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## Preface.

The following edition of the two English versions of the Meditatio de Passione Domini is based on collation of all the known manuscripts. Transcripts are given of the single manuscript of the shorter version and of the best extant manuscript of the longer. Facing the transcript, on the right-hand pages, is the punctuated and emended text. Readings of the basic text have been allowed to stand, except when deficient in sense or linguistically unsatisfactory. Variant readings of Camb. Addit. MS. 3042 and Bodl. Ms. e Mus. 232 are quoted in the footnotes to the transcript of Upsala MS. C. 494. Unfortunately, the existence of a fourth manuscript of the longer version, B. Mus. Cotton MS. Titus C.XIX, was not discovered until too late for a thorough study of it to be incorporated in this thesis. After collation with the basic text, its variant readings were inserted in the footnotes, whenever they seemed to be significant.

A general description of the Titus MS. is given in a final Appendix.

The Introduction, Notes and Glossary are designed to aid an understanding and general appreciation of the text through an examination of the problems connected with the relationship between the manuscripts, the, language, the origin of the two versions and the place of the work in the general tradition of devotional writings on the Passion of Christ.

The editor gratefully acknowledges the invaluable help of Professor V.H. Galbraith of the Institute of Historical Research, Dr.Schofield and Mr. Francis Wormald of the British Museum and Mr. H. Pink of the Cambridge University Library in dating the manuscripts, English, Anglo-Norman and Latin, and the kindness of Miss Strachey, former Principal of Newhham College, in lending her transcript of $E$, which was leter checked by the manuscript.

## Note.

For the sake of brevity and to avoid ambiguity in discussing other meditations of a similar nature to those which are the subject of the present thesis, the title given by Lindkvist to his edition : Meditatio de Passione Domini
or, in short, Meditatio, is used throughout.

## INTRODUCTION.

## SECIION. I. THE MANUSCRIPTS.

A Description of the Manuscripts.

Five manuscripts are known of the Meditations on the Passion, ascribed to Rolle. Two äistinct versions are represented.

> a) The Shorter Version.

CAMB.MS.I_. i. 8 [L] is the only known manuscript of this version. It is a large parchment octavo of 207 leaves and may be the earliest of the manuscripts of the Meditations. The hand, resembling court-hand in style, is probably to pe assigned to the last years of the fourteenth century. The Speculum Vitae occupies the greater part of the manuscript, while the Meditations cover fol. 201. ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}-207^{\mathrm{V}}$, inclusive. Immediately following are the words:
'Explicit quedam meditacio Ricardi heremite de hampole de passione domini. Qui abijt anno domini m. cce? XI ${ }^{\circ}$ viij. et cetera.'

Parts of the text have been re-written in a hand contemporary with that of the original scribe.

## b) The Longer Version.

Four manuscripts of this version are extant:1.) BODI. MS. EMUS. 232 (Summ. Cat. 3657) [B] is written on parchment, $6 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} 4 \frac{5}{8} 11$, in a hand resembling that of $I$, in general style, more nearly than those of the other manuscripts and it is to be assigned to a date very little, if at all, later. The Meditations begin the manuscript. Then follow a translation of 'Gregorius de Humilitate', Nicholas Bellew's version of the Mirror of St. Edmund, the well-known lyric, 'Ihesu that hast me dere ibo弓t', and a series of meditations on the five wounds of Christ. The manuscript is bound in with what the Summary Catalogue identifies as thirteenth century episcopal, or papal, Ietters. The Meditations are thus headed:
'Here begynneth a deuout meditacoun vp pe passioun of crist Imade by Richard Rolle heremyt of hampolle.'

B was a gift made to the Bodleian in 1680, by Alexander Fetherston, Vicar of Wolverton, Bucks., and Prebendary of Lichfield Cathearal. 2.) UPSAIA MS. C. $494[\mathrm{U}]$, defective at the beginning, is a small octavo, written in an early fifteenth century liturgical hand. It is described in detail by Iindkvist. This manuscript was given to the University Library of Upsala, in 1705 , by Johan Gabriel Sparfwenfeldt, who
(1) d.v. See below, pp.ix-x.

Several names are scribbled on the pages of this manuscript, among them: Maria Regina Scottorum and Iohanna Vnfortunata Westmerlandire Cowntes. 4.) B. MUS. COMTON MS. TITUS. C. XIX $[T]^{(1)}$, the gift of Humphrey Wauley, is a quorto, labelled 'A Manual of Devotion' and catrlogued accordingly, with no further specification. It is written on vellum in two hands of the late fifteenth century, the second hend beginning on fol. 83. All the contents are in English. The Meditatio occupies folios $92^{\mathrm{V}}-117^{\mathrm{V}}$ and is headed as follows:-
'Incipit quedam meditacio passionis Ihesu Christi composita a Ricardo Rolle heremita qui obiit Anno domini M: CCCmoXIIX senctimoniales de hempul.'

Folios 121 - 128 give a treatise 'De passione secundum Ricardum.' A notice of this manuscript, including mention of the Meditatio,precedes Francis Wormald's edition of the text (De Passione Secundum Ricardum', in Iaudate, the Quarterly Review of the Benedictines of Nashdom, vol.XIII, no.49, March, 1935, pp. 37-48.

## c.) The 'Meditatio' in Latin.

Latin extracts corresponding to passages from the (2)

Meditatio are dispersea throughout the eighteenth and nineteenth chapters of B.MUS. ROYAI MS. B.C.XV $\mathbb{R}$, written
(I) See Preface.
(2) See below, Introduction, Section II, pp. L/II-L|X,
on vellum, $9 \frac{3}{4}$ " $6 \frac{3}{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ fol. 27l, very shortly before 1400 . This is entitled in a colophon, 'Liber Meditacionvm de uita domini et saluatoris nostri Iesu Christi ac venerabilis matris eius virginis Marie.' The meditations in this compilation are arranged in groups of 15. The whole book is divided into 5 parts, each part containing 5 such groups. Sources mentioned in the index include St. Bridget, Matilda, Bonaventura, Thomas Aquinas, Richard of Hampole, 'Liber Marie.'

For the reader's convenience, those passages in $R$ which reveal close correspondence with the English Meditations on the Passion are given in Appendix $B$ to this edition.

## d.) A Series of Anglo-Morman Prayers

 on the Passion.A collection of prayers in Anglo-Norman, corresponding to the first part of the short version of the Meditatio is found in a Cambriage University Library manuscript. CAMB.MS.Ee.VI. 16 [E] is a parchment octavo of 244 folios, partly in French and partly in Latin, and written in the fourteenth century. It is described by Paul Meyer, 'Les Manuscrits francais de Cambridge', Romania XV, Paris, 1886, pp. 270-272. E is a book of hours, originally in
(I) See below, Introduction, Section II, pp. XLIII-LIII.
the possession of one of the dependencies of the Abbey of Fontevraud. The relevant prayers are to be found in folios $199^{\mathrm{V}}-202^{\mathrm{r}}$ and are given in Appendix A to the present edition.

I, $B, U$, $A$ and $R$ are mentioned and described by H. E. Allen, Writings Ascribed to Richard Rolle Hermit of Hampole and Materials for his Biography, Modern Language Association of America, Monograph Ser. no. 3, New York, 1927, pp. 278-280.

It should be further noted that, among the items in the inventory of Elizabeth Sywardby ( +1468, co. Yorks.) , is the following:-
'de alio Iibro Meditation Passionis Dominic, compilato per Ricardum Roller, iii jd. ' (I)

There is no indication that this book is in English, whereas this fact is specified in connection with three books in the list.
(1) See Allen, Nr. Ascr. p. 280.
further accompenied by 'some notes which I had set down in reading Gower and Wycliff about the same time... which may serve to illustrate peculierities in the hermit's spelling or gramar, or lead others, who have better opportunities, to prosecute my incuiries.'
2.) I was first printed by J. Ullmann in 'Studien $z u$ Richard Rolle de Hampole', Englische Studien VII 1884 , pp. 454-468. This edition is marred by errors of transcription and a system of punctuation which creates difficulties where none, in fect, exist. A few notes, mostly of a very general nature, follow the text. The first 350 lines of the Speculum Vitae from the same manuscript is printed before the lieditations and the whole is introduced by a discusion of the manuscript attribution of both works to Rolle. Recent investigation, particularly that of Miss Allen, has completely invelidated Ullmenn's argument, which proceeds by comparison of the Soeculum Vitae to the Pricke of Conscience, to find between them an agreement in dialect, style and general attitude and, from this, to deduce that the scribe of I was right in assigning the speculum to Rolle and so was probably correct, also in his ascriotion of the Meditatio to him.

A commentary on Ullmann's transcript and notes was published by J.Zupitza in Englische Studien XII,pp. 463-4.68.

[^0]3.) Next in order comes C.Horstmenn's major work, Yorkshire Writers, London, 1895. In volume I are printed transcripts of $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{pp} .83-91)$ and $A(p p .92-103)$. Horstmann mokes no reference to any other manuscript of the treatise, but recognises that the two he prints represent difierent versions and that woth are 'Southern transcripts'. This eaition is unennotated.

A notice of Yorkshire Wiriters containing some valuable textual criticisms relating to the lieditatio, was written by M.Konrath for Archiv.96pp. 368-399.
4.) The longer version of the work was edited by Harold Lindkvist, 'Meditetio de Pessione Domini', Skrifter Utgifna af K. Fumanistiska Vetenskaps-Samkundet XIX. 3 , Upsala, 9917, from $U$ with collation of $A$, and $I$ and
 missing commencement of $U$ is repleced in the text by the beginning of $A$. Lindkvist retains the manuscript punctuation of $U$. In the Introduction, he describes MS. $U$, surveys its history, discusses Ullmann's and Horstmann's editions of the treatise, theories of authorship and the textual relations existing between the three manuscripts known to him. A catalogue is given of other Upsala menuscripts of works attributed to Rolle and a fragment of the Officium de S. Ricardo de Hampole, also found at

Upsala, is printed in an Appendix. Lindavist further makes a brief analysis of the dialect of $U$ and annotates the work. Host of his notes deal with points of philological interest. From a textual viewpoint, this is the most valuable of previous full editions of the Meditatio.
5.) H. E. Allen, in her popular edition of selections Iron Rolle's works, English Writing's of Richard Rolle, Oxford, 1931, prints (pp. 17-36) portions of Nis.I and MS.B, corresponding to: p.I.I.I-p.3.1.13, p.7.1.8-0.27.I.II, 1.32.1.11-p.52.1.9, in this edition of the text. Niss Allen's edition does not record variant readings. It is annotated.

## C. Ifonuscripts of the Longer Version.

The extant manuscripts of the longer version of the Meditatio, $A, B$ and $U$, soon reveal their general relationship to each other. There is much closer correspondence between the readings of $B$ and $U$ than between either of these and A. Neither $U$ nor $B$ is a redaction of the other, but their immediate common ancestor cannot be very far removed from either. A belongs to a different hand in the genealogy of manuscripts descended from the author's original.

Corrupt readings prove that none of the extant manuscripts is, in fact, that origineI. Some, occurring in B , or U , only, are probably of recent origin, perhaps due to the scribe of the very manuscript under consideration. A number of inferior readings are shared by $B$ and $U$ and are doubtless derived from their common exemplar. Yet in no instance do A, B and U share the same obvious corruption.

Passages in which corruption is fairly certain are very evenly distributed among the thee manuscripts. The most blatant examples in A are:-

2.) 'it bireckince (pe reckinge' p. 59, 13/3-14.
3.) 'I hade deserved it and cause ferof' p. 59, 1.15.
4.) 'bounden tee to a "ref.' 0.39, 1.15 .
$U$ and $B$ share the following inferior readings:-
1.) 'cloudis of ale synful men' p. 48, 1. 15 .
2.) 'knelyng, skornynge and eleped' p.52, 1215-16
3.) 'soreve so full pe birefte'. p.59, 71.11-12.

These last are more easily explicable than the examples from
A. It seems highly probable then that these errors may not have occurred in any manuscript earlier than the immediate common source of $B$ and $U$. Among the apparently corrupt readings unique to $B$ are:-
I.) ' te to scourger were strange' p. 47, $1.16-\mathrm{p} .48$, I.
2.) 'strongeful' p.53, 1.16
3.) 1/ere was is (p. $\mathbf{E}_{2}, \uparrow .7$.
(1) All references in this section (to p. XIV) are to the lines in the transcript.
4.) 'woundis...bare alte peyns of i bodi' ('pays', AU) p.64, 126-7.
5.) 'ne beleuyng. 7at shuld be beleeued.' po 40 , ll.4-5 In $U$, however, no unacceptable unigue readings occur.

As the small number of errors common to $B$ and $U$ would indicate a common exemplar fairly free from doubtful readings and possible to reconstruct from the descendant manuscripts, the choice of $B$ or $U$ for $a$ basic text seems preferable to the adoption of $A$, abounding as it is in unique readings and including several instances of textual obscurity, even of sense distortion. Of the two sister manuscripts, $B$ and $U$, the latter is recommended by the infrequency of its need for emendation. This apparent superiority might, however, be the result of contamination and the efforts of a scribe to 'restore' the good sense of the text.

One line, in $U$, which combines features from $A$ and $B$ and so might be cited in support of the theory of contamination, is:

- ffor te to skourgen weren chosen men tat weren stronge, stalworle..' p .47 , l $16-p .48, l_{\text {. } 1 .}$
beside
'for 7 e scourgers weren chosen men and stalworfe' A
'for 7 e to scourgen weren strong and stalworth.' B But this example alone is insurficient to cast serious doubt on the suitability of $U$ for the provision of a basic text. What is more, A presents syntactically a more satisfactory
line than $B$, whereas the version in the latter manuscript recommends itself on the grounds of its alliteration; thus $U$, combining the desirable features of the two readings, may quite well be preserving the original text of the clause.

If this supposition is accepted, then the position of $U$, in relation to $A$ and $B$, needs to be further defined. In a number of instances the text of $U$ agrees with $A$, in contradiction of $B$, e.E:-
1.) 'as a lord doê his bondemen' AU p. 38 (12)
2.) 'hedirward and Pedirwarde' AU p. 45 (8)
3.) 'shewing of i loue' AU p. 48 (7-8).

Yet the differences between $U$ and $B$ are generally very slight, consisting in the omission of definite article, adverb, pronoun, or conjunction, in one manuscript, or the occurence of singular beside plural of nouns, e.g:-

U32 (5) grace / graces B; U38(4) pullid fee/pulled B;
U38(13) sone to penaunce / to penaunce B;
U41 (3) lete fat / let B.
$B$ very rarely adds to the text of $U$, tending rather to omit insignificant words and phrases (as well as letters of words, e.g.'bisse' for 'blisse') present in $A$ and U. Quite the most important adition, in fact, is the phrase, 'and ouertrist to myself', which does not appear in either UorA.
(1)


Occasionally, however, B gives what is probably an earlier form of the text than is seen in $U$. Perhaps the most interesting example is the reading, 'aggregede' ('aggregid', A), es against 'greued Ree' in U.

It seems, then, that neither $U$ nor $B$ can be a direct copy of the other, since each contains examples of readings approximating more closely to those of $A$ than to those of the sister manuscript. The fact that $B$ contains uniaue inferior readings and no significant additions to the text of $U$ renders it less suitable as the basis for an edition. The selected manuscript should also be consistent in respect of dialect forms. $U$, better than $B$ and $A$, preserves East Midland phonological features consistent with the general nature of the vocabulary of the Meditatio. An examination of the language of the manuscripts will reveal perhaps more clearly the relationship between them.
(1) p. 58, 1. 11

## D. The Language of the Manuscripts.

## Phonology.

## A. Vowels.

1.) OE. $2 / 0$ + nasal appears regularly as a, in the four manuscripts, egg.

L: when, $I(9)$; schemes, $2(4) ;$ ran, $5(2)$; man, 6(6); wan, $8(10)$. UB: many, A manye, 32 (II); ABU man(kynde) 33 (I); $A B U:$ wan(hope), 40 (13); U: namely, A namely, B namly (41(19).
When a homorganic consonant follows the nasal, the OE vowel
appears in its lengthened and rounded form, in $A$, except
in the unaccented and, and in hang forms, beside hong.
I, $U$ and $B$ record some $\underline{\text { a forms beside } o \text { forms, before } n \text { nd. }}$
L: (with) stande, $3(19)$; handys $10(5)$; U handes, $64(6), 65(16)$.

Deviations in $B$ are: Mandes $64(6), 64(9), 65(16)$;
withstandynge, 66(13).
2.) $\quad \underline{O E} a \check{+1 a . ~(W S ~ * e a l d, ~ A n g l . ~-a l a) . ~}$

The unbroken form, lengthened and rounded to $o$, is
(1) a is the regular NE. representative of the vowel in trisyllabic forms of OE.hangian. See Jordan, Handbuch der Mittelenglischen Grammatik, Heidelberg,19ㅎ, para. 31.

* Ham hereto the ind of theses all references apply to the emendect tet.
usual in all the manuscripts. The only exceptions are haldryng, I $12(3)$ and halt $(p \cdot p$.$) A 62(5)$ (footnote to tranz-
3.) OE. $\bar{a}$ is rounded in all the manuscripts:I: holy 1 (2); 双 2(7); foos 3(20); gostely 3(21). U : gostely, AB gostly, $32(12)$; ABU, so, $33(1)$; ABU wo $33(4)$.
4.) $O E \breve{\not o}$ (lierc. and Kent e) in closed syllables is generally retracted to a, e.g:-

I: 7at $I$ (2); hadyst $I(8)$; bac 6(4); faste 6(14). $A B U$ : Rat $31(10) \mathrm{U}$ : haddest, A haddist, $B$ hadde, $37(10)$; ABU: fast 39(9).
MS. A, however, has the form, waische, in each instance of the word, showing a thirteenth century development, in parts of the North, Midland and South, perhaps a.lso in the London area. (See Luick, Historische Grammatik der Sprache, Leipzig, 1921, para. 404 and note.)

B records weshe $53(18), 6 I(1)$. I has Bledderys OE. blxadre 6(21).

## 5.) OE. $\bar{x}_{7}$ (WSX, Angl. and Kent e.)

e occurs in all the manuscripts, e.g:-
L: red $I(14)$; were $3(5)$; दree $7(8)$; strete $8(7)$; drede $11(6)$; $\mathrm{ABU}:$ where $33(10)$; UA dedis, $B$ dedes, 34(8); ABU arede 59(7).
A possible exception would be *har, I 2 6 (II) ( See footnote $\begin{gathered}\text { to text.) } \\ \text { to }\end{gathered}$
6.) OE. $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{2}$. (WS. and Angl. , Kentish e).

E forms are general in the four manuscripts, e.g:-
I: here 2(1); eche 5(11); neuere 9(10); beede 13(7).
UA: euere / B euer 32(7); UA eche 34(5); ABU teche 36(1);
UA helpe / B helthe 37(5).
Exceptions are:-
A: Ileische $34(5)$, etc; Ileischeli, 73(10).
B: fleishe $34(5)$, etc; fleishely $73(10)$. (See above, 4, end Iuick, op.cit. pera. 404.)
7.) OE. E is usually retained:-
(a) before-sc, however, A regularly hes ei (See Luick, Ioc.cit.) freisch 52(9); neische 7I(12). The forms, stidfast, $\Delta I(6)$, and stideastly, $45(I)$, in $B$, may be the result of raising of $\underline{e}$ before $\underline{d}$, but they are more probably derived from a double fom in Old English. (See Juick, op.cit. para. 379, note 2.)'
(b) Before nasal + dental, $B$ has streynth, 31 (5). (Luick, on.cit. pera. 404;; Joraan, op.cit. para. 102f.)
8.) OE. is ENIE. i.
(a) In an open syllable, this occasionally appears as e, in $U$ and $B:-\quad$ (This is the regular form of the work in those manuscripts);
euel / evyI $40(2), 44(10)$, etc. besili / besyly $4 I(6)$;
I has evele 18 (3). wreten U 5I(13); resen (p.p.) 74(8). yeven B 33(11).
(I) This possibly from $\bar{x}_{2}$.
hedirwarde, pedipwarde, U 45(8); lemys, UB, 32(6) may be examples of the some process of lowering and lengthening.
(b) B , however, contains a number of instances of fifteenth century $e$ for $\underset{\underline{i}}{ }$, and it is difficult, often impossible, to deciule which aevelownt is exemplifiea. The following occur in B:-
blessful $4.7(10)$; kneghtes 56(14); sethen 60(10); preve 70(8).

U has shette (p.p.) 63(1), preue ro(8).
A records strepinge 54(19) and L Eives wele (will) 24(17).
(c) A uniaue possible example of $1 \mathbb{N E}$. er, represented as ar, is herte, I 25(11).
9.) OE. Y is generally unrounded and raised in the four menuscripts. The II symbol is often retained, e.g:-

I: mychel 1(8); synne 5(4); kyrtel 6(12); swich 10(22).
U: synful 32(18); kynde 33(1); gilt 37(16);
A; mynde 31(13); kirtil 54(12).
$B:$ mynd 31(13); kynde 33(1).
There are very "few exceptions.
euel, U $\triangle 0(2)$; evvi, B $40(2)$; dede ( $O E$ dyde-) B60(5),
sterif $\mathrm{U}, 72(5)$. (These examples are probably indicative of lowering and lengthening of $\bar{i}(O E X)$ in open syllables of disyllabic forms. See preceding paragraph.)

U twice records moche 46(6), 65(4). B has whoche $32(14)$, such $59(7)$. (These forms suggest West $1 / i d y a n d i n f l u e n c e$, but in common words the rounded vowel was introduced into several
other dialects, including the London dialect. See Luick, ov. cit. para. 375, para. 39\%.)
10.) OE $\bar{y}$ is unrounded and raised in all manuscripts, e. E:L: hyde 7(19); Iytel 11(19); Iyther 13(2). ABU: hide 55(17); AU pride / B pryde 44(4); UT: Iitill / A Iitil / B Iitel 37(I7). whe, I $26(5), 27(10)$, seems to be a unique exception.
11.) OE. O remains in all the manuscripts and is written 6 or oo:-

I: lokynge $3(2)$; blod $4(8)$; rode $6(4)$.
U doone / A doon / B done 33(15); AU blood/B blode 37(I) The single exception is guode, I 24(15), which looks like a South Eastern form. (See Luick para. 405, Jordan para. 46:) - uo after $\underline{b}$ and g.) It may, however, be an unconventional representation of the Northern close, fronted $u$ developed from OE. O .
12.) OE. ea $+r+$ consonant. is represented by the unbroken a:- I: harde 6(14); amede 8(3); arwenesse 10(20).
$\mathrm{U}:$ towarde / AB toward 41(11); ABU art 60(8);
$U$ harae / $A B$ hard 61(6).
A doubtrul exception is herde 25 (11), which may be a scribal error. (See n.)
13.) $\underline{O E}$ ea $+\underline{r}+i / j$ (WS. ie, Angl. and Kent. e).

I, U and A have only e fomm:-
I: here $I(4)$; dere 4(11); heryng $17(11)$.
UA heere 34(10); UA dere 58(4); U heryng / A heeringe 64(6).

B, on the other hand, records hyre [WS. hieren] 34(10); hymynge 64(6), beside dere 58 (4).
14.) OE $\overline{\mathrm{ea}}+i / \dot{j}$ WS. ie, Angl. and Ken. e.)

Only e (ee) occurs:
$\mathrm{I}:$ ekyng, $16(23) ; \mathrm{AU}:$ bileeue/ B bileve $40(6)$;
$\mathrm{U}:$ ekyng / A eking / B echynge, 68 (I7).
15.) OE. EO.
(a) When unaffected by neighbouring sounds, eo
is monophthongised and unrounded:-
I: hevene $I(10)$; herte $6(10)$; erpe $7(18)$.
UA erfe/B erth 32(19). UB hert/A herte 31(4).
ABU sterris $48(10)$.
harte, $L 25(11)$, may be a genuine exception. (see above, pare. 12 and n.)
(b) OE. weor- (Late WS. war-, Angl. wor-).

OE. SWMGra is represented in all manuscripts as swerd(e). (I 22(4); ABU $67(18)$.
OF. we(0)re is generally represented as werk- (I $15(13)$,
O玉. we(0)rold is represented as world (I 18(13). ABU 34(6).
Late OE. wuriscipe, wyr才 scipe, (Nth. woroscipe) is represented as worchype $I r(16)$, worschip(e) ABU 45 (I). The verb form wirschipe is found, however, in $U 44(3)$ (vyrship B), where A records worschipe.
16.) OE Ē is usually monophthongised and unrounded to e in $L, A, B$ and $U:-$

I: thef 2(6); 乡ede. 2(8); seek 6(18).
U: peefe / A seef / B thes 39(15); UA ead /B yede 45(8). There are two exceptions:
(i) sijj, which is regularly found in A (e. E. 38(16) (1) and exemplifies an indubitable fifteenth century change, the raising 䀑 $\mathbb{N E}$. e to E.MOd.I. i,
(ii) two very strikine instances of hēo [OE. hēo],

I $10(10)$, 16(20). (See below. para. 27.)
Perhaps bien belongs here, as an example of the S. Eastern development from io ( $=\mathrm{WS}$. êo).

New Diphthongs.
17.) OEXE (Ken. eg.) is represented by ai(ay) in all four manuscripts:-

I: may 3(7); brayn 7(8).
ABU: may, $37(4)$; U brayn / A brayn / B brayne 55(8).
18.) OE e +cg in infinjitives.

Infinitives containing a diphthong, on analogy with
s. 2 \& 3 pres. ind. formf, occur in the four manuscripts:
${ }^{I}$ lay 25(6); UB lav/ A leie 70(16).
19.) E.M.E. $\overline{\mathrm{e} g} / \overline{\mathrm{e} h} /<\overline{\mathrm{e} a} / \bar{x}+g / \mathrm{h} .1$
$U$ and $B$ record the (eit diphthong only :-
U heis $/ B$ hey 33(1); U exte / B eygh 42(6)
$U$ evjen / B eveghen 46 (10).
(1) $\frac{\text { A has the typically Southern and S.Midiand monophthongised }}{\text { As has been noted, is almost certainly the latest of the }}$ four manuscripts. (See above p. III.)
and raised vowel: hye 8(14); ny $10(19)$; dye (rume dejen Oll. deyja ) $18(15)$, beside eyen $10(3)$; eye $25(2)$.

A regularly has $i$ forms:-
hyy $33(1)$; ize $42(6)$; sis $58(4)$; ny $59(4)$, but with a single exception, the verb, neize. (see footnote to transcript, p.64, 1.11.)
20.) E.M.E. $a+h / h t$ (Angl. $e+h / h t)$

A diphthong appears in $L$, U and $A$, e. $8:-$
L bytauste 21(12); bytawte $27(10)$.
U taugtest / A tau ctist 35(II); U rauste / A rausten 61 (16).

No glide has developed before the $\underline{h}$, in the examples seen in $B$ : taghtest 35 (11); raght 61 (16).
21.) $\underline{O E \overrightarrow{0}+h}$ often remains in $B$ and is spelt ogh: noght 31(3); inogh 48(15); togh 49(9), beside造 50 (14).
The other three manuscripts have an ou (ow) diphthong, in every instance.
22.) OE (and ON.) äg appears in all four manuscripts as the new diphthong, ou (ow):-

I: owne 11(10); ABU owne $41(12) ; \mathrm{U}$ lowe / AB Iow 33(1).

## Unstressed Vowels.

23.) In final unstressed syllables, $\underset{(y)}{(I)}$ beside e, is
(1) This is a Northern characteristic, found also in regions bordering on the North. See Iuicle, op. cit. para.460, 2 a .
characteristic of $U$ and $A$. I has a fair sprinkling of (I)
$\underline{u}$ forms, as well as $\underline{e}$ and $\underline{i}(y)$. B gives freauent $\underline{i}(y)$ forms, but $e$ is preponderantly used in this manuscript.

## Consonants.

24.) OII.c. is regularly represented by $k$, initially before a. Pront vowel, in all the mamecripts.
25.). OE.SC is written sch or sh :

I: schames 2(4); flesch 6(15); AU Iresche / B Iressh 32(9);
AU schrifte / B shrift 34(2).
26.) OE ng before $\frac{\text { th }}{(2)}(p)$ is retained in $U . I$ and $A$ have examples with -nk. The gm is lost in B.

I strenkethe $3(19)$; lenkthe $13(7)$; U strenc 庆 41 (17), accusingeis $65(7)$. B streynth $31(5)$. A strenkie 31(5).
27.) OE. hw generally appears as wh in all the manuscripts. Exceptions are:

With w only, $L$ : wenne $I(10)$, were-inne $7(19)$. This manuscript also contains the inverted spelline, whou (OI. hच्ज $) 6(11), 19(9)$, which suggests that the aspirated quality was predominant in words regularly derived from OE. forms with hw. It is possible, indeed, that whou may be
(1) According to Luick, loc.cit., this is characteristic of the N. W. Midland.s.
(2). Characteristic of $\mathbb{N} . W . M i d a n d s$ and the looth. See Jorden, op.cit. para. 193.
indicative of Northern, or East Midland, influence. (See Jordan, op.cit. para. 195.), for the dialect forms of I are certainly very mixed.
28.) OE $d$ is sometimes unvoiced in final position in $B$, e.g: greuet 54(19); endet 74 (5).

A records the past participle, halt (footnote to transcript, (2) 62(5). )
29.) OE. I before č is lost in all the manuscripts, e.g:L iche $9(3)$; swyche $9(9)$. UA whiche / B whoche 32(14); $U$ eche / xrorak A ech / B euche 34(5).

## Accidence.

30.) Nouns.

The - (e)s plural has been generalised, except in the words eyen $I I 0(3)$, eyjen $U / \underline{i}\} e n A /$ eyeghen $B, 46(10)$, and chylaren L $9(2 \theta)$.
$U$ and $B$ contain one example of a noun of relationship uninflected in the genitive: U fadir / B fadyr, 70(5). $L(p, 26, R .15)$ neconds the uninflected genitive, Modur.
(An inflected adjective used substantively in the plural
is opere, 16(1).)
31.) Pronouns.

The nom. forms of the $3 r d$. . fem. pers.pron. in the
(1) See Jordan, op.cit. para 200.
(2). See below, pora 32 (e).
four manuscripts are:
L: she, 16(21), etc; he 10(6),16(18), etc; two occurrences of neo, $10(10), 6(20)$.

AU: she / sche, $50(13)$, etc.
B: she $50(13)$, etc. and the exceptional form, who 74(17). The acc., g. and dat., in $I$, are represented by hyre, IO (3), $10(4)$, etc. The corresponding form in AU is hip, etc., while $B$ has hyp, hiv. ( $58(4), 58(6)$, etc. )

The regular forms of the oblique cases of the ard. pl. pron. are as follows:-
 acc., dat. hem $9(5), 9(18)$, etc. hem e.g. $48(14), 60(3)$ hem ham
g. here $8(5), 9(20)$, etc. hire e.g.71(14),75(6) her hap Exceptions are: acc. dat. jaime U ro(15); g. eire U 44(15), 69(4); acc. dat. hem, B 38(9).
32.) Verbs.
(a) Infinitives.

In $L, U$ and $A$ the final $\underline{n}$ of the infinitive has been
lost in most instances. There are very few exceptions:-
I: waschyn 12(5); seruyn 21(12); comforten,
A: ven $32(13) ; \quad \mathrm{U}:$ skourgen 47 (17).
With the single exception of scourge, $47(17)$, all
infinitives in $B$ have lost final $\underline{\underline{n}}$, or - en, eng:-
love 31(11); hold 32(16); know 32(18).
(b) Present Indicative.

The usual inflections are:
sI. -e , 2. $-(e / i / u-) s t$, 3. $(e / i / u-) \operatorname{th} /$ i
pl. $-(\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{n}$.

I, U and $B$ have a few instonces of $s .2$ in -es/-is:I: honges $18(9)$; sEydys 19 (15). U: schrynkes $57(6)$; stondis 62(11); hangis 62(11); spares 70(1). $\mathrm{B}:$ hatis $47(8)$; shrynkes $57(6)$.
$U$ and I have one isolated example each of s .3 in -es;
crownes, I $7(15)$; sittes, U 57 (11).
Exceptions to the usual form of the plumal are:U wondref/B wondreth $57(16)$. I pessyth 19 (9). (c) Present Participles.

The gerundial suffix has been borrowed generally. A. single exception is lykande, I 25 (I).
(d) Preterite of Strong Verbs.

The ablaut series of vowels is generally preserved in
all the manuscripts. However, some examples occur of Iqvelling of the plural under the stingular, e.g:

I: roos $7(3)$; strok $22(5)$;
U: smote 48 (6); zaue 65(5); ranne 67(13).
A: smoot 48(6); zauen 65(5); brast 64.(4).
B:- smot $48(6)$; bare $64(6)$; was $48(2), 60(2)$.
Examples showing the contrary process of levelling the singular under the plural are: sawe U64(8); bere A54(6).
(e) Past Participles of Strong Verbs.

The only thace of the OE. prefix, geswhich remains is seen in imade, which occurs in the ascription of the text to Rolle, in $B 31(2)$. Final $\underline{n}$ is generally retained. Exceptions are: I: take $8(19), 22(13)$; to-drawe 24(6). B: take 54(12);
(1) But see note to text.
withdrawe $59(6)$; forsak 69(17).
A: withdrawe $59(6)$; take $68(4)$.
The form halt , A (footnote to transcript, 62(5) is probably analogical with weak verb forms.

## VOCABULARY.

For a text which has been presumed of fourteenth century Yorkshire origin, the Saendinavian element in the vocabulary is surprisingly small. Apart from such words as 'take', 'cast', 'lowe', 'meke', 'banke', which had spread widely in that century, the two versions share few words of specifically Northern character: 'flat', 'lat', 'gatys', 'heyl', 'kyndel', 'fro', 'flytte', 'tyl', 'bolnyd', perhaps 'awickenen', are the principai. The ABU version contains a few more Norse $\quad \mathrm{O}$ 保ds than does L: 'hille'(vb.), 'gawren', 'atwynne', 'twynnen', 'eggen', 'snybbynggis', 'or'(=before). Ihas 'Iyft', 'reke', 'stekyd', (1) perhaps 'rody' (sb.), apparently the only Scandinavian words not shared with $A B U$. Most of the words cited would have been as familiar in the East Midlands as the North and do, in fact, occur in the works of Chaucer. There are sufficient of them, however, and of these 'flytte', 'bolnyd', 'gawren', 'hille', 'snybbinggis', 'reke' and 'stekyd' at least are sufficiently rare to preclude the

[^1]supposition that the Meditatio was written in a. Southern or Western area, since it is obvious that some contact with Scandinavian settlers must have taken place.

Variant readings may indicate which elements of the text appeared strange to the copyist. MS. U and MS. B record 'holdes', where MS. A has 'housis'. A and B read (1) 'gatys' in place of 'goynges' (U). U and B read 'ferdenes', where A gives 'drede', and the Northern (or Western) 'fondyngis', instead of 'temptaciouns',(A). Beside 'knowe' (UB), A records the specifically Southern form, 'knouleche.'

Counterbalancing the Scandinavian borrowings and Northern dialect forms, a very considerable proportion of the vocabulary of this text, in both versions, is of French origin. A number of terms are used which suggest the technical wocabulary of theology and the traditional narrative of the Passion, e.g: 'buffetynge', 'crois', 'passioun', 'fervour', 'penaunce', 'tribulacioun', 'orisoun'. It is very probable that, were the nature of the work different, and its connection with the liturgy, and with mediaeval Latin literary models less close, then the proportion of native and Scandinavian to Romance words might be considerably greater.
(1) But against this should be weighed the fact that $U$ alone records Scand. forms of oblique cases of the pl. 3 pers. pronoun.

## General Conclusions.

The dialect differences between the four manuscripts present in an interesting light the question of the localisation of their exemplars, the originals, that is, of ABU and I .

Perhaps the most consistent from a linguistic viewpoint is $A$, an indubitably Midland manuscript, containing fewer Northern words than the others. The testimony of the other three manuscripts in the matter of vocabulary waighs, however, against any theory that A might represent most faithfully the dialect of the author.

There is sufficient evidence to show that the other three manuscripts have passed through the hands of scribes Exy in different parts of England from the area of the origin of the text. Certainly L represents the most faxkmy frequent transcription; it is necessary to cite only a very few forms to prove this: 'haldyng', 'heo', 'whe', 'bledderys', 'guode', 'bien', 'lykande'.

No examples (apart from the one instance of 'haldyng') occur in any of the manuscripts of the Northern ME developments from OE. 트, sc,or hw. The oblique cases of the pl. 3 pers. pronoun also are not characteristic of a Northern work, for the three occurrences of Scandinavian forms in $U$ are no more than would be expected throughout the East Midlands in the mid-fourteenth century. All the manuscripts, what is
(I) And of T. See Appendix C.
more, record the Midland -en present plural inflection generally.

On the other hand, $2 l l$ four manuscripts show some levelling of the preterite plural under the singular form with very rare examples of the contrary process, such as are found even in Chaucer, beside the Northern type. The $\underline{a}$, beside ㅇ, which is seen in I before nasals + homorganic consonants, may be Northern in origin. Certainly the retention of EIE. ah and oh in B, without development of a glide before the spirant, suggests Northern or North Midland influence.

The occurrence in $B$ of 'har' and 'ham' for the oblique cases of the pl. 3 pers. pronoun, 'hyre' (W.S. 'hieran') and the writing of $\underline{o}\left(\begin{array}{l}=\underline{u} \quad \underline{Z}) \text { before } \underline{c}^{(1)} \text { indicates }, ~\end{array}\right.$ some South or West Midland influence.

U , containing forms from various Midland aress , is predominantly East Midland in phonological and inflectional features. The variety of Midland forms, indeed, is no reason for refusing to assign this manuscript fairly definitely to an East Midland district. The vocabulary (2) of the Meditatio, as has 蛙ready been said, would seem generally characteristic of the same area. There is, therefore, no linguistic objection to the use of $U$ for a basic text.

*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         *                             *                                 *                                     *                                         *                                             * 

[^2]
## Section II: Versions of the 'Meditatio de Passione Domini. ${ }^{\prime}$

a) The English Versions of the' Meditatio de Passione

Domini. '
(1)

As has been indicated already, MS. I represents a version of the work distinct from that given in MSS. $A, B$ and $U$, although the two versions agree in much of their material and in general mood. Whether one version is preferable to the other is a auestion that cannot quickly be decided. Upon the answer to it depends, in some degree, any decision on the authorship and chronology of $L$ and $A B U$.

Both versions consist of a series of meditations of a penitential nature upon incidents in Christ's Passion and the sufferings of His Mother, at the foot of the Cross. In their general arrengement, both follow the chronological order of the gospel narrative: the prayer on Mount Olivet, the angel in the garden, the taking of Christ into captivity and the further events which lead up
to the death on the Cross. Most of the meditations, in $I$ and in $A B U$, may be resolved into two elements, one of thanks for the acts, words, or sufferings, of Christ, and the other of prayer for grace, usually for grace to follow His example in practice of the virtues considered in the first part of the meditation. Repetition of certain key words and phrases, such as: 'I panke pe and zelde pe graces', 'schemes', 'peynes', 'angwysch', is common to both versions, as is also the frequent use of the apostrophe, 'swete Ihesu'. There is considerable stylistic resemblance between the two, abundance of alliteration and fondness for rhythmic balance and antithesis of phrases and clauses. But it is the employment of identical wording to a great extent which makes clear the relationship between $I$ and $A B U$ as between two versions of one basic text. It is, indeed, because they have so much in common that the differences between them are so striking and so perplexing, too.

However, any attempt to define the extent of the variation between them must take into consideration the fact that none of the extant manuscripts is the author's original. I, for instance, contains these lines:-
!...send me, Lord, pe aungel of red and of consort in alle my nedys, fat I my ste turne, thorow fat swet, owt of al sekenesse of soule into lyf of hale OT BABy. (1)
(1) peI, 13-16.l. 13-p.2, l.1.

This petition is obviously nonsensical. The corresponding clause in ABU reads:-
'.. Fat I may, Forw $\mathcal{F} / 1$ swete comforte, turne out of al mescheef of soule and of bodi into helle of vertu and of mekenes. (1)

MS. A, on the other hand, gives ecually debased sense in the words:

1/e Crowne of al blysse,.. (e Emperour of helle, is now hound crowned wi? ornes,'
where $U$ reads:
1/e Corowne of alle blisse.. is of helle-houndis
corowned wif pornes. (2)
$U$ and $B$, though free from such gross errors, contain several doubtful passages, e.g:-
(a) ' $/ 1 / 2$ loue was so kene sette in hert.., $i$ doole and sorwe so ful le birefte is chere so drery for dedly woo at it birefte e rekkyng of bodili drede;'
cf: '.. (Yi breste so ful of doole and sorwe' A ;
(b) '..oon of $\eta_{i}$ woundes, swete Ihesu, was and is inows to do away le cloudis of alle synful men and to clere 7 e conscience of alle sinful men; (
ef: $\quad$. oon of 7 i woundis, swete Ihesu, was and is inow's to do awey cloudis of synne and to clere Pe conscience of alle sinful men' $A$.
But the distinction between $I$ and $A B U$ is too fundamental to be explained solely in terms of variations arising normally in the process of copying from manuscript to manuscript. As far as the meditation on the
(1)
(2) p. 55, 11. 12-1胡
(3) U.B, p. 5q, 11.8-14.
(4) UB, p. 48, 11.15-49, 1.1. See emponatom notes to these lines, in emedry
(1)
mocking of Christ, the text of $A B U$ is the fuller, containing much material not found in $L$ : a number of (2) preliminary prayers, full meditations on Judas's betrayal of his Master and the taking of Christ into (3)
captivity (these corresponding to a few lines in $L$ ), a. series of similes on the theme of the wounds, further meditations on the blindfolding and buffeting. From the words, 'Pere Lord Ihesu, mercy, /at Welle art of mercy, ${ }^{\prime}$ there is a general correspondence between $L$ and $A B U$ : the order of the meditations, and much of the wording, is identical. I, however, observes the brief meditation-form less strictly than $A B U$, presenting one long, progressive meditation on the Passion, often uninterrupted by the petitions that appear so regularly in the other form of the work. It is interesting to notice the differences in the detail of the two versions; for example, L omits the discussion on the nature of the will of man and the need for its conformity with the will of God, the bonds of faith, hope and charity, the restoration of God's likeness to the image in the
(1) $p .52,1.511$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (2) } \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ p .3 \$, 1.1 \\ 1.5 \\ 1\end{array}\right)-\mathrm{p} .33,1.10$.
4) P.I, I. 18 pe, 10.
(5) p. 48, 1.1t-p.52, 1.19
(7) pee introduction, 1.11
7) See introduction, per,1/in. The corresponding passage
(8) in ABU commences at p. Fo, p. $75.35,1.9-13.3612 .7$
(1)
human soul, while there is no trace in ABU of much of the minor material of $I$, such as the stanza also found in one of the Thornton lyrics and the tradition that Calvary was an established place of execution. For the rest, one version would seem to be a paraphrase of the other, with some elaboration, and not a copy.

Yet, if one version is based directly on the other, it is difficult to distinguish the principle on which alterations were made, for it can rarely be said that one reading is preferable to another. A few illustrations will make this clear:-
(a) '.. קat mychel ferdenesse pat Nou hadayst for vs, whan pou become so ful of angwysch pat an aungef of heuene cam;' (4)
'..al 年at ferdenes and angwysshe pat \%ou suffredist for vs, whan an aungell of heuene come...' (5)
(b) 'I thanke fe of peynes and of schamus Fat pou soffrede so swetely and so gladly, now for to drawe pe, now for to puttefe so schamely, now for to smyte fe, now for to bete pe so sore and so felly, and for, to bere fine wone Rode on swete nakede bac;' (6)
'I \}elde to Tee Fankinggis and gracis for al
Pe paynes and shameful turnes pat pou suffred, when ou bare 7 in oyme Crois and ilaggement upon
/i naked bak, fior ef drowen and pulled Tee so felly, Tei putted /7e and smote /e schamefully;'(7)
(1) $42,71.8-p .43, l .14-p .44, l .7$
(2) $\mathrm{p} .13,11.14-17$. See explanatory notes.
(3) $1.5,17.18-19$, p. 6, ll.6-7.
4. $\mathrm{p} .1,11.8-10$.
(5) $\mathrm{p} .36,11.1012$
(7) p. 7 . $51,11.18-16 . \operatorname{p.5,l.7-p.6,l.4}$
（c）＇So lothly and so wlatsome fe lues han te mad
fat a mysel art you Iyckere fan a cline man；＇（I） －Ie Lewis haven so beseen tee pat fou are liker
a mosel an a clene man．＇（2）

However，it is possible to make certain generalis－ ations about the style of the two versions which may help to elucidate the problem of their relationship to each other．Perhaps the most interesting difference， certainly that which gives rise to most speculation， arises from the general tendency，in $A B U$ to polysyndetic structure，lack of subordination of clause to clause． The result is often multiplication of words without any increase in lucidity：
＇．．．And，swete Ihesu，restore pe lyknes of $p_{i}$ face， and in my soule，pat foule synnes haven faded；and， lave Lord，lat me never have likyhge in Fe face of synne in temptacioun；and graunte me grace never to assente to lust of syne for semblaunt of pony over face；and，swete Ihesu，graunte me to se pi blessid face in heuene；＇（3）
＇．．graunte me to rede vo 7 i bole and sumwhat to vndirstonde 位e swetnes of pat writyng and to have likyng in studiouse abidyhge of lat redynge，and zeus me grace to conceyve sumwhat of pe pereles love of Ihesu Crist and to lerne，bi Fat ensample，to louse God ajenward，as I shulde；and，swete Ihesu， graunte me pis studie in every tide of 1 e day，and lite me poon is bole stody at my matynes and houris and evensong and compline，－and ever to be my meditacioun，my speche and my daliaunce．＇（4）

When ABU does achieve greater clarity than $L$ ，it is usually at the cost of some stylistic ornament．For instance，

[^3]where I reads:-
'.. kef a man no sauowre fynde, thank hymself owtcastynge and rebukynge and reuylynge and seyng hays weykenesse and zeldyng hymself vnworthy devocoun to have...' (1)

ABU forgoes the cumulative effect of the sequence of gerunds, the emphatic strength of the imperative and the satisfying rhythm of the inverted phrase:-
'. . if a man may no fervour fynde, bon late hym Conk himsilf Webill and outcaste and rebuke himsilf and hold himsilf vnwor i to have devocioun.' (2) It may well be that the absence from $A B U$ of the rhymes (3)
in $I$ is the result of a general reordering of words, cancelling of elegant inversions, in the interests of explicitness and normality of structure. This general difference in the style of the two versions is not great enough to prove that they are the work of two different authors, but it does, at least, imply a lapse of time Between their composition to allow for a change in style, if both were written by one man. The nature of the difference suggests, too, a change of purpose.

One possible explanation of the distinction between I and $A B U$ would be that the two were independent translations of some hypothetical original, probably in Latin. This would account for the fact that alliteration may occur in corresponding passages in the two versions, but

[^4]may fall upon different words: a device in the original would be imitated each time, but not in identical
(1)
detail. Both I and $A B U$ contain passages from the (2) Stimulus Amoris. Comparison of these passages in the two versions reveals the interesting fact that, in those sentences closest to the Latin, the English translations differ from each other only in word-order and the translation of unimportant words, such as conjunctions,e.g:-
(a) 'How was it 存t arwenesse of wommankynde, or maydenhed-schamynge, ne hadde e withdrawin? For it was not semely to $p e$ to folewe swych a rowte..., but lou hadde no rewarde to no mannys arede, ne to noust ellys 7at Ze schuldelette;' (3)
cf: 'How was it pat arzhnes of wommanes kynde, or schamynge of maidenhede, ne had wifdrawen fee? And it was not semely to 7 fe to folewe swych a rowte; but fou had no reward to mannes drede, ne to nou filys /at schulde Zee lette;' (4)
(b) 'I aske not, dere Lady, kastelys, no towrys, ne of re worldys wele, pe sonne, nor pe mone, ne pe brist sterrys, but woundys of reuthe is al my desyr, peyne and compassyoun of my Lord Ihesu Cryst;' (5)
cf: 'I aske, derworfe Lady, neifer castel, ne touris, ne ofere worldle wele, ne sunne, ne mone, ne none of e bodies of heuene, ne no pinge but woundis of rew e, payne and compassioun of swete Ihesu my Lordis Passioun is al my desire.' (6)
(1) B.Mus. Royal MS. 8.C.XV does, indeed, quote a Latin version of passages attributed to 'Lampul in suo tractatu de passione,' but there is some reason to suspect that this version is translated from the English. (See below, Pp. I8-22.) pp. LVV-LVID
(2) See below, Mp. LXXXIV-XCIII:
4) $\mathrm{p} .54,114$ 4. 9 . $5.54,1.3$.
6) p. 58, 11.19-22. p.SO,
bl. $11-15$.
'Bodies of heuene' stands out in contrast to 'stexpys'; otherwise there is no considerable variation between the two versions, except as regards verbal arrangement, the one respect in which two accurate, but independent, translations might be expected to differ. Close similarity of vocabulary would be explained by the fact of common authorship. And it is verbal arrangement, in the passages where they are at all alike, which makes the chief distinction between the texts of $L$ and $A B U$ as a whole. There is a certain amount of material which is unique to (2) one or the other, but, as the Privity of the Passion, for instance, illustrates, some elaboration would naturally be introduced into a mediaeval translation, and two men working on one original, or the same man working at different times, would certainly elaborate in different ways. But that this is what did happen cannot definitely be proved. Certainly, L and ABU seem to have been written independently of each other and, under these circumstances, the very consistent use of the same vocabulary would seem to favour the theory that both versions were the work of one man.

The framework of ABU suggests a plausible reason for the writing of a second version. The inclusion of the
(1) See below, $\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{Q1/11}$ n. (1)
(2) C.Horstmann, Yk. Wr. I, pp. 72-89.
introductory prayers, the strict alternation, observed throughout the whole text, of thanksgiving and petition, the fact that each meditation is a self-contained unit, built around a single, easily distinguishable incident, or symbol; all this renders the text manifestly suited to general devotional use. In L, on the contrary, after the first mention of the carrying of the Cross, the two elements of each meditation become less and less separable and the conventionally phrased prayers appear more rarely. No clear breaks are made in the narrative, which is suffused throughout by the penitential mood, as the penitent comes to a fuller consciousness of his own sins, through his emotional identification with his Lord in His sufferings. I is generally the more lyrical, subjective version, whereas ABU, ending suitably with Mary and John sorrowing at the foot of the Cross, has more the air of a set devotional exercise. Nothing could emphesise the different nature of ABU more forcibly than the series of similes on the wounde. So lengthy a treatment of the one theme is disproportionate and interrupts the general mood of the text. The logical precision of the figures, with their careful analogies and balanced phrasing, belongs more to formal rhetoric, practised for its own sake, and as it must frequently have appeared in mediaeval sermons, than to the freer Iyric manner of $I$, which makes use of rhetorical
structure but keeps it subordinate to the dominant mood. expressed. It seems feasible that the passages on the wounds, like the opening prayers in the same textt, were inserted during the process of compiling from an earlier version a fuller and more formally obvious manual for penitential use.

In its latter part, $A B U$ approximates more nearly in character to the later part of $L$. Certainly the basic material of this section would lend itself less readily than that of the first part to any adaptation, or (2)
formalisation. It seems, therefore, that the earlier section is more likely to give a clue to the relative chronology of the two versions, especially as its source (3) is probably known. In the present state of the evidence, gathered from a consideration of the two English versions alone, the anomalies between $L$ and $A B U$ can best be explained as the result, not of completely independent composition - they are too alike for that - but of independent translations from another language, or two attempts by one author, the second being made with reference to the first, but after some lapse of time and with a different purpose in view. If the second hypothesis be accepted, it must be recodnised that at

[^5]least the auotations from the Stimulus Amoris were probably re-translated from Latin. The very general use of the same vocabulary, in $L$ and $A B U$ is evidence in favour of their being translations by the same writer. That he should have made two attempts is no far-fetched supposition.
$A B U$ represents a fuller text than that of $L$, yet, if their purposes be different, it is difficult to decide which is preferable. The difference in content corresponds to a difference in form; $A B U$, while retaining nearly all the material of $L$, emphasises, by the inclusifon of much that is fresth, the structure of formal prayers, the petitional element, rather than discursive meditation, continuous narrative. The nature of the differences in syntax and word-order, observable in the two texts, points to a desire on the part of the writer of $A B U$ to elucidate and normalise some previous version. That ABU represents a revision of $I$ is more plausible than that the writer of I deliberately inverted and involved straightforward statements. Unless both English versions are translationg, then it seems probable that the composition of L preceded that of $A B U$. The two might, of course, be variations on a third, ultimate English version. What makes this somewhat unlikely is the relationship of $I$ and $A B U$ to E, a series of prayers in Anglo-Norman.
b) The Relationship between the 'Medjtatio' and a Collection of Anglo-Norman Meditations on the Passion.

In MS. Ee.vi. 16 in the Cambridge University Library is contained a series of prayers in Anglo-Norman, which bears a very marked resemblance to part of the English Meditatio de Passione Domini: the French text, for much of its length, offers a fairly exact verbal (2) parallel to the first section of $L$. Both $E$ and I trace the events of the Passion from the Garden of Gethsemane to the death on the Cross, with which $\mathbb{E}$ ends. Both follow the same plem of composition: a succession of meditations, most of which are divided into a prayer of thanksgiving and subsequent petition, both parts inspired by the same incident, or symbol, in the story of Christ's suffering and death. The Latin prayer, which begins:
'Adoramus te, Criste, et benedicimus tibi...,' follows each meditation in $\mathbb{E}$, as it follows each of the earlier meditations in the English work.

The second English version (ABU) contains a number of introductory prayers unparalleled in $I$ or $E$. In the meditations which follow these prayers, the text differs
(1) See above, p.p.V-VI.
(2) To $p .6,1.2 Q . q$.
verbally from $E$ to a much greater extent than does the text of $L$. $A B U$, in fact, presents a greatly elaborated, expanded form of the simple meditations of $E$ and shares with them no readings not also found in $L$. It seems unlikely, then, that $A B U$ has any direct connection with the Anglo-Norman text. Any dependence it shows would seem to have been transmitted from $E$ through an intermediate version somewhat similar to $L$.

Quotation of some of the parallels between $E$ and $L$ will reveal the considerable similarity between them and also the stricter economy of statement that characterises E:-
(a) 'Swete Lord Thesu Cryst, Y thanke pe and I helde \%e graces of kit mychel ferdenesse pat pou haddyst for vs, when ou become so ful of angwysch Tat an aungel of heuene cam to confortyn le, wenne ou swattest bloà for angwysche. I preye (e, Lord, and byseche pe, for i swete mercy, "at ou be myn help in al myn angwysch and my fondinges, and send me, Lord, pe aungel of red and of confort in alle, my nedys, /at I myjt turne thorow fat swet..; ${ }^{\prime \prime}(1)$
cf: 'Graces vus renc, treduz Syre Ihesu Crist, de la grant trestour que vous auiez pur nous, quant vus deuenistes si angoissus que i angle du ciel vus vint confortier e sanc suastes de angoisse, e reauer aue vous nus enuoiez laungere de confort en totes nos anguisses, que nus pussoms par cele suore tumer...' (2)

The English is a slightly expanded version of the French text, but the additional material is inessential,
(1) p.I, 11.7-15.
(2) Appendix A, p. (1) ,11. $5-11$.
consisting of conventional phrases, 'for $17 i$ swete mercy', or alternative renderings of a single conception, as: 'I thanke \%e and I jelde pe graces', in place of the simple 'graces vus renc', or 'I preye Me, Lord, and beseche Fe,' corresponding to the one word, 'requer.' Even the clause, ' Yat fou be myn help in al myn angwysch and fondynges,' is,strictiy speaking, redundant, the same idea being expressea in the following words: 'send me, Lord, te aungel of red and of confort in al my nedys,' with which may be compared the Anglo-Norrnan 'enuoiez laungere de conforten totes nos anguisses.' I, in fact, observes the stylistic device of balanced phrasing, in preference to the simple precision of statement of $E$.

A further distinction between the two, in the passages quoted, lies in the use of personal ronouns. The
italicised forms given above indicate that $L$, although retaining the phrase, 'for $\mathrm{vs'}^{\prime}$, in the third line, becomes more personal afterwards, using the first singular pronoun, where E has plural forms. The difference persists throughout the two texts, suggesting that $L$ was designed as a devotion for a single individual, whereas E gives an office employed on behalf of a body of the devout.
(1) It is possible, of course, that another version of the Anglo-Norman prayers contained the equivalent of these words. (2) See below, p. © $\times \times \times \vee 1$.
(3) p. $X</ \mathrm{V}$.

These comments on the first two passages apply equally to the next:-
(b) 'Swete Thesu, I thanke $\bar{z}$ and I zelde 7 e graces of pynes and angwysches, and schames and felonyes, pat men dyden pe al with tresoun. Men bowayn pe os a thef, withowten mercy and pyte...;' (I)
cf: 'Graces vus renc, treisduz Syre Thesu Crist des peynes et des hontages aue vus soffristes pur nus, quant vus soffristes que lem vus preist par treison, cruelement lia cum laron, vilement vas memerent deuant le prince des prestres come felon.' (2)

The words italicised here are those unparalleled in the other language and, of these, 'felonyes', in $L$, may be an anticipation of 'come felon' in E.

Yet enother instance of correspondence may be given to demonstrate conclusively the nature of the resemblance between the two texts:-
(c) 'Lord, I prey Fe and beseke pe Fat You zeue me sofferynge and strenkethe for to withstande stedfastely a乡eynes alle pe assaylynges and fondynges of my foos and of myn enemys, gostely and bodyly. ' (3)
cf: '..e requer que vus nous donez pacience e foree de contrestez a touz les assauz de nous enemis. '(4)

Once again, the additional material in $L$ can be designated as repetitive, or conventional, calculated to give a pleasing stylistic effect, but to add nothing to the thought of the lines.

Such correspondence with $E$ forms no incidental element in the text of $L$. The first deviation from the Anglo-
(1) $p . I, 1.18-\mathrm{p} \cdot 2,21.3-6$.
2) Appendix A, p. (1), 11.12-16.
3) p.3, 11.16-92.
(4) Appendix A p. (2), 11.89-3士.12-14.

Norman work that merits remark comes at the end of the meditation on Christ's glance toward St. Peter. In place of the last clause in $E$, $:$.e vus requer que vus nous deignez (1)
regarder par meisme cele pitee', I has an elaboration of what has gone before. These lines in the English may be original; since the texts of $I$ and $E$ are each represented by only one manuscript, the discrepancy may equally well be the result of scribal error. After this, L continues to show the same close agreement with E as appears in the opening meditations, until the narrative of Christ's carrying of the Cross is reached. This ends, in the Anglo-Norman, with the usual formal petition:-
'vus merci des dures dolurs oue vas soffrites par amurs, pur cele treduce amur vus pri que vus eiez de nous merci et de touz nos amis et des mors et des vife.' (3)

The English text has no equivalent, but presents instead a long passage of rhetorical prose, beginning:
'Dere Lord Ihesu, mercy. קat Welle art of mercy! '(4) which seems to be akin to another fourteenth century English prose piece, A Talkyng of Pe Loue of God. (5) The theme of the meditation which occurs in E, at this point, is the double one of Christ's physical and mental agony on the Cross and the virtue of the blood and tears He shed. These ideas are
(1) Appendix A, p. (2), $11.23-4: 6-7$.

(4) $\mathrm{p} .6,1.20 .9$.

See section IV. pp. CXIV-CXXVI.
incorporated in the ornate passage in $L$, but of verbal dependence on E there is no trace.

From here to the end, the English and French texts show increasing divergence. Indeed, the relationship between the two in their later part amounts to no more than that they follow roughly the same narrative outlines. It will be enough to quote two corresponding passages from $E$ and $L$ to make this fact clear:-
'Lord, fou berowte Fi Fadur ing hevene for pe foule traytourys, pe tyrauntys, pe tormentours, pat He
 and seyde fe wrecchys wyst not what fei dyde;' (I)
cf: 'Treduz Syre Ihesu Crist, merci vus priastes pur vos enemis en vos angoussuses peynes en la croiz, ouant vus deistes si treducement: Pater, ignosce eis aui nesciunt auid faciunt. ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (2)

The two sentences have as their common theme a line from the Vulgate, but in that fact is surmed up the whole resemblance between them. If the whole of either E or L represents the original which the other derives, whether that original was English, French or Latin, then the translator of it altered his method of work, when half-way through his task. Whereas he had previously been content to translate his original with slight modifications, he now proceeded to give a very free
(1) $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{BO}_{2}$ 11.7-10.
(2) Appendix A, p. (4), 11./8-9!.
paraphrase of, or commentary on, the facts related by the work before him. Indeed, there is no evidence, worthy of consideration, of any direct relationship between $E$ and $I$ in the latter part of their narrative.

It has already been remarked that the French text exhibits a bare economy of style that is foreign to the Meditatio. The passages common to $L$ and E are the least imaginitive and emotional occurring in the former. Unlike the later part of the English work, the opening meditations concentrate more on prayers for help than on the story of the Passion, are less marked than the rest of the piece by intricate devices of style and are more alien in character to the $A B U$ version enerally. The strict form of the meditation, preserved throughout E, Eives place shortly to continuous narrative of a lyrical temper. Even the early meditations in ABU, though broadly based on the same source as those in $L$, have been expanded and adapted, through an interest in the techniaue of rhetoric, to fit a new ana elaborate artistic design. That iks is expansion which has occurred is suggested by the fact that I, the more condensed English version, is also closest
to $\mathbb{I}$, represented in the earliest of the menuscripts.
What is most invalidating to the assumption that ABU is representative of an even earlier original manuscript
(I) Above, p. XLIV.
(2) See p.r.
than $E$ is the nature of the relationship between the most similar passages in $L$ and $E$. It is undoubtedly commoner to find a translator expanding, in the hope of rendering more fully, or more elegantly, the significance of the foreign expressions, that to observe evidence of the contrary process: omission of all inessential elements in his original. And it is the accumulation of synonyms (I) and repetition of the same idea in different words which distinguishes the style of the first meditations in I from the general style of $\mathbb{E}$. The same kind of addition as has been noted in $L$ is present also in ABU, e.g:-
'pat swete praiere and pat holy orisoun;' (2)
'ferdenes and anguysshe;'
(3)
' pankynge and gracis;'
(4)
'steppis and pares;'
'I biseche fe for pi swete mercy.' (6)
Presumably, this longer English version is derived from the shorter, or an earlier original of the shorter, since the former preserves most of the deviations in I from the text of $E$. The Anglo-Noman text, or an unknown original, French or Latin, most faithfully represented
(1) See section VXX, $\frac{4-5}{5}$ pp. C XXX $1, C \times X X V 1$.
(2) p.37, 11.15-14. p.35, ll.8-q.
(3) $-3.34,11.14-15$.
p. 36, l. 11 .

(6) p. 34, 11. 18-19. p.37, ll.1-2.
(7) See above, p. XLII.
by $E$, would appear to be an authentic source of $I$ and, through I , of ABU .

A cuestion that remoins to be onswered is whether the Anglo-Norman text is the ultimate source of the first part of the Meddle English Meditatio. The most interesting point to be considered, in this connection, is the sudden, sharp deviation between the French and Finglish, at the meditation on Christ's carrying of the Cross, and the subsequent independence of each other which marks both texts to the end. The homogeneous nature of the style of $E$, in both the early and late meditations, is strong presumptive evidence that the whole was written at one time by one author. The literary interest of the Midale English work, orfthe other hand, is supplied almost entirely by the portions of $A B U$ and $L$ which do not derive f申r $E$, or a prototype of $E$. The spare framework of which E consists has been embellished with highly imaginative variations upon the main themes, variations themselves representative of popular traditions of devotion to Christ's Passion. Franciscan influence, more specifically that of the Stimulus Amoris, is predominent, but pre-Franciscan Latin writers have contributed to the (I)
traditions here adopted. The liturgy, too, has made its (2) contribution. The author of the Meditatio has assimilated

[^6]his gleanings into a highly-wrought, profoundly moving unity. His borrowings from $E$, on the prototype of $E$, are by no means comparable to what has been gathered from other works, lacking as E is in intrinsic literary merit. As he approaches the heart of his subject, he discards the formal framework of the French text for material more favourable to his purpose of nurgation through sympathy. His initial choice of such a fremework can scarcely have been determined by any other consideration than that it was familiar to him, perhaps familiar as an office. It is highly probable that in $E$ we have a collection of very generally used prayers. A Latin text of such prayers would certainly exist, although it might be translated into the vernacular. But translation of such a text for general use would probably be close, so that I might eanally well derive directly from $E$, or from a hypothetical Latin original of $E$. The author of I may have known the prayers he used by heart, so that it would be a mistake to lay too much stress on the possibility of direct acouaintanceship with E.

