. Part $B$ is a general state-variable analysis to determine the general structure of the input and feedback matrices that will decouple the $X, Y$, and $Z$ axes.

Part A. System Simulation Using the Optimal Steering Law [4]

A block diagram of the system is shown in Figure III-2. With the outer gimbals clamped, the outer gimbal rates $\dot{\delta} 3(1), \dot{\delta} 3(2), \dot{\delta} 3(3)$ are zero. The equations for the reaction moments, in matrix form, are:
$\left[\begin{array}{c}M_{R X V} \\ M_{R Y V} \\ M_{R Z V}\end{array}\right]=[A]\left[\begin{array}{c}\dot{\delta} 1(1) \\ \dot{\delta 1(2)} \\ \dot{\delta 1(3)}\end{array}\right]$, where
$[A]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\sin (\delta 1(1)) \cos (\delta 3(1)) & \cos (\delta 1(2)) & -\sin (\delta 1(3)) \sin (\delta 3(3)) \\ -\sin (\delta 1(1)) \sin (\delta 3(1)) & \sin (\delta 1(2)) \cos (\delta 3(2)) & \cos (\delta 1(3)) \\ \cos (\delta 1(1)) & -\sin (\delta 1(2)) \sin (\delta 3(2)) & \sin (\delta 1(3)) \cos (\delta 3(3))\end{array}\right]$

The steering law [ $T_{S}$ ] gives the commanded gimbal rates in terms of the commanded moments.


Figure III-1. CMG Cluster:


Figure III - 2 CMG System Block Diagram

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\delta} 1(1)_{c}  \tag{III-3}\\
\dot{\delta} 1(2)_{c} \\
\dot{\delta} 1(3)_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left[T_{S}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{C X V} \\
M_{C Y V} \\
M_{C Z V}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Assuming that the actual gimbal rates are equal to the commanded gimbal rates, the relationship between the commanded moment and the reaction moment is:
$\left[\begin{array}{c}M_{\mathrm{RXV}} \\ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{RYV}} \\ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{RZV}}\end{array}\right] \quad=[\mathrm{A}]\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{CXV}} \\ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{CYV}} \\ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{CZV}}\end{array}\right]$

It is desirable that the reaction moment equal the commanded moment, which requires that:
$[A]\left[T_{S}\right]=[I]$, where[I] is the identity matrix.

The steering law is thus given by

$$
\left[T_{S}\right]=[A]^{-1} \text {, where }[A]^{-1} \text { is the inverse of the matrix A. It }
$$ should be noted that [A] is singular at some operating conditions.

There is one vehicle control loop for each of the three axes. A rate-plus-position feedback is used for each of the vehicle control
loops. The vehicle control law output is processed to obtain the moment command. This moment command is compared to the actual moment and the error is used together with the optimal steering law, to provide the proper reaction moment on the vehicle.

A digital computer simulation of the three axis CMG attitude control system has been written and is included in the Appendix.

Part B. A State-Space Analysis of the CMG System (Clamped Mode) for Axis Decoupling

The object of this analysis is to write the system equations in state-space form and determine the form of the input matrices and the feedback matrices that will minimize or eliminate the coupling between the $X, Y$, and $Z$ axes. In the first phase of the study the torque motor dynamics are neglected but are included in the second phase. Phase 1. Torque Motor Dynamics Neglected

The open-loop system is shown in Figure III-3. Let the states and the inputs be defined as

$$
\underline{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}  \tag{III-6}\\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4} \\
x_{5} \\
x_{6}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x} \\
\dot{\phi}_{x} \\
\phi_{y} \\
\dot{\phi}_{y} \\
\phi_{z} \\
\dot{\phi}_{z}
\end{array}\right] \quad ; \text { the input, } \underline{u}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\delta} 11 \\
\dot{\delta} \\
12 \\
\dot{\delta} \\
13
\end{array}\right]
$$



Fig. III - 3. Block Diagram of Open-loop CMG System; Clamped Mode and Torque Motor Dynamics Neglected

Then the state-space equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{x}=\left[A_{0}\right] \underline{x}+[B] \underline{u} \tag{III-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left[A_{0}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[B]=}  \tag{III-9}\\
6 \times 3
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
\\
3 \times 3][A \times 3
\end{gathered}
$$

To decouple the axes let
$\underline{u}=[T s]_{3 \times \frac{\phi}{3}}+\left[F_{1}\right]_{3 \times 6} \underset{x}{ }$ where $\phi c=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{c x} \\
\phi_{c y} \\
\phi_{c z}
\end{array}\right]=\text { desired output angles and (III-10) }
$$

[Ts] and [ $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ ] are decoupling matrices.

Then

$$
\underline{\dot{x}}=\left\{[A \circ]+[B]\left[F_{1}\right]\right\} \underline{x}+[B][T s] \quad \phi C
$$

For the axes to be decoupled, [B][Ts] must have the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{21} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_{42} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \gamma_{63}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the $\gamma$ 's are nonzero.

Thus $[B][T s]=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{21} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_{42} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \gamma_{63}
\end{array}\right]=} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

[ J ] is a diagonal matrix of full rank, so this condition can be satisfied only if [A][Ts] is a diagonal matrix of full rank.

In addition, $[A \circ]+[B]\left[F_{1}\right]$ must have the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{55} & \alpha_{56} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{65} & \alpha_{66}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where same $\alpha^{\prime}$ s in each sub-block are nonzero. Since [ $A_{0}$ ] has the above form, then $[B]\left[F_{1}\right]$ must also have the above form.

Thus $[B]\left[F_{1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{55} & \alpha_{56} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{65} & \alpha_{66}\end{array}\right]=$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{III-14}\\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

This implies that $[A]\left[F_{1}\right]$ must have the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{B}_{11} & \mathrm{~B}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & \mathrm{~B}_{24} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{35} & \mathrm{~B}_{36}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where a $B$ in each row must be nonzero to have a feedback signal.
Thus the conditions for axes decoupling are that
(1) $[A][T s]$ be a diagonal matrix
(2) $[A]\left[F_{1}\right]$ have the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{B}_{11} & \mathrm{~B}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & \mathrm{~B}_{24} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{35} & \mathrm{~B}_{36}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{12}=\mathrm{a} \text { constant times } \mathrm{B}_{11} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{24}=" \quad " \\
& \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{36}="
\end{aligned}
$$

then the feedback is proportional-plus-rate and the decoupling can be achieved as shown.

where $\quad\left[F_{1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}1 & A_{1 x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & A_{1 y} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & A_{1 z}\end{array}\right]$

If $[A][T s]=[I]$, the identity matrix, then this implements the optimal control law of [4].

Phase 2. Torque Motor Dynamics Included

Assuming that the torque motors can be represented as first-order systems with time-constants, Tm , the open-loop diagram is as shown in Figure III-4 where $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ are the input signals to the torque motors.

The states and the input vectors are
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\underline{x} \\ \underline{z} \\ \underline{z}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}\phi_{x} \\ \dot{\phi}_{x} \\ \phi_{y} \\ \dot{\phi}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \phi_{z} \\ \dot{\phi}_{z} \\ \dot{\delta}_{11} \\ \dot{\delta}_{12} \\ \dot{\delta}_{13}\end{array}\right] ; \underline{u}=\left[\begin{array}{l}u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3}\end{array}\right]=$ input to the torque motors
Then the state-space equation is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x} \\
\hdashline \dot{z}]
\end{array}=\left[\begin{array}{c:c}
{\left[A_{0}\right]} & {[B]} \\
\hdashline[0] & -\frac{1}{\operatorname{Tm}}[I]
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\underline{x} \\
\hdashline \underline{z}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
{[0]} \\
\hdashline \frac{1}{T m}[I]
\end{array}\right] \underline{u}\right.
$$



Figure III-4. Block Diagram of Open-loop CMG System; Clamped Mode and Torque Motor Dynamics Included

To decouple the axes let

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{u} & =[T s] \phi c+\left[\left[F_{1}\right]:\left[F_{2}\right]\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\underline{x} \\
\hdashline \underline{z}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{III-17}\\
& =[T s] \phi c+\left[F_{1}\right] \underline{x}+\left[F_{2}\right] \underline{z} \tag{III-18}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\underline{x}}=\left[A_{0}\right] \underline{x}+[B] \underline{z}  \tag{III-19}\\
& \underline{z}=\frac{1}{T m}\left[F_{2}-I\right] \underline{z}+\frac{1}{T m}\left[F_{1}\right] \underline{x}+\frac{1}{T m}[T s] \phi c \tag{III-20}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $[A],[T s],\left[F_{1}\right]$, and $\left[F_{2}\right]$ are constant matrices for small changes about a steady-state operating point. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x} & =\left[A_{0}\right] \dot{\underline{x}}+[B] \underline{\underline{x}} \\
& =\left\{\left[A_{0}\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{T m}[B]\left[F_{1}\right]\right\} \underline{x}+\left\{\left[A_{0}\right][B]+\frac{1}{\operatorname{Tm}}[B]\left[F_{2}-I\right]\right\} \underline{z}+\frac{1}{T m}[B][T s] \underline{c} \text { (III-22) }
\end{aligned}
$$

To decouple the axes, $[B][T s]$ must have the same form as in Part $A$ and as before [A][Ts] must be a diagonal matrix.

Continuing,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dddot{x}=\left\{\left[A_{0}\right]^{3}+\frac{1}{\operatorname{Tm}}[B]\left[F_{1}\right]\left[A_{0}\right]+\frac{1}{T m}[A][B]\left[F_{1}\right]+\frac{1}{T m^{2}}[B]\left[F_{2}-I\right]\left[F_{1}\right]\right]_{x}+ \\
& \left\{\left[A_{0}\right]^{2}[B]+\frac{1}{T m}[B]\left[F_{1}\right][B]+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tm}}[A][B]\left[F_{2}-I\right]+\frac{1}{T m} 2[B]\left[F_{2}-I\right]^{2}\right\}_{\underline{z}}+ \\
& \left\{\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tm}}[\mathrm{~A} \cdot][\mathrm{B}][\mathrm{Ts}]+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tm} 2}[\mathrm{~B}]\left[\mathrm{F}_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right][\mathrm{Ts}]\right\} \phi \mathrm{c}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tm}}[\mathrm{~B}][\mathrm{Ts}] \dot{\phi} \dot{\mathrm{C}} \tag{III-23}
\end{align*}
$$

To decouple the axes, $\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tm}}\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right][B][\mathrm{Ts}]+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tm}^{2}}[B]\left[\mathrm{F}_{2}-\mathrm{I}\right][\mathrm{Ts}]$ must have the form

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
r_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
r_{21} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r_{32} & 0 \\
0 & r_{42} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r_{53} \\
0 & 0 & \gamma_{63}
\end{array}\right| \quad ; \text { a } r_{i \cdot j} \text { in each sub-block }
$$

The previous condition stipulates that [B][Ts]have this form. It is easy to show that $[A \circ][B][T s]$ has this form. Therefore $[B]\left[F_{2}\right][T s]$ must also have this form. This condition is satisfied if [ $F_{2}$ ] is equal to a constant times the identity matrix.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Let }\left[F_{2}-I\right]=k_{2}[I] \tag{III-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\dot{z}}=\frac{k_{2}}{T m} \underline{z}+\frac{1}{T m}\left[F_{1}\right] \underline{x}+\frac{[T s]}{T m} \phi c \tag{III-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B] \underline{\dot{z}}=\frac{k_{2}}{\operatorname{Tm}}[B] \underline{z}+\frac{[B]\left[F_{1}\right]}{\operatorname{Tm}} \underline{X}+\frac{[B][T s]}{T m} \phi c \tag{III-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and solving (III-19) for [B] $\underline{Z}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B] \underline{\dot{z}}=\frac{k_{2}}{T m} \underline{\dot{x}}+\left(\frac{[B]\left[F_{1}\right]}{T m}-\frac{k_{2}}{T m}\left[A_{0}\right]\right) \underline{x}+\frac{[B][T s]}{T m} \phi c \tag{III-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This substituted into (III-21) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\ddot{x}}=\left\{\left[A_{0}\right]+\frac{k 2}{T m}[I]\right\} \underline{\dot{x}}+\left\{\frac{\{B]\left[F_{1}\right]}{T m}-\frac{k 2}{T m}\left[A^{\circ}\right]\right\} \underline{x}+\frac{[B][T s]}{T m} \phi c \tag{III-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation yields an additional requirement for decoupling; [B][F1] must have the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{55} & \alpha_{56} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{65} & \alpha_{66}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where some $\alpha$ 's in each block are nonzero.
This result is the same as that derived in Part $A$ and implies that [A] $\left[F_{1}\right]$ must have the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{B}_{11} & \mathrm{~B}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & \mathrm{~B}_{24} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{35} & \mathrm{~B}_{36}
\end{array}\right]
$$

as was the case in Part A

To summarize, the necessary structure of the matrices to decouple the axes is that
(1) [A][Ts] must be a diagonal matrix
(2) $\left[F_{2}\right]$ must be a constant times the identity matrix
(3) $[A]\left[F_{1}\right]$ must have the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{B}_{11} & \mathrm{~B}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & \mathrm{~B}_{24} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{35} & \mathrm{~B}_{36}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The system with feedback is shown in Figure III-5.
With proportional-plus-rate feedback the system takes the form of Figure III-6. In this form the [ $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ] matrix, a $\mathrm{k}_{2}[\mathrm{I}$ ] matrix, does not aid in the axes decoupling. However, if this diagram is redrawn in terms of the original set of variables in a modified form, Figure III-7, where it is assumed [Ts] is non-singular, then since it is desirable that $[\mathrm{Ts}][\mathrm{A}] \simeq[\mathrm{I}] \quad[\mathrm{Ts}]^{-1}=[\mathrm{A}]$, the system can be implemented as shown in Figure III-8. This is the system implemented in [4] with the objective that [Ts][A] = [I]. However, this cannot be accomplished under all conditions since [A] is singular (and thus [Ts]) at some operating conditions. This problem is minimized in [4] by proper selection of the angles at which the outer gimbals are clamped and by placing a limit on the minimum value of $\mid$ det [A]| used in the computation of [Ts].


Figure III-5. CMG Closed-loop System; Clamped Mode


Figure III-6. CMG Closed-1oop System with Proportional-plus-Rate Feedback; Clamped Mode.


Figure III-7. Closed-1oop System; Proportional-plusrate Feedback; Clamped Mode


Figure III-8. Closed-loop System; Proportional-plus rate Feedback; Clamped Mode

## IV. COMBINED REACTION CONTROL JET AND CONTROL MOMENT GYRO ATTITUDE CONTROL SYSTEM

The reaction control jet (RGJ) attitude control system and the control moment gyro (CMG) attitude control system are combined to operate as a single attitude control system. The objective was to mate the systems so that the torques generated by each system are never in opposition, speed of response is improved, and fuel consumption by the RCJ system is reduced.

The RCJ system uses the sum and difference feedback control described in Section II of this report. The CMG system uses the same sum and difference feedback signals as are generated in the $R C J$ system, $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}, \varepsilon_{z}$. A block diagram of the CMG system is shown in Figure IV-1. The saturation blocks in the position feedback paths are used to place the CMG torque reversal lines in the center of the $R C J$ no-fire regions. The phaseplane plot of the no-fire boundaries of the $X$-axis and a typical response are shown in Figure IV-2. A phase-plane plot of the no-fire region of the sum $\left(\phi_{z}+\phi_{y}\right)$ and the difference $\left(\phi_{z}-\phi_{y}\right)$ systems and a typical response are shown in Figure IV-3. The same data plotted for each axis is shown in Figure IV-4.

The CMG system torques aid the RCJ system torques whenever the RCJ's are firing and the CMG torques tend to hold the RCJ system inside the nofire regions. A digital computer program to simulate the combined systems is described in the Appendix.


Figure IV-1. CMG System with Sum and Difference Saturation Signals.


Figure IV-2. Phase-Plane Trajectory of Combined RCJ and MMG Sustem


INITIAL CONDITIONS
$\phi_{\mathrm{yo}}=10^{\circ}$
$\phi_{\mathrm{yo}}=0$
$\phi_{z o}=5^{\circ}$
$\phi_{z o}=0$
$\phi_{\mathrm{db}}= \pm \frac{\text { DESIGN PARAMETERS }}{3^{\circ}} \phi_{\mathrm{Ry}}=1.0018 \quad$ RESULTS
RATE LEDGE $=.172$
$\phi_{\mathrm{Rz}}=1.0182$
$M_{y}=.0391 \quad$ FPY $=1000$
$M_{z}=.0378 \quad$ FPZ $=1000$
$A_{l y}=4.080$
$A_{1 z}=4.1757$
$\quad \mathrm{J}_{2}=2918$
Figure IV-3. Phase-P1ane Trajectories of Combined RCJ and CMG Systems

1. Time to reach and remain inside deadband

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{x}+\phi_{y}-\quad 63.0 \mathrm{sec} \\
& \phi_{x}-\phi_{y}-\quad 21.4 \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Fuel consumption at
$63.0 \mathrm{sec}-$
21.4 sec -
5.92 Engine-sec 5.92 Engine-sec



INITIAL CONDITIONS
$\phi_{y o}=10^{\circ}$
$\phi_{\mathrm{yo}}=0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}$.
$\phi_{\mathrm{zo}}=5^{\circ}$
$\phi_{z o}=0^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}$.

## DESIGN PARAMETERS

$\phi_{\mathrm{db}}=+.3^{\circ}$
RATE LEDGE $=.172$
$M_{y}=.0391$
$M_{z}=\quad .0378$
$A_{1 y}=4.080$
$A_{12}=4.1757$
$\phi_{\mathrm{RY}}=1.0018$
$\phi_{\mathrm{RZ}}=1.0182$
FPY $=1000$
$\mathrm{FPZ}=1000$
$\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{y}}=2947$
$J_{z}=2918$

## RESULTS

1. Time to reach and remain inside deadband:
Y -60.4 sec .
Z -60.6 sec .
2. Fuel consumption at 60.4-5.92 60.6-5.92
(One unit is the energy consumed by one thruster firing for one second.)

Figure IV-4. Phase-Plane Trajectories of Combined RCJ and CMG Systems

## V. COMPUTATION OF DISTURBING TORQUES DUE TO MOTION OF THE TELEVISION CAMERA

The proposed CARD spacecraft has an on-board television camera which is used during orbiting or landing to view the terrain and proposed landing sites. The TV camera and its mount are constructed to permit rotation about two axes. The purpose of this section is to deyelop a procedure for computing the torques about the spacecraft center-of-mass produced by motion of the television camera.

The general shape, dimensions, and mass of the TV camera and its mount are scaled from Figure 4.5 and Figure 4.83 of Reference 1. Based on these figures the TV camera and mount are assumed to have the general form and dimensions of Figure V-1. It will be treated as a rigid, homogeneous mass. The XY plane is a plane of symmetry and the XYZ coordinates of Figure V-1 are parallel to the spacecraft XYZ coordinates.

The additional assumptions made are:

1. The spacecraft (main portion) angular velocities are small compared to the relative angular velocities of the television camera.
2. The motion of the system (main portion plus the TV camera) center-of-mass due to TV camera rotation may be neglected in computing inertia characteristics.

Part A. Derivation of Torque Equations*
The inertia matrix of the TV camera and mount is
*This approach was outlined by Dr. John E. Cochran, Assistant Professor of Aerospace Engineering, Auburn University.


Figure V-1. Television Camera and Mount

$$
[I]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
I_{X X} & I_{X Y} & 0  \tag{V-1}\\
I_{X Y} & I_{Y Y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{Z Z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where certain cross-product terms are zero since the XY plane is a plane of symmetry. The direction the TV camera points is described in terms of two Euler angles; first a rotation $\Psi_{c}$ about the $Y$ axis and second a rotation $\theta_{c}$ about the $Z_{c}$ axis as is shown in Figure $\mathrm{V}-2$.

Let $w_{1}$, $w_{2}$, w3 be the angular velocities of the TV camera about the $x_{c} y_{c} z_{c}$ axes respectively. Then in matrix form the angular momentum vector is

$$
[H]_{x_{c} y_{c} z_{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
I_{X X} & I_{X Y} & 0  \tag{V-2}\\
I_{X Y} & I_{Y Y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{Z Z}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{1} \\
w_{2} \\
w_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The torque vector is

$$
[T]_{x_{c} y_{c} z_{c}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
T_{1}  \tag{V-3}\\
T_{2} \\
T_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{d}[H]_{x_{c} y_{c} z_{c}}^{d t}}{}
$$

Performing the indicated operation [5], [6] yields

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T_{1}  \tag{v-4}\\
T_{2} \\
T_{3}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I_{x x} w_{1}-I_{x y} & w_{2}+I_{z z} w_{2} w_{3}+I_{x y} w_{1} w_{3}-I_{y y} w_{2} w_{3} \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
I_{x y} w_{2}-I_{x y} & \dot{w}_{1}-I_{z z} w_{1} w_{3}+I_{x x} w_{1} w_{3}-I_{x y} w_{2} w_{3} \\
I_{z z} w_{3}-I_{x y} & w_{1}^{2}+I_{y y} w_{1} w_{2}-I_{x x} w_{1} w_{2}+I_{x y}
\end{array} w_{2}^{2}\right]
$$



