Intersections of shifted sets

Mauro Di Nasso*

Department of Mathematics University of Pisa, Italy

dinasso@dm.unipi.it

Submitted: Nov 28, 2014; Accepted: May 4, 2015; Published: May 14, 2015 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05B10, 11B05, 11B37

Abstract

We consider shifts of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ by elements from another set $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and prove intersection properties according to the relative asymptotic size of A and B. A consequence of our main theorem is the following: If $A = \{a_n\}$ is such that $a_n = o(n^{k/k-1})$, then the k-recurrence set $R_k(A) = \{x \mid |A \cap (A+x)| \ge k\}$ contains the distance sets of some arbitrarily large finite sets.

Keywords: Asymptotic density, Delta-sets, k-Recurrence sets.

1 Introduction

It is a well-know fact that if a set of natural numbers A has positive upper asymptotic density, then its set of distances

$$\Delta(A) = \{ a' - a \mid a', a \in A, a' > a \}$$

meets the set of distances $\Delta(X)$ of any infinite set X (see, e.g., [1]). In consequence, $\Delta(A)$ is syndetic, that is there exists k such that $\Delta(A) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ for every interval I of length k. It is a relevant theme of research in combinatorial number theory to investigate properties of distance sets according to their "asymptotic size" (see, e.g., [7, 8, 4, 2].)

The sets of distances are generalized by the k-recurrence sets, namely the sets of those numbers that are the common distance of at least k-many pairs:

$$R_k(A) = \{x \mid |A \cap (A+x)| \ge k\}.$$

Trivially, $R_{k+1}(A) \subseteq R_k(A)$; notice also that $R_1(A) = \Delta(A)$. We now further generalize this notion.

Let $[A]^h = \{Z \subseteq A \mid |Z| = h\}$ denote the family of all finite subsets of A of cardinality h, namely the *h*-tuples of A.

^{*}Supported by PRIN-MIUR grant "Logic, models, and sets".

The electronic journal of combinatorics $\mathbf{22(2)}$ (2015), #P2.25

Definition 1. For $k, h \in \mathbb{N}$ with h > 1, the (h, k)-recurrence set of A is the following set of h-tuples:

$$R_k^h(A) = \{\{t_1 < \ldots < t_h\} \in [\mathbb{N}]^h \mid |(A + t_1) \cap \ldots \cap (A + t_h)| \ge k\}.$$

Also in this case, we trivially have the inclusions $R_{k+1}^h(A) \subseteq R_k^h(A)$. Notice that for j < h, any *j*-tuple included in some *h*-tuple of $R_k^h(A)$, belongs to $R_k^j(A)$. Notice also that a pair $\{t < t'\} \in R_k^2(A)$ if and only if the distance $t' - t \in R_k(A)$, because trivially $|(A+t) \cap (A+t')| = |A \cap (A+(t'-t))|$. More generally, one has the property:

Proposition 2. If $Z \in R_k^h(A)$ then its set of distances $\Delta(Z) \subseteq R_k(A)$.

Proof. Let z < z' be elements of Z. Then $\{z < z'\} \subseteq Z \in R_k^h(A)$, and hence $\{z < z'\} \in R_k^2(A)$, which is equivalent to $z' - z \in R_k(A)$.

We remark that the implication in the above proposition cannot be reversed when h > 2. *E.g.*, if $A = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 8\}$ and $F = \{1, 2, 4\}$ then $|A \cap (A + 1)| = |A \cap (A + 2)| = |A \cap (A + 3)| = 2$, and so $\Delta(F) = \{1, 2, 3\} \subseteq R_2(A)$. However $F \notin R_2^3(A)$ because $(A + 1) \cap (A + 2) \cap (A + 4) = \emptyset$.

For sets of natural numbers, we write $A = \{a_n\}$ to mean that elements a_n of A are arranged in increasing order. We adopt the usual "little-O" notation, and for functions $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$, we write $a_n = o(f(n))$ to mean that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/f(n) = 0$.

