



University of the
West of England

BRISTOL

Pollard, K., Evans, D., Dalrymple, J., Miers, M., Moule, P. and Thomas, J. (2010) Preconceptions, power and position: researcher reflections on public involvement in research. In: *British Sociological Association Medical Sociology Group Annual Conference*, University of Durham, Durham, UK, 1-3 September 2010.

We recommend you cite the published version.
The publisher's URL is <http://eprints.uwe.ac.uk/11489/>

Refereed: Yes

(no note)

Disclaimer

UWE has obtained warranties from all depositors as to their title in the material deposited and as to their right to deposit such material.

UWE makes no representation or warranties of commercial utility, title, or fitness for a particular purpose or any other warranty, express or implied in respect of any material deposited.

UWE makes no representation that the use of the materials will not infringe any patent, copyright, trademark or other property or proprietary rights.

UWE accepts no liability for any infringement of intellectual property rights in any material deposited but will remove such material from public view pending investigation in the event of an allegation of any such infringement.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR TEXT.

Preconceptions, power and position: researcher reflections on public involvement in research

**Katherine Pollard, David Evans, Jane Dalrymple
Margaret Miers, Pam Moule, Judith Thomas**

Public involvement in UK health and social care (H&SC) research

- DH (2005) – service users/carers/public should be actively involved in ‘design, conduct, analysis and reporting of research’
- NIHR increasingly requires evidence of active public involvement when commissioning research
- INVOLVE – established in 1996 to promote public involvement in H&SC research (renamed in 2003)

Public involvement in H&SC research at UWE

Service Users and Carers in Research committee (SUCIR) in the Faculty of Health and Life Sciences:

- Established in September 2008
- Formal launch in June 2009

Three examples of UWE projects with public involvement

- National evaluation of Pacesetters local initiatives for improving health status
- Engagement in the co-production of knowledge for knowledge exchange in health and social care
- Development of an attitude scale to measure user-responsiveness in an interprofessional context

Researcher attitudes to public involvement in H&SC research

- Some health and social care professionals generally opposed to public involvement in H&SC delivery (Campbell 2001, Rowe & Shepherd 2002, Florin & Dixon 2004, Nathan et al 2006)
- Limited research about public involvement in HSC research (Staley 2009)
- Little known about underlying researcher attitudes - found to be complex in 1 study (Thompson et al 2009)

UWE researchers' reflections

- Six UWE researchers provided written answers to three questions concerning:
 - their own preconceptions about the topic
 - their perceptions of relevant power issues
 - the positions they adopt to optimise research outputs
- Other issues also identified in their replies

Preconceptions

- Extent of public involvement
 - Lack of awareness of spectrum of involvement, thinking in terms of consultation
 - Issues of control; who makes decisions?
- What is research?
 - Understanding of issues
 - Assumption of superior knowledge; whose knowledge base is valued?
 - Research as a defined process

Power

- Complexity
 - Traditional power balance
 - Status and hierarchies
 - Enabling power
- Limited power of academics
 - Wider political agendas
 - Organisational priorities/constraints

Positions

- Personal level
 - More likely to ensure own contribution
 - Tailor things to service users
 - Line of least resistance
- Organisational level
 - Focus on institutional systems
 - Creating opportunities for involvement

Logistics

- Added layer of complexity
- Hard work
- Time consuming
- Resource issues
- Is involvement sustainable?

Other key points

- Researcher self-awareness
 - gap between commitment and practice
 - not taking things for granted, e.g. access to resources
- Representativeness – what does this mean?
- Use of narrative methods

Conclusions

- Need to question assumptions:
 - what does involvement actually entail?
 - whose knowledge matters?
 - what is research?
- Power balance
- Logistics
- Need for reflection and self-awareness

End note

- One reflection on the experience of working with *SU1* and *C1* is that it was fun, enjoyable, enlightening. (R3)

References

- Campbell P (2001) *Psychiatric Bulletin* 25 87-88
- DH (2005) *Research Governance Framework for Health and Social Care* DH, London
- Florin D, Dixon J (2004) *British Medical Journal* 328 159-161
- Nathan S et al (2006) *Journal of Health Organisation and Management* 20 551-559
- Rowe R, Shepherd M (2002) *Social Policy & Administration*
- Staley K (2009) *Exploring Impact: Public Involvement in NHS, Public Health and Social Care Research* INVOLVE, Eastleigh
- Thompson J et al (2009) *Health Expectations* 12 209-220