Figure V-2. Television Camera Coordinates
where

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{1}  \tag{V-5}\\
w_{2} \\
w_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{w}_{1}  \tag{V-6}\\
w_{2} \\
w_{2} \\
v_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
. . & & \dot{\psi}_{c} & \dot{\theta}_{c} & \cos & \theta_{c} \\
{ }_{w_{c}} & \sin \theta_{c}+ & \dot{\psi}_{c} & \dot{x}_{c} \\
\Psi_{c} & \cos \theta_{c}- & \dot{\Psi}_{c} & \dot{\theta}_{c} & \sin & \theta_{c} \\
& \ddot{\theta}_{c} & & & &
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $T_{x}, T_{y}, T_{z}$ be the torques about the $X Y Z$ axes. The transformation is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}  \tag{v-7}\\
\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{y}} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{z}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lcc}
\cos \psi_{c} \cos \theta_{c} & -\cos \psi_{c} \sin \theta_{c} & \sin \Psi_{c} \\
\sin \theta_{c} & \cos \theta_{c} & 0 \\
-\sin \psi_{c} \cos \theta_{c} & \sin \Psi_{c} \sin \theta_{c} & \cos \psi_{c}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{T}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{2} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Performing the indicated operations results in the following expressions for the disturbing torques about the spacecraft center-of-mass, written in terms of the TV camera and mount inertial and the Euler angles $\theta_{c}$ and $\Psi_{c}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{x}= & \left\{\frac{I_{x x}-I_{y y}}{2} \sin 2 \theta_{c}-I_{x y} \cos 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\cos \Psi_{c}\right) \ddot{\psi}_{c}+ \\
& \left\{I_{z z} \sin \Psi_{c}\right\} \ddot{\theta}_{c}+ \\
& \left\{\left(I_{x x}-I_{y y}\right) \cos 2 \theta_{c}+I_{z z}+2 I_{x y} \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\cos \Psi_{c}\right) \Psi_{c} \dot{\theta}_{c}+ \\
& \left\{I_{x y} \cos 2 \theta_{c}-\frac{\left.\left(I_{x x}-I_{y y}\right) \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\sin \Psi_{c}\right) \Psi_{c} 2}{2}\right. \tag{V-8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{y}= & \left\{I_{x x} \sin ^{2} \theta_{c}+I_{y y} \cos ^{2} \theta_{c}-I_{x y} \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\} \ddot{\psi}_{c}+ \\
& \left\{\left(I_{x x}-I_{y y}\right) \sin 2 \theta_{c}-2 I_{x y} \cos 2 \theta_{c}\right\} \dot{\psi}_{c} \dot{\theta}_{c}  \tag{V-9}\\
T_{z}= & \left\{I_{x y} \cos 2 \theta_{c}+\frac{\left(-I_{x x}+I_{y y}\right)}{2} \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\sin \Psi_{c}\right) \ddot{\psi}_{c}+ \\
& \left\{-2 I_{x y} \sin 2 \theta_{c}+I_{y y} \cos 2 \theta_{c}-I_{x x} \cos 2 \theta_{c}-I_{z z}\right\}\left(\sin \Psi_{c}\right) \Psi_{c} \dot{\theta}_{c} \\
& +I_{z z}\left(\cos \Psi_{c}\right) \ddot{\theta}_{c}+ \\
& \left\{\frac{-I_{x x}+I_{y y}}{2} \sin 2 \theta_{c}+I_{x y} \cos 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\cos \Psi_{c}\right) \psi_{c}^{2} \tag{V-10}
\end{align*}
$$

Part B. Computation of the Inertias for the TV Camera and Mount
The TV camera and mount are considered as two rectangular blocks with centers-of-mass at $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ respectively, as shown in Figure V-1. The equations to compute the inertias of rectangular blocks are well-known [6] and yield for $M_{1}$, about $C_{1}$,
$I_{x_{1} y_{1}}=\frac{M_{1}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)}{12}=.281 b-f t-\sec ^{2}$
$I_{y_{1 y} 1}=\frac{M_{1}\left(a^{2}+c^{2}\right)}{12}=1.271 b-f t-\mathrm{sec}^{2}$
$I_{z_{1} z_{1}}=\frac{M_{1}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}{12}=1.27 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2}$
where $M_{1}=2.49 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=2.33 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=0.82 \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{c}=0.82 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { For } M_{2} \text { about } C_{2}, \\
I_{x_{2} x_{2}}=\frac{M_{2}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)}{12}=0.285 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}+\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)}{12}=0.285 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}_{2} \mathrm{z}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}\right)}{12}=0.378 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{2} & =1.72 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{ft} \\
\mathrm{a} & =1.15 \mathrm{ft} \\
\mathrm{~b} & =1.15 \mathrm{ft} \\
\mathrm{c} & =0.82 \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

The parallel-axis theorem [6] is used to compute the moments-of-inertias of the two bodies about C. This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{x x}=I_{x_{1} x_{1}}+I_{x_{2} x_{2}}+M_{1} \bar{Y}_{1}^{2}+M_{2} \bar{Y}_{2}^{2}=7.193 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} \\
& I_{\mathrm{yy}}=I_{y_{1} y_{1}}+I_{y_{2} y_{2}}+M_{1} \bar{x}_{1}^{2}=2.34 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2} \\
& I_{z z}=I_{z_{1} z_{1}}+I_{z_{2} z_{2}}+M_{1}\left(\bar{Y}_{1}^{2}+\bar{x}_{1}^{2}\right)+M_{2}\left(\bar{Y}_{2}^{2}\right)=9.051 b-f t-\mathrm{sec}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The non-zero cross-product term is

$$
I_{x y}=M_{1} \bar{X}_{1} \bar{Y}_{1}=2.22 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2}
$$

where the values of $\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}, \overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}, \overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}$ are listed on Figure $\mathrm{V}-1$.

## Part C. Computation of Disturbing Torques

The inertias computed in Part B are substituted in (V-8), (V-9), (v-10). The resulting equations are

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=\left\{2.425 \sin 2 \theta_{\mathrm{c}}-2.22 \cos 2 \theta_{\mathrm{c}}\right\}\left(\cos \psi_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \Psi_{\mathrm{c}}+
$$

$$
9.05\left(\sin \Psi_{c}\right) \ddot{\theta}_{c}+
$$

$$
\left\{4.85 \cos 2 \theta_{c}+9.05+4.44 \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\cos \Psi_{c}\right) \dot{\Psi}_{c} \dot{\theta}_{c}+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{2.22 \cos 2 \theta_{c}-2.425 \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\sin \Psi_{c}\right) \dot{\psi}_{c}{ }^{2} \tag{v-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
T_{y}=\left\{7.19 \sin ^{2} \theta_{c}+2.34 \cos ^{2} \theta_{c}-2.22 \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\} \ddot{\Psi}_{c}+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{4.85 \sin 2 \theta_{c}-4.44 \cos 2 \theta_{c}\right\} \dot{\Psi}_{c} \dot{\theta}_{c} \tag{V-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
T_{z}=\left\{2.22 \cos 2 \theta_{c}-2.425 \sin 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\sin \Psi_{c}\right) \ddot{\psi}_{c}+
$$

$$
9.05\left(\cos \Psi_{c}\right) \ddot{\theta}_{c}+
$$

$$
\left\{-4.44 \sin 2 \theta_{c}-4.85 \cos 2 \theta_{c}-9.05\right\}\left(\sin \Psi_{c}\right) \dot{\Psi}_{c} \dot{\theta}_{c}+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{-2.425 \sin 2 \theta_{c}+2.22 \cos 2 \theta_{c}\right\}\left(\cos \Psi_{c}\right) \ddot{\Psi}_{c} 2 \tag{V-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain numerical values for the disturbing torques it is necessary to assume scanning rates and accelerations for the TV camera. It is assumed that these values are bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -10^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} \leq \dot{\theta}_{c}, \dot{\Psi}_{c} \leq+10^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}(.174 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}) \\
& -10^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}^{2} \leq \ddot{\theta}_{c}, \ddot{\psi}_{c} \leq+10^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\left(.174 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Interest is in those conditions which result in large disturbing torques.

The entries in Table 1, except for the one designated "upper bound" (but not least upper bound) were computed for selected values of $\theta_{c}$ and $\Psi_{c}$ by assigning scanning rates and accelerations so all terms are of the same sign and thus additive.

The entry in Table 1 designated the "upper bound" was computed by maximizing (or minimizing) each term in ( $V-11$ ) with respect to $\theta_{c}$ and assigning the polarity of the scanning rates and accelerations so all terms are positive, Next $\Psi_{c}$ was determined by solving $\frac{\partial T_{x}}{\partial \Psi_{c}}=0$. The following illustrates this procedure:

Referring to ( $\mathrm{V}-11$ ) let
$f_{1}\left(\theta_{c}\right)=2.425 \sin 2 \theta_{c}-2.22 \cos 2 \theta_{c}$
$\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\theta_{c}\right)}{\partial \theta_{c}}=0$ yields $\theta_{c}=-23.75^{\circ}$ and $f_{1}\left(-23.75^{\circ}\right)=3.287$
$\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=4.85 \cos 2 \theta_{c}+9.05+4.44 \sin 2 \theta_{c}$
$\frac{\partial f_{2}\left(\theta_{c}\right)}{\partial \theta_{c}}=0$ yields $\theta_{c}=+21.25^{\circ}$ and $f_{2}\left(21.25^{\circ}\right)=15.62$
$\mathrm{E}_{3}\left(\theta_{c}\right)=2.22 \cos 2 \theta_{c}-2.425 \sin 2 \theta_{c}$
$\frac{\partial f_{3}\left(\theta_{c}\right)}{\partial \theta_{c}}=0$ yields $\theta_{c}=-23.75^{\circ}$ and $f_{3}\left(-23.75^{\circ}\right)=3.287$
Next the scanning rates and accelerations are chosen so all terms are positive. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}= & (3.287)(.174) \cos \Psi_{c}+(9.05)(.174) \sin \Psi_{c}+ \\
& (15.62)(.174)^{2} \sin \Psi_{c}+(3.287)(.174)^{2} \sin \Psi_{c} \tag{V-14}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating $\frac{\partial T_{x}}{\partial \Psi_{c}}=0$ yields $\Psi_{c}=58^{\circ}$. This value gives
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad=1.97 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{sec}^{2}$
upper bound

The disturbing torques computed are comparable in magnitude to the torques available from the RGJ thrusters which for comparison purposes are listed at the bottom of Table 1. The conclusion to be drawn is that for the dimensions, mass, and scanning rates and accelerations assumed, the disturbing torques are significant. Equations (V-8), (V-9), (V-10) and the procedure outlined for computing an upper bound on the disturbing torque can be used to study the effect of changes in the parameters of the TV camera and mount.

| $\begin{array}{r} \theta_{\mathrm{c}} \\ \text { deg } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \Psi_{c} \\ \text { deg } \end{array}$ | $\dot{\theta}_{c}$ des/sec | $\dot{\Psi}_{c}$ <br> deg/sec | $\begin{gathered} \ddot{\theta}_{c} \\ \operatorname{deg} / \sec 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\ddot{\Psi}_{c}$ $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ | Torque $1 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{ft}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -23.75 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | . 2900 |
| 21.25 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | . 469 |
| 45.0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | . 3021 |
| 21.25 | 18.6 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | . 9477 |
| -23.75 | 81 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 1.6953 |
| 0 | 63.9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | -10 | 1.8294 |
| -23.75 | 63.9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | -10 | 1.8742 |
| --- | 58 | 10 | 10 | 10 | -10 | 1.97* |
| Ty Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.65 | --- | 10 | 10 | - | 10 | . 223 |
| 21.25 | --- | --- | 0 | --- | 10 | . 2595 |
| -30.85 | --- | 10 | 10 | --- | 10 | 1.16125 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{z}}$ Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21.25 | 90 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | . 4729 |
| -23.75 | 26.8 | -10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1.87688 |

* Upper-bound
note: RCJ torques are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}}=1.8 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{y}}=1.28 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{z}}=1.28 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1. Disturbing Torques due to Motion of Television Camera

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

One objective of this study was to design a highly reliable attitude control system for experiment pointing, station keeping, docking and separation from the target for the CARD space vehicle. The design presented herein is based on using a RCJ attitude control system and/or a CMG attitude control system. The combined system was designed so that the torques from each system are never in opposition and to minimize cross-coupling of the axes.

The RCJ attitude control system uses a no-fire region similar to that employed on the Skylab program [2]. A modification was introduced which results in more predictable phase-plane trajectories for the $Y$-axis and $Z$-axis control systems and shows promise of improved performance. In essence, in place of controlling $\phi_{y}$ and $\phi_{z}$, the $Y$-axis attitude and Z -axis attitude respectively, the feedbacks are arranged so that $\left(\phi_{z}+\phi_{y}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{z}-\phi_{y}\right)$ are being controlled. If the phaseplane trajectories are plotted as $\left(A_{1 z} \dot{\phi}_{z}+A_{1 y} \dot{\phi}_{y}\right)$ vs $\left(\phi_{z}+\phi_{y}\right)$ and $\left(A_{1 z} \dot{\phi}_{z}-A_{1 y} \dot{\phi}_{y}\right)$ vs $\left(\phi_{z}-\phi_{y}\right)$ rather than $\dot{\phi}_{z}$ vs $\phi_{z}$ and $\dot{\phi}_{y}$ vs $\phi_{y}$ then the trajectories can be superimposed on the no-fire boundaries. More test runs need to be made to evaluate this system.

The CMG system simulated for this study uses the optimum steering law described in [4]. This steering law was chosen for convenience; the approach to designing the system was general and could have employed
any steering law. The state-space analysis of the CMG system led to the required structure of the input matrix (steering law) and of the feedback matrix if the system axes are to be decoupled. The optimum steering law and proportional-plus-rate feedback are shown to be special cases. Additional work is needed to determine if this approach will lead to better steering laws and feedback schemes.