The value of the evidence regarding the relationship between $E, L$ and $A B U$ must, however, be justly appreciated. It rests chiefly upon the characteristics of the style of the three, the inessential nature of all the additions to the E version which aprear in $L$, and the combination, in $A B U$, of these same inessentials with further expansion,
generally of a reflective kind and a real addition to the thought-content of the whole. Nearly all $E$ is contained in the material of the English versions, in translation, or in araphrase; but the passages in L that are based on $E$ are much more restrained in style than the remainder of this shorter English version. In $A B U$, the process of transformation is carried further: not only is the content enlarged, but a number of elaborate (1) rhetorical devices are introduced. Some of the demails which distinguish $I$ from $E$ are, however, still retained in ABU. The proof offered cannot be conclusive; yet it seems very likely that $A B U$ represents a later, free revision of $I$, probably by the same author, who was also the translator of the prayers in E, or of their hypothetical Latin original.
c) Extracts from the 'Meditatio' in Latin.

A Latin sermon on the Passion in B. Mus. MS. Royal 8.C. $\mathrm{XV}[\mathrm{R}]$, which is a compilation of extracts from well-known writings on the same theme, contains quotations from a version of the Meditatio, to which it refers in the phrase, 'Ricardus Hampul in suo tractatu de Passione Domini.'
(1) See below, pp. $C \times \times 11, C \times X \times 11$.

The manuscript opens with a general incex to the chapters which follow: the various topics to be treated are listed and the sources used for each are briefly indicated. No statement is made, in index or text, regarding the language of the sources, so that further investigation is needed to decide whether the ouotations found here are the result of direct transcription, or of translation.

The themes for which the authority of the Meditatio is cited are: Christ's glance at Peter, the blindfolding and mockery of Him, His appearance before Pilate, the scourging, the sacred wounds, the crown of thorns, Christ's carrying of the Cross, the sorrows of Mary, the stripping of our Lord and the second crowning with thorns, when He hung on the Cross. However, the index does not appear to be entirely trustworthy, as resemblances to the Meditatio are to be seen in other parts of $R$. For irstance, no indication is given that the Midale English work, or a Latin version of it, has been used as a source of the paragaaph on the binding of Christ to the pillar; yet there is an indubitable correspondence:-

> R: '..nulla tribulacio ne temptacio me vnquam separet a tua caritate, sed totus amor meus sit plenitus in te in voluntate, opere et sermone...Nec vnquam. . permittas aliauar iram, odium, vel invidiam vinculum frangere caritatis mee, quatinus possum in tuo sancto amore cotidie magis ac magis proficere. '(I)
(1) See. Appendix B, p. also noteto p.31, ll.3-9.

U: '..never tribulacoun, ne temptacoun, departe vs atwyhne... (bynde me to bee in perfist charite) Pat al my love holy be to pe, in wille, worde, or werke;.. and lete pat none vnskilful wade, ne hate, ne enuye, breve e bonce of my charite;


It is possible, of course, that $A B U$ and $R$ owe these lines to a common source, but a doubt exists: the general
resemblance in theme and mood between the Latin sermon and the English is too great for the explanation of unacknowledged borrowing from the latter, in $R$, to be entirely precluded. The question of the extent of the borrowing in the Latin work cannot, therefore, be accepted as quite so straightforward as the index whould have it appear.

Unfortunately, it is often impossible to decide exactly where the quotations begin and end, as the phrasing of $R$ is not exactly correspondent to that of any of the extant English manuscripts. The version used would seem to be the longer one $(A B U)$, for the similes on the wounds are quoted, though each is preceded by a long apostrophe, not found in the English. Furthermore, the phraseology of all the quoted passages corresponds more nearly to that of ABU than to that of L, e.g:-
R. 'O misericordissime saluator tue compassionis et misericordie oculos vsque ad nos miseros et peccatores digneris convertere; ita per tuam graciam et misericordiam penitere, plangere et emendare, quatinus cum beato Metro dilecto tho discipulo ad tuam misericordiam valeamus pervenire;' (2)
(1) $\mathrm{p} .39,11.10-17, \mathrm{p} .40,1.17-\mathrm{p} .4$, 1. S. (2) Appendix B,p.(1), ll. 4-10
I. 'Swete Lord full of mercy and of byte, ere we, thorow blessyd lokyng, may turn to $/ 7$ grace and repente vs of owre trespas and of owe mysdede, so Jat we may come with Seynt Petyr to ai mercy;' (I)
U. '...swede Ihesu, turn \} e ~ e y e ~ e ~ o f ~ i mercy towarde us synful, so lat boru' i mercy and grace we move repent of owre trespas and mysdedis, so fat we may come wi Seint Petir to $i$ mercy. (2)

It is impossible to judge whether the discrepancies between the Latin version and the English versions of any passage depend upon the compiler's source, or are the result of his own alteration and elaboration. He seems to have been careful and accurate in his transcription, if the evidence of his borrowings from the Stimulus Amoris is to be taken, for these are almost identical with the relevant parts of Peltier's edition of the work. If, on the other hand, he was translating from English, he may have felt more at liberty to alter and to 'correct' obscure passages. A. fact which may be regarded as evidence of translation (3) is that $R$ reproduces the passage from the Stimulus Amorist, (4) as paraphrased in the Meditation, as well as the corresponding passage from the original, without seeming to recognise that they are one and the same. Reading one version in English and one in Latin may explain this oversight.

There is further reason to suspect that $R$ presents a translation of passages in the English. In $A B U$ occurs the

[^7]clause:
'.. Ta'l al fin vtter blode was bled.' (1) Middle English 'vtter' was an adjective freauently used in the sense of 'uttermost', 'last', although its primary sense was 'outer'. Now $R$ reads:
'..donec totum fere sanguinem tuum exteriorem cruentasti.' (2)

The use of 'exteriorem' in this conteyt is strained, to say the least of it, and it may readily be supposed that it has resulted from a misinterpretation of 'vtter'.

However, as the nature of the Latin manuscript makes it impossible to discover whether the version of the Meditatio used contained any material not in $L$ or $A B U$, the textual value of the exemplar of $R$ cannot be deduced and neither can its position in the line of descent of the manuscripts. $R$ can be used, in fact, to corroborate, but not to correst, readings in the extant English manuscripts. Only if it could conclusively be proved that the quotations derive from an earlier Latin version of the Meditatio, would $R$ assume any great importance. And the indications are rather to the contrary. On style alone no argument can be based. The alliteration and rhythmic patterns that appear in both the Latin and the English are features too common in mediaeval prose for it to be seriously suggested that a
(1) p. $54,1.15$.
(2) Appendix B, p. (12), ll. 1-2.
translator would not have used the technical devices of his original. Both features apear frequently elsewhere in $R$, in ouotations from other sources. It is perhaps interesting, though, to note that where $A B U$ employs the same word again and again, throughout a paragraph, $R$ often gives a variety of terms, some almost technical in their nature; for instance, as well as 'stelle' and 'valnera', corresponding to the Inglish 'sterris' and 'woundis', R will employ such terms as 'celi luminaria', 'celi sidera', 'stigmata' . 'Hoolis' (ABU, p. 58) 1. H.) is paralleled in $R$ by 'latibulis et niais columbamun' and 'hole' (ABU, D. $\sqrt{20, I .13)}$ corpesponds to 'foraminis'. It is more likely that $R$ has preserved an original distinction than that the compiler has deliberately varied the vocabulary of the source; but likelihood is not certainty.

The writer of $R$ has, no doubt, abbreviated his source freely, in whatever language the latter was written. Of the passage in $A B U$ on the bonds of faith, hope and charity there remain, in his version, only the lines quoted above. It may well be that none of the material in the menuscript is his own. Certainly most of it can be traced to popular works rightly or wrongly ascribed to such authors as st. Bridget of Sweden, St. Bernard and St. Bonaventura. The implication
(1) cf. corpora celestia, Appendra.p. (ii), l.l, is paralleled in ABU by the phrase, 'bodies of heuene.' ( $0.58,11.6-7$.) (2) p.LIV.
then, is that material imbedded in long passages from the Meditatio and not found in the extant English manuscripts did, in fact, occur in the particular version used in the compilation of $R$. It is, anyway, a fact that $R$ does not offer a text exactly identical in wording with any other known. It resembles $A B U$ more closely then $I$. As for the different manuscripts of the $A B U$ version, the relation between the $B U$ branch and $R$ and the relation between $R$ and $A$ seems, in each instance, to be just a liftle more remote than that existing between $B U$ and $A$ themselves.
d) General Conclusions.

In the present state of the evidence, the relationship between the two English versions, the Anglo-Norman meditations and the Latin extracts in $R$ is fairly clear. $\mathbb{E}$, as the language alone would suggest, is the earliest, the basis, or an accurate record of the basis, of the earlier part of I. ABU is a free paraphrase of $I$, or of a version, Latin, French, or English, very similar to $L$. Whatever the language of the immediate source of ABU , the author of this latter version was almost certainly responsible for $L$ also. $R$, which approximates to ABUmore thon to $I$, thus belongs to the later part of the history of the text. It is more probably a translation than a direct transcription of a Latin work and it is ouite possible that it represents yet another version of the MEditatio, similar to ABU, but distinct.

```
INTRODUCTION.
```


## Section III. The Traditional Basis of the 'Meditatio de Passione Domini. ${ }^{\prime}$

## a.) The Scriptural Element.

The author of the Meditatio had the choice, in complling his text, of two alternative methods of work, both equally compatible with orthodoxy. He might either have made direct use of the Bible for the details of his narrative, or he might have based his comments on the Passion upon the generally accepted traditions which had been established by the Fathers and Dortors of the Church and handed down in popular literary forms. There can be little doubt that he chose the second course.

Had he decided to follow the biblical account closely, he would surely have chosen one of the gospels as the principal source of his material. But the Meditatio represents a harmony of the four gospels with the addition of a few details from other parts of the Bible. Certain incidents, too, which are mentioned in all four gospels, are absent from the Midale English narrative. Such
are the cutting off of the ear of the High Priest's servant and Pilate's writing of the superscription for the Cross. It is not that this material would have proved intractable, for it is not different in kind or importance from details of the Passion story which are included in the Meditatio.

This last statement applies equally well to the ommssion of any reference to the preferred drink of wine and myrrh, and to the carrying of the Cross by Simon of Cyrene. If this were a full statement of the divergence of the Meditatio from the narrative basis conmon to the four gospels, then the implication would be that the first two gospels were not consulted. Yet the desertion of the disciples, the false witness brought against Jesus, His cry of 'Eloi, Eloi, lama sabacthani' and the mention of the earthquake are details occurring in the Meditatio that find no place in the narratives of St. John and St. Luke. On the other hand, it is only the Gospel of st. Luke that mentions the appearance of the angel to Christ on Olivet, His bloody swett, His glance at St. Peter, the blindfolding, the crowd that followed Him to Calvary and His promise to the thief. This is also the only gospel account to indicate that Christ was taken to Herod for examination, as well as to Pilate. Though details have been selected
from the Gospels of St. Matthew and St. Mark, still it is that of St. Luke which provides most of the parallels to the version of the story given in the Meditatio. However, St. John's narrative has also contributed its share, for this must be the ultimate source of the references to preliminary examinations by Annas and Caiaphas, of the description of Christ's carrying of the Cross, His words to the Virgin and St. John and the statement that His side was pierced by a spear. The list of insults offered to Christ and of His cries from the Cross is drawn freely from all four gospels. Obviously the Middle English author intended, as a guide for his meditations, as full a description of the Passion as could be reconstructed from his sources. His omissions, therefore, must be the result of an oversight on the part of one working without direct reference to the Bible.

As well as satisfying his needs for a narrative basis for his work, the writer of the Meditatio gives general paraphrase, or exact translation, of lines from other parts of the Bible than the concluding chapters of the gospels. MS. A, for instance, builds a mataphor upon the parable of the Good Samariton Luke Chapter 10 The quotation, 'Foxes han here dennys and fowlus han
(1)
here nestes,' is taken from Luke.9.58, or the corresponding verse in Mark.8.20. Influence of a more general kind is apparent in the description of Christ's misery and of the virtue of His suffering, which can be traced to Isaiah, Chapter 53:-
'Despectum et vilissimum virorum, virum dolorum et scientem infirmitatem: et auasi absconditus vultus ejus et despectus, unde nec reputavimus eum. 'Vere languores nostros ipse tulit, et dolores nostros ipse portavit: et nos putavimus eum cum leprosum, et percussum a Deo et humiliatum. 'Ipse adtem vulneratus est propter iniquitas nostras, attritus est propter scelera nostra: disciplina pacis nostrae super eum, et livore ejus sanati surnus. ${ }^{1}$ Verses 3-5 . (2)

A reflection of these sentiments can be seen in such lines as:
'So lothly and so wlatsome Pe Iues han Fe mad Fat a mysel art pou lyckere pan a clene man;'
(3)
'...in in woundis is hool medicyne for eche disese of soule;'
'Lord, King of myst, 符t leuyn woldust /i my'st and os vnmy sty become my wrongys to ry'te;'
'...He..is so porely become vs to make ryche.' (6)
These last lines recall also II Corinthians.8. 9:-
'Scitis enim gratiam Domini nostri Iesu Christe, quoniam propter vos egenus factus est, cum esset dives.'
(1) p. 191.5 ; cf. p.66,115-6.
(2) All numbers refer to the Vulgate edition.
3) p. 8, 11.12-14; cf. p.57,11.2-3.
4) p. 49, 11.3-4.
(5) p.14, 11.10-11; cf. p.62, 11.13-14.
(6) p. 7, 11. 19-20.

Psalm 21 has certainly influenced the account in MS. $L^{6}$ of the nailing of Christ to the Cross:-
'Quoniam circumdederunt me canes multi: concilium malignantium obsedit me. Foderunt manus meas et pedes meos.
${ }^{1}$ Dinumeraverunt Ipnia ossa mea. Ipsi vero consideraverunt et inspexerunt me. ${ }^{\text {( }}$ Verses $17,18$.

Similarly, II Corinthians. 3. 18 must be the ultimate (2)
source of the image of the mirror. Matthew. 13. 47
may well have suggested the simile of the net of Holy (3)

Church. A general acquaintance with those partions of the Bible used in the Church services would easily explain the inclusion of all these reminiscences. Their occurrence in the present context, especially the application of Old Testament verses to the Passion, is undoubtedly due to the influence of patristic commentaries.

In twaeinstances, the Meditatio quotes biblical phrases which would certainly be familiar in yet another context suggestive of the Passion: 'Popule
meus, quid feci tibi? taken from Micah. 6.3, and 'Alle ze at passyth be 有e way, abydeth and byholdyth \}yf euere ony peyne fat euere soffred any man, or ony wordely woo, be lyk fe sorewe 有t I soffre for synful
(1) pp.13-14.
(2) p. 43,11.11-14.
3) p. 49,1.14-p. 50,1.8.
(4) p.19, 1.20.
(1)
manys sake,' a translation of Lamentations. I.12. Both these verses occur in the Liturgy for Good Friday, the former introducing the Improperia of the service of (2) Adoratio Crucis, the latter as the Responsory of Matins. Their occurrence in these services was undoubtedly responsible for the composition of vernacular lyrics inspired by these lines. Again, the existence of more popular sources would dispense with the necessity for direct consultation of the Bible, even if it were available for reference, to find material for inclusion in the Midale Finglish prose work.

In one line, where $L$ quotes in Latin, the text, although manifestly derived from Jeremiah. 2.21, is not identical with that of the Vulgate:-
'Ego autem plantavi te, vineam electam.' Vulgate.
'Vinea mea electa, ego te plantavi.' ${ }^{(4)}$ Meditatio. The English author, it seems certain, did not write with the Bible open before him. The immediate sources
(1) p.19, 11.9-12. cf.p.66, 11.9-11.
(2) See Karl Young, Drama of the Medieval Church, Oxford, 1923, I, pp.117-120.
(3) See Carleton Brown and R.H. Robbins, Index of Middle English Verse, Index Society, New York, 1943, for a record of exemples of this type, e.g: nos. 110, 457, $494,495,497,501,550,1695,2240,2241,2596,3112$, 3611, 3612, 3845, 4259.
(4) p.19, 1.17. See note.
of his work must be found elsewhere, among the mass of writings on the Passion which gather their details freely from all parts of the scriptures and present them in much the same combination as is seen in the Midale English treatise.

## b.) Some Pre-Franciscan Passion Writings.

To understand the genesis of such mediaeval works as the Meditatio de Passione Domini it is essential to remember that they were intended to guide private devotions. Ritual symbolism and theological argument are equally alien to the informal and intimate communion with Christ and the Virgin Mary that inspires such writings. The Meditatio illustrates this fact strikingly in two respects. It omits any reference to the Eucharist; the author's desire for a share in Christ's Passion is a more general human emotion than the insatiable longing to partake of the Eucharistic feast which is so frequently the experience of the mystics. Then, patristic theology almost invariably considers the Death of Christ, in isolation, as the supremely significant fact, whether that Death be regarded as a ransom paid to the Devil or as an atonement to God
(1)
for the sins of man. The Meditatio, on the other hand, makes no reference to the Last Supper, or to Christ's words about the Father's purpose. The only lines which could be construed as an indication of His own consciousness of His mission are:-

- Tere Jou schewedest wele Fat Tou were willy to deel for vs, and so I bileeue, Lord, fat Pou chese Pe day and pe tyme when fou woldist die and euery poynt of $i$ Passioun was doone at 1 ordenaunce,
and the context in which these lines occur makes it clear that they are intended as an illustration of Christ's submissiveness, a facet of His human Nature that it is good for all men to imitate. All the meditations, in fact, point carefully the virtues shown in His life: His patience, His tenderness, His endurance, most impressive in His last days, because then most sorely tried. It is not understanding of divine mysteries, nor even pious adoration, that is the primary aim of meditation. Understanding is unnecessary, adoration merely preliminary, to the emulation towards which the spirit is directed by the goad of love; as has been said of mediaeval sermon literature, 'always the end is to move the will to goodness, to moral endeavour. The style best suited to
(1) For a discussion of the theories of the ransom and atonement in patristic writings, see Gustav Aulèn, Christus Victor, translated by A.C.Hebert, S. P.C. K., London, 1931. (2) p. 33, 11.11-15.
(3) Gregory Dix, The Shape of the Liturgy, Westminster, 1945.
such a purpose is direct, emotive, lyrical. The evolution to be observed in meditations on the Passion is towards increasingly intimate and profound feeling.

The aspect of the Passion which lends itself most readily to emotive treatment is the physical torment endured by our Lord, which, by its nature if not in its intensity, is easily conceivable by the human mind. Before the founding of the Franciscan Order, before the writings of St. Bernard, the Liber Meditationem attributed to St. Augustine presented, in a famous passage, a graphic description of Christ's agony on the Cross, considered with pity and grief, untouched by any joyous anticipation of His twiumph over His adversaries. God the Father is entreated to behold the Sacrifice and to have pity upon the sinner guilty of the crimes of all flesh:-
'Aspice, mitissime Conditor, dilectae sobolis humanitatem et miserere super infirmi plasmatis debilitatem. Candet nudatum pectus, rabet cruentum latus, tensa arent viscera, decora languent lumina, regia pallentora, procera rigent brachia, crura pendent marmores, rigat tenebratos pedes beati sanguinis unda. Specta, gloriose Genitor, gratissimae prolis lacerata membra, et memorare benignus quae mea est substantia. Conspicare Dei hominis poenam; et releva conditi hominis miseriam. Videte redemptoris supplicium et remitte redempti delictum.'(2)

[^8]The Passion is regarded, in fact, as the subject of penitantial devotion, but centring in the doctrine of the satisfaction made by Christ, since the penance of men is only acceptable to Goà because of the virtue of the perfect Man:-
'Considera inerme latus crudeli perfossum cuspide; et renove me sacro-sancto fonte illo, per quem inde fluxisse credo... Oro te, rex sanctorum, per hunc Redemptorem merum, fac me currere viam mandatorum tuorum. ' (1)

The Midale English Meditatio also reflects both on the poignancy of Christ's physical sufferings and on the virtue of His spiritual perfection, e.g:-
'I se 7 i blod laue owt of handys and of feet, fi sydes thyrled with be spere, woundes dryed and al to-ran, 7 body al be-bled, $i$ chyn hangyd doun and Ti teth bare. Te whyte of fin eyen is caste vpward. pi skyn at was so louely is become al pale. Pe crowne in in hed grysyth in my syt. ?e heer is clemyd with fe blod and blowith al abowte;'(2)

- Tennes wyl I not ryse, ne non-gate flytte, tyl I be with precyous blood bycome al reed, tyl I be markyd erewith os on of ine owne and myssoule softyd in pat swete bath. ' (3)

Such passages demonstrably belong to the same tradition as the lines already quoted from the pseudo-Augustinian work. Not only in the fourteenth century, but in preFranciscan writings, the suffering Humanity, as well as
(1) ibic. col.905. p. 14, 1.8; of. p. 62,11.2-12.
(2) p. 27 1.23-p.28,1.6; cf.p.74,11.10-15. See also p. 13 1.18.
(3) p.25,11.7-10; cf.p.71,11.7-10.
the Divinity, of Christ was remembered and treated with a semblance of realism, somewhat belied by the careful rhetoric of the prose.

But the author of the Liber Meditationum, though indicating the lines along which later mediaeval Passion devotions were to develop, did not offer a complete and formal exercise of piety; his meditations on the Passion are scattered and diffuse and the importance of such a theme for devotional practice is not stressed. He remains, too, outidide the action he considers, not straining to identify himself with his Lord, or with his Lord's tormentors. Thus the emotional temper of his lines is cooler than is habitual to the later mediaeval compositions on the same theme.

The occurrence of the theme of the Passion in writings of a primarily penitential nature was necessarily marked by a shift of emphasis and the introduction of a dramatic element; Christ's endlessly suffering love is contrasted strikingly with the sinner's callousness, and the details of his Lord's last hours become a matter of very personal import to the man who thinks seriously of them. A place of honour among the literature of private devotion is held by the Liber Meditationum et Orationum
(1)
of St. Anselm, and in this influential book the penitential attitude and the contemplation of the Passion inter-act upon each other in an interesting manner. This work has been enlarged by the addition of spurious meditations of later date, retained by the seventeenth century editors and by Migne. It will be convenient, therefore, to consider, in this collection of meditations and prayers, (2) only those proved by Dom Wilmart to be the genuine compositions of St. Anselm.

The great Archbishop of Canterbury holds a unique and important position in the history of interpretations (3) of the Passion, by virtue of his treatise, Cur Deus Homo? In this work he expounded his idea of the atonement: that, by His Death, Christ paid satisfaction to God for the sins of men, His divine Nature dignifying the work of His human Nature. The Liber Meditationum et Orationum, composed for a very different purpose, had of necessity to forgo the rationalistic argument which so distinguishes the Cur Deus Homo? Yet the meditations and prayers have the same sobriety, of temper as the theological tract and retain the conception of penance in the same prominence: the central assumptions, in both works, are that men have

[^9]sinned and that, through penance, there can be a remission of sins. Although not containing any detailed account of Christ's agony, the Liber Meditationum et Orationum is greatly concerned with the significance of that agony for men. So the practice of meditation on the Passion becomes a more serious matter than any mere narration of incidents. The memory of his debt to Christ, says St. Anselm, should never leave any man:-
'..te sitis, te esurio, te desidero, ad te suspiro, te concupisco..., ego non quentum debeo, sed quantum queo, memor passionis tuae, memor alaparum tuarum, memor flageliorum, memor crucis, memor vulnerum tuorum, memor qualiter pro me occisus est, qualiter conditus, sepultus est...' (1)
In their meditations, men should be moved to shame by the realisation of what they have done and to burning love by the thought of Christ's sacrifice. The desire for tears, the reproaches directed at a stony heart are major features in the Anselmian scheme of Passion devotions:-
'Cujus ergo cor tam durum, tamaue lapideum, non emollire possit tanta mansuetudo Creatoris nostri?'(2)
'Cur, o anima mea, te praesentem non transfixit gladius dozoris acutissimi; cum ferre non posses vulnerari lancea latus tui Salvatoris, cum videre nequires violari clavis manus et pedes tui plasmatoris, cum horreres effundi sanguinem tui Redemptoris? Cur non es inebriata lacrymarum omaritudine, cum ille potaretur amaritudine fellis? Cur non es compassa castissimae Virgini, dignissimae matri ejus, benignissimae Dominae tuae?'
(3)
(1) P.I. CLVIII, col. 903.
(2) ibid. col. 738.
(3) ibid. col. 903.

The likeness, in general mood and attitude, between these meditations and the fourteenth century English Meditatio could scarcely be greater, but the basis of the devotion, the connection with doctrine, is more clearly seen in the eleventh century work:-
'Dedit itaque humana natura Deo in illo homine sponte et non ex debito quod suum erat, ut redimeret se in aliis, in quibus quod ex debito exigebatur, redeere non habebat. In omnibus his divina natura non est humiliata, sed humana est exaltata: nec est illa imminuta, sed ista est misericorditer adjuta.' (1) Such clear, reasoned argument, embedded in a meditative exercise, is the mark of an innovator, an original thinker, not of a writer in an established convention who adas little of his own to what he accepts. Yet St.Anselm was not the only eleventh century writer to appreciate the spiritual value of meditation on the Passion. Mention may be made of Joscelin of Canterbury, who, as Dom Wilmart showed, advised that the sufferings of Christ should be the subject of devotions at all the canonical hours, especially on Friday and Sunday. Furthermore, Joscelin 'a lui-méme pour coûtume... de dire cinq psaumes, (3) en mémoire des cina plaies.'

The form of the Anselmian meditations offers some parallel to the general structure observed in most of
(1) ibid. col, 766.
(2) Wilmart, 'Eve et Goscelin', Revue Benedictine L, Maredsous, 1938, p. 72.
(3) loc. cit.
the Midale English meditations. The same sequence of thanksgivings and petitions is found, the latter generally relating to moral qualities that would aid the meditative in his attempt to serve and imitate his Lord. 'Gratias tibi ago..' is an introductory formula used in much the same way as 'I zelde 7e pankynge and graces...' in the English treatise.

Also associated with the name of St. Anselm of (1) Canterbury are a Sermo de Passione Domini and a spurious Dialogus Beatae Mariae et Anselmi de Passione Domini. The sermon typifies St. Anselm's attitude, insisting on the need for penance:-
'..cause tuae mortis fuit iniquitas mea, vulnera tua fecerunt crimina mea,' (3)
and includes also detailed accounts of the physical and mental torments suffered, for Man's need, by Christ on the Cross:-
'Caligaverunt pro me in morte oculi tui: advertant ne videant vanitatem vagi oculi mei; conviciis et blaspherniis patuerunt aures tuae, patebant ad clamorem pauperis aures surdae aures meae; felle et aceto potatum es os tuum, veritatum et judicium loquatur mendax os meum; extensae sunt in cruce manus tuae, extendentur inopi manus contractae manus meae. . (4)
(1) PL. CLVIII, coll. 675-6.
(2) P.I. CIIX, col1. 271-290. See O. Schade. Interogatio Sancti Anselmi, Halle, 1870 , p. IV note. Probably postFranciscan.
(3) P. I. CLVIII, coll. 675.
(4) ibid. See note to text of Meditatio, pp. 64,65.

The Dialogus may most properly be regarded as a variation on the Planctus Mariae form, the most famous representative of which is attributed to st. Bernard, (1) though the type seems to be considerably older. That such a work as the Dialogus should be attributed to St. Anselm need occasion no surprise, as it is entirely congruous with the known habits of mediaeval (2) scribes. Besides the dialogue form, the chief factor common to the texts of the Planctus group is the mutual compassion of Christ and the Virgin. Emphasis is laid upon the human relationship between Mother and Son, so that the Passio of the Son may be comprehended in its reflected form, in the Compassio of the Virgin Mary. By exploring the details of his subject, the writer attempts to realise fully the events of which he thinks, entering himself into the situation, infecting the figures of his imagination with his own actuality, questioning them and receiving their answers. Intimacy with the figure on the Cross is the keynote of later mediaeval Passion meditations and dectates the lyrical style of much of the writing. Christ the Man compels

[^10]the artistic imagination. It is implied, not only that the human body assumed by Divinity knew human pain, but that the sensitive mind and heart of the Saviour could grieve, as men understand grief. The total effect produced by the new attitude of the Planctus, the stressing of Christ's human weakness, is a reciprocal sense, on the one hand, of the importance of the Saviour's Passion to the individual man and, on the other hand, of the importance to the Saviour of the individual's attitude to His agony; Christ suffered because men had sinned and the impenitent sinner still prolongs the torment.

One of the best known versions of the Planctus is printed by Migne under the title: Liber de Passions Christi et Doloribus et Planctibus Maris Ejus and is thus described:-
'Librum sequentem ex codice membranaceo sacculi XIII luci mandamus... Conscriptus est in format dialogi, et nom sit Bernardi Clarae-Vallensis vel alterius abbatis Bernardi, ignoramus.' (2)
(3)

An Anglo-Norman work, printed by F.J.Tanquerey, seems to represent another version of the same text.
(1) P.I. CLXXXIL , coll.1133-1142.
(2) $\overline{P_{0} I_{0}}$ CLXXXII, coll.1133,1134.
(3) Plaintes de la Vierge en Anglo-Francais, Paris, 1921, pp.136-171.

Superficially, the dialogue form of the planctus shows no very close resemblance to the Middle English

Meditation, but the passionate feeling conveyed, the profound and undisturbed mood of sorrow, the absence of reference to the Eucharist and the contrast with the reasoned reflections of the genuine Anselmian writings, all these factors the Planctus shares with the English treatise. The same generalisation applies to the other, similar texts ascribed to St. Bernard:

Tractatus de Planctu Beate Marie Virginis, Sermo de Vita et Passion Dominic, Meditation in Passion et (3) Resurrection Dominie and Lamentation in Passion Christa. To share in the sorrows of Christ and His Mother is the whole desire of the meditative:-
'Fletum deducite, oculi mel, et liquesce, anima ma, igne compassionis;' (5)
'Mini tamen, obsecro, lacrymas illas infunde quass habuisti in sua passion, ut ins fluam largius.' (6) Christ's sufferings, as has been indicated, are seen through His Mother's eyes:-
(1) First printed at Cologne $? 1470$ and sometimes called Stimulus Amoris. See Jacobus Mediolanensis, Sim. Amor., Quaracchi, 1905, Introduction.
(2) P. $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ CIXXXIV, coll.953-963.

3 P.I. CLXXXIV, coll. 741-768.
4) P.I $\mathrm{I}_{e_{e}}$ CLXXXIV, coll.769-772.
(5) $\mathrm{P}_{0} I_{0}$ CLXXXIV, col. 960.
(6) $\overline{P_{0} I_{1}}$ CLXXXII, col. 1133.

> 'Nam gladius Christi animas utriusque transibat. Transibit sensus saeve, perimebat utrumque, quo magis amabat segnior fiebat in matre. Mater sentiebat Christi doloees. Virgo quem peperit gladium est passa doloris, Christi morientis vulnera matris erant; Christi dolores fuerant tortores in anima matris. (1)

It is the emphasis on sorrow even more than on pain, the result of a synthesis of the near-realism of the pseudo-Augustinian Liber Meditationum with the penitential attitude of St. Anselm, which, more than details of narrative, or form of meditations, is the essential element to be found henceforward in the ever more popular Passion devotions. The appeal is th the heart of the sinner, maxt not to the mind of the devout. Thirteenth century versions of the Planctus Mariae are to be found in many European vernaculars. Attribution to St. Bernard was fairly general and was not quite without foundation, for, in the wellwauthenticated works of the great Abbot of Clairvaux, a view of the Passion is given that is not so very remote from that of the popular, spurious texts. St. Bernard treats the figure of Christ and the theme of His Passion with great tenderness and also practices
(1) P. L. CLXXXII, col. 1137.
(2) See Iinder, op.cit., p. CLXVI.
(1)
devotion to the Virgin.
To think with loving attention on all his Lord has done and suffered for him is, to this very influential writer, both the daty and the privilege of a Christian:-
'Propterea haec mihi in ore frequenter, sicut vos scitis: haec in corde semper, sicut Deus scit... haec mea sublimior interim philopophia, scire Iesum, et hunc crucifixum. Non requiro ubi pascat in meridie, quem intueor Salvatorem in cruce.' (2)

He considers that such meditations help the cultivation of the virtues which play so prominent a part in the Middle English Meditatio:-
'In hac igitur Passione, fratres, tria specialiter convenit intueri, opus, modum, causam. Nam in opere quidem patentia, in modo humilitas, in causa charitas commendatur;' (3)
'Nam illa mors, illa crux, opprobria, sputa, flagella, quae omnia caput nostrum Christus pertransiit, quid aliud corpori ejus, id est nobis, quam praeclara obedientiae documenta fuerunt?' (4)

To st. Bernard, in great part, must be attributed the prevalence of devotions to the Virgin Mary, in the (5) later Middle Ages. It is thus not surprising to find him concentrating on the Mother's sentiments at the foot
(1) See (e.g.) In Cantia Sermo XXV-XLIII, Sermo in Dom. Infra Oct. Assump. B. M. and p.16, n.3.
(2) P. L. CLXXXII, coll.?

3 P. Le CLXXXII, col.
P. L. E CLXXXII 3 col.
(5) See D. Nogtles, Mariologie de S. Bernard, Paris-Tournai, 1985, espy. pp. $87-95$, 129-130, 146-151.
of the Cross:-

> | 'Vere tuam, o beata mater, animam gladius |
| :--- |
| pertransivit. Alioquim nonnisi eam pertransiens, |
| carnem Filij tui penetraret...Tuam ergo pertransivit |
| animam vis doloris, ut plus quam martyrum non immerito |
| praedicemus, in aua nimimum corporeae sensum passionis |
| excesserit comassionis effectus. An non tibi plus |
| quam gladius fuit sermo ille. © Mulier, ecce filius tuus? |
| 0 commatationem! Joannes tibj pro Jesu traditur, |
| servas pro Domino, discipulus pro magistro... (I) |
| Quomodo non tuam affectuosissimam animam pertransiret |
| haec auditio, quando et nostra, licet saxea, licet |
| ferrea pectora sola recordatio scindit? ( (2) |

The Virgin is a central figure in St. Bernard's vision of the Passion by virtue of Her role as mediator between men and God, as well as of the emotional appeal of our Lady of Sorrows for even the most recalcitrant of sinners. (3) She is the Mother of mercy, Succour of all sorrow, (4) taking her share in the sacrifice on Calvary. Spiritual amendment, then, is to be sought through love, love of the suffering Mother and the dying Son, sensitive in mind as in body, equally susceptible to pain and to sorrow. In the writings of $S t$. Bernard is thus to be found an important precedent for the later mediaeval approach to the Passion as a double act, shared by Christ and the Virgin,

[^11]to both of whom devotion shoulc be offered.
But St. Bernerd was not a solitary pioneer of
Passion devotions. In addition to the Planctus already (1)
mentioned and almost certainly of twelfth century origin, a number of contemporary authenticated writings testify to the more general existence of a similar attitude to this great theme of Christ's suffering and death. of Cistercien authors, William of St. Thierry and Arnold (3) of Bonneval composed meditations on the Passion which dwell upon the ignominies endured by our Lord expressly
for the remission of man's sins, the healing virtue
of the blood He shed, His ardent desire for Man's
spiritusl regeneration and the analogy between Christ (7) and the Good Samaritan. AElred of Rievaulx, too, irh his (8) Sermo in Assumptione Beatae Mariae writes emotively of the Passion, apprehended through the figure of the Virgin. Already the influence of St. Bernard is manifest:-

[^12]> 'Quanta tunc flumina lacrymarum de illius castissimis occulis eruperunt, cum videret filium suum et talem in cruce pendere, potari felle, ab impiis irrideri', cum quanto dolore andivit: Mulier, ecce filius tuus; ut scilicet susciperet discipulum pro filio! Utique tunc gladius doloris pertransivit animam ejus, pertintens pene usaue ad divisionem animae et corpus ejus.' (1)

It is clear then that the Franciscans needed only to collect, reiterate and give greater prominence to scattered references in pre-thirteenth century works, in order to build up the whole tradition of mediaeval Passion devotions. The impulse to such spiritual exercises and the elements of subject-matter, mood and attitude to the acts and sufferings of Christ were already present in pre-Frenciscan times. In the Liber Meditationum ascribed to St. Augustine, the realistic appreach to the theme of the Passion wasaalready indicated. St. Anselm, considering meditation on the Passion to be an invaluable penitential exercise, gave to the meditating sinner the role of witness and participant in the imaginative re-enactment of the grim scene at the Cross. A number of the leading Doctors of the twelfth century support and approve the attitude of such popular writings as the widely circulated Planctus Mariae, which regard the Passion as a human drama, arising out of the vulnerability, suffering and grief of Christ and His Mother and the human sympathy of men for them. The practice of-loving
penitence prepares the way naturally for the Franciscan teaching of love, humility, obedience, even extreme asceticism. At least since the composition of the pseudoAugustinian meditations, the Passion had been a melancholy, not a triumphant, theme. Under Franciscan guidance, the melancholy was to lead to spiritual illumination, the conversion of theewill through love. But the Franciscans would not be cultivating barren, unprepared soil.

## c.) Franciscan Influence in the 'Meditatio.'

An examination of the Franciscan tradition of writings on the Passion involves, naturally, a consideration of possible sources of the Meditatio de Passione Domini, for the Franciscan works show the tradition in which this treatise is written at its full extent. Proximity in time is accompanied by a greater similarity between the Meditatio and its Franciscan precursors than between the Middle English text and the more distant patristic writings already discussed. Yet, in determining the immediate sources of any mediaeval work, it is as well to allow for the possible existence of a double heritage. The Fathers and Doctors of the Church bequeathed to their followers thought-systems and patterns for a way of life. Their influence, then, may have been direct and specific, the influence of writer on reader; on the other hand, the
widespread observance of practices they first advocated may have contributed, in a general way, to the formation of the chief preoccupations and implicit assumptions of men who had no opportunity of reading their works.

The only reliable evidence of the reading of the author of the Meditatio is internal. One book he certainly knew and admired: the Stimulus Amoris. The proof of this knowledge rests on the details of the two texts, parallels in expression and close correspondences in material. But the more thoroughly ideas and beliefs are absorbed and the more fundamental they have become, the less easy it is to separate them from the whole fabric of a man's peculiar vision of life. That the English treatise was deliberately modelled on the Stimulus Amoris in every aspect in which there is a general resemblance between the two is an unlikely conclusion. Rather it is that both have been produced by the same tradition of life and thought, that, except where translation or paraphrase has demonstrably occurred, the relationship between the two is no closer than between the Meditatio and the Meditationes Vitae Christi,
attributed to St. Bonaventura. The Midale English work, in fact, is no mere re-writing of the Stimulus. A study of the nature of the general resemblances between the two works should, however, denote clearly enough the general
(1) See below, pp. $C / I-C V$.
attitude of the English writer, the type of idea to which his mind was receptive, and so the school to which he belongee.

The fact that the Meditatio de Passione Domini is written in the vernacular would be enough to dispose of any theory that an earlier manuscript version in English was the original of some of the material in the Stimulus Amoris. The latter was a very popular prose work and is extant in about (1)
two hundred and fifty manuscripts. It was designed to guide readers in the search for, and discovery of, God through meditation. It is the first part of the treatise, that section which recommends meditation on the Passion, which had manifestly influenced the Midale English treatise. The most obvious and superficial traces of this influence are the verbal echoes of the Latin which are met in the English. These are confined to passages deealing with the sufferings of the Virgin and are often examples of paraphrase and not of literal translation, although some trauble has been taken to retain, in the English, the ailiteration and repetitive effects of the Latin.

The following are maong the most striking instances of analogous lines:-
(1) See St. Bonaventura, Opera Omnia X, Quaracchi, 1902, p. 23, for a discussion of the date and authorship of the Stimulus.
a.) 'Cur te non retinuit pudor mulieris?....cur te non retinuit verecundia virginitatis? cur te non retinuit...multitudo vulgi? (I)
'How was it pat arwenesse of wommankynde, or maydenhed-schamynge, ne hadde fe withdrawyn? For it was not semely to e to folwe swych a rowte.'
(2)
b.) 'Haec non considerasti, Domina, quia cor tuum alienatum totum prae dolore non erat in te, sed in afflictione Filii...'
(3)

- Wou hadde no reward to ne mannys drede, ne to nou ellys at e schulde lette, but as owt of iself for dool and for sorewe of $i$ sonys Passyoun was al in herte set.' (4)
c.) 'Non peto a te, Domina, solem neque sidera, sed peto vulnera.'
> ' I aske not, dere Lady, kastelys, no towrys, ne opre worldys wele, pe sonne, ne pe mone, ne pe brizt sterrys, but woundys of reuthe is al my desyr.?
> (6)
d.) 'O vulnerata domina, vulnera corda nostra, et in cordibus nostris tuam et filii tui renova passionem.'
(7)
'A, Lady, for /at sorewe pat pou soffryd of 7 Sonys Passyoun...gete me..a prikke at myn herte of lat ilke peyne.'
'A, Modir of alle wrecchis and dolefu, visite my soule and sette in my hert 7 i Sone wî His woundis.'(9)
The paraphrase is always made with admirable freedom, the borrowed material becoming an integral part of the later text, frequently gaining fresh force of expression in the
(I) St. Bonaventurg, Opera Omnia XII, edited A.C. Peltier, Paris, 1871, p.638.
(2) Text of Meditatio p.10, 11.19-22; ef. p. 59, 11.4-7.

new context. Thus the phrase,
'.. domina, illis ignominiis et vulneribus indignissimum me noniunge, ut tibi et filio suo solacium sit habere socium penarum.'
is echoed in the English treatise as:-
'Lady, why hadde I noust Panne bien by Pe and herd pat pu herde and sen pat ilke syjt and of mykel sorewe hadde take my part, yf I my te in cas han sleckyd 1 woo?- For men seyn swyche a word, pat it is often solace to haue in peyne companye.' (2)

It is very possible, indeed, that the English writer used another version of the Stimulus Amoris than the one cited here, that the translation, in fact, is much closer than (3)
it appears to be.
But to stipulate a variant text of the Latin composition as the source of part of the Meditatio does not account bor the casual manner in which short extracts from the first part of the former are scattered throughout the Middle English piece. Apart from the general similarity of theme and treatment, in these two works, this method of diffusion constitutes a further difficulty in detecting the presence of borrowed material. It is not
(1) op.cit., p. 638. cf.p.68, 11.2-6.
(3) Linder, op.cit., p. CLXIV, compares passages from the Meditatio with corresponding lines from the Stimulus which contain readings different from those of Peltier's edition, though no closer to the English version. See also Jacobus Mediolanenis, Stim. Amor. Quaracchi, 1905, pp.VI-IX, on versions of this work.
only the third chapter of the Stimulus that has been used for the description of the group present at the last agonies of Christ; in the prayer with which the first part of the Latin treatise ends, are found the sentences:-
'...etsi non habeam puritatem et sanctitatem matris tue ut digne tibi compatiar, latronis tum pravitatem hatreo ut secum ad latusk tuum crucifigi debeam;' (I)
'Si in morte tua petre scisse sunt, ego tamen durior sum petra; si etiam terra mota est, ego terrenissimus sum. ${ }^{1}$
(2)

Remove them from their context and their correspondence to
the following passages from the English is immediately evident:-
'I know well, gloryouse Lord, fat I was neuere wor i
to be 1 Modur felowe, to stonde at $i$ Passyoun with Hyre and with Iohan, but, Lord, in at entente, Byf I may not be pere, for my grete vnworthynesse, to sen fat selly syst, I holde me worthy, for my grete trespas, to hange be pi syde, os pe thef hangyd;' (3)
'... my sori herte, fat is of te deuelys kynde, hardere an fe stonys fat clouyn at $7 i$ deth, it may not of $>i$ Passyoun a lytel poynt fele; ne I ryse not with pe dede in reuthe er-offe, ne I cleue not as te temple, ne os fe er e tremble, ne opene pe closyng, fat is
so harde speryd. (4)

So complete a process of assimilation is to be observed in the Meditatio that it must result from a profound sympathy of outlook between the writers of the English and Latin works. A more general comparison of the two fully supports this conclusion.
(1) op.cit2 p. 660 .
(2) ibid.
(3) р.26, 11. 14-19; of. p. 72, 1.14-p.73,1.2.
(4) p.25, 1.17-p.26, 1.I; cf.p.71,1.16-p.72,1.2.

The approach of both to the theme of the Passion is primarily emotional: pity is stimulated by realistic portrayal of the suffeings of Christ and of the Virgin and this pity prepares the heart for the entry of love to unite the human will with the will of God. Thus, for both writers, the final aim of meditation is the regeneration of the sinner and the method is imaginative rather than intellectual. Part I, chapter 2, of the Stimulus Amoris gives, perhaps, the clearest idea of the underlying design: meditating on the Passion, says the author, will result in the mutual increase of love and compassion that eventually brings the soul to perfection; and to facilitate this process the sinner should seek, through his imagination, the double sensation of pain and the fear of pain, that he may the more fully appreciate Christ's agony of the Cross. In conformity with this theory, the English writer dwells, in a mood of penitence, on each separate incident of the Passion, striving to identify himself with his Lord in His sufferings, to realise the greatness of Christ's sacrifice, while attributing to his own viciousness the responsibility for that agony. Pity and love for Christ and hatred of sin must be deeply experienced before Man's will can be liberated through reconciliation with God.

Christ voluntarily suffered for Man's redemption. A true Christian, then must be willing to share any ignominy, or pain, which his Lord experienced in His life on earth:-
'Bledsyd is $\hat{p}$ at ilke man, gloriows Lord, swete Ihesu,存t ony thyng in hys lyue may soffren for $i$ sake.., or may in any poynt folewe here with pchadowe of 1 Cros pat is scharpe Iyuynge. (1)
Let it be our desire, says the author of the Stimulus Amoris, to be persecuted in the service of God, to be naked with Him and desiring nothing in the world. To be poor and humble is thus to imitate Christ; to want nothing but to love Him is to achieve spiritual perfection.

Both the Latin work and the English take a double view of the Passion, seeing it realistically as an event in time, yet also giving it a symbolic value. They interpret each stage of the gospel narrative in terms of the virtues mirrored in it and the graces to be won by meditating thereon. The scourging, the crown of thorns, the Death on the Cross are signposts along what st. Bonaventura called the triple way of purgation, illumin(3) ation and perfection, for each incident, or instrument, of the Passion is used to induce penitence, inspire imitation and arouse compassion, as the sinner blames himself for his Saviour's pain, admires His bearing in tribulation and suffers with Him on the journey from the garden to the Cross. Each torment experienced by Christ is so described, in both treatises, as to affect directly
(1) p.23, 11.5-10; cf. p.69, 11.7-13.
$\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3 \\ 3\end{array}\right) \frac{0 p . c i t ., p .639 .}{\text { Te Triplici Via,' Decem Opuscula, Quaracchi, 1896, p. } 6 . ~}$
the emotions of the reader; but the physical facts may also be interpreted as allegorical of spiritual life: the shedding of blood is a symbol of Christ's generosity and mercy, and His wounds are places of refuge, doors into the peace of union with Him.

Most of the same narrative details are used by the Stimulus Amoris and the Meditatio, although the former dissertswupon them at random, whereas the latter observes a strict chronological order. In both descriptions of the Death on the Cross, the little group is limited to Christ and the thieves, with the Virgin and St. John at the foot of the Cross. The crowd remains in the background, undifferentiated, almost unnoticed. As a result, the only figures seen are all symbolic of suffering, no other emotion giving relief. The Compassio of the Mother is associated with the Passio of the Son:-

[^13](1) op.cit. ,pp. 639-640.
(2) $\frac{1}{\text { p.10, i1.8-13; cf.p. } 58 \text {, 11.11-15. }}$

There is some stylistic resemblance, too, between the Stimulus and Meditatio. Both are Iyrical, extravagant in expression, even exuberant, with an exuberance that would be ill-suited to a wholly realistic treatment of (1) on event so full of pain and grief. The suffering is considered in all its poignancy, is indeed savoured with the exauisite sensibility of the ascetic, but the redemptive significance of the agony is not forgotten. The English work, however, has moved a stage further than the Latin from a symbolic interprebation of the Passïon. The redemptive act, in itself is not a cause for rejoicing, for only when the penitent reaches the point of identifying himself, by love, with his suffering Lord can its redemptive virtue become operative. It is an emotional rather than a rational appeal which must be relied on to effect such a conversion.

Such general features as the emphasis on the suffering Humanity of Christ, the virtues of self-contempt, humility and patient endurance, the ascetic desire to share Christ's pain and to atone for the sin which caused it, above all the appeal to human love and pity
(1) But the authenticated works of Rolle are characterised by an exuberance much nearer in intensity to that of the Stim. Amor. See below, feetion on the authorship of the Meditatio.
as the most powerful agents for regeneration of the soul, these justify placing the Stimulus Amoris and the Maditatio de Passione Domini in the same Iiterary tradition as was evolved in the pseude-Augustinian meditations and, incidentally, in the personal, self-analytical approach of the Confessions, in the writings of st. Anselm of Canterbury and of St. Bernard. Yet the fact that the English writer used the Stimulus Amoris suggests that it may be possible to trace more precisely the genealogy of his material than by referring it to the pre-Franciscan tradition; for he was using a Franciscan document, dedicated to: 'frater mi Joannes de ordine Fratrum (1) Minorum' Obviously then, it is necessary to decide how far the character of the Meditatio can be designated as specifically Franciscan.

The Franciscans, as has been demonstrated, were not the first to emphasise the importance of the Passion to the Christian soul. But there can be little doubt that the receipt of the sacred stigmata by the founder of their Order, as a mark of God's special favour, promoted devotion to the Passion among the Minorites, until it became the very centre of their way of life and prayer.

[^14]St. Bonaventura, in the Itinerarium Mentis in Deum, says of the road to union with God:-
'Via autem non est nisi per ardentissimum amorem Crucifixi, quia adeo Paulum ad "tertium coelum raptum" transformavit in Christum.., qui etiam adeo mentem Francisci absorbuit, quod mens in carne patuit, dum sacratissima passionis stigmata in corpore suo ante mortem per benniam deportavit. '(I)
Mere remembrance of the Cross is not enough to bring the soul to perfection; by meditating on his Saviour's agonies, the Christian should induce in his heart a burning love of God. As the Laudismus fe Sancta Cruce says:-
'Cor a cruce sorbeatur Et in illam rapiatur Amoris incendio.' (2)

The Franciscans, in their effort to guide their fellows to loving identification of themselves with the Figure on the Cross, developed their Passion literature along two lines. As love of God cannot truly exist unaccompanied by moral endeavour, imitation of Christ, and as unaided moral endeavour will not bring a soul to perfection, so it was necessary to teach intellectuă understanding of the significance of Christ's suffering and to inspire emotional response to the thought of the sacred Humanity. Yet they did not oppose didactic to devotional. To say that st. Bonaventura wrote about the Passion, now as a theologian, and now as a lyric poet,
(I) Op. Om., Quaracchi, V, p. 295.
(2) Op. Ome, Quaracchi, VIII, p. 667.
would be to suggest a clear-cut distinction which did not, in fact, exist. It is, rather, signifieant that Franciscan writers introduced into 'didactic' works, (I) such as the Lignum Vitae, often composed on an iconographical plan, the emotional appeal, the intimate tone, which characterised the pre-Franciscan literature of private devotions. In respect of natrative material and interpretation, didactic and devotional works show close agreement. The emotive element may be implicit in the narrative material of such a text as the Lignam Vitae, in the very fullness of details e.g.:-
> 'Verum, quamvis non ignoraret Pilatus, Iudaicam gentam adversus Iesum non justitia, sed invidiae zelo commotam, cum patenter assereret, nullam se in eo mortis invenire vel modicam causam; humano tamen timore devictus, replevit amaritudinibus animam suam et piissimum Regem crudelis tyranni, Herodis videlicet, iudicio subdidit; quem et ludibrio habitum et ad se remissum crudeliori mandato nudum in conspectu dreisorum astare praecepit, ut atrocissimis verberihus virgineam illam et candidissimam carned flagellatores truculenti divellerent, (3) plagas plagis, livores livoribus crudeliter infligentes.:

The key phrases are: in conspectu derisorum, atrocissimis verberibus, plagas plagis, livores livoribus. \#ut it is not hard to find passages in which no attempt is made to preserve the objectivity of the account, e.g.:-

[^15]'...tu, anima mea nequam et impia, nec devotionis exsolvis gratitudinem nec compassionis rependis affectum! '
' O cor humanum omni lapidum duritia durius, si ad tanti rememorationem piaculi nec terrore concuteris nec compassione afficeris nec compunctione scinderis nec pietate molliris!
(3)

The Vitis Mystica is more lyrical in temper than the Lignum Vitae. Much of it is written as direct speech, addressed to Christ, the Virgin, or the heart of Man. Devotion to the Person of Christ is clearly revealed in the invocations:-
'O aualem te intueor, dulcis Iesu: O dulcissime atque amantissime bone Iesu! auis te tam amarae morti addixit, valnerum nostrorum antiauorum unice salvator?...0'vitis dulcissima, bone Kesu! '(4)

The classification of the virtues of Jesus and the incidents of His Passion into brenches, leaves and fruit of tree, or vine, is to the modern mind artificial, academic, in the extreme. Yet the same manner of thinking is responsible for the extravagent similes in the Meditatio de Passione Domini and certainly that work, in other passages, has emotional profundity and spontaneous exuberance enough. Similarly, St. Bonaventura has succeeded in suffusing with genuine emotional fervour paragraphs on 'the leaves of the vine, or words of Christ on the Cross', the seven effusions of His blood,

[^16]the fruits of the Passion, such as patience, constancy, trust. Scholars might be attracted by the skill with which similes and the allegorical element of the Lignum Vitae and Vitis Mystica were handled. But for most readers the compelling force of these works must always have rested in the tenderness with which the Seraphical Doctor treats his theme, in the simple and dignified words addressed to Christ and the Virgin:-
'Quad lingua dicer vel chis intellectus caper sufficit desolationum tuarum pondus, Virgo beata?8(1)
'Dea menus, bone Jesu, concede mini, cuamquam per orem modum immerito et indigno, ut oui corpore his interesse non merui, fideli tamen haec eadem mente pertractans, ilium ad te-Deum affectum experiar, quem innocens Mater twa et poenitens Magdalena in ipa passionis tue hora senserunt. ' (2)

The realistic portrayal of the death of our Lord was not neglected, but, by his delicate manipulation of realistic details in an academic type of composition, St. Bonaventura shifted the emphasis of Passion devotions from vivid description, however pathetic, to a delineation of the emotions of the principal figures involved; and the emotions of the writer, present in imagination at the foot of the Cross, were not the least important. In conformity with this change, the writer of the Meditatio
(1) op. cit., p.78; cf.Tractatus S. Bernardine Planctu B.M. V. (2) op.cit., p. 80 ; cf. Meditation, pp. 75 , ll. 9-16.
advances from description of the torments suffered by Christ to participation in the mutual compassion of Mother and Son:-
'A, Lady, for pat sorewe pat pou soffryd of /i/i sonys Passyoun, for pat schuld haue bien myn owne, for I it hadde deseruyd and manye werse! - I was cause pereoffe and He gylteles. - Os 位 dere woundes were myn owne ry;t, gete me, for i mercy, on of hem alle, of prikke at myn herte of /at ilke peyne, a drope of Fat reuthe to folewe Him with! '(I)
end eventually prostrates himself imaginatively, in an agony of love and remorse, at the foot of the Cross, among the bones of the dead. It is only the consideration that the lyrical, 'romantic' element had to some extent ousted realism which can explain the unoffending nature of such remarks as: '0 mors amabilis, o mors delectabilis! ' of (2)
the Stimulus Amoris and the ecstatic exuberance of the (3)

Laudi of Jacopone da Todi, or the epithets 'dear' and 'precious' applied, in the Meditatio, to the sufferings and wounds of Christ.

But St. Bonaventura did more than introduce an
emotional approach to the Passion into didactic literature. He also, by composing an Officium de Passione Domini, demonstrated his belief in the importance of a similar attitude in the devotional practices of the Church. Even
(1) p.11, 11.9-14; cf. p. 59, 1.15-p.60, 1.5.
(2) op.cit., p.634. See above, p. XC\|
3) See below, pp. C , Cl.
(4) Op.Om., Quaracchi, VIII, pp.152-158.
in so formal a piece as the Office one of the chief
characteristics of specifically Franciscan Passion writing finds a place. For the true Christian there is no greater privilege than to imitate his Lord in every respect, in His griefs and bodily pains as in His virtuous behaviour. The penitent, praying: 'graunte me my purgatorie here,' desires to lose his guilt in suffering for his Lvrd. Perhaps as a result of the mystical experience of St. Francis, his followers added to these two motives for asceticism just given an immediate, personal desire for identification with the Figure on the eross, so lonely, so anguished, so lovable, of Whom St. Francis used often to quote:-
'Vulpes foreas habent et volucres coeli nidos; Filius autem hominis non habet ubi caput suum reclinet.'(2)

In conformity with such ascetieism, St. Bonaventura petitions, in the Office:-
'Domine Iesu Christe, qui hora matutina pro salute humani generis tradi, capi, ligari, flagellari, Colaphis coedi et conspui voluisti: fac nos, quaesumus, contumelias et opprobria pro tui nominis gloria laetanter suscipere..'

There is a considerable difference in degree, but only in degree, between this desire and the intense longing of
(1) Meditatio, p. 56, 1.7; cf.p.47, 11.6-7.
(2) See St. Bonaventura, 'Vita S.Francisci,' Op. Om. Quaracchi, VIII, p. 523; cf. Meditatio, p.19, 11.5-7 and p .66 , $11.5-7$.
(3) op.cit., p. 154.

Jacopone da Todi, in the early fourteenth century:-
'O croce, io m'apicco
ed ad te m'affico,
ch'io gusti morendo la vita.
Ché tu ne se 'ornata,
0 morte melata;
Tristo che non t'ho sentita! '(2)
'Cristo amoroso, e io voglio
en croce nudo salire;
e voglioce abracciato
Signor, teco morire; gaio seram 'a patire, morir teco abbracciato.'

The desire to share in the wounds of Christ, which is expressed in the most lyrical passages of the Stimulus Amoris and the Meditatio, forms a counterpart to this attitude to the Death of the Cross.
A. Laudismus de Sancta Cruce, also attributed to St. (4) Bonaventura, may possibly have been the model of the numerous mediaeval verse meditations on the Passion, among which may be counted the Meditationes de Gestis Domini Nostri, Iesu Christi, attributed to St. Anselm of (5)

Lucca. There can be little doubt that upon poems of this type was founded the very popular tradition of vernacular
(1) See Evelyn Underhill, Jacopone da Todi, London, 1919, for the life of this remarkable mystic, in whom the extreme of the Franciscan ideal is best typified.
(2) Underhill, op.cit., Appendix, p. 289.
(3) op. cit., p. 335.
4) See Op.Om., Quaracchi, VIII, p. 667, note.
(5) Migne, P.I. CXLIX, coll. 589-630.
(1)
lyrics on the Life and Passion of Christ. The Latin verses do not contribute any fresh element, absent from Franciscan prose-writings on the Passion, but they convey the same mood and narrative details in greatly compressed and easily memorable form. Very probably these rhythmica exercised an important function in diffusing the non-biblical narrative traditions, concerning the Passion, (2)
which were so widespread in the Middle Ages. The femous
Stabat Mater of Jacopone da Todi is a metrical version of the older prose type of Planctus Mariae already discussed and constitutes proof of the continuance of the earlier tradition into the fourteenth century. The Franciscans did not scorn to draw upon their heritage to serve their purpose.

Thus the writings of st. Bonaventura, whether theological or popular, established an attitude to the Passion which was to remain the basis of most subsequent mediaeval works on that theme. The great Doctor believed that meditation on the Passion should play a considerable (4) part in the spiritual life of his followers, and this belief became quickly an integral part of the Franciscan ideal.
(1) See below, pp. CVII-Cr|X (2) See below, pp.CIX-CX
 Quaracchi, VIII, p. 121.

Ubertino da Gasale's Arbor Vitae Crucifixi Jesu testifies to the early imitation of St . Bonaventa's writings by his followers. It is the work of an ascetic with a stern consciousness of the sins of the world and the need for self-discipline. In this book, he sets forth at length his meditations on the Life and Passion of Christ, deriving the form of this work manifestly from St. Bonaventura's Lignum Vitae. Devotion to the Person and Holy Name of Jesus is prominently featured:-
' $O$ dulce verbum abbreviatum propter amorem nostrum. Oleum effusum nomen tuum quod horum effunditur ut fiat unctionis unguentum...Mihi absit gloriari nisi in cruce domini nostri Iesu Christe Cuius nomen Christus Iesus benedicatur in secula. seculorum.'

Christ's poverty and patient endurance of ignominies are stressed. The torments He suffered through His senses are catalogued. Formal meditations are composed around the seven words spoken from the Cross. A verse
'Lamentacio beate virginis de cruce' is given, to which the Cross replies. The Bible and the Fathers are frequently cited, e.g.:-
'Quis dabit capiti meo aquam et oculis meis fontem lachrymarum;'
'Caligaverunt oculi mei a fleto meo...;'

[^17]'Dinumeraverunt sibi omnia ossa mea;'
'Vulpes foveas habent...'
Alternative accounts are given, the old and the newly popular:-
'...in terra posita cruce, extensus fuit crucifixus et post modum iosum Iignum totaliter deo insignitum fuerit elevatum, auod non sine grandi dolore ponderositatis corooris lacerantis et diuellentis carneni et rivos in locis clavorum potuit fieri. Sine etiam per scalas crucem ascenderit...

Ubertino, in fact, has collected together an immense amount of material previously written on the Passion; the fullness and length of his book alone woula make it important as a source-book for the use of later authors. Yet one element to be found in the Meditatio and prominent in the Vitis Mystica for instance, but which the Arbor Vitae generally lacks, is the dramatic. The writer addresses his own soul, in certain passages, but hardly ever speaks directly to the figure of Christ and never at length. Thus the informal, intimate tone is missing and the whole account of the Passion excites horror more than tender compassion.

The balance was righted, however, in the pseudoBonaventura Meditationes Vitae Christi, one of the most popular religious works the later Middle Ages produced. The book was probably written by John de Caulibus, in the
(1)
early fourteenth century. Yet, despite its presumable nearness in date to the Middle English Meditatio, the popularity of the Franciscan tradition of Passion-writings may be gauged by the number of echoes of the Latin text to be found in the English, e.g.:-

> '...modo ad Annam, modo ad Caipham, modo ad Pilatum, modo ad Herodem, et iterum ad Pilatum, et ibidem modo intus, modo foris ducitur et attrahitur;' (2)
> 'Cerne igitur hic eum bene, quomodo vadit curvus subtus crucem, et vehementer anhelat;' (3)
> 'Columna autem, ad quem ligatus fuerat, vestigia cruoris ostendit, sicut in historiis continetur.' (4)

It is possible that the author of the Meditatio was acquainted with an English translation of the whole, or part, of the Meditationes Vitae Christi, such as is represented by the Privity of the Passion, an English version which includes a simile of Christ's body on the Cross, compared with a parchment-skin stretched on a (6) rack, that does not appear in the Latin text printed by Peltier. But there is no conclusive proof that the author of the Meditatio knew the Meditationes Vitae Christi
(I) See P.Oliger, Le Meditationes Vitae Christi, Ayrezzo, 1908, p.37. The whole book discusses the authorship and date of this piece and its literary relationships.
(2) T. Bonaventura, Op. Om., ed. Peltier, X,pp. 599-600; cf. Meditatio p.3, 11.24-25 and p. 44, 11.13-15-p. 45,11.7-9.
(3) op.cit., p.605; cf. Meditatio, p.8, 11.16-21 and p. 57, 11. 3-6.
(4) op.cit., p. 604; cf. Meditatio, p. 4, 1.10.
5) Horstmann, Yk.Wr. I, pp.798-218.
(6) See note to Meditatio,p.62, 11.8-9.
in any form. Resemblances between the two, although numerous, are not often close and are probably to twe be explained by the phrase quoted above, 'sicut in historiis continetur'. By the time when the Meditatio was written, the Franciscan view of the Passion, the intimate, dramatic qualities of the vision and the traditional story were already commonplaces in literature.

Reflections in mediaeval sermon-literature illustrate (1) this further. Little and Pelster, for example, print a wermon of Hugh of Hartlepool which was probably delivered at Oxford in 1290-1. The sermon approaches in compassionate spirit the theme of Christ's sufferings for men, which are aggratated by human sins. Hugh appeals to the hearers to imitate their Lord's way of life and to love him with their whole hearts, thet they may become more worthy of the great sacrifice made for them. The Deity, in His human Person, he says, was brought low for the sake of mankind:-
'Ad maiorum autem Christi despectum, lllum qui est media inter persona inter patrem et spiritum sanctum suspendunt in medio duorum latrenum, in loco ubi capita latronum solebant amputari.' (2)

Christ Himself appeals to men for their pitz:-
'Tot modis laceratus sum pro te, ut te michi unirem. Ingratus igitur qui istam unionem dissolvit. Unde dixit auidam in persona Christi:Vide, homo, quid pro te patior, ad te clamo, pro te morior...' (3)

[^18]Through His wounds, sinners are helped to the practice of virtues, and with the blood and water from His side the sins of the world are washed away. The detail, noted above in the Privity of the Passion, appears here, too:-
'...sic Christus nobis proponit quemdam librum cuius tabula crux est, percamen infixum est suum sanctissimum corpus. 4. clavis appensum, lamentationibus et gemitibus pro carmine inscriptum auibus cor Christi in sua passione fuit repletum.' (i)

How little of the mediaeval sermon-literature dealing with the Passion was original can equally well be seen in the sermo popularis, Passio Domini Nostri Iesu Christi, attributed to St. Bernard but certainly much later in origin, which was printed at Paris, in 1512. This work is admittedly a collection of thoughts on the Passion drawn from the works of the great writers of the Church and cites by name St . Augustine, st. Bernard, St. Gregory and many others. Yet, despite its disjointed character, the spirit in which the selection is made can still be conveyed in Gilson's words on the writings of St. Bonaventura:-
'On comprend.. le caractere affectif et sensible d'une méditation de la Passion telle que saint Bonaventure la concevait. La penser n'est rien; l'imaginer est peu de chose; il faut que l'âme tout entiere y participe jusau'à ce que, comme avec saint François, elle finisse par s'inscrire sur laschair.' (2)
(1) op.cit., p. 203.
(2) E.Gilson, op.cit? p. 411.

The spiritual benefit of such fervent devotion to the theme of the Passion was soon perceived by non-Franciscan writers. The very popular Fifteen Orisons of St. Bridget and the Prayers of St. Gertrude, for instance, are schemes for the Franciscan type of meditation on the Passion, on the sacred wounds and blood of Christ and on His Holy Name. Traces of the same devotion appear also in the Horologium Sapientiae, which wes translated into English in the (I) fourteenth century.

But it is not necessary to look as far as the works of the continental mystics for evidence of general Franciscan influence. The English lyrics of the Middle Ages would undoubtedly be more familiar to the author of the Meditatio. (2) He quotes, in fact, from one of the Thornton lyrics, the lines:-

> 'Gloryouse Lord, so doolfully dyste,So rewfully streyn玉d voryst on For f mykel mekenes, i mercy,
> 7 iou bete al my bale with bote of
A. close connection between the lyrics and the Meditatio might be expected, since both are emotional in content, literature of intimate personal experience, quite contrary in nature to theological writings or works pertaining to the common, institutional life of the Church. Thus the
(1) Little Book of Eternal Wisdom, attributed to Walter Hilton.
(2) It is possible, of course, that he was the author of the Iyric, See Allen, Writings Ascribed to R.R., p. 295, III.
(3) p.13, 11.14-17; cf. Horstmann, op.cit. I, p.72.
poet of＇My trewest tresoure sa trayturly was taken，＇to which the above lines belong，studies the Passion in order that his will may be reconciled to the will of God：－

Te＇I Pe pray：
Pe mynde of is myrour tat Tou me noght mysse：
Bot wynd up my wille to won wyth re ay，
Tat ou be beryd in my brest，and bryng me to blysse；＇（1）
cf：－＇．．．．隹 me grace to haue most deyntee inwardli to
loke and Cenke upon 原t blissid face；and，swete Ihesu， restore ly lynes of 1 face and in my soule，fat foule synnes hauen faded．＇（2）
Christ，to the lyrist，is＇My well of my wele，＇＇My salue of my sare，＇expressmions that recall the prose lines：－ ＇Lord Ihesu，mercy，位 welle art of mercy．．．；＇（3）
＇．．．in bee is al souereyne medicyne，and I，Lord，am al seke in synnes．．．＇

Both the lyric and the prose treatise are concerned with the physical details of Christ＇s agony，with His capture and His disciples＇defection，with the buffeting and spitting， the crown of thorns and the heavy burden of the Cross，His nakedness and the cruelty of the nails，His deep wounds and the sorrowing Mother at the foot of the Cross．

Similar lyrics，such as＇Man＇s Leman on the Rood，＇（5）
（1）$\frac{\text { loc．cit．}}{\text { p．}}$ ． $13,11.13-17$.
3）p．6，1．9．
4 p．38，11．15－16．
5）Carleton Brown，Lyrics of the Thirteenth Century， Oxford，198is，p． 68.
'A Prayer of the Five Wounds,' 'Ihesu that hast me dere I-boght,' occur in numerous mediaeval manuscripts and, though not quoted in the Meditatio, may well have been familiar to the author of that work. The pity of men for a Man in agony and for the grief of His Mother has inspired the poets as it inspires the prose-writer. Both the Iyrics and the Meditatio alternate between moods of self-reproach, compassion, adoration and intimate love. But, alike as they are in attitude and, sometimes, in material, it would be impossible to prove a closer relationship between them than between contemporary works using freely the same Latin sources, written in the same tradition.

What an examination of the lyrics does prove is the danger of stipulating too direct an influence of any Franciscan, or post-Francisca, text on another. There is definite internal evidence, in the Meditatio, of the author's indebtedness to the Stimulus Amoris, but the general method of approach to the theme of the Passion which both employ is far too common in the later Midale Ages to prove Franciscan authorship of the Midale English treatise. It is an outlook that, having its origin in the life and
(1) Carleton Brown, Religious Lyrics of the Fourteenth Century, Oxford, 1924, p. 68 .
(2) Rel. Iyr. of the Fourteenth Century, pp.114-119.
practice of St. Francis himself, was foredoomed to be ascetic and to embrace both a strong emotive element and an ideal of conduct. St. Bonaventura, in his theological, liturgical and popular writings, always combines the twin ideal of love and service. Although Ubertimo da Casale stressed the latter with ascetic severity, he was basing his teaching on that of the Seraphical Doctorly and the loving ideal was consequently implicit in it. The great collection of material in the Arbor Vitae was undoubtedly drawn upon by succeeding Franciscan writers, who returned to St. Bonaventura's balance between lyrical and ascetic elements. A comparison of the Middle English Meditatio with the Meditationes Vitae Christi, later mediaeval vernacular sermons and lyrics, as well as the devotional works of non-Franciscan mystics, demonstrates the extent to which all these share the same material and express the same attitude to their theme. To suggest a single immediate source for all these works would be unthinkable. By the mid-fourteenth century, the 'Franciscan' tradiftion of Passion literature was universal and to base any discussion of the sources or authorship of the Meditatio on considerations of material, or attitude, alone would be equally rash.

## INTRODUCTION.

## Section IV. Some Literary Relationships of the 'Meditatio' with Works of English Origin.

Manuscript attributions and critical scholarship have long associated the Meditatio with the works of Rosle, and the tradition that he wrote meditations of this (I)
type is well established. Miss Allen, in her monograph (2) on Rolle, does not deny the authenticity of this piece, but objects that the hall-marks of Rolle's style are by no means unmistakably present. She quotes, however, a few passages suggestive of the mystic's a.ttitude, references to sweetness and the fire of love, and notes a frequent repetition of the Holy Name of Jesus which corresponds to the form of devotion Rolle connects with the first degree of love. But the very lines which suggest the usual concomitants of his mysticism express a sense of deprivation, treat, not of joy, sweetness and glowing love, but of the need of them:-

[^19]> 'I fynde no swetnes, but speke as a iay and nou't wote what I mene. I stodie in Passyoun and fynde no taste, for my synnes arn so many and so dymme lat Pei hauen shette oute deuocioun and stoppe) al e sauour of swetnes fro my soule... eue me grace to fele sum of 7at swetnes of swete sauour and goostli deuocioun; ' (I)
> 'I studye in my thou fites and ei wyrken al wast. It is tokenynge of my deth and fylthe of my synne /at slayn hath my sowle and stoke is ere-inne, and stoppyth al he sauoure at I may noust the fele... So may I no manere e swetnesse of the taste.' (2)

One of the principal aims of the set of meditations is to induce the longed-for 'sparkle of $p i$ Passyoun, of laue and of reuthe,...so sot, al brennyng in loue ouur al thyng, al ${ }^{17} \mathrm{e}$ world I may forgete and ba\}e me in fi blood.' Yet Rolle's mysticism is distinguished by the constancy of his joy: his anatomy makes no allowance for the periods of 'spiritual dryness' that figure so largely in the experience of most of his fellow-mystics. A perusal of the canon, both Latin and English writings, reveals the significant fact that it is only in objective passages, when he is concerned with direct instruction of spiritual inferiors, in the Judica Me Deus, Job, or Contra Amatores Murdi that Rolle stresses the terrible enormity of sin. His occasional words on the subject are linked with threats of hell-toment and not with any personal sense of

[^20]frustration. To write such a work as the Meditatio, at once penitential and lyrical, would seem to be foreign to his nature.

Furthermore, no hint of the canor, most individual of his psycho-physical experiences, is to be found in this work. Without it, the dulcor and calor and devotion to the Holy Name are hardy significant, common themes enough in the fourteenth century and to be traced to the pseudo(1)

Bernardite Jubilus. The great number of references given, throughout Miss Allen's monograph, to the canor, in combination with dulcor and calor, can yet but slightly inàicate how intrinsic a part of Rolle's message it is. A random glance through his works lights upon passage after passage of similar character, e.g:-
' an le sange of louyng and lufe es commen. an
It thoght turnes in til sang and in til melody.
"an be behoues syng e psalmes, at pou before sayde;'

- enne for hihnesse of in herte pi preyers schal
turne in to ioyful song, and i poujtes in to melodie;8
Ego Dorrnio (3)
'carmen carissimum canunt in cordo...Silere non scio
sic caritas me cogit, ut cuncti cognoscant, quod
capax consisto cantabilis clamoris et sonum suscipio
celicum...;' [Melum] (4)
'Canorus nimirum iubilus quietus silencium querit
in Christianis, ut tanto mens nostra, ardencius ac
iocundius in melos celicum rapiatur.' Contra Amatores
Mundi. (5)
(1) See A. Wilmart, Le"Jubilus" dit de S. Bernard, Rome, 1945, Appendix.
(2) Horstmann, Yk. Wr. p. 32.

(5) Bodl. 861 , fol. $84^{r}$.

It would be difficult to fit the Meditatio into a chronological scheme of Rolle's works, as there is such an absence of internal evidence which might help to connect it with any recognised stage of his spiritual development. More then this, the constant emphasis the Meditatio lays upon the importance of observing the sacraments of the Church and the eager desire to submit to authority:-
'make me to bileeue into alle e sacramentis of Holi Ghirche and al pe ordenaunces;' (I)
'Graunte me grace wilfully to go to $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ seruice;'(2) 'Cacche me, Lord, into Te nett of.. Holy Chirche;' (3)
'Cacche me, sweet Ihesu, in te nett of $/ \mathrm{i}$ commaundethese seem even at variance with Rolle's usual serene confidence in his own spiritual enlightenment.

There is, however, a fourteenth century work which resembles the Meditatio much more nearly than do the authenticated works of Rolle. This is A Talkyng of e (5)

Loue of God, a highly-wrought, alliterative prose treatise, which incorporates modernised versions of two early thirteenth century pieces of Weist-MAbtlandorigin,

[^21](1)
$\frac{\text { On Ureisun of Oure Louerde }}{(2)}$ and he Wohunge of Ure Lauerd. Konrath was the first to comment on the relationship between these three pieces. The fourteenth century author chose as the basis for his work two compositions likely to appeal to the spirit of his own day, for the Ureisun and Wohunge express fervent devotion to the Person of Christ, especially in His Passion, and a sinner's consciousness of his own guilty part in his Lord's death. Such works as the Stimulus Amoris certainly influenced the writer of the Meditatio, but he was writing in a vernacular tradition that had been established for some time. He may have translated a Latin work, but, if so, he incorporated in his translation reminiscences of earlier English writings.

A Talkyng of $冖 \mathrm{e}$ Loue of God, like the Meditatio, is lyrical in impulse and manner and expresses the agony and yearning of a sinner who meditates upon Christ's sufferings. The counterpart of the lines quoted above, ' I fynde no swetnes...', is this passage from the Talkyng:-- he unsely bitternesse of my foule synnes! My worlaliche Wes and fleschliche lustes aren betwene pe and me, and lette me to come to 7 e , and stoppe me felyng of $i$ swetnesse. My sunnes hap me fuiled wip monyfold fulle, and make? me so wlatsum.' (5)
(1) R.Morris, OE.Homilies, EETS. OS. 24, 1867-73, pp.183189 and 200-203.
(2) Morris, op.cit. , pp. 269-287.
(3) Anglia 42, Halle, 1918, pp.85-98.


There is a general similarity between the central petitions of both works:-
'Quikne me, Lord Thesu Crist, and gyf me grace 崔t I may fele some of te sauoure of gostely swetnesse. Lene me of ine syt list, U, Jat I may somwhat syst haue in soule my thryste to kele! '(1)
'Graunte at i brihtnesse clanse my soule is unseliche, wi sunne foule i-fuiled... Cundele me wif Te blisse of 1 brenninde loue.' (2)

Both combine the penitential mood in perfect congruity with a lyrical exuberance of expression, heightened by the alliterative style. The sinner laments his sins, beholds with anguish his Lord's death, of which he considers himself guilty, yet rejoices in His power and liberality, patient humility and love. Realisation of sin and of all that is forfeit through sin runs like a double thread through both works. The desire expressed in the Talkyng and the Meditatio is for spiritual regeneration. Selfcontempt and yearning are complementary to each other. Only through torment can bliss be attained, The ascetic spirit of the Franciscan ideal is unmistakably present:-
'Whose euer wol haue part er of li blisse, he mot dele wip pe heer of i pyne..., mot isteppes folwe orw sore and \%rw sorwe, in peyne and in pouert and blyng of wo, wit schame and wil schenschupe, zif hit so falle , for to clymbe to Pi weole and lastinde winnes' 'Talkyng. (3)

[^22]> 'Blessyd is Dat ilke man, gloriows Lord, swete Thesu, /at ony thyng in hys lyue may soffren for $P i$ sake of bodyly peyne, or any worldys schame, or ony fleschely lustys, gostely or bodyli, for loue of 7 name holly forsake, or may in any poynt folewe te here withpe schadowe of /1 Cros, at is scharpe lyuynge. '(I)
> A. general resemblance in attitude does not mark the full extent of the similarity between the two treatises. Christ's sufferings and the Virgin's compassion are vividly and terribly described in both, with considerable agreement of detail. In the narration of such incidents as the reply to the thief, the buffeting and scourging, the carrying of the Cross with the curious crowd following, although neither work repeats the other verbatim, they echo each other distinctly, e.g:-
a.) ' Ne seidest 7ou not, Lord, to pe peef on Roode, at euer se plen he coupe hadde I-vyyd pefle, yat in le selue day he scholde ben in blisse? and for no more decert but for he kneus his gultes, and te for his saueour and asked 'I Merci?' Talkyng. (2)
'...end seyde also to pe thef 位t hangyd be I syde, 7at euere sythen he cowde hadde vsyd thefte,佁t he schulde haue for hys syrne long peyne, but at fe fyrst askynge at he mercy crauede and knew 7 for God and hys owne trespas, als sone pou Gaf hym pe graunt of grace and of mercy.' Meditatio. (3)
b.) Now berste? i skin, i senwes and i bones... Lord, at le was wo begon, in at ilke tyde' Talkyng.
(1) Meditatio. p.23, 11.5-10; cf. p.69, 11.7-13.
(2) YK.Wr. II. 351.
(3) p.20, 11.10-17.
(4) Yk.Wr. II. 360.
 c.) 'Crist...from de pof sunne me torne $\mathbb{Z}$ and quikne? to lyf pat is blisful of gostliche hele;' Talkyng. (2)
 d.) 'Schal Te Malice of myn euel passen oure goodnesse?' [Talkyng.] (4)
is 7 Fe vertu of ${ }^{\text {is }}$ now malyce of my Iyther herte more an
e.) 'And Pou pat te heuene and al has world wroustest, ne heddest in al 1 s wyde vorld, wheh pou scholdest dyen, wheron Pou mistest 1 in holy hed resten. But euer bi-foren heddest, ou. . wher-wip pou mintest hulen $/$ in holy bones. "Taikyng. (6)'
'He pat schop pe sonne and al Pat is oúst, of al Pe gode in erpe pat al is of hys gyfte, he hadde not were-inne hys heed he my施e hyde, but... al nakyd he goth in syst of alle pe folk'. Meditatio. (7)
The words vary, but the writer's vision of the Passion, in the Ta.1kyng and the Meditation, is essentially the same. The correspondence goes beyond the factual details related and persists in the interpretation given to the virtue of Christ's death, of His precious blood and wounds;-
(1) p.16, 11.10-12.
(2) Yk. Wr. II 348.
(3) $\mathrm{p} .63,11.5-7$; cf.p.15, 1. 10-12.
4) Yk.Wr. II 351.
5) $\frac{\mathrm{p} .26,11.2-3 ; ~ c f . p .72, ~ 11.2-4 . ~}{\text {. }}$
6) Yk . Wr. II, p. 358.
(7) $\frac{\mathrm{p} .7 \text {. } 11.16-21 \text {; cf.p. 55, 11.15-18. }}{}$
＇As wisliche as a drope of $7 / i$ derwor fe blod mi乡te wasschen awey alle mennes sunnes，also wisliche，lord， pat ilke fyf welles 佰t of 序 blessede bodi sprongen o blode，my soule mote wasschen of alle maner sunnes；＇
［Talkyng．］（1）
＇I biseke my Lord a drope of His rede blood to make my soule bledi＇；Meditatio．（2）
－Pennes wil I not flitte，til I be with Pi precious blode bicomen al rede，til I be made wi／h．／i blode as oone of in owne and my soule softe in pat swete bale．＇［Meditatio．］（3）
The emphasis placed by the Meditatio on the virtue of Christ＇s poverty and patience is also reflected in the following lines from the other work：－
＇Pouert Jow louedest and pouert 2 ou taustest， and treweli pat here for 10 lo pouert and pyne，Mysese and Mis－ cheef，in pacience taken．＇（4）

In neither of the treatises does the author remain an impersonal narrator of the events of the Passion；he is himself present at the Cross and only desires to enter more deeply into the situation：－
＇Ladi，for 隹 serwe Jat sat Pe so sore，Pat Pou heddest for him and he for fe fore，to slaken owt of serwe al pat Cerinne wore！＂Talkynge（5）
＇parte wif me of $P_{j}$ deol，in herte for to felen sum of his serwe pat fou for him heddest；＇［Talkynge（6）
（1）Yk．Wr．II． 348.
（2） p .60 ，11．15－17；－p．12，11．3－5．
（3）p．71，11．7－10；cf．p．25，11．7－10．
4） Yk, Wr．II 358.
（5）ibla． 362.
（6）ibid． 363.
＇Now，swete Ladi，for $P_{i}$ merci，si户en Pat $\frac{I}{}$ am cause of al at wo and peyne，graunte me of 位 grace a poynt of i payne，a si乡t of $\mathrm{fi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ sorwe，to si乡ghe and to sorwe wip pee，＂at I may sumwhat fele，Pat al haue
In style and structure，too，the two treatises have much in common．Tricks of balance and contrast，pointed by repetition，alliteration and a rhythric correspondence between phrases，are frequent in both，e．g：－
＇Whi ne grise 7 of me bope my mete and my drynk， my schroud and al oler fing fat me scholde helpe？How may rintwysnesse pole．．．pat per ne risel akeyn me alle yne schaftes，wip schome ana wip schenaschupe，wip gounyng and wily spornyng，to finten on Nis traytur， is tyraunt and is tormentor，Jat hal al his schappere so fouliche dispiset？＇［alkyng］（2）
 3if te Mooder be wrop，hou is Te sone quemed？Who schal pese me with te sone zif Te Moder beo my fo？Or who sehal maketen pe Moder toue if fe sone me hate？．．．．＇ ［Talkyng．］（3）
1 盾 gryntyng and fis gronyng，tis sorwing and sijghinge， Pe reu of $\%$ chere percep myn hert．Te Crowne of alle blisse Tat corowned $\}$ e blessed，Pe Kyng of alle kynges， pe Lord of alle lordis，is of helle－houndis corovned wip Pornes．Je worschipe of heuene is dispised and defouled．＇［Meditatio．］（4）
Rhyme appears quite frequently in both，although it is certainiy more prominent in A Tolkyng of Pe Loue of God．Both works favour sequences of sentences and of paragraphs beginning with the same words and following roughly the same structural plan．
（1）p．75，11．8－12；cf．p．28，11．15－19．

（4）$\frac{\mathrm{p} .55}{\mathrm{p}}$ ，11．10－15；cf．p．7，11．12－17．
[For illustrations of this device, see the Meditatio, pp. 1-5, 36-37, 4.7-49 and 52-54, and the Talkyng, in Horstmann's edition, p.347: 'Whi ne clippe... Whi ne cusse... Whi ne fele... Whi ne con I loue...;' and pp. 360-361: 'A, derworpe lora...A, my deore lemmon.., A, mi swete lemmon...A, swete Ihesu...A, Ihesu...A, Ihesu swetyng. . .Allas. . Allas... Allas...']

What is more, most of the paragraphs of A Talkyng of Ye loue of God are concluded with variations of the same petition:-

> 'A swete Ihesu, leoue lyf, let me beo $\hat{\text { i }}$ seruaunt, and lere me forte louep e, louynde lord, fat onliche友e loue of pe beo euer al my likyng, mi eornyng and my longyng, my poust and al my worching. (l)

This device gives to the work the same structural regularity as is achieved in the Meditatio by the formal alternation of thanks and petitions. The wording of the refrain also suggests the supplicatiory phrases of the first meditations of the $A B U$ text of the other work.

Devotion to the Holy Name takes, in both works, the form of constant invocation. This fervent speaking of the Name of Jesus is a device of great use in meditation, whereby the idea of Christ may be kept firmly in the mind, a centre for all revolving thoughts. Such practice wes

[^23]far too general in the fourteenth century to constitute any evidence of authorship. What is significant is that the opening words of the Talkyng, so illustrative of this type of devotion, are taken directly from On Ureisun (I)
of Oure Louerde. The tradition followed in the Meditatio in all probability, then, may take its origin from a much earlier writer than Rolle, to whose influence the popularity of the devotion to the Holy Name, in the fourteenth century, (2) is largely ascribed.

So the general question arises of the nature of the relationship between the Meditatio, the Malkyng and the early oft-Nialtand, alliterative prose pieces: whether the resemblance between the two fourteenth century works is the result of employment of the same models. There seems little ground for the assumption that the Meditatio de Passione Domini is immediately based on the Ureisun and Wohunge. It is just possible, though improbable, that it derives, to seme extent, from versions of the two earlier texts which are not extant. It is also just possible that two writers of the seme period, independently of each obher, should have used the same two texts as a basis for their own compositions. But, if this latter theory is correct,
(1) See R.Morris, op.cit., pp. 183 and 200.
(2) See Wilmart, Le 'Wubilus' dit de S. Bernard, Appendix.
it is curious that they should share small details of thought and expression which are absent from the only versions we have of the earlier pieces; for in no instance does a detail in the Meditatio correspond to anything in the $d$ outt-Medternd works, without also being found in the Ta.lkyng. The situation can most plausibly be explained by the assumption that the author of the Meditatio knew the Talkyng, unless, indeed, one writer is responsible for both.

That A Talkyng of Ce Loue of God is more closely related than the Meditatio to the Ureisun and Wohunge is indisputable and seems to establish the relative chronology of the two fourteenth century treatises; for the Meditatio sometimes echoes the modernised form of passages from the earlier works, though showing little resemblance to the older, unmodified text. The Talkyng, on the other hand, for much of its length quotes the Ureisun and Wohunge almost verbatim. A number of small phrases from the Talkyng, echoed exactly, or with slight variation, in the Meditatio, but not appearing in the Hoask-Afidtard texts, are:
'Moder of Merci.., socour of alle serwe and bote of
${ }^{\prime}$ Merci.., Jer welle is of Merci' ibid. p. 351 ; (2)
(1) ef. p.12, 11.7-8. (2) cf. p.6, 1.9.
the alliterative combinations, ' is traytur, $\}$ is tiraunt and 1 is tormentor' [ibid., p. 349$]^{1)}$ wi 3 schome and wi/h schend-schupe' [ibid., p.349], (2) 'spittynge and spoutynge' ibid., [p. 359]; and the phrase, 'nou rysen up (3) dede in witnesse of $\gamma_{i}$ godheade' ibid., p. 361]. Furthermore, the Talkyng and Meditatio, in one instance, show agreement with each other in flat contradiction of the words of the Wohunge: the latter relates how the Cross is made firm in the ground before Christ is driven with whips to mount it; the fourteenth century pieces, on the other hand, narrate in detail how He was nailed to the Cross when it was flat on the ground. Despite a slightly varying word-order, the same details are present in both descriptions; the stretching out of Christ's limbs, the bluntness of the nails, the effect on joints, sinews and bones, the gushing blood and gaping wounds, the dropping of the Cross into the ground, the reaction of the Mother's sorrow upon the Son, even the pitying cries of the sinner.

It is clear then that a resemblance does, in fact, exist between the Meditatio and Talkyng which cannot be paralleled by any similar resemblance between the former and any of the authenticated works of Rolle. In certain respects, indeed, the Meditatio is apparently at variance with the

[^24]outlook expressed in Rolle's undoubted writings. But general mood and attitude to the subject of the Passion, the treatment of the same minor themes and use of the same minor details in writing of that subject, frequent employment of very similar phinasing and the use of very similar stylistic devices to similar effect, all these factors contribute to link the Meditatio with A Talkyng of Te Loue of God. There is a complete absence of evidence of direct borrowing, in the former, from the Ureisun and Wohunge, upon which the Talkyng is so manifestly based. On the other hand, numerous instances occur of inclusion in the Meditatio of phrases found in the other fourteenth century work, but which are not derived from known versions of the South-western pieces. From these facts it is surely to be concluded that, while the Ureisun and Wohunge are immediate sources of the Talkyng, they bear no such relation to the Meditatio. Furthermore, the Talkyng would seem to be chronologically earlier than the Meditatio. Certainly, the relationship between these two must be considered in any discussion of the authorship of the latter. How far the resemblance between them could result from imitation is difficult to decide. Side by side with agreement of vision is freedom of invention: there is no slavish, word for word adoption of details, or any similarity in the ordering of the narrative. The Meditatio presents a translation of
(1)
passages from the Stimulus Amoris which is as accurate, yet as idiomatic and native to the style of the piece as a whole as is the modernisation of the South-western writings, in the Talkyng. The two works may originate with different authors, but with two craftsmen of consicerable literary stature, between whose writings there is little to choose in the matter of excellence.
(1) See above, pp. $\angle X X X / V-X C I I I$.

## Section V. The Style of the Meditations.

A few casual remarks have already been made on the similarity of the style of the Meditatio de Passione Domini to that of A Talkyng of Pe Loue of God and the elaborate nature of that style. Foreign as it is to modern popular taste, it was a manner of writing prose widely cultivated in the Midale Ages for its emotive qualities, its dignity, sonority and elegance. It is the style of the Wohunge and Ureisun, themselves dependent upon Latin models.
Like verse composition, it appeals directly to the senses, especially to the ear. A writer of the early fourteenth (2) century, speaking of it under the name of dictamen, says:-
'...nec est ex toto prosaycum, nec ex toto metricum, sed utrumque participat.' (3)
(1) Brandl, 'Mittelenglische Literatur' in Paul's Grundriss der Germenischen Philologie, Strassburg, 1893, para. 15.
(2) See below, p. CXXX .
(3) Bibl. Nat. MS. Lat. 11384, fol.94, cited by N. Valois, 'Etudes sur le Rythme des Bulles Pontificales', Bibliotheque de $l^{\prime}$ Ecole des Chartes, 42, Paris' 1881, p. 165, n i, and by R.I. Poole, Lectures on the Histod of the Papal Chancery, C.U.P., p. 78, n. 4.

The mediaeval practitioners of this kunstprosa were following ancient tradition; for it seems fairly certain that this style was seen at the extreme of its development in the 'gorgiastic', sophistical writings of classical literature. It was founded in the practice of rhetoric and through the schools of rhetoric was perpetuated into the Middle Ages. The chief manuals of style used by mediaeval students were Cicero's De Inventione and the (2) Rhetorica ad Herennium, also attributed to him. Study of tropes and figures, the 'colours' of rhetoric, the artifices of delivery, was pursued to the almost complete exclusion of the larger concerns of the classical teachers. As Prof'essor Baldwin says,
'Though the greater Cicero [De Oratore] and Quintilian Institutio Oratorig were known to such original minds as Gerbert in the tenth century and John of Salisbury in the twelfth, they were hardly available for the usual course of teaching. Medieval rhetoric was generally a lore of style. ${ }^{\text {( }}$ (3)
(I) See F. Norden, Die Antike Kunstprosa, Leipzig, 1898, I, p. 50 and C.S. Baldwin, Medieval Rhetoric and Poetic, New York, 1928, pp.39-50.
(2) See Baldwin, op.cit., pp. 89-90 and E.Faral, Arts Poétiaues du XIIe Siecle, Paris, 1924, pp. 48-51.
(3) op.cit., p.ix.

What this amounted to can be seen from the Rhetorica ad
(1)

Herennium, which lists and defines figures of thought and speech, such as repetitio, traductio, exclamatio, sententia, dubitatio and similitudo. Mediaeval romances furnish ample evidence of the unquestioning way in which the poets accepted the dicta here set forth, and Chaucer himself, though he mocked the conventions of rhetoric, (2) was greatly influenced by them.

For the writer of prose, one element in the study of rhetoric, developed since classical times, assumed a quite disproportionate importance. Norden, writing of the sophistical attitude to style, notes that elegant prose was conceived to be rhythmical, for 'durch die Verbindung von Rhythmus und Harmonie entsteht die Melodie (3)
in Musik und Rade. The ancient conception of rhythm, however, was quantitative; it was through the teaching and example of the Roman Curia in the twelfth century, along the lines developed by Alberic of Monte Cassino (4) in the eleventh, that the use of the accentual cursus
(1) The most recent edition is that of Henri Bornecque, Rhetorique a Herennius, Paris, 1938, which includes a general introduction, translation and notes.
(2) See J.M.Manly, 'Chaucer \& the Rhetoricians', Warton Lectures on English Poetry, no.17, London, 1926.
(3) loc. cit.
(4) See (e.g.) Valois, op.cit.,pp. 76-97; Baldwin, op.cit., pp.213-228; A. C. Clark, The Cursus in Mediaeval and Vulgar Latin, Oxford, 1910.
minor ornaments which do not extend their effect beyond the particular lines in which they occur.

The whole structure of the treatise is based upon the principle of iteration. In spite of the progressive narrative and in artistic contrast to it, the mood of the piece depends upon the repetition of certain main themes, key words and phrases, which recur, in slightly varying forms, again and again. This manner of constantly returning upon itself gives the work its characteristic movement and sustains throughout the same emotional complex of gratitude, anguish and yearning. A single illustration may be given. A prominent theme of the Meditatio is the suffering of the Virgin, which magnifies the impression of the suffering of Christ. One description of Her anguish is not enough; it must be taken up a second, third, fourth, even a fifth time:-

1) 'Os a womman owt of hyre selue, hyre hanays sche wrong. Wepynge and syschynge, hyre armys he caste. pe watur of hyre eyen dropped at hyre fete... So yas 引oure sorewe, eyther for ofer, waxenge manyfold... fe loue of 乡oure hertys, pat ouer alle ofere loues was wythoute make brennyng kene, made fow to brenne, eyther for ofer, with vnlyke sorewe to ony coly woo..;'
2) $\dot{F}_{i}$ as owt of $\overline{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{i}$ self for dool and for sorewe of Loue was so kene, eythyr to other, and so brennyng hot, fisyschengys wer so fer fet,... os owt of fi-selue $\mathrm{fl}_{i}$ sorwe hath be mad; ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$
(1) p.10, 11.4-16; שडी. p. 58, 11.7-17.
(2) p. 10, 1.2.-p.11, l. 8 .
3) 'Hype handy she wronge. Pe terys were full ryue mat soche Pere made was ekyng of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ woo and mad it
4) Te terys of pin even ronnen furl faste. syschynges and $/ i$ sorewys to in harte sat furl ny. strook down swound. strook /zn harte;' (2)
5) 'Sche sobbyth and sykyth and falleth down. Iohan, on pe toper half is so furl of sorewe. pei wryngyn here hondys and make myche dool...' (3)

Verbal echoes demonstrate how deliberately this repetition is planned. The poverty and indignity suffered by Christ and the desire of the sinner to participate in His agonies are among the other principal themes similarly treated.

It is on the basis, then, of certain recurring themes that the Meditation as a whole is constructed; but the form of the treatise, in both versions, can be further defined ass a succession of movements, each comprising several distinct meditations. All the meditations within any given movement may be characterised by the predominant use of the same rhetorical figure, or figures, as the opening prayers in $A B U$ are remarkable for the extended use of repetitio and cumulatio. Certainly all the meditations within one movement will follow the same general pattern and may open with the same phrase: 'A, Lord..,' 'A,Lady...,' 'Hit, swete Ihesu...', 'I 乡elde pe pankynge and graces...'
(1)p. 16, 11.20-23; cf.p. 11.
(2) $\mathrm{p} .211 .20-p .22 l .3 \mathrm{cf} \cdot p$
11.
(3) p. 2811.8-i. gr.p. 11.

Occasionally, a kind of refrain is ermployed: ' Pou wolde in Pat swete wyt for vs be pyned,' (1) ' \%ou wolde, gloryouse Lord, for me harde be pyned,' (2), You wolde for vs in iche a wyt be pyned'; ${ }^{(3)}$ 'sette me undir ${ }^{(5)}$ cure'; ${ }^{(4)}$ 'likynge to abyde in mynde of //i Passioun', ' ${ }^{\prime}$, delitable to abide in mynde of $/$ i Passioun.' The basic pattern is not, however, so rigid as to induce monotony. Meditations are linked into groups by incidental details and not bound by any strictly observed design: the number of meditations included varies from movement to movement; the refrain occurs two or three times, not regularly, at the end of each meditation in a group; paragraphs occur which have no introductory formula. The most regular movement to be distinguished, throughout both versions of the text, is provided by the six similes on Christ's wounds in $A B U$ and, even in these, variations are as frequent as parallels.

Indeed, after iteration, variety is the principle which mainly characterises the structure of the Meditatio. Each stylistic device, each form of elaboration, is used for a time, then cast aside and another taken up. Slowly

[^25]moving passages of polysyndetic construction alternate with intricate and tautly-phrased paragraphs of insistently rhythmical prose. Among so much variety, it might be difficult to find a climax and the very freauency of change might be wearisome. But the most elaborately wrought lines, employing rhythmic kunstprosa, in the most marked degree, play an important part in the general movement of the piece. They correspond to stages of intense emotion and by their multiple devices of style, all contributing to a certain rhythmical effect, they carry the reader along on the current of a compelling sentiment.

Even allowing for prevailing uncertainty about the pronunciation of diminished inflections in fourteenth century prose, it is impossible to deny that the Meditatio frequently includes word-groups that must have had on the ear an effect very similar to that of the Latin cursus. An exhaustive rhythmical analysis of the treatise lies outside the scope of this discussion, but merely to read either version of the text is to be convinced that certain rhythmical effects were deliberately intended and that they are in no way a hindrance to the emotive power of the prose:-
(1) See M.W.Croll, 'The Cadence of English Oratorical Prose,' Studies in Philology XVI, University of N. Carolina, 1919, p. 42 for citation of favourite English adaptations of the cursus similar to the dominant patterns in the paragraph about to be analysed.
＇Alas 佰t I schal lyue／and se my gracyous Lord／ so soffrenge and so meke／Tat neuere trespasyd／ so schamely bedy／st．／Pe grucchynge and Pe grónynge，／ pe sorwe and pe syschynge，pe rewthe of His chevre／ I wold were my teth．／Pe crone of mlle blysse／ Pat crowns alle blessede／and King is of allen kynges／ and Lord is of lordys／is of helle－hounays／crowned with thornys，he Worchype of heuene despyced and defouled．He pat scop pe sone／and all fat is oust／ of al pe goode in erpe／Tat al is of Hys gyfte，／he hade not were－ínne／Mys heed．He myste hyde，／but is so porely become／us to make ruche，／／Tat al naked he goth／in silt of alle pe folk．（1）
When this passage is read aloud，the pauses naturally made by the voice divide it into a succession of word－groups， which it is convenient to call＇feet．＇The amount of rhythmic variation between the feet is enough to distinguish the paragraph sharply from a passage of verse metre． Syntactical balance of phrasing，antithesis and alliteration contribute to an impression of metrical regularity which is，in fact，illusory．Yet most of the groups follow one of three dominant patterns，represented in the phrases：
（1）p． 7 11．8－21．Although there is some variation in ABU from the feet marked here，the general remarks made on this passage apply equally to its equivalent in the second version of the text．（p． 55 11．8－18．）
'Alas pat I schal lyue' (5-1), 'Pe grucchynge and Pe gronynge' (6-2) and 'pe rewthe of Hys chere (4-1). It would be rash to assume that the author himself counted, in every instance, the same number of syllables as the feet in this passage have here been taken to include; yet it is clear that a general rising and auickening movement is intended and based on three patterns, interwoven to very complex rhythric effect. The movement is not smooth and fluent; the effect is more intricate, involving clarity of statement, important words and general syntactical relations being, as it were, underlined by the heavy beats and rhythmic grouping, and emotional pressure increases as phrase follows phrase in cumulative sequence. Through skilful artifice, sense impressions and meaning are made to reinforce each other.

But the Meditatio exemplifies other devices of style, not contributing directly to any musical structure, or bearing any essential relation to the general emotional progress of the work. They are used for the sake of elegance, which is more a matter of fashion than is emotional force. Thus one of the principles followed by the writer seems to imply that to say a thing twice is better than to say it only once. The treatise abounds in such word groups as: '.. of pat swete prayere and of Pat holy orysoun,' 'ferdenes and anguysshe,' 'Pankyng and gracis,' 'schames and felonyes,' 'mercy and pyte,' 'steppis
and pasis．＇Alliterative combinations are also frequent： ＇sofferynge and strenlethe，＇＇betyn and bownden，＇＇so seek and so sore，＇＇／7i gryntyng and $\nabla_{i}$ gronyng，$\gamma_{i}$ sorwing and si乡ghinge．＇Another favourite device is antithesis：
＇fro heuene to erpe．．．，fro so hei弓 to so lowe，fro so hei乡 lordschipe to so lowe pouerte．．．＇；＇now hyderwarde， now Piderward，now byfore on and now before anoper．＇

Chiastic constructions also appear，e．g：－
＇．．．on $\gamma_{i}$ swete face spytted so fouly and so fouly
engleymede fayre face；＇（3）
＇tyed to strong deth and to foule deth of hengynge
demyd；＇
＇．．．to stonde stedefastly and paciently to suffre．．．＇（5）
The type of iteration known as conversio is often exemplified，
e．g：－＇Lord，pat mad me and hap zouen me many jiftis，．．． graunt．．局t I euere worschipe Pee in 1 graunte me grace euer to be meke in i fiftis，to holde me apaide and neuer to be proude ne presumptuous

Exclamatio is a prominent figure，in $L$ and in ABU；paragraph after paragraph opens with a cry of anguish，or of yearning， addressed to Christ or to His Mother：－

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'A, Lady, for Pat mercy, Pat Modur art of mercy, } \\
& \text { herken to (7) }
\end{aligned}
$$

CXXXV111
'A, Lord, Pe reuthe, Te dedly dool, Pat in manys herte ow 乡te to synke...;' (1)
'A, swete Ihesu, fat was a rewful worde...' (2) Rhyme occurs with great fouency, in L :-
'..a sparcle of compassyoun of Pat dere passyoun;' (3)
'..stremys of $/ \mathrm{i}$ reed blood rennyn as Pe flood;' (4)
'..tokenynge of my deth and fylthe of my synne, 風t
slayn hath my sowle and stoke is pere-inne; (5)
By comparison, ABU is bare of this ornament, yet, even in this version, unmistakably deliberate rhyme does occur:-
'To loue Pee, swete Ihesu, is most medeful, moste spedeful and most nedeful.' (6)

In the use of such details of style, ingenuity is sometimes more obvious than imagination. There is, in this respect, a considerable difference between the first and second parts of $A B U$, in mood and emotional intensity. In the first part, petition is featured more prominently than meditation; the discussion of such themes as faith, hope and charity has an academic detachment from the circumstances of the Passion; the long similes on the wounds provoke an intellectual delight rather than any direct emotional reaction, for in nature and operation they are more akin to logic than to imagery. Yet, if they

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

are not great literature, reflective passages, such as that on the mirror of the soul, have the charm of euphonious lucidity. After the similes, however, the events of the Passion begin to fill the centre of the picture, and the style, alliterative, rhythmical, rhymed, abounding in exclamation and apostrophe, returning again and again to the same key words, phrases, ideas, is as supple and potent, as emotionally unifying, as that of $I$.

Even in L, stylistic ingenuity is occasionally a little too obvious to sound pleasantly in the modern ear. The constant repetition of one word may suggest straining after effect:-
1..on $\prod_{i}$ swete face spytted so fouly and so fouly engleymede pi fayre face with fe foule, styngynge spyttynge of Me foule, cursyd Iues...'(I)

But such minor defects are rare. Much more general is the felicity with thich, in both versions, the normal order of words is changed to frome a figure of rhetoric, or to produce a harmonious cadence. With consummate skill, harsh and forced constructions are usually avoided and the variations please more that they startle, e.g:'..to stonde stedefastely and paciently to suffre;'(2)
'..my foos, bodely and gostely;' (3)
'.. to Pe ward and to $p_{i}$ service;' (4)
(1) p. $4,11.19-22$.
2 p. 44, $1.17-\mathrm{p} .45,1 . i .$.
3 p. $45,11.5-6 ; \mathrm{cf} \cdot \mathrm{p} \cdot 3,11.2 \theta-21$.
$4) \mathrm{p} \cdot 4,11.5-6$.
'.. hyed to stronge deth and to foule deth of hangynge
'.. with milyke sorewe to ony oper woo'. (2)
Clarity is not sacrificed to euphony and, consequently, the conventional devices subserve the moods of writing, instead of seeming to be used merely for their own sake.

It may be objected that, in kunstprosa, the mechanics of writing must predominate over the matter expressed and that the triviality of decorative conventions must degrade so grave a theme as the Passion of Christ. But this a priori conclusion is not borne out by the effect of reading the Meditatio. Regarded as narrative, it is vivid and gripping; the clear outlines are never blurred by unnecessary elaboration. Furthermore, the emotional development is powerfully conveyed, in both versions of the work. The mediaeval writer has made of his theme something quite different from a modern treatment of the Passion, which would almost certainly take the form of tragic drama of pity and horror, action viewed from without and circling round the heroic proportions of the figure of Christ. Admittedly, the Meditatio is a drama of three principal and a number of subsidiary characters, but it is a drama enacted within the soul of one of those characters. Allis seen and interpresed through the moods of the writer.
(1) p. 5, 11.12-13.

These moods fluctuate, rise and fall in a rhythmically and psychologically - satisfactory sequence. Emotion is the most important element in the work. The function of the writing is to show profound feeling in the process of development and to set up, at every stage, a complementary emotion in the spirit of the reader. In fact, the Meditatio is a sustained lyric of the kind seen in the Psalms and Canticles, and to compositions of this nature exuberance of style, profusion of decoration, is no align element. For a generation brought up on the works of James Joyce the idea of the emotive power of sound, the associative significance of words cuite isolated from visual imagery or logic, is readily acceptable: the word and the elegant phrase have a force of their own which is quite independent of the concept behind them. The word is the direct transmitter of emotion, whereas the 'meaning' is less potent and works in a remote and blundering fashion to establish the link of understanding between the minds of writer and reader. St. Bernard, st. Anselm of Canterbury, Hugh and Richard of St. Victor used the tropes and figures of rhetoric to good purpose in their sermons, for no art has a more powerful effect on the emotions than music, nothing is better capable of catching and leading the attention of a crowd as if it were the attention of one man.

If the aesthetic worth of the Meditatio is considered with the 'musical' nature of its composition well in mind,
then prejudice may be concuered and a just estimate formed. For, if the Midale English treatise is to be juaged at all, es a work of art, it is by the estimate of its style that it must stand or fall. It is useless to look for 'originality' in the material; not only narrative details and general sentiments, but much of the phrasing, can be traced to previous authors. The skill of the writer consiste in the weaving together of many traditional threads. Modern literary criticism concerns itself primarily with content, theme and argument, and readily applies the label of 'decadent' to any composition in which the conventions of style are more arresting than originality of thought. This is to suppose that borrowed material is necessarily of less compelling interest to the borrower than to the inventor. The mediaeval writer took to himself no credit for the interest of his subjectmatter; he was concerned only with the presentation of it, and his art is nearly akingto the skill of goldsmith and potter.

So the incidental failures of kunstprosa, in both versions of the Meditatio, and the degree to which ornament is linked to the basic structural movement of the piece need to be seriously exomined. There are failures of technique and there are irrelevancies. This is not a perfect example of kunstprose. But the general impression remains of artistic power of a high order, which has succeeded in integrating a noble theme with a pulsating, lyrical style and creating a new and beautiful whole out of much-used, conventional elements.

## NOTE.

In the transcript given on the left-hand pages of the edition which follows, manuscript abbreviations have been expanded according to the following table:-

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Omega=r a & \text { as in grace } \\
& =u s \text { as in Adoramus. }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\rho=e \quad \text { as in were. }
$$

$$
7 \text { yer/re as in mercy o presence. }
$$

7 sur as in socour. $\mathcal{P}=$ is as in snybbynggis
$\gamma$ =ri as in prisoun.
, ${ }^{2}$ perk. as in perpetual.
$Z=$ rum as in seculorum.
in $\bar{u}=$ ihesu sp $=$ pro
$p^{t}=p a t$ $i h \bar{c}=i h e s u$
$p^{u}=$ pour
$w^{t}=$ with
$n \bar{z}=$ nosier
$\hat{C}=$ cetera
$x \overline{p e}=$ christ
$z=$ and $/$ et $\quad \partial=$ Versus

CAMB. MS. Ll. i. 8.
fol. $201^{r}$.
Swete lord ihesu cryst I thankefe and zelde pe graces of pat swete prayere and of fat holy orysoun. pat pou madest beforn be holy passyoun for $k$ on be mownt of olyuete. $y$. beseche $\mathcal{F e}$ swete lord pat pou here my prayere. $\mathbb{C}$ Adoramus te Christe et benedicimus tibi. Pater noster Ave Maria C Swete lord ihesu cryst $y$. thanke pe and I zelde pe graces of fat mychel ferdenesse paet pou haddyst for vs whan pou become so ful of angwysch. pat an aungel of heuene cam to confortyn pe . wenne pou swattest blod for angwysche. I preye pe lord and byseche pe. for pi swete mercy . pat pou be myn help. in al myn angwysch , and my fondynges and send me lord pe aungel. of red and of confort in alle my nedys. pot I myzte turne thorow pat swet owt of al sekenesse

## MEDITATIO DE PASSIONE DOMINI.

First Version, as found in Camb. MS. Ll. i. 8.

Swete Lord Ihesu cryst, I thanke pe and $j$ elde pe graces of pat swete prayere and of pat holy orysoun pat pou madest beforn pe holy Passyoun for us, on pe thownt of Olyvete. Y beseche Pe, swete Lord, pat pou here my prayere. Adoramus te, Christe, et benedicimus tibi. Pater noster. Aue Maria.

Swete Lord Ihesu Cryst, $Y$ thanke fe and I $z$ elde pe graces of pat mychel ferdenesse pat pou haddyst for us, whan pou become so ful of angwysch pat an aungel of hevene cam to confortyn pe, wenne pou swattest blod for angwysche. I preye Pe, Lord, and byseche $\mathcal{V e , ~ f o r ~}_{i}$ swete mercy, fat pou be myn help in al myn angwysch and my fondynges; and send me, Lord, \}e aungel of red and of confort in alle my nedys, pat I my3te turne, thorow pat swet, owt of al sekenesse
of soule . in to lyf of hele of body . Adoramus. et cetere ©Pater. Ave. ©Swete ihesu. I thanke be. and I zelde pe graces of pynes and angwysches and schames and felonyes . pat men dyden pe al with tresoun. men bowndyn pe os a thef. with owten mercy and pyte. Clord I thanke pe of $o$ swete and pytows pasys. pat pow zede for owre loue . toward pin owne peyne. and pin owne deth. I prey pe lord and byseke pe. pat pou vnbynde $k$ s of bondys of alle owre synnys. os bou suffred to be bownde. for owre loue. $\mathbb{C}$ Adoramus. CPater. CAve. © I thanke pe swete lord ihesu cryst. of pe pynus and of fe schamus bat pou suffryd before pe byschopus and maystres of $\}$ e lawe. and of bine enemys of buffetys and of neckedyntes and of many oper schamus patpeu

## 1. 11 . The words 'Pater noster qui/es in celis in are against this line and the next.

[into lyf of soule and ]
(1) hele of body. Adoramus, et cetera. Pater. Aue.

Swete Ihesu, I thanke $p e$ and I zelde $/ \mathrm{e}$ graces of pynes and angwysches, and schames and felonyes, pat men dyden pe al with tresoun. Men bowndyn /e os a thef withowten mercy and pyte.

Lord, I thanke of po swete and pytows pasys pat pow zede for owre love, toward in owne peyne and in owne deth. I prey e, Lord, and bysekepe Fat pou knbynde of of bondys of alle owre synnys, os pou suffred to be bownde for owre love. Adoramus. Pater. Aue.

I thanke pe, swete Lord Ihesu cryst, of pe pynus and of \}e schamus hat pou suffryd, before be byschopus and maystres of be lawe, and of pine enemys of buffetys is and of neckedyntes and of many oper schamus fat pou
(1) The reading of $L$ here is obviously cormupt; cf. Up. 37 11.S6and E (Appendix A, p. 1 1./l): ' que nous pussoms par celle suore turner a sance de cors et dalme.' Ullmann misunderstood the passage and wished to supply 'mercy' after 'swet' (Eng. St. Vll,p. 463), but did not suggest that emendation of the following line was needed. Zupitza (Fng. St. Xll,p. 464) gave the correct interpretation of 'swet' ( $=$ mod. 'sweat') and attempted to emend what follows by substituting 'or' for 'of', after 'lyf'. This is still unsatisfactory, and more drastic emendation is needed. Any restoration of the sense is merely conjectural. If the present reading is the result of a single scribal error, it is difficult to imagine what the copyist saw before him. It is just possible that the phrase 'of soule' was omitted and written above the line, or in the margin; whereupon the scribe of $L$ inserted it in the wrong place. The emended reading given here is based upon such an assumption.
suffred © And. among opere I thank pe lord of pat lokynge pat pou lokyd to pi decyple pat pe hadae forsakyn seynt petyr. pou lokyd to hym with sy $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{t}}$ of mercy . when $\hat{\beta}$ ou were in $h_{i}$ most angwssch and in $p_{i}$ most perne. opynly pou schewy pere pe loue and pe charyte pat pou hadae to ws pat schame no peyne. ne no thyng may drawe pin herte fro us in alsomykel as in pe is $\mathbb{C}$. Swete lord ful of mercy and of pyte. pere we thorow $p_{i}$ blessya lokyng may turne to $p_{i}$ grace and repent us of owre trespas. and of owre mysdede. so pat fol. ${ }_{\text {we }}^{20}$, may come with seynt petyr to pi mercy. © Adoramus. (Pater. © Ave. ©I thank pe swete lord inesu cryst. of alle peynes and tormentys. and scornynges. and sclawndrynges. and schamys pat men dyde and seyde to pe pat ny3t in pat harde prisoun. pat bei helde pe Inne. Ciora I pray. pe and beseke pe pat pou zeve me. sofferynge. and strenkethe for to with stande stedefastely a jeynes alle pe assaylynges and fondynges of my foos and of myn enemys gostely. and bodyly. Adoramus. $\mathbb{T P a}$ ter. CAve. C Lord ihesu cryst I thanke pe of alle pe peynes. and scharme pat pou soffred beforn pylate. and of alle $p_{i}$ pases and $p_{i}$ steppys pat pou zedyst for me in al pat sorewe. now hyderward. now piderward. now byfore on.

[^26]suffred.
And among opere I thank pe, Lord, of pat lokynge pat pou lokyd to $\}$ i decyple bat pe hadde forsakyn, Seynt Petyr. You lokyd to hym with syzt of mercy, when pou were in $p_{i}$ most angwysch and in $户_{i}$ most peyne. Opynly bou schewyd pere pe loue and pe charyte pat Pou hadde to ks, pat schame, no peyne, ne no thyng may drawe fin herte fro us, in al so mykel os in is. Swete Lord ful of mercy and of pyte, \}ere we, thorow $f_{i}$ blessyd lokyng, may turne to $\gamma_{i}$ grace and repent us of owre trespas and of overe mysdede, so at we may come with Seynt Petyr to $1 / i$ mercy. Adoramus. Pater. Aue.

I thank e, swete Lord Ihesu Cryst, of alle peynes and tormentys and scornynges and sclawndrynges and schamys pat men dyde and seyde to pe pat nyst, in pat harde prisoun pat pei helde pe inne. Lord, I pray Pe and beseke pe fat pou zeve me sofferynge and strenkethe for to withstande stedfastely azeynes alle \}e assaylynges and fondynges of my foos and of myn enemys, gostely and bodyly. Adoramus. Pater. Aue.

Lord Thesu cryst, I thanke pe of alle pe peynes and schamus pat pou soffred beforn Pylate, and of alle Zi pases and $Z_{i}$ steppys \}at bou zedyst for me in al at sorewe, now hyderwarde, now fiderward, now byfore on 75
and now before anoper. I thanke ana beseche pe lord for alle beise peynes. and pese schamus. and peise greuawnces and pe pases pat pou zede perne in pot ilke tyme for pe loue of ks. pat pou drawe and ryzte owre gatys and owre steppys to pe ward and to $\beta_{i}$ seruyce. 【Adoramus. $\mathbb{l}$ Pater. $\mathbb{C}$ Ave. $\mathbb{L}$ Swete lord ihesu cryst I thanke pe of peynes pat pou soffred for us and for pe swete blod pat pou bledde for us whan fou were so sore betyn and bownden to pe pyler. fat jyt it is sene pe blood on pe pyler. I prey pe and byseke pe as my dere lord pat swete blood fat pou bledde so largely for me may be ful remyssyoun for my soule. (CAdoramus. (Cl Pater. $\mathbb{C}$ Ave. (Swiete lord ihesu cryst. I thanke pe. of pe peynes. and schamys pat pou thorow pi swete wylle soffred for us whan pou were clad in purpre for to schame pe and pe corowne with thornys. for to pyne with pi swete hed. and pei on knelyng on skorn callyd pe lord * kyng and mayster. and with al pat on $p_{i}$ swete face spytted so fouly, and so fouly engleymede fi fayre face with pe foule styngynge spyttynge of fe foule cursyd Iues. and bofetede. and smyten and betyn on $\beta_{i}$ swete
and now before anoper. I thanke and beseche pe, Lord, for alle beise peynes and pese schamus and eise greuawnces and e pases at ?ou zede fenne, in at ilke tyme, for e loue of us , pat Pou drawe and ryste owre gatys and owre steppys to $\beta$ e ward and to $\beta_{i}$ seruyce. Adoramus. Pater. Ate.

Swete Lord Ihesu Cryst, I thanke Ne of peynes pat pou soffred for us and for pe swete blod pat Pou bledde for ks, when you were so sore betyn and bownden to pe pyler, pat $3 y t$ it is sene, fe blood on pe pyler. co I prey $17 e$ and byseke $\mathbb{Y e}$, as my dere Lord, Fat swete blood pat pou bledde so largely for me may be ful remyssyoun for my soule. Adoramus. Pater. Awe.

Swete Lord Ihesu Cryst, I thanke $p e$ of pe peynes and schames pat $/$ ou, thorow $\$ 1$ swete wylle, soffred for us, whan pou were clad in purpre for to schame pe and pe corowne with thornys for to pyne with $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ swete hed; and pei, on knelyng, on skorn callyd fe Lord, King and Mayster, and, with al pat, on $\mathcal{p}_{i}$ swete face spytted so fouly and so fouly engleymede $/ 1 / i$ fayre face Ro with pe foule, styngynge spyttynge of be foule, cursyd Iues and smyten and betyn on $\gamma_{i}$ swete hed with

Inne. and of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ byttere woundes. I thanke pe of $p_{i}$ peynes. and of $\mathrm{pi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ swete blood put ran doun. and stremyd fro pi blessyd face. I praye and byseche folp $202^{2}$ dere lord pat pou defende us fro synne/and fro fol. 302 r. schame fat we han deseruyd for synne. $\mathbb{L}$ Adoramus. (Pater. CAve. CSwete lord ihesu cryst I thank pe pat pou were so byblea panne. so crownya with thornys. before alle fe folc. and i swete face so spytted and so beclemyd with e fowle and e stynynge spyttynge of here corsede mouthes. Pan were fou on eche a syde forcryed. and hyed to stronge deth. and to foule deth of hangynge demyd. bledsyd and thankyd be pou. I beseche pe dere lord pat pau for $p i$ mychel mercy gyue me grace and wysdom my self for to Iugge and deme to sauacoun of my soule. $\mathbb{C l}$ Adoramus. Pater. $\mathbb{C}$ Ave. (Swete lord ihesu cryst. I thanke pe of peynes and of schamus pat pou soffrede so swetely and so
[bune] ${ }^{(1)}$; and of $\eta_{i}$ byttere woundes I thanke pe, of $\gamma_{i}$ peynes and of $p_{i}$ swete blood $\}$ at ran doun and stremyd fro $h_{i}$ blessyd face. I praye and byseche fe, dere Lord, hat pou defende us fro symne and fro schame pat we han deseruyd for synne. Adoramus. 5 Pater. Ave.

Swete Lord Ihesu Cryst, I thank $\beta$ e $\beta$ at $p$ ou were so by-bled banne, so crownyd with thornys before alle folc, and $\eta_{i}$ swete face so spytted and so beclemyd with \}e fowle and $\hat{p e}$ styngynge spyttynge of here corsede mouthes. pan were Rou on eche a syde forcryed and hyed to stronge deth and to foule deth of hangynge demyd. Blessyd and thankyd be pou: I beseche te, dere Lord, fat pou, for i mychel mercy, gyue me grace and wysdom myself for to iugge and deme to sauacoun of my soule. Adoramus. Pater. Ade.

Swete Lord Ihesu Cryst, I thanke of peynes and of schamus pat ou soffrede so swetely and so
(I) The emendation I have adopted was suggested by Konrath, in his review of Horstmann's Yorkshire Writers, in Archiv. 96, Braunschweig, 1896, D. 374 :
'In der Bibel, Mare, 15,19, heisst es: "Et percutiebant caput eius arundine" = "and they smote him on the head with a reed." Sollte in der Vorlage des Schreibers etwa gestanden haben: with (a)bune, oder canne?' bune ( $=$ 'a hollow stem') is obviously the correct reading, as in $u$ and $n$ are often indistinguishable in the manuscript and $b$ might easily be mistaken. in a fairly rare word, for a capital I. That the capital appears in I strongly suggests that the mistake was made by the scribe of this manuscript. The emendation is supported by the text of E. See Appendix A. p.31.4.
glady. now for to drawe e. now for to putte po schomely. now for to smyte now for to bete e so sore and so felly. and for to bere pine owne rode on $\overline{p i}$ swete nakede bac. as it were a thef. pat bare hys owne galewys. for to be hangyd onne hymbelue at pe mownt of caluarye. pere men hedyd wyckede men. and theuys. whepur he were fer of mansleere and pere pou soffryd hem to do pe on pe cros Dere lord ihesu mercy . at welle art of mercy why wyl not myn herte breste and cleue in two. whou schal it evere laste. whan it rennyth in myn herte at i kyrtel chaungynge whou woo bou were begon. whan be fals herode let tak it of pe fat clemyd faste with pe blood of pat harde scowrgynge to pe ilesch of i body. at sore was betyn and rowyd. and rent. pi sely skyn. pe kyrtel clemyd pere to and dryed was pere to. pi flesch was so tendur. so seek and so soor fat bei drow it of fi body pytously and harde ne hadde fei no reward. whow soore fe bystood. pe stryppynge for fere with folewyd somme of be pecys of bledderys and of pe rent skyn. pan
gladly, now for to drawe $p \mathrm{e}$, now for to putte so schamely, now for to smyte be, now for to bete pe so sore and so felly, and for to bere ine owne Rode on $i$ swete nakede bac, as it were a thef $\}$ at bare hys owne galewys for to be hangyd onne hymselue, at $\}$ e Mownt of Caluarye pere men hedyd wyckede men and theuys, whefur he were pef o $[\mathrm{r}\}^{(\text {) mansleere; }}$ and pere You soffryd hem to do Ye on \}e cros. Dere Lord Ihesu, mercy, pat Welle art of mercy! Why wyl not myn herte breste and cleue in two?

Whou schal it euere laste, when it rennyth in myn herte at $\gamma_{i}$ kyrtel-chaungynge whou woo pou were begon, whan fef fals Herode let tak it of pe, bat clemyd faste with pe blood of bat harde scowrgynge to pe flesch of $/ i$ body, pat sore was betyn and rowyd 5 and rent. $P_{i}$ sely skyn, pe kyrtel clemyd pere-to and aryed was ere-to. Pi flesch was so tendur, so seek and so sore, bat pei drow it of $/ 7 i$ body pytously and harde, ne hade pei no reward whow sore pe bystood $\}$ e stryppynge. For ferewith folewyd somme of the pecys of bledderys and of be rent skyn. 7an
(1) I follow Ullmann and Horstmann in emending MS 'of' te 'or'. The MS f is probably the result of dittography of the $f$ in pef.
was pi seke body precyous lord al reulully rowed and bled. Fe stem stood of $i$ body. and rekyd al abowte. fe dewdropys at anne roos ere with. it is to thenke (I A. lord I saw i rede blod reane be i chekys. stremys after yche a strook byforn and behynde. e skyn of in hed. i crowne heth al to rente. eche a f. $202^{2}$ thorn at ere is. sytteth to $/ \mathrm{i}$ brayn panne. Alas f.303. pat I schal lyue and se my gracyous lord so soffrenge. and so meke fat neuere trespasyd so schamely bedyit. e grucchynge and e gronynge. e sorwe and e syschynge. e rewthe of hys chere I wolde were my deth. pe crowne of al blysse pat crownes alle blessede. and kyng is of alle kynges, and lord is of lordys. is of helle houndys crowned with thornys. pe worchype of heuene despysed and defouled. he pat schop pe sonne and al at is ouzt. of al e gode in er e pat al is of hys gyfte. he hadde not were Inne hys heed he myzte hyde. but is so porely become. us to make ryche pat al nakyd he goth. in syjt of alle pe folk. CA. lord pi sorwe. why were
I. 16 schop.] schep, Allen. The o is joined at the bottom to the $p$, but a somewhat fainter strole, extending foom the top of the $p$, touches the top of the 0 , making it, at first glance, rather like an e.
was $\bar{Y}$ i seke body, precyous Lord, al reufully rowed and bled. Fe stem stood of $\gamma_{i}$ body and rekyd al abowte. Pe dewdropys at anne roos /erewith, it is sorwe] to thenke.

A, Lord, I saw i rede blod renne be i chekys, 5 stremys after yche a strook. Byforn and behynde fe skyn of in hed i crowne hath al to-rente. Eche a thorn Fat ere is sytteth to 7 i brayn-panne. Alas /at I schal lyue and se my gracyous Lord so soffrenge and so meke, fat neuere trespasyd, so schamely bedy㣙. Te grucchynge and /e gronynge, /e sorwe and f syschynge, fe rewthe of Hys chere I wolde were my deth. Ye crowne of al blysse 7 at crownes alle blessede and Kyng is of alle kynges and Lord is of lordys is of helle houndys crowned with thornys, $\quad 15$ Fe Worchype of heuene despyced and defouled. He pat schop be sonne and al pat is oust of al he gode in erbe, 7at al is of Hys gyfte, He hadde not were-inne Hys heed He my; te hyde, but is so porely become us to make ryche, /at al nakyd He goth in sy; $t$ of alle 7e folk.
(1) Emendation of this line must be purely conjectural. Zupitza is probably right in thinking that some such word as sorwe has been omitted.
it not my deth. now $\}$ ei lede pe forthe. nakyd os a worm. Fe turmentoures abowtyn $b e$ and armede kny $\}$ tes $b e$ prees of pe peple was wonderly strong. pei hurled pe and haryed pe so schamefully. Wei spurned pe with here feet os pou hadde ben a dogge. I se in my soule how reufully pou gost. $p_{i}$ body is so blody. so rowed and so bledderyd. pi crowne is so kene pat sytteth on pi hed. pi heere meuyth with pe wynde clemyd with pe blood. fi louely face so wan and so bolnyd with bofetynge. and with betynge. «Mx with spyttyage with spowtynge. pe blood ran pere with pat grysyth in my syst. so lothly and so wlatsome pe Iues han pe mad. Fat a mysel art pou lyckere pan a clene man. be cros is so heuy. so hye. and so stark pat Pei hangydon $7 i$ bare bac. trossyd so harde. [A. I lord be gronyng hat pou made so sore and so harde it sat to fe bon. bi body is so seek so febzl and so wery. what with gret fastynge before pat pou were take. and al ny3t wooke. with owten ony reste with betynge with bofetynge so fer oun take. pat al stowpynge pou gost and grym is pichere. 户e flesch pere pe cros

A, Lord, 7 i sorwe, why were it not my deth? Now Fei lede 7 fe forthe nakyd os a worm, pe turmentoures abowtyn Te and armede kny;tes. Te prees of te peple wes wonderly strong. 7ei hurled 7e and haryed 7e so schamefully, ben a dogge. I se in my soule how reufally 77 ou gost: 7i body is so blody, so rowed and so bledderyd; 7 i crowne is so kene fat sytteth on $7_{i}$ hed; 7i heere meuyth with 7e wynde, clemyd with Fe blood; 7i louely face, so wan and so bolnyd with bofetynge and with betynge, with spyttynge, with spowtynge, pe blood ran Perewith pat grysyth in my sy;t. So lothly and so wlatsome 7 e Iues han mad at a mysel art 7ou lyckere Tan a clene man. Fe Gros is so heuy, so hye and so stark, lat hei hangyd on hi bare bac, trossyd so harde.

A, Lord, Fe gronyng fat pou made, so sore and so harde it sat to be bon. 7i body is so seek, so febyl - and so wery, what with gret fastynge, before pat pou were take and al nyzt wooke withowten ony reste, with betynge, with bofetynge so fer ourrtake, $7 a t$ al stowpynge 30 Fou gost and grym is 7i chere. Te ilesch Fere Cros
sytteth is al rowed. je bleynes and e bledderys are wanne and bloo. Pe peyne of pat byraen sytteth pe so sore. pat iche foot pat pou gost it styngyth to in herte. us in is gronynge and in is mychel pyne. pou gost owt of ierusalem toward,i deth. fe cyse is so noble fe pupyl is so mychel. fe folke comyth rennynge owt of iche a strete. fanne stondyth up e f. 203 r . folk and fe/grete seke. at wonder men may at ere onne thynke. with. swyche a processyoun of worldely wondrynge. was neuere no thef to pe deth lad. Some pere were of \}e comown peple at sysched sore and grette for $h_{i}$ wo pat wysten so turmentyd and is was for envye. for pe princes and, e byschopys , at ladden, e lawe. ei dyden pe to e deth for i soth sawes. whan of of heres erroures wolde hem repreue. ei knewe it was owtrage. and wrong /at polu soffrede. and folwyd e wepyng and syschyng sore. pou ban seyde thyng bat after fel. fou bad hem swepe for hem selue. and for pe grete vengeaunce. pat scholde falle. for $p i$ deth on hem and kon here chyldeen. and upon al pe cyte at sythen was fordon. and for e vengeance of here owne gylt chasyd

> 玉. 10. Zupitza peads somme. There is a mark over the o in the MS., but it does not look like a mark of abbreviation.
> I. 12. so turmentyd. These words are written over an erasure and the second runs over into the margin.
sytteth is al rowed. 7e bleynes and fe bledderys are wanne and bloo. 7e peyne of bat byroen sytteth 7 e so sore, 7 at iche foot 7 at $/$ ou gost it styngyth to 7 in herte. Dus, in is gronynge and in is mychel pyne, 7 ou gost owt of Ierusalem toward $7 i$ deth. Fe cyte is 5 so noble. Te pupyl is so mychel. Te folke comyth rennynge owt of iche a strete. 7anne stondyth up $7 e$ folke and be grete reke, at wonder men may at ereonne thynke. With swyche a processyoun of worldely wondrynge was neuere no thef to he deth lad. Some lere were of Te comown peple at sysched sore and grette for 7 wo, bat wysten pe so turmentyd and 7 at $i[t]$ was for envye; for be princes and le byschopys, lat ladden e lawe, Tei dyden 7 l to le deth for $/ \mathrm{i}$ soth sawes, whan 7 ou of here erroures wolde hem repreue. 7ei knewe it was owtrage and wrong \}at ou soffrede and folwyd \%e wepyng and syschyng sore. Tou an seyde thyng 7at after fel. Fou bad hem wepe for hem-selue and for fe grete vengeaunce $F_{\text {at }}$ scholde falle, for $7 i$ deth, on hem and upon here chyldren and von al Fe cyte fat sythen was fordon, and for 7 e vengeance of here owne gylt, chasyd
(1) The writing of is for it is a simple scribal error.
owt of here place. (CA. lord e sorewe at fel to, i herte. whan ou on i modur caste ine eyen. fou saw hyre folewe afer among e gret prees. os a womman owt of hyre selue hyre handys sche wrong. wepynge and syschynge hyre armys he caste. \}e watur of hyre eyen dropped at hyre feet. he fel in dede swown ofter pan onys. for sorewe of peynes, at to hyre herte smyten. pe sorewe pat he made and pe mykel dool. agreggyd many fold alle pin ofere peynès. so whan heo wyste pat it so was. \}an was hyre wel wers and gu al so for hyre wepyst. so was joure sorewe eyther for ofer waxenge manyfold with hepynge sorewys. pe loue of zowre hertys at ouer alle opere loues. was wyth owte make brennyng kene. made zow to brenne eyther for of. with mlyke sorewe to ony oper woo. as pe loue was makeles so pe sorewe was perelees it stykyd at zowre hertys os it were deth. ©A. lady mercy why were hou so bolde. among so manye kene foos to folewe so ny. how was it pat arwenesse of wommankynde or maydenhed schamynge ne hadde pe with drawyn. for it was not semely to pe.to folewe swych a rowte. so vyle and so schamefully so grysly to see. but $\gamma$ ou ne hadde no reward to no mannys drede. ne to nougt ellys pat pe schulde lette. but as
owt of here place.

$$
\text { A, Lord, Te sorewe tat fel to i herte whan } 7 \text { ou }
$$ on Modur caste ine eyen. Tou sewe kyre folewe af [t]er, among e gret prees. Os a womman owt of hyre. selue hyre handys sche wrong. Wepynge and syschynge, Kyre armys he caste. Te watur of hyre eyen dropped at Nyre feet. He fel in dede-swowne ofter fon onys, for sorewe of 7e peynes lat to मyre herte smyten. 7e sorewe Fat he made and he mykel dool agreggyd manyfold

alle fin ofere peynes, so, whan fieo wyste 7at it so was, Tan was hyre wel wers, and 7 ou also for hyre wepyst. So was 马oure sorewe, eyther for ofer, waxenge manyfold with hepynge sorewys. Te loue of Kowre hertys, 7at ouer alle ore loues was wythowte make brennyng kene, made Jow to brenne, eyther for ofer, with valyke sorewe to ony oler woo. As 7e loue was makeles, so pe sorewe was perelees; it stykyd at そowre hertys os it were deth. A, Lady, mercy, why were fou so bolde among so manye kene foos to folewe so ny? How was it at arwenesse of wommankynde, or maydenhed-schamynge, ne hadde fe withdrawyn? For it was not semely to 7 pe to folewe swych a rowte, so vyle and so schamefully, so grysly to see, but ou ne hadde no reward to no mannys drede, ne to nouzt ellys /at /e schulde lette, but as
owt of $p i$ self for dool and for sorewe. of i sonys passyoun was al in herte set. zowre loue was so kene eythyr to other and so beennynge hot. $>$ i syschengys were so fer fet. pe dool of zowre chere was dedly woo. e loue and $e$ sorewe at styked in i breest. refte e. fol. 203 V . e reckyng of bodyly drede and of worldes schame. and alle maner of lettynges pat os owt of i selue i sorwe hath e mad. ©a. lady for bat sorewe at ou soffryd of $i$ sonys passyoun for at schulde have bien myn 10 owne. for I it hadde deseruyd and manye werse. I was cause ere offe and he gylteles. os he dere woundes were myn owne ryzt. gete me for pi mercy on of hem alle. a prikke at myn herte of pat ilke peyne. a drope of pat reuthe to folewe hym with. 3 yf al pat wo is my ry3t. gete me of myn owne ne be fou not so wrongful to withholde al pow al pi woo be pe leef ne art pou noujt swythe large parte with pe poore fat lytel hath or non. gyf me of pi sykynges pat sykest so sore pat I may syke with \}e pat began 7at
20 woo. I aske not dere lady kastelys no towrys ne ofren worldys wele je sonne nor fe mone ne pe brizt
1.8. I sorwe This is written over an erasure and runs into the margin.
1.8. hath. This word begins in the margin and looks, as do the two following words, as if it has been written in correction of something that was erased.
owt of Tiself for dool and for sorewe of /i Sonys Passyoun was al in herte set. Jowre loue was so kene, eythyr to other, and so brerinynge hot; 1 syschengys were so
 love and e sorewe bet styked in $/ 7$ breest refte e reckyng of bodyly drede and of worldes schame and alle maner of lettynges, $\}$ at os owt of /iselue $/ i$ sorwe hath $/ 7 \mathrm{e}$ mad.

A, Lady, for at sorewe at ou soffryd of i Sonys Passyoun, for fat schulde have bien myn owne, for I it hadde deseruyd and manye werse! - I was cause pereoffe and He gylteles. - Os pe dere woundes were myn owne ryjt, gete me, for 7 i mercy, on of hem alle, a prikke at myn herte of at ilke peyne, a drope of /at reuthe to folewe Hym with! 3yf al at wo is my ryzt, gete me of myn owne, ne be tou not so wrongful to withholde al, /ow al/i woo be $/ \mathrm{le}$ leef; ne art fou nou $3 t$ swythe large? Parte with fe poore Fat lytel hath, or non: Gyf me of $/ \gamma_{i}$ sykynges $/$ at sykest so sore, \}at I may syke with /e at began at woo! I aske not, dere Lady, kastelys, no towrys, ne obre worldys wele, /e sonne, nor e mone, ne be brizt
sterrys but woundys of reuthe is al my desyr peyne axd compsssyoun of my lord ihesu cryst. Werste and knworthyest of alle mennys haldyng I haue appetyte to peyne to beseke my lorde a drope of hys reed blod. to make blody my soule a drope of lat watur to waschyn it with. © A. lady for at mercy at modur art of mercy socoure of al sorewe and bote of alle bale. modur mad of wrecchys and of wooful. herken to pis wrecche and vysyt my chyld sone in myn herte fat is hard as ston. a sparcle of compassyoun of hat dere pessyoun.
1.9. sone. So Ullmann, Zupitza. Horstmann reads soue.
sterrys, but woundys of reuther is al my desyr, peyne and compassyoun of my Lord Ihesu Cryst. Werste and unworthyest of al mennys haldyng, I have appetyte to peyne, to beseke my Lorde a drove of Hys reed blod to make blody my soule, a drove of fat watur to waschyn 5 it with.

A, Lady, for /at mercy, at Nodur art of mercy, Socoure of al sorewe and Bote of mlle bale, Modur mad of wrecchys and of wooful, herken to is wrecche and vysyt $[7] y$ chyld. So $[u]$ e in mys harte, fat is hard 16 as stor, a sparcie of compassyoun of fat der passyoun;
(1) Ullmann does not emend, but reads: vysyt my chyld sone in my harte. Fat... , commenting (op .cit., p. 465) that the ? in seems to be corrupt. Zupitza suggests that 'my be altered to " 7 y and, observing that a sparcle' cannot depend on a verb, ${ }^{\prime}$ sone ( $=$ mod. 'sound'), would alter the latter to laue'. He admits, however, that sone need not be a verb, but may be the equivalent of mod. soon', yet does not venture an interpretation of the sentence including this sense of the word.

Horstmann's reading sue ( $=$ mod. 'sow') has been adopted here, as it involves the slightest emendation, while being syntactically satisfactory. It also makes sense, although it is difficult to account for its use with the object sparcic.

I follow Zupitza and Horstmann in emending ' my', to $\overline{P y}$, but suspect that there has been an ellipsis (cf. ABU, p. 11. : visite mv soule and sette ir my hart i sone wi His woundie). In fact, sone', in L, may have been a noun ( $=$ mod. 'son') in the author's original manuscript.
a wounde of pat reuthe to souple it with. (IA. Iord pat peyne pat lyther Iues so cruel and so kene at pe mownt of caluarye with owten ony mercy pynyd pe with. pei cast pe cros doun flat on pe grounde and with stronge ropys knyt to pine handys and to bi feet and layde pe pere onne. bei drow and streynyd be streyzte. on beede and on lenkthe. by handys and by feet and aryue In pe nayles fyrst in pe ton hana and drow harde. and after dryue pet oper. be nayles were blonte at pe poynt for bei schulde breste pe skyn and pe flesch pei rrauyd P. $204^{\text {r. }}$.) pine handys and pi feet al with pe blonte / nayles for pe more payne. (Ifoderunt manus meas et pedes meos. Ielorvouse lord so doolfully dyzte. so rewfully streynyd mo ryzt on be cros. for pi mykel mekenesse pi mercy pi myzt. pou bete al my bale with bote of pi blood. I A. lord pe pyte pat I now se. pi woundys in pi

1. 15. mekenesse.] After $m$, as Zupitza remarks, the sign of abbreviation for er seems to have been written originally, probably in anticipation of mercy.
a wounde of 应t reuthe to souple it with.
A, Lord, 7at peyne fat lyther Iues, so cruel and so kene, at Me Mownt of Caluarye, withowten ony mercy pynyd 7e with! Pei cast Fe Cros doun flat on 7e grounde and with stronge ropys knyt to 7ine handys and to 7 i feet and layde 7 e fere-onne. 7 ei drow and streynyd /e strey $\}$ te, on brede and on lenkthe, by handys and by feet, and dryue in fe nayles, fyrst in 7 e ton hand and drow harde and, after, dryue $7 a t$ o/er. 7e nayles were blonte at he poynt, for pei schulde breste e skyn and /e flesch. ei grauyd . Tine handys and $/ \mathrm{i}$ feet al with $/ e$ blonte nayles for be more peyne. Ffoderunt manus meas et pedes meos. Gloryouse Lord, so doolfully dyste,
So rewfully streynyd vpryjt on pe Cros, For 7 Fi mykel mekenesse, 7 i mercy, 7 p myst, Tou bete al my bale with bote of $7 i$ blood. A, Lord, \}e pyte fat I now se! Yi woundys in 7 i
streynynge reche so wyde. pi lymes and pi nayles are so tendre. bou lyst rowyd and reed streyned on pe cros. pe kene crowne on fin her. pet sytteth pe so sore. pi face is so bolnyd pat fyrst was so faire. $p_{i}$ synwes and $p_{i}$ bonys styrten owt so starke. pat $p_{i}$ bonys may be nowmbryd. pe stremys of pi reede blood rennyn as pe flood. pi woundys are for bled. and grysly on to se. pe sorewe pat pi moaur makyth encresuth pi woo. (1. lord kyng of myzt pat leuyn woldust pi myzt. and os vimyzty become my wrongys to ryzte. what is it pat I speke and bete pe wync. I speke of pe felyng of pe and fynde I no taste. I blondre in my wyrkyng os man pat is blyna. I studye in my thouztes and pei wyrken al wast. it is tokenyng of my deth and fylthe of my
streynynge reche so wade; 7 i lymes $[\mathrm{at}]^{(\mathrm{l}) / /_{i}}$ nayles are so tendre; Fou lyst, rowyd and reed, streyned on te cross, 7 e mene crown on in head at sytteth 7 e so sore; 7 i face is so bolnyd at fyrst was so fare; 7 sinwes and $i$ bonys styrten ow so starker $/ 7 a t / i$ bones may be nowmbryd; /e stremys of $1 /$ i reed blood rennin as e flood; $\gamma_{i}$ woundys are for-bled and crysly on to se; $/$ e sorewe $/$ at Modur makyth encresuth is woo.

A, Lord, King of my $\} t$, Fat leuyn woldust /i my $\}$ and os vnmy 3 ty become my wrongys to ry今te, what is it Tat I speke and beta pe wynd? I spake of te felyng of $7 e$ and fynde I no taste. I blonde in my wyrkyng os man at is blynd. I study in my thoustes and hi wyrken al wast. It is tokenyng of my deth and fylthe of my
(1) The text of $A B U, p .621 .6$, makes it clear, if such corroboration is needed, that nayles here are the iron clave which pierced Christ's limbs. Presumably some slight error in his exemplar caused the scribe of L, or of an earlier version of the manuscript, to interpret the word in the sense of fingernails and alter $p$ e nayles to 7 ri nayles. The simplest error which could have taken place would have been a misreading of the word which preceded 7 e nayles. It is tentatively suggested that a preposition, such as at, or abbreviated
with , mistaken for an abbreviation of and was the original reading here. The emendation of 'and so 'at has been followed in the text. NED. cites examples of at used to express instrumentality. The phrase, 'at the sword's noint illustrates a modern survival of such a use. 7 i is taken to represent an alternative form of the definite article.
synne fat slayn hath my sowle and stoke is pere Inne. and stoppyth ol pe sauoure pat I may nouzt the fele. pat so schamely haue ben pi tretoure vntrewe. it myzt be a prisoun gloryouse lord to pi codhed. pe stynke of my schame. pe sorwe of my soule. pe fylthe of my mouthe. jyf I lykke pere onne. it fylyth pi name so may I no manere pe swetnesse of the taste bat I haue lost thorow synne to han lykyng of swyche comfort: for I blondre gladly in lustys of many dyuerse blamys. but pou gloryouse lord pou quykenyst pe dede and turnyd hast pou manyfold and brouzt hem to heuenly mede. pe blynde born pou lyzted in book os i rede. it betokenyth gostely werkys it is no drede. quikne me lord ihesu crist and cyf me grace pat I may fele som of pe sauowre of gostely swetnesse. lene me of pine syzt. pat I may som what syzt have in soule my thryste to kele. but wel I wot pis pat I haue rad pat who so zernyth and sekyth a ry $z^{\text {te }}$ pou he fele pe nougt he hath pat he wot nouzt. pi loue of pi god-hede it hath vs dy $\boldsymbol{j}^{t}$ fis speche and swyche
1.2. fele] is written in the right hand margin, opposite
1.2. the Written afresh over an erasure.
1.7. the Iike the in 1.2, the word is written, partly in the margin, where some word has previously been erased. 1.20 pi. The same hand as wrote fele (1.2) has inserted pi above the line and repeated it in the right hand margin. Allen has omitted the word.
synne, Fat slayn hath my sowle and stoke is Fere-inne, and stoppyth al Te sauoure Fat I may noujt the fele, at so schamely haue ben $7 / i$ tretoure untrewe. It my乡t be a prisoun, gloryouse Lord, to $\mathbb{F}_{i}$ godhed. Fe stynke of my schame, pe sorwe of my soule, \}e fylthe of my mouthe, $3 y f$ I lykke pere-onne, it fylyth $\eta_{i}$ name. So may I no manere swetnesse of the taste, Fat I haue lost, thorow synne, to han lykyng of swyche comfort; for I blondre gladly in lustys of many dyuerse blamys. But Fou, gloryouse Lord, Fou quykenyst Te dede and turnyd hast Tou manyfold and brou;t hem to heuenly mede. 7e blynde-born Tou lysted, in book os I rede. It betokenyth gostely werkys, it is no deede. Quikne me, Lord Ihesu Crist, and gyf me grace, hat I may fele some of 7 e sauoure of gostely swetnesse. Lene me of Fine [ Ifyst, fat I may somwhat syst haue in soule my thryste to kele! But wel I wot is, fat I haue rad 7at whoso jernyth and sekyth aryste, \%ou he fele 7e noust, he hath 7at he wot noust, 7i loue of 7 i godhede. It hath us dyyt 7is speche and swyche *
(1) Sense and alliteration demand that syzt should be amended to lyzt.

- Pere Pat zef a man no sauowre fynde thenk hym self./ fol. 204v.) owt castynge and rebukynge and reuylynge and seyng hys weykenesse and jeldyng hymself vaworthy deuocoun to haue. or ony swyche specialte of oure lord god. whan so euere he may no deuocoun fynde Pepne he schal gete sonnest 佰 gyfte of hys grace. ( Penne Pere went after $\overline{p e}$ cros many Iues ynove and reysyd it vo/and lyft it vp on hy. with al Pe myzt Pat Pei hadde and snuat it hardo in to $P_{e}$ pyt of $P_{e}$ hyl Pat made was Perfore. Pi woundes borsten and ronnym sore owt pat alto schakyd hengyd $p_{i}$ body wo was Pe bygon. Cora woo was Pe Panne. whan $\mathrm{Pe}_{\mathrm{c}}$ sore woundys of $P_{i}$ feet anỏ of Pine hondys pat were byfore alle men most tendre Pat bare al $P_{e}$ weyzte of pine blessyd body. Pat was so faire and large Pat sore and Pat sorewe $P_{i}$ modur byheld Pat so Iouely was and so meke and so mylde. he fel doun often sythe and syschyng amons. Pe sorewe stoke hyre in Pe brest as it were deth. hyre hed heo heng doun dolfully. hyre handys sche wrong. Pe terys were ful ryue Pat sche Pere grette.
20 Pe syschynges and Pe sorewes lat sche Pere made was ekyng of $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ woo and made it many-fold. Pe pace was so
o?ere, Tat, jef a man no sauowre fynde, thenk hymself owt-dastynge and rebukynge and reuylynge and seyng . hys weykenesse and yeldyng hymself unworthy deuocoun to haue, or ony swyche specialte of oure Lord God, whansoeuere he may no deuocoun fynde, Penne he schal gete sonnest be gyfte of Hys grace.

Penne fere went after fe cros many Iues ynowe and reysyd it and lyft it on hy with al pe my乡t Tat Fei hade, and sauat it harde into pe pyt of pe hyl Fat made was perfore. $\mathrm{Pi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ woundes borsten and ronnyn sore owt, Pat al to-schakyã hangyd $/ 7 i$ body. Wo was Pe bygon:

Lord woo was 7e panne, whan pe sore woundys of 7i feet and of pine honays, pat were byfore alle men most tendre, Fat bare al Fe weytte of Fine blessyd body, pat was so faire and large. Tat sore and pat sorewe 7 i Modur byheld, 7at so louely was and so meke and so mylde. He fel doun often sythe and, syschyng among, pe sorewe stoke hyre in be brest as it were deth. Hyre hed heo heng doun dolfully. Hyre hanays 20. sche wrong. Pe terys were ful ryue fat sche pere grette. Pe syschynges and he sorewes fat sche fere made was ekyng of 7 i woo and made it manyfora. Fe place was so
wlatsome and gronyng stede pe stynk of fe careynes in $\eta_{i}$ nose smot．so waspqu pyned in $p_{i}$ fyue wyttes to hele with oure trespas pat we fers with han wroukt CAgayn fot we trespaste with owre syzt 底t fou wolde of 1 e Iues be blynfeld．（Agaynes fe symne of owre nose smellynges the smellyng of pe careynes as fou hengyd on pe rode smot in pi nose pat was to pe ful grenows．（Agayn owre tastynge fou tasted of pe galle so poore was fou mad of pi grete bledynge． $\mathbb{C}$ Agayn leccherous heryng foat we fe with han greuyd pou wolde withfine erys here myche wrong．whan menfaccusedpe fals隹妾 of synne callynge at 伿 corownynge in scorn and hatrede．and sayde heyl be fou kyng and spytted in pi face．pe heryng of pe foule cry whan pei cried alle． do hym on fee rode fe cros schal be hys dom．and also whanne $/$ pei sayde he couthe othere men saue lat hym saue hymself now yyf he can．pe herynge of feise and of fol．）opere wordys wyckedly pou．／fou wolde in pat swete
wlatsome and gronyng stede. Pe stynk of Fe careynes in $7_{i}$ nose smot. So was pou pyned in $7_{i}$ fyue wyttes to hele with oure trespas /at we Fere-with han wroust:Agayn Fat we trespaste with owre sy弓t, Fat Fou wolde of Pe Iues be blynfeld.

Agaynes pe synne of owre nose-smellynges, pe smellyng of pe careynes, os Pou hengyd on Pe Fode, smot in $P_{i}$ nose; 7at was to 7 e ful greuows.

Agayn owre tastynge, 7 ou tasted of 7 e galle, so poore was. Tou mad of 7 Fi grete bledynge.

Agayn leccherous heryng, 7at we 7 e with han greuyd, Jou wolde witr Jine erys here myche wrong, whan men accused $7 e$ falsly of synne, callynge, at 7i corownynge, in scorn and hatrede, and sayde:'Heyl be 7ou, King', and spytted in 7i face. Pe heryng of pe foule cri, whan pei cried alle: ' Do hym on $7 e$ rode! 17 e cros schal be hys dom! ' and also whanne fei sayde: 'He couthe othere men saue; lat hym saue hymself now, 弓yf he can! ' Pe herynge of Peise and of opere wordys wyckedly
wyt for vs be pyned. Cagayn pe symne of felyng and of euele gatys werefi hanays and fieet with harde nayles thyrlyd. and frope hed to fe feet with coronynge and scourgynge bofetynge and betynge with spornynge and puttynge. with harde cordys knyttynge and on pe cros streynynge for wolde gloryous lord for me harde be pyned. pere honges Pou xaxie so poore and so woo bygon. pat of al pis worldys gode. pat was al /ine owne. pou hadaest not but a pore cloth to kyuere withfi lymes priuye. Pou pat art of kynges kyng and lord of lordys. helle and heuene and al pis world is al pin owne. Pou wolde in tyme of Pi deth for me be so poore. Pat erthe hadae peu not so myche /at $P$ ou myste dye onne. but on fae harde rode

Fou [wold $]^{12}$ You wide in pat swede wy th for we be pyned.

Agayn Pe synne of felyng and of euele getys were. $\nabla_{i}$ handys and $\nabla_{i}$ fret with marie nayles thyrlyd, and fro Te ned to pe feet with coronynge and scourgynge, with. bofetynge and betynge, with spornynge and puttynee, with harde cordys knyttynge and on Fe Eros streynynge, Fou wolde, gloryous lord, for me harde be pyned. Pere honges Fou so poore and so woo-bygon, Fat of al Pis worldys gode, Fat was al Pine owne, Fou baddest not'but a pore cloth to kyuere with Pi lymes priuye. Fou pat art of kynges Kyng and Lord of lordys, helle and helene and al Pis world is al Fin owne, Fou wolde in tyme of Pi deth for me be so poore, Pat erthe hade Fou not so my che pat Fou my ste dye onne, but on pe barde Rode,
(1) Ullman, Zupitza and Horstmann suppose that the repitition of fou at the top of fol. $205^{\circ}$ is an instance of dittography. Horstmann would supply by before heryng, in the previous line. Zupitza thinks that there may be an omission after " ${ }^{\text {word }}$ brays. (last line previous page), probably of an indicative verb, which would originally have completed the sense of the two lines. However, it is more satisfactory to sa pose that only one error has been made and that an error of omission, in starting a new page. To amend accordingly gives syntactically a more satisfactory reading than t omit one occurrence of pout:.
hangynge in pe eyre. pere was $p_{i}$ deth bed delfully dy $3^{t}$. Pe rode hadde a fote of erthe or ellys lytel more. Pat it stod vpon and pat was to $\mathbb{C}$ By Pe it was reufully sayd gloryouse lord. Pat foxes han here dennys and foxus han here nestes. but Fou hade not at $7 i$ deth nothyng $F$ in hed to reste onne. ihesu why were it nougt my dethpe dool and Be sorewe. whan I thenk in my thou $z^{t}$ whou reufully Pou spake. whan pou sayde alle $z^{\text {e fot passyth be pe }}$ way. abydeth and byholdyth $3^{y f}$ euere ony peyne. pat euere soffred any man or ony wordely woo. be lyk pe sorewe pat I soffre for synfulmannys sake. Nay lord nay Fere was neuere non so hard for it was makeles of alle pey-nys pat euere were, so hard was neuur. fowndyn. and 3 yt seydys Fopu lord so swetely and $^{\text {n }}$ so mekely $\mathbb{C}$ Vinea mea electa ego te plantaui. pat is my dere vynzerd seydust pou. pat is my dere chosen.
 bytter. (C Popule meus quid feci tibi. Pat is my swete what haue Ipe don. haue I pe wratthyd. Pat pou dost
hangynge in $7_{e}$ eyre，pere was $7_{i}$ deth－bed delfully dyyt．Te Rode hadde a fote of erthe，or ellys lytel more，Fat it stod upon，and Fat was to $F_{i}$ payne．

By 7 He it was reufully sayd，gloryouse Iord，fat foxes han here dennys and fo［wI］us han here nestes， but 7 ou hadde not at 7 F deth no thyng 7 in hed to reste onne．Ihesu，why were it nouzt my deth，Ve dool and pe sorewe，whan I thenk in my thou $3 t$ whou reufully Hou spake whan Fou sayde：Tile 3 e／at passyth be 7 e way，abydeth and byholdyth $⿰ 弓 y f$ euere ony peyne pat euere soffeed any man，or ony wordely woo，be lyk Te sorewe Fat I soffre for synful mannys sake？Nay， Lord，nay，Pere was nevere non so hard，for it was makeles．Of alle peynys／at euere were，so hard was neuur fowndyn，and hyt seydys pou Iord，so swetely and is so mekely：
＇Vinea mea electa，ego te plantawi＇，Pat is： ＇My dere vyn乡erd＇，seydust 7ou，Fat is＇my dere chosen， have I nou 乡t myself Fe plauntyd？Wy art pou so bytter？
＇popule meus，quid feci tibi＇，Fat is＇ly swete，2o what haue I pe don？Haue I pe wratthyd，pat pou dost
（1）It is obviously necessary to amend＇foxus ：to ＇fowlus＇；cf．p．66．6．

The line is taken from Nark．viii． 20 ，or Luke ix． 58
me pis woo. have I not $z$ euyn pe al myself and al pat eure fou hast. and lyf with owten ende zef pol it wy take. my body to $p_{i}$ foode and to deth on rode and hy $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{t}}$ Te al my selue in heuene to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ mede have I with my ode dude hyrtyd pe so sore. or with my swete dawntynge greuyd in harte. Cord You be sowte pi fader in helene for fe foule traytourys pe tyrauntys pe tormentors. pat he schulde forgyue hem $p_{i}$ doth and al pat pei trespasya. fol. $205^{\mathrm{v}}$.) and seyde fe wrec-/chys myst not what fri dyde and also to pe the f pat hengyd be pi syde. pat eure sythen he cowde hadar vsyd thefte. Pat he schulde be in blysse 'withpepat ilk day. You sate nought for pi pat he schulde have for hays synne long peyne. but at fotyrst askynge fat he mercy crauede and knew for god and hys own trespas ais sone fou af ham pe grawnt of grace and of mercy with owten on lengere delayng in blysse for to be. $\mathbb{C}$ Lord for $\eta_{i}$ mercy pat wile art of mercy. say to me pat am th thee. pat fou to hym sayde for I have
1.4. in. on erasure. In the right hand margin, against this line, are three or four letters, so faint as to be illegible. The first looks like a and the whole word might be and the carve of the $d$ being plainly distinguishable. This could only refer to an abbreviation which originally occupied the place of in, in the line.
me Kis woo? Haue I not jeuyn pe al myself and al pat euere pou hast and lyf withowten ende, 乡ef pou it wyl take, my body to $P_{i}$ foode and to deth on Rode and hy $\boldsymbol{\text { fot }}$ Fe al myselue in heuene to pi mede? Haue I with my gode dede hyrtyd be so sore, or with my swete dawntynge greuyd 7in herte?'

Lord, Tou besowte Pi Fadur in heuene for Pe foule traytourys, Fe tyrauntys, pe tormentours, pat He schulde forgyue hem $\beta_{i}$ deth and al /at קei trespasyd, and seyde Pe wrecchys wyst not what Pei dyde and, also, to pe thef /at hangyd be Fi syde, Fat euere sythen he cowde hadde vsyd thefte, pat he schylde be in blysse with $7 e$ Fat ilke day. pou sette nou乡t, for ${ }^{\text {pi, pat he schulde }}$ haue for hys synne long peyne, but at Pe fyrst askynge Pat he 7 e mercy crauede and knew Te for God and hys owne trespas, als sone pou hym pe grawnt of grace and of mercy, withowten ony lengere delayng in blysse for to be.

Lord, for Ti mercy, Pat Welle art of mercy, say to me, Pat am 7i thef, pat pou to hym sayde, for I have
stole $\gamma_{i}$ gode dedys and vsyd mys $\beta_{i}$ grace $p_{\text {e }}$ wittus and pe vertues pat pou to me hast lent. Pou/fat were so gracyous and so curteys and so mylde to grawnte hym fat grace in $p_{i}$ most woo. now pou art in blysse pere nougt is pat pe greuyth. but owre mysdedys arepat pe lettyth. ne be Pou nouzt daungerows nor straunge for to craue. but manyfold more gracyows be. for seldom seth ony man pat he ne is more gracyous whan hym best lykyth. Penne in hys most woo. (A. lord pi modur was woo $^{\text {m }}$ and pou for hyre also woo whan sche schulaepe forge and pou $7_{i}$ leue toke. bytawzte hyre to seynt Johan in steae of Pe to seruyn hyre and to comforten os hyre sone in tokenynge Pou seyde. womman. loo here 做 sone. and to Johan loo here $p_{i}$ modur. Fou betook mayde mayde to kepe. $\hat{p}_{1}$ wysdom wolde not fyi modur leue by hyre one. but pat pere were on to $h$ yre in stede of comfort assygned. (A. lacy woo was pe panne whan pou with pine herys herde pat word pat sorewe myzte han bien $\gamma_{i}$ deth of pat leue takynge and of $p_{i}$ sonys woo. $p$ e terys of $\mathcal{p}_{i n}$ eyen romnen ful faste. $\mathcal{p}_{i}$ syschynges and $\gamma_{i}$ sorewys to fin herte sat. ful ny fou fel doun swounyd
stole 7 pi gode dedys and vayd mys 7 i grace, $p_{\mathrm{e}}$ wittus
 so gracyous and so curteys and so mylde to grawnte
 Fere nougt is pat 7 e greuyth, but owre mysdedys are pat Fe lettyth, ne be $170 u$ nou $\}$ t daungerows nor straunge for to craue, but manyfold more gracyous be; for seldom seth ony man fat he ne is more gracyous whan hym best lykyth . Fenne in hys most woo.

A, Lord, 7i Modur was woo and 7ou for hyre also woo, whan Gche schulde Fe forgo and Pou $7_{i}$ leue toke," bytawhte hyre to jeynt Johan instede of 7 e, to seruyn hyre and to comforten os hyre sone. In tokenynge \%ou seyde: 'Momman, $l 00$ here $7 i$ sonetr and to Johan: 'Too here 7i modur! You betook mayde mayde to kepe. . 7i wisdom wolde not 7 i Nodur leue by hyre one, but Pat Yere were on to hyre in stede of comfort assygned. A, Lady, woo was 7e panne, whan 7ou with Fine herys herde pat word. Fat sorewe my 乡te han bien $/$ i deth of 7at leue-takynge and of 7 i sonys woo. Pe terys of Fin eyen ronnen ful faste. Pi syischynges and fi sorewys to 7in herte sat ful ny. Tou fel doun, swounyd,
with al $\beta_{i}$ lymes loose．Pine armys fel $\neq$ pe by．Fin hed doun hengede．Fis rody wex al wan．．$i$ face ded pale． fe swerd of $f_{i}$ sonys woo thorow strook $f$ in herte． $\mathbb{C}$ Animam tuam pertransibit gladius．pat is fe swerd schal glyde thorow $/$ ine herte．CA．lady pat sorewe may no tunge telle pat pou pere sofrryd at pat ilke chawngynge．whan pou in $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ sonys stede pi flesche． and 17 blood ．schulde anoper felow take for almygty god．a dedly man．decyple for pe mayster．Johan
10 for ihesu cryst．角保 chawnge to fe was so dolful os a throw of fifocth．Iady why hadde／
fol． $206^{2}$ ．）I nouzt panne bien 玉s by pe and herd pat pou herde．
 my part．そyf I my te in cas．han sleckyd． qi woo．for $^{8}$
15 men seyn swyche a wordpat it is often solace to haue in peyme companye．ULord pou cryed aftyr fot so dolfully on pe rode and sayde pat pe thrysted os lytel wondur was． Penne was to pe byrled eysyle and galle．of hem pat pou Pine herte blood wolde blede fore．（A．lord pou it
20 took and tastyd pere offe．for Fou wolde for vs in iche a wyt be pyned．Fat thryst
with al Pi lymes loose. Fine armys fel 7e by. Fine hed doun hangede. Pi rody wex al wan, Pi face ded-pale. Fe swerd or 7 i sonys woo thorow strook Fin herte. Animem tuam pertransibit gladius, 7at is: Fe swerd schal glyde thorow pine herte.

A, Lady, pat sorewe may no tunge telle, pat pou Fere soffryd at pat ilke chawngynge, whan fou in $\gamma_{i}$ sonys stede, $F_{i}$ flesche and $7_{i}$ blood, schulde anoper felow take, for Almygty God, a dedly man, decyple for Pe mayster, Johan for Ihesu cryst. Pat chawnge to Pe was so dolful os a throw of 7 i deth. Lady, why hadde I nougt Panne bien by pe and herd pat pou herde and sen Pat ilke sy乡t and of 7 i mykel sorewe hadde take my part 3yf I my弜 in cas han sleckyd pi woo? For men seyn swyche a word, fat it is often solace to haue in peyne companye.

> Lord, Pou cryed aftyr pat so dolfully on Pe Rode and sayde pat 7e thrysted, os lytel wondur was. Venne was to pe byrled eysyle and galle of hem pat pou pine herte blood wolde blede fore.

A, Iord, Fou it took and tastyd Fere-offe, for Pou wolde for vs in iohe a wyt be pyned. Pat thryst
was twofold in body and in soule. fou thryst with a gret $y^{3}$ ernyng aftux peire amendement pat dyden 7 pe topdeth. and also for $\hat{p e}$ soulys $p$ at panne were in helle pat hadde in here lyues kepyd pi lawys.
Blessyd is, 名at ilke man gloriows lord swete ihesu pat ony thyng in hys lyue may soffren for $/$ i sake of bodyly peyne or any worldys schame. or ony fleschely Iustys gostely or bodyli for pe loue of $\mathcal{p}_{i}$ name holly forsake. or may in any poynt folewe pe here with Fe schadowe of $\mathcal{p i}_{i}$ cros $p$ at is scharpe Iyuynge. CA lora pe reuthe fe dedly dool fat in mankys herte ow 乡te to synke whan pat men thynken on pat word pat pou on pe rode sayde and made to fyi fadyr so reufully i mone. Eloy. Eloy Lamazabatani. Pat is my god my dere god why hastow al forsakyn me fat no thyng fou me sparyst. $\mathbb{C}$ Gloryous lord in manhede was for vs al forsakyn. so vyle deth. and pyneful soffred neuere man. pi godhede it wolde for synful mannys sake with owten ony sparynge pat pe was so begon nevere martyrdom. ne bodyly peyne lyk Fin. Fi manhed was so tendur
11. 4-9. in here... with [Pe.] All this has been re-
written over an erasure. 1.10. schadowe...Iyuynge.] Written lengthwise in left margin.
was twofold, in body and in soule. Fou thryst with a gret zernyng aftur Peire amendement fat dyden $P_{e}$ to peeth and also for pe soulys pat panne were in helle, fat hadde in here lyues kepyd 7 i lamys.

Blessyd is hat ilke man, gloriows Iord, swete Thesu, Yat ony thyng in hys lyue may soffren for $/$ is sake of bodyly peyne or any worldys schame or ony fleschely lustys, gostely or bodyli, for $\overline{\text { be }}$ loue of 7 i name holly forsake, or may in any poynt folewe pe here with Pe schadowe of fi cros pat is scharpe lyuynge.

A, Iord, 7e reuthe, 7e dealy dool, bet in manys herte owyte to synke, whan 位 men thynken on fat word fat Fou onR Ro Ro sayde, and made to $7_{i}$ Fadyr so reufully $p_{i}$ mone:-
'Bloy, Eloy, Iamazabatani!' Pat is: 'NAy God, my dere God, why hastow al forsakyn me, \}at no thyng pou me sparyst?'

Gloryous Lord, 7 in menhede was for vs al forsakyn
So vyle deth and pyneful soffred neuere man. 7i godhede it wolde for synful mannys sake withowten ony sparynge, pat Ye was so begon neuere martyrdom ne bodyly peyne lyk $/ \mathrm{in}$. $7 / \mathrm{i}$ manhed was so tendur
bope bodyly and gostely and pe peyne neuur pe lesse ouer alle peynes. Pe dignyte so excellent pe faderys sone of heuene. by twene two theuys pou hengyd on pe cros. and pat in mydaes pe world. his was no prive schame. os of alle theuys $p$ e cheuteyn in myddys hem Pau hengyd al nakyd. Fi skyn todrawe and ilke a lyth from opere. He kene crowne on fin hed per fou was crownyd with. Fi wounays were so grysly and so wyde drawy Pe blod Pat Fow blede was delful to se. Pe
15 folsz06 ${ }^{\mathrm{v}}$.) sorewe of fine/moun was to/fe more pyne fanne al fi bodyly woo. Fat passyd alle Fe tolfer the losse of here soulys pat pynedpe soo. L Lord. Fi mykyl mercy may non herte thenkyn ne $/$ pat endeles loue snd louely reuthe fat Fou on pe guode settyst Fat folewith pi wylle. whan $/ 7 i$ sorwe was soo mykyl. for hem pat were Pi foos. Lord I wele in my thouzt pe rode foot take in my armys flat os Fou lay Pere vpon pe grounde. with Pe stynk of Pe dede mennys bonys pat lay pere wwo so wlatsom vadur phi nose. no thyng schal me fanne greue $^{\text {phe }}$
20 ne chawnge myn herte so $p$ at gret comforte it schal

1. 15. mykel] After this, the words, for pi sorewe was so mykyl, are repeated and crossed out. 1.17. with.] Inserted above the line.
bope bodyly and gostely, and pe peyne, neuur-pe-lesse, ouer alle peynes. Pe dignyte so excellent, pe Faderys sone of Heuene, betwene two theuys pou hengyd on te cros, and Fat in myddes pe world. Hit was no priue schame, os of alle theuys pe cheueteyn in myddes hem Tou hengyd al nakyd, $F_{i}$ skyn to-drawe and ilke a lyth from oper, pe kene crowne on pin hed, pat pou was crownyd with. 7i woundys were so grysly and so wyde drawyn. Fe blod pat Fow bledde was delful to se. Ye sorewe of Pine Nodur was to Pe more pyne panne al pi bodyly woo. Fat passyd alle pe toper, Pe losse of here soulys bet pyned Pe soo.

Lord, 7 i mykel mercy may non herte thenkym, ne Fat endeles loue and lound reuthe pat pou on pe guode settyst, / Fat folewith $\gamma_{i}$ wille, whan $\gamma_{i}$ sorwe was soo mykel, for hem /at were $p_{i}$ foos.

Iord, I wele, in my pouzt, Pe Rode-foot take in my armys, flat os Tou lay Fere, vpon fe grounde, with Fe stynk of pe dede mennys bonys, Fat lay Fere so wlatsom vndur $7 i$ nose. No thyng schal me 有ane greue, 20 ne chawnge myn herte, so Fat gret comforte it schal
to me be．with lykande thoukt．I wyl not vpward castyn an eye to se pat gloryouse sy乡t．／fi woundys to byholae． for I am．gloryouse lord manyfold gylty and cause Fere offe os vnwor hy fat sy乡t to se．（1 I wolde amone The dede pat lyn styngynge fouly．lay me flat on pe grounde and neferere $乡$ ys I my te pe vertu and $\overline{\text { pe }}$ grace to kepe of $\eta_{i}$ blood．＂pennes wyl I not ryse ne non gate flytte．tyl I be with $p_{i}$ precyous blood bycome al reed．tyl I be markyd Pere with os on of Fine owne and my soule softyd in pat swete bath．so may it falle gloryouse lord fat myn herd harte may opene fere with．fat is now hard os ston．bycomen al nesche and quyckenen in $p_{i}$ felyng．（C Lord $f_{i}$ swete passyown reysyd fe dede of here grauys．and pei walkya abowte hyt openyd helle $z^{\text {atys．}}$ Pe exthe tremblyd fore with，fe er 作e lost hys Iyzt．and my sory herte pat is of fee deuelys kynde hardere pan 血e stonys $\beta$ at clouyn at $p_{i}$ deth．it may not of $p_{i}$ passyoun a lytel poynt fele．ne I ryse not with fe
20 dede in reuthe pere offe．ne I cleue not as pe temple．
to me be，with Iykande thou乡t．I wyl not kpward castyn an eye to se pat eloryouse sy乡t，$p_{i}$ woundys to byholde， for I am，gloryouse Lord，manyfold gylty and cause Pere－offe，os vnworthy Fat syzt to se．

I wolde among pe dede，pat lyn atyngynge fouly， 5 lay me flat on pe grounde and neperere，jyf I myzte， pe vertu and Fe grace to kepe of 7 Fi blood．Pennes wyl I not ryse，ne non－gate flytte，tyl I be with $P_{i}$ precyous blood bycome al reed，tyl I be markyd Pere－with os on of Fine owne and my soule softyd in pat swete bath．So may it falle，gloryous Lord，pat myn herd申 harte may opene pere－with，Pat is now hard os ston，bycomen al nesche and quyckenen in $\prod_{i}$ felyng．

Lord，$\beta_{i}$ swete passyom reysyd Fe dede of here grauys，and Pei walkyd abowte．Hyt openyd helle zatys pe erthe tremblyd pere－with，反e［sanne］lost hys lyjt any my sory herte pat is of pe deuelys kynde，hardere pan pe stonys pat clouyn at $p_{i}$ deth，it may not of $p_{i}$ Passyoun a lytel poynt fele：ne I ryse not with pe dede，in reuthe Fere－offe，ne I cleue not as Fe temple， 20
（1）Fe erpe lost hys ly3t．］
Although this phrase makes quite good sense，it is at variance with the usual form of the uotation and so is emended．of．p．277．18．
ne opene $/$ closyng fat is so harde speryd． $\mathbb{C}$ Ny lord is now the malyce of ny lyther herte．more Zan is $\%$ vertu of $/ 1$ precyouse deth．hat wrouzte swyche wondrys and many on mo．and fe mynde pere offe styreth not myn herte whe lord a drope of $/ \mathrm{i}$
blood to droppe on my soule．in mynde of passyoun may hele al my sore．souple and softe in $/ 7 i$ grace pat is so harde．and so dyen．whan $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ wylle is．I wot wel myn herte gloryouse lord is not worthy come fol． $207^{\text {² }}$ ．）to pe Pat Fou fere Inne lyste／it is noust of Pe dygnite of 7 in holy sepulcre． 7 at fou were in manhed closyd．but to helle lord ou．lyjted to vysyten and to ryzte．and in pat ilke manere I aske Fin comynge． I knowe wel gloryouse lord．／at i was nevere worfi to be 汿 modur felowe to stonde at $7_{i}$ passyoun with hyre and with Johan．but lord in Fat entente． 3 yf I may not be fere for my grete vnworthynesse，to sen Tat selly syzt．I holde me worthy for my gret trespas． to hange be 有i syde os the thef hengyd．So lord 系yf I may not as worthy be／are I aske os pe gyltype part of 7 i deth．／pat fou I be not worthy Fat myn herte be lysted．my nede and my wyckednesse askyth pat fou
ne opene Fe closyng, pat is so harde speryd.
My Iord, is now fe malyce of my lyther herte more Pan is Pe vertu of Fi precyouse deth, 7at wrouzte swyche wondrys and many on mo, and pe mynde pere-offe styreth not myn herte. The, Iord, a drope of $\nabla_{i}$ blood to droppe on my soule, in mynde of $p_{i}$ Passyoun, may hele al my sore, souple and softe, in $\prod_{i}$ grace, Vat is so harde; and so dyen, whan $1 /$ wylle is. I wot wel myn herte, gloryouse Tord, is not worthy come to pe pat pou pere-inne ly 乡te. It is nouzt of pe dyenite of Fin holy sepulcre /acch/pu were in manhed closyd; but to helle, Iord, Fou yzted to vysyten and to ryzte and, in pat ilke manere, I aske pin comyhge. I knowe wel, gloryouse Lord, pat I was neuere worpi to be $7_{i}$ rodur felowe, to stonde at $7_{i}$ Passyoun wi th hyre and with Johian, but Iord, in pat entente, $3 y \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{a}}$ I may not be bere, for my grete vnworthynesse, to sen Pat selly sy3t, I holde me worthy, for my gret trespas, \&o hange be $p_{i}$ syde, os \%e thef hangyd. So, Iordb, 乡yf I may not as worthy be pere, I aske os pe gylty pe 20 part of 7 Fi deth, 7at, Fou I be not worthy, Fat myn herte be ly zted. My nede and my wyckednesse askyth pat 7ou

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } \frac{\text { have adopted Allen's amendation of 'pat }}{\text { to }} \text { to } \\
& \text { requires. }
\end{aligned}
$$

it ryzte. Come Panne at $\mathbb{F}_{i}$ wylle heuenelyche leche anả lyzten me sone os Tou my nede knowyst a sparkle of $7 /{ }^{1}$ passyoun of loue and of reuthe. kyndele in myn herte to quycnen it with, so pat al brennyng in loue oung al thynge. al pe worla I may forgete and bape me in $\gamma_{i}$ blood. 7an schal I blesse pe tyme. Fat I fele me so styred of $\gamma_{i}$ grace. Pat al wordely wele and fleschely lykyng ageyn $1 / \mathrm{e}$ thouzt of $\gamma_{i}$ aeth lykyth me nou $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{t}}$. Whe lord Fou bytawte in to $\mathrm{F}_{i}$ faderys handys at $/ 7 \mathrm{e}$ poynt of $/ /_{i}$ ceth/ $/ \gamma_{i}$ gloryouse gost and sayde.
 handys I betake ny soule. and in trewe tokenynce of oure soule hele pat al was fulfylled in $p_{i}$ blysse of 7i blood. You saydest at Te laste. Consummatum est. $\mathbb{C}$ at is al is endyd. Panne fel doun \%ine hed. and pe gost went owt 7 le erpe panne tremblede. Fe sonne lost hys sxizt. Fat al merk was, pe wedur. os it hadde ben nyzt. Pe dede rysyn in wytnesse of godhede to knowe. pe temple ponne clef. Fe stonys alto roof. with a scharpe spere Fine herte went owt. 1 fus gloriose lora it styreth in myne mynde. I se $\gamma_{i}$ blod laue owt of handys and of feet $\gamma_{i}$ sydes

## it ryzte.

Come /anne at /i wylle, heuenelyche Ieche, and lyzten me sone of pou my nede knowyst. A sparkle of $7_{i}$ rassyoun, of loue and of reuthe kyndele in myn herte to quychen it with, so 有, al brennyng in loue ouve al thynge, al pe world I may forgete and babe me in $\gamma_{i}$ blood. Fan schal I blesse le tyme Fat I fele me so styred of $7_{i}$ grace $\}$ at al wordely wele and fleschely lykyng, ageyn $\mathrm{pe}_{\mathrm{e}}$ thou;t of 7 i deth, lyketh me nou $3 t$. The, Iord, pou bytawte into 7i Faderys handys, at 7e poynt of 77 deth, pi gloryouse gost, and sayde:-
'Pater in manus tuas, et cetera,' 7at is: 'Fadur, in 7ine handys I betake my sould. And, in trewe tokenynge of oure soule hele, pat al was fulfylled in Yi blysse of $7_{i}$ blood, Fou saydest at Fe laste:-
'consummatum est,' Fat is: 'Al is endyd.' panne is fel doun pine hed and Pe gost went out. Pe erpe panne tremblede; /pe sonne lost hys [l]yst, Fat al merk was pe wedur os it hadde ben ny $3 t$; pe dede rysyn in wytnesse of pe godhede to knowe; Fe temple fanne clef; De stonys al to-roof. With a scharpe spere Tine herte pei stroke. Pe blood and pe watur pere-offe went owt.

Thus, eloryse Lord, it styreth in myne mynde. I se $\eta_{i}$ blod laue owt of handys and of feet, 右i sydes
(1.) The Min reading may result from dittography of the sin sonne.
thyrled winth pe spere． $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ woundes dryed and al to ran． $p_{i}$ body al be blea． $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ chyn hangyd doun．and $\gamma_{i}$ teth bare．Pe why由e of $7_{i n}$ eyen is caste vpward $P_{i}$ skyn pat was so iouely is become al pale．Pe crowne in
5
p Pe blod and blowith al a bowte．Pe mynde of／ fol． $207^{\mathrm{r}}$ ．）Pat mater I wolde were my deth． $\mathbb{C}$ Iord．I se $p_{i}$ moder stande be $p_{i}$ syde sche sobbyth and sykyth and falleth doun．Johan on pe toper
（10）half is so ful of sorewe pei wryngyn here hondys and make myche dool．whan $\overline{\text { Pei lokyd vpward．Fe sy今te of }}$ Pe rode stykyth to hyre hertys as it were $p$ e deth． Pei falle doun wepynge and gronynge ful sore and I am enchesoun of al $p_{\text {at }}$ iche woo． $\mathbb{C}$ Lady for $p_{i}$ mercy．
15 sythen I deseruyd al fat \％ow by fel and al is my ry 3 t．grawnte me of $p_{i}$ grace a sy $\boldsymbol{y}^{t}$ of $p_{i}$ sorewe a poynt of $p_{i}$ peyne to playe me with．$p_{\text {at I may in a }}$ poynt som what fele and a part of $p_{i}$ sorewe $p_{a t}$ I have al mad． $\mathbb{C}$ a lord $p_{\text {ei cast loot on }} p_{i}$ clopes os $p_{e}$
20 boke sayde longe before．and lefte像e nakyà by twene two theuys so foule os $p_{i}$ deth was soffred neuere man． panne be－gan pe folc to flocke toward pe towne frope mownt．of caluarye on pe rode pere pou hengede．Fot syht is so wonderful．Pei walke so thykke eche man to
thyrled with Fe spere, 7i woundes dryed and al to-ran, i body al be-bled, $7_{i}$ chyn hangyd doun and $F_{i}$ teth bare. he whyte of 7 in eyen is caste upward, 7 i skyn lat was so louely is become al pale. Te crowne in 7 in hed grysyth in my sy $3 t$. Pe heer is clemyd with Ye blood and blowith al abowte. Yi mynde of pat mater I wolde were my deth.

Lord, I se 7i roder stande be Fi syde. Sche sobbyth and sykyth and falleth doun. Johan, on pe toper balf is so ful of sorewe. Pei wryngyn here hondys and 10 make myche dool. Wan pei lokyd vpward, Pe syzte of Pe Rode stykyth to hyre hertys as it were pe deth. Tei falle doun, wepynge and gronynce ful sore, And I am enchesoun of al pat iche woo.

Lady, for $7_{i}$ mercy, sythen I deseruyd al /at jow is byfel and al is my ryjt grawnte me of $/ 7 i$ grace a syst of $/ 7_{i}$ sorewe, a poynt of $7_{i}$ peyne to playe me with, fat I may, in a poynt, somwhat fele and a part of $7 / i$ sorewe, pat I have al mad.

A, Lord, קei cast loot on 7i clopes, os We boke 20 ssiyde longe before, and lefte pe nakyd, bytwene two theuys. So foule os 7 Fi deth was soffred neuere man. Tanne began $7 e$ folc to flocke toward $7 e$ towne fro Mownt of Caluarye, on Pe Rode Fare Pou hengede. Tat sy\}t is so wonderful. Rei walke so thykke, eche man to hys 25
hys owne hom by eche a way. pen was pou in pi godhede. ful smertely at helle to glade pe soulys pat kepten pi comynge, pe blysse and be eladynge. pe ravthe and pe lykynge. pat pei panne hadde with tonge no man may telle. pou ope-nyd helle gatys. lord thorou pi my ${ }^{t}$. and took owt of peyne manye pat were pere. Adam And Euie and alle pat pe were leue pat had in here lyues kept pi lawys Lord aftur pat Joseph ob Aramathye tok leue at pylate to take pe doun. os it were tyme of euynsonge with help of Nychodemus. of pi modur and of Johan. pat stood pere sorewfully. pei toke of pe rode pi blessyd body. pei ryzttyd owt pine armys pat were bycome starke and stre-kyd hem doun after pi sydes. pei bare pe to pe place pat pou were beryed Inne. pei wesched of pe cold̀ blod and made pe clene. layde pe in pe monument. pat wes newe pat Joseph hadde ordeynyd for hymselue bei onoynt pe with oynement pat smellyd swete. pe sorewe pat pi modur hadde is sorewe to here. (lady pe terys pat pou pere grette. pi brest
1.1. pou.] Inserted above the Iine.
owne hom, by eche a way. an was ou in i godhede ful smertely at helle to glade e soulys pat kepten It comynge. e blysse and he gladynge, pe myrthe and he lykynge, 7at hei 7anne hadde, with tonge no man may telle. 7 ou openyd helle gatys, Lord, thorou 7 i my $\boldsymbol{t}$, and took owt of peyne manye pot were Fere, Adam and Eue and alle at e were leue, at had in here lyues, kept $7_{i}$ lawys. Lord, aftur at, Joseph o fi) Aramathye tok leue at Pylate to take /e doun, os it were tyme of euynsonge, with help of Nychodemus, of 7 i Modur and of Johan, /at stood Fere sorewfully. Zei toke of $/ \mathrm{e}$ Rode i blessyd body. Zei ry>ttyd owt ine armys, hat were bycome starke, and streked hem doun after i sydes. Jei bare /e to 7e phace /at ou were beryed inne. ei wesched of 7 e cold blod and made e clene, Iayde e in te monument, 子at was new, at Joseph hadde ordeynyd for hymselue. Tei onoynt /e with oynement 7at smellyd swete. 7e sorewe /at i Modur hadde is sorewe to here.

Lady, 7e terys, fat 7ou $x$ Bere grette, 7 brest
(1) The mistake of writing bor fould be a simple one for a mediaeval Latinist, as the sounds represented by the two symbols had fallen together in Latin.
and pi chekys mad al watur pou fel doun to hys feet. and kyssed hem ful swete and euere os pou kyssyd sore pou grete. ( penne was pere warde set of armede kny $z^{t e s}$ to kepe pe monument. tyl pe thryade day. et cetera. Amen. ihesu.
(1. Explicit quedem meditacio Ricardi Heremite de Hampole de passione domini. Qui obiit anno domini II O C.C.C. $\mathrm{XI}^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{VIIII}^{\circ}$ et cetera.
and 7 i chekys mad al watur. Tou fel doun to Hys feet and kyssed hem ful swete and, euere os \%u kyssyd, sore ou grete.

7 enne was 7 ere warde set of armede kny乡tes
to kepe fe monument tyl 7e thrydde day, et cetera. Amen. 5 Ihesu.

Explicit quedam Meditacio Ricardi Heremite de Hampole de Passione Domini, qui obiit anno Domini M. CCC. ${ }^{\text {O }}{ }^{\circ}$, et cetera.
fol. $I^{\text {r. }}$ * Here begynneth a deuout meditacoun vp pe passioun of crist Imade by Richard Rolle heremyt of hampoll Aord as pou made me of noght. I beseche pe yeue me grace to serue pe with al my hert with al my myzt. with al my streynth. with al my konnynge. with al myn entencoun. with al myn vndrestondynge. with al pe myst of my soule. with al my pozt. with al my speche. with al my wittes. with al my werkes. with al my occupacouns. with al my bysynesse. with al my reste. lord pat madest me lyk to bee. I besech be yeue me grace to loue pe with al my wil. with al my luste with al my soule with al my boue with al my lyikynge with al my mynd with al my wysshe with al ity desyre with al my deuocoun with al my longynge. with amendynge of my lyf. with lestynge in goodnes.

[^27]
## Secand Version

as found in Upsala Ms.C. 494

Here begynneth a deuout maditecoun up We Passioun of Crist, imade by Richard Rolle, Heremyt of Hampoll. Lord, as fou made me of noght, I beseche $F e$, yeue me grace to serue \#e with al my hert, with al my myst, with al my streynth, with al my konnynge, with al myn entencoun, with al myn kndrestondynge, with al Te myjt of my soule, with al my pozt, with al my speche, with al my wittes, with al my werkes, with al my occupacouns, with al my bysynesse, with al my reste. , Lord, Fat madest me lyk to Wee, I besech \%e, yeue me grace to loue pe with al my wil, with al my luste, with al my soule, with al my loue, with al my lykynge, with al my mynd, with al my wysshe, with al my desyre, with al my deuocoun, with al my longynge, with amendynge of my lyf, with lestynge in goodnes,
with verray contricioun and shryft and penaunce for my synnys (1ord pat mad me and al my lymmys. I beseche pee yeve me graces to serue pe with al my lymrnys ] fol. 1. ${ }^{*}$ )... che pee zeue me grace to serue bee wip alle my lemys. so pat my lemmys be pi lemys. and alle occupied in pi seruice. and euere bowe to pi bidaince. euere redy to meve or to reste at pi wille/and euere lame to pe dedis of synne, and euere fresshe and redy to pi biddyng. Lord pat made me and hap zouen me many $3^{\text {iftis gostely. bodili. and worldly. I biseche pee graunt }}$ me grace to vse hem alle in pi seruice anc to pat ende pe whiche pou zaue hem to mer at I euere worschipe pee in pi ziftis. and graunte me grace eluer to be meke in pi ziftis, to holde me a paide. and neuer to be proude. ne presumptuous of pi jiftes but euer to knowe me for swiche as I am a synful wrecche, Lord fol. IV.) pat lizthted / fro heuene to erpe. for loue
I. I. verray]om. A. 1.3. graces]grace UA 1.5. so..lemys] om. A. alle] to be 1. 6. bowe] bowinge $A$ 7.9. bidding]biddingis 1.9. hap] hast $A B$ 1. 11. hem ham $B$. The unstressed form is regular in $B$, except at D .381 .9 , and will not be noted further. 1.12. pe] to $A$ 11.13-14. be meke] meken me A 1.14. ziftis] yifte $B$. a paide] wip pi ziftis add. A 1.75. proude-oresumptuous] tr.A I.16.knowe] knouleche A. 1.17. lizthted]aliztist A

* The Unsala MS. begins here, at the top of a page.
with verray contricioun and shryft and penaunce for my synnys.

Lord, pat mad me and al my lymmys, I beseche pee, yeve me graces to serue $/ \mathrm{e}$ with al my lymmys [...che pee, zeue me grace to serue foewif) alle my lemys, so /at my lemmys be fi lemys and alle occupied in $/ 7 i$ seruice and euere bowe to $/ \mathrm{i}$ biddinge, euere redy to meve, or to reste, at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ wille, and euere lame to $7 e$ dedis of synne and evere fresshe and redy to 7 i biddyng.

Lord, pat made me and ha? jouen me many yiftis, gostely, boaili and worldly, I biseche fee, graunt me grace to use hem alle in $7_{i}$ seruice and to 7at ende 7 e which 7 ou yaue hem to me, 7 at I euere worschipe 7ee in $7 i$ ziftis; and graunte me grace euer to be meke in 7 Fi jiftis, to hollde me apaide and never to be proude ne presumptuous of 7 i jiftes, but euer to knowe me for swiche as I am, a synful wrecche.

Lord, fat lizthted fro heuene to erpe for loue
of mankynde. fro so heiz to so lowe, fro so heiz lordschipe to so lowe pouerte/ fro so heize nobleye. to so lowe myscheef. ffro so heiz wele to so lowe wo. fro so heize blisse to so lowe payne. fro so heiz mirpe. to so lowe sorwe. ffro so likyng a lyf. to so paynful a deep. Now lord for al pi loue pet bou schewedist to mankynde in pine incarnacioun and in pi passioun. I beseche pee of mercy and helpe, Swete Ihesu I panke pee lord wip al myn hert, for pou profirde pee to pat place where pou wiste pi deep oraeyned/And I panke pee lord. for pere pou schewedest wele pat pou were willy to deep / fol. $2^{r}$.) for vs. and so I bileeue lord. pat pou chese pe day and pe tyme when pou woldist deie/and euery poynt of pi nassioun was doone at pi ordenaunce and I beleeue lora pert pou leyae pi soule when pou wolde. and when pou wolaest. toke it azeine/Now here swete Ihesu I biseke pee graunt me grace to profite to pee wip good wille and sorwe of herte for my
1.I. fro-fro] from-from $A$. 1.2. fro] from $A$, as in
1.6. a]om. B pi] $\frac{\text { every other instance on this page. }}{\text { pat }}$
1.12. were] wes $B$, the regular form for the 2nd. person preterite of the verb to be in that MS. It will not, therefore, be noted acain as a variant.
1.12. deep] die $A$, dey $B$, deye $T$.
1.16. leyde] leftist A. 1.17. woldest] pou add. A.
1.17. toke] tak B. 1.18. profite] profre A;me ada.A. 1.19. good]hool A
of mankynde, fro so heiz to so lowe, fro so heiz lordschipe to so lowe pouerte, fro so heike nobleye to so lowe myscheef, ffro so heiz wele to so lowe wo, fro so heize blisse to so lowe payne, fro so heiy mirpe to so lowe sorwe, fero so likyng a lyf to so paynful a deet, now, Lord, for al i loue /at Hou schewedist to mankynde in bine Incarnacioun and in 7 i passioun, I beseche tee of mercy and helpe. Swete Ihesu, I /onke /hee, Lord, wi/) al myn hert for \%ou profirde fee to /at place where \%ou wiste Fi deef ordeyned. And I Fanke 7ee, Lord, for fere bou schewedest wele bat pou were willy to dee/ for vs , and so I bileeue, Lord, 位 $/$ ou chese $\} e$ day and 7 e tyme when 7 ou woldist deie and euery poynt of $7 / i$ Passioun was doone at $i$ ordenaunce, and $I$ beleeue, Lord, bat lou leyde i soule when 7 ou wolde and, when ou woldest, toke it ajeine. Now here, swete Ihesu, I biseke /7ee, graunt me grace to profite to fee wif good wille and sorwe of herte for my
synnes. and cryince mercy. no wille to amende me in schrifte and pensunce for my synnes in continuaunce of good Iyrynge in hole loue to Pee Pat made me, And graunte me to turne to pee in ofte schrifte in eche temptecioun and tribulecioun of nig lesshe worlde. fol. $2^{\mathrm{v}}$.) or enemye. / and graunte me Erace Pat eche Pouzt of me. worde. or werke schewe Pat I am turnea to Pee and zeue me erace fayne to turne to Po dedis wif ful wille. Pat pou hist ordeyned for me/ Swete ihesu lori I biseche Pee heere my praiere. Pater nester. Aue maris. It ne nos inducas in temptecionen. Sed libers. Versus Acoramus te christe ihesu et benedicimus tibi. ouia per sanctom crucem tuam redemisti mundum: Oracio. Domine ihesu christe fili dei viui pone passionem crucem et mortem tuam inter indicium turn

1. I. ond] in $A$
1.2. in 1 ] good(e) add. B,T.
1.2. schrifte] to Pee add.A 1.5. temptacioun-tribulecioun] tr. A 1.5. my] man $A$ I. $p_{0}$ ] $\mathrm{Pe} A$ 1.1.0. j.hesu] om.A
1.11. Aue maria] om. A 1.12. Sed..Versus] om. B

Pee] Pou add.A
inducas.. tenptacionem] om. $A B$. 1.13. ihesu] om. $A B$ 11.15-14. benedicimus...mundum] cetera $B$. 1.14. redemisti] remisti A Oracio] oremus A. 1.16. crucem. . tuum.] et cetera. B.
1.4. turne to] add. in right hand margin, $U$.
synnes, and cryinge mercy and wille to amende me, in schrifte and penaunce for my synnes, in continuaunce of good lyuynge, in hole loue to fee fat made me. And graunte me to turne to fee in ofte schrifte, in eche temptacioun and tribulacioun of my flesshe, worlde, or enemye, and graunte me grace fat eche poujt of me, worde, or werke, schewe /at I am turned to 7 pe , and zeue me grace fayne to turne to $\gamma 0$ dedis wi) ful wille, fat fou hast ordeyned for me. Swete Ihesu, Lord, I beseche /ee, heere my praiere: Pater noster. Aue Maria. Et ne nos inducas in temptacionem. Sed libera. Verisus. Adoramus te, Christe Ihesu, et benedicimus tibi, auia per sanctam crucem tuam eedemisti mundum. ORACIO:-

Domine Ihesu Christe, fili Dei viui, pone passionem, crucem et mortem tuam inter indicium tuum
et animes no:tras nune et in hora mortis nostre et largiri digneris viuis mibericurciam et graciem defunctis ueniam requiem ecclesie et regno pacem fol. $3^{r}$.) et concoraiam nobis pecatoribus vitam et gloriam sempiternem. ui vi is et regnas deus. Ter omnia secula seculomum. A MEN. Swete dere lord Thesu crist I panke pee wip al myn hert and kunnyng of pat swete praiere and pat holy orisoun pat pou made bifore pin holi passioun for vs on pe mounte of 0lyuete/ And lord I panke pee for pat pou tauztest vs to preie when pou saidest/ffadir not my wille but pi wille be fulfilled/ffor pi wille lord Ihesu. and pi fiadris wil ben al ones wille. pan pou praide not for pi self

## 11.1-6. et... AMEM]am. B.

1.3. ueniam]et add.A I.2. Iargiri]largire A
1.3. et]-rue $A$ regno]regnis A
1.4. concordiam misericordiam A. et] add. A
1.4. vitem] lenciam add. A
1.6. dere iord] om. A 1.7. crist]om. $A$
1.8. and]of add. A 1.9 . holi] om. A for ks ] so holi $A$ 1.9. on] upon $A$ 1.10. pat] pere $A$; per $B$ 1.11. my wille] myn A 1.13. ones] oo A; one B. 1.11. pi selfjpee A.

* The words, for the monday, are written at the head of the page. Lindkvist (op.cit.p.36) judges that the hand-writing is of the sixteenth century. An earlier hand has written in the right-hand margin:
Iesus Iesus Iesus esto mihi Iesus. Deus propitius esto mini peccatori et exaudi preces famuli tui secundum multitudinem misericordie tuae.
et animas nostras, nunc et in hora mortis nostre, et Iargir[e] digneris vimis misericordiam et graciam, defunctis ueniam reauiem, ecclesie et regno pacem et concordiam, nobis peccatoribus vitem et gloriam sempiternam; Qui vivis et regnas Deus, per omnia secula seculorum. Aflen.

Swete dere Lord Ihesu Crist, I 7anke 7ee, wi/ al myn hert and kunnyng, of 7at swete praiere and tat holy orisoun kat Jou made bifore tin holi Bassioun for vs, on te Mounte of Olyuete. And, Lord, I Fanke 7ee for bat pou taugtest us to praie, when pou saidest: 'Ffadir, not my wille, but i wille be fulfilled,' for $/ i$ wille, Lord Ihesu, and $/ i$ Fadris wil ben al ones wille. 7an fou praide, not for iself,
but for vs. to teche vs pet hauen contrarious wil *fol. $3^{\mathrm{V}}$.) to Pefadir of hevene for to / leve oure wille/ and to praie $P_{a}$ Pe facueris wille of vs be fulfilled/ Now
 putte out my wil but when my wil a cordel wip Pine. and graunte me groce to seke what is fir wille end turne per to. Pater noster. Aue marial Et ne nos. Sed libera. Versus. Acoramus te. Wia Domine ihesu christe fili dei viui no. Swete lord Thesu I Panke Pee es I can of al 䧄t ferdenes and anguysshe Pat Pou suffredist for vs. when an aungell of heuene come to comforte Pee. and when


* In the right hand maxgin of this page is written: Domine Thesu miserere mei secundum multitudinem misericordie tuae et ne permittas me miserum damnari cuem ex nihilo tu creasti. Deprecor te propter amaram passionem tuam.
but for vs, to teche vs, /at hauen contrarious wil to le Fadir of heuene, for to leue oure wille and to praie lat te Faderis wille of vs be fulfilled. Now here, swete Ihesu, I biseche fee at I be euer at
i wille and putte out my will, but when my wil acorde 5 wi ine, and graunte me grace to seke what is i wille and turne erto. Pater noster. Aue laria. Et ne nos. Sed libera. Verisis. Adoramus te. nuia. Domine Ihesu Christe, fili Dei viui, po ne...

Swete Lord Ihesu, I Fanke Tee as I can of al tat 10 ferdenes and ancuysshe Fat /ou suffredist for vs, whan an aungell of heuene come to conforte fee and when

Pou swettist blood for anguise／Swete Ihesu I biseche Fee for $T_{i}$ swete mercy．Fat $p_{\text {pu }}$ be my helpe and fol．$\Delta^{r} .^{*}$ ）comforte in alle／temptacioun，anguyse or tribulacoun．Fat I may Frwj Fi swete comforte turne out of al mescheef of soule．and of bodi．in to helpe of vertu and of mekenes，Pater noster Aue maried Et ne nos．Sed li Adorams te et cetera Domine ihesu christe fili dei viui po．Swete Ihesu I Panke pee of 应 disseses 乍t pu haddest when Iudas betraide 把e Fou tolde him biforn and wrmed him Ferfore it was one of $\mathcal{F}$ grettest synne fort euer was Now lord Ihesu I biseche Fee kepe me fro prete synnes，as ouerhope wanhope and al maner of syn－nes a jeins kynde and jeue me grace to Penke eche synne grete．Pat on any manere wise may greve $\mathcal{P e}_{\mathrm{e}}$ lord Ihesu／ fol． $4^{\mathrm{v}}$ ．）for no gilt is litill pert greud Fee．

Pater noster．Aue maria．Et ne

1．I．anguise ］here edd．A 1．5．alle］my add．$A$ 1．4．may］mowe A
1．4．习orw§．．．comforte－turne tr．A 11．6－8．Aue．．po］om，$A$ ；et cetera $B$
1．9．of for $A$ 1．9．Pi］［pe A．disseses］diseseA 1．9．Feel and add．A

Hou swettist blood for anguise. Swete Ihesu, I biseche Tee, for 7i swete mercy, pat pou be my helpe and comforte, in alle temptacioun, anguyse, or tribulacoun, 7at I may, orw i swete cowforte, turne out of al mescheef of soule and of bodi into helpe of vertu and of mekenes. Pater noster. Aue Maria. Et ne nos. Sed Ii bera. Adoramus te, et cetera. Domine Ihesu Christe, fili Dei viui po ne ...

Swete Ihesu, I Fanke 7ee of 7/i disseses, at ? ou haddest when Iudas betraide Fee. Fou tolde him biforn and warned him; \}erfore it was one of te Erettest synne lat euer was. Now, Lord Ihesu, I biseche ee, kepe me fro grete synnes, as ouer-hope, wanhope and al maner of symnes a zeins kynde, and zue me grace to Fenke eche synne grete /at on any manere wise may greue Fe, Lord Ihesu, for no gilt is litill 7at greue? lee. Pater noster. Aue Naria. Et
nos. Sed, Adoramus te christe et beqDomine ihesu christe fili dei viui. Swete Ihesu I |anke Pee for alle pe disesis pat pou suffredist when pou was taken of Iewis ffor somme pullid ee summe shouen hee/ somme drowen ?ee despised ?ee. skorned 价/tugged pee/and torren Pee/And swete Thesu I panke fee for al Pat meke-nes Pat Pou schewedest Pere when Pou lete hem do as Rei wolde/ Now swete Ihesu I biseke hee talie me to Pee. and make me al Fine/ and if I flee to any synne of $p_{e}$ world. my flesche. or Te fol. $5^{r}$.) feende/ Swete / Ihesu fette me soone home a zen as a lord doe his bondeman and dryue me wip tribulacoun sone to penaunce 3 it swete Thesu in fee is al souereyne medicyne and I lord am al seke in synnes/ Perfore swete Ihesu take me to pee and sette me vadir $\beta_{1}$ cure,

M1.1-2. nos. .viui. om. AB: 1.3. was were A 1.4. Pee] om. B 1.4. somme] om, AB I.6. Pee(1)] om. A 1.9. Pee(1) to edd.A 1.10. world of add, A 1.10. my Pe A or of gdd. A. 1.12. a...his] lordes B 1.13. sone] om. B 1.13. 3it] om.A 1.15. cnee] and come neer to me wil grace. as Pe Semariton aide. and hilde into my woundis oile of mercy and wyn of councort. and bringe me into pe stable of charite. and euere holde me under $\mathrm{pi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ cure add. A

## 38

ne nos. Sed libera. Adoramus te, Christe, et befnedicimus). Domine Ihesu Christe, fili Dei viui. Swete Ihesu, I fanke fee for alle fe disesis at fou suffredist when ou was taken of le Iewis, ffor somme pullid 7ee, summe shouen 7ee, somme J drowen 7ee, despised 7ee, skorned 7ee, tugeed /ee and torren 7ee. And, swete Ihesu, I Fanke Fee for al Fat mekenes at /ou schewedest Fere, when pou lete hem do as /ei wolde. Now, swete Ihesu, I biseke Yee, take me to ee and make me al Fine and, if I flee to any synne of 7 e world, my flesche, or 7 le feence, swete Ihesu, fette me soone home ajen, as a lord doe? his bondemen, and dryue me wif tribulacoun sone to penaunce. Yit, swete Ihesu, in Pee is al souereyne medicyne and $I$, Lord, am al seike in synnes. Perfore, swete Ihesu, take me to pee and sette me vidir 7 Fi cure. Yit, swete Lord Thesu, $\gamma i s$ Iyf is ful of fondyngis

Zit swete lord Thesu pis lyf is ful of fondyngis and enemyes. and bere is no socour. but in bee swete Ihesu / perfore swete Ihesu take me to pee wip Inne pin gouerraunce and schildynge. and lete neutr pine hondewerk be for-done/ Zit swete Ihesu pou art alle good. and to pee longep al loue/pan take to pee myn hert hooly/pat al my loue ly $z^{t}$ on pee. pat al bouztist. 1 fol. $5^{\mathrm{V}}$.) so pat myn hert neuer turne / fro pee for no temntacioun. but enere cleve fast unon pee/To love pee swete thesu. is most medeful. moste soedeful and most nedeful? Pater noster Aue moriag It ne nos Adoramus te Domine ihesu christe fili dei. Swete Inesu I zelde to pee pankyng and racis. for pat. tresoun and shame pat pou hadde when pei bounden pee to a tree as pee e. Now swete Thesu I biseke pee. bynde me to pee sopet neuen tribulacoun ne termptacoun departe vs a twynne Bynde ne to pe swete Thesu. in bileeụewhope. and charite.

```
1.I. swete-lord] tr.A fondyngis] temotaciouns A
1.3. perfore], panne A 1.4. lete] lat A
1.5. Por-done] forloren A.1.7. Iyzt] lie A
1.9. ee(1)] for g.d..A 1.10. is} om.A
11.10-12. medeful-spedeful-nedeful] needful-meedful-
spedeful A l.ll.Aue maria] om.A
1.11. Et ne nos] om.B 1.12. Adoramus] om.A; et cetera B
1.12. te..dei] om. AB 1.13. pankyng] Fankingis }A
1.14. schame] schames A 1.15. a tree as] om. A
11.16-17. tribulacoun-temptacoun.] tr.A
1.17. departe] parte A twynne] sundir A
I,18. ffides. In left-hand margin U.
```

and enemyes，and pere is no socour but in pee，swete
 pin governaunce and schildynge，and lete never pine hondewerk be fordone．

乡it，swete Ihesu，সou art alle good and to pee longep al loue．Pan take to pee myn hert hooly， Fat al my loue lyyt on Wee pat al bou；tist，so \％at myn hert never turne fro pee for no temptacioun，but euere cleve fast upon 耳ee．To loue Fee ，swete Thesu， is most medeful，most spedeful anä most nedeful．Pater 10 noster．Aue Maria．Et ne nos．Adoramus te，Domine ．
Ihesu Christe，fili Dei．
Swete Thesu，I 乡elde to pee pankyng and eracis for fat tresoun and schame pat pu hadde when pei bounden Pee to a tree as a Peefe．Now，swete Ihesu， I biseke Pee，bynaie me to Pee，so Pat neuer trib－ ulacoun，ne temptacoun，departe vs atwynne．Bynde me to Pee，swete Thesu，in bileeve，hope and charite：

In hileeue feste me to Fee swete Ihesu．Tet neuer euel teching．ne errour．ne heresie tune me fro my fol． $6^{r}$ ．）byleeue；And graunte／me swete Thesu PEt my bileeue be in messure．not to large bileeuyng 阬t schuld not be bileeued ne to straitly leuyna Pat schuld be bileeued，And swete Thesu make me to bileeue in to alle $\vec{e}$ sacramentis of holichirche and al pe orden－ aunces／and trist to god of my soluacioun／Swe te Thesu bynde me to tee in hope：so al my hope． trist．comfort．solace．and gladnes be in Pee，in eche wele or wo．myn hope and trist be only in Pee， Lete neuer myn hope be to straitel leste I falle in wanhope／ne to large．leste I triste in ouerhope／ And reaunte me arace swete Ihesu to continue in good werkis．and in $F_{i}$ seruice wip descrecioun ket I may wilfully hope and triste to ke and in kee／ fol． $6^{\mathrm{V}}$ ．）Swete Ihesu bynde me to 限e in perfizt charite Pat

```
I.I. feste] fastne Afaste T. neuer] noon add.A
I.2. euel teching] il lore B; om. A; ille lore T.
I.2. ne(I)] om.A I.5. straitly] streite A,
1.5. leuyng]beleuynge B I.6. to-to] om. A strait B
1.7. andlin ada.A l.8. and]in add.A
1.8. of] al add.A 1.9. so J 苗t add. AB
11.9-11. my..wol om.A 1.12. lete] late A
1.13. triste] rise A}\mathrm{ in ] to add.A
1.14. swete om, B I.I5. and. om. A
1.16. wilfulli] skilfulli A to...anc] om.A
1.17. perfi3t] om. AB
```

1．9．Spes in right－hand margin．$U$
1．17．Caritas in left－hand margin．U．

In bileeve feste me to Fee, swete Ihesu, 信t neuer euel teching, ne errour, ne heresie, turne me fro my byleeue. And graunte me, swete Ihesu, fat my bileeue be in mesure, not to large, bileeuyng fat schuld not be bileeued, ne to straitly leuyng Fat schuld be bileeued. And, swete Ihesu, make me to bileeve into alle 7 e sacramentis of Holi Chirche and al 7 e ordenaunces and trist to God of my saluacioun. Swete Ihesu, bynde me to pee in hope, so fat al my hope, trist, comfort, solsce and gladnes be in 7ee. In eche wele or wo, myn hope and trist be only in Fee! Lete neuer myn hope be to straite, leste I falle in wanhope, ne to large, leste I triste in ouerhope. And graunte me grace, swete Thesu, to continue in good werkis and in 7 i seruice wi discrecioun, fat I may wilfulli hope and triste to pee and in 7ee. Swete Ihesu, bynde me to pee in perfizt charite, pat al my
al my loue holy be to pee. in wille. worde. or werke/and Iete me loue no ping but pee. or for pee as pou doest frende or foo/and lete pat none vokilful wrappe ne hate. ne enuye breke pe bonde of my charite/and lete me lora loue pee evere pe lenger pe bettir. pe more kunnyngli. pe more besili. pe more stedefast. and lete me loue pat pou louest. and hate pat pou hatedist. Pater noster. Aue mariag Et ne nos. Adorrmus te christe. Domine ihesu christe fili. Swete Thesu I zelde pee pankynge and gracis for alle pe steppis/and pases pat pou zede townae pin owne payne and pin owne deep. I / fol. $7^{r}$.) biseke pee swete Ihesu. pet pou reule alle my goynges and alle pe affecciouns of myn hert. Pater noster Aue marieg Et ne nos. Sed li Adoramus te christe. Domine ihesu christen Swete Ihesu I panke pee for alle pe shames and anguysches and felonyes pat pou suffridest bifore Anne and Caiphas. Pilate and herowde/ and namely
loue holy be to pee, in wille, worde, or werke; and lete me loue no Fing but Fee, or for 7 ee, as pou doest frende or foo; and lete Tat none vnskilful wraple, ne hate, ne enuye, breke 7e bonde of my charite; and lete me, Lord, loue /ee euere le lenger be bettir, Te more kunnyngli, Je more besili, /e more stedefast; and lete me loue bat jou louest and hate /at hou hatedist. Pater noster. Aue Maria. Et ne nos. Adoramus te, Christe. Domini Ihesu Christe, Pili.

Swete Ihesu, I zelde fee fankynge and gracis for alle $\gamma e$ steppis and pases /at ou jede towarde in owne pryne and in owne deet. I biseke fee, swete Ihesu, 7at /ou reule alle my goynges and alle 7 e affeciouns of myn hert. Pater noster. Aue Maria. Et ne nos. Sed li bera ... Adoramus te, Christe. Domine Ihesu Christe.

Swete Ihesu, I fanke pee for alle pe schames and agguysches and felonyes at hou suffridest bifore Anne and đaiphas, Pilate and Herowde, and, namely,

I panke pee swete Thesu for pat merciful lokynge pat pou turnynge a zen bihelde apon Petir pi disciple pat forsoke pee, and zit in myche anguysch pou schewedist pi loue opinly to him/so pat neuer shame ne payne my $\mathrm{z}^{t}$ drawe pin herte fro him. Now swete fol. $7^{\mathrm{V}}$.) Inesu / turne pe eyze of pi mercy towarde vs synful, so pat portz pi mercy and grace. we mowe repente of oure trespas and mysdedis/so pat we may come wip seint Petir to pi mercy. Pater noster. Aue maria. Et ne nos. Adoramus te ihesu christe. Domine ihesu christe. Domine ihesu christe fili dei viui. Swete Ihesu I panke pee for pat meke and stille stondinge a fore pilate and alle pe fals accusours of pe Iewis/Now swete Ihesu here I biseche pe graunte me grace faipfulli to haue in mynde in eche temptacioun pat I stonde bifore pee my domys-man. and graunt me grace paciently to suffre accusaciouns. /

```
1.2. vpon] seint add.A 1.4. neuer ] neiper A
1.5. my3t } myn A - 1.6. pi]om. AB pe] pi A
1.8. so...come] om.A 1.9. to...mercy] om.A.
1.9. Aue] om.A - 11.9-11.maria...viui]
                                    (ut prius B; om.A
1.13. accusours] accusaciouns A
1.14. swete Thesu-here] te.A 1.15. in(2)] om.A
1.16. bee] om.B 1.17. paciently-to suffre] tr.A
1.17. to] om.B.
```

I anke lee, swete Ihesu, for at merciful lokynge at ou, turnynge a en bihelde upon Petir, 1 i disciple at forsoke ee, and zit, in myche anguysch, ou schewedist 7 i loue ppinly to him, so /at neuer shame, ne peyne, my $t$ drawe in herte fro him. Now, swete Ihesu, turne e ey e of ${ }_{i}$ mercy towarde vs synful, so at, loruy i mercy and grace, we mowe repente of oure trespas and mysdedis, so at we may come wi Seint Petir to/i mercy. Pater noster. Aue Maria. Et ne nos. Adormus te, Thesu Christe. Domine Thesu Christe, fili Dei viui.

Swete Ihesu, I anke ee for fat meke and stille stondinge afore Pilate and alle e fals accusours of Ve Iewis. Now, swete Ihesu, here, I biseche te, graunte me grace fai fulli to haue in mynde, in eche由emptacioun, bat I stonde bifore 7ee, my Domysman, and graunt me grace paciently to suffre accusaciouns,
fol. $8^{r}$.) snybbynctis. end al evel wordes of foos for pi loue/ and graunt me to knowe eche man bettir pan me. and to meke me ever. and holde me lawe/ And swete Ihesu when I sahal be demed? haue mercy on me. and lete pi mekenes and pat doome pat pou vnskilfully suffred excuse me fro pat doome pat I skilfulli shulde have, Pater noster. Aue mariag Et ne nos. Sed libera Adoramus te ihesu. Domine ihesu christe. Swete Ihesu I zelde pe pankynge for al pat schame and angwise pat pou suffrede when pei spitten in to pi face pat swete mirrour and bodili blisse of heuene vpon which . Aungellis and / fol. $8^{\mathrm{V}}$.) seinttis hauen deyntee to loke. Now swete ihesu zeue me grace to haue moste deyntee to pe invardil loke and penke vpon pat blissed face/ And swete Ihesu restore pe lyknes of pi face, and in my soule pat foule synnes hauen faded/And leve lord lat me neuer have

snybbyngis and al euel wordes of foos for houe; and graunt me to knowe eche man bettir on me tw and to meke me euer and holde me lowe. And, swete Ihesu, when I schal be demed, haue mercy on me and lete 7 i mekenes and /at doome at ou vnskilfully suffred excuse me fro at doome at I skilfulli schulde haue. Pater noster. Aue Maria. Et ne nos. Sed Iibera. Adoramus te, Ihesu. Domine Ihesu Christe. Swete Ihesu, I zelde 7 e ankynge for al pat schame and angwise fat pu suffrede when /ei spitten into face, fat swete mirrour and bodili blisse of heuene, voon whiche aungellis and seinttis hauen deyntee to loke. Now, swete Ihesu, \}eue me grace to baue moste deyntee to fe inwardly loke and fenke vpon fat blissed face; and, swete Ihesu, restore $\} e$ lyknes of 7 i face, and in my soule, Fat foule synnes hauen faded; and, leue tord, lat me neuer have
likynge in pe face of synne in temptacioun. And graunt me Erace neuer to assente to lust of synne/ and graunte me grace to wirschipe pee in eche creature, and lete me neuer haue pride of chere of my face ne lust to synne for semblaunt of ony oper face/ And swete Ihesu graunte me to se pi blessid face in heuene. Pater noster. Aue maria et cetera ut fol. $9^{r}$.) prius. / Swete Ihesu I zelde pe pankinge as I can of alle pe euel wordis/sclaundris. skornynges/blasnhemys/mowwis. and schames. pat pe Iewes seide to pee in al pe tyme of al pi precious passioun. and of al pe holdes and prisons pat pei helde pe In/when pou was drawen and harried to Anne and Cayfas/now to herowde and Pilate and closed wip Inne peire placis/ Now swete Ihesu here I panke pee. and I biseke pee graunte me suffraunce and strengee to stonde
1.3. graunte] zeue A 1.5. oper] opirs A
1.7. heuene] gmen add. A 1.7. Aue] om. A maria] om. AB. 1.7. et cetera] om.A ut prius] Et ne nos A; om. B. 1.11. pe(1)]om.B 1.11. al立(2)] ox om. AB.
1.12. holdes] housis A
1.6. swete] om. B me] grace add. B
1.8. pankinge] pankingis $A$ 1.9. pe] om. A
1.9. skornynges ] scornis A 1.9. blasphemys] om. A
1.12. was] were A 1.14. Inne ] in add.A 1.14. peire] her A; har B 1.15. panke...I Jom. A 1.16. suffraunce] suffringe $A$
likynge in e face of synne in temptacioun; and graunt $\notin$ me grace neuer to assente to lust of synne; and graunte me grace to wirschipe /ee in eche creature; and lete me neuer haue pride of chere of my face, ne lust to symne for semblaunt of ony ofer face; and, swete Ihesu, graunte me to se $7 i$ blessid face in heuene. Pater noster. Aue Maria, et cetera, ut prius.

Swete Ihesu, I zelde e ankinge, as I can, of alle euel wordis, sclaundris, skornynges, blasphemys, mowwis and schames /at 7 e Iewes seide to /7ee, in al Fe tyme of al 7i precious Passioun, 区\& and of al /e holdes and prisons hat ei helde pe in, when fou was drawen and harried to Anne and Cayfas, now to Herowde and Pilate, and closed wi Finne Teire placis. Now, swete Ihesu, here I Fanke /ee and I biseke /7ee, graunte me suffraunce and streng $/ e$ to stonde
stedefastly and paciently to suffre wordis of despite and rebukynge for pi love/ and neuer to grucche for tribulacoun and anger or sekenes of pi sonde $/$ And fol. $9^{\mathrm{V}}$.) graunte / me grace swete Ihesu stedefastly to stonde in alle pe assailyngis and temptaciouns of my foos bodely and gostely. Pater noster et cetera ut supra. Swete Ihesu I panke pee for alle pe steppis and pasis pat pou zedehedirward and pedirwarde in pe tyme of pi passioun And I biseke pee graunte me grace in alle my weyes and goynges/ pat pei be ordeyned to pi worschipe. and saluacioun of my soule/ And graunte me grace wilfully to go to pi seruice. and spare for no payne ne oenaunce and make me lope to meve swete Ihesu to any lust azein pi wil. Pater noster et cetera.

```
1.3. and(1)] ne A or] ne A
1.4. grace] om.A stedefastly] stifli A
1.5. assailyngis] assaillynge B ; assailynge T.
1.6. bodely...gostely] tr.A noster] om.
1.6. et...supra] Aue B; Aue maria A.
1.8. and pedirwarde] om.B
1.9. be] om.A.
1.11. andJ to add.A 1.15. et cetera] Et ne nos A.
```

stedefastly and paciently to suffre wordis of despite and rebukynge for $/$ i love and neuer to grucche for tribulacoun and anger, or sekenes of $/ 7$ sonde. And graunte me grace, swete Ihesu, stedefastly to stonde, in alle e assailyngis and temptaciouns of my foos, 5 bodely and gostely. Pater noster, et cetera, ut supra.

Swete Ihesu, I /anke /ee for alle e steppis and pasis Fat bou zede, hedirward and edirwarde, in (e tyme of i Passioun. And I biseke, graunte me grace in alle my weyes and goynges, hat /ei be 10 ordeyned to $/ 7 i$ worschipe and saluacioun of my soule; and graunte me grace wilfully to go to 7 Fi seruice and spare for no payne, ne penaunce; and make me lo/e to meve, swete Ihesu, to any lust ajein wil. Pater noster, et cetera.

Swete Ihesu I zelce pee ponking for pet fol. $10^{r}$.) dispitous blyndefellyme pat pe Iewis dide to pee/ And here I pray jee swete lord Ihesu, shilde me fro blyndynge of synne in custome. in longe vnschrifte/in ouerhope in latynge bi my silf moche/and shilde me fro perpetuel blyndynge of dampnacioun and excludyng fro pi blisful sizt of pi glorious face. and lete me clerely se in to pe face. of my conscience. and zeve me crace swete Ihesu to kepe myn eyzen fro al euel siztis. pet eggen to synne/and graunte me to se pi blessed presence endesly. Pater noster/et cetere? Swete Ihesu I panke pee euermore for pat schame and schendschipe pat pou suffridest in pi buffetyng/for many a sore stroke pou

1. I. panking] fankingis A 1.4.blyndynge] blindfelling A
1.5. ouerhope] in wanhope add.A; and ouertrist to my 1.5. in latynge] om. B; to add. A. (self add. B. 11.5-6. bi my silf-moche] tr. A; om.B. 1.6. blyndynge] blindfelling $A$ -
1.8. lete] graunte A clerely] to add.A. 1.10. froj from A. 1.12. noster] Aue add. B. 1.12. et cetera] om. A; vt supra add. B.

Swete Ihesu, I elde pe anking. for fat dispitous blyndefellyng /at/e Iewis dide to /ee, and here I praye 7 ee , swete Lord Ihesu, shilde me fro blyndynge of synne, in custome, in longe vnschrifte, in ouerhope, in latynge bi mysilf moche, and shild me fro perpetuel blyndynge of dampnacioun and excludyng fro blisful sizt of 7 p glorious face; and lete me clerely se into face of my conscience; and jeue me grace, swete Ihesu', to kepe myn ey en fro al euel si tis /at eggen endelesly. Pater noster, et cetera,

Swete Ihesu, I anke pee euermore for at schame and schendschipe /at /ou suffridest in 7i buffetyng, for many a sore stroke 7 ou
fol. $10^{\mathrm{V}}$.) suffridist pan/for eche of hem strofe to smyte bifore opere. Now swete Thesu graunte me here wilfully to suffre dises is and tribulaciouns for pi sake. anc neuer to grucche for sekenes ne for wronges of man. but euer to ponke od of slle his sondes/and graunte me lord Thesu purgatorie for my synnes er I deie/ and hertly lord and continualy it to praie/and when it comep. Iord Jeue ne pacience and herte holy to panke pee of pi blisful and gracious sonde, Pater noster Aue maria. et cetera. swete Thes. I zelde pee gracis and pankyngis for el pat sore and lonce. and egre peyne pat fol. $11^{r}$.) pou suffredist for vs/and for al pat / precious blode pat pou bledde when pou was naked and bounde faste to a piler. and skourged ful sore/ffor pat was, a bitter payne ffor pee to skourgent weren chosen men pat

suffridist Fan, for eche of hem strofe to smyte bifore ofere. Now swete Ihesu, graunte me here wilfully to suffre disessis and tribulaciouns for $\gamma_{i}$ sake and neuer to grucche for sekenes, ne for wronges of man, but euer to Vanke God of alle His sondes; and graunte me, Lord Ihesu, purgatorie for my synnes, er I deie, and hertly, Lord, and continualy it to praie; and when it come?, Lord, \}eue me pacience and herte holy to /anke fee of $7 / i$ blisful and gracious sonde. Pater noster. Aue Maria, et cetera.

Swete Ihesu, I jelde pee gracis and fankyngis for al fat sore and longe ond egre payne fat pou suffredist for vs and for al pat precious blode fat You bledde, when pou was naked and bounde faste to a piler and skourged ful sore, ffor fat was a bitter payne: for fee to skourgen weren chosen men fat
weren stronge/stalworpe no willy to slee pec/ and it was long or pei weren veri. ana pe skources weren made ful stronge and smert so pat al pi body was but woundis $\downarrow$ and many woundis in onc woundie/ fior pe knottis smyten ofte in one aleceland at eche stroke pei smote deprir and deppere/and pat was swete Thesu a larme jifte. and a plenteuous shewing of pi loue/pan was pi bodi like to hevene/ffor ss hevene fol. II ${ }^{\mathrm{V}}$.) is ful of sterxis/so was/pi horly ful of voundis/

But lord pi woundis ben brizter pan sterxis/ fior sterris schymen but by ny $3^{t} /$ And $p i$ woundis ben ful of vertu day anc ny3tlalle pe sterris bi ny $3^{t}$ liztten but litel/and one clowae may inde hem alle, But oon of pi voundis swete Ihesu was and is I nowz to âo a way pe cloudis of alle synful menyand to clere pe 1. weren] om. $\overline{A B}$. 1.I. stronge] om. A; and add. 1.2. weren] was B. 14. one] oo A - T. 5 . smyten ] so gdd. A
1.6. pei om. $A B$ smote] hit pe adi. B
1.6. and deppere] om. $A B \quad$ 1.7. 3ifte] om. A
1.7. shewing] shedrnge $B$ 1.10, mrizter] etere $A$;bettyp $B$
1.11. schynen] not add. A ny 3t] nyztis A
1.12. day:.ny3t] tr.A 1.12. ny $\mathrm{ta}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (2)] ne add. A 1.73. but] a add. $\bar{A}$ 1.15. pe(i) om. A
1.15. eille...men ] synne $A$
weren stronge, stalworpe and willy to slee קee, and it was long or fei weren weri, and be skourges weren made ful stronge and smert, so /at al. 7
body was but woundis and many woundis in one wounde, ffor e knottis smytten ofte in one place and, at s eche stroke, ei smote deppir and deppere; and fat was, swete Ihesu, a large zifte and a plenteuous shewing of $1 / \mathrm{j}$. Ioue.

Pan was 7 i bodi like to heuene, ffor, as heuene is ful of sterris, so was 7 i bodi ful of woundis. But, Lord, /i woundis ben [bettyr] (1) pan sterris, for sterris schynen but by ny3t and $/ 7$ woundis ben ful of vertu, day and ny3t. Alle pe sterris, bi ny $3 t$, liztten but litel and one clowde may hide hem slle, but oon of $/ 7 i$ woundis, swete Ihesu, was and is inow3 15 to do away $p$ e cloudis of $[\text { syynne }]^{(2)}$ and to clere $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$
(1) The reading of $A$ and $B$ is obviously preferable to that of U here. No doubt brizter vas written because of its frequent association with the noun, sterris. See also R Appendix B, p. (4) I. 2 :meliora.
(2) The MS. alle synful men is almost certainly an error due to scribal anticipation of the phrase in 11. 18-1. The reading of $A$ has, therefore, been adopted here. It is supported by $R$ Appendix $B, p$. (4)I工. 8-q: peccatorum obscurantem... atoue omniom hominum peccancium consciencias...
conscience of alle syminl men ere swete inesu I biseke pee pot pese woundis be mr menitrcioun nyzt and dey for in pi voundis is hool medicyme for eche disese of soule/ Also swete Thesu. pe sterris ben cause of eche pins pat is rene, or groweplor berep fruit/ low swete Thesu maise me grene / fol. $12^{r}$.) in my bileeve/growing in gracc bermpe fruyte of Goob werkis/ Also sterris ben canse of mynys/ metalis. end of precious stones / low slete inesu make me towze as metal. a zens temptacious/and precious as perle into pe heiz degree of charite. Pater noster Aue marin. Et ne nos. Sed. Adoramus te christe. Domine ihesu christe Iili dei viui. And $3^{i t}$ lord swete Ihesu pi bodi is like to a nette. ffor as e nett is ful of hooles. so
is pi hodi ful of woundis tere swete Ihesu I biseche pee cacche me in to pe nett of pi skourgyngel pet al my herte and loue be to pee. and drawe me euer to fol. $12^{\mathrm{V}}$.) pee. and wip pee. as a nett drawep pe fysshe til it

```
11.2-3. nyzt...medicyne] om.A 1.5. ceuse] in expe add.A
1.7. grece[ and add.A - 1.8. mynys] of add.A
1.4. swete] lord add.A 1.10. perle] perre A
1.11. Aue maria] om.A. noster...maria] et cetera B
1.12. Et ne nos] om. B; inducas 8.d..A
11.12-13. Sed...viui ] om.AB 1.1T. to om.A
1.14. a(1)] pe B 1.15. swete] lord add.A
```


conscience of alle synful men. Here, swete Ihesu, I biseke pee pat lese woundis be my meditacioun, ny $3 t$ and day, for in fi woundis is hool medicyne for eche disese of soule. Aiso, swete Ihesu, /e sterris ben cause of eche tine lat is grene, or grovel or bere? fruit. Now, swete Ihesu, make me grene in my bileeue, growine in grace, beryng fruyte of good werkis. Also, sterris ben cause of mynys, metallis and of precious stones. Now, swete Ihesu, make me tow as metal a zens temptaciouns and precious as perle into 7 e heiz degree of charite. Pater noster. Aue Maria. Et ne nos. Sed. Adoramus te, Christe. Domine Ihesu Christe, fili Dei viui.

And zit, Lord, swete Ihesu, $7 i$ bodi is like to a nette, ffor as a nett is ful of hooles so is $7_{i}$ bodi ful of woundis. Here, swete Ihesu, I biseche pee, cacche me into /e nett of 7 i skourgynge, /at al my herte and loue be to pee, and drawe me euer to Pee and wip pee, as a nett drave? pe fysshe til it
comep to pe banke of ceep/pat neuer temntrcioun tribulacioun. ne prosocrite mulle me fro pee/And as a nett drawip fysshe to pe londel so swete ihesu bringe me to pi blis/Cacche me lora in to pe nett of pi mercy pat is holv chirchel ana kepe ne pat I neuer breke oute of pe bondis of charitelcecche me swete Ihesu in pe nett of pi comandementis pat neuer synne haue me oute of pe clore of pi vertues, Pater noster et cetera? Offte awete Thesu pi boai is lyke to a dufhows/fior as a duffhows is ful of hoolis/:so is pi bodi fulle of woundis/Anci ss o dowfe pursued of an fol. I3 ${ }^{r}$.) hawke/zif she may cacche an hole of hir house/she is siker Inowz/so swete ihesu in temntacioun pi woundis ben best refute to vs/ low swete Ihesu I biseke pee ir eche temotaciount graunte me grace of somme hole of pi woundis and likynge to a byde in mynde of pi passioun/Also swete Thesu pi bodi is lyke to

1. I. comep] come A
1.4. to] om. A.
1.5. me] lord add. A
1.7. pe] pi A
1.8. pi]om. A
1.9. cetera] ne A
1.10 to om. A
1.10. of] downe add.A
1.1. to vs] om. A.
1.16. a byde] habite, $T$.
1.3. be] om. A.
1.5. i...is] om. A
or..comaundementis] om.A 1.9. noster] Aue add. $\bar{B}$ Offtel 3 it $A$; Efte $\bar{B}$
as] om. B
2. ग. cacche] a reche to A
1.17. to ] om. A
comel to pe banike of deef, /at never temptacioun, tribulacioun, ne prosperite, pulle me fro /ee, and, as a nett drawi Iysshe to 7 e londe, so, swete Ihesu, bringe me to $/ \mathrm{i}$ blis! Cacche me, Lord, into le nett of mercy, pat is Holy Chirche, and kepe me 存t I neuer breke oute of ponais of charite: Cacche me, swete Ihesu, in 有e nett of $\mathrm{Fi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ comaundementis, pat neuer synne have me oute of te close of thertues. Pater noster, et cetera.

Offte, swete Ihesu, 7i bodi is lyke to a dufhows, 0 for as a duffhows is ful of hoolis so is $/ 1 /$ bodi fulle of woundis, end as a dowfe, pursued of an havike, zif she may cacche an hole of hir house she is siker inowh, so, swete Ihesu, in temptacioun /is woundis ben best refute to vs. Now, swete Ihesu, I biseke Fee, in eche temptacioun grounte me grace of somme hole of $/ \mathrm{F}$ woundis and likynge to abyde in mynde of Fi Passioun.

Also, swete Thesu, $7_{i}$ bodi is lyke to an
hony combelffor it is eche way fulle of cellis. and eche eelle fulle of hony/so pet it ny not be touched wipouten zelaine or swetnes? So swete Thesu pi. bodi is ful of cellis of deuocioun/pat it may not be touchec of a clene soule withouten swetnes of Iikinge? fol. $15^{\mathrm{V}}$.) llow swete Ihesu eraunte me erace./ to touche pee wip criynge merey for my symnes wip decire to gostely contemolacioun/wip amendyme of my IVI/ and continuyge in goodnes/in stodie to fulfille pin hefstis. and delitable to a bicle in mynde of pi passidn. Pater noster. Aue merie et cetera. More $3^{i t}$ swete Ihesu $\mathrm{pi}^{\text {b bodi }}$ is like a boke wheten al wip reed Inkel so is pi bodi al wreten with reed woundis/ liow swete Thesu graunte me to reae voon pi boike ano sumwhat to vodirstonde pe swetnes of pet writyng. end to haue likynge in studiouse abidyng of pat reayngel and Zeve me grace to conceyre sumwhat of pe pereles loue of Ihesu Cristland fol. $14^{r}$.) to lerne bi pat / ensaumple to loue god a zenward as I shulde/ And swete Ihesu praunte me pis studie

honycombe, ffor it is eche vay fulle of cellis and eche selle fulle of hony, so fat it may not be touched wifouten zelỏing of swetres. So, swete Ihesu, $/ \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ bodi is ful of cellis of devocioun, fot it may not be touched of a clene soule withouten swetnes of likinge. Now, swete Ihesu, Eraunte me grace to touche 价 wif criynge mency for my synnes, wif desire to gostely contemplacioun, wif amendyng of my lyf and continuynge in goodnes, in stodie to fulfille Pin heestis and delitable to abide in mynde of pi Passioun. Pater noster. Aue Maria, et cetera.

More $z_{i} \mathrm{t}$, swete Ihesu, $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ hodi is like a boke wreten al wî reed inke, so is podi al wreten with reed woundis. Now, swete Ihesu, graunte me to rede vpon $/ 1$ boke and sumwhat to vnairstonde /e is swetnes of pet writyng and to haue likynge in studiouse abidyng of fat redynge; and yeue me grace to conceyue sumwhat of pe pereles loue of Ihesu Crist and to lerne, bi pat ensaumple, to loue God azenward, as I shulde; and, swete Thesu, graunte me fos studie
in euerytide of pe da:/ and lete me voon pis woke stody at ny matynes aná houris and evensong and complyne/ and euer to be my meditecioun. my speche and my daliauncel Dater noster. et cetera ut suorn. And swete Ihesu pi bodi is like to a medewe ful of swete flouris anc holsum herbis/so is pi bodi ful of woundis swete sauerynge to a deuoute soule. and holsum mertis as herbis to eche synful man/llow swete Thesu I biseche pee greunte me swete sauour of mercy and pe holsum resseite of grace? Pater noster. Aue Maria. fol. $14^{\mathrm{V}}$.) et ceterah/ Swete thesu I zelde pe pan ing/ of alle pe paynes and schames pat pou suffrid for pi swete wille/for vsiwhen pou were clopece in purpure for vs/for to shame pee and corowned wip pormes for to pyne pi swete hedeland pei on vcnees knelyng skornynge pee. and cleped pee lord and maister/ and spitte in pi fece. and buffetide peeland as moche shame so pei cowde pei seide to pee/Here swete Thesu I biseke pee for alle

| I. euery] ech A; euche B | lete] graunte A |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.I. me] grace pat I may heue | add. A. |
| 2. stody..my] om. A | matynes] pryme add. A |
| 1.2. and. . and om. -orn. A | 1.3. and. . be] om. A |
| 1.4. et. supra] Aue maria B | cetera..supra] ne nos A |
| 1.4. And om. $A$; yit ad. B. | 1.5. Ihesul $3^{1} \mathrm{t}$ add. A |
| 1.5. medowe ] mede A. | 1.9. and] |
| 1.10. Aue] om. A Maria et | era] om. $A B$, |
| 1. 11. panking] pankingis A | 1. $12 . f o r]$ poru $A$. for N |
| 1.14. corowned) pin heed ad | pe add. B; |
| 1.15. hede] suffraunce and | cience $A$ and ]panne add. |
| 1.15. pei fellent add. A | knees] and add. A |
| 1.15. knelyng] om. A | skornyngel scornaden A |
| 1.16. cleped calliden A | 1.1\%. pei(2)] om. A |
| 4. pyne] peyne B; preuxe A. | 1.11. The 16 th. century hat adds on the Vensday. |

in euery tiue of pe dey, - and lete me von his boke stody at ray matynes and houris and euensong and complyne, and euer to be my meditacioun, my speche and my daliaunce. Pater noster, et cetere, ut supra.

And, swete Ihesu, $F_{i}$ bodi is like to a meone ful of swete flouris and holsum herbis. So is thi bodi ful of wroundis, swete sauerynge to a deuoute soule and holsum as herbis to eche synful han. Now, swete Ihesu, I biseche Tee, graunte me swete sauour of merey and be holsum resseite of grace. Pater noster. Aue Maria, et cetera.

Swete Ihesu, I zelde pe panking of alle pe paynes and sch mes fat hou suffrid for $/ \mathrm{i}$ swete wille for vs , when 7 ou were clofed in purpure for vs , for to shame pee, and crowned wib 7ornes, for to pyne 7 i swete hede, nd zei, on knees knelyng, skorny [d] Yee, and cleped Fee Lord and Maister and spitte in 7 fi face and buffetide Fee and as moche shame as Fei cowde Fei seide to Fee. Here, swete Ihesu, I biseke Ree, for alle
(I) The ending of the oresent participle, knelyhg, has, by a natural error, been repeated in the next word, which the syntax demands should be a finite verb.

Po schameful tumes forzeue vs alle Pe schme and payne fat we hauen deserued by oure synne/and Eraunte vs erace to worschine Pee in als many wise. and alsw
 And swete Ihesu graunte ve aree of swiche clopince and erray es most plesip Feeland neupr to desire oisgisyng ne pride of a tire/And swete Thesu graunte me grace to bere my hece lowe/ and neuer to shewe pride in semblaunt ne chere. Anci swete Ihesu is me kepe my .v. wittis to Fe worschipe of Feel and lete me neuer desire state ne degree ferber Fan pou hast ordeyried for me. Pater noster, et ceters ut supes. Swete Thesu I anke ee wi al myn hert for al Fat blood, Fat fou so plenteuously bledde indth corownyge bifore alle folk. when $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ swete face was al on fol. $15^{\mathrm{V}}$.)blode. and on eche side pou were forcried / and dispised to fat strong and foule dee? and demed so wrong fully Pertol Blessid and Fonked be Pou swete Ihesu. and loued of alle $\gamma_{i}$ creatures Here swete Ihesu I biseke Pee $^{\text {l }}$ wasshe my soule wily 保t blode. and anyloynte and depaynte my soule and my mynde with Pat precious

1. I. polpe A turnes] Fat we haue wroust sad. A. 1.I. pe〕pat A 1.9. lete] graunte A 1.10 lete 1.9. me grace add.A 1.10. never] to ad..A (graunte 1.12. et.. supra] Aue Maria B; Et ne nos A 1.10, me]grace 1.14. alle] Fat add. $B$ on om. A 1.17. swete] om, B
$p_{0}$ schameful turnes，foryeue vs alle $\mathcal{F e}_{\mathrm{c}}$ shame and payme fat we hauen deserued by oure symme and graunte vs erace to worschipe $7^{2 e}$ in als many vise and als hertely as $p$ e Iewis shamed Ree in $\gamma_{i}$ Passioun；and，swete Thesu， graunte vs grace of swiche clopinge ano array as most plesip 5 Pee and neuer to desire discisyng，ne pride of atire； and，swete Ihesu，graunte me grace to bere my hede lowe and neuer to shewe pricie in sembleunt ne chere； and，swete Thesu，lete ne kepe my $v$ wittis to Pe worschipe of Pee and lete me neuer desire state ne degree ferper Pan Pou hast ordeyned for me．Pater noster， et cetera，ut supra．

Swetc Incsu，I fanke pee wip al myn hert for al万at blood 在t fou so plenteuously bledde in 有 corownynge bifore alle folk，when $/ 7 i$ swete face was al on blode and on eche side Pou were forcried and dispised to Pat strong and foule deep anả demed so wrongfully Perto．Blessid and Fanked be Pou，swete Ihesu，and loued of alle $T_{i}$ creatures！Here，swete Thesu，I biseke 限e，wasshe my soule wip 应t blode and anoynte
and depaynte my soule and my mynde wif pat precious
blode. snc Eraunte me grace. for pi mechil mercy to Iugge myself wilfully and deme to saluecioun of my soule. Pater nostex. ut supre. Swete Ihesu I jelde to pee pankinggis and gracis for alle pe paynes and shameful turnes pat pou suffred when pou bere pine owne crois and fol. 16.) Iuggement vpw on pi naked bak. ffor / pei drowen and pulled bee so felly, pei putted pe and smote pe schamefully as it were a peef pat bare his owne galwis $\mathbb{C}$ A dere lord swete ihesu what pou were woo bigone when at pe bigynnyngat of herodis comaundement bi kirtil was taken fro pee pat cleued so Ifste to pi bodi wip blode of pi skourgynge when were so rased and rent. and bete so sore and so longe til al pi vtter blode was blede. and pi skynne vnnepe honged togider/ pan when pei drewe of pi clopinge pat cleuede to pee wip dried blode. and pou so tendir fol. $16^{\mathrm{V}}$.) and so zonge and fressh of age / pei toke no rewarde how sore it greued pee pat piteuouse stripynge when
1.3. ut supra] om. $A B$
to] om. A
1.4. and gracis] om. A
2.6. drowen] pee add. A
1.7. felly] pat greet rupe wes to se and perto swete ihesu 1.7. and] om. A smote] smyten A
1.7. pe(2)]so add.A. 1.9. what] pat $A$
1.9. bigynnyng] biddinge A 1.10. herodis] Eroud A
1.10. comaundement] on. A

1. 12. $\mathrm{so}(\mathrm{I})]$ om. A
114 1.13. vtter] vityr B
1.75. pee] pi skyn A
1.16. so] in A
1.16. peil pou A
frol from $A$
1.13. vtter] vntyr B
clopinge ] cloopA/;cloth *B
dried] drie $A$
of $\mathrm{om} . \mathrm{A}$

* pei drowen Added at bottom right-hand corner of pace
blode and eraunte me grace, for 7 i mechil meray, to iugge myself wilfully and deme to saluacioun of my soule. Poter noster, ut supra.

Svete Thesu, I zelde to pee pankingeis and grocis for alle e paynes and shameful turnes kat puffred, 5 when lou bare ine owne Crois and iuggement vpon $/ \mathrm{i}$ naket bak, ffor hei drowen and pulled ee so felly, /ei putted pe and smote 7 e schamefully, as it were a peef Tat bare his owne galwis.

A, dere Lord, swete Ihesu, what 7 (i) were woobigone, when, at 7e bi[dd] yng of Herodis comaundement $7 i$ kirtil was taken fro pee, pat cleued so faste to $7 i$ bodi wi/ blode of $/ 7$ skoureyinge, when 7 ou were so rased and rent and bete so sore and so longe; til al $/ i$ vtter blode was bledde and/i skynne vnne.e 15 honged togider! 7 an , when $/ \mathrm{ei}$ drewe of i clo/inge, hat cleuede to fee wif aried blode, - and fou so tendir and so zonge and fressh of age, - lei toke no rewarde how sore it greued pee, \}at piteuouse stripynge, when
(1) The reading of A has been adopted, as bigynnyng is meaningless, in the context.
many a pece of pi tendir skyn folowede. pan was it rewpe to se $p_{i}$ bodi al stremed on blode/A lord swete inesu. me pinkep I se pe reed blode renne doun bi $p_{i}$ chekis stremes aftir eche stroke of pi corovnyng and scourgynge bifore and bihynde/and on eche side/pe skynne of pin hede. pe pornes al to renten. for eche porne prickia to pe braynpanne/ Allas allas swete ihesu. how may a cristen soule! se his loro suffere. so myche pyne. pat neuer trespassede. pi eryntyng and pie eronyng pi sorwing and sizghinge. pe rewpe of pi chere. percel myn fol. $17^{r}$.) hert. pe corowne of alle blisse pat corowned pe blessed pe kyng of alle kyngesipe lord of alle lordes. is of helle houndis corowned wip pornes $\mathbb{C}$ pe worschipe of heuene is dispised and ajefouled. he pat schope sonne and alle creaturis. and al pine is of his jifte. he ne hade not where. he mizt hide his hede/ And he was ? so pore. pat he goep al nakede in sijt of alle folke.

```
1.I. it] om.A 1.2. on] of A
1.4. stremes] stremynge A 1.3. pe] pi A
1.5. and scourgynge] om.A 1.6. beJ,om.A for] om.A
1.7. prickid] sitti> A
1.8. pyne] peyne AB 1.9. pi(2)Jom. A
1.11. corowned] crownep A be(2)] al A
1.12. pe(I)]om.B Iordis] be emperour add.A
1.15. Is->of helle] tr.A; now ad..
1.1.5. houndis] hound A} 1.16; ne] om.
1.76. hadde] hap A, mizt] mai A
1.16. was] is A 1.1%. in] pe adc.A
```

many a pece of 7 i tendir skyn folowede. 7an was it rewle to se i bodi al stremed on blode.

A, Lord, swete Ihesu, me Firke I se reed blode renne doun bi i chekis, stremes aftir eche stroke of i corownyng and scoureynge bifore and bihynde and on eche side. Te skynne of in hede $e$ tornes al to-renten, for eche porne prickid to pe brayn-panne. Allas! allas! swete Ihesu, how may a Cristen soule se his Lord suffer so myche pyne, fat neuer trespassede? /ii gryntyng and i eronyng, i sorwing and sizghinge, /e rew/e of $/ \mathrm{i}$ chere, perce myn hert. Ze corowne of alle blisse pat corowned be blessed, Ke Kyng of alle kynges, pe Lord of alle lordis, is of helle-houndis corowned wif fornes. Te worschipe of heuene is dispised and defouled. He fat schope sonne and alle creaturis, and al lige is of His zifte, He ne hadde not where He mi弓t hide His hede and He was so pore fat He goef al nakede in sizt of alle folke.
$\mathbb{C}$ Here swete Ihesu I biseke pee jeue me race to bere wip bee. pe crois of penaunce for pi loue. anā for my synnes as pou dioe for my synnes and lete me bere $\left.\stackrel{\text { fol }}{17}_{17}\right)_{i}$
weri ne grucchinge for pat I suifre for pi loue/ and zeue me grace to do penaunce in pis lyf for my synnes/ and craunte me my purcatorie here and zeue me grace to suffre esely wordis of derpite for pi Ioue. Pater noster. et cetera. Swete Ihesu I zelde pee pankynges for al pe ancirland sorve pat pou suffriae when pou bere pe crosse toward pi ded. and me pinicep lord I se. how pei ledde pe forp naked as a worme. turmentours a boute pee and armed kny $z^{\text {tes/pe prese of pe peple }}$ was wondir mychel pei harried pee schamefulli, and spurned pee wip hir fete as pou hadest ben a dogee / fol. $18^{\text {r }}$.) A. A. pis is a rewful sizte, pin hede is ful of pornes pin heer ful of blood, pi fece is al wanne. pi lokyne is al mournynge pi chekis and hede al

```
1.3. for] om.A
1.4. dide] for me add.B
1.9. noster] Aue adc.B
1.10. pe]kat A
1.14. was] om.A
1.14. and] pei A 1.15. spurned] spummen A
1.15. had.dest ben] weene A 1.16. A(2)] om. AB
1.16. rewful] reuthful B 1.17. heer] is add.A
I.18. s.I(I)] om.AB
```

Here, swete Ihesu, I biseke Fee, zeue me grace to bere wit ee he crois of penaunce for 7 i loue and for my synnes, as jou dide for my synnes, and lete me bere it to my deep tyme as 7ou dide and lete me neuer be weri ne grucchinge for at I suffre for $/ \mathrm{i}$ loue; and zeve me grace to do penaunce, in is lyf, for my synnes and graunte me my purgatorie here; and zeue me grace to suffre esely wordis of despite for $1 / i$ loue. Pater noster, et cetera.

Swete Ihesu, I elde pee pankynges for al pe anger and sorwe fat pou suffride, when pou bare pe Crosse toward /is deep. And me pinke\}, Lord, I se how pei ledde pe fory, naked as a worme, turmentours aboute 7ee and amed knyztes. The prese of 7e peple was wondir myche. 7ei harried /ee schamefulli and spurned fee wif hir fete, as bou haddest ben a dogge. A: A: this is a rewful sizte! /in hede is ful of 7ornes, tin heer ful of blood, i face is al wanne, 7i lokvng is al mournynge, 7i chekis and hede al
bolned wip buffetis/pi visage is defouled wip spittyng/ pe Iewis hamen so beseen pee. pat pou ert liker a tuessel pan a clene man pe cros vas hewy and heiz. and so harde trussed on pi backe pat, was bere. pat pou art eroveed and schrynkes pere vidir./ A swete Thesu pou Eronydest ful harde. when it astt so sore to pi nakid nodi pet is so seke. so ful Irauzte of peynes) so febill. and so wery. what bi longe and grete iastrnge bifore, what wip waking al pe nyjt wip outen reste/what wip betyng/ fol. $18^{\mathrm{V}}$.) and/buffetynge. and shameful wordis and dedis bifore/ pi flessh pere pe cros sittes. is skynles and ouexrunne wip blodi rowes. pe payne of pi birpin/greuep pee so sore pat eche fote pat pou goest styngep to pi hert, pus fou goest swete Ihesu in to Ierusalem towara pi deep. All pe pepil comen and folwen and gawren von pee and wondrep/wip siche a processioun was neuer peef ledae to his deep, Here swete Thesu I pray pe to graunte me grace to folwe pee in mynde of

```
1.I. is] al A
1.3. was ] om. A
1.4. on] upon A
1.7. frauzte] Iright \(B\)
1.8. bi \(]\) for A
1.11. pi]pe A
1.12. pi] pat A
1.16. a) om. B
1.12. rowes] rewes \(B\)
```

1.4. crowsed ] cruyschic $A$; crushed $B$; to hede ad. A
spittyng ] spotil A
heiz] huge A
pat...bare] om:A
and] ora. $A$
1.9. pejom. A ny 3 t]biforen add.A
1.12. blodi] bloo CA blode B.
1.15. gawren] goulipA
1.18. to (I)] om. A

1. 13. styngep] stiketh $T$.
bolned wif buffetis, i/i visage is defouled wip spittyng. The Iewis hauen so beseen fee Fat Fou art liker a messel tan a clene man. e cros was heuy and hei\} and so harde tussed on $7 i$ backe pat was bare, fat pou art crowsed and schrynkes pere-vndir. A, swete Thesu, Fou gronydest 5 ful harde, when it satt so sore to $i$ nakid bodi at is so seke, so ful frau te of pernes, so febill and so wery, what bi longe and grete fastynge bifore, what wi) wakyng al e ny t wiłouten reste, what wi/ betyng and buffetynge and shameful wordis and dedis bifore. $7_{i}$ flessh, ere eros sittes, is skynles and ouerrunne wi) blodi rowes. Ze payme of /7i bir/in greue, Fee so sore fat eche fote at /ou goest stynge to /i hert. Jus 7 ou goest, swete Ihesu, into Ierusalem, toward $/ \mathrm{i}$ dee . All $/ \mathrm{e}$ pepil comen and folwen and gawren vpon fee and wondre?. Wi/ siche a processioun was never ledde to his deel. Here, swete Ihesu, I pray pe to graunte me grace to folwe fee, in mynde of
pi passioury and in surfryne suin what for pi loue. and in haung comonssioun of pee. ater noster et cetera. Swete Thesu what sorwe felle to pi/ fol. $19^{\text {r** }}$ ) herte when poukest pi eizen vp on pi dere noair pous sanh hir solwe pee a mong pat srete presaas a vonan oute oz Bir 3il. Hzue $x$ Inderlv sorwe pe whiche passed sile sor tos. Itry e wronge hir hondis wepyng and sizghynge/ Now she caste hir armes and spred hem on brode pe watir of hir eyzen dropped at hire fete. She felle dedeswoun ofte tymes for baynes and sorwe. Hir sorwe swete Thesu and hir dole greved pee gretly and many folde encreside alle pin oper peynes/And when she knewe pat hir sorwe Ereued pee so sore. pan was hire wele pe wers/And so sorwe of eiper for opere wexep fol. $19^{\mathrm{V}}$.) many fold / pe heize loue of zoure hertis every til opere pat was perles brennyng kyndely made 3 oure sorle eiper for opere vnlike to any oper sorwe or woo on erpel
1.2. et cetera] om.A I.4. dere] om. A
1. 4. modir] so deve add. A 1.5. pee] om. A
1.5. pat] pe A. I.6. mynde] om. A
1.4. vp on toward A 6-7. for. .sorves om. A
1.8. caste] castip A. and. . hem] om. $A B$
1.8. on brode] om. B; abroad A 1.9. felle] in add. $A B T$
1.10. tynes] sip is A 1.13. sorwe] sorovis A 1.11. and in $B$ 1.11. dole] dele B
1.71. greued pee] aggregide $A$; aggregged $B$
1.12. encreside] om. $A B$
1.13. pe] om. AB
1.15. euery ] eiper A
1.13. hire J sche $A$
1.17. eiper ] euery B $\quad$ til] to $A$
1.1.6. 3oure sorve] sorwe zoure, Iindkvist, following the 1.15 , order of words, but ignoring the MS. indication that they are to be transposed.

* At the too of this page is written in a sixteenth century had: Item for to yerdese of grauwchamlete foure s the yearde Item for.
/i Passioun and in suffryne sumwhat for loue and in hauing compassioun of ee. Pater noster, et cetera. Swete Ihesu, what sorwe felle to /i herte, when pou kest $/ \mathrm{i}$ eizen vpon/i dere Modir. Fou saw hir folwe /ee, among fat grete pres, as a womman oute of hir silf mynde for inderly sorwe, fe whiche passed alle sorwes. Now she wronge hir hondis, wepyng and si 3ghynge, now she caste tir armes and spred hem on brode. Te watir of Kir eyjen dropped at hire fete. She felle dedeswoun, ofte tymes, for paynes and sorwe. Hir sorwe, swete Thesu, and Kir dole greued Fee gretly and manyfolde encreside alle /in oper peynes, and when she knewe fat hir sorwe greued 7ee so sore, fan was hire wele $\} \mathrm{e}$ wers; and so sorwe of eifer for ofere wexe manyfold. Te heize loue of joure hertis euery til is o pere, bat was perles brennyng, kyndely made Zoure sorwe eifer for ofere vnlike to any ofer sorwe or woo on erfe.

As joure loue vas makele. so was zoure sorwe perles/ It stiked at 3 oure hertis as it werc deep/A ladi mercy how were pou so bolce a mong so many Jcene foos to folwe so nere. Fow was it pttargimes of wonmines kynue. or schamynge of maidenhecielne had wiparawen pee, ino in was not semely to pee. to Polve swiche a rowte/ tut pou had no reward to mannes dreae ne to nouzt ellys pat schulce pe lettelfor as oute of pi self pon vere/for sorwe of / fol. $20^{r}$.) pin oyme soneland so on him was al pi herte sette, pi loue was so cene sette in pi hert to pi sone/ pi sizg- " hynggis were so fer fette, pi coole and sorwe so ful pe birefte pi chere so arery for dealy woo. - pet it birefte pe rekkyng of bodili àrede and of worldi shame and of al manere lettynce. Now lodi pat peyne and nessioun schulde haue ben myne, ffor I hadde deserued it/and was cause
1.2. stiked ] stikip $A$
1.4. nere] nyz A
I. 8. pe-lette ] tr. A

1. B, folwe] him add.A
pat pe add. A.
1.8. oute of pi self-pou were] tr.A
11.9-10. and...sone] om.A 11.10-11. sizghynggis] sizhis A
 1.12. bireftG(2)bireckinge $A$ 1.13. boaili] wo or add.A 1.14. Iettynge] lettingis A 1.15. was]om. A
2. 1 bireftegt)]
1.12. 1 The i is inserted above the line. U.

As joure loue was makeles, so was Zoure sorwe perles: it striked et $\}$ oure hertis, as it were dee.

A, Lady, mercy! how were fou so bolde among so many kene foos to folwe so nere? How was it at arzhnes of wommanes kynde, or schamynge of maidenhede, ne had 5 wi,drawen ee? And it was not semely to be to folwe swiche a rowte; but ou had no reward to mannes drede, ne to nou"t ellys pat schulde le lette for as oute of 7 i self ou were, for sorwe of in owne sone, and so on Him was al $/$ i herte sette. i leue was so kene to sette in $/ i$ hert to $/ i$ Sone, $/ 7$ i siyghyngeis were so fer fette, $i$ doole and sorwe so fut in ebreste, $i$ chere so drery for dedly woo, at it birefte pe rekkyng of bodili drede and of worldi shame and of al manere lettynge. Now, Lady, /at payne and passioun schulde haue ben myne, ffor I hadde deserued it and was cause
(1) The reading of $A$ (brest) suggests that a scribe, influenced perhaps by the occurrence of birefte in 1.13, has mistaken an for an $f$. The fact that the i of birefte is inserted above the line in $U$ gives a clue to the origin of the error, as Lindkvist realises (cp. cit. p. 49): an i, abbreviated form of in, may have been written above the line in the exemplar, and inserted in the wrong place by the copyist. It should, probably, come before e (1.12)

But the scribe of $A$, who also seems to have been confused, in this passage (see reading in 11. 13-14), may have emended a corrupt reading in his exemplar in his own way, so that his text, no more than $U$, necessarily represents the original form of the passage.
per of perfor swete ladi as pe woundis ne nevnes weren mris ovme bi rizt gete me of pi eevey oone of hem allel pat it may mbide as a prikice at an hertel Gete me swete ladr a drone of pat rewpe $20^{\frac{1}{v}}$ pet pou haade to / folwe hin wip as pou dide' AI pat wo is nign bi rizt/sette me on myn ownerne be pou nouzt so wrongiul to wipholae alle pouz al pi wo be pe leef: pou rat ful larpt. pan nerte wip pe pore pat litel hap, and zeue me of pi sizching/ pat sizched so soreland let me sizche wip pee. sippe I bigan al pis woo. I aske der worpe ladi neiper castel. ne touris ne opere worldili wele. ne sume ne mone ne none of pe bodies of hevene. ne no pinge but woundis of rewpe payne and compassioun pof swete Thesu my lordis passioun. is al my deive। I heue ametite to payne. to biseke my lora a drone of his fol. $2 I^{r}$.) reae blood to make my soute blodi and a arope watir

| I.I. pe] po $A$; py B | woundis-peynes] Lr.A |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.2. bi] wip A | 1.6. owne] desyre add.B |
| 1.6. nej om. A | 1.7. to] so |
| 1.7. wrongful dauncerous A | 1.9. sizghinf sizmeis A |
| 1.10. sizehed ] si3hist A. | 1.10. lat] lete $A$; let B. |
| 1.11. pis] pat $A$ | 1.12. castel] castels A |
| 1.12. touris] townes A | worldli] worlais A; worldes B |
| 11.12-13. ne monel om. $B$ | ne $]$ noon adal. A |
| 1.14. revpe] of ade. A | and ] of add. A. |
| 1.16. to] and. I A. | 1.17. and or ellis A |
| 1.17. drope] of his add. A |  |

|erof. Perfore, swete Lady, as e woundis and peynes weren myn owne bi rist, gete me, of $7 / i$ mercy, oone of hem alle, lat it may abide as a prikke at myn herte. Gete me, swete Ladi, a drope of Fat rewle pat fou hadde to folwe Him wip, as bu dide: Al Fat 5 wo is myn bi rijt. Sette me on myn owne ne be fou nou $i t$ so wrongful to wi holde alle, fou al $/ \mathrm{i}$ wo be e leef: fou art ful large; Jan parte wi f pore at litel hal and zeue me of $/ \mathrm{i}$ si ghing, pat si Kged so sore, and lat me sijghe wil 7ee, size I began al is woo: I sske, derwor e Lady, nei er castel, ne touris, ne ofere worldil wele ne sunne, ne mone, ne none of $e$ bodies of heuene, ne no inge but woundis of rew/e, payne and compassioun of swete Ihesu, my Lorais Passioun is al my desire. I haue appetite to payne, to biseke my Lord a drope of His rede blood to make my soule blodi and a drope watir
to wasshe wip my soule, A modir of mercy and of compassyoun. socour of alle sorwe/and bote of al bale. Noāir of alle wrechis and doleful visite my soule. end sette in fiy hert. Fi sone wif his woundis. sende me a suarcle of compassioun in to myn hert/ pat is harde as stone anci a urope of pat passioun to souple it wif. Pater noster Aue. Et ne hos. Ded. Adoramus te christe Domine the u christe ili dei viui. $\neq$

Swete Ihesu I pank pee wip al nyn hert for al pe payne pat pou suffred when pe cros was caste doun on pe grounde. and pere pei leide pe flatte peron. and wip cordis deewe 7 i hondis and fete to / fol. $21^{\mathrm{V}}$.) pe hoolis/ana nailed stirst fet one hond. and streyned pat opere to pe toper hoole/and pan drowen al pi boai/swete Thesu doun til pi fete rauzte pe hoolis/ and pe nailes lora weren blunt. for pei schulde

```
I1.I-2. mercy...sile] om.A (The word mercy has been
1.3. dolet'ul] woiul A my] sike md.A
1.5.me] om.A 1.6. and om.A
1.7. Aue om.A
1.1i. pe] prt A. 1.72. pere] om.AB
1.14. first] fast A 1.15. pe] pat A
1.15. toper] oper AB
1.15. pan] swete Ihesu pei adc.A.
1.16. swete Thesul om.A I.16. til] to B
1.16. Pi feet-pe hooli目 [r.B rauzte] to add.A
```

to wasshe wif my soule. A, Modir of mercy and of compassyoun, Socour of alle sorwe and Bote of al bale, Modir of alle wrecchis and doleful, visite my soule and sette in my hert i Sone wi His woundis: Sende me a spaccle of compassioun into myn hert, at of is herde as stone, and a drope of /at Passioun to souple it wi.. Pater noster. Aue. Et ne nos. Sed. Adoramus te, Christe. Domine Thesu Christe, fili Dei viui.

Swete Ihesu, I fank fee wi\} al myn hert for al he payne fat fou suffred, when he Cros was caste doun on e grounde and ere ei leide elatte feron, and wi cordis drewe fi hondis and fete to e hoolis, and nailed first pat one hond and streyned Fat obere to $\beta$ e to er hoole, and fan drowen al/i bodi, swete Ihesu, doun, til /i fete rau夕te /e hoolis; and he nailes, Lord, weren blunt, for $7 e i$ schulde
tere fe skyn and presse be llessh/now swele Thesu me pinkef I se fi boui on pe roode al bloui anu strey-ned. pet pe ioyntes twynnen $/ 7 i$ woundis now oven/ Pi skymne of to drawen and straitly streyned recchip so brode/ fr heed corowned wip pornes/ fr bodi al ful of woundis/neiles in 7 i hondes and fete so tendir/and fi senewis alle to reuen pere is is most pernful felynge pere is no lenyng for Fi heed Fobi is fol. $22^{r}$.) streyned as a perchemyn / skynne volon a racke Pi face is 81 bolned. Pat first was so faire. Pl Ioyntes mone pou stondis and hangis on nailes/stremys of blood renne doun bi pe rode pe sizt of pi modir encresip $7 i$ peyne A. lord swete Ihesu pat woldest vmyzti bicome. to make me "V3ti in mendynge my syme/I speke lord of $p^{i}$ passioun and of heiz deuocioun. I fynde no swetnes but speke as a Iay. and noujt wote what I mene/I stodie in bessyoun anc Iynae no taste/for my

tere e skyn and presse e flessh. Now, swete Ihesu, me inke I se i bodi on e Roocie, al blodi and streyned, at ioyntes twynnen, i woundis now open, i skynne, al to-drawen and straitly streyned, recchi/ so brode, i heed corowned wi omes, i boai al ful 5 of woundis, nailes in i hondes and fete so tendir and i senewis alle to-reuen, ere is is most peynful felynge. Tere is no leryng for 7 i heed; $7 i$ bodi is streyned as a perchemyn-skynne vpon a racke; face is al bolned, at forst was so faire, i ioyntes vndone; to Tou stondis and hangis on nailes; stremys of blood renne doun bi $/ \mathrm{e}$ Rode; e si弓t of 7 i Modir encresi Ti peyne. A, Lord, swete Thesu, at woldest vnmy 3 ti bicome to make me my sti in menoynge my synne. I speke, Lord, of i Passioun and of heiz devocioun. I fynde no swetnes, but speke as a iay and nou; wote what I mene. I stodie in passyoun and fynce no taste, for my
symnes arn so meny and so dymue, pat fei houeri shette oute ceuocioun anc stonyep al pe sauour of swetnes/fro my soule And perfore I soeke bnd blundere forp as / fol. $22^{\text {V. .) a blynde man/and sneke wip outien wiscome and }}$ kunnynge of so deuoute matere But pou svete Thesu prot awickist pe deed. and turnyst to Eoodelyt fro deep of symne auicken me lora swete Thesu/ ond zeue me Erace to fele sum of pat swetnes of swele sauour and goostli ceuocioun/lene me pe lizt of grace to haue sum in sijt in soule/But lord I wote wele. pet who so desirep and sekip a rizt fous he fcle nouzt he hap fat he wot noủt me kx at pe loue of /i goahede And jif a man may no ferwour fymde: Pan late hym penke him silf febill and outcaste. and rebuke him silf and holde him silf vnworpi/ fol. $23^{r}$.) to have aeuocioun. or any siche specinlte of oure lord. and so he schal gete sunnest pe zifte of his

```
I.I. dymme] wickia A
1.2. and] han ada. A
1.2. stoppep] stoppio A; stopped B.
1.4. man] creature A and] or A
1.5. matere] Pater noster add.A 1.7 synne] so add.A
1.8. swetnes of om.A 1.9. lene] sende A
1.9.me] lora add.A l.ll. and eki\Downarrow] pee A
1.12. [e] Ty B 1.13. 7an...hym] om. AB
11.13-14. him...rebuke] om. B 11.15-16. andu-sil\\ om.A
I.16. lor司 god add.A
```

synnes arn so many and so dymme 俌t haven shette oute devocioun and stoppe al e sauour of swetnes fro my soule; and perfore I speke and blundere for? as a blynde man and speke wipouten wiscome and kunnynge of so deuoute matere. But / Fou, swete Ihesu, bet owickist $/ \mathrm{e}$ deed and turnyst to goode lyf Pro deef of symne, quicken me, Lord, swete Thesu, and zeue me grace to fele sum of kt swetnes of swete sauour and goostli beuociour, lene me he lizt of grace to have sum insijt in soule: But, Lord, I wote wele fat whoso desire and sekip arizt, fouz he fele nouzt, he hap bat he wot nou 3 t , be loue of $/ \mathrm{i}$ Godhede; and, if a man may no feruour fynde, an late hym erke himsilf febill and outcaste and rebuke himsilf and hold himsili vnwor $/ \mathrm{i}$ to haue devocioun, or any siche specialte, of oure Lord, and so he schal gete sunnest be zifte of his
grace. Pater noster. et cetera, Swete Ihesu when pe Iewis heuyd vo pros anc made it Palle sore in to pe hole pat was made perfore. and pan brosten Fi woundis and a.1 to scheked 7i bodi. Jat honged so sore lord swete Ihesu wo was pe pan when pe sore wounais of handes and of fete bere al pe pays of pi boain swete Thesu pan pi modir was woo I nowz pat sawe pis she sizghed and wrong hire hondis, she wepte teris I now 3 /and al pat lora was fol. $23^{\mathrm{V}}$.) eching of Fi wo/and / pat place was wlatsum with stynk of carions many/and pus were al pi .v. wittis occupiea wif payne to bote pe trespas of oure. v. wittes/ In 7 pi sizt pou were blynafelled/and for pou sawe zwas pri moder so wo/ and for pou sawe panne/ /ii foos pat were mos holden to be pi frendis as pe Iewis/In fi smellynge of stynke of cariouns pat were so many/for 7ou wes lord done to pe deep in pe foulest place of Ierusalem pere alle
1.I. et cetera] om.A
1.2. heuyd heuen $A$
1.3. hole holde B
1.5. $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ 万i A
1.6. pays peyns B;partet
1.10. of diuers add. A
1.12. 7 i om.A
1.10. many pat it lopide ony man to neize nyz $A$
1.13. Fanne] hem Aiphamt 11.12-13. and...wo om. B
1.12. and] om.A.
1.15. lord om. A
when] Panne A
it] to add.A
pan om. A
of (2) $\mathrm{om} \cdot \mathrm{A}$
1.9. was so add.A
1.11. payne peynes A
1.14. Of] wiF A
grace．Pater noster，et cetera．
Swete Ihesu，when le Iewis heurd vp Fe cros end mede it falle sore into 7 e hole ．ht was made perfore and fon brosten 1 woundis and al to－schaked $i$ bodi tat honged so sore，Lord，swete Ihesu，wo was pe pan， when e sore woundis of handis ano of fete bare al pe pays of $/ \mathrm{i}$ bodi．Swete Ihesu，汭／i Modir was woo inowz，尼的 sawe tis．She sizched and wrong hire hondis，she wepte teris inows；and al／at，Lord，was eching of 7 i wo．And 7at place was wlatsum with stynk of carions many，and tus were al 1 v wittis occupied wi）payne to bote e trespas of oure $v$ vittes：in ／is sizt ou were blynafelled for 7ou saw／i Moder so wo，and for ou sawe anne i foos fat were most holden to be $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ Irendis，as $\}$ e Iewis；in F i smellynge of stynke 15 of cariouns lat were so many，for fou was，Lord，done to $h$ dee in e foulest place of Ieruselem，ere alle
pe cariouns of pe towne vieren onte caste/and pat smel. swete Thesu was Iul greuous to 7 i nose $\mathbb{C}$ In i taste lord greued Tee pe calle astir pirste/for swete Ihesu fol. $24^{r^{2}}$.) moche plentee of / payne causep pirste and drynesse and pat is bitter in taste/and pe Iewis zaue Jer to galle to eche 7 i bittir taste/ In heryng swete ihesu pou was agreued wif fals acusinggis and scomes when pei saide heil kyng/and soitted in pi face wip herynge of foule cry/when fei sried to haue tee swete Ihesu on te roode and when tei cried he kow'e ore men saue. now lete him saue him silf jif he wille/ In felyng swete Ihesu pou was pyned in pi byndinge. harriyng. buffetyng blynfellyng scourcynge/
corownyng. In beringe of pe cros in/arawinge of fol. $24^{\mathrm{V}}$.) cordis on pe cros/ In Exacinne and nailynge / fete and handis to pe cros per hangea pou pore. swete Ihesu and woo bigone/so pat of alle pe goodis on erpe. Dou hade nouzt but a litil cloep to hille pi lendis/and

e ceriouns of e towne weren outeceste, and hat smel, swete Thesu, ves ful greuous to inose; in $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ taste, Lord, erelued ee efalle aftir ixste, - for? eweteThesu, moche plentee of payne cause irste and ârynesse and hot is bitter in teste, - and $7 e$ Iewis jrue perto galle to eche i bittir taste; ix heryng, swete Ihesu, fou was acreued wi? f'als acusingeis and scornes, when hei s ide: 'Heil, Krne! A snd spitted in fi face, wif herynge of foule cry, when Pei cried to haue ke, swete Ihesu, on he Roode anō when pei cried: 'He kyowle opere nen s:ue; now lete him seue himsile, yif he wille'; in felyng, swete thesu, fou was pyned in isyndinge, harriyng, buffetyng, blynfellyng, scourgynge, corownyng, in beringe of $/ \mathrm{F}$ Cros, in drawinge of cordis on $/ \mathrm{e}$ Cros and neilynge fete and handis to fe cros. Per hanged pou pore, swete Ihesu, and woo-bicone, so fat of alle \}e goodis on erfe fou hadde noujt but a litil cloep to hille //i lendis, and

3it pou art kyng of slle kynges and lora of alle lorais/ and heuene and erpe. and helle is pine/And jit lord Pou woldist be so pore pat tyme. Tat fou hade none erte to dye vpon. but on pe crosse in pe eyre/ And perfore swete Thesu on pe was saide/ffoxis hauen dennes and briudis hauen nestis. but pou at pi deep tyme. had nougt to reste 7 i- heed vpon/A swete Ihesu pat was fol. $25^{\mathrm{r}}$.) a rewful worde / when pou saide/alle je pat passe bi pe wei3. a bide and biholde jif per be any sorwe like to my sorwer pat I suffre for man/ And swete Ihesu fit pou praide to pi fadir. forjeue hem pe Eilt of pi dee/. So moche lord is fi mercy. And swete Ihesu nouzt wipstonding al prigrete payne. jit pou take heede to pe peef vpon 14 rijt honde when he askid mercy. And swete Ihesu noust wif stondinge al 7i grete peyne. pou eraunted to him better pan he asked/low swete Thesu seppen pou art in blisse. and nouzt in payne. be not to daudgerous
1.I. alle-alle] om. A
1.5. on] of $A$ add.
1.6. tyme] ne ad
1.9. be] is A
1.11. fadin to add. A.
1.13. heede] om. A
1.12. lora] om. A
1.15. to] om. A
1.16. seppen] now A
1.3. 7ou(2)] ne add. A
1.7. had] hast $A$
1.8. rewful] reuthful B
1.10. swete Thesu-it] tr.A
1.13. take] tendist A;toke B

I1.14-15. swete. . wipstondinge]
1.15. a1. poul om. A
(om. A.
1.16. now] panne A
1.17. 7ou] now $A B$
jit fou art Kyme of alle kneng and Lord of plle loräis and heuene and erfe and helle is Fine; and $3 i t$, Lord, fou woldist be so pore fat tyme pat fou hadde none erpe to dye vpon, but on Fe crosse in pe erre; and Ferfore, swete Ihesu, on pe was saice: 'Ffoxis hauen dernes anà briāis hauen nestis, but /ou at /is deel-tyme heo nouzt to reste i heed vpon.'

A, swete Ihesu, bot was a rewful worde when 7 ou saide: 'Alle ze tat parse hi he vei弓, abide and biholde git ler be any sorve like to my sorve Zat I suffre for man!' And, svete Ihesu, jit /oun nraide to /i Fadir forjeue hem pe gilt of /Fi deep: so moche, Lord, is / i mercy. And, swete Thesu, nouztwipstonding al $/ \mathrm{i}$ grete payne $3 i t$ pou $t[0]$ ke heede to pe peef unon pi rijt honde when he askia mercy and, swete Thesu, noustwi\} stonãinge al /is erete payne, fou graunted to him better fan he asked. Now, swete Ihesu, seff en pou art in blisse and nouzt in parne, be not pou to daungerous,
fol. $25^{\mathrm{V}}$.) ne to straunce of $\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathrm{i}}$ merey for selcome is " man more Eracious in woo. penne in blisse/ A lord woo was pee sor pi modir when fou pi leue tolce of hir molce aeve/ and bitoke hir to seint Johgn to comforte and to kene/ Here swate Thesu I biseke pee pat am ful of symies. now lord in blisse haue merey on me. nud preunte me fork ce phen it is fri wille. bo be wip pee in narsdys. Poter noster. Aue maria et cebera. Swebe leai meiden mouir. woo whs pe bigone when crist had trken his leeve st pee and bi took pe to Johgn pat sorve nyzt hnue be piedecp/And at pat leuetalcyme. pe bervis of /
fol. $26^{\mathrm{r}}$.) Fin eyzen renne doun fest/si弓ahingees anu sorves satte ful neize pri hert pou fel a doun in swounce pi heed hangea doun 7in srmes fellen pee bi/pi colour waxted al wan/ Tr face waxed al palef pe swerde of sorwe of 7 i sones deet. smote poruz fin hert/ proi chauncynge Ir di when pou hadaist

1. I. to $]$ om. A
1.3. Di leue-toke] tr.A
1.4. comforte-kepe] tr.A 1.e. cotera] ne A
1.10. and at] in A 1.12. dounl ful add. A
1.12. somes sorewingis A 1.13. \&-doun] doun A.
1.13. in] on $B$ 7.14. pee] doun $A$
1.14. bi] [Ti sidis add.A 1.15. of sorwe] om. A.
ne to straunge of fi mercy, for seldame is a man more gracious in woo penne in blisse.

A, Lord, woo was fee for /i Moair, when Fou /is
leue toke of hir and wolce deye and bitoke hir to Seint
Iohan to conforte and to keepe! Ficre, swete Ihesu, I biseke fee Fet am ful of synnes, now, Lord in blisse, have mercy on me and graunte me grace, when it is $7_{i}$ wille, to be wił Tee in Paradys. P ter noster. Aue Moria, et cetera.

Swete Lady, Maiden and lodir, woo was fe bigone, when Crist hac taken His leeue at pee end bitook to Johan! /at sorvie my ft have be 7 i dee and, et 万at leue-takynge, be terys of pin eyzen ranne doun fast. Sizghingees and sorwes satte ful neize hishert. Pou fel adoun in swonne, pi heed hanged doun, fin armes $^{\text {a }}$ fellen. 7 ee bi, 7i colour waxed al wan, 7 i face waxed al pale, pe swerce of sorwe of $/ 1$ sones deef smote foruy Fin hert. Fat chaungynge, Ladi, when Fou haddist

Iohar Ior criet．Wos full doleful．and as a Prowe of deep to $F_{i}$ hert＇A．swete ladi whi heu I noust pan haue ben by Pee and herae Prot Pou herue．ano seen put si乡t wip Fee／And of Pat myche sorwe have taken my part／乡if I mi乡t haue ir case slaked $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}$ woo．ffor men saien／ fol． $26^{\mathrm{V}}$ ．）It is solace to haue companye in／paynes， Now swete Ihesu seppen I nyst noust be pere at dir deep． as graunte me groce to haue Vat dee $P$ continuely in mynde．in dewocoun and in daliaunce anc graunte me mynde of my dee ${ }^{\beta}$ ofte and to p mende my lys and to heue sorwe in hert for my mysdedis＇Pater noster ut supra．Swete Ihesu Pan cried Pou doolefully on Pe rode and swetely saide．Fou were a Pirste．and Fat was no wondirl for payne is Pirstelew／pend lord souen pee galle．and eisell，swete Ihesu pat was no kelyng of pirst but eching／A swete Ihesu pei zouen pe poisoun

1．I．and om．A 11．2－3．Pan heug or．A． 1．5．have－in case］tr．A 1．6．aynes peyme A 1．8．as so $A$ ；om．B I． 10 ．my（I）Tfi A 1．11．ut suora］om．A；Aue miria，et cetera B 1．13．swetely］om．A 1．15．gelle－eisell］tr．A 1．15．no］Pristis ad．A 11．15－16 of Pirst］om．A

Iohan for Crist, was full doleful and as a frowe of deef to pi hert. A, swete Iz di, whi had I noust fan haue ben by pee and herde pat pou herde and seen pat sijt wif tee and of fat myche sorwe haue taken my part, 3 if I viryt heve in case laked / in woo? - Tfor men saien: 'It is solace to haue compenye in paynes.' Now, swete Thesu, sephen I gist noust be Fere at $1 / \mathrm{i}$ dee\}, [so ${ }^{(1)}$ graunte ne erace to have ht dee? continualy in mynde, in devocoun and/daliaunce, and graunte me mynde of my dee? ofte, end to amende my Iyf and to haue sorwe in hert for my mysdedis. Pater noster, ut surra.

Swete Ihesu, 7En cried, ou doolefully on Fe Rode and swetely saide Pou wexe a irste; and fat was no wondir, for payne is Firstelew and Fei, Lord, zouen Fee galle and eisell. Swete Ihesu, 7at was no kelyng of pirst, but eching: A, swete Ihesu, pei zouen pe poisoun
(1) It would be easy for a scribe to mistake so for os, which, in its turn, was probably changed to the more frequently occurring as.
to kele Fir Firstie and You \}ave hem Yi hert blode to fol. $27^{r}$.) nuenche hir synnes / and to kele hir soulis, But swete ihesu Fis pirst vas meny fole in bouy for raymeg And in sowle pou Firstede a mendement of Peire synues Fat diden Pee to Pe deefland fou Krsied daliaunce of soules in helle fir here In lyve hodden kepte pis lawest Fere swetf Thesu I biseke Pee rrunte me groce for $\bar{F}$ sake to suffre Firste and hungir for $p_{i}$ Iove and wi\%stonde Iustis anc temotaciouns of eche fondynge of flessh of pe worlde or of pe feend/and zeue me grace in suffraunce to folwe pe shadewe of $/ \gamma_{i}$ cros and to $P_{i r s t e} \gamma_{i}$ seruice and loue $\gamma_{i}$ presence to myn hert in desirymg and \}emynge of $/$ is chariten fol. $27^{\text {V. }}$.) Pater noster. Aue. et ceterg. Swete Thesu I Pank Fee wip al rry soule for Pat doleful worce Prat pou saide to Fi fadir on heis a fore 7i deef. Dere cod whi hast pou Porsaken me

to kele $/ \mathrm{Fi}$ firste and Fou jaue hem fir hert blode to quenche hir symnes and to kele hir soulis. But, swete Ihesu, Pis first vas manyford in body for payne, and in sowle pou firstede amenaement of /eire symnes hat diden hee to he cied, and /ou Firsted áaliaunce of soules in helle, pat here in lyve hadden kepte $7_{i}$ lawes. Here, swete Ihesu, I biseke 7 ee , graunte me grace for $h_{i}$ sake to suffre irste and hungir for i loue and wipstonde lustis and temptaciouns of eche fondynge of flessh\% of te worlde, or of te feend, and zeue me grace in suffraunce to folwe he shadewe of $/ 7 i$ Cros and to Wrste $/ \mathrm{F}$ seruice and loue /i presence to myn hert, in desiryne and zemynce of $\gamma_{i}$ charite.
Pater noster. Aue, et cetera.
Swete Ihesu, I pank hee wip al my soule for pat doleful worde hat fou seide to /i Fadir on heiz afore fi deep: 'Dere God, whi hast /7ou forsaken me,

Pat pou no pinge sneres me？swete Thesu pi menhecie was for vs alle forsaken＇so foule deep and so beynful suffred neuer man Pere is no bodily payme lyke Finel $/ 1$ mnnhed was tendir $/ 7 /$ aignite vas excellent pe focir sony of heuenc．bitwixe two peres． and amydue al Fe worls for alle men schulde it wite．and on pe heiz haliday when alle men conen to pe citee， And so it was no prene shame，Fou hange al noked／ fol． $28^{r}$ ．）（7i skynne nl to rent iche lippe from oferet wip cordis drawen corowned wip pornes／woundis wide many and greslyt pe sorwe of pi modir was pe more payne．Pen al Yi opere woo pe losse of mannes soule fau pyned pe sore Swete Thesu $\mathcal{P i}_{i}$ myche mercy $\mathcal{F i}_{i}$ endeles loue and rewthe may no man bi Fenke．se险en You suffred so sore for 7aim．万ot Fere were $7_{i}$ foos．Pater noster ut supra． Swete Ihesu I wille in my poukt lay me rlat on Fe erpe．and neiper \}if I my乡t for I am cause and gilty of alle fi paynful deep．I wia take pe roode fote

pat ou no inge spares me? ${ }^{\text { }}$ Swete Ihesu, i Manhede wes for vs alle forsaken, so foule dee? and so peynful suffred never man. fere is no bodily payme Iyke ine: i Manhece was tenair, yi dignite was excellent, e Fadir Som of heuene, bitwixe two Hefes 5 and amydde al e world, for alle men schulde it wite, and on pe heiz haliday, when alle men comen to fe citee; and so it was no preue shame. pou hange al naked 7 skynne al to-rent, iche lippe from opere wił cordis drawen, corowned wi) fornes, woundis wide, many and gresly. Pe sorwe of 7 Modir was te more payne fan al Pi opere woo; Ze losse of mannes soule fat pyned 7 e sore. Swete Ihesu, myche mercy, is endeles loue and rewthe may no man bi/penke, seffen /ou surfred so sore for paim Fat pere were 7i foos. Pater noster, ut supra. 15

Swete Ihesu, I wille in my Fouzt, lay me flat on Pe erpe, and nei/7er jif I my;t, ffor I am cause and gilty of alle paynful dee. I wil take $\bar{f}$. Roode-fote
in vyn ames flatte on Pe rrounie fol. $28^{\mathrm{V}}$.) as Fou lay swete Thesu amone Fo stynkynce bones $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{t}}$ lay Pere wlatsumly no Pinge schal it greue me. but it shal be loue and lykyng to me so moche pat I wille not vpward caste myn eyzen to pat Elorious sizt or fir woundis ffor I pot am cause of hem alle and vinworpi to loke hem von this wille I ligqe to kepe of 7i blod Swete Ihesu Pennes wil I not flitte. til I be with $\gamma_{i}$ precious blode bicomen al rede/til I be made wip Fi blode as one ol Fin owne and my soule softe in pat swete bape. And so may falle swete Ihesu pat my hert may open fat fol. $29^{r}$.) now is harde as / stone and bicomen nesshe fat deed was bi synne. and owicke toward Pee bi vertue Swete Ihesu $/ T_{i}$ recious passioun reised dede men oute of hir gravist It openep heuene. It braste helle zatis. Fe erpe tremblec per wip, pe sume lost nim: li乡t rue wo sori herte pat is of Pe ferais lynde is harder Pan any stones'for Pei cleuede in 7 i pessioun/ana my hert

in myn armes, flatte on pe rounde, as Fou lay, swete Ihesu, among 有 stynkynge bones fat lay Fere wlatsumly. No finge schal it greve me, but it shal be loue and lykyng to me so moche fat I wille not vpward caste myn eyzen to Fat glorious sizt of 7 i woundis, ffor $I$, jet am cause of hem alle and vnworfi to loke hem vpon, fus wille I lige to keve of 7 i blod. Swete Ihesu, Fennes wil I not flitte, til I be with $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ orecious blode bicomen al rede, til I be made wîpi blode as oone of 1/in owme and my soule softe in Fat swete bape; and so may falle, swete Ihesu sarisa, Vat my hert may open, Fat now is herde as stone, and bicomen nesshe, fat deed was bi synne, and awicke toward fee bi vertue. Swete Ihesu, $7_{i}$ precious Passioun reised dede men oute of hir gravis. It opene? heuene. It bragte helle-jatis. pe 15 erfe trembled ferwip, \}e sunne lost his li乡t and my fori herte, fat is of Ye fendis kynde, is harder /an any stones, for 7 pi cleuede in $7 / \mathrm{passioun}$ and my hert
may not fe le of $\gamma_{i}$ passioun a litel poynt．ne rise wif hedede in revelt her of low is 乍e malice of my hert fat is so wickian．more 7an is Frisasioun Fat is $p_{i}$ precious dee 7，Pat vrouzte siche wondris and fol． $29^{\mathrm{V}}$ ．）many folde more and $7 \mathrm{le} /$ mynde Flx of sterip nou＇t my hert／but swete lord Thesu a drone of blood dropping voon ay soule in mynde of fi passioun！may souple and softe my soule in fie grace？fat is so hard I wote wele swete Ihesu Pat my hert is not worlyi fat
Pou schuldist come 7er to and pere In a lijt／I aske it not of pe dignitee of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ sepulce but swete Ihesu fou listhed in to helle to visite fere and ri乡ten， And in pat menere I aske $\beta_{i}$ comyng in to my soule Swete Ihesu I knowe wele also．Fat I was neuer worpi to be $\beta_{i}$ moders felaw to stonde at pi passioun wif hir fol． $30^{\mathrm{r}}$ ．）and wip Johan／But swete Ihesu．乡if I may not be Fere in Pat menere for my greet vnworpinesfI holde

may not fele of $7 / i$ Passioun a litel poynt, ne rise wif Te dede in rewet erof. Now is te malice of my hert, 在t is so wickid, move tan is hi Passioun, bat is precious dee? tat wrouzte siche wondris and manyfolde more, and "e mynde herof sterit nouzt my hert; but svete Lord Ihesu, a drope of t/i hlood, droppine voon my soule in mynde of $/ 7 / i$ Passioun, may - souple and softe my soule in 7 i grace 7 at is so herd. I wote vele, swete Ihesu, /at my hert is not worli Tat Zou schuldist come Yerto and Yerein ali乡t. I aske 10 it not of he dignitee of $\sqrt{1}$ sepulcre, but, swete Ihesu, Zou lij thed into helle to visite Fere and rijten and, in bat manere, I wike 7 i comyng into my soule. Swete Ihesu, I knowe wele also fat I was neuer worfi to be '7i Moders felaw, to stonde at $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$ Passioun wif w
Hir and with Johan, but, swete Ihesu, $\}$ if I may not be fere in at manere, for my greet vnworpines, I holde
me worfi for my grete trespes to honce biside pe as one of pe peefis And also swetc Ihesu jif I miny not be pere in Pat manere for my crete vnvorbines, I holde me worfi for my grete trespas to haue nart of 'Fi deof And so How, I be not work her bi to be li, thed in hert.me nedif lora. ana wickidnes aske? to be risthtide/Comme 7an swete Thesu at $7_{i}$ wil. and li乡te in to my soule. as fou best knowist/ 0 spercle of loue. O good Thesu fol. $30^{\mathrm{V}}$.) late Fe rewhe of 隹 passioun kyndel in myn hert awikken me Yere wip fat I be bremmang in loue ouer allen Finge and bape me in 7i blooa so fat I forzete al worldy wele ená Illesshly lykynge. Pon I may blesse fe tyme pat I fele me steria to pee of like me but / 1 deep. Pater noster et cetera. Swete Thesu Pan pou saide. ffadir in to Pin handis I betake my soule Here swete Ihesu I biseke pee. haue anc holde in $p_{i}$ handis my soule. Fat I neuer desire with hole purpos full any fing but 7 pee or for 7 ee. and lete neuer wele ne woon turne my soule oute of

me worpi, for my crete trespas,
to honge bisice pe as one of pe peefis. And also, swete Ihesu, yif I may not be fere in pat manere for my grete vnworpines, I holde me worpi, for my grete trespas, to haue part of 㢈 deê, and so, Fow I be not worbi Perbi to be liythed in hert, me nedip, Lora, - and wickidnes askep, - to be rǐthtide. Comme pan, swete Ihesu, at $\mathrm{Fi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ wil, and li弓te into my soule, as pou best knowist! 0 , sparcle of loue: 0, good Thesu, late pe rewpe of $\mathrm{F}_{i}$ Passioun kyndel in myn hert and owikken me perewip, pat I be brennyng in loue ouer alle Pinge and bape me in $/ \mathrm{Fi}$ blood, so /at I forsete al worldyy wele and flesshly lykinge: Pan I may blesse pe tyme fat I fele me steria to pee of pi grace, so pat non oper wele like me but $\gamma_{i}$ dee $P_{\text {. Pater noster, et cetera. }}$

Swete Inesu, fan fou saide: 'Ffadir, into $/$ in handis I betake my soule! ' Here, swete Ihesu, I biseke pee, haue and holde in $p_{i}$ handis my soule, Pat I neuer desire with hole purpos fully ony Fing but Fee, or for Pee, and lete neuer wele ne woo turne my soule oute of

位 gouernaunce and att my laste ende swete Thesu fol． $31^{\text {r }}$ ．）resserve my soule in to Fin handis fort no fenae lette me fro／A blisse P Pater nestor．Aue．yt ne Adoranus te Demine incsu cariste rili swete thegu foin Pou saide Iest．AI is encia pen fel $p_{i}$ hecie coun． passia fro Pee．We er Pe tremblidel Pe sugue loste his lijt di eà men resen oute of hir graues $P_{e}$ termle cleef／stones a．to reven／$/ \%_{0}$ weren witne：or $p_{i}$ gocheaie／Sweie Ihesu fan Pe scherpe spere percei Pi sioul and blood pale vatir ranne oute A swetc Thesu Pan were ．v．grete floais of blood in hanais and fete and in sidef fic chynne hanced vpon $p_{i}$ breste，作 whizte of $p_{i n}$ eyjen is cast voward $p_{i}$ lippes schrynken $p_{i}$ white tee ${ }^{2}$ schemen $/ p_{i}$ loueli face is bicomen al pale．Fi heer clodrea al wip blode Pe remae of pis astore I wolde were 的 ned／$A$ swete Ihegu $p_{\text {an whe }} \eta_{i}$ modir ful wool now she loked vpon $\gamma_{i}$ hede and vpon $\psi_{\text {e corme now on }} \psi_{i}$ fuce now on $p_{i}$
 77．4－5．7ou－saide］tr． 4 7．5．nal or，$A$ 7．5．mitit coos F ？cleer］to cicos $A$ 1．8．reuen］bursten A 1．8．witnes witnessis A 1．10．were］pere（inserted in margin）$A$ 1．11．and（I）］om．A fete］foot A
1．11．hanged hangep $A B$ 1．12．vpon］on $A$ 1．17．vpon］on A

Ti gouernaunce; and at my laste ende, swete Ihesu, resseyue my soule into Fin handis, /at no fende lette me fro fis blisse. Pater noster. Aue. Et ne. Adoramus te, Domine Ihesu Christe, Eili.

Swete Inesu, han fou saide last: 'AI is endid.' an fel i hede doun and i spirit passid fro 7ee. Te er e tremblide, e sunne lost his list, deed men resen oute of hir graues, 7 e temple cleef, stones al to-reuen; 700 weren witnes of $1 /$ Godhede. Swete Ihesu, fan /7e scharpe spere perced //i side and blood and watir ic ranne oute. A, swete Ihesu, fan were $v$ grete flodis of blood, in handis end fete and in side, $7 i$ chynne hanged vpon /i breste, e whizte of fin eyzen is cast vpward, 7 i lippes schrynken, $f_{i}$ white tee 7 schewen, $7_{i}$ loueli face is bicomen al pale, $7 i$ heer clodred al wif blode. Pe mynde of /is matere I wolde were my deef. A, swete Ihesu, Jan was /i Modir ful woo! Now she loked vpon

handis wiy nailes now vpon pi wide wounde on pi side row voon $\prod_{i}$ fete nailed on pe rode/now vpon al fi bodi scourgea'anc at euery tyme sche fonde a newe sorwe. she weote, she wong hir handis/she sizghide she sobbide, she fallif doun Iohar on pat oper hali'e is Iulle fol. $32^{2}$.) of / sorwe Fei minge hir handis. and maken moche doole when fei loken vpwara, the si乡t of pe crucifixe so stikkep in hir hertis as it were \%e ded/ INow swet ladi $f$ Ti mercy sepen I am cause of al pat woo and payne. graunte me of $\bar{p}_{i}$ grete grece a poynte of $p_{i}$ payne a si乡t of pi sorwe to sizghe and to sorwe wip pee. pat I may sumwhat fele part of Fat sorwe /at al hauc made. Graunte me swete ladi to haue and to holde /is passioun in mynde as hertly, as stuaiously in al my life as pou Iadi and Iohan hadden in wide when fe pepil was Eone and Ke abiden stille bi Fe roode fote. Amen.

1. I. wip]fe ad.d. A
1.I. wiae om. $A$
1.2. voon on $A$ 1.3. tyme 7 place $A$ 11.6-7. Fei. . vpwerd om. A 1.9. sepen 7at add. A
1.11. to (2) om. A
2. 12. heue] hath $B$
1.15. hadden $]$ it add. A
. A.16. stilleT om a.d. A
1.16. Amen] Pater noster. Et ne nos in. Adoramus te Christe. Quia per sanctam crucem Domine ihesu christe A; Explicat B.
handis wi/ nailes, now vpon tiv wide wounde on $]$ is side, now von i fete, nailed on e Rode, now vpon al i bodi scourged, and at euery tyme she fonde a newe sorwe. She wepte, she wrong hir handis, she si3ghide, she sobbide, she falli, doun. Iohan, on fat oper halfe, is fulle of 5 sorwe. Fei wringe hir handis and maken moche doole. . When tei loken vpward, fe si\}t of te Crucifixe so stikke? in his hertis, es it were te del. Now, swete Ladi, for Ti mercy, selen I am cause of al fat woo and payne, graunte me of $/ 1$ grete grace a poynte of 7 payne, a si t of $7 i$ sorwe, to sijghe and to sorwe wi\% ee, Fat I may sumwhat fele part of at sorwe fat al haue made. Graunte me, swete Ladi, to haue and to holde /is Passioun in mynde as hertly, as studiously, in al my lif, as Tou, Ladi, and Johan, hadden in mynde, when 7 e pepil was gone 15 and \}e abiden stille bi //e Roode-fote. Amen.
(1) The text of $U$, as it stands, is somewhat eryptic. A definite article may easily have been lost and its insertion certainly gives a
```
IVOTES.
* * * * *
```

Page. Line.
I. I.

The very beginning of this text corresponds to E. See Appendix A, $p$. (i) Note the kind of expansion which occurs, e.g:-

Tde la duce e seinte oresone';
'of $\bar{p}$ at swete prayere and of pat holy orysoun'. This meditetion is parallelled in U, 0.35 , 1. 7, D.36, 1.9. The two English versions are very similar at this point. U, however, omits the phrase, 'and Kelde Pe graces', שxtew and the petition is much exnanded in this second version.

The phrase, 'I thenice pe and jelde pe graces', translating 'Graces vous rend', or its Latin eauivalent, corresponds to a familiar formaula in mediaeval Latin works. Examples of prayers in which the words 'Gratias tibi ago' are Ireouently used to introduce new themes are: 'Liber Meditationum Sancti Augustini'in Migne, P.I. XI, col. 911 (cap. XIV); John of Gualbert, 'Preces, Ex Manuale', in Migne, P.I. CXLVI, col.971; Meditation XVIII of the'Iiber Meditationum et Orationum Sancti Anselmi' in Migne, P.I. CLVIII, coll. 798-804.

1. 5-6.

Adoramus te. .
cf. p.36, 11.8-9 and Appendix A, p. (i), 11.4-5. This Latin prayer occurs in the York Hours of the Cross, printed by T.F.Simmons (Lay Folks' Mass-Book, EETS. 71, London 1879, pp.82-87). The order for matins gives the prayer, 'Adoramus te...' following the antiphon. The first line ('Adoramus te, Christe, et benedicimus tibi') forms the Versus, to which the response is: 'Gui[a]per sanctam crucem tuam redemisti mundum.

Page. Line.

| 1. | 8. | Ceraenesse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11 the earliest oculrences of this word |
|  |  | are in Northern texts. See below, note to |
| 1. | 9-10. | This detail is absent from ABU, bu |
|  |  | appears in E, Aovendix A, p. (i). i. 8. |
|  | 10-11. | See Luke. xxii. 43 for the ultimate source. This fact is given in Luke xxii. $\triangle 4$, but |
|  |  | in none of the other gospel accounts. 1.10, cam seems to be a new formatio |
|  |  | unless the vowel is the work of scribal carelessness. |
| 1. | 13. | fondynces. |
|  |  | According to NEFI., 'ending, fondine seems to have been used most frenuentivy in the <br>  |
| 1. |  |  |
|  | 15. | The semi-colon, in the punctuated text, may be omitted and 'send' be considered as |
|  |  | dependent upon 'preve' (1.11). |
| 1. | 13-14. | This line has no equivalent in A |
|  |  | (ce.p.37), but in E, Appendix A. D . (i) 11.9-10 |
|  |  | occur the words: <br> enuoiez laungre de confort en |
|  |  | anguisses.' |
| 2. | 7-11. |  |
|  |  | cf. Appendix A, p. (i), 11.16-18 and U, p34, 11. 10-14. |
| 2. | 10. | ynbypde vs of bondys of alle owre symys. |
|  |  | A very common image. |
|  |  | cf. St. Anselm of Canterbury, 'Orataxio <br> XXXVIT1, in liene, P. Io CIVTTT cal930. |
|  |  | 'Ligatus es propter me, solve ígamin |
|  |  | peccatorum meorum, ${ }^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{+}$Liber Meditationum |
|  |  | Sencti Augustini ${ }^{\text {Niber }}$ in Migne, P.I. , XL, 905: |
|  |  | libera me a vinculis peccatorum. <br> Ulimann would compare poerallor, 1.190 |
|  |  | 'Ure bendes he unband and hohte us mid his |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | and OE.MIsc., 'A moral Ode', p. 64, 1.187:'Vre alre louerd for vs 7relles ipyned was |
|  |  | rode. Vre bendes he vnibond and boubte vs |
|  |  | myd his blode.' |
| 2. |  | This passage expands greatly the correspond- |
|  |  | ing lines in the $E$ text, Appendix $\frac{1}{} \mathrm{p}$. (i) : |
|  |  | . 'la pituse regardure dount vas regardastes |
|  |  | seint piere e vus requer que vus, deignez regarder par meismre cele pitee.' |
|  |  | The meditation is based ultimately on |
|  |  | Luke. XXII. 61: 'And the Lord turned, and |
|  |  | looked upon Pete |

Pape. Line.
2. 11-21 (cont.)

Ullmann lists a number of constructions, found in the Meditatio, which are similar to
'Iokynge Tat pou lokyd'(p3,11 1-2.): 'blod 7at You bledde'4sd); thenk in my thoust' $(19 \mathrm{~s})$; 'zou wyth Ine herys herde $2 /$ shy ;' 'drope of $7_{i}$ blood to dronne $1 / 2653$; 'crowne wyth crowne' 2/7-8);
 'sen lat ilke sy斿'(22/2-1); 'onoynte wyth oynement ${ }^{\prime}$ 2917).
2. 14-15. byschonus and maystres of 7e lawe.

E contains the word 'elecues', but has no eauivalent to 'maystres of be lewe'. (See Appendix A, p. (2) 1.3.

Such small differences of detail lend support
to the theory that the early portion of $L$ is based, not directly upon $E$, but on some slightly different version of a widely used. set of prayers.
2. 15. 'of Wine'enemys', if the preposition is regarded as indicating agency. (See Kellner Hist. Outlines, nara. 435 (a) also para. 183.) cf.p.7(15),p.22(19),p.60(2) ('of $\downarrow$ i mercy').

Horstmann (Yk. Wr. I, p. 83) suggested the omission of 'of before 'buffetys'. This, however, would destroy the parallel with the phrases that follow, and the sentence, though not elegantly turned, does not demand emendation, for 'of buffetys' may be regarded as exemplifying the ellintic genitive (See Kellner, oo. cit. para.177) If the reading, tof rine enemys, 1.15 , is not accepted, then 'of buffetys...,' is in apposition to 'of pe..schamus', 11.13-14.
2. 16.
neckedyntes.
This compound is unrecorded in NED. It obviously corresponds to OF. 'colee' and is probably a direct translation of I. 'colaphas', a box on the ear, familiar in descriptions of Christ's Passion.
3. 2-12.

This is one of the passages parallelled in the Latin of R, Appendix B,p. (i). Verbal similarity is noticeable only towards the end of the two paragraphs.

Page. Line.

2 13-
31.

4

7

8
cf. Appendix App. (2), II.I-5 and U, p. 41, 11. 18-19. The corresponding passage in $U$ shows considerable differences from these lines in I.

2 opere(pl.)
This word seems to provide the only example of survival of adjective inflection found in the Meditatio. cf.p.16, I.I.
cf. Roll syst st of mercy. London, 1884, p. 46: 'eye of mercy'.
cf.' no towrys', p.11, 1.12.
'No' might legitimately be used in ME as the equivalent of 'ne', expressing a negative alternative.

## in...is.

A vague tag which contributes nothing to the clarification of the sentence, but is rhythmically satisfying. The only part of the sentence which this expression could qualify would be the remote phrase: 'pe love and $\overline{1}$ e charyte', 1. 6.

Lord furl of mercy and of byte.
R : 'misericordissime saluatar' (loc.cit.)
Zupitza finds an imaginary difficulty in this sentence. In his own words: 'Itch glaube, dass die Augen des Schreibers vol dem we des Hauptsatzes af das we des Nebensatzes abgeirrt sind, so dass ursprunglich etwa dagestanden haber wird: here we se, lat we thoron u.s.w.'
of 'on that occasion', 'under those circumstances,' 'in that incident.' It indicates the balance between the two parts of the meditation: ' you chewy ${ }^{\circ}$ pere.' (1.6.) 'pere we.. may turne..' (1.10).

Bat night in jat harde prisoun.
This detail has a non-biblical source. It is found elsewhere, e.g:- pseudo-Bede, DeMeditatione Passionis Domini per Septem Diei Horas Libellis, in Migne, B.L. XCIV, col.564:-
'Dominus relinquitur ligatus cum custodibus, et fortassis penitus in uno angulo domus separatus, afflictus nimio frigore et labore, quid hyems erat, et maxime notes longe nimis.'

3 背北5 20－21

Note the liturgical flavour of this phrase with its calculated balance and tautology． cf．U， $.45,11.5-6$.

See also（e．g．）p．3ム，11．5－6（＇temptacioun and tribulacioun of my flesshe，world，or enemye＇）and 11．6－7（＇eche poust of me，worde， or werke＇）Any attempt to base a discussion of the authorship of the Meditatio on statist－ ical analysis of vocabulary would have to make considerable allowance for the strencth of the formal，conventional element of religion in the very wording of the text．

Zi pases and 1 steppys．．．now hyderwarde，now
querward，now byfore on and now before anoper．
cf．Meditationes Vitae Christi in Peltier，
00．0mes．Bon XII，Paris，1871，pp．599－600：
${ }^{1}$ modo ad Annam，modo ad Caipham，modo ad Pilatum，modo ad Herodem，et iterum ad Pilatum，et ibidem modo intus，modo foris， ducitur et attranitur．＇

See also E，Appendix B，p．（2），11．16－19． It is almost certain that a Latin source must be sought for all the material of this French text，as for the Meditatio．
owre gatys and owre steppys．
cf．U p． $45,1.10$ ：＇weyes and goynges． It is unaerstendable that a scribe speaking a．dialect other than Northern or E．Nidland should have replaced a Scandinavian with a native word，but there was surely no needfor the whole phrase to be changed．Independant translations would，of course，explain the variation．
$4 \quad 7-10$
The scourging is suggested only in the vaguest terms，in the biblical narrative of the Passion．（See Luke XXIII，16，22．） Didron，Christian Iconography，London，1886， II p．316，gives description of the usual mediaeval artistic representation of this scene：The Christ，his hands tied behind Him，is fastened to a column；His body is covered with stripes＇．［Appendix II， Extract from Byzantine Guide to Painting．］ The clause，＇pat 3yt it is sene．．pyler＇is unparallelled in E．／／See St．Anselm of Lucea， Meditationes de Gestis Domini Nostrí in Migne P．I．CXIIX，col．613：－
${ }^{\prime}$ Ecce caro tenera pii Saluatoris， Ad columam nequitur coarctata laris，

Page. Line.
$4 \quad 7-10$ (contd.) Buod patent vestigia nunc usque cruoris,' and Meditationes Vitae Christi, in St. Bonaventura, Opera Omnia ed. Peltier,XII,p. 604: 'Columa autem, ad quam ligatus furerat, vestigia cruoris ostendit, sicut in historiis continetur.'

Compare also A Talkyng of Te Loue of God in Horstmann, Yk. Wr. II, p. 359: hou pow weore naked. bounden til a pyler. and scourget so sore.'

4 11-13 bt swete blood. be ful remyssyoun for my soule.
The doctrine of the virtue of Christ's blood has a biblical origin. (See Matth. XXVI. 28.)

See Didron, Christian Iconography, Appendix II, p.316: 'Christ stripped, only clothed in the purple chlamys, a crown of thorns upon His head, and a reed in His right hand. Soldiers stand around laughing at Him: some kneel, others strike Him on the head with a rod'. Based on Matt. xxvii. 25.

Even if it were not for the testimony of $E$ (Appendix A, p. (3) , 1.4 ), the emended reading of 'bune', in place of 'Inne' would have behind it the full force of scriptural and patristic tradition, according to which the reed sceptre, used also to strike Christ's head, is always associated with the purple robe and the crown of thorns.

417 , be corowne with thornys.
' Fe crowne should be taken as parallel to 'purpre' and qualified by the attributive phrase 'with thornys'. 'for to pyne with 7i swete hed' is thus an obvious counterpart to 'for to schame pe.' The somewhat clumsy construction is obviated in the A.N. version (See Appendix A, p. 3,11.1-2): 'uestu de purpre coronez despines.'

The use of the double preposition, 'for to', was common in ME. See Keliner, op. cit. para. 395.)

If the MS. reading be retained, this must be explained as a use arising from confusion between a participial and a gerundial construction. The formula is gerundial. See Kellner, op.cit. para. 415 for such phrases as'a makynge!' 'a hunting,')
 Q position would normally be found in ME, although the OE. construction was introduced often by 'be/bi' See Kellner op. cit. para 411.)

Horstmann suggests the omission of on, before knelyng.

Page. Line.
$4 \quad 20$
$5 \quad 7-9$

5
10

5
12
spytted...engleymede. . . face.
A striking instance of chiasma, made more impressive by the further repetition of foule in l. 15.

Engleymede is a mare word and its second element is of obscure origin. NED. cites the first occurrence of it from Rolle, Psalter, xliii, 27 , where it has the specific sense of 'set fest in slime.' One MS. of the Psalter records the veriant, 'clemed,' in this context.

Tou were so bybled. . and $i$ swete face so spyted.
Such ellipsis is a characteristic feature of the style of this version. See below, n. to p. 6, 11.1-4.
beclemyd.
The first example of this word cited in INED. belongs to the seventeenth century. The simple $\mathbb{M E} . v e r b, ~ ' c l e m e ', ~ m e a n t, ~ i n ~ t h e ~ S o u t h, ~$ 'to smear', and it is a significance akin to this which must be given to 'beclemyd' in the present instance.
forcryed and hyed.
Horstmenn, wishing, presumably, to connect this phrase with the familiar 'Hue and cry', suggests the reading 'hued', in place of 'hyed.' But the latter, derived from OE. higian, in the sense of 'drive on', is quite satisfactory in the present context. It is the other verb, rather, which presents the difficulty. In NED., one fifteenth century example is given of a noun, 'forcrier', apparently a translation of L. 'proclemator.' The suffix, 'for', may represent either $O E!f^{\prime} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}=a g a i n s t$, or $O E$. 'fore ${ }^{\prime}=$ before.

There seems to be little to chose between the interpretations 'heralded', 'announced before' and 'cried down', 'insulted'with hostile shouts', which the two suffixes suggest.

The third possibility, that 'for-' is intensitive and 'cry' ins used in the sense of 'weep', the whole word meaning 'exhausted with weeping', the only sense recorded in NED., is distinctly less plausible in the context.
cf.E, Appendix A p. (3), 11.13-14: '... escriez e de touz a grant cri a vile mort de la croiz iugez,' which suggests that Horstmann may be right, inasmuch as'hued' may have been the word originally intended.)

| Page. | Line. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 12-13 | Here are two favourite rhetorical devices, chiasmafollowed by exclamatio. |
| 5 | 14 | Another $\frac{\text { for fi mychel mercy }}{\text { liturgical phrase. }}$ |
| 5 | 14-16 | Note the arrangement of the alliterating words: 'mychel mercy,' 'give me grace', 'sauacoun of my soule.' |
| 6 | 1-4 | The loose construction is worthy of note. Although introduced by the same prepositions, the infinitives are not parallel in function. (eff. 'to putte $e^{\prime}$ and 'to bere ine owne rode'') Furthermore their relationship to the finite verb of the clause is by no means precise. |
| 6 | 6 | hedyd. <br> Ullmann remarks that the usual form of the verb includes the prefix be-, but cites R. Manning of Brunne:-'bole bi oname hede pam bad 7e kyng.' |
| 6 | 7 | The sudden change from plural to singular is also typical of the loose syntax of this text. But Zupitza has a note on thesg. forms: <br> 'he', 'lef', 'mansleere', following the plurals 'men', 'thedys':- <br> 'auffallend ist dabei freilich nicht sowohl der sing. he nach dem plur. men, ols die Ignorinung des aud men folgenden and thetys. Uebrigens ist whl ere relativ, nicht, wie der herausgeber es fasst, demonstrativ.' |
| 6 | 4-7 | as it were a thef... at pe mount of caluary Pere man hedyd wyckede men asd theuys. <br> cf. St. Bonaventura, 'De Perfectione Vitae', <br> Op.Om. Quarechi, t. VIII, p. 121:- 'Ille <br> siouidem locus erat morti damnatorum deputatus, et ibi decollabantur et suspendebantur non alii nisi pessimi homines. and the Sermon of Hugh of Hartlepool, in Little \& Pelster, Oxford Theology \& Theologians, Oxford, 1935, p.203:- <br> 'illum... suspendunt in medio duorum latronum in loco ubi capita latronum solebant amputari'. See below, n. to p. 24,11 3-6. |
| 6 | 9 | The text of $L$ begins, at this line, to diverge from that of E . <br> The phrase 'Jat Welle art of mercy', parallehed e ouivalent to the Latin'rons gratiae', is in A Talkyng of Te Loue of God, in Horstmann, <br>  - Menci 'tere wet ax mexeri,... |

Page. Line.
610
This line is typical of the Anselmian and pseudo-Anselmian penitential meditations. See Introduction, p. $\langle\times \times 11$, and compare p .7 , 11.10-11 and p.25, 11.17-20.
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12-21

- De Meditatione Pessionis Christi oer Septem Die1 Horas Libellus, printed by Migne among the works of Bede, P. L. XIIV,p. 566:-
...et tunc ibi videntibus omnibus exspoliatur suis vestibus, et cum maximo dolore, quia vestis interior adgaerebat ei fortiter propter sanguinem flagellationis...,' and St. Edmund of Canterbury, Merure de Sainte Eglise ed. H. W. Robbins, Lewi sburg,1925, p.248:

And pan lei putten on him a streyt silkyn clo e and bat clevyd ful serore to his body whan le blode was dry... And whan tei had tus scornid him ei drow of at clote of silke, and it clevyd so fast to his body at ei drew of érwil moche of his skynne and of his flesche also...'

6 - 12
kyrtel-chaungynge.
cf. 'On Lof song of Ure Lefdi', in R.Morris,
 his clo§es wrixhunge. Nu red. nu hwit.'

According to Ubertino da Casale, there were two changes (See Arbor Vitae Crucifixi, ,Bk. IV, cap. I) :- 'Nunc chlamide coccinea: nunc purpura: nunc veste alba...'

The biblical authority for the detail of this meditation is very slight. (See Matth. xxvii. 31 and Luke xxiii. 11.)

An interesting confusion of constructions is to be observed in this line. The phrase 'wee begon' composed of a nominative substantive and the past participle of the OE. verb, 'begān,' was originally used with the dative of the person concerned. In the present instance, however, the person, 'Jou', obviously governs the second person verb and 'woo' is used attributively.
cf. p. 6, 11.19-20 'whow. .stryppynge' for an example of the retention of a similar OE. construction with dative of pers. concerned.

| $\frac{\text { Page. }}{\text { Jatge }}$ | Line. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 13 | See Kellner, op.cit. para. 392 on the gerundial infinitive after'let! 'tak' is, of course, passive. (See ibid. para 364) cf. 'to thenke', p27. 1. 4. |
| 6 | 15 | rowyd. <br> ef.p.57, 11.11-12, 'ouer-runne wi) blodi rowes'. <br> The image may have been suggested by Psalm. 129.3:- 'The plowers plowed unon my back: they made long their furrows. <br> It may be, however, that the etymological connection is not with OE官苂w (=row), but with a rare inflectiopal variantx of Mod.E 'rough'. (See ITED. ROW sb. ?) |
| 6 | 16 | Ullmann takes 'pi sely skyn' to be the object of 'rent'. An argument against the separation of 'rent' from 'rowyd' and eoualising of the former with 'clemyd' may be based on the alliteration of 'rowyd' with 'rent' and the aesthetically satisfying grouping of the three verbs: 'betyn and rowyd and rent.' |
| 7 | $2-3$ | ef.R.Morris, Legends of the Holy Rood, EETS. $46107 l$ - Te blood droppyd as dew on ryssche, and op.cit.p.139:- <br> 'Softur en watur or eny licour, <br> Or dews pat li on le lilie flour <br> Was cristes bodi in blod colour; ' <br> Talkyng in Horstmann, Yk.Wr. II, p. 347:- Fat heđinde dewyng. . dewyng of 7 deore blood.' |
| 7 | 5-8 | Fa, the Ancrene Riwle, ed. Morton, Camden Society, London,1853 oceur the words (p.188):'...pornene crununge, 7et set him idet heauad, so fat be blodi streames urnon adun ${ }^{\prime}$ ' |
| 7 | 8-21 | This elaborate passage of rhetorical prose is certainly akin to part of the Talkyng of 7 e Iove of God. See Horstmann, Op. Cit. II p. 257: <br> 'A Ihesu my swete loue. pat fou art wondur riche, as al-weldinde lord. in heuene and in eorpe: and pore Tenne ou bicome. for me bat am so wrecched...' and ( p .358 ) the lines which end: <br> 'And pou bat heuene and al is world wrouztest, ne heddest in al is wyde world. whon Tou scholdest dyen, wher-on bu mistest fin holy hed resten. ' The ultimate source of these words is undoubtedly Isiah. 53. |

This phrase is probably based on Psalm. XXI.17: ${ }^{\top}$ Ego sum vermiis, et non homo.

Page. Line.
8
armede knyztes.
cf. Tanquerey, Plaintes de la Vierge en A-F. Paris, 1921, p.156, chivalers.
'knizt' seems to have been much used to translate L. 'miles'. (See NED.)

Por the genesis of this type of description, see Introduction, $p$ LXVII, and compare the lyrics: 'Whyte was his naked bres由e' (Horstmann, Yk. Wr. I. p.76), 'Ihesu pat hast me der I-boht', 'The B. V's Appeal to the Jews' (in Carleton Brown, Religious Iyrics of the Fourteenth Century,p.81), Respice in Faciem Christil. (ibid. p.2.)
cf. Meditations on the Passion and of Seven Arrows, in Forstmann, Yk.VIr. I, p. 119 :-

Tpe Iues toke me, \& buffet me \& spittid in my face; with scharpe thornis Pai coronid me, with knottid scourgis Pai dang me; so laitheli Pai dight me: Pat I was like a mesel til loke on.' See also Tancuerey, Plaintes de Ia Vierge,p. 157.

This ispone of the most perplexing words In the whole text. The context makes it clear that it connot be deriyet from F. 'griser'. It might possibly be related to the ajective, 'grislic', (Eng. Dial. Diet. gives a past participle 'grisled., meaning horrible' and there may have been a form *'grise', having the seme relation to *'grisle' as 'Eruse' $[=$ to press $]$ bears to 'gruzzle' $[=$ to bruise]

The oceurrenoe of the word in the Talkyage, p.349, suggerts that this last explanetion may bo the correct onor cf. Talkyng,p.349:'nou fynde I me grisloker in my gultus, Pen Pe grislihed of him. Pat helle grysep offe...; so pat me grysep of myself and wlate of my fulpe; pat ne may soffre my-self.'

The simplest way to interpret these lines is to monsider 'al...reste' as co-ordinate with 'Pou.. take', the conjunction, 'before Pat', being omitted before the second verb.

Zupitza thinks that a comma should follow 'take', as, indeed, the MS. punctuation suggests. But then he has to assume that the words 'and al ny3t wooke' are in a causal relation to the principal clause of the sentence and in general function are equivalent to 'what with gret fastynge.' 1.5. This explanation would make the construction very obscure indeed.
al stowpynge...
cf. Ubertino, Arbbr Vitae Crucifixi, 'sub crucis onere dorsum curuare iubetur....;' Meditationes Vitae Christi, in S. Bon. Op.Om.,

Page. Line.

8 (contd.)

8 14-20

9
ed. Peltier, XII, p.605,:-'Cerne igitur hic eum bene, quomodo vadit curvus subtus crucem, et vehementer anhelat' and the Bnglish version of The Privity of the Passion, in Horstmann, Yk. Wr. I, pp.204-5: Be-holde hym here with gret pete and compassione, how he went stownande with pat gret hevy byrdene pat he bare, pat was pe crosse.

See also A Talkyng of pe Loue of God, p. 360:'A, my deore lemmon, he berep Ye Roode-tre on his bare scholdre for peloue of me; his bodi is so tendre, his bones longe and lene; al stoupynde he gop, pat dele hit is to seone.'

14-20 ef. Talkyng, in Horstmenn, Yk. Wr. II, p. 360: 'hou many men nou folewe fe. for to wondren on le; Py frendes ben sori. and serwful in herte; fioos folwen hokerly, and lyken in heore maystri, and horlen 7 e lodzy. in al is worldes sihte.
reke; rec
This can hardly be OE. 'rēç', =smoke, but may be derived from the oN 'reka', generally used in the sense of 'haste, hurry, pursuitof a matter.' The necessary transference of meaning to 'crowd pursuing' is to some extent parallelled in the history of 'presse', $=a$ throng, a dense crowd.

## sysched. (OE sican.)

This form, with-sch-, is unrecorded in NED. The softening of the -ch- palatal, which woula be the normal development from OE - $\mathcal{E}$-, may have been due to onomatopoeic suggestion. cf. 'si乡ghe', p. $60,1.106$ which is probably a back-formation on the preterity, 'sishte, sihte', and the Northern 'sike', p.11, l. 20.
thyng.
Omission of the article, as in this instance, occurs until the end of the Cl4. (See Kellner, op.cit. para 228.)

These lines seem to be based on an amalgamation of Luke XXI. 20-24 and Luke XXII. 27-31.

Zupitza recommends that 'cyte' should be understood, first, as 'stadt', then as 'burgerschaft' This ambiguity would explain the sudden change of number, implied in 'was'...' here'... 'here'.
owt of hyre selue and
15-15 "pe loue. made jowe to brenne eyther for oper.
See Introduction, $\beta_{.}$. XXXX, on the reiteration of these details, and cf.R. Appendix B,pp. (8)-(9).


Page. Line.


1116 cf.p. 16 gete me of myn owne. 1.6 , 'Sette me on myn owne.' It looks as though a scribal error might explain the resding of ABU .

11 18-21 cf. Anselm of Luxe, Meditationes in Migne, P.I. 149 ? col. 622:

Da mihi partern doloris, ne sis avara, Quae larga, et libera dicta es et gnara.'

Zupitza contenas that this is a scribal error and that'pi' was intended. He compares 'Lord, for 7i mercy, /at welle art..' , p. 20, 1.19.

12 7-9. A Lady, for hat mercy... socoure of al sorewe and bote of alle bale,... wooful
cf. A TAlkyng of e Loue of God, in Horstmann op.cit.II, p.362. See Introduction, p. CVIII.

10-11 myn herte, Dat is hard os ston.
A very cormon conception in penitential literature. c.f.(e.g.) Anselm of Canterbury, Liber Meditationum et Orationum, in Migne, P. L. CLVIII, c. 744: 'Vere corde lapides induratus sum. .;' Ubertino da Casale, Arbor Vitae Crucifixi Iesu, Bk.IV, cap.xii: 'O lapidosa duricies supra omnem duriciem creature argue corda maligna: que nolunt ad compassionem scindi: nec de morte filii mei pietate molliri.' and Bk.IV, cap. xvi: 'o anima mea insensibilis et arida et durior cum petra;' Stim.Amor. (See above, Introduction, pxcli.) cf. Peter Daniani, Carmina et Preces, in Migne, P.L. CXLV, c. 923:- 'saxeam cordis mei resolve duritiam.'

13 2-13 ef.p.61, 1.10-p.62, I.I.
During the Middle Ages, two traditions were current of the manner of Christ's crucifixion. The first, the only one mentioned by the early Fathers and represented by earlier art, was that our Lord mounted the erected Cross by means of a ladder and was nailed by 4 nails, in a standing posture, with arms easily resting upon the crossbar and feet nailed to a platform. Later authorities, without supporting one or the other theory, mention a second tradition, according to

## 13 2-13 (contd.)

which Christ was nailed, in a recumbant position, to the Cross on the ground, his limbs being violently stretched for the nailing and His feet being nailed one over the other with a single nail. The Cross was then lifted \& dropped into a prepared pit, so that the Crucified, hanging painfully from the hands, suffered great asony. The Franeiscan emphasis on Christ's physical pain led to the adopted oi the second as the only tradition referred to in the later Midale Ages.

On this subject, see(e. g.) Jameson, History of Our Lord, London, 1864. The two alternative methods of crucifixion are given (e.g.) by Ubertino da Casale, Arbor Vitae Crucifixi, Bk.IK, cap.xil. He describes the method as follows:-
'in terra posita cruce extensus fuit crucifixus; et postrodum insum lignum taliter deo insignitum fuerit eleuatum: ouod non sine grandi dolore ponderositatis corporis lacerantis et diuellentis carnem et rivos in locis cleuorum potuit fieri. Sine etiam per scalas crucem ascenderit: et a oluribus carnificibus crudeliter protractis brachils fuerit conclawatus.'
It is interesting to note that the arms, according to this description, were cruelly stretched, after Christ had climbed the Cross.

The early IE. Wohunce of our Laverde is noteworthy, in this connection, as introducing the aspect of physical cruelty into the earlier tradition. (See above, introduction, p LXXIV.)

The Meditationes Vitae Christi also give both traditions (S. Bon. Op.Om., ed. Peltier,XII, pp. 605-6): 'Ponuntur dune scalae...super quas malefici ascendunt cum clavis et martellis. Ponitur etiam alia scala ex parte anteriori, attingens usoue ad locum ubi debebant pedes affigi. Conspice nunc bene singula: compeliftur Dominus Iesus crucem ascendere per hanc scaわam parvam; ipse autem sine rebellione et contradictione facit humiliter quidauid volunt...Sunt tamen ouidam oui credunt, quod non hoc modo fuerit crucifixus, sed cruce extenda in terra, eum elevavemunt, et crucem fixerunt in terram. Quod si hoc magis placet, conspice ouomodo ipsum capiunt despicabliiter sicut ribalcum vilissimum, et prosternunt super crucem in terra furibunde, brachia ipsius ascipientes, et post violentam extensionem cruci durissime affigentes...Illi tres clavi sustinent totius corporis pondus..'

Page. Line. 13 (contd.)

See also Robbins' edition of S. Edmund of Canterbury, La Merure de sainte eglise,p.248, for details added by the writer of the English version of St. Edmund's work. The following lines are peculiar to the English text:
'when 7ei come to Calvary anon 7ei caste doun be crosse and lad oure lord Thesu beron and made markis and holis where his handis and his fete schuld be nailid; and len ei toke a blond raggid nayle and drof it orow his hand wi an hamer to e rode, and al e peyne and angwisch pat was in his body drowe ferto; and so whan ei wold have nailed pat oper hand Perto, it was so schort to le hole at ei had made by halfe a fote and more, lanne ei wold not make new holis but toke new ropis and tied on his hand and drew it til it was mete to here marke Fat ei had made, end in at drawing al e ioyntis of bo e armis al to-broken asondry, ...7an pei toke mo ropis and tied to his fete and drew hem don hard and sore til fei were even wil te holis; and wi at drawynge ei brosten al e ioyntis of his lymes and e skynne also.'

How uniform were all the later ME. descriptions of the crucifixion can further be illustrated from A. Meditation and Seven Arrows, in Horstmann, Yk.Wr.I., pp.112-113.

Compare, too, Tanouerey, Plaintes de la Vierge en anglofrancais Paris,1921,pp.156-7:
Puys vyndrent lés chivalez au fesoyent les tormentz; et mesurerent la longure del un mayn al autre, et la longure le cors mon douz fitz. Par auelles mesures ils fesoient les triefs on les clous parmy ses pies et mayns feurent fichez.. Et ly mystrent envers sur la croiz..Ia mayn destre d'un grand clou tacherent ferm a la croiz. Et auant vyndrent a la meyn synestre, le bout del dei ne attensist mye al treu; et les felons pristent me corde et lierent al joynt de la maynt...Lors leverant la croiz amont et avoyent mys un grand trief de cedre en la tere; et en cel gvoyent fait un mortayse ou le pee de la croiz y en serroit. Et des engyns au'ils avoyent faitz leverent la croiz haut pur ferm ferir le pee en la mortaise et faillerent. Et al rebundre del un trief al autre, son corps feust issint estonez a'il sembloit menz mort ae vyf..'

See also Didron, Xian Icon. II, Append. II,p. 317:
'A crowd of Jews and soldiers seen upon a mountain. A cross laid upon the ground in their midst. The body of Christ upon it. Three soldiers hold it by ropes at the arms and foot. Other

| 13 | $(2 \cdot)^{-13}$ | soldiers bring nails and drive them with a hammer through His feet and hands.' The auotation indicetes the general prevalence of this tradition, in East as well as West. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 11-13 | Ullmann gives the following parallels:- |
|  |  | Josedh of Atini. p. 38, 1. 45 : 'Also to the hert |
|  |  | with a sharpe spere ye hym stonge \& with, 3 |
|  |  | 知yles made hym shede his gultles blode;' |
|  |  | Furnivall, PdI, Rel., Love Poems, 'poem on |
|  |  | the Passion of Christ, 1.21: 'Loke in hys body, |
|  |  | mayde \& wyfe, 0 woo bee gun, naylys dryue, In fot |
|  |  | \& in honde. Honde \& fout er was ful woo;' |
|  |  | OE. Misc., 'An Orison of our Lord,' p.140, 1.23: |
|  |  | 'I urled weren myd nayles reo Honden and fet |
|  |  | faste to 7e treo; at cold iren u (oledest in |
|  |  | i syde of e spere kene to in heorte glyd, |
|  |  | As 7 u Oledest eos fyf wande of seorewe and sunne, |
|  |  | wite vs myd isunde! |
|  |  | Ulimann comments further:- 'In der Bedeutung von |
|  |  | Hebes, obtusus als Attribut der Nagel steht |
|  |  | 'dulte' fur 'blonte'. |
|  |  | OE.Hom. I, p.207,9: 'bi te dulte neiles;' |
|  |  | Ancrene Riwle, p.292,13: 'e neiles weren so |
|  |  | dulte, et heo duluen his flesch;' 242,9: 'Ure |
|  |  | louerdes flesche et was ase iduluen mit te dulte |
|  |  | neiles. |

13 14-17 These lines appear in one of the Thornton Lyrics, Horstmann, Yk. Wr. I, pp.86-87. It is possible that the lyric is the work of Rolle. If the Meditatio, also, is his, then it is impossible to say whether he was quoting, in both instances, a popular source, or whether the stanza is a genuine composition of his own. See H. E. Allen, Wr.Ascr., p. 295.



Page. Iine.
1413 for an account of the omission of the indefinite (contd.) article after 'as'.

The form 'os' should be compared with the rounded unstressed vowels in final syllables (eg. 'modur', 'watur', 'schamus') which are a feature of $L$. (See Introduction, p. XX 111 .)

154 prisoun.
Zupitza objects to this word and sugeests that the author of the Meditatio may have written 'tresoun', but to emend would be, at least, unnecessary. The authenticity of the MS. reading is supported, too, by the compaswion of the heart to a sepulchre, implied on p.26, 1.11.

4-6 Anacoluthic construction. Singular pronoun used pleonastically to emphasise compound subject.

157 no manere.
Survival of old instrumental construction without proposition. (See Ketiner, Op.cit.para. 194.)

15 11-12
cf. Talkyng in Yk. Wr.p. 348:-
'Crist. . from del of sunne me torne and quicknel to lyf lat is blisful of gostliche hele.'

## thenk.

Sudden transition from indieect to direct speech is marked by the introduction of this imperatiषe. See Introduction, $\mathrm{pp} . \mathrm{CXl}-\mathrm{CXII}$.

16 7-12 See above, note to p.13, 11.2-73, for parallels to this passage.

## sythe.

This is a survival of OE. usage: to denote freouent occurrence the noun, 'sīy', 'sīd', was employed in the instrumental or accusative case.

| 16 |  | Ze syschynges. . was ekyng of yi woo. <br> cf. Ubertino da Casale, Arbor Vitae Crucifixi, <br> III, cap.XV: 'Et hoc, bone Iesu, fuit ad tui doloris eugmentum'. <br> See Kellner para. 92 on predicative influence on the number of the copula. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 23- | cf. Ancrene Riwle, ed. Morton, p.106:- |
| 17 | 3 | - Te munt of Caluarie, per ure Louerd hongede, was Pe cwalmsteow, Fer leien ofte licomes iroted bulten eor and stuncken swore stronge...' <br> The pres. p.aj. 'gronyng' is unexpected. |

Page. Line.

| 17 | 2-3 | The passage which approximates most closely to this is in the Ancrene Riwle, ed. Morton, p. 26:- <br> 'Swete Jesu vor mine sunnen anhonged on fe rode, nor Teo ilke uif wounden et tu on hire bleddest, hel mine blodi soule of alle $\sqrt{e}$ wounden Vet heo is mid iwunded urh mine uif wittes, ioe munegunge of ham.' <br> See also, on Lofsong of Ure Lquerde, in Morris, OE. Homilies, p.211: ..clense and wasths mine sunfule soule urh in fif wunden iopened o rode... hel me norwunded furh mind fif wittes wi\% deadiche sunnen...' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

17 4-5

This clause is dependent on the verb 'pyned', 1. 2. is A more elaborate version of these lines/found, as Ullmann remarks, in the Ancrene Riwle, p.l06, 15:- 'In his sihde, eo he iseih his deorewurde moder teares and sein Iohanannes euangelistes; and te odre Maries; and o he beheold, hu his deore deciples fikuen alle vrom him and bileeyeden him alle one as wreongde, he weop himsulf, ries mid his ferre eien. He polede al faldeliche pet me hine blindfellede, hwon his eien weren pus ine schendlac iblinfelled.
'He, ase he hongede, muhte habben hore bres, mid alle hir odre wri, amidden his neose.'

| 17 | 11- | cre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 1 | 'In his earen he hefde, Te heoueniche Louerd, al pat edwit and al that upbrud, and al se sorn, and alle e scheomen et earen muhte iheren...' |
| 18 | $5-7$ | Ullmann cites Perry, Pr.Tr. of R.Rolle, 21,9, |
|  |  | for similar use of verbal substantives:- |
|  |  | 'Breke downe first pride in bodely berynge and |
|  |  | also with in thi herte thynkynge, boostynge and |
|  |  | prikkynge and preysynge of 7 i silfe, and of thi |
|  |  | dedis, presumynge of thi s由lfe and veynlikynge of |
|  |  | thi silfe of eny thynge that god hath sent the |
|  |  | bodili or gostely. |

18 8- See Introduction, paVNlland compare Talkyng, p. 358: ' Tou at e heuene and al is world wrou test, ne heddest in al is wyde world, whon Fou scholdest dyen, wheron (bu mi htest Vin holy hed resten;'

Ancrene Riwle, p. 260: 'Filius hominis non habet ubi caput suum reclinet'... of al fe brode eorle ne moste he habben a grot, forte deien uppon. 7e rode hefde enne not oфer lutel more. and tet was eke uorto echen his pinen.'
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cf. $p .7,11.8-21$. This is a striking instance of the kind of repetition of a theme with variations that is so marked a characteristic of the Meditatio. See further p. 24, 11.2-4.

19 9-12 Taken from Lamentations. i.12. See Introduction. p.
19 13-15 According to Ullmann's punctuation, the stop falls, not after makeles, but after peynys and the comma, following, comes after hard, not were. This is manifestly to ignore the MS. punctuation, which seems to indicate that the relative clause, "pat euere were', is closely to be associated with 'peynes.' It is possible to take' Nay' (1.13).. were (1.14) as one unbroken sentence, and it is not, perhaps, necessary to consider the relative merits of this punctuation and of that given in the text of the present edition, for the three lines (Nay... fowndyn) expresøonly the one idea and 'so hard was neuer fowndyn' is, in wizmman, effect, co-ordinate with 'jpere was neuere non so hard.' Ullmann, howeger, would force unnatural and clumsy syntax into a perfectly straightforward passage.

1917 Here is further evidence of the close connection of this piece with the liturgy. This verse (Jeremiah.ii.21) occurs in the Depositio Hostiae, in the version given by Karl Young. (The Dramatic Associations of the Easter Sepulchre, University of Wisconsin Studies in Lang. and Lit., Madison, 1920, pp. 56-60) from a Liber Sacerdotalis, Venetiis, 1523. Relevant passaces are:-

- Duo Sacerdotes: Popule meus, quid feci tibi, aut inoue contristaui te?'
- Duo Sacerdotes: बuid vltra debui facere tibi, et non feci? Ego, ouidem plantavi te vineam meam speciosissimam...

Omnes: ...Videte, omnes populi, si est dolor similis sicut dolor meus. Versus: Ovos omnes oui transitis per viam, attendite et videte. Si est.'

The fact that the present line does not coincide with the Vulgate version(see Introduction, p. LXV) suggests that it may have been known principally through its liturgical use.
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| 20 | 8 | traytourys. . . tyrauntys. . tormentours. <br> cf.Talkyng in $\overline{\mathrm{Kk} . \mathrm{Wr}}$. II, p. 349: '7is traytur: Fis tiraunt and is tormentor. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 10-18 | A parallel to these lines also occurs in the Talkyng (op.cit. p. 351):'Ne seidest Fou not Iord to be reef on Roode, dot euer selfen he cou e hecae Itvsed pefpe, at in at selue day. he scholde ben in blisse? and for no more decert but for he kneus his cultes, and e for his saueour asked i Nerci? INe was he put in no delay to ligge longe in peyne...' |
| 20 | 15-16 | knew e for God and hys owne trespas. <br> Ullmenn assumes ellipsis of repented' after <br> 'and'. Nore probably this is an example of a somewhat loose construction, with 'hys owne trespes' forming the second object of the verb and thus being co-ordinate with ' 7 e '. |
| 20 | 19 | See 7.6 , 1.9, for an earlier occurrence of an almost identical line. |
| 20 | 20 | See preceding note. Ullmann comments: 'Fúr 'my swete' ist wal 'my peøple' einzusetzen'. But the author is surely continuing the tone of his translation of 'Vinea mea electa, ego te plantavi'.11.18-19. |
| 21 | 7-9 | An example of the rhetorical device of sententia. |
| 21 | 10-17 | Mediaeval Latin literature abounds in similar passages. See(e.g.) St. Bernard, 'Sermo in Dom. Infra Oct. Assumpt. B. M. V.' ,P.I. CLXXXIII, col. 438; AElred of Rievaulx, 'Sermo in Assumpt. B. M.' , PL. CXCV, col. 314; Ubertino da Casale, Arbor Vitae; <br>  Bh <br> The scriptural mention of the incident is found in John. xix. 26, 27. |
| 21 | 15 | Ullmann notes that John and Mary are classed together as virgins in the Ancrene Riwle, $p$. 164, 23:- <br> 'Sein Iohan evangeliste, nefde he brude ibrouht hom? Nefde he \% ipouht (乡if god nefde ilet him) meiden, hod uorte uorleosen? Seoden paun, nes he meiden neuer pe unholre: auh was meiden biteiht meiden vorto witene.' |
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222 The substantivel rody. (and possibly the form) of this word, meaning 'ruddy hue', is almost certainly due to the influence of the ON. substantiषe, 'rodi.'
22. 3-5 It is interesting to note that the Latin is, in fact, translated twice. The order, 'thorow strook' should be cormared with 'pertransibit.'

This passege, too, has its eouivalents almost universally in mediaeval writings on the Passion. Compare (e.g.) St.Bernard, Tractatus de Planctu B.M.V:
${ }^{1}$ Nec lingua loaui nec mens excogitare potest ouanto dolore tunc anima Marie tenebatur. Iuxta crucem stabat iam e mortua mater...' and St. Bonaventura, Iignum Vitae in Decem Opuscula, p.78:- 'Quae lingua dicere vel nuis intellectus capere sufficit desolationum tuarum ponduf, Virgo beata?...' p.22, 11.7-10. A Middle English parallel is found in the work printed by Horstmann(op.cit. I.) under the title of: Meditation on the Passion; and of Three Arrows on Doomsday, p. I14:

What sighinges come til hire hert when sche hedd pis wordes: when mannes sone for goddis sone pe disciple for pe maister pe seruant for Fe lorde was gifen hire to yeme.'

22 15-16.
It is.. solace to haue in peyne companye.
Apparently this was a seying of widespread popularity, cf.Chaucer, Troilus \& Criseyde, Book I, 11.708-9:- 'Men seyn: $\quad$ to wrecche is consolaciuun To have another felawe in hys peyne." See note in Root's edition, p. 424, for Latin glosses (consolacio miserorum habere consortem in pena') and reference to Marlowe's Faustus,474:-'Solamen miseris socios habuisse doloris.

The proverb is also to be found in Gower's Confessio Amantis ii. 261 and in Publius Syrus 46: 'Calamitatum habere socios miseris est solatio.'

Professor F.P.Wilson tells me that it is very common in the sixteenth century. See examples in Tilley's Elizabethan Proverb Lore, no. 446, George Gascoigne ${ }^{\top}$ s Works, ed. Cunliffe i.13, T. Howell's New Sonnets $(\overline{0.1575}) \mathrm{p} .4$, etc. The passege from Troilus \& Criseyde is the earliest ex equen by Apper son
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23 5-10

23 12-14
The same conjunction, 'whan' introduces two clauses, " $/$ at men thynken...,' and 'made.. 1 mone', which are not co-ordinate. The second, in fact, with omission of the subject, seems intended to be parallel to the relative clause, 'at ou on $/ e$ rode sayede.'

Evidence of the popularity of this theme is given in the Sermon of Hugh of Hartlepool printed by Little and Pelster, Oxford Theology \& Theologians, p. 203: 'Ad maiorum autem Christi despectum illum qui est media persona inter patrem et spiritum santum suspendunt in medio duorum
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| 25 | 17 | deuelys kynde. <br> The second version (p.71, 1.17) employs the native word, 'fendis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | $2-3$ | cf. Talkyng (in op.cit.p.351): <br> 'Schal pe Malice of myn euel passen oure goodnesse? or alle myne sunnes ben more fen oure Milce? ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| 26 | 5 | whe. <br> This form is so unusuel that it is Rifficult to account for, except by stipulating South Eastern origin. Certainly the vovel would be stressed in an exclamatory word. |
| 26 | 8 | As will already be realised, this use of an infinitive, unattached to any finite verk, is one example among many of the loose syntax of I. But such constructions may be a peculiarity of tle fourteenth century, rather than characteristic of any one author; for Kellner (op.cit. para399) notes that the absolute use of the infinitive, in such phrases as the present, is found for the first time in the second half of the fourteenth century. |
| 26 | 9 | come. <br> This use of the infinitive without a governing preposition is a survival of OE. custom. (See Kellner, op.cit.paras. 392,393.) Compare further the note to $\mathrm{p} .43,1114.15$ |
| 25 | 11 | herd harte. <br> Ullmann emends to 'hert haude', but it is surely inorellikely that the vowels have been transposed than the consonants. 'Harte' may be early, legitimate evidence of an authentic soundchange, however. As the two forms are phonologically possible, it seems better not to emend the phrase. |
| 26 | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uninflected } \frac{\text { Modur. }}{\text { genitive of noun of relationship. }} \\ & \text { cf. 'Fadir', p. } 70,1.5 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27 | 2 | $\text { See below, note to p. } 38,11.15-17 .$ |
| 27 | 8 | wordely. <br> The same form may be seen earlier(p.19.1.11.) It is probable that the loss of 1 , then was not the result of scribal error, but represents an actual sound development. The loss of 1 between $r$ and $d$ was a fairly frequent phenomenon in Iater $\bar{M}$. E. and is to be noted often in MS.T. (See Preface and Appendix C.) |

## Page. Line.

| 88 | \$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 16 | syst. |
|  |  | Horstmann glosses this word, in a footnote, with Nod.E. 'sigh'. But there seems no adequate |
|  |  | objection to translating the word as Mod.E.'sight ' |
|  |  | The intricate play on words in this sentence |
|  |  | is worth noting: the alliteration on 'graunte'- |
|  |  | 'grace', 'sy'st-sorewe', 'poynt-peyne-playe', |
|  |  | 'poynt-part', another chiastic reiteration of |
|  |  | 'poynt' and 'sorewe.' |
| 28 | 20 | ABU, although longer than $L$, does not |
|  |  | continue the narrative beyond this point. |
| 29 | 13. |  |
|  |  | Probably from ON. 'striuka' ( $=$ smooth down). |
|  |  | in Yk.Wr.I, p. 712: How he was strelid on fe croise $k t$ was laid on e erth.' |
|  |  | This nuotation suggests that the word, though certainly Northern or Eart Midland, end showing |
|  |  | Scanc.influence, is related to OE. 'streccan' |
| 29 | 20- | . Talkyng (on.cit. |
| 30 |  | Ledipe teres lat ou ere leete, i breste |
|  |  | and in chekes maden al weete; fou loked-est |
|  |  | vpward to him, a.t was so swete, and euer at fe ende. faiz sore ou dudest wepe. |
| 30 | 4-5 | This is an unexpected and strengely inconclusive |
|  |  | ending. It may well be that, es Miss Allen has, |
|  |  | suggested, (Wr.Ascr. B. 281), the words, 'et cetera', |
|  |  | indicate that the text is abridged. |
| 31 | 1 | MS. U is defective at the beginning. |
|  |  | MS. B. starts with the ascription to 'Richard |
|  |  | Rolle, heremyt of Hampoll', but ISS. A and I add |
|  |  | the year of his death, 1349 according to A, 1348 |
|  |  | according to L. In the latter NS., however, the |
|  |  | scribe also assigns the Speculum Vitae to Rolle, |
|  |  | giving the date of his deeth in this ascription, |
|  |  | as 1384, an obvious mistake of trensposition of |
|  |  | the last two figures. 1349 is the usual date |
|  |  | Eiven in manuscripts which refer to Rolle. Apart |
|  |  | from MS.L, the marginal notes of the Vienna MS. |
|  |  | record the only variant:1380. (See Allen, Wr.Ascr. |
|  |  | Londonly p. 430, 1927.) |

imade.
This form of the past participle with prefix i-, unique as it is in all the manuscripts, indicates that $B$ is a copy made by a scribe of a Southerly
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31 | (contd.) or West Midland area. |
| :---: |

313 The reading of MS.B is given for the first lines where $U$ is defective.

These preliminary petitions do not appear in $I$, or in the AN. version, E. Very regular in structure, they yet do not follow the patter observed in most of the later meditations. No reference is made to the Passion until p. 35, 1.7, and, at first, it is tempting to discerd the whole of this introduction as extraneous. Close examination of the style, however, reveals remarkable artistry: alliteration, balance of phrasing (e.g. 'wits al my lust, wis al my lykyhge', 'wi' contricioun and confession', 'owe to i biddinge'), rhyming gerunds, and the general forms whereby the adjectival clauses following the invocation are gradually lengthened, from petition to petition, until the climax of 'Lord at listed...' The formerly restrained diction rises in a rhythmic and emotional crescendo that serves as a most dramatic introduction for meditations on the Passion narrative, which follow in the same style.

31 3-9 R (Appendix B, p. (12) 11. 8-16) contains a Latin version of this passage, although it is not in the index to the manuscript ascribed specifically to Role. It is possible, then, that R is quoting directly a well-known Latin prayer, which is translated in $A$ and $B$.

The powers of the soul are: mind , reason, will, imagination, sensuality.

Seethe Cloud of Unknowing', ed. P. Hodgson, London, 1943, pp.114-5: 'Wip-inne in piself in kynde ben pe mites of joule, pe which ben tees re principal: mince, reson, \& wille; \& secundary, ymaginaciouin \& sensuality.'

This was defined as follows by the Council of Trent:-
'Contrition, which holds the first place amongst aforesaid acts of the penitent, is a sorrow of mind, and a detestation for sin committee, with the purpose of not sinning for the future...' (Rev.J.Waterworth's translation of The Canons and Decrees of the Sacred and OEcumenical Council of Trent, London, $1848, \mathrm{pp.95}, 96$.
schrifte and penaunce for my synnes.
Penance was one of the seven sacraments and included contrition, confession, satisfaction and absolution. In early ME.'skrift' was a term which might denote satisfaction, confesseion, or absolution, sometimes the whole sacrament of penance. It is certainly as a synonym for penance that it is used here, for the style of the Meditatio is characterised by a fondness for synonyms and $\theta$ tendence towards tautology.

For Rolle's attitude to penance, see the Commandment, in Yk. Wr. I, p. 64: 'And for-Ti seke mare to lufe hym, lan to do any penance', and the Form of Living, (ibic.p.29) 'Also men and women at maste has of godees lufe, whether ai do penance or nona: Fai sall be in e heghest degre in heuen.'

The construction of $A$ would seem to make the phrase, 'alle to be occupied' directly dependent on 'heve grace'. (1.5.)

As OE. 'béodan' ( $=$ to command) and OE. 'biddan' (=to pray) had fallen together in ME, these phreses are somewhat ambiguous.

The form'mysel $\frac{m e}{f^{\top}}$ did not come into freauent use until C 14. See Kellner, op. cit. para. 296.
knowe.] knouleche. A.
Before the fifteenth century, the form 'knouleche' is found only in southern texts. See NED.

See Introduction, pexXVV/on the style of this passage. cf. Talkyng, (op.cit. II,p.357): A Ihesu my swete loue pat pou art wondur riche, as al-weldinde lord. in heuene and in eorpe: and pore fenne fou be-come. for me fat am so wrecched.'

## willy to dee .

willy is probably derived from ont ${ }^{\text {t }}$ villig-r'. Because $A, B$, and $T$ have a verb, in place of the noun in $U$, it is not a foregone conclusion that the reading of the latter is wrong.

The unfamiliar use of the noun after this uncommon adjective may have given rise to scribal emendations in the other manuscripts. NED. gives only 3 examples of 'willy' before Cl5. cf. NED. ouotation of use with noun:- Paston Letters I. 88:- 'I fonde her never so wylly to noon as sche is to hym'.

See Matth. XXVI. 41 and Liber Meditationum et
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36. 11 ferdenes drede $A$.

All the earliest examples of 'ferd(e)nes' occur in Northern texts. The word was probably unfamiliar to the scribe of $A$.
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3915

39 15-17 always been a favourite one. See:Peter, Epist.I,ii.24:- 'Qui peccata nostra ipse pertulit in corpore suo super lignum, ut peccatis mortui, justitiae vivamus; cujus livore sanati estit. ${ }^{\prime}$

It cure.
It seems more than possible that 2 senses were intended here:- 1) care, charge;
2) medical treatment.

There can be little doubt that the adaitional 2 lines in $A$ :
'and come near to me wi/ grace, as Pe Samaritan dide, and hilde in to my woundis oile of merci and wyn of counfort, and brynge me in to pe stable of charite and euere holde me undir /i cure' are original and have been omitted as a result of the repetition of 'cure'.
cf. Migne, B. L.t. CLXXXIV, Med. In Pass. et Res. Dom. (Pseudo-Bernard) coll.143-4:-

THaec sunt linteamina mundissima carnis tuae, quibus vulnera mea alligasti, o Samaritane misericors, ut imponeres me super jumentum tuum et perduceres in stabulum: auoniam vere languores nostros ipsos tulisti, et dolores nostros ipse portasti cujus livore sanati sumus..'

It seems that this is a reference either to the 'nailing' of Christ to the Cross, which is out of place here, or to the binding of Him before the scourging. But 'tree' is a strange word to apply to a pillar, and a reference to Christ's scourging, too, comes too early here. Perhaps a scribe, unfemiliar with the tradition of the tying of Christ's hands, when He was taken captive, attempted to make the line refer to the scourging, or crucifixion, by inserting the phrase 'to a tree.'

The variant reading, 'to a thef', may derive by an error of omission from the reading represented in $U$.
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Emendation is not necessary, if '/ $e^{\prime}$ is taken as s.2.pers. pron. 'inwardly' as an adverb and 'Joke' and ' $e n k e$ ' as infinitives without governing prepositions.

Page. Line.
goynes.
This is an isolated instance of a native word in $U$, corresponding to a Scandinavian word in the other manuscripts.

10-14 See Introduction, p. CXIV.
vnschrifte.
This word is not recorded in NED., although it is a simple enough compound.

476 purgatorie.
The words, to $\mathrm{be}^{\top}$, which appear in A , are written in the margin. At the beginning of the next line, a word starting with 'o' has been erased and the erasure covered with fresh script. The end of the word,'riede', appears ciear $\} y$, in large letters.

It is possible that the original reading of A agreed with that of $U$.
cf. $R$ Appendix (B), p. (3), 1.9 and foll. \& foll. This is the longest centinuous pass ge from the Meditatio quoted in the Royal MS. The readinge of $\bar{R}$ throw some light on the textual difficulties of this seation of the $A B U$ version:-
a) $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{p} .47,1.17-\mathrm{p} .48,1 . I$. The reading of $R$ : 'homines fortissimi maliciosissimi ex plurimis electi et te ad occidendum voluntarij'. [酉(3). 11.13-15] supports the version given in $U$, as also does $T$ (See Appendix C, p. (2) and footnote to text.)
b) U, p.48, 1.11. R, (p. (4) , 1. 2.), 'meliora' supports the emendation made on the evidence of $A$ and $B$. (See footnote to text of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{C}}$ )
c) U, p. 48, 1.16-p. 49, I.I.

The reading of $R(p .(4)$, 11.8-9) again supports the emendation suggested (See footnote to teit of $U_{\text {. }}$ )

See Didron, Xian Icon. II, Appendix II, p. 316: 'The Christ, his hands tied behind Him, is fastened to a columm; His body is covered with stripes.'
cf. St.Bon. Op. Om. Quaracchi, VIII, p. 77: 'candissimam carnem ilagellatores traculenti divellerent, plagas plagis, livores livoribus crudeliter infligentes... It is characteristic of the attitude to the Passion adopted by the late Midale Ages that the whips used in Christ's skourging should be described as 'knotted.' The torments our Lord suffered were more extreme that would be suffered by any common felon.

Page. Line. cf. The Matins of the Cross,in Carleton Brown, Religious Lyrics of the Fourteenth

Te Iues toke me, buffet me \& spittid in my face; with scharpe thornis Pai coronid me, with knottid scourgis ai deng me; so laitheli Pai, dight me: pat I was like a mewel til loke cf . Anselm of Lucca, Meditationes de Gestis D. N. Jesu Christi in P.I. CXIIX, c.613:

Tremens cerne, Enima, ministros sedentes, Carnem henc tenerrimam flagellis scindentes, Vulnera vulneribus tibi infligentes, Livores livoribus superimponentes.'

49 L-9 A passare quoted by C. V. Langhors, Ia Vie en France au lojen Age, t.III, La Connaissence de la Nature et du Nonde, p. 308, throws light on these lines:-
${ }^{\prime}$ Ce qui concerne les herbes, bonnes, medicinales ou veneneuses, est expedie tres rapidement: il est dit seulement que leurs qualites tiennent $\&$ leurs plus ou moins de chaleur ou de froideur, ou aux proportions du melange. Les vertus des pierres précieuses, l'auteur renonce anssi à les expliquer. Les anciens disent que "Dieu en fist son trésor en terre pour donner à ses amis"; d'autres qu'elles se forment dans la terre, aux dépens de I'air, sous I'influence des plantes.' ( Dialogue of Placides et Times.)

Is this Rolle s third degree of love? But the grades of love are discussed also (e.g.) by Richard of St. Victor, De IV Gradibus Violentae Charitatis. (in P.I. CXCVI, col. 1207 \& foll.)

## Page. Line.

| 50 | 10-18 I have not traced the source of this image. Somewhat similer is that given in the following lines from the Ancrene Riwle, ed. by J.Morton, Camden Society, 1853, p.292:- 'He hirnself cleope e touward peos wunden:'Veni columbe in Poraminibus petrae, in cavernas maceriae. Cant.ii. 14 'Mi kulure', he sei , ure Louerd, 'kum and hud pe ipe furles of mine limen, and ife holes of mine sice. Muchel luvede he feo kulure, pet he swuche holes makede. Loke nu Ret tu et he cleope kulure, habbe kulure kunde, Het is wiputen galle, and cum to him baldeliche...' |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | cf. the thought of the Stimulus Amoris <br> (S. Bonaventura, Op.Om., ed.PeItier, t.XII,p.634):- <br> 'Per valnerum ergo fenestras intra, et accipe medicinam sanativam.' The idea of hiding in the mound in Christ's side is found also (e.g.) in Daniel, Thesaurus Hymnologicus, Halle, 18, II 371(np.LXIII): <br> 'Iignare me, o Iesu. rogo te, <br> In cordis vulnere abscondere, <br> Permitte me hic बivere, <br> In two latere auiescere.' <br> cf. L, p.14, 11.5-8: <br> D synwes \& ₹i bonys styrten ott so starke, Pat i bonys may be nowmbryd; Pe stremys of Pi reede bloode rennyn as pe flood, Pi woundys are for-bled and grysly on to se.' <br> cf. Tanqueray, p2.157-'les veynes de son tendre corps rumpirent et les plaies escreverent. Si ae le sank decorut par tot de son corps come eawe en rosel; ${ }^{\text {t }}$ <br> 'The Privity of the Passion', Horstmann, Yk. Wr. I, 206- so that mene myghte tell all pe blyssede bones of his body. ' <br> St.Anselm of Lucea (op.spur.) Meditationes de Gestis D.N.Jesu Christí, in P.I. CXIIX, col.619: <br> 'Ece sancta viscera distensa arescunt Membra horribiliter cruenta rigescunt.' |

511 wey.
A preserves an adverbial genitive, 'weies'.
$517 \quad$ wip criynge mercy.
The gerund is preceded by a preposition and yet itself governs on object in the accusative case. Confusion of the functions of gerund and of participle probably accounts for this syntax. (See Kellner para. 4l6.)

## Page. Line.

51 12-17 Such extravagant similes abounded in mediaeval literature. Nicholas Fhillip, a Minorite preaching at Newcastle, in 1431, compared Christ's skin stretched on the Cross to a drum and attributed the image to St. Augustine and Stephen Lengton(See A. G. Little, Frenciscan Papers, Lists \& Documents, Oxford, $1943, \mathrm{p} .246$ and note.)

Compare also the Meditatio, p. 59 11. 20-21: "Ti bodi is streyned as a perchemyn skynne upon a racke.' Jacopone da Todi, Laud XI and Laud KXXXIII writes of the book of Christ's body:-
'Io sondibro de vita segnato de sette signe; Boi ch'io siraggio aperto, traverai cinque migni, son de sangue vermigne ove porran studiare;' en croce legendo
nel libro che $c$ 'e ensanguinato
Ca essa scrittura
me fa en natura
ed en filosofia conventato. - libro signato che dentio se'aurato e tutto fiorito d'amore:
See also Laud CI (Image of book of wounds.)
This type of simile must be connected with the traditional metaphor of Christ's crucified body as a Charter, freeing men from the Devil. (See M.C. Spalding, M. . Charters of Christ Bryn Mawr Monograph, pu. XLII-II.)
cf. Furnivall, Pol, Rel., Love Poems, EETS, 1903, pp. 271-278, 'An ABC Poem on the Passion', especially p.272:
'Hond and fout Par was ful woo, And ber were lettrys many moo With-in and with-oute, With rede wondis and strokis blo He was dryue from top to Pe too, Hys fayre body aboute.'

55 6-9 (cf. L, p. 8, 11.7-8.)
Ullmann notes the similarity of thought in Stim. Consc..1.5296:- 'And of pe croun of pornes fat was thrested on his heved fast, Pat he blode out rane, When Te thornes hym prikked til te harnpane And of pe scourges alswa pat brast his hyde, pat. Fe blode ran doun on ilk syde; ${ }^{\prime}$
8Joseph of Arim., with an 0 and an I'p. 150,1.10:-
'Fis croune was mad of Jorn fat prikkede into his panne Bofe byhynde, and aforn.' Ferner 'Disp. betw. Mary and Pe Cross', 1.67:- 'His hed is ful of Jornes hkke'; OE.Misc. 'The Passion of our Lord', p. 48, 1. 383, 'Of one wrase of pornes he wrypen hym one crune Of Jan alre kennuste, /at grewen in pe tune; Hi setten heo on his heved and vaste Ter to-peote. On everyche halue pat blod gon vt yeste. Seple hi knowede and seyde: Hayl, Gywene king!

```
    55 6-9
```

    (contd.)
    To these examples may be added:-
 'Et propter hoc non est inconveniens quod Bernardus attribuit transitis penarum spinorum usque ad cerebrum...;'

St. Bonaventura, Lignum Vitae, in Op. Om., Quaracchi, VIII, p.78:8...nunc spinarum perforari aculeis..,'

De Wohunge of Ure Lauerd in R.Morris, OE. Homilies, p. 281: 'Siten it /7ei besten doun 17 e coroune vppon Pin hed, and dresseden hit and raste and duden hit sitte faste, so pat Fe scharpe formes wenten in to e breyn.'
A. N.version of pseudo-Bernerdite Planctus, printed by Tanquerey, Plaintes de la Vierge en A.F., Paris, 1921, 0. 153:- 'mystrent ceste poignante corone sur sa teste, tant forte qe les poyntz des espines fermerent en les oilz de sa teste.'

Furnivall, Political, Religious \& Love Poems, EETS. p. 275:- Wo pryckis on hus panne so dere,
R. Morris, Legends of the Holy Rood, EETS. O.S. 46, Iondonk 1871, p. 150:-

His coronne was mad of 17 orn
And prikkede into his panne, Bole by hynde and a forn.' Ullmann ends the first sentence of the paragraph with 'byhynde'. This seems to involve a contradiction to 'bepi chekis'. 1. 15.

This is an instance in which the different subjects are sufficiently synonymous to be thought of as one idea and so made to govern a sg. verb. (See Kellnar, op.cit.,para.90.)

## frau'te.

This is derived from M.Du. 'vracht'. The variant in $B$, 'fright', may be derived from another form 'vrecht' and conseauently have undergone the Midland change of the raising of $e$ to $i$.

## Ierusalem.

Horstmann, Lindkvist print the final letter as 3. E. Maunde Thompson, Introduction to Gk. \& Latin Paleography, Oxford, 1912, 0.88 , states clearly that the 3-shaped sign was used in mediaeval times to represent final -m . It appears frequently in early printed books.

## dedeswoun.

Despite the variant readings of the other manuscripte, $U$ may be correct in retaining a past participial meaning in this word derived from OE. ' (ge-) swogen'.

Page. Line.
6017 See Kellner $\frac{\text { drope watir. }}{\text { para. } 174 .}$ In Cl3 and Cl4, nouns denoting quantity freauently governed uninflected adjectives.

62 8-9 This simile should be compared with the simile of the book written in red ink (p.51, 11.12-17 and note.) It was a common enough image in the fourteenth century, as is testified by its occurrence in the Meditacion of e fyue woundes of Thesu Crist, yk . Wr. II, p. 440):-
oure blessed fadir of hevene spared not his owen sone but suffeede hym to be streyned on the harde cros, maore despitously \& greuously pan euer was schepys skyn streyned on the wal or upon 7 e , parchemyn-makirs harowe ajens the sonne to arye;' and in The Privity of the Passion (Vk.Wr.I,p. 206)
:- 'And whene he was thus sprede o-brode one Pe crosse more straite an any parchemyn-skyn es spreae one e harow; so fat mene myghte tell all pe blyssece bones of his body.'

Compare 81so Tanouerey, op.cit.p.157:-
'Et d'un grand clou tacherent ses pieds a la croiz come parchemyn en forme.'

It is clear from such parallels that 'harowe' was a term commonly used for a frame for drying and stretching parchment, although NED. does not record the word in any sense at all akin to that demanded by the context in A. 'Rack' is mentioned as instrument for stretching cloth. The first quotation given belongs to a sixteenth century text. 'Racke' is a word of abscure origin, apparently related to M.Du. 'rekke'. It is possible that its provenance in the fourteenth century was not very wide. It is equally possible that it replaced 'harowe', in use aiter a space of time.
64 10-11 : لat place was wlatsum with stynk of carions many. Seee p. 64, 1. 17-p.65, 1. I:- Tou was lord done to e cariouns of pe towne weren oute-caste and ande L, p. 6, 11.4-7, p. 17, 11.6-8.
cf. St. Bonaventura, 'De Passionis Christi Memoria' $=$ cap. VI of De Perfectione Vitae Quarachi, VIII pp.120-121- 'Primo considera...quam mors
Iesu Christi sponsi tui fuit ignominiosissima... in monte Calvariae, ubi multa ossa et cadavera mortuorum iacebant. Ille siouidem locus erat morti damnatorum deputatus, et ibi decollabantur et suspendebantur non alii nisi pessimi homines;

## Page. Line.



If the original manuscript of this work was written in a N. F. dialect and then transcribed, as Horstmann and Linakvist assume, by a scribe from the South or the Midlands, then extensive substitution of $x$ native for Scandinavian pronouns must have occurred and panne may be a mistake for an isolated, surviving Scan. form, 'Maim'. But the emendation of $/$ anne' to'/ aim' is based upon a presupposition and is not essential to the interpretation of the text.

On the other hand, anne' may result from a misreading of 'anne' ('OE. Tam, surviving in


67 10- See Talkyng, in Mk. Nr. II, pp. 361-362 for a very similar passage.
neper.
This spelling may be due to confusion with 'ne Fer' an occasional fourteenth century version of Mod. E. 'neither', (OF. nawder altered on analogy of eger.) Ceo NED for examples of neper = reuther:)

The difficulty of the line is due to ellipsis. 'It' is accusative, referring to'hert' (1.15) and 'of' introduces an elliptic genitive (Kellner para. 177) qualifying the pronoun. The clause might be empanded: 'I ask not pat it shulde be of ${ }^{\text {ignite...' }}$

## GLOSSARY.

## Arrangement.

3, whatever its position in the word, is placed alphabetically immediately after $g$. $P$, and initial th, follows immediately after t. Vocalic $v$ and consonantal $i$ are classed under $u$ and $j$, respectively, and consonantal $u$ under $v$. Unstressed vowels, in combinations such as -es/-is/-us; -er/-ir/-ur, are not differentiated.

## Forms Recorded.

The infinitive of verbs and the singular of nouns are cited, when these forms appear in the text. Otherwise, the first occurrence of the inflected form is given. When infinitives of weak verbs or uninflected forms of nouns and adjectives, occur in the text, inflected forms are given in the glossary only if exceptional. If a word appears in $L$ and $U$, the form in $L$ is cited, but a second reference is made to $U$. No distinction is made between i, $y$; th, 7 ; $\}$, eh.

## Etymologies.

These are briefly indicated to distinguish between different words of similar appearance, as a guide to interpretation and a very general key to the proportion of native to foreign words used in the text. Unless the
dialect is specified, the Old English forms given are West Saxon. Vowels long in Old English, or lengthened at the end of the Old English period, are marked by a horizontal bar ( $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ). Vowels long in Old Norse are marked with an scute accent (á). No attempt is made to indicate vowel length in Old French words. Except in initial position, OE. Z and $g$ are both represented by $g$, in the historical forms cited.

## ABBREVIATIONS.

a.

AF.
aph.
inf i.
1.
med. I.
M. De.
M. Du.
n.

Nth. No.
OE.
OF, F.
ON.
ONE.
I. Icel.
red.
refl.
*
derived from.
Anglo-French.
aphotic.
influenced by, influence.
Latin.
Mediaeval Latin.
Middle Danish.
Middle Dutch. 1
See note.
Northumbrian dialect.
Old English.
Old French, French.
Old Norse.
Old Norman French.
Old Icelandic.
reduced from.
reflexive (or pleonastic).
denotes hypothetical forms, or textual emendations.

When compounds are first recorded in Middle English, the following symbols may be used for convenience:-

```
-LY - aj. suffix (OE. -lic)
-LY - av. sulfix (OE. -lice)
-LESS (OE. -lēas)
-ING -ger.suffix (OE. -ung)
```

Otherwise forms appearing in capitals within square brackets refer to words commented on elsewhere in the glossary.

## GLOSSARY.

A.

A, indef.art. a, one $5(11), 3 I(1)$; AFIER, av. afterwards,behind, Alv(before vowel) $1(9), 36(12) \quad 10(1)$; preo. following, [OE. ān.]

$$
\text { behind, } 7(6), 55(4) \cdot[\text { OE. xfter. }]
$$

A. interj. ah! $7(5), 54(10)[$ OEE $\overline{\text { a. }} \cdot 7$ AGAYIT av. against, contrary to, M7(4); prep. $17(9) \cdot$ red. OE. ABYDE.
$50(17) \frac{\text { vb. intrans. }}{\text { imper.pl. }} 19(10), 66(9)$; pret.pi. $75(16) \cdot$ OE. abidan.

AGAYNES. prep. As prec; $17(6)$ [Prec.+OE. (av.) g. s. -es.]
ABIDYNG. vbl.sb. remaining,
a.ttending, $51(1 \overline{7}) \cdot /$ Prec. + -ING. 7

ABOWTE. av. about, roundabout, 7(3); prep., about, around, $8(3), 56(14) \cdot$ [OE. abūtan.]

AGE. Bb. age, $54(18)$ [VF•ägge. $]$
AรEINE. av. again, once more, $33(17) \cdot$.OE. ongegn. 7

AJEYNES. prep. against,3(18), ACORDETH. In. Spratiod agrees, accords37(14). [Prec. + -es.] (with) $36(5)$ [OF. acorder. $]$

ACCUSACIOUNS, pl . sb, accusations, charges, 42(17)• RF.accusation.

ACCUSED. pret. charged, accused, $17(13) \cdot$ OF. acuser; cf.I accus- 7 ACCUSINGGIS. pl. sb. äccusations, $65(7)$ [Prec. + -ING.]

ACCUSOURS. $\mathrm{pl} . \mathrm{sb}$. accusers, witnesses, 42(13). AF. accousour.
ADOUN, av. down, 67 (15). Lred. OE. of dūne. 7

AFFECCIOUNS. s\%.pl. feelings, passions, lusts,41(14).
[F. affection.]
AFORE. prep. before, in front of, $42(13) \sqrt{\sqrt{r e d}}$. OE. on foran. 7

A SENWARD. av. in return,51(19). [OE. ongen + -welard.

AGREGGYD. pret. made heavy, aggravated, $10(9) \cdot$ (OF agreger. $/$.
AGREUED. p. p. overcome(with), tormented, $65(7)$. [OF. agrever.]
ATAS. interj. alas! 7(8),55(8).

AIIJT. vb. alight, descend, 72(10). 〈OE. alintan.]
$\mathrm{AL}(L E, ~ a j . ~ a l l, ~ e a c h, I(13)$, $31(4)$; $\frac{\partial v . ~ e n t i r e l y, ~ f u l l y, ~}{\text {, }}$ quite, etc. $2(5), 51(13)$. [OE. eal(1.]

ALMYGTY. aj. almighty,22(9). [OE. Relmihtig.]

AL. av.cj. as, so, just as 20(16) ARNYS. sb.pJ. arms, 9 (6) , 58(8). red. Nth. alswa.. $\overline{\text { OE. earm.] }}$
ALso.
$49(4) \frac{\text { Qv. }}{\text { LAs }}$ also, just so, $20(10)$,,$~$
AMENDE. Vb. free from faults, reform, $\overline{34(1) . ~ O F ~ a m e n d e r . / ~}$
AMENDEMEMTS. Sb. reformation,23(2), 69(4). [OF. amendement.]
AMENDYINGE. Vbl. sb . correcting, reformation. 31(15). ANEIVDE (VV). + -ING. 7
AMYDDE. prep. amidst, among, in the middle of $70(6) \cdot$ red. OE. on middan.?
AMONG. prep. among, 3(2),58(5); ?av. meanwhile. 16 (19) OE. amang, ampng. 7
AND. cj. and, $I$ ( 1 ) , 32 (I) , OE and, ond.
AIVGER. sb. trouble, affliction, 45(3) \OV. angr.]
AlJGUISE. sb. torment, grief. 37 (I). LOF. anguisse. 7 ANGWYSCH. sb. I (9), $36(11) \cdot$ [As prec. 7
ANOYNTE. imper. s. vb. anoint, consecrate by pouring on precious liauid, 53 (18). $0 \mathrm{~F} \cdot$ enoient $\mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{pl}$. aj) ; cf. AF. an-.]


APAIEDE. p.p.satisfied, contentied, requited,32(16). OOF apaier.]
APPETYTE. Sb. desire, craving, $12(3)$, AUNGEL. Sb. angel, $1(10), 36$ (12). $60(16) \cdot$ OF. apnetit.
AR3HNES. sb. timidity, 59(4). COE. eary + -NESS. 7
ARY3TE. av. rightly, 15 (18) ,63(11). [red. OE. an/on rihte.]

ARNEDE.D.p.a.j. supplied with weapons, armed,8(3),56(14). [OF. armer.]
ARRAY. sb. dress, $53(3) \cdot$ [AF. arai.]
ARWEIVESSE Sb. 10(20). See AR 3 HIES.
AS. av.e.j. as, like, 6(4),38(12). red.Nth. alswe.?
ASKE. S. I. pres. ind. ask, reauest,
7
ASKYINGE. Vbl. Sb . recuest, petition 20(14). PPrec.+ -ING.
ASSAYLYIVGES. Vbl. Sb. ol. attacks, assaults, $3(\overline{21)}, 4.5(5)$. [OF. asaillir + -ING.]
ASSEIPE. Vb. agree, submit (to), $\triangle 1(2) \cdot \angle \mathrm{OF}$. assenter. 7
ASSYGNED. p. p. apnointed, allotted, $21(17) \cdot[0 \mathrm{~F} \cdot$-ssigner. $]$
AT. prep. at, 6(6),52(2); from, 29(9),14(I), n.; according: to,27(2),32(8). [OT. Rt.]
ATIRE. sb. dress, adornment, $53(4) \cdot \angle 0 \mathrm{~F}$. atirer.
ATWYINEE. av. in two, 39 (16). Sred. OE. $2 n-\sqrt{o n}+$ ON. twinn. 7
A/IRSTE, aj. thirsty, $68(14) \sqrt{\text { red. }}$ OE. offyrst $\{$.p)

LOF. aungele.
AWAY. ev. away, $48(16) \cdot$ Lred.
OE. on weg. 7

## B.

BAC. sb. back, $6(4), 57(4) \cdot \angle \overline{O E}$. becc. 7
BAD. nret. s. commanded, en joined, $9(1 \overline{8}) \cdot$ OE. bidàn; bēoclan.]
BALI. Sb. evil, nain, torment, 12(8),6I (3). 「OE. beIu.7
BAINKE. sb. bank, shore, edge of river, $50(1) \cdot$ OO. IceI. bakki.]
BARE. aj. bare, naked, $8(15), 57(4)$.


BATH. Sb. bath,25(10), 71 (10). LOE. bxx. 7

BEFORE, BHFORIT. prep. before, in front of, $I(3), 2$ on. beforan. 7 CP. BYFORE.

BEGMNETH. s. 3. pres. ind. begins, 31 (I); pret. S. BEGANT,II (20), $60(11) \cdot$ LOE. beginnan.]
BEGOIV. D. D. beset; WOO-BEGON, 6(13), 54. (11). KOw. began; original phrase; him whe wà began.]
BEHYNDE. av. behind, $7(6)$, OE.behincan]
BERE. Vb carry , bear, 6(3),56(1); nret. s. BARE, $6(5), 54(6): \frac{\text { pret. pi }}{}$. BARE,16(15),64(6). OE.beran,
BERINGE. VbI. Sb. carrying, 65 (14). Prec. + INC.
BEIRYD. D. D. buried; 29 (14) - OE.byrgan,
BAf E. Vb. bethe, $27(6), 73(8) \cdot$ COE. BESECHE. S. I. pres. ind.beseech, beg, Dexion.]
entreat, $1(4), 3 I(3)$;pret. s. $20(7)$. $\mathrm{BE}+\mathrm{OF}$. sēcon.

BE. Vb.be, $2(11), 32(15) ;$ s 2.pres.
inc. ART, 99$), 39(5) ;$ s. 3 pres. BESERN. p. p. treated, used, ill-used, ind. IS, $\overline{3}(9), 36(6)$; ol.ores. ind: $57(2) \cdot$ DE besen.] ARE,9(1); ARIN,63(1);BEN,35(14):
imper. S. BE, $5(13), 53(16)$; pres. BESEKK. Vb. 12(4),60(16). See Subj. BE, 1 ( 12 ), $38(6)$; S. 2 pret. BESECHE. ind. WERE, $3(5), 33(12) ;$ WAS,
38(4); s.3.pret.ind. WAS, 6 (I5), BESILI. av. busily, diligently, 41 (6).
 9 (10), $47(17)$; pret. subj. WERE, 6(4),54(8); p. D. BEN, 15(3),59(6);BEST. Sp. aj. See GODE. $\mathrm{BE}, 67$ (12); BIEN, 11 (10) OE. bēon.

## wes, viexron.]

BE.prep. by, near, alongside, $7(5) \cdot$ ZOE. be 7 cf.BY.

BESTOOD. pret. s. beset, $6(20) ~\ulcorner O E$. bestandan, bestod.]
BECLEMYD, D. p. smeared, bedaubed, BETAKT. S. I. pres.ind. deliver,
 $73(14)$; pret.s. BETOKE,21(15),
 pret.s.l(9);p.p. $7(20), 71(9) . \quad$ BYTAU; TE, $21(12), n \cdot 27 \mathrm{BE}+$ TAKE/ BEDYST•D.D.aj•arrayed, clothed, BETE. ${ }^{1}$ vb.beat, strike, 6(2). COE. $7(I I) \cdot \angle \overline{B E}+0 \mathrm{E} \cdot$ dintan. 7 béatan.7

BEPE. ${ }^{2}$ pret. s. heal, cure, amend, BYRDEN. sb. burden, 9 (2) $\angle$ OE. IS(1ヶ). VOE. bētan. byrsen. $\overline{\text { cf. BIRpIN. }}$
BETYIVGE.Vbl. sb. beating, $8(11)$, BIREFrE.pret. robbed, deprived, $57(9) \cdot \widehat{\text { BETE }_{1}}+$ IIVG. 7

BETOKENYTE. S. 3. pres. ind. signifies, $\overline{15}(13) \cdot$ ? 0 E.放etācnien; cf. OE. täcn, tencnung.7 $59(13) \cdot$ ZOE. beréafian. 7
BYRLED.D.p. offered drink,22(19). [OE. byrelian.]
BIR/IN. Sb. 57 (12) . See BYRDEN.
BETRAIDE. pret.s.betrayed, $37(10)$ BYSCHOPUS. Sb. nl. bishops, chief
[BE + OF.trair.]
BETIIT. CD. av. See VEL.
BETVINE. prep. between, 24 (3). LOE. betwēonum
BY. pren. by , 19 (4), $55(4)$. OE. bi 7 See BE.(prep)
priests,2(14). ГOE. bisc(e)ov a. L. episcopus.]

BYSYNESSE. sb. diligence, industry, $31(9) \cdot$ [OE. Dysignes.]
BYMrIERE aj. cmul, severe, $5(1)$, $47(16)$. ZOE. biter.

BITWIXE. pren. between, 70 (5). BY-BLED. D.p. covered with blood, OE. betweoxn. 7 $5(8) \cdot\langle\overline{B Y}+$ obledan.
BIDDIINGE. Vbl. so. order, in junction,
/eIFKE. vb. cill to mind, consider, $32(7), 54(11) \cdot 20 \mathrm{E} \cdot$ biddan + ING?
BYFORE. nrep. before, in pront of, blame.] $3(25), 35(9) ;$ av. $55(5) \cdot \sqrt{\mathrm{A} s}$ BFFORE. 7
BYFORI. av. 7 (6). [As prec.]
BYHOLDE. Vb. behold, look, 25 (2);
BIASPHEMYS. $\frac{\mathrm{sb} . \mathrm{pl} \text {. blasphemies, }, ~ \text {, }}{}$ 44(10). [F.blasohème.]
BLEDDERYD. D.p. swollen, blistered, $8(7)$. [App. a next.]
imper. p1.19(10),66(9);pret.s. BYMEID,I6(17),42(2). Angl.
bihaldan.
BILEEUE Vb believe, $40(6)$. COE. bi + Angl. Léfan.

BLEDDERYS. $\frac{\mathrm{sb} \cdot \mathrm{pl}}{\mathrm{D}}$.blisters,6(21). 20E. blecare. $\overline{\text { are }}$
BLEDE. Vb. shed blood,22(20). [OE.blēdan.]

BILEEUE. Sb. Iaith,belief, trust, BLEDYIGE. Vbl. sb. shedding of $39(17) \cdot \sqrt{b} i+0 \mathrm{E} \cdot$ E-afa.
BYNDE. imper. s.bind, fasten, 39 (15) BLEYNES. sb. pl.blisters, pustules, pret. D1. BOWINDYN, $2(5), 39(14)$; p.p.2(11), $47(15) \cdot[$ OE. bindan. 7

BYNDINGE. vbI. sb. binding, tying, $65(13) \cdot$ QPrec. + ING. 7

BLYND．aj．blind，14（14），63（4）． \OE．blind． 7
BLYNDE－BORN．aj．blind from birth，15（12）．LPrec．＋OF． （se）boren．7

BUYDIG ETMYNG．vbl．sb．blind－ foldine，striking blind， 46（2）．ROE．blind + fellen +

BODYLY．aj．physical，bodily，fleshly， $3(20), 45(6) \cdot$ ITrom prec． 7
3OFETYNG•Vbl．Sb．buffeting， striking， $8(10), 57(10) \cdot$ OT buffeter．＋ING． 7
3OLD．aj．bold，courageous，10（18）， 59 （3）－［Ang1．bala．］
BOLIND．D．D．SWOIIen，8（10），57（1）． ［Da．bolne－；OH．bolgne．］

BLYN（D）FELD．D．D．struck blind， blinded， $17(5), 64(13) \cdot \angle$ blina + BOIV．sb．bone， $8(17) \cdot \mathrm{pl} \cdot 71(2)$ ． fellan． ［OE．bān． 7
BLYIN YNGE．Vbl．sb．blinding，46（6）BONDE．sb．bond，tie，sheckle， 41 （4）； OE．blindan＋ING，
pl．2（10）－ON．band．］
BLYSFUL．aj．blissful，46（7）．

$$
2 \text { Next }+\frac{10.0 \perp 1}{\text { FUL. }}
$$

BONDEMAN．sb．serf，vassel，38（13）． ［OE．bondafrom QN．bbndi．＋MAN．］ BLYSSE．sb．bliss，perfect joy of BOOK．sb bopt $15(12), 51(12)$ ．LOI．bōc． 7 heaven， $7(13), 55(12) \cdot \angle \mathrm{EE}$ ． blifs $>$ bliss．
PISSED．A．． $43(75)$ ．See TLESSEDE．〈PPartiy infl．prec．$\overline{ }$
BLOD．Sb．blood，I（II）， 37 （1）．LOE． bIō．$\overline{7}$
BLODY • Qj．bloody，8（7），57（12）．


BOTE．Vb．cure，amend．64（12）．$\sqrt{\text { Prob．}}$ from next． 7
BOTE．Sb ．remedy，cure， $12(8), 61$（2）．

BOUZIST．s．2．pret．didst buy，
$39(7) \cdot$ OE．bycgan．
BOWE．Dl．ores．subj．bow，submit， obey，32（7）．［OE．būgan．］

BIONDRE．S．I．nres．ind．move blindly，flounder， $14(13), 63(3)$ ．BRAYNT－RANNE．sb．skull， $7(8), 55(8)$ ．〈Etrmology uncertain．x\＆ex．］［OE．breg（e）n＋panne，gonne．］ BLONTE．2j．blunt， $13(10), 61(17)$ ．BREDE．sb．breadth，I3（7）．LOE． ZEtymology unknovm；possibly side－root of BLIND．See prec］

## 8009208

BLOO．aj．Iivia， 9 （2）．［ON•ble．• BLOWITH．s．3．pres．ind．blows， $28(6) \cdot$ OE．blāwan．
BODY．sb．body， $2(1), 37(5) \cdot$ LOE． bodig．

BREEST．sb．breast，11（5），新5（12）． ［OE．brē̄ost．］
BREKE．s．pres．subj．break， 41 （4）． ［OE．brecan． 7
BREINE．vb．burn， 10 （15）．COE． brinnan，beernan；infl．ON．brenna．7

BREINIVIVG．Vbl．sb．burning，58（16）．CARIONS．sb．ol．As prec．，64（11）． Frec．＋ING． LONF caroine．$/$

BRESITE．Vb．burst， $6(10)$ ；pret． DI ． $16(10), 64(4) \cdot$ OE．berstan．
NRIDDIS．Sb．nI．birds，66（6）．万OE．bridd．
BRIJT．aj．bricht， $111(23) \cdot \angle O \mathrm{E}$ ． beorht． 7
BRTIVGE．imper．s．bring， 50 （4）； p．2． $15(11) \cdot$ TOE．bringan．
BRODE． $\mathrm{E} . \mathrm{bros}$ ，wide；ON BRODE， $58(8-\overline{9}) \cdot$ ¿OE．brāa． 7

BRODE．av．broad，fer apart， wide，$\overline{62}(5)$ ．LOE．brāae．＇
BUFFPTIDE．pret．struck blows， 52（16）．OF．buffeter；cf．next．
BUFFETYS．Sb．pl．buffets，blows， $2(15), 57(1) \cdot$ OF buffet．］
＊BUNE．Bb．reed，5（1）•［OI．bune．］
BUT．c，j．but， $7(14), 47(5)$ ；except， $39(1) \cdot\langle 0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{buta}(\underline{n}) \cdot 7$

## C．

CACCHE $\cdot \mathrm{Vb} \cdot$ catch，seize，hasten
to， $50(13) \cdot$ OONF $\cdot$ cachier．
CALIKD．pret．callea． 4 （18）• ON． kalla，in OE． 7
CAN．S．I．pres．ind．can，know how to， $36(10) ;$ pret．s．COUPHE，
$17(18) ;$ COND， $20(11) ; \mathrm{KOWFE}$, $65(11) \cdot$ LOE．can，cupe．］
CAREYNES．Sb．pl．corpses， 17 （I）． FForm difficult to explain， see next． 7

CAS．sb．IIjCAS，in the event， at need，22（14），68（5）．万F cas． 7
CASTEI．sb．castle，stronghold， 60 （12）－LONF castel；OE．castel （＝villa）a I．castelium．］
CASTYIV．Vb．cast，throw，25（I）， 71 （4）． ［ON．kasta．］
CAUSE．Sb．cause，reason，II（12）， $59(16) \cdot$［F．ceuse．］
CAUSE： 4 ．s．3．presaind causes，brings about，induces，65（4）．／med．I． causāre． 7
CELIIS．Sb．DI．Gells，compartments in honey－comb．51（1）．See below SELIE．〈
CHARYTE．sb charity，Christian love， $3(6), 41(4) \cdot$ OOF $\cdot$ charite．$]$
CHASYD．D．p．pursued，chased， 9 （21）． ［O．Central F．chacier．］
CHAUNGYNGE Vbl．sb．changing，change， 6（12）；exchange，22（7），67（18）； ［Next＋ING．］
CHAWIVGE．vb．change，alter，24（21）．〈OF．changer．〉
CHAWIVGE．sb．change，exchange，22（10） AF ．chaunge．］
CHEKYS．sb．D1．cheeks， $7(5), 55(4)$ ． ［0E．cēace．］
CHERE．sb．face，expression，mood， $7(12), 44(5) \cdot \boxed{0 \mathrm{~F}} \cdot \underline{\text { chere．}}$
CHESE．s．pret．K．chose， 33 （I3）； CHOSEN，D．D． $47(17)$ ．OE cēas， inf．cēosan；p．p．reformed from infy，
CHEUETEYN．sb．chief，24（5）．LOF． chevetaine． 7

CHYLD．sb．child，12（10）；
CHYLDREN，D1，9（20）－LOF．cild， cildru；infl．wk．sb．pl．form． 7

CHYN．sb．chin，28（2），74（12）． ［OE．cin．］
CHIRCHE．Bb．church， 40 （7）．ГOE． circe． 7
CHOSEI．Sb．a D．p．chosen，elect， 19（18）．See above，CHIESE， CHOSEN．

CYME．sb．city， 9 （5），70（7）．LOF． cité． 7
CLAD．pp．2j．clothed， 4 （16）LOE． clāod，inflected cladoe． 7

CLEMYD．pret．t．adhered，stuck， $6(14)$ ．OE．clxeman． 7 See BECLEME and．n．to $5(10)$ ．

CLENE．日j．clean，pure，unsullied， $8(14), 57(3) \cdot$ LOE．çene． 7

CLEPED．pret．＊．called，named． $52(15) \cdot$ ГOE．cleovian． 7

CLERE．Vb．clear，purify，48（16）． $\langle$ From Or．cler（aj．）$\rangle$

CLERELY．Qv．clearly，olainly， 46（8）．$\widehat{\text { As prec．}+1 \mathrm{Y}_{2} .7}$
CLEUE ${ }^{\text {I }}$－vb．split，break，6（10）， 74（8）；pret．s．CLEF，27（2）； pret．pl．CIOUYN， 25 （18）；wht pnet pl． CLEUEDE， $71(18)$ ． OE cleofan．
CLEUE．${ }^{2}$ s．3．pres．subj．cling， adhere，39（9）．ZOE．clifian． 7

CLODRED．D．D．clotted，74（15）n．「Possy．iterative a OE．clot（ $t$ ） （sb） 7

CLOSYNG．vbl．sb．hinge，door，that which closes，26（I）．〈Prec．＋ING．$/$
 CLOTH．sb．piece of cloth，article of clothing，18（11）；01．28（20）．
LOE．clef．

CLO ED．p．p．clothed，52（12）．$\sqrt{2}$ OE clàrian．$\overline{7} \mathrm{cf}$ ．CLAD．
CLO INGE．vbl．sb $\cdot$ clothing，dress， $53(3) \cdot \sqrt{\text { As prec．}}+$ ING． 7
CLOWDE．Sb．cloud， 48 （14）．LOE．clūd． 7 ．
COLD．aj．cold，29（15）／Angl．cold．］
COLOUR．sb．colour，complexion， 67 （16）． OF，AF．colour．
COMAUNDENENT． sb ．bidãing，command， 54（12）．［0F．commandement．

CONE．Vb．come， 3 （12）， $42(8)$ ；s．pret．
CONE， 36 （12），CAM，I（10），$n$ ．
［OE．cuman．］
COMFORT．SD．comfort，solece，succour， 15（9）．［0F．confort．］

COMPORTE（N）．Vb．comfort，relieve． 21（13），67（5）．［OF．confortier．］

COMYINGE．vbl． sb ．coming，26（13）， $72(13) \cdot \overline{\text { LCOME }}+$ ING．］

COMOWN．aj．common，ordinary．9（11）． ［OF．comun．］

COMPANYE．sb companionship，fellow－ ship，22（16），68（6）．LOF． compagnie；AF compaynie． 7
，COMPASSYOUN．sb．sympathy，particip－ ation in suffering，12（2），60（14）． F．compassion．］

CLOSE．sb．enclosed place，cloister， $50(8) \cdot[$ F．clos．$]$
CLOSYD．p．p．closed，enclosed， $26(12) \cdot$ ． $0 \mathrm{~F} \cdot \mathrm{clos-}$ ，stem of clore；cf．OE．clyssen．］

COMPIAYNE．Sb．compline，last
service of the day，52（2）．$\angle \mathrm{OF}$ ． complie；the origin of the form with $\underline{n}$ is obscure． 7

CONCEYUE. vb. aprehend, understand,51(18). KOF.conceveir, - oix. 7

CONTORT. Sb. I(14). See COMFORT. CONFORTYN. vb. I (IO) See COREORTE (St.

CONSCIENCE. Sb conscience. 49 (1). [T. conscience.]

GRI. Ab. cry, call, shout, 17(16),65(9). FF.cri. 7

CRIED. pret. cried, shouted, 17 (16), $65(10) \cdot 2 \mathrm{~F} \cdot$ crier. 7

GRIMIGE. vbl. sb crying, cries, shouts, $\overline{5 I(7)} \cdot$ EPrec. + IVG, 7
GRISTEIT• aj. Christian,55(9). $\angle$ OT. cristen 7

CONTEMPIACIOUN • Sb contemplation,CROIS. Sb. cross,54(6) - LOF $\cdot$ crois. 7 $51(8) \cdot[0 \mathrm{~T} \cdot$ contemplacion.]

CONTINUALY. av. continually, $47(8) \cdot \angle O F \cdot$ continuel $+I Y_{2} \cdot 7$

CONTINUAUNCE. Sb continuence, perseverance, 34(2). 20. continuance.]
COITINUE. vb. continue, 40 (14)
[OF. continuee.]
CONTINUYNGE. vbl. sb . continuance, 5I (9). [Prec.+ ING.]
CONTRARIOUS. aj. apposed in purpose, hostile, perverse, $36(1) \cdot[0 \mathrm{~F} \cdot$ contrarious.7
CONTRICIOUN. sb sorrow for sin, $32(1), n \cdot$ [OF.contriciun.]
CORDYS. Sb.pl. ropes,18(7),65(15). [F. corde.]
COROWAE. sb crown $4(17), 55(12)$. [AF. coroune.]

COROWNYNGE. Vbl. sb crowning, IV(5),53(15). $\mathrm{ANF} \cdot$ corouner +

CORSEDE.p.p.ej.5(11). See CURSYD.

CRAUE. Vb.beg, implore, earnestly desire, $21(7) \cdot$ 0E.crafian. $]$
CREATURE. Sb. creature, created thing, $44(\overline{3})$. [F.créature.]

CROS. Sb. 6(8),56(12). LAs prec.7
CRONANE. Sb. $7(7$ ). See CORONINE. (sb.)
CROWNYD. p. p. crommed, 5(8),52(13). [AF. comuner, $]$
CROWSED.p.p. crushed,57(4). $\angle$ ?OF. croussir. 7

CRUCIFIXE. Sb crucilied one, 75 (7) [OT. crucefix; I. crucifix-us.]
CRUEL. aj. fierce, savage, causing pain. $13(2)$ LF cruel.

CURE. sb. care, charge;medical treatment, 38,17$),$ n. [OF. cure.]
CURSYD. p . p. a.j. cursed, 4(21) L Late OE. cursian. 7

CURTEYS. $2 . j$ courteous, gracious, $21(3) \cdot \sqrt{\text { Or }} \cdot$ curteis.
CUSTOME. sb. customspractice. 46 (4). [OF. custume.]

## D.

DAY. sb. day, 20 (I3), 35 (I3) • [OE. dxg.]
DAIIAUNCE. sbtalk, converse, delight, 52(3),69(5). LOF,AF. dalier; sb. unrecorded in OF, AF.]

DANPIVACIOUII. sb. cemnetion, 4.6(7) K7ac. F. demmacion.

DJLLAYI G. VbI. SD. deley, tarrying,


DATMCIENOMS. aj. heughty, severe, reluctant to give,81(6). A A - denserous.]
DAMMPVICI. VbI. sb. ceressing, $20(5) \cdot$ [OF. danter + INC.]

IEITUI. aj, sorrowful, pitiful. 2А (9). [AT. dael + FUL.] CP.DOLEINUL.
DELFULLX. av. sorrovfully, grievously, 19(I). [एrec. + IY2.]cI.DOOLFULIM.

DICYPLI. So . disciole, $3(3)$, 19 (2). DELITABLE. aj. delightine, having〈OF. decinle.〉
pleasure, $\overline{5 I}(10)$. [OF. delitable.]
 [OE. $\overline{\mathrm{c} \times \mathrm{e}}$. dēman:]
DEDE• aj. dead, 15 (II) ,63(6). DEMNYS. sb. D.Iairs, dens. 19 (5), [OE. तecd. 7

DEJESV/OUH. aj. der thyy-faint, cuite incensible,58(10)n.
LDIDE (aj.) + OE. (Ee)swögen.] $66(6) \cdot$ DEE denn. 7
DEPAMME. imper. S. Deint, decorate with colour,53(19). LB . dépeint, n, s. 3.pres. of depeindre.]
DFDESWOWE: Sb. death-like trancedrPARME. pres. sbj. nart, divide, 39 (16) complete insensibility, IO (7). LOF. departix.]

Fheck-fometion on 1 F .
swowe(vb.) from prec. 7
DHDIY. Aj.mortal, deathly, II ( 1 ), $59(15) \cdot\left\langle\operatorname{DEDE}\left(\right.\right.$ ®j. $\left.\left.^{2}\right)+1 Y_{1}\right\rangle$
DED-PAIE. aj. deathly-pale, 22 (2). LDEDE (aj.) + PALE.
DEEF-TYME. SD, time of aca.th, $66(6) \cdot\langle\overline{D E M H}+T Y M E . \overline{ }$

DEPPIR. cn.ev. deeper $48(6) \lll 0 E$. deove. 9
DERE. Qj. dean, precious, beloved,

DERWOR/E. a.j. honourable, Glorious, $60(11) \cdot$ TOE $\cdot$ aEorwurye.
DESERTYD. $\frac{0}{}$. $\cdot$ deserved,merited, $5(5)$, $59(16) \cdot[\overline{O F} \cdot$ deservir. $]$

DFFEMDE. s.2.pres. subj. restrein, prevent, protect, 5(4). COF. DESYR. sb. desire, with,12(1), 31(14). defendre. 7 [OF. desir.]

DFFOULII.D. 2 . trampled down DESIRE. Vb. desire, earnestly wish, outraged, deriled. $7(16), 55(15) \cdot 53(4) \cdot$ [OF. desirer.] [OI. defouler.]
DEGREE. Sb. rank, degree, 53(9).
DESIRYING•Vbl. sb $\cdot$ yearning, desire,
69(13). [Pec. + ING. [OF. degre.]

DAYMMEE. sb. delight, joy. 43 (I3). DESPITE. Sb. contempt, disdain, anger, [OF. deintié, dainté.] $45(1)$. [OF. despit.]

INTH. SD. death, 2(9),33(6), [OE. बerp.]

DOGGE. sb. dog, 8(6),56(16). LIate OE. doces.]

DEAH-BED. sb. bed of death, I9 (1) DOLIPIL. 2j. sorrowful, 22(11), 6I (3). [rec. + OT.bed. d).] [IVext + FuL.] ci.DELVUL.
LEUELYS. E.S. Sb. devil's. 25(17). DOOI. sb. sorrow, Grief, 10(9), 58(11). [a OE. तêofol.] [OI.dol.]
LEUOCOOUIV Sb. devotion, I6 (3), DOOLFUILY. Ev. sorrowfully, grievously, $31(14) \cdot[\overline{O P} \cdot$ devocion.] 13(14) • [IOLIUL + IY2.]
DHWOUI. aj. devout, ious. 31(1). DOl. $\frac{\mathrm{sb}}{}$. judgment, sentence, $17(17)$, [OF. devot.] $\Delta 3(6)$. [OE. dōm.]
 [OF. deew + drop $(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{e}$.$] domes (\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{sib})+$ IIAlv.]
DYE. vb. đie, 18 (15). [See DEIE. 7 DOUn. 2v. down,5(2),55( 4 ). LJate
DIGIVTE. sb. dignity, worthiness, nobility, $24(2), 70(1), 26(11)$, DONFW. sb. dove, $50(12)$. LOIV. dúfa:? $72(11) \cdot 20 \mathrm{~F} \cdot$ dignete. $\bar{y}$

OI. * d풀. 7
DYG D. n. arreyed, aopointed, DRAVE. Vb. draw, drag, pull, 万 (8);pret. $13(14), 15(20) \cdot$ [OT, aihtan.]
DYMIE. aj. dark, $63(1) \cdot$ [OE. dimm.] [OE. dragan.]
DISGRFCIOUI. Sb. discrimination, DRAWINGE. vbl. sb. drawing, pulling, di cemment, prucence, $40(15)$. $65(5)$. Prec. + ING. 7 [OF. discrecion.]

DISESE. Sb. trouble, pain, $4 \vartheta(4)$. [AF. disease.]
LISGISYIG. Vbl. Sb.fantastic dress,53(4). KOF. dessuisier

+ ING.

DISPITOUS. aj. contemptuous, cruel, insultine, 46 (2). $\angle \mathrm{AF}$. despitous. 7
DYUERSE. aj.many \& verious; cruel, $15(10) \cdot[$ OF $\cdot$ divers $(e)$.
DO. vb. do,place, put, fasten, $38(9) ;$ pret. DI. DYDE (N), $2(5)$,
$56(3) ; 0 \cdot D \cdot D O N, 17(21), 33(15)$.
$[\mathrm{OE} \cdot \mathrm{don}$.

DREDE. Sb. dread, fear,10(25),59(7). [OE. (on-) droedan.]

DRERY. $2 j$. sed, melencholy, doleful, 59 (13). [OE. arēorig.]
DRYED.D. D. dried, 6(17),54(17). [OE. dryE (e)an.]
DRYNESSE. Sb. dryness, 65(4). LOE. aryge $(2 j)+$. NESS. 7
DRYUE, pret. DI. drove, forced, thrust 13(8); imper.S., 38 (13). [OF. drifan (ins] DROPE. sb. arop,II (15) ,66 (4) 20E. aroprole] DROPPE. Vb, drop, 26(6); pres. part. $72(7)$. ZOE. dropoian.
jTnHows. sb. alovecote,50(10).


## E.

## each

ECIE. aj . , pron. $5($ II $), 31(6)$.
TCHE. vb. auement, increase, add, 65(6). Ang7. ècan.

ECHI G. vbl.sb. increasine, increase,68(i7). Erec. +InG.7 ECGEN. nl. pres. ind. urge, incitte, $16(10)$. LON. eggja Da egge.

EGRE. Rj. scute, sever,$\triangle 7(I 3)$. [OT.aigre.]
 LOE.
EYZE. Sb. 42 (6) ; DI. EI'EEN, 58 (4). see prec.
EYRE. Sb. air, $19(1), 66(\triangle) \cdot \angle \mathrm{OF}$. Qix. $\overline{7}$
EYSYLE. sb vineger,22(19),68(16) ERYS. Sb.pl. ears,17(12). LOE. Ēare.] [OF.aisi].]
EYTHER. $2 j$. Oron. either, 10 (12), $58(1 \angle) \cdot$ [OE.
सKYNG. Vbl. sb $\cdot$ increasing, aggravation,16(23). LProb. Northern dialect from OI. écan(vb.);cf. ESELY.av. calmly, quietly,56(8). OE. Ęce. (sb.)]

GIDDE Sb. end, $20(2) \cdot$ LOE. ende. $]$
Hidelsis.aj. endless, infinite, 24(14), 70(13). LOI. endeles.
MHDELESLY. env. endlessly,for ever, ธ6(12) • [Prec.+IY $\cdot$ ]
EIDID.D.p. ended, 27(16),74(5). [OT. endian.]
ENEMYE $\operatorname{sb}$. enemy, the Enemy (the Devil), 34 (6); DI. eneraies 2(15). [OF . enemi.:
EINIM YMIJE. pret. made sticky, 4(20), n. FIN+GL IM, etymology obscure, perhens rel. to M.Dur.kleem ('clay') $]$
ENSAUMPLE. Sb. instonce, pattern, model,5I( $\overline{19}) \cdot$ ? AF $\cdot$ ensample (in some editions of Aritton $x$ ) = OF. essaumple. 7
EINPEIVCOUN. sb. intention, purpose, intent, $31(\overline{6}) \cdot$ [OF. entencion. $]$
ElMEN'E. Sb. case, circumstance, 26(16).
[OF. entente.]
ENVYE. sb/rmalice, ill-will, 9 (12). [5. envie.]
ER.cj. before, $47(7)$. [OEIEr.] ERROUR. sb error, wrong-doing, sin, $40(2)$;DI.9(15). [OF.errour.]
ERTHE . sb. earth, 18(14),32(19). [0E. eorpe.7
$\left[0 T \cdot\right.$ aisié $\left.^{2}+\mathrm{LY}_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right]$

MILYS. \&V. else, otherwise, besidesFUEL(E) •aj. evil, wicked, 18 (3), 40 (2). 10(24),59(8). [OE.ęles.] [OE• yfel.]

EITCHESOUN. sb. occesion, cause, $28(14)$. [AF $\cdot$ encheson.]

EUERE. av. ever, 6 (II) , $32(7)$.
[OE. Zire.]

ENCRESUTH. S. 3. pres.ind. increases, EUERY. aj. every, each, 52(1). augments, $14(8), 62(12) . ~[A F$. encreser.]

EUERMORE, nv. evermore, for - FASMYIGE. vbl. sb. fasting, 8(18),57(8) ever. $46(\overline{13}) \cdot$ [EUTL(E) + UE mare. 2 VE. fxstan + ING.]
EUYNSOMGF. Sb evensone, vespers, FEBYL. aj. feeble, weak, 8 (17) ,57(7).
$29(10), 52(2) \cdot$ LOE. $\overline{x i v e n}+$ sang, song. 7
EXCELIENTL a aj. excellent, 24(2), ro(5). [F.excellent. $]$

EXCLUDYYTG•Vb1. sb exclusion, preclusion, 46(7). LI. excludere + ING.]
EXCUSE, vo. excuse, Iree from (blank), $43(6) \cdot \angle O F \cdot$ excuser.]

## T.

FAGE. Sb. face, $4(19), 43$ (II). [F. Iace. 7

LOF. eeble. 7

FPEMDE. sb. enemy, devil, 38 (12). [OE.fēond.]

FELE. Vb. feel, I5 (2),63(8). ГOE. felan. 7
FELYIG. Vbl. sb $\cdot f e e l i n g$, sense, 14(12),
$62(8) \cdot \frac{\text { Prec. }}{\text { PreIVG. }}$
FELLY: av. cruelly, fiercely, 6(3), $54(7) \cdot\left[0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{fe} \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{IY}_{2} .7\right.$

TELOMYES. Sb. DI. sins, crimes, 2(4), $4.1(18) \cdot[$ F.felonie. $]$

PELOM. sb companion, fellow, partner,


PER. av.far, 8(20),59(11); cu. av. FERYER.53(9)• VOE. feor $\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \cdot 7$
 LOF. fader.]
FADUR.sb. father,20(7),69(16), E.S. PADIR, $70(5) \cdot \angle \mathrm{EE}$ feder.]

FAYNE. av. gladly, willingly, eagerly. 34(8). LOE.fxg(e)ne.]
FAYRE. aj. fair,pleasing,4(20), $62(10) \cdot$ LOE. fxger.]

FERUOUR. sb. warmth of feeling, zeal, 63(13). [OF. fervour.]
FESTE. imper. S. make fast,fasten, $\triangle 0(1)$. ZOE. Ixstan; cf.ON. festa.]
FET. D. p. fetched, brought back, II (4), 59 (12); imoer.S. 38(12). LOE. fetian.
FAIFFULII. av. faithfully, 42 (15) EYLYTH. s.3.nres. ind. defiles, for $\dot{x} \frac{\text { feid, }}{\text { feit }}$ (Pronounced fei犭.?feif.) + $\mathrm{FU}+\mathrm{LY}_{2} .7$
FALIE. Vb. Pall,9(19);befall, $25(11), 71(11) ;$ pret.s. $10(2)$,
$58(3)$;pret. o1.
$22(1), 67(16)$. [Angl. fallan.]
FALS.aj.false, 6(13),42(13). Late OE.fals a L. falsus.]
FASTE. av. firmly, closely, $6(14)$, pollutes,15(6). 〈OE. (ge-) £yIan.7

PYNDE. Vb. find, $16(5), 63(13) ; p \cdot p$. FOWMVN,19(15). LOE. findan.]
FYRST. av. first, atfirst,13(8),61(14). [OE. iyrst.]
FYSSHE. Sb. fish, 49 (19) • [OE. fisc.] 39(9);quickly,21(21),67(13). LOE. Exste.]

TYUE．num．aj．Iive，I7（2）．रOE．PIf，FORDOI•p．p．brought low，aestroyed，

TLAT• aj．Plat，13（4），61（12）． ＜ON．£Iet－r．］
FLIE．s．I．pres．sbj．IIee，escape， $38(11)$ ．〈OE．f1ēon．］
FLESCI．Sb．Plesh，6（15），34（5）． ［OT．A］気c．］

BLESCITELY．aj．Pleshly，bodily， physical，$\overline{23}(7) \cdot \angle$ Prec．$+\mathrm{LY}_{1}$ ．，

RLYTTE．vb．depart，$\frac{\text { away }}{}$ $25(8), 7 I(8) \cdot$ LOI flytja． 7
FLOCKE．Vb．troon， GO in a crowd，
 OIT．Ploce－r．7
FLOOD．Sb．flood，sea，14（7）；pl． $74(11)$ ． 6 E .110 Cl ．］
FILQURIS．sb．pl．Plowers，52（6）． LOF．flour．
FOLC．sb．people，5（9），53（13）． ［OE．POIc．］

FOLENE．Vb．follow， 10 （3），58（5）． ［OE．folgian．］
FONDYNGE．Vbl．sb．temptation， trial，69（10）；pl．I（3）．［OE． fandung，fondung． 7
FOO．sb foe，enemy， 41 （3）；pI． $3(20)$ ．〈OE．気．〉
FOODE．sb．food，sustenance，20（3）． LOE．f जवa，
FOR．prep．for，I（3），32（1）；cf． 10（21），47（16）．［OE．for（prep．）］
FOR－BLED．p．p．drained of blood， govered with blood，14（7）． COE．for - ＋bledan．

FORCRYED．p．p． 5 （12），53（14）．
Meaning doubtful，See n． Prob． OE．for + P．crier． 7

9（21）．［OI．Cor + don．
TORGEPE．Vb．Forget， $27(6) \cdot$ VOE． forgietan；infl．ON．geta．］
FORGYUE．vb．Iorgive， $20(9) \cdot$ ГOE． forziefan；infl．OIV．Qifa．
FORGO．Vb renounce，let $\mathbb{E O}, 21$（11）． ［OE．forgā．］
FORFETE．S．I．Dres．subj．forget， 73（12）．〈AnEl．forzetan．
POR弓EUE．vb．forgive，66（12）．LAngl． forzefan．］
FORSAKYM．p．p．forsaken，denied，23（9）， 13（3）；pret．s．FORSOKE， $42(3)$ ． ［OE．forsacan．］
FORMHE．av．forth， 8 （2），56（13）ГOE． ford． 7
Forf．av．therefore，20（I3）．LOE． for $\gamma \overline{\bar{y}} \cdot]$
FOOT．sb．foot，step，9（3），57（13）；pl． FEET，8（5），56（16）． $\mathrm{OE} \cdot \mathrm{f} \mathrm{\bar{o} t}, \mathrm{ēt}$,
FOULT．aj．foul，loathsome，filthy，

FOULY．av．foully，filthily，8（20）． Prec．$+\mathrm{LY}_{2}$ ．
FOWLUS．sb．pI．birds，19（5）．LOE．Pugol，7
FOXES．sb．pl．Foxes，19（5），66（5）． ［OE．fox．］
FRAUSTE．aj．Iaden， $57(7) \cdot / \mathbb{M} \cdot D u$. vrecht． 7 See $n$ ．
FRENDE．sb．Priend， $41(3) \cdot$ OE．Irēond． 7 FRESSHE．aj．Presh，eager， 32 （9）． OE ． fersc；cf．OF．freis $(\mathrm{m})$ ，fresche $(\mathrm{f})$ ．7
FRO．prep．from，3（8），33（1）．［ON．£rá．］

PROM．prep．from，24（7），70（9）．〈OE．Pran，from． 7
FRUYME．Sb．Iruit， $49(7)$ ．LOE． Pruit．
FUL．aj．fuII，I（9）， $38(18)$ ；2v．
16（21）， $60(8) \cdot 2$ OE．Iull．

GLADE．Vb．make gled，cause to rejoice， $29(2) \cdot$［OE．gladian．］
GLADYIVG．vbl．Sb．delight，joy，29（3）． ［OE．Glæd＋ING．］
GIADLY ：av．Eladly，with pleasure，6（I）．〈OE．Rl］+ LY $_{2}$ ป

PULFILIE．Vb．fulfil，51（9）．Prec．GLADIES．Sb．Gladness，delight，40（10）．

+ OF．PVIIan． 7
BULIY．ev．fully， 73 （16）． COE ． fullice．7
［Eled + TESS． 7
GLVDE．Vb．Glide，slip，pass swiftly 8 ： smoothiy through，22（5）．20E．slidan？
GLORYOUSE：ai．Glorious，I3（14），7I（5）． ／AE• Elorious．／
GOD．sb．God，16（4），40（8）．［OE．god．］ GODE．Sb．goodness，good thing，7（18）； pI． $65(17) \cdot[0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{g} 0 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{sb})$.

GALEWYS $\%$ sb ．gallows，cross，6（5），GODE．aj．good， $20(5), 33(19)$ ；cp． 54（9）．Angl．galga．］
GAILE $\operatorname{sb} \cdot 8 a 11,17(9), 65(3) \cdot$ Anga． Ealla．］
GATYS．Sb．pl．ways，paths，4（5）． ［ON．gata．］See NONGATE．
GAYREN．pl．pres．ind．gape，stare， geze in woncer，57（16）．x． ［Poss．frequentative a ON．gé．］
GETE．vb．get，obtain，procure， $16(6), 63(16) \cdot$ ON ，geta；Angl． jetan．
GYF．s．imper．give， $15(14) / \overline{\mathrm{D} a}$ ． give；cr．Angl．Kefan．

GO．vb．go，45（12）；S．3．pres．ind．7（20）； $\frac{\text { pret．s．}}{\text { Wede，}}$ ， $2(8), 41(11) ;$ pret．pl．
 gān；ēode；wendan．7
GOYNGES．Vbl．sb．pl．journeyings， 41 （13）． ［Prec．+ ING． 7
GOODITES．Sb．goodness， 31 （15）．LOE． gōanes．］
GOST• sb．spirit，soul， 27 （11）〈OE．gäst． 7
GYFM．sb．gift，7（18）．KON．gift． 7 GOSTELY．aj．spiritual， $3(21), 32(12)$ ． cf．${ }^{\text {IFTE }}$
GYLT．sb．guilt，sin，offence， 9 （2lGQUERNAUNCE．sb．control，sway，39（3）． $37(16) \cdot$ LOE．gylt．］［OF．gouvernance．］
GYLTELES．aj，innocent，blameless，GRACE．sb．grace，divine favour， 3 （IO）， 11（12）．万OT．gyltiēas． 7
GYLTY．aj．guilty，25（3），70（18）． ［OE．gVItig．］

31 （4）；pl．thanks，I（1），39（13） $2 \sqrt{\mathrm{~F}}$ ． grâce． 7
GRACYOUS aj．gracious，merciful， 7 （9）， $47(10) \cdot$ OFF racious． 7

GRAUYD. pret. cut, engraved, 13(11). [OE. 2rafan.]

GRATVY. Sb. Dleraves,25(15). [OT. RTRef.]
GRAVITME. sb. crant, bequest, 20(16). TFram nexi']
\&
GRAVAME. Vb. Erant, permit, bestow, $\overline{3 I}(2)$;pret. 66(16). LAT. Eraunter.
GREIE. Aj. Green, 49 (5) • LOE. grene. 7
GRESLY. a.j. $70(11)$, n. See GRYSLY.

GRET. aj. great, 8(18),37(13); sv. $37(12) \cdot$ OE. rreat.
GRFPTE pret. wept, 30 (3). [OE. Erēotan.]
GRIMPE•pretwent, lamented, shed tears, $9(11)$. LAnEl. arētan; of.prec,
GREUAUIVCES. Sb. DI. wrongs, hardships, injuries, $4(3)$. LOF. grevance.]
GREUE. vb. grieve, hurt, cause pain, $24(20), 71(3) \cdot \angle \mathrm{F}$.
grever. 7
GREUOWS. aj. grievous, hurtful, painful, I7 (8), 65(2). LOF. grevous. 7
GRYM. aj. horrible, grim,8(21). [OE. Rrim(m).]
GRYNTYNG. Vbl. sb. Gnashing of teeth, $55(10)$. ? formation by analogy with grind, grent, grunt.]

## GRYSYTPH s. 3 .pres. ind. 8 (12).



GRYSLY. ajo horrible, dreacful, 10(23). LLate OF. grislic.]

GRONDDEST. S. 2, oret. didst groan,57(5); pres.p.17(1). ZOE. grānien./
GRONVIVGE. vbl. Sb. Crooning, r(11),55(10). EPrec. + IMG.
GROUNDE. Sb. ground, earth, 13(15), 61(12). LOE. grund.]
GRONE •S. B. pres.ind Erows, $49(5) \cdot \angle \mathrm{EE}$. growan. 7
GROWING •pres.p.aj• Erowing, 49 (7). [As prec.]
GRUCGHYIGE Vbl. Sb Mummeing, complaining, 7 (II). [IVext + IIVG.]

GRUCCIE. vb. Mumur , grumble, complain, $47(4)$. [OF. gruch-ier.]
GUODE $\frac{\mathrm{sb}}{\mathrm{Gb}}$ EOOd man, 24 (15). n, See GODE (aj. cc . )]

## $\overline{3}$

JATYS. sb, ol. gates, doors,25(15). LAngl. 3e.t.]
SE.pI.2.pers. pron. Jou, 19 (9), 66(9); poss.ai. OURE,10(12),58(15). LOE .

弓EF.ci. if, 16(1). [Lb. zef]ci. 弓NF,IF.
JIIDE. s. I. pres.ind. yield, orfer up,I(1),
39 (13). LAngI. Zeldan.? $39(13) \cdot[$ Angl. 3 eldan. $]$
JEIDYIVG.?vbl. Sb. offering,16(3).
ic[Prec. + ING. 7
ZERIMNG. Vbl. sb. yeaming, great desire, longing,23(2),69(13). LAs next +IIVG. 7

SUUE. s. pres. sbj. Eive, $3(18)$; imper.s. $32(4)$; pret. S. ЗAF,20(16), ЗAUE,32(14);

З-TIE. conta. ) pret. pl. ЗATE,
 [Ancl. zeran?
 3if. 7
 zifte. 7
JM. av. vet, still, Hurthemore, $4(10), 38(15) \cdot$ [OL. 3 Iet. $]$
$301 / G E \cdot$ aj. voung, 54 (18) $\cdot \angle$ OI. zune, zeong.7
YOURE. poss. 2j.10(12),58(15). See $\overline{\text { ₹ }}$
H.

MALDYNG. $\mathrm{vbl} \cdot \mathrm{sb} \cdot$ estimation
consideration, opinion, $12(3)$. consideration, opinion
Angl.haldan + Ing.
HALT $\cdot$ sb helf, side. 28 (10). [Angl.half.'
HAN. Vb. have, $15(8)$. See HAUE. HAND. sb hana, 13(9);pl.64(6). [OE. hand, hond.〉
HAIVGE. Vb. hang, 26(19); vik. pret. 8(15),65(16); strg. Dret. s. 16(20); pret. s. WWIGYD,17(7); HANGE, $70(8) \cdot$ OE. hangian; hön,fallen together.]
HALGYIVGE. Vbl. sb, hanging, $5(13) \cdot$ OE. hangian + IING. 7
HARD. aj. hard, severe, cruel,
difficult to bear, $3(17), 61(6) ;$ HEIJ. aj. high, $33(1)$. /OE. hēahfcf. FYE.


HATVED. pret.t. tomiented, corded, drove forth, $8(4), \angle 4$ (14). $\angle \mathrm{EE}$. herzien; cf. Or.harie-r. 7
UAPRIYMG. vbl. sb. Eoading, driving, tommenting,65(13). [Prec. + IIMG. 7

HATE. Vb. hate, 41 (7) • QOE. hatian. 7
DITI. sb. hate, hetred, 41 (4). LOIT. hat-x;cf. OE. hete and prec. 7
UTRED. sb. hateed, malice, $17(14)$. [Prec. + OE. rædan.]
ITAUE. Vb. have, II (10), $2(15)$; su. Dres. ind. FAUT, $12(5), 60(15) ;$ s.2. Dres. ind. FAST, 15 (11), $53(11)$; s. 3.pres.ind. HATH, $7(r)$; l. pres. ind. FAN, $5(5)$; -HAJMIT,36(1):pret. HADDE,5(3). [OE. habben.]
WAUING. vbI. sb. having, 58 (2) [Prec. + ING],
HANKE . sb. hawk, 50 (15) • [OE. heafoc.]
 $38(13)$; reIl. HYMSELF,16(1), $63(13)$. LOE. he; dat.him;g.his; +seli.]
HE ${ }^{2}$. pron. she, $10(6)(7), 16(18) \cdot$ LOE. hēo. 7 See HEO.
HEESTIS. sb.pI. commands, purposes,51(10). LOE. hase assimilated to $1 \mathbb{E}$. sbs. in -te $\overline{\boldsymbol{a} O E-t .] ~}$
HED. Si. head. $4(8), 53(7) \cdot[0 \mathrm{E} \cdot$ heafod.]
HEDYD. pret.beheaded, 6(6).[Erom prec.] HEDIRWARDE. av. 45(8). See HYDERWARDE.

HEEDE: Sb. heed, attention, regard,66(14). LOE. hedan. (vb.)]
 $\rightarrow \square$

TELJ．Vb．heal，cure，amend，I7（3）．HERTLY．av．heartily，sincerely， 47 （7） ［OI．Ћ产1an．］［rec．＋LY．2．］
HELE．Sh．health，vell－heing，HEUENE．sb．heaven，1（10），32（19）．
 LOS．heofon．］
HELLE．Sb heII，18（12）；（used attributivel，${ }^{2}(15), 55(13)$ ． ［OT．Me91．］

TEUQILY．Ej．heavenly，celestial， 15（12）：［Prec＋LY ${ }_{1}$ ．］
HEUELLYOHI．2j． $27(2)$ ．See prec．
HULP．Sb．help，Did，relief， $1(12)$ ， $37(2) \cdot / 0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{helo}$ ）

IEM．pron．acc．\＆dat．them，
$9(15), 32(13) ;$ poss. .j. HERE,
$8(5) ; \operatorname{HR}, 56(16) ;$ refl. HEM-
SELUE, $9(18)$. (OE. heom; heora,
hire; +self.

HEITY．aj．heavy， $8(14), 57(3) \cdot[O E \cdot$ heifg．$]$ HEUYD．Dret．lifted，reised with effort 64（2）．［OE．hefd－；hebban（inf．）］
HYDE．Vo．hice，cover， $7(19), 55(17)$ ． ［OF．hच̃dan．7
HYDERWARDE．av．hitherward，this way， 5（25）．［OE．hiderw（e）ard．］
HEO．pron．she， 10 （10）；acc．\＆dat．


HYE．aj．high， $8(14)$ ；ON FYE，I6（8）． ［OI．heah．cf．HEIJ．］
FYED．$\frac{12}{} 5(12) \cdot$ driven on，forced to hasten，
HEPYIVGE．prespaj，heaping，in－ creasing，10（15）．KOw hēanian，Try－pret．promised，20（3）• Angl． hent；hētan．（inf．）〕
HERBIS．sb．pl．herbs，plants， $52(6) \cdot$ KOF $\cdot(\mathrm{h})$ erbe． 7

HYL．Sb．hill，16（9）［［OE．hyl（1）．］
HERE．vb．hear， 17 （12）．Angl．heran，\％iILIEE．vb，cover，hice，protect，
HERE．av．here， 31 （1）．〈OE．her．
HEREMYT．Sb hermit， 31 （2）．
Kived．I．heremita．7
HERESIE．Sb heresy， 40 （2）．$\frac{\angle O F}{7}$ ． heresie． 7
HERYNG．vbl．sb．hearing， $17(11)$ ， $65(6) \cdot$ सHERE，（vb）．＋ING． 7
HERYS．Sb．p1．21（19）．See ERYS．
HYMSELF，HIMSILF．refl．pron． 16 （II）， $63(13) \cdot[$ See $\mathrm{HE} ;+\mathrm{OE}$ Self．silf．］
HYRTYD．p．D．injureà，wounded，20（5）． ［OF．hurter．］
HOLJE．Vb．hold，keep，grasp，consider， $32(16)$ ；pret．pl．HELDE， $3(19), 44(13)$ ．
 ［Angl．halcian．］
HOLDES．sb．pl．stroncholds，44（13）． HERKEN．imper．s．hearken！listen！［Angl．（ge）hald．］ 12（9）．［OE．hercnian．］
HERIE：sb heart，3（8），31（4）． ［OE．heorte．］

HOLY. aj. holy, secred, I (?),

HOLIDAY. SD. Pestivel, 70 (7),
LOI. hē igaxg
HOLLY. 2 v . wholly, entirely,
23(9), $39(6)$. L'OLE (9.) $)+\mathrm{LI}_{2} 7$
I. pron. I, I(1), $31(3)$; acc. dedat. $M E, I(13)$,

[OE. hel + sum; cf. ON. heilsenn.]
irOnt . sb. home, n9 (I) • [OE. hām.]
YSELF, 20(1),54(2). 20 ED . ic, mé min; +
HOLDIWERM. sb. handywork, $39(4) \cdot$ YCHE. aj. each, $7(6) \cdot$ COE. YIc.]
[OE. handweorc, hondweorc. 7
HONY. sb. honey, 51 (2) $[\text { OE. hunie. }]^{\text {ILKE. aj. that, the same, } 4(4) \cdot[\mathrm{OE} \cdot \text { ilca. }]}$ IMADE. D. D. $31(2)$. See MAKE. (vb.)
HoITYCOIJBE . Sb. honeycomb, 51 (I). [OE. huniccomb, hunigegmb.] Il.prep. in, I(13),3I(15). av. 44(13). HOPE. Vb hope, 40 (I6), (OI hopian, HOPE: sb hope, 39 (18). LIete OE. hona. 7
HOM. aj. hot, passionate, 11 (3).
HOUIDYS. Sb. pl. hounds, dogs, $r(15), 55(13) \cdot[0 \mathbb{~ h u n d .}$
HOURIS. sb. pl. canonical hours, $52(2) \cdot$ [AF houre.]
HoUsE. sb. house, äwelling 鹃ace, $50(15) \cdot \angle 0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{hu} \mathrm{s}$.]
How. interrog. Dion. how, 8 (6), $55(\overline{8}) \cdot$ LOE. hū
HUNGIR. sb . hunger , 69 (8). ГOE. hungor.]
HURLED. pret. hurled, threw down, drove with violence, 8(1). LEtymology unknow; ?onomatopeeic formation. 7

IMCARIACIOUN. sb. incarnation, 33(7). L12 c.NF. incarnaciun.]
INDIRIY. aj. hidden, deep, further within, $58(6)$ (see $\left.n_{0}\right)$ [a OE. innera (cn.aj.) + LY. 7
INKE. sb. ink, 51(13). LOF.enaue.]
INE. ak. inside, in, within, 3(17). LOE. inne.]
IINOWJ. aj. enough, sufficient, 48 (15); av. enough, sufficiently,16(\%),50(í4). [OE. Eenos.]
INSIF 1 . sb . insight, understanding, eniightenment, $63(9) \cdot[I N+$ SY 3.$]$
INSTEDE. atv. instead, in the place (of), 21(13). [IN + OE. stede.]
INTO. prep. into, $2(1), 37(5) \cdot$ LOE. in(n) $+\overline{1} \cdot 7$
IIWWARDLY. ?av. inverdyy, within, in heart, $43(14)$. (See n.) [Angl. inwardiIc.]
IT.pron. it, $4(10), 37(11)$. LOE. hit.]

IAY. sb. jey, magpie, 62(I6). [OT. jey.]

IOYIMISS. sb.pl. joints,62(3). [ $\mathrm{OR} \cdot \mathrm{joint(e)} \cdot$ ]
IUGGE. Vb. juage, 5(15). [AF.
juge-n.]
IUGGIDEITP sb . sentence, thet which is assigned in punishment,54(6). [F.jugement.]
K.

KASTELYS.Sb. PI. 11 (21), See CASTEL.

MELE. Vb. cool, ouench, assuage,
I5(I7). [OE. CĒIen.]
KHLYNG. vbl. sb. cooling, auenching, 68(16). [Prec. + ING.].

IGEI E. aj. cruel, sharp, acute, causing pain, $8(8), 59(4)$. [OE. cēne.]

KyRTPI. sb.kirtel, tunic, shirt, 6(12), $54(19) \cdot[O E \cdot$ cyrtel;
OIT. kyrtili.]

MVSSED. pret. kissed, 30 (2). [OE. cyssan.] KMUERE. Vb. cover, 18 (11) • [or • cuevre.] KnIEES. Sb. 1. knees, $52(15) \cdot[$ OE. cnēo(w) $]$ KLELMVG. VbI. sb. kneeling, 4 (18);pres.卫. $52(15) \cdot[$.0. $\cdot$ neowlian + IVG. $]$

KNY 3 TES. sb. Dl $\cdot$ common soldiers,
$8(3), \mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{S6}(14) \cdot[0 \mathrm{cmiht}$.
KMYT. pket. tied, fastened, bound, IS (5). [OE. chyttan.]

KIVTMYIGE. Vbl. sb. fastening, binding, 18(7). [Prec.+ ING.]
kiomris. sb.pl.knots(in thongs), 48 (5) [OE. Cnotta]

KITOWE. Vb. know, $27(20)$; pret. S. KIUEW, $20(15)$;pret. D1.KNE E,9(15). [OE. (GE)cnāwan.]

KOMNYNGE. vbl. sb. understanding, skill, intelligence, $\bar{B}$ ( 5 ) . [OE. cunnan+IIVG.] KUIIVITGLI. av. $\mathrm{abIy}, 41$ (6).[Prec. $+L Y_{2}$.]

KEPE. vbkeep, take care of,21 (15),

$$
67(5) \cdot[\text { Late OE cenan.] }
$$

KEST. pret. cast, 58 (4). [As CASIVIT.]

KYIDE. Sb. kind, nature, 25(17), $37(14)$. [OE. (getcynd.]

KYIDEL. Vb. kindle, cause to burn, $7 \overline{3}$ (10); imper.s. $27(4)$. [rel.OIV. KYINDA.]

KYNDELY. av. naturally, through natural affection,58(16). [OE. (ge) cyndelice.]
KING. Sb. King, 4(19), 65(8). [OE. cining, cyng.]

## L.

IADY. sb. Iady, $10(18), 59(3)$. [OE. h] $\overline{\text { dige. }}$

IAY. Vb. Iay, set,place down,25(6), $70(\overline{16}) \cdot[0 \mathrm{E} \cdot$ leg-; lecgan(inf.) $]$
LANE. a.j. lame, he Iting, 32(9). [OE.
larna, loma.]
IARGE. aj. liberal, generous,bountiful, $11(18), 60(8) \cdot[F \cdot$ large. $]$

LARGELY. Ev. liberally, generousIV, 4 (12). [Prec. + LY_ $_{2}$ ]
IAST. \&v. IastIy, 74 (5) - [OE. 1etost.]
LASTE. aj. last, latest, (as. si.) $27(15), 74(1) \cdot$ [OI. ]

IASTE. Vb. last, endure, continue,

IAT. forming imper. Iet, allow, $\operatorname{lr}(19), 4.3(17)$. [OI. Ietan; infl. ON. láte.]

IuIIYIGE. VbI. Sb. allowing, leaving, $46(5)$, IrG.
+

ILAUE. Vb. wash, pourg 27 (25). [OE. 1afian; F. lave-r.]
LANE. Sb. Iaw, 2(15); 2l. 69(7). [ Iate OE. Iage<prehistoric O1. *IEgu; cf.0.Icel. Iog.]

LICCHENOUS. aj. lecherous, lascivious, $17(11)$. [OF. Iecheros.]
LECHE. sb. physician, healer, 27(2). [OE. ]xee.]

LEDE. pl.pres. ind. lead, bring, draw, 8 (2);pet. 56 (13).
[OE. ]巨dan.]
LEEN. aj. dear, loved, precious, 11 (17),60(8). [OE. Iēof.]
LEMYS. Sb. pl . $32(5)$. (See LYMES.)
LEIDIS. sb. pl. Ioins, 65(18). [OE. Ieñ $\overline{\text { anu }}(s b . p l)$.
LENE. imper. s. Iend, grant, 15 (16) $63(10) \cdot[\mathrm{OF} \cdot 1$ घenan. $]$
LENGERE. eff. aj. 20 (17). See LONGE ( $2 . j \cdot$ )
LENKTHE. sb. Iength, I3 (7). [OE. leng7ti]

LEIVYNGE. vb1. sb. Ieaning, 62(8). [OE. hleonian + IIVG。]

LERINE. vb. Iearn,51 (19). [OE. Ieornian.]
LESTE.c.j.lest, in order that. . not, $40(12) \cdot[O E \cdot(\nabla \bar{y}) 1 \overline{e s}$.
ITSTPYIGE. vbl. Sb persevering, enauring, 31(15) $\cdot[0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \underline{1 \text { gstan }}+$ ING. $]$ cf. IASTE. (vb.)

LET. Dret. let,allowed, pemitted, $6(1 \overline{3}), 39(3) \cdot[\mathrm{OE}$, ]etan.] cf. LAT.
JTMTE. vb. hinder, obstruct, $10(24)$, $59(8) \cdot$ [OE. Iettan; ON. Ietja.]
IETMYIGE. VbI. sb. hindrance, obstruction,59 (15); DI. II (7).[Prec. + IITG.]

LEUE. Sb. Ieave, departure, 2l(11), $67(4)$;LEUE-TAKYITGE. Vb1. Sb. , Ieave taking, 21 (2 $2 \theta$ ) , 67(13).[0T.]êaf; see TAKYIGE.]
LEUE. aj. 29 (7). See LEEF.
IEUYM. vb. Ieave, forsake, renounce,


LYF. Sb. Iife,2(I),31(15).[OE.IIf.]
IMFT. Det. lifted, raised,16(8). [ON. 1.vota.]
IIGGE. Vb. Iie, lie down, 71 ( 7 ); s. 2 . pres. ind. IYST, 14(2); pl.pres.ind. IVIT,25(5);pretIAY,24(18),71(1). [OE. Iicgan, Iig-; ON. Iiggja.]
LY $3 \mathrm{~T} . \mathrm{sb}$. light, illumination, 25(16), 7I(16). [OE. IE(0)ht.]
LY3 TE. S. 2. pres. subj. 2light; 26(10); s.3.pres. subj. 39(7). [OE.]îhtan.]

IV 3 TED. pret. Iighted, illuminated, $15(12)$;pres. $\cdot 48(14) \cdot[0 \mathrm{E}$. IIhtan.]
LYK. aj. Iike,19 (11),66(10); cp.


LYKATDE.pres.D.aj.pleasine, jorrous, $25(1) \cdot[0 \mathrm{E}$. 1 Ician
bres.

## ITKYMG. presp. aj. pleasinc, joyous, $33(5) \cdot[$ ifcien + IISG]

LIKYG.vbl. Sb. pleasure, deliEht, $15(8), 44(1) \cdot[$ OE. Iicung.]
LYKYTH. s. 5. pres. ind.pleases, 21(9).[0E.IIcian.]
IYINE.S.I. pres. Iick, 15 (6). [OE. liccian.]
LYNITS. Sb. Iikeness, image, $43(16) \cdot[1 \mathrm{~B} .1$ Icnes.]

LONGE. Ev. Iong, 28 (21). [OE. Iange, Iqnge.]
LOMCEP. S. 3. presind belongs, pertains, $39(6) \cdot[$ [ OR. ( (RE) lang.]

LOIGYMGE. vbl. sb. Ionging, Jeaming, 31 (11). [OE. Iencuns, 1qngung.]
LOO, intj. Io! look! 21(14).
[?Shortened form of OE, 10̄n(imper.)]
LOOSE : aj. loose, limp, uncontrolled, $22(1) \cdot[01 \cdot$ 10uss, 1auss.]
LOOT. sb. Iots $(=\mathrm{L} \cdot$ sors $) 28(20) \cdot[0 \mathrm{E}$. hlot; ON. hlot-r.]
IORD. Sb. Iord, I (1),31 (3) • [OE. hlaford.]
3)

LORDSCHIPE. sb $\cdot$ dominion, Iorashin,
$33(2) \cdot[$ Prec. + SHIP. $]$
IOSSE. Sb. Ioss, 24(11), 70(12). [OE. *los (土 -10 se );017.10s.]
LOST.D.p.lost, $15(8) \cdot[$ OE. 1osian $]$
 LYMH. sb. Iimb, 24(6), 70(9), [OI.IIT]
LYTHER. aj. base, rascally, wicked, I3 (2). [OE. I.vयre.]
LYUE. Vb. Iive, $7(9)$. [OE.ITfa libban.]
IXUYNGE. vbl. sb. Iiving, 23 (10) [Prec. + IVG.]
LOKE. vi . look, 43(I3);pa.t. $3(3)$ - OE. Iocian]
LOKYIVGE. vbl. Sb. looking, look, $3(2), 42(1) \cdot[$ Prec. + ING. $]$
LONDE. sb. 1and ,50 (3). [OE. land, lond.]
LONGE. aj. long, 47 (13); cp. LENGERE, $20(17)$. [OE. 1 ang,


LOPE.aj. apposed to, hostile, $45(14)$.
[OE. Iap.]
IO LY. aj. Ioathsome, hideous,8(12).

LOUE. vb. Iove, 31 (II) [OE. Iufian.]
LOUE. Sb. Iove, 2(8),31(12).[OE. Iufu.]
-LOUELY. 2.j. Iovely, $8(9) \cdot[$ OE. Iuplic.]
LOWE. aj. (as sb.) 33 (I); 33(2); av. 43(3). [ON. IaE-r.]
LUSIE. sb. desire, yearning, 3I(12); pl. $15(9)$. [OE. Iust.]

## M.

MAY. s. pres. ind. may, can , $3(8)$, $37\left(\frac{4}{4}\right) ;$ pres.01. MAY, $3(10)$,
$42(8) ; 10 \sqrt{15}, \triangle 2(7) ;$ pret. $42(8) ; M O E, 12(7)$ pret. MYME, I (15), 42 (5) .[OE.
mx; magon; minte.]
MAYDTE, Sb. Vingin, 21 (15), Shortened a oE.mpeden.

MAIDEN. Sb. Virgin,maiden, $67(10) \cdot[0 E \cdot$ mecien. $]$
MAYDEIMEDE. sb. maidenhood, virginity used attributivelv) 10(20),59 (5) • [Prec. + *-hed.]
MAYSTER. Sb master, 4(19),52(16) [OF•maistre.]
MAKE. Vb. make, $7(20)$; imper. . $38(10) ;$ pret. $1(3), 10(9)$,
$31(3) ;$ n. p. MAD, $8(13) ;$
INADE, $31(2) \cdot[0 E$. macian. $]$

MAKELES Q.j. matclliess without $^{\text {w }}$ enual, 10(14),59(1) $\cdot\left[\right.$ Prec. $^{+}$

MAIJYCE. sb . wickedness, hatred,
$26(2), 72(2) \cdot[$ •molice. $]$
MAIV . sb man , $8(14), 43(2)$; pl. MEN, 2(5), 47(17). [OE.
MANER. Sb Kind, menner, II ( 7 ), $37(14)$. [AF.manere.]
WAIVHEDE. sb. humanity , human nature, $23(18), 70(4)$. [Prec. + -hed.]

HAKKMIDE. SD. menkind, humenity, the human species,33(I).[MAH + KYMNE. $]$
NAIY. aj. many, $2(16), 48(4) \cdot[O E$. manis monie.
WAIY OLD DV. manysola, much, IO (9), $58(12)$. [Angl. maniefaldre.]
MAFKYD.n.p.marked, $25(9)$, $\mathrm{HE}(\overline{X Z})$. [a Angl. Marcian.]
1.ANTYINOU: Bb , martyrdom,23(21). [OE.martyrdom, Ist. Element a Eccl.I.]
NATER (shat ter, aifair, thing, 28(6), 7 4 (16). [OF. natere.]
MAMYMES. DI. sb. matins, 52 (2).[E. mstines:]
1 ECHIL. 2j. $54(1)$. See MTKKEI.
) THDE. sb. reward, wages, I5(12). [OE.
ITDEFUL. ajeserving of reward, meritorious, $39(10) \cdot[$ Prec. + BUL. $]$
IEDEWE $\frac{\text { sb }}{}$ meadow, 52(5) • [OE. mawe (obl. case)]
1FDICYIE. Sb. medicine, remedy, 38 (16). [OF.medicine.]
ITDITACOUN. sb. meditation,31(1). [F.meditation.]


ITKKELY. av. meekly, humbly, 19(16). [Prec. $+\mathrm{IY}_{2}$.]
MEKTINESSE. $\frac{\text { sp }}{}$ meekness, humility, $13(16), 37(6) \cdot[1$ INKE +-1 TESS. $]$

TMEDDVIGE. Vb1. sb. amendine, comecting,62(14) [red. AHENIDE + -ING.]

MEM. S. I. presind. mean, intend, $62(17) \cdot[05 \cdot$ man. $]$
MHRCY. SD. mercy, 1 (12), 34 (1). [E.merci.]
FHCIEUL aj.merciful, $40(\mathbf{1})$. [Prec. + FUL.]
(H)K. EJ. darp, minky,27(19). [ON. menk; O E, myre]
1.ESCIFENE Sb. $37(5)$. See INYSCHEEF.

TEGEL. Sb. Ieper, $57(3)$. [OP. mesel.] cry.ivistu.
MESURE Sb. Moderation, 40 (4). [F. mesure.]
NETAI. SD. mets I, 19 (9) - [OF. metal.]
IEUE. vb. Move, $32(8)$; s. 3. pres. $8(9)$ - [OF. meuve. $(-n t)$, moeve (-nt) $]$


IMIDE. .j.mila, gentle, I6(18). [OE. miloe.]
MYNDE. sb. ind, menorv, remembrance, 26(6),31(13). [OI.(Ee-)mynd.]
IMNYS. sb. D. mines, $49(8) \cdot[\mathrm{F} \cdot$ mine. $]$
NORTHE. Sl. jOy, 29 (3). [OI. $\operatorname{myr}(i) \mathrm{EP} \cdot]$
MYS. Qv. wroncly, perversely, 2I (I). [Partiy OE prefix, mis; partly © A.ISS.]
MYSCHEFF. Sb, evil plight, trouble, misfortune,33(3). [OF.meschef.]

MYSDEDE. sb. misdeed,sin,wrong, 3(11); 21. 42(8). [OE. miscaed.]

IYSEI. Sb. Ieper, $8(13)$. [ad. L.misellus] Cf. ITSSEI.

1MSELF
I ond $\frac{\text { nen }}{\text { SELF }}$. myself,
VIFROUR. Sb, mirror, $43(11) \cdot[0 F$.
mirour.
MO. av.more, 26 (4) • [OE.ME.]
MOCHE. aj. much, great, 52(17). [OE. mycel] $]$ Ci. $1 \mathrm{KCHE}, 1 \mathrm{MKEL}$.
MYCHE aj. much, $17(12), 56(14) \cdot$ NOCHE av. much, 44 (6). [As prec.] [OI. mycel,micel.] See next.
MYCHEL. aj. much, great, $I(8)$. [As Prec.]

MODUR • Sb mother, IO (3),58(4).

MONE. ${ }^{\text {sb }}$. moon, II (22) • [OE. mōna.] MONE. ${ }^{2}$ sb $\cdot 1$ ament, $23(14) \cdot\left[0 E *\right.$ mān; ${ }^{\frac{5}{3}}$ $\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{mzenan}(\mathrm{vb})$.
MONUMENT. Sb . monument, tomb,29(16). [ad. I. monumentum.]
MORE. $\frac{\mathrm{cp} \cdot \text {. } j \text {. more, greater, } 13 \text { (13); }}{}$ av. $72(5)$;sp.a.j. MOST, 3(5), 43(14); sp.av. 64(14). [OE.Mära; mást.]

MYKEL. a.j. much, great, (used substantively) $3(8), 10(9)$. [OE.
mycel, micel.] See MYCTII, MKんHEL,

HOURIVNGE．？nmes．moumnine， sorrowing， $56(19)$ ．［OE． imurnan + －InG．］

NOVITM．Sb．Mount，mountain，I（3）， $35(10)$ ．［OT．munt ad．L．montem cf．T．monte．］
NONWIB．sb． 1 ． $\mathrm{grimaces}, \triangle 4(11)$ ． ［OF．moue；i．Du．Mouwe．］
11.

INENE．av．near， $59(4)$ ．［OF．nēar，cp． a neer．］
INSSCIE．a．j．SOIt，tender，25（13），71（12）． ［OE．hnesce．］

1 AY．av．naJ，no， $19(28) \cdot[01 \cdot n e i$.$] ESTES．so． 2$ ． 1 ．nests， $19(5), 66(6)$ ． ［OE．nest．］

NAYIES．sb．ol．nails，18（8），61（17MPERETR．en．nv．Iower，25（6）．n．

NAILYIGE．Vbl．sb．nailing，IVEUERE．2V．never，r（10），32（16）． being nailed， $65(15) \cdot[$ ndelan
＋－ING．］

TEUUR－PE－IESSE．2v．nevertheless， all the mome， $2 \overline{4}(1)$ ．［OE．n $\bar{X}$ re民文 I和：］
NAME．sb．name， $15(7) \cdot[$ OE．nama，IEW．aj．new， $29(16) \cdot[$ OE，nēowe．$]$ noma．］
IVANELY．av．especially，above all，
$41(19) \cdot[$ Prec．$+-I Y$.$] IYYT．sb．night， 3(16), 48(12) \cdot[0$ ．niht．］
NE．av．not， $59(5)$ ；cj．nor， $3(7)$ ，NO．aj．no，not one，not any， $3(7), 45(13)$ ； 39（17）．［OE．ne．］ION（before vowels，or in absolute
IVECKEDVITES．sb．nl．blows on the use） $11(19), 41(3)$ ．［OE．nan，non．］
neci， $2(16)$, n．［OE．knecea + NO．ej．nor， $2(7) \cdot[$ OE．na $\cdot$ ．$]$
dynt．］
（22）NQBLE．aj．fine，noble，great， 9 （6）． EDE．sb．nee
［OE．n्̄eod．］

1HOBLFYF. sb. noble estate, dimity,33(2) $[\mathrm{F} \cdot$ nobleye $(\mathrm{f})]$.
MOMFT. sb. nothing, $S 1(3) \cdot[$ red.
OE. nawiht.
IION-GATE • V. in no way, $25(8)$. [ow. nān, n̄̄n $+0 \cdot$ gata ce.
10SE. siv. nose, $17(6)$. (nsec attributively),17(8),65(8). [OE. nosu.]
IOT. aty. not, 6 (10), 35(14).
[OI. nōht.]
ITOU3T. SD . nothine, $65(18)$. [As prec.]
TOOU $3 \mathrm{~T} \cdot \mathrm{QV} \cdot$ not, $19(7)$. [AS ITOT] $]$
NOU3TVIPSTONDITG. prep. despite, notwithstanding, 66 (13). [Prec. + OE.
wiotstandan -stondan + InG]
HOW. nv. now, 3(25), 33 (6) [OE.nū.
IVOMBRYD. D. D. numbered, counted, $14(6) \cdot[a \mathrm{~d} \cdot \mathrm{OF} \cdot$ nombre $-\mathrm{r} \cdot]$
0.

QGGUPAGOUNS: sb.pl. occupations, employment, exercises,31(9). [AF.occupacioun.]
OCCUPIED.D.p. employed,32(6). [ad. or.oceupe-r.]
OF. prep. of from, on account of, ㄱ(2),31(7). [OE. of.]
OF. av. off, 54(16) - [As Prec.]

OFPRE. aj. frecuent, $34(\Lambda)$ In; av. $\Delta 8(5) ;$ su. 2v. $10(7) \cdot[$ OE. oft; Oll. oft] OMED: aj. Irenuent, $16(18)$, [Extendea ort. $\sec$ prec.
OMIMMEIT. sb. ointment, $29(17)$. [OF. oimement.]
Ont. prep. on, uron, $1(3), 35(10)$.
[OT. an, $\mathrm{on} \cdot$ ]

ONY. aj. any, $B(19)$. [As AIY.]
ONYS. av. once, IO (7) [ [OE.anes.]

OINE. av. on , upon, $6(5)$. [OE. an, on;
?anal. of INNE.]
ONOYIT • DI. ores. ind. anoint, $29(17)$. $[01-+$ Qint;oindre (inf.) $]$
OPEITE. Vb. open, 25(12), 71 (11). [OE.

OPWNLY. $\frac{\mathrm{V}}{}$. openly, frankly, plainly, $3(6), 42(4)$. [OT. open $+\mathrm{LY}_{2}$ ]
OR.cj. or, $6(7), 32(8) \cdot[$ red. OE.oper. $]$
OR. av.before, $\triangle 8$ (2). [ON.á; cf. $\mathrm{Nb} \cdot \underline{\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}} \cdot]$
ORDEYMYD.D.p. appointed, arranged,
decreed, $29(17), 35(11) \cdot[A F \cdot$ ordeiner $]$
ORDEINAUICE. Sb appointment, cecree, $33(15)$. [OF ordenance.]
ORYSOUI. sb. orison, prayrer, I(2), $35(9)$. [OF.orison.]
OS. $\frac{\text { cj. as }}{\text { ef.AS. }} 2(10) \cdot n \cdot[r e d . A n g I \cdot$ alswa- