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Abstract

In confined plasma magnetic fusion devices significant amounts of the hydrogen isotopes used for the fusion reaction can be stored in the plasma-facing materials by implantation. The desorption of this retained hydrogen was seen to follow a \( t^{\alpha} \) law with \( \alpha \approx -0.7 \) in tokamaks. For a pulsed fusion reactor this outgassing can define the inter-pulse waiting time. This work presents new experimental data on the dynamic outgassing in ITER grade tungsten exposed under the well-defined conditions of PSI-2 to pure and mixed D\(_2\) plasmas.

A peak ion flux of \( 10^{22} \text{ D}^+ \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) is applied for up to 6 h at sample temperatures of up to 900 K. Pure D\(_2\) and mixed D\(_2\) + He, D\(_2\) + N\(_2\) and D\(_2\) + He + N\(_2\) plasmas are applied to the sample at 68 V bias. The D\(_2\), He, N outgassing at 293 K and 580 K are observed via in-vacuo quadrupole mass spectrometry covering the range of 40 s – 200 000 s after exposure.

The outgassing decay follows a single power law with exponents \( \alpha = -0.7 \) to \(-1.1 \) at 293 K, but at 580 K a drop from \( \alpha = -0.25 \) to \(-2.35 \) is found. For DEMO a pump-down time to 0.5 mPa in the order of 1–5 h can be expected. The outgassing is in all cases dominated by D\(_2\).
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(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)
The hydrogen in the material can occupy two different types of sites at a given temperature. On the one hand hydrogen bound to sites with binding energies below the available thermal energy is called dynamic retained. In tungsten at room temperature these are probably interstitial sites with about 0.2–0.4 eV [3] and a naturally high volume density. On the other hand higher binding energy states can exist which are stable at the given temperature, which is then called long-term retention or just retention. In tungsten energies of 1 to 2 eV are associated with this [4]. On short timescales (up to several hours) after plasma exposure, when the hydrogen content is not in equilibrium and thus the dynamic inventory is at least partially filled open questions remain. The depth distribution and density of retained D can depend on the balance between influx of D from the plasma and outflux by diffusion and surface recombination (desorption).

Studies indicated a diffusion limited regime is present in the case of D in W [5]. The outgassing in the JET tokamak was seen to follow a power law with time ($t^{-0.5}$) with carbon and metal walls alike [6, 7]. Two approaches for theoretical understanding are present. The model presented in [6] assumes that the power law is an effective relation, arising from the mixture of several local exponential functions in large devices. On the other hand the model presented in [8] relates the behaviour to fundamental aspects of outgassing related to hydrogen binding states. The combination of reaction-diffusion equations provides a physically solid basis [9]. From detailed code based analysis it is claimed that the power law is only on short scales a valid description, arising from smearing due to experimental uncertainties, the development of depth profiles and certain ratios between diffusion and recombination [10]. More complex situations where helium is present in the plasma and seeding gases are injected to cool the plasma boundary are even less understood. Helium is reducing the long-term retention of hydrogen [11], but the outgassing of the helium itself contributes also to the total pressure in the vessel. Nitrogen as a candidate seeding gas for plasma-edge cooling produces nitrides with tungsten which may have totally different rates of hydrogen diffusion and surface recombination.

In this work the outgassing after exposure to pure and mixed D₂ plasmas under conditions relevant for a DEMO reactor is addressed. Extrapolations are applied to use the experimental results for the calculation of the pressure and pump-down in the phase between to plasma discharges. The applicability of existing modelling for pure D₂ exposures to the new situations is discussed.

2. Experimental

For the presented experiments the hot-cathode linear arc-plasma device PSI-2 is used to ensure a minimum complexity of the experimental setup. The details of the device were described e.g. in [12]. High purity D₂ and mixed plasmas are employed by introducing D₂ gas with 99.8% (99.9999% D + H), He 99.9995% and nitrogen with 99.8% purity. The PSI-2 base pressure is 8 ± 3 × 10⁻⁸ mbar (dominated by H₂O) in all experiments, so a small impurity content is present. From working gas influx considerations the impurity ratio can be estimated to be in the order of 10⁻⁵. The samples are exposed on the axial manipulator. Rough 100 × 80 mm² W plates (99.96% purity) are used in the experiments (see figure 1).

The outgassing analysis is done in-vacuo in the target exchange and analysis chamber (TEAC), see figure 2. The samples are transferred via a manipulator from the exposure position to TEAC within 50 s in the first and 30 s in the last experimental set. The gas transfer between plasma chamber and TEAC is limited, ensuring that the pressure in TEAC is always 6 ± 0.3 × 10⁻⁶ mbar. TEAC is equipped with a 1300 l s⁻¹ (for Helium/D₂) Pfeiffer Hi Pace 1200 turbo-molecular pump. For $m/z = 4$ an e-folding pump-down time of 58 ± 2 ms is measured at 630 Hz pump rotation speed. The pump-down to the D₂ background order takes about 10 s, see figure 4, so the first relevant data-point is collected 40 s/60 s after the plasma exposure. Spikes in the outgassing data, especially visible for gases present in air, are due to a known deficit in current rotary vane pump technology. As the spikes are as short as the pumping time and have a frequency of about one per 30 min, their influence is neglected.

In the case of active pumping during outgassing the quasi-static partial pressure is governed by

$$ F = \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{V}{k_BT} + \frac{S \cdot P}{k_BT} \tag{1} $$

$$ \Rightarrow F \approx \frac{S \cdot P}{k_BT} \tag{2} $$

where $V$ is the vacuum vessel volume, $S$ the pumping speed in volume per second, $P$ the partial pressure of the considered gas species and $F$ the outgassing flux of that species. With the small volume of TEAC of about 0.08 m³ and the comparably high pumping speed, the volume times pressure drop rate term of equation (1) becomes small (10⁻³–10⁻⁵) compared to the second term. For this reason a direct interpretation of measured partial pressure signals as outgassing flux becomes possible (equation (2)), in contrast to the situation in Tokamaks where $V/S$ can be 1000 times larger [6].
The partial pressures of volatile gases are analysed in a non-line-of-sight geometry using a Pfeiffer PrismaPlus QMG 220 M quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) and a MKS Microvision 2 triple filter for He/D₂ separation, both with Faraday and secondary electron multiplier (SEM) detectors. The mass over charge \( m/z \) values of 2, 3, 4, 18, 20, 28 (Prismaplus) and 4.0, 4.03 (Microvision) are analysed in each measurement. Fine tuning of the \( m/z \) values to the peak maxima is done prior to each measurement to compensate for device drifts. For each \( m/z \) peak an integration time of 500 ms, followed by a pause of 12 ms is used. For outgassing measurements the PSI-2 magnetic field is switched off, as it affects the QMS sensitivity. The outgassing flux is calibrated using a defined leak \( (1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mbar} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}) \) with D₂, He and N₂ and assuming a linear connection between the QMS detector current and the partial pressure below a total pressure of \( 10^{-5} \) mbar, as linearity of the turbo-pump and QMS specifications suggest. The calibration leak signal of the Prismaplus \( (4.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ A} \) for D₂\) varies only within 5% between the measurements, thus drifts during the measurements are assumed to be negligible for the Prismaplus. The Microvision shows relevant drifts in the data collection time thus it is only used for the short time where He outgassing is detected and D₂ outgassing is derived from the Prismaplus in all other cases.

The sample temperature is monitored using a type-K thermocouple attached to the sample back and an InSb-detector IR camera for relative information about the full surface. The sample is pre-heated to 600 K by a heater and further heated by the plasma exposure. According to finite element simulations the sample surface is about 10 K hotter during plasma exposure due to the frontal plasma heat load and the backside cooling contact. After plasma loading the sample is cooled down to a fixed temperature of 293 K/580 K. Heating and cooling have an exponential time constant of \( 110 \pm 10 \text{ s} \). Pumping speeds and manipulator movement in PSI-2 do not allow monitoring the outgassing in the first 40 s after the exposure. As the usual power law fits to the outgassing yield an unphysical infinite outgassing rate for the moment directly after the exposure, this timeframe remains interesting, but so far inaccessible for the experiment.

