SAE INTERNATIONAL

### SILICON VALLEY AIR-TAXI STUDY

Ken Goodrich Senior Research Engineer NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA

13 April 2016

## INITIAL BENEFIT & FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT OF ON-DEMAND, URBAN MOBILITY USING 3 DIMENSIONS



## OUTLINE

- My background, briefly
- Silicon Valley air-taxi commuter study
- Why now



## RESEARCH ENGINEER, NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER

#### First "A" in NASA...Aeronautics

One of 4 NASA Research Centers with significant aero component

Established 1917, Hampton VA

1,976 civil servants

Engineering research in

- Aerodynamics
- Structures and materials
- Dynamics and control
- Flight systems and operations
- Concept and systems analysis



# SILICON VALLEY CASE STUDY...





- High income
- High housing costs
- Terrain challenged transportation network
  - Water & mountains
- Rapid new technology adoption, investment

### **#1 IN COMMUTER TRAVEL DISTANCE AND TIME**

Metro Areas with Highest Mean Distance	Percent Mega Commutes
San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA	2.06
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	1.90
Salinas, CA	1.23
Gulfport-Biloxi, MS	0.94
Hinesville-Fort Stewart, GA	0.93
Lawton, OK	0.82
Fayetteville, NC	0.73
Brunswick, GA	0.64
Anchorage, AK	0.25
Honolulu, HI	0.08

Top 3 Metro Areas with most 1-way commutes greater than 90 minutes are all in the Silicon Valley



#### >25% have Daily Travel Times of >90 min.

TRAVEL TIMES FOR URBAN CITY PAIRS       Direct       Driving       Average       Ground										
Beach Mill Valley	Cerrito Berkeley	Walnut Creek			Distance (miles)	Distance (miles)	Spe (m	eed ph)	Travel (minเ	Time utes)
	A Oak and	Danville	City 1	City 2			Non- Peak	Peak	Non- Peak	Peak
San Francisco	Alameda	San Hamo	Oakland	Stanford	27	36	39	18	55	120
San Leandro		San Leandro	Morgan Hill	Palo Alto						
Daly City	27	Hayward	H.M. Bay	San Fran.						
280 San'Bruno	miles		Santa Cruz	Mt. View						
Pacifica	4	36 238	San Fran.	San Jose						
San M	Nateo	Fremont	Fremont	Cupertino						
0 280	Bair Isla	10d (880)	Pleasanton	Sunnyvale						
Half Moon Bay	1.2		Walnut Crk.	Daly City						
	35	No Alto	San Rafael	Fremont						
		Mountain View	Mill Valley	RW City						
degeneration		Map da		Average						
					112.2			12.20		a land

TRAVEL TIMES FO	R URB		TY P	AIRS				NASA
12) 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150			Direct Distance (miles)	Driving Distance (miles)	Ave Spe (m	rage eed ph)	Grou Travel (minu	und Time utes)
Berkeley Mt Diablo	City 1	City 2			Non- Peak	Peak	Non- Peak	Peak
San Francisco	Oakland	Stanford	27	36	39	18	55	120
Pleasanton	Morgan Hill	Palo Alto	34	38	51	19	45	120
San Mateo (a)	H.M. Bay	San Fran.	22	30	45	24	40	75
Palo Alto	Santa Cruz	Mt. View	29	36	48	20	45	110
View San Jose	San Fran.	San Jose	42	48	53	32	55	90
Pescadero	Fremont	Cupertino	16	25	50	20	30	75
	Pleasanton	Sunnyvale	22	28	43	17	40	100
	Walnut Crk.	Daly City	27	32	49	18	40	110
Santa Cruz D	San Rafael	Fremont	42	49	53	27	55	110
Watsoi Map data 620	Mill Valley	RW City	34	40	40	20	60	120
		Average	29	36	47	22	46	103





### **CONVENTIONAL AIRPLANE - CAR COMPARISON**

		Total Travel Time (minutes)					
		Non-F	Peak	Peak			
City 1	City 2	Car	Plane	Car	Plane		
Oakland	Stanford	55	54	120	64		
Morgan Hill	Palo Alto	45	38	120	46		
Half Moon Bay	San Francisco	40	65	75	75		
Santa Cruz	Mountain View	45	55	110	64		
San Francisco	San Jose	55	81	90	103		
Fremont	Cupertino	30	63	75	85		
Pleasonton	Sunnyvale	40	46	100	57		
Walnut Creek	Daly City	40	57	110	67		
San Rafael	Fremont	55	50	110	87		
Mill Valley	Redwood City	60	50	120	57		
Average travel ti	46	58	103	73			
Average airplane		(12)		30			