In the attitude control system using both the CMG's and the RCJ's the feedback for the $Y$-axis and the Z -axis are the sum and difference signals. The torque reversal line of the CMG system was designed to be in the middle of the RCJ system no-fire region. With this design the two systems never produce opposing torques. Additional phase-plane trajectories are needed to fully evaluate this system and other CMG torque reversal lines need to be investigated.

Another objective of this study was to derive a procedure for computing the disturbing torques due to the motion of the on-board TV camera. The equations for the torques in terms of the TV camera and mount inertias and scanning rates and accelerations were derived. A simplified procedure for computing the upper bound (but not the least upper bound) of the torques was outlined. More precise computations can be made once the camera requirements are better defined.
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APPENDIX ATTITUDE CONTROL SYSTEM SIMULATION PROGRAM

RCJ Attitude Control System - Linear Signal Mixing - Sum and Difference Feedback
and/or
CMG Attitude Control System - Optimum Steering Law - Sum and Difference Feedback

Input Data

DELT
PRDEL
FINTIM
INERTX
INERTY
CUTOFF
GSTOP
FPX
FPY
FPZ
AMX

AMY

AMZ

RLDGX
Integration step size, seconds
Print increment, seconds
Total simulation time
x-axis moment-of-inertia, lb-ft-sec ${ }^{2}$
y-axis moment-of-inertia, Ib-ft-sec ${ }^{2}$
Minimum absolute value of det [A]
Gimbal stop, radians
x-axis position feedback gain
y-axis powition feedback gain
z-axis position feedback gain
x-axis acceleration due to RCJ thrusters
deg/sec
y-axis acceleration due to RCJ thrusters
deg/sec
z-axis acceleration due to RCJ thrusters
deg/sec.
x-axis rate ledge, deg/sec
Print increment, seconds
Total simulation time
$x$-axis moment-of-inertia, $1 b-f t-\sec ^{2}$
$y$-axis moment-of-inertia, lb-ft-sec ${ }^{2}$
Minimum absolute value of det [A]
Gimbal stop, radians
x -axis position feedback gain
y-axis powition feedback gain
z-axis position feedback gain
x-axis acceleration due to RCJ thrusters
deg/sec
$y$-axis acceleration due to RCJ thrusters
deg/sec
z-axis acceleration due to RGJ thrusters
$x$-axis rate ledge, deg/sec

RLDGY
RLDGZ
PDB
TQMTRL
PHIX
PHIY
PHIZ
DPX
DPY
DPZ
DEL 11
DEL 12
DEL 13
DEL 31
DEL 32
DEL 33
$y$-axis rate ledge, deg/sec
z-axis rate ledge, deg/sec
Deadband, deg
Torque motor rate limit, rad/sec
Initial value of $x$-axis angle, deg
Initial value of $y$-axis angle, deg
Initial value of $z$-axis angle, deg
Initial value of $x$-axis rate, deg/sec
Initial value of $y$-axis rate, deg/sec
Initial value of $y$-axis rate, deg/sec
Initial value of $\delta 11$, deg
Initial value of $\delta 12$, deg
Initial value of $\delta 13$, deg
Clamped value of $\delta 31$, deg
Clamped value of $\delta 32$, deg
Clamped value of $\delta 33$, deg

```
    REAL NXCMND,NYCMNC,MZCMND,MXCMDE,MYCNDE,MZCMDE,MRX,NRY,MRL,NTRXC,
    & INERTX,INERTY,INERTZ,NI,M2,N3,NIINT,N2INT,N3INT
    CUNMCN RAOIAN, INERTX,INERTY,INERTZ,FPX,FPY,FPZ,FDPX,FDPY,FCPZ
    COMMON/B1/LLCT11,OLDT12.DLDT13,MXCMND,MXCMDE,MRX,NRY,MRL,NTRXD,
    & COTIIC,CDTI2C,CDTI3C,OEL3IR,DEL32R,DEL33R,CUTOFF,GSTCP,
    $ EX,EY,EZ,DDPXT,CDPYT,CDPZT,TRXV,TRYV,TRLV
    CUNNON/E4/AIX,AIY,ALZ,PRX,PRY,PRZ
    DELT =0.02
    PRDEL =0.2
    FINTIM=60.
    INERTX=1127.
    INERTY=2947.
    INERTZ=2918.
    CUTOFF=.05
    GSTOP=3.
    FPX=7CC
    FPY=1000.
    FPL=1000.
    AMX=.2C8
    AMY=.C391
    AML=.0378
    RLCGX=.172
    RLCGY=.172
    RLCGL=.172
    PDE=.3
    X1=4.*PCB*AMX+RLDGX**2
    Y L=4.*PCB*AMY +RLDGY**2
    ZI=4.*PCB*AMZ +RLDGZ**2
    X2=8.*AMX*(2.*AMX*PDB**2-PDE*RLDGX**2)
    Y2=8.*ANY*(2.*AMY*PDR**2-PCB*RLDGY**2)
    Z2=8.*AMZ*(2.*AMZ*PDB**2-PDB*RLDGZ**2)
    PRX=(X1+SQRT(X1**2-X2))/(4.*AMX)
    PRY=(Yl+SGRT(Y1**2-Y2))/(4.*AMY)
    PRZ=(Z1+SQRT(Z1**2-Z2))/(4.*AMZ)
    ALX=SGRT((PRX+PDB)/(2.*AMX))
    A1Y=SGRT((PRY +PDB)/(2.*AMY))
    A1Z=SGRT((PRZ+PDB)/(2.*AMZ))
    WRITE(t,20)AlX,ALY,AIZ
    WKITE (6,30)PRX,PRY,PRZ
20 FORMAT('I','ALX =',E13.5,4X, 'AIY =',E13.5,4X,'A1Z =',E13.5/)
30 FORMAT(' ', 'PRX =', E13.5,4X,'PRY =',E13.5,4X,'PRZ =',E13.5/////)
    PHIX=E.
    PHIY=C.
    PHIZ = 0.
    DPX =0.
    DPY =0.
    DPZ =0.
DEL11=0.
```

```
    DEL 12=0.
    CtL13=0.
    MIINT=0.
    M2INT=C.
    M3INT=0.
    CEL31=45.
    DEL32=45.
    DEL33=45.
    RADIAN = 57.29578
    DELI1R=CELI1/RADIAN
    OELI2R=CELI2/RADIAN
    DELI3R=CELI3/RADIAN
    DEL31R=DEL31/RADIAN
    DEL32R=DEL32/RADIAN
    DEL33R=CEL33/RADIAN
    TEST=-CELT/2.
    TIME=C.0
    FULL=C.
    DO 9CC I=1,1CCOCOO
    IF(TINE.GT.FINTIM)GO TO ICOO
    CALL FCNITIME,PHIX,DPX,PHIY,DPY,PHIL,DPZ,
    $ CELIIR,DELI2R,DELI3R,MIINT,M2INT,M3INT,
    $ FCN1,FCN2,FCN3,FCN4,FCN5,FCN6,
    $ FCN7,FCN8,FCN9,FCN10,FCN11,FCN12)
    SUN=PHIY+PHIL
    DIFF=PFIY-PHIZ
    DSUM=A1Y*DPY+A1Z*DPZ
    DUIFF=A1Y*DPY-A1Z*DPZ
    DOPXT=0.
    DOPYT=0.
    DDPZT=0.
    FUELA=0.
    IF(ABS(EX).LT.PDB) GO TO 100
    CDPXT=CCPXT+SIGN(AMX,EX)
    FUELA=FUELA+4.*DELT
100 IF(ABS(EY).LT.PDB) GC TO 110
    CDPYT=CDPYT+.5*SIGN(AMY,EY)
    COPZT=CDPZT+.5*SIGN(AMZ,EY)
    FUELA=FUELA+2.*DELT
110 IF(ABS(EZ).LT.PDB) GO TO 120
    DCPYT=CCPYT-.5*SIGN(AMY,EL)
    COPZT=CCPZT+.5*SIGN(AMZ,EZI)
    FUELA=FUELA+2.*DELT
120 CONTINUE
    AB11=ABS(DELIIR)
    AB12=AES(DEL12R)
    AEl3=ARS(DELI3R)
    IF(AEIl.GE.GSTOP.OR.ABI2.GE.GSTOP.OR.ABI3.GE.GSTOP)GO TO SIO
```

```
    IF(ABS(CDPXT).GT.AMX/4.0) GO TO 300
    IF(AES(CDPYT).GT.AMY/4.0) GO TO 300
    IF(AESICDPZT).GT.AMZ/4.0) GO TO 3CO
    IF(TIME.LT.TEST) GO TO 400
    TLST=TEST+PRDEL
    GO TC 310
3CO CONTINUE
    PRODX= CDPXT*MRX
    PRODY= CCPYT*NRY
    PRCDZ=CDPZT*NRZ
    IF(PRCOX.LT.C.) WRITE(6,197)
    IF(PRCEY.LT.O.) WRITE(6,198)
    IF(PRCCZ.LT.C.) WRITE(6.199)
197 FORMAT(' ','***X-AXIS GAS (HRUSTERS CPPOSE CMGS***')
198 FURMAT(' ','**#Y-AXIS GAS THRUSTERS (PPCSE CMGS***')
199 FORMAI(' ','##*Z-AXIS GAS THRUSTERS CPPOSE CMGS***')
310 WRITE (6,200)TIME,PHIX,TRXV,DDPXT,DPX
    WRITE(E,201)PHIY,TRYV,CCPYT,OPY
    WRITE(G,202)PHIZ,TRZV,DCPZT,DPZ
    WRITE(E,203)CEL11,CEL12,CEL13,MTRXD
    WRITE(E,204)SUN,DSLM,DIFF,DDIFF
    WRITE(E,205)FUEL
4CO FUEL=FUEL+FUELA
    CALL INTGRL(TIME,OELT,FCN1,FCN2,FCN3,FCN4,FCN5,FCN6,
    $
    FCN7,FCN8,FCN9,FCN10,FCN11,FCN12,
    $ PHIX,CPX,PHIY,DPY,PHIZ,DPZ,
    L CELIIR,DELI2R,DELI3R,NIINT,N2INT,N3INTI
    DELIl=CELIIR*RADIAN
    DELI2=CEL12R*RADIAN
    DEL13=CEL13K*RADIAN
    TINE=TIME+DELT
900 CONTINUE
    GO TO 1C00
910 WRITE(E.920)
920 FORMAT(: ','###*GINBAL ANGLE EXCEEDS GIMBAL STOP*****)
    WRITE(6,200)TIME,PHIX,TRXV,DCPXT,DPX
    WRITE(E,201)PHIY,TRYV,CDPYT,CPY
    WRITE(6,202)PHIZ,TRZV,CDPZT,DPZ
    WRITE(6,204)SUM,DSUM,DIFF,DDIFF
    WRITE(6,203)CEL11,OEL12,CEL13,MTRXD
    WRITE(6,205)FUEL
200 FORMAT''','TIME =',E12.4.5X,'PHIX =',E13.5.5X,'TRXV =',E13.5.
    $ 5X,'CDPXT =',E13.5.5X,'DPX =',E13.5)
201 FURMAT(25X,'PHIY =',E13.5.5X,'TRYV =',E13.5.5X,'DDPYT =',E13.5,
    $ 5X,'CPY =',E13.51
202 FORMATI25X,'PHIZ =',E13.5.5X,'TRZV =',E13.5,5X,'DOPZT =',E13.5,
    $ 5X,'CPZ =',E13.5)
203 FCRMAT(25X,'CELI1 =',E13.5.5X,'DEL12 =',E13.5,5X,'DELI3 =',E13.5,
```

```
    5X,'MTRXD =',E13.5)
    204 FURMAT(25X,'SUM =',E13.5,5X,'DSLM =',E13.5,5X.'DIFF =',E13.5,
    5 5x,'CDIFF =',E13.5)
205 FURMATI25X,'FUEL =',E13.5/)
1COO STCP
    ENC
```

```
    SUBRCLTINE INTGRLIT,H,FCNI,FCN2,FCN3,FCN4,FCN5,FCN6,
b
$
    H2=H/2.
    CALL FCN1T,Y1,Y2,Y3,Y4,Y5,Y6,Y7,Y8,Y9,Y10,Y11,Y12,FCN1,FCN2,FCN3,
$
    FCN4,FCN5,FCN6,FCN7,FCN8,FCN9,FCN10,FCN11,FCN12)
    Pl=H*FCN1
    P2=H*FCN2
    P3=H*FCN3
    P4=H*FCN4
    P5=H*FCN5
    P6=H*FCN6
    P7=H*FCN7
    P8=H*FCN8
    Pg=H#FCN9
    P10=H*FCN1O
    P11=H*FCN11
    P12=F*FCN12
    CALL FCN(T+H2,Y1+P1/2.,Y2+P2/2.,Y3+P3/2.,Y4+P4/2.,Y5+P5/2.,
$ Y6tP6/2.,Y7+P7/2.,Y8+P8/2.,Y9+P9/2.,Y10+P10/2.,Y11+P11/2.,
$ Y12+P12/2,,FCN1,FCN2,FCN3,FCN4,FCN5,FCN6,FCN7,FCN8,FCNY,
$ FCNLO,FCN11,FCN12)
    Q1=H*FCN1
    O2=H*FCN2
    Q3=H*FCN3
    Q4=H*FCN4
    Q5=H*FCN5
    Q6=H*FCN6
    Q7=H*FCN7
    Q8=H*FCN8
    Q9=H*FCN9
    Q10=H*FCN1O
    Q11=H*FCN11
    Q12=ト*FCN12
    CALL FCNIT+H2,Y1+Q1/2.,Y2+Q2/2.,Y3+Q3/2.,Y4+04/2.,Y5+G5/2.,
$ Y6+Q6/2,,Y7+07/2.,Y8+Q8/2.,Y9+09/2.,Y10+Q10/2.,Y11+011/2..
$ Y12+G12/2,,FCN1,FCN2,FCN3,FCN4,FCN5,FCN6,FCN7,FCNB,FCN9,
$ FCN1O,FCN11,FCN12)
    RI=H*FCNL
R2=H*FCN2
R3=H*FCN3
R4=H*FCN4
R5=H*FCN5
R6=H*FCN6
R7=H*FCN7
R8=H*FCN8
RO=H*FCN9
R10=H*FCN1O
```

```
    R11=H*FCN11
R12=H*FCN12
CALL FCNIT+H,Y1+R1,Y2+R2,Y3+R3,Y4+R4,Y5+R5,Y6+R6,Y7+R7,Y8+R8,
$
$ FCN7,FCN8,FCN9,FCN10,FCN11,FCN12)
S1=H*FCN1
S2=H*FCN2
S3=H*FCN3
S4=H*FCN4
S5=H*FCN5
S6=H*FCN6
S7=H*FCN7
S8=H*FCN8
S9=H*FCN9
S10=H*FCN10
S1.1=H女FCN11
S12=H*FCN12
Yl=Y1+(P1+2.*(Q1+R1)+S1)/6.
YZ=Y2+(P2+2.*(Q2+R2)+S2)/6.
Y =Y 3+(P3+2.*(03+R3)+S3)/6.
Y4=Y4+(P4+2.*(04+R4)+S4)/6.
Y5=Y5+(P5+2.*(G5+R5)+S5)/6.
Yt}=Y6+(P6+2.*(Q6+R6)+S6)/6
Y7=Y7+(P7+2.*(Q7+R7)+S7)/6.
Y8=Y8+(P8+2.*(G8+R8)+S8)/6.
YG=Y9+(P9+2.*(09+R9)+S9)/6.
Y10=Y1C+(P10+2.*(010+R10)+S10)/6.
Y11=Y 11+(P11+2.*(C11+R11)+S11)/6.
Y12=Y12+(P12+2.*(012+R12)+S12)/6.
RETURN
END
```

```
    SUBKDLTINE FCNITIME,PHIX,DPX,PHIY,DPY,PHIZ,DPL,
8 DELIIR,DELI2R,DELI3R,NIINT,MZINT,M3INT,
FCN1,FCN2,FCN3,FCN4,FCN5,FCNG,FCN7,FCN8,
FCNO,FCN1O,FCN11,FCN12)
    RLAL NXCMND,NYCMND,MZCMND,MXCMDE,NYCMDE,MZCMDE,MRX,NRY,MRL,NTRXC,
$ INERTX,INERTY,INERTZ,M1,M2,N3,MIINT,N2INT,M3INT
    CGMMON RACIAN,INERIX,INERTY,INERTZ,FPX,FPY,FPZ,FDPX,FDPY,FDPZ
    CONMCN/BI/CLCTIL,DLDT12,DLDT13,NXCMNC,MXCMDE,MRX,NRY,MRL,MTRXD,
b
$ EX,EY,EZ,ODPXT,CDPYT,CCPZT,TRXV,TRYV,TRZV
    CUNMCN/B4/A1X,A1Y,A1Z,PRX,PRY,PRZ
    All= SIN(DELIIR)*CCS(DEL3IR)
    \Delta12= CCS(DELI2R)
    \Delta13=-SIN(DEL13R)*SIN(DEL 33R)
    A21=-SIN(DELIIR)*SIN(DEL3IR)
    A22= SIN(DEL12R)*COS(DEL32R)
    A23= CCS(DELI3R)
    A31= CCS(DELIIR)
    A32=-SIN(DELI2R)*SIN(DEL32R)
    A33= SIN(CEL13R)*COS(DEL33R)
    MTRXD=A11*A22*A33+A12*A23*A31+A21*A32*A13
& - 113*A22*A31-A12*A21*A33-A23*A32*A11
    IF(AES(MTRXC).LT.CUTOFFIMTRXD=SIGNICLTOFF,MIRXD)
    T11=1 A22*A?3-A23*4321/MTRXD
    T12=(-A12*A33+A13*A32)/MTRXD
    T13=1 A12*A23-A13*A22I/MTRXD
    T21=(-A21*A33+A23*A31)/MTRXD
    T22=( A11*A33-A13*A31)/MTRXD
    T23=(-A11*A23+A13*A21)/MTRXD
    T31=( A21*A32-A22*A31)/MTRXD
    T32=(-A11*A 32+A12*A31)/MTRXD
    T33=( A11*A22-A12*A21)/MTKXD
    ODT11R=5.*M1INT
    COT12R=5.*N2INT
    OCT13R=5.*M3INT
    CLCT11= CDT11R#RADIAN
    CLCT12=COT12R*RADIAN
    DLCT13=CDT13R*RACIAN
    PXF=PHIX
    PYF=PHIY+PHIZ
PLF=PHIZ-PHIY
IF(ABS(PXF).GT.PRX) PXF=SIGN(PRX,PXF)
IF(ABS(PYF).GT.PRY) PYF=SIGN(PRY,PYF)
IF(AES(PZF).GT.PRZ) PZF=SIGN(PRZ,PZF)
EX=-(AIX*DPX+PXF)
EY=-(AILZ#CPZ+AIY*CPY +PYF)
EZ=-(A1Z#DPZ-AIY*DPY +PZF)
NXCMNC=FPX*EX/RADIAN
```

```
MYCMNC=FPY*(EY-EZ)/RADIAN
NLCMNC=FPZ*(EY+EZ)/RADIAN
MKX=(A11*DCT11R+A12*DDT12R+A13*DDT13R)*60.
MKY=(A21*CCT11R+A22*CDT12R+A23*DCT13R)*60.
MRL=(A31*CCT11R+A32*CDT12R+A33*DDT13R)*60.
TRXV=RADIAN*NRX/INERTX
TRYV=RADIAN*MRY/INERTY
TRZV=RACIAN*MRZ/INERTZ
NXCMCE = NXCMND -MRX
MYCMCE=NYCMND-MRY
MZCMDE=MZCMND-MRZ
DDI11C=(T11*NXCMDE+T12*MYCMDE+T13*MZCMDE)/60.
DOT12C=(T21*NXCMDE+T22*NYCMDE+T23*MZCMDE)/60.
CDT13C=(T31*NXCMDE+T32*NYCMDE+T33*NZCMDE)/60.
DDT11G=2.*DDT11C
DDT12G=2.*CDT12C
OCT13G=2.*CDT13C
TGMTRL=4.5/RADIAN
IF(ABS(CDTIIG).GT.TQMTRL)CDTIIG=SIGN(TQMTRL,DOTIIG)
IF(AES(CDT12G).GT.TQMTRL)CDT12G=SIGN(TQMTRL,DOT12G)
IF(ABS(CDT13G).GT.TGMTRL)DCTI3G=SIGN(TQNTRL,DDTI3G)
FCNI=CPX
FCN2=TRXV+DDPXT
FCN3=CPY
FCN4=TRYV+CDPYT
FCN5=[PZ
FCN6=TRZV+DDP LT
FCN7=CCT11R
FCNB=CCT12R
FCN9=CDT13R
FCN10=DOT11G-DOT11R
FCN11=CDT12G-CDT12R
FCN12=CDT13G-CDT13R
RETURN
END
```