Our main result is the following.

• Theorem 4. Let $A = \{a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_n\}$ be infinite sets of natural numbers, and let:¹

$$\liminf_{n,m\to\infty}\frac{a_n+b_m}{n\sqrt[k]{m}} = l$$

If $l < \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{h-1}}$ then $R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h \neq \emptyset$; and if l = 0 then $R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h$ is infinite for all h.

(Notice that when k = 1, for every infinite set A one has $R_1^h(A) \neq \emptyset$ for all h). As a consequence of the theorem above, the following intersection property is obtained.

• Theorem 9. Let $k \ge 2$. If the infinite set $A = \{a_n\}$ is such that $a_n = o(n^{k/k-1})$ then $R_k(A)$ is a "finitely Delta-set", that is there exist arbitrarily large finite sets Z such that $\Delta(Z) \subseteq R_k(A)$.

¹ By *limit inferior* of a double sequence $\langle c_{nm} \mid (n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rangle$ we mean

$$\liminf_{n,m\to\infty} c_{nm} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\inf_{n,m\geqslant k} c_{nm} \right).$$

(When k = 1, $R_1(A) = \Delta(A)$ is trivially a "finitely Delta-set".)

All proofs contained in this paper have been first obtained by working with the hyperintegers of nonstandard analysis. (Nonstandard integers seem to provide a convenient framework to investigate combinatorial properties of numbers which depend on density; see, *e.g.*, [5, 6, 3].) However, all arguments used in our original proof could be translated in terms of limits of subsequences in an (almost) straightforward manner, with the only inconvenience being a heavier notation. So, we eventually decided to keep to the usual language of elementary combinatorics.

2 The main theorem

The following finite combinatorial property will be instrumental for the proof of our main result.

Lemma 3. Let $A = \{a_1 < \ldots < a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_1 < \ldots < b_m\}$ be finite sets of natural numbers. For every $k \leq n$ there exists a subset $Z \subseteq B$ such that

 $1. \ \left| \bigcap_{z \in Z} \left(A + z \right) \right| \ge k.$ $2. \ \left| Z \right| \ge L \cdot \left(\frac{n \sqrt[k]{m}}{a_n + b_m} \right)^k where \ L = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1 - \frac{i}{n}}{1 - \frac{i}{a_n + b_m}}.$

Proof. For every $i \leq m$, let $A_i = A + b_i$ be the shift of A by b_i . Notice that $|A_i| = |A| = n$ and $A_i \subseteq I = [1, a_n + b_m]$ for all i. For $H \in [\mathbb{N}]^k$, denote by $f(H) = |\{i \mid H \subseteq [A_i]^k\}|$. Then:

$$\sum_{H \in [I]^k} f(H) = \sum_{i=1}^m |[A_i]^k| = \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{n}{k} = m \cdot \binom{n}{k}$$

Since $|[I]^k| = \binom{a_n+b_m}{k}$, by the *pigeonhole principle* there exists $H_0 \in [I]^k$ such that

$$f(H_0) \geq \frac{m \cdot \binom{n}{k}}{\binom{a_n + b_m}{k}} = m \cdot \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-(k-1))}{(a_n + b_m)(a_n + b_m - 1) \cdots (a_n + b_m - (k-1))} \\ = m \cdot L \cdot \left(\frac{n}{a_n + b_m}\right)^k = L \cdot \left(\frac{n\sqrt[k]{m}}{a_n + b_m}\right)^k,$$

where L is the number defined in the statement of this lemma. Now consider the set $\Gamma = \{i \in [1, m] \mid H_0 \in [A_i]^k\}$. We have that

$$|\Gamma| = f(H_0) \ge L \cdot \left(\frac{n\sqrt[k]{m}}{a_n + b_m}\right)^k.$$

Now, $H_0 = \{h_1 < \ldots < h_k\} \in \bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} [A_i]^k \Rightarrow |\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} A_i| \ge k$, and the set $Z = \{b_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$ satisfies the thesis.

The electronic journal of combinatorics $\mathbf{22(2)}$ (2015), #P2.25

We are finally ready to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $A = \{a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_n\}$ be infinite sets of natural numbers, and let

$$\liminf_{n,m\to\infty}\frac{a_n+b_m}{n\sqrt[k]{m}} = l.$$

If $l < \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{h-1}}$ then $R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h \neq \emptyset$; and if l = 0 then $R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h$ is infinite for all h. Proof. Pick increasing functions $\sigma, \tau : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{\sigma(n)} + b_{\tau(n)}}{\sigma(n) \sqrt[k]{\tau(n)}} = l$$

For every $n \ge k$, apply Lemma 3 to the finite sets $A_n = \{a_1 < \ldots < a_{\sigma(n)}\}$ and $B_n = \{b_1 < \ldots < b_{\tau(n)}\}$, and get the existence of a subset $Z_n \subseteq B_n$ such that

1. $\left|\bigcap_{z\in Z_n} (A_n+z)\right| \ge k.$

2.
$$|Z_n| \ge L_n \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma(n)\sqrt[k]{\tau(n)}}{a_{\sigma(n)}+b_{\tau(n)}}\right)^k$$
 where $L_n = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1-\frac{i}{\sigma(n)}}{1-\frac{i}{a_{\sigma(n)}+b_{\tau(n)}}}$.

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} L_n = 1$, we have that

$$\liminf_{n \ge k} |Z_n| \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} L_n \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma(n) \sqrt[k]{\tau(n)}}{a_{\sigma(n)} + b_{\tau(n)}} \right)^k = 1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{l} \right)^k > h - 1.$$

Pick an index $t \ge k$ with $|Z_t| > h - 1$, and pick $\{z_1 < \ldots < z_h\} \subseteq Z_t$. Then:

$$\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{h} (A+z_i)\right| \geq \left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{h} (A_t+z_i)\right| \geq \left|\bigcap_{z \in Z_t} (A_t+z)\right| \geq k.$$

As $Z_t \subset B$, we conclude that $\{z_1 < \ldots < z_h\} \in R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h$.

Now let us turn to the case l = 0. Given s > 1, pick $j \leq s$ such that the set $T_j = \{\tau(n) \mid \tau(n) \equiv j \mod s\}$ is infinite, let $\xi, \zeta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the increasing functions such that $T_j = \{\tau(\xi(n))\} = \{s \cdot \zeta(n) + j\}$, and let $B = \{b'_n\}$ be the set where $b'_n = b_{sn+j}$. Then for every h > 1:

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \inf_{n \cdot \sqrt[k]{m}} \frac{a_n + b'_m}{n \cdot \sqrt[k]{m}} \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_{\sigma(\xi(n))} + b'_{\zeta(n)}}{\sigma(\xi(n)) \cdot \sqrt[k]{\zeta(n)}} =$$
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_{\sigma(\xi(n))} + b_{\tau(\xi(n))}}{\sigma(\xi(n)) \cdot \sqrt[k]{\tau(\xi(n))}} \cdot \sqrt[k]{\frac{s \cdot \zeta(n) + j}{\zeta(n)}} = l \cdot \sqrt[k]{s} = 0 < \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{h-1}}$$

By what already proved above, we get the existence of an *h*-tuple

$$Z = \{z_1 < z_2 < \ldots < z_h\} \subseteq B'$$

such that $|\bigcap_{i=1}^{h}(A+z_i)| \ge k$. It is clear from the definition of B' that $\max Z \ge b'_h \ge sh + j > s$. Since s can be taken arbitrarily large, we conclude that $R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h$ is infinite, as desired.

The electronic journal of combinatorics $\mathbf{22(2)}$ (2015), #P2.25

Corollary 5. Let $A = \{a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_n\}$ be infinite sets of natural numbers. If there exists a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{n \cdot f(n)} < \infty \quad and \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(b_n)}{\sqrt[k]{n}} = 0$$

then $R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h$ is infinite for all h.

1

Proof. It directly follows from Theorem 4, since

$$\liminf_{n,m\to\infty} \frac{a_n + b_m}{n\sqrt[k]{m}} \leq \liminf_{m\to\infty} \frac{a_{b_m} + b_m}{b_m \cdot \sqrt[k]{m}} = \liminf_{m\to\infty} \frac{a_{b_m}}{b_m \cdot \sqrt[k]{m}}$$
$$\leq \limsup_{m\to\infty} \frac{a_{b_m}}{b_m \cdot f(b_m)} \cdot \liminf_{m\to\infty} \frac{f(b_m)}{\sqrt[k]{m}} = 0.$$

An an example, we now see a property that also applies to all zero density sets having at least the same "asymptotic size" as the prime numbers.

Corollary 6. Assume that the sets $A = \{a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_n\}$ satisfy the conditions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \infty$ and $\log b_n = o(n^{\varepsilon})$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for every h and k, there exist infinitely many h-tuples $\{\beta_1 < \ldots < \beta_h\} \subset B$ such that each distance $\beta_j - \beta_i$ equals the distance of k-many pairs of elements of A.

Proof. By the hypothesis $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \infty$ it follows that $a_n = o(n \log^2 n)$, and so the previous corollary applies with $f(n) = \log^2 n$. Clearly, every *h*-tuple $\{\beta_1 < \ldots < \beta_h\} \in R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h$ satisfies the desired property. \Box

3 Finitely Δ -sets

Recall that a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is called a *Delta-set* (or Δ -set for short) if $\Delta(X) \subseteq A$ for some infinite X. A basic result is the following: "If A has positive upper asymptotic density, then $\Delta(A) \cap \Delta(X) \neq \emptyset$ for all infinite sets X." (See, e.g., [1].) Another relevant property is that Δ -sets are *partition regular*, *i.e.* the family \mathcal{F} of Δ -sets satisfies the following property:

• If a set $A = A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_r$ of \mathcal{F} is partitioned into finitely many pieces, then at least one of the pieces A_i belongs to \mathcal{F} .

To see this, let an infinite set of distances $\Delta(X) = C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_r$ be finitely partitioned, and consider the partition of the pairs $[X]^2 = D_1 \cup \ldots \cup D_r$ where $\{x < x'\} \in D_i \Leftrightarrow$ $x' - x \in C_i$. By the infinite Ramsey Theorem, there exists an infinite $Y \subseteq X$ and an index *i* such that $[Y]^2 \subseteq D_i$, which means $\Delta(Y) \subseteq C_i$.

A convenient generalization of Δ -sets is the following.

Definition 7. A is a *finitely* Δ -set (or Δ_f -set for short) if it contains the distances of finite sets of arbitrarily large size, *i.e.*, if for every k there exists |X| = k such that $\Delta(X) \subseteq A$.

Trivially every Δ -set is a Δ_f -set, but not conversely. For example, take any sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $a_{n+1} > a_n \cdot n$, let $A_n = \{a_n \cdot i \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$, and consider the set $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. Notice that for every n, one has $\Delta(A_n) \subseteq A_n$, and hence A is a Δ_f -set. However A is not a Δ -set. Indeed, assume by contradiction that $\Delta(X) \subseteq A$ for some infinite $X = \{x_1 < x_2 < \ldots\}$; then $x_2 - x_1 = a_k \cdot i$ for some k and some $1 \leq i \leq k$. Pick a large enough m so that $x_m > x_2 + a_k \cdot k$. Then $x_m - x_1, x_m - x_2 \in \bigcup_{n > k} A_n$, and so $x_2 - x_1 = (x_m - x_1) - (x_m - x_2) \geq a_{k+1} > a_k \cdot k \geq x_2 - x_1$, a contradiction. We remark that there exist "large" sets that are not Δ_f -sets. For instance, consider the set O of odd numbers; it is readily seen that $\Delta(Z) \not\subseteq O$ whenever $|Z| \geq 3$.

The following property suggests the notion of Δ_f -set as combinatorially suitable.

Proposition 8. The family of Δ_f -sets is partition regular.

Proof. Let A be a Δ_f -set, and let $A = C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_r$ be a finite partition. Given k, by the finite Ramsey theorem we can pick n large enough so that every r-partition of the pairs $[\{1,\ldots,n\}]^2$ admits a homogeneous set of size k. Now pick a set $X = \{x_1 < \ldots < x_n\}$ with n-many elements such that $\Delta(X) \subseteq A$, and consider the partition $[\{1,\ldots,n\}]^2 = D_1 \cup \ldots \cup D_r$ where $\{i < j\} \in D_t \Leftrightarrow x_j - x_i \in C_t$. Then there exists an index t_k and a set $H = \{h_1 < \ldots < h_k\}$ of cardinality k such that $[H]^2 \subseteq D_{t_k}$. This means that the set $Y = \{x_{h_1} < \ldots < x_{h_k}\}$ is such that $\Delta(Y) \subseteq C_{t_k}$. Since there are only finitely many pieces C_1, \ldots, C_r , there exists t such that $t_k = t$ for infinitely many k. In consequence, C_t is a Δ_f -set.

As a straight consequence of Theorem 4, we can give a simple sufficient condition on the "asymptotic size" of a set A that guarantees the corresponding k-recurrence sets be finitely Δ -sets.

Theorem 9. Let $k \ge 2$ and let the infinite set $A = \{a_n\}$ be such that $a_n = o(n^{k/k-1})$. Then $R_k(A)$ is a Δ_f -set.

Proof. Let $B = \mathbb{N}$, so $b_m = m$. By taking $m = a_n$, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{n,m\to\infty} \frac{a_n+m}{n\sqrt[k]{m}} \leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n+a_n}{n\sqrt[k]{a_n}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(2^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \cdot \frac{a_n}{n^{\frac{k}{k-1}}}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} = 0.$$

Then Theorem 4 applies, and for every h we obtain the existence of a finite set Z of cardinality h such that $Z \in R_k^h(A) \cap [B]^h = R_k^h(A)$. But then, by Proposition 2, $\Delta(Z) \subseteq R_k(A)$.

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 22(2) (2015), #P2.25

References

- V. Bergelson. Ergodic Ramsey Theory an update. In Ergodic Theory of Z^d-actions, volume 228 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, pages 1−61, 1996.
- [2] V. Bergelson, P. Erdős, N. Hindman, and T. Łukzak. Dense Difference Sets and their Combinatorial Structure. In *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős*, I (R. Graham and J. Nešetřil, eds.), pages 165–175, Springer, 1997.
- [3] M. Di Nasso, I. Goldbring, R. Jin, S. Leth, M. Lupini, and K. Mahlburg. Progress on a sumset conjecture by Erdős. *Canad. J. Math.*, to appear.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Sárkozy and V.T. Sós. On additive properties of general sequences. Discrete Math. 136:75–99, 1994.
- [5] R. Jin. Sumset phenomenon. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130:855–861, 2002.
- [6] R. Jin. Plünnecke's theorem for asymptotic densities. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363:5059–5070, 2011.
- [7] I.Z. Ruzsa. On difference sets. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 13:319–326, 1978.
- [8] A. Sárközy. On difference sets of sequences of integers. Part I: Acta Math. Hung. 31:125–149, 1978. Part II: Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap., Sect. Math. 21:45–53, 1978. Part III: Acta Math. Hung. 31:355–386, 1978.