### 2 ground segments to best airports (average 12 miles) 5 minutes per transition (optimistic)

Airplane

• 200 mph in-flight average

### ARE SHORT OR VERTICAL TAKEOFF & LANDING OPTIONS? (Short, Extremely-Short, and Vertical Takeoff and Landing)

Н



- CTOL with 3° glideslope, 9550' (not shown)
- STOL with 12° glideslope, 2350' field length
- ESTOL with 20° glideslope, 1375' field length
- ESTOL with 30° glideslope, 866' field length
- ESTOL with 45° glideslope, 500' field length
- VTOL with 90° glideslope, 0' field length, but FAA guidelines for setbacks require a 200' circle

Touchdown/Lift-Off Area 50' Diameter LLA LLA = Level Landing Area 115' Diameter Final Approach and Touchdown Area (FATO) 200' Diameter Public Safety Area (PSA)





- Available DOT land resource provides approach/departure paths without overflight of private property at <500 ft.</li>
- Existing high noise area that the community accepts with established setbacks
- Distribution that couples to existing ground roads for minimum travel time

## POTENTIAL HELIPAD LOCATIONS, URBAN BARGES



#### Selection Criteria:

- Direct Roadway Access
- 500' distance between two helipads perpendicular to flight path
- 250' distance from center of helipad to other obstruction perpendicular to flight path

Flight Path

 $\bigcirc$ 

500'

250'





## POTENTIAL HELIPAD LOCATIONS, PRIVATE CAMPUS





#### **Additional Requirements:**

- Min: 45 deg. crosswind
- 500 ft. private ground clearance

## **AREA-WIDE ESTIMATE**

### 10 Sq. Miles | 10 Intersections | 19 Potential Helipads



### **AREA-WIDE ESTIMATE**



280 Sq. Miles | 105 Intersections | 200 Potential Helipads



~1 mile average to nearest helipad

Note: nodal rather than path-based network resilient to local disruption

### **VTOL - CAR URBAN COMPARISON**

Total Travel Time (minutes)								
	r	VTOL						
City 1	City 2	Non peak	Peak	Both				
Oakland	Stanford	55	120	30				
Morgan Hill	Palo Alto	45	120	34				
Half Moon Bay	San Francisco	40	75	28				
Santa Cruz	Mountain View	45	110	32				
San Francisco	San Jose	55	90	34				
Fremont	Cupertino	30	75	28				
Pleasonton	Sunnyvale	40	100	30				
Walnut Creek	Daly City	40	110	32				
San Rafael	Fremont	55	110	36				
Mill Valley	Redwood City	60	120	34				
Average travel ti	me	47	103	32				
Average VTOL be	nefit (minutes)	15	71					



#### **VTOL** assumptions

- 1 ground mile at each end
- 5 minutes per transition
- Vertical departure and arrival transitions
- 200 mph cruise segment

#### Longer trips

Converges to >3.5x time reduction for longer trips

## WE'VE HEARD THIS FOR DECADES--THE BARRIERS ARE TOO HIGH!

Too expensive Not safe enough Community noise Hard to use Unreliable Uncomfortable Final mile problem Inefficient & high emissions Never certify Airspace integration

## **...CONVERGENCE OF DISTRIBUTED ELECTRIC PROPULSION** & AUTONOMY IS WHY THIS IS POSSIBLE IN NEXT 10 YEARS

#### Autonomy

- Simplified vehicle operation
- High-density airspace
- Air & ground vehicle sharing

#### **Electric Propulsion**

- Scale-free
- Highly Redundant
- High power/weight
- Efficient configurations



















## **CURRENT & NEXT STEPS**

#### NASA

• Partnering with MIT to investigate Los Angeles as another specific early adopter market

- More detailed demand model with validation from aggregate cell phone location data..
  - Assess the effects of the flown trajectories on existing air traffic using airspace simulation to determine airspace capacity limits for the region.
- FAA, Industry, NASA roadmapping to identify technology and certification requirements and gaps
- SCEPTOR Distributed-Electric Propulsion X-Plane
- Developing design for ultra-quiet VTOL and sub-scale prototype
- Facilitate leveraging of air and ground-vehicle technology, standards, research

#### Industry

- Early helicopter ride-sharing experiments
- Multiple, well-funded electric VTOL concepts currently in development including flight test
- VTOL X-Prize competition under development