Plasma currents and bias voltages with respect to the anode are measured at the sample manipulator to determine the integral ion flux density and ion impact energy. A reciprocating single tip Langmuir-probe is installed on top of the vessel in the centre of the plasma column 300 mm in front of the samples to measure spatially resolved radial profiles of flux density and electron temperature. The plasma is run with an input power of 14 kW \( (200 \text{ A current}) \) and 100 sccm of D₂ + He gas injected into the source. If He is injected the inlet rate of 20 sccm leads to an ion fraction of 5% He⁹⁺ in the plasma source \([11]\). In the case of nitrogen admixture 20 sccm of N₂ are injected into the exposure chamber, in order to avoid ion source poisoning. Due to a lack of atomic data of nitrogen a plasma content of nitrogen ions cannot be determined.

3. Results

3.1. Plasma characterisation

The analysis of the Langmuir probe data (figure 3) is done using an automated algorithm. The algorithm connects iterations of a 50 Hz voltage sweep to their respective radial coordinate in the plasma, yielding the radial plasma profiles. Statistical errors are derived from the uncertainties of the fits and are within the thickness of the line. The plasma is seen to have the typical hollow profile of PSI-2 with maximum density and temperature about 23 mm away from the plasma centre and about 1/3 of the peak flux values in the centre. The selected plasma scenario provides a peak flux density of \( 9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{21} \text{ D m}^{-2} \text{ s} \) at an electron temperature of about 8 eV. According to these data a large span of flux densities of about a factor 9 is present on the sample during exposure. Ion impact energies are deduced from these parameters by the theory given in \([13]\) to about \( 3.7 \times T_e = 30 \text{ eV} \) in the plasma peak. To keep the ion impact energy more stable over the sample area and throughout the exposure a negative bias of 68 V is applied to the sample holder against the grounded vessel wall, resulting in \( \sim 40 \text{ eV} \) impact energy. Besides the probe data also a biasing scan is applied to the sample holder. The floating voltage of the sample manipulator is 55 V. Above 120 V manipulator bias an ion saturation current of 1.6 A to 5.7 A \( = 1-3.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ D}^+ \text{ s}^{-1} \) is measured. Via ion mass spectrometry the plasmas were characterized to consist of mainly D⁺ ions.
The outgassing is analysed in 10 experiments. To be able to address the effects of TEAC chamber wall outgassing and pump-out of the gas introduced into TEAC by the connection to the plasma chamber, this effect is quantified in an experiment without plasma sample loading (figure 4). The sample manipulator is moved to the usual exposure position and 150 sccm D2 gas is introduced into the chamber to reach the same TEAC neutral pressure as under plasma conditions. These conditions are kept for 1900 s, then the manipulator is moved back to TEAC and the valve between TEAC and plasma chamber is closed.

The pumping data is analysed using a double exponential function

\[ y(t) = y_0 + A \cdot e^{-t/\tau_1} + B \cdot e^{-t/\tau_2} \] (3)

Besides the pump down time constant of 58 ms mentioned earlier, time constants of \( \tau_1 = 2 \pm 0.01 \) s and \( \tau_2 = 48.1 \pm 2 \) s are found by the pump-down analysis. These additional time constants might originate from adsorption processes on the chamber walls. About 200 s after closing the shutter to the plasma chamber the background/detection limit of the QMS signal is reached. To avoid a relevant influence of the TEAC pump-down the first 10 s after closing of the TEAC valve are neglected in the data evaluation, leaving the impact of pump-down on the data < 1%.

In the next step two pumping speeds are applied in TEAC in order to induce different pressures leading to different surface coverage of H and D and thus surface recombination rates during the outgassing, if the surface is not fully saturated at both pressures. The D2 partial pressure is increased by a factor 7.4 ± 0.3 by lowering the pumping speed from 630 Hz to 210 Hz. The sample is exposed in each experiment at a peak temperature of 363 K (~373 K surface temperature) for 30 min (fluence 1.8 × 1022 D+2) and outgassed at 293 K. Unfortunately no precise calibration is available for these two experiments, as problems with the QMS required a readjustment of the device after these experiments. The same sample is exposed to these two conditions three times in a consecutive way. First the sample is pre-loaded, followed by 1 d of outgassing. After the pre-loading the first experiment using 630 Hz pumping speed is conducted, followed by the second experiment at 210 Hz on the same day. The outgassing curves are shown in figure 5. Only a minor difference is observed in the temporal decay function, probably originating from different pump-out and noise in both experiments. The integral outgassing signal (100 s–6000 s after exposure) is changed by a factor of 9 ± 0.5, which is 22 ± 12% more than the ratio of the pumping speeds. Analysis using equation (1) shows that the volume term cannot be responsible for this difference. It can be concluded that the D2 surface recombination cannot be considered as fast compared to the diffusion. Its impact is small, but relevant for the D2 outgassing at room temperature.

First the outgassing from a rough 100 × 80 × 1 mm3 W plate is studied by four D2 plasma experiments with the QMS using the SEM detector. As revealed in later experiments the SEM detector is not delivering stable signals, but variations of a factor of 2 can occur within some hours of continuous measurement. In conclusions these data have to be handled with care, but at least on the short-term valuable information is present. All exposures are run for 6 h (fluence of 7.2 × 1022 D). The data for HD (m/z = 3) is not shown, as the curves follow the m/z = 4 curves, but with a factor of 5 reduced intensity. With the applied calibration method it is not possible to get a precise calibration for HD.

All four outgassing curves are analysed using the power law function with time \( t \)

\[ y(t) = y_0 + A \cdot t^n \] (4)
In the equation $t = 0$ is the time when the loading/plasma is stopped. The first usable data point is at $t = 60$ s after the exposure. All fits yield a very good agreement to the data ($R^2 > 0.99$), especially when comparing with exponential decay fits. The results of fitting and integration are presented in figure 6 and table 1 for the data points from 60 s to 55 000 s.

The next set of four exposures is conducted with constant temperature and fluence, but varying plasma composition in order to check whether the power law (equation (4)) is still applicable under different surface conditions and chemistry at elevated temperature. In these experiments both QMS are operated with Faraday detector. A rough $100 \times 80 \times 5$ mm$^3$ W mask is used in all exposures equipped with seven $10 \times 10 \times 5$ mm$^3$ rough dummy samples and 1 polished sample for post-analysis. The samples are exposed for 6 h, except for the $D_2 + He + N_2$ sample which is aborted after 5.2 h due to a technical failure. Details of the exposure conditions and outgassing results can be found in table 2.

The $D_2$ outgassing does not behave according to equation (4), but shows a constant change of the $\alpha$ parameter (figure 7). The outgassing of $m/z = 20$ and 28 shows in all cases a similar behaviour, as visible in figure 8. These masses are dominant in the base pressure and do not show as strong dynamics as $D_2$. Also, $m/z = 3$ typically shows the same behaviour as $m/z = 4$, but with about 30 times lower signal intensity. In the $D_2 + N_2$ and $D_2 + He + N_2$ cases $m/z = 20$ is observed, reaching the detection limit after 100 000 s in the first and after 10 000 s in the latter case. The outgassing of
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helium is only observed in the $D_2 + He$ and $D_2 + N_2 + He$ cases, where the amount is about 1% of the $D_2$ value.

3.3. Post analysis

Post-analysis is performed using thermal desorption spectrometry (TDS), nuclear reaction analysis (NRA) and electron microscopy using SEM + EDX + FIB on the single polished sample of each high temperature exposure. For the low temperature exposures no post-analysis is performed, as no surface analysis samples are available (full plates are exposed). Besides that no changes in surface morphology are visible and also no differences are expected as the conditions are rather similar.

The FIB + SEM analysis shows a 205 ± 5nm thick fuzz layer on the $D_2 + He$ exposed samples, which is not formed in the $D_2 + He + N_2$ case, see figure 9. Despite the ion energy being below the sputtering threshold for W a grain orientation dependent surface modification and erosion is observed on the samples without fuzz. The modification seems to follow crystal planes and may be induced by ad-atom formation and diffusion rather than sputtering. In all except the $D_2 + He$ case open blisters can be found. While in the $D_2$ case only tiny nm size holes are found in the ripples of the surface modification, some hundred nm large holes are found on the $D_2 + N_2$ sample which are even larger and more frequent in the $D_2 + He + N_2$ case. The energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectroscopy with 3keV electrons reveals peaks for W, O and N in the range of 0–3keV. In pure and dense W a probing depth of 20nm [14] is obtained in these measurements. In the case of pure $D_2$ plasma no N is observed above the background. In all other cases 6% N are found within the probing depth, but a partial overlap with the larger O peak complicates the error estimation. For the fuzz sample 37 ± 0.4% of O are found, while the other samples are similar at 9.2 ± 0.2%.

The NRA analysis using 2.94 MeV $^3He$ ions with a two detector setup at 165° scattering angle and SimNRA6.06 [15] for data evaluation reveals the implantation of C [16], O, N and D [17] in the samples (table 3). The N content cannot be quantified due to the lack of cross-section data in the literature, but relative factors given by reaction rates are still valid, for thin surface layers. For the O content a rough number with a systematic error of a factor 2 is given by the comparison to TiO$_2$ oxygen signal levels. The measurement is done about 1 month after exposure. The depth profiling shows clear surface contaminations of C, O, N typical for PSI-2 $D_2$-plasma exposures. Only in the case of the fuzz layer on the $D_2 + He$ sample a ~10 times higher impurity content is observed, probably due to absorption from the air after exposure. The NRA impurity analysis thus leads to similar results as the EDX.
analysis. The long-term retention of D in the first 4.2 µm is clearly increased by up to a factor 10 by adding the impurities to the D2-plasma. Due to the low D content not enough counts could be acquired for a detailed depth profile, but only 2-point profiles (0–0.8 µm and 0.8–4.2 µm) can be obtained. Except for the D2 + N2 + He case no significant variation with depth is present. The addition of N to the plasma clearly increases the near-surface N concentration in the samples, indicating a nitride formation as also supported by the EDX analysis. A deep diffusion of N (below the first resolution point) is not observed within the NRA detection limit.

One month after NRA analysis the samples are outgassed in a TDS device and the outgassing is monitored by QMS for D2 (m/z = 4.03), He (m/z = 4) and N2 (m/z = 28) rates up to 1200 K with 0.2 K s⁻¹ using the same QMS types as in TEAC. The results are summarised in figure 10 and table 3. All outgassing <900 K can hardly be exposure related (~900 K exposure temperature), which mainly affects the N2 and H2 interpretation.

A m/z = 28 peak at about 1100 K is seen on all samples, but with about 3 × higher magnitude in the N2 exposed samples, compared to the D2 and D2 + He cases. The m/z = 28 signal of N2 can be influenced primarily by CO, while the m/z = 14 signal is also affected by NH3, CH4 and their deuterated compounds. The signals thus have to be considered with care, but as 28 and 14 are evolving in parallel, with 28

Table 3. NRA (first 4.2 µm) and TDS (full sample) results for the retention of D2, He and surface impurities (C, N, O within first 0.8 µm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>NRA (10¹⁹ atoms m⁻²)</th>
<th>TDS (10¹⁹ atoms m⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C (a.u.)</td>
<td>D (&lt;0.8 µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 + N2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 + N2 + He</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 + He</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: in NRA a detection limit of 1.5 × 10¹⁷ D m⁻² is realized with uncertainties of typically 15%. The addition of N2 strongly increases the D retention up to a factor of 4 (8 in first 4.2 µm). In the D2 + He case the total retention is reduced by a factor 3 and nearly completely located in the first 4.2 µm, indicating a strong diffusion barrier effect induced by the retained He. The same effect is observed when comparing D2 + N2 and D2 + N2 + He where the He is strongly retained, slightly reducing the total retention while concentrating it at the surface. The retention of N is not quantified due to the lack of NRA cross-sections. He fuzz strongly accumulated surface impurities, most probably during transfer through air after the experiment.
being about $10 \times$ higher at the peaks at 1100 K, this part is considered to be N$_2$ dominated. In conclusion also nitrogen is desorbing from the materials even if an intense background is present in the W samples, maybe due to manufacturing. In the D$_2$ + N$_2$ + He and D$_2$ + He cases He is observed in $m/z = 4.0$ while deuterium is not outgassed as D$_2$ in $m/z = 4.03$, but only as HD in $m/z = 3$. For He outgassing from the D$_2$ + He sample a strong flux is observed already slightly below the exposure temperature, similar to [18]. In all cases strong H$_2$ signals are found roughly in parallel to the HD outgassing with levels 1–2 orders of magnitude above the deuterium related signals. Only about 20% of this H$_2$ outgassing is related to the TDS background (figure 11). In conclusion a relevant isotope exchange of H and D can be assumed in the 2 month after exposure, as this is the exposure temperature. While for deuterated molecules and He basically no outgassing is observed in that region, H$_2$ and N$_2$ are showing desorption peaks. From this data it could be recommended to operate W based materials related to the experiment, as this is the exposure temperature.

4. Discussion in DEMO context

For a future fusion reactor DEMO one of the main questions to be addressed here is how long it takes in between two discharges to pump-down the vacuum vessel for a new plasma breakdown to be possible. As DEMO could be made with full tungsten plasma-facing components operating at about 1000 K surface and 550 K coolant temperature no current tokamak can provide a reasonable estimate of the outgassing fluxes and corresponding pressures. Modelling tries to fill this gap. The data presented here are intended for a model validation as the experimental conditions are well-defined and new data close to the planned DEMO conditions were presented in the last sections. The impact of radiation damage on the outgassing cannot be addressed here, though.

It was attempted to explain the tokamak observations of $\alpha \approx -0.7$ [6, 7] by the complex conditions of a tokamak, folding several materials and loading conditions into one parameter (the D$_2$ partial pressure evolution). In the data presented here this complexity is now substantially reduced with just one material and temperature and reduced flux density...
estimated that D2 contributes for the pump-down time. From the other masses observed from the sample, clearly above the device background, thus related to the outgassing flux is about 100 times higher than the HD flux (figure 10) and is 100 m³ s⁻¹.

The plasma discharge can be given for the different impurity scenarios. As QMS calibrations for ammonia and water are not available and helium outgassing is fast, the calibrations are based only on the D₂ outgassing, thus giving a lower limit for the pump-down time. From the other masses observed during outgassing and the surface analysis results it can be estimated that D₂ contributes >90% to the partial pressure, especially in the first 10 000 s. The systematic error of this approximation is thus believed to be small. In a DEMO with a plasma loaded surface of 2000 m² and a pumping speed of 100 m³ s⁻¹ a pump-down to 5 × 10⁻⁴ Pa is possible within 1 h (D₂ + He), 3 h (D₂), 5 h (D₂ + N₂ + He) and 33 h (D₂ + N₂), respectively. These numbers are extrapolated from the high temperature PSI-2 experiments, as demonstrated on the right Y-axis of figure 7. With the outgassing being D₂ (+T) dominated, a plasma restart in DEMO could be possible without any extra gas injection for purification.

The new data are not supportive for the model presented in [8]. According to that model α depends on the reaction order (2 for molecular desorption) and the density of possible states for the hydrogen in the material. As the reaction order is fixed and the material used here is always the same, this density of states must, according to the experimental results, depend on the exposure time and temperature and also vary with outgassing time in a complex function, which seems unlikely. As an extension of the model presented in [6] also anomalous diffusion might be considered. Anomalous diffusion can occur if the transport of the retained deuterium to the surface is hindered by spatially fixed obstacles [20]. This description is implicitly included in reaction-diffusion models including trapping, e.g. the CRDS model [10]. In the case of tungsten, bubbles, surface layers and helium retention indicate strong trapping sites which are also found in surface analysis. The CRDS model can explain the observations of α varying between −0.25 and −2.35 by the change of the deuterium loading profile during the outgassing and the transition between diffusion and recombination limitation [10]. A theoretical assessment of outgassing fluxes and pump-down times for DEMO will thus strongly depend on the in vessel location and plasma and material conditions.

5. Conclusions

The outgassing of 99.96% pure tungsten is analysed via invacuo quadrupole mass spectrometry in the time frame of 40 s to about 170 000 s after deuterium and mixed plasma loading for up to 6 h in PSI-2. Temperatures, fluxes and fluences are chosen to cover ranges of current tokamak and future DEMO conditions. Surface and retention analysis is performed on selected samples to connect the observations of outgassing with actual modifications of the materials.

The new results presented here break down the complex outgassing situations in tokamaks to a single material and single temperature system with virtually infinite pumping speed (58 ms pumping time constant). Nonetheless the results show basically the same temporal behaviour, a α power-law, as the tokamak results, if outgassing happens at room temperature. With loading at ~900 K and outgassing at 570 K sudden breaks in the exponents appear during outgassing, the power-law is not a valid description anymore. Values for the exponent α of −0.25 (directly after exposure) to −2.35 (end of outgassing) are observed. This change in behaviour could be attributed to the deuteron depth profile and the interplay of the temperature dependent reaction-diffusion processes, leading only in special situations (e.g. room temperature) to an effective power-law.

The outgassing rate and sum are higher for both N₂ admixed cases which also show increased long-term retention compared to the D₂ and D₂ + He cases. In contrast, He admixture
reduces the long-term retention and outgassing flux in both cases. Probably the fuzz and nitride surface layers act as a diffusion barrier leading to a higher dynamic inventory during the long exposures at ~900 K (full sample loading). The fuzz and/or the retained He more effectively prevents deep D diffusion compared to N, as indicated by NRA. The mobile part of the deuterium inventory is smaller in the case of fuzz and $D_2 + N_2 + He$ (high surface defect/blister density) leading to a relative decrease in the release rates and an increase in the surface near D-retention.

After exposure to $D_2$ plasmas admixed with $N_2$ and/or He the general time evolutions are similar to the pure $D_2$ plasma exposure, but with different coefficients. The application of the present deuterium outgassing models for more complex plasma composition scenarios thus seems possible only qualitatively. A pathway for quantitative improvement could be the inclusion of modified surface layers (e.g. nitrides, fuzz) and the interaction of the different implanted species as indicated by post-analysis, where significant He and N retention is found. The outgassing fluxes of other species (He, ND$_3$, ...) are most probably <10% of the total flux.

The observations suggest that for a DEMO reactor the pump-down time in between two pulses strongly depends on the composition of the plasma. In the case of fuzz growth with $D_2 + He$ plasmas 1 h can be sufficient to reach 5 mPa, while for $N_2$ admixture this time could increase to 5 h for a DEMO design with 2000 m$^2$ plasma-facing surface area and 100 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ pumping speed. Possible solutions are lower impurity content and higher pumping speed, the use of other, non-tungsten materials (e.g. RAFM steels) for plasma-facing surfaces, higher allowed plasma startup pressures or significantly longer pulses (Stellarator DEMO).

The perspective of this kind of measurements offers possibilities with more systematic temperature, ion flux and sample material variations as well as the important combination with modelling to gain further insight into the physics of gas retention and release in plasma-facing materials.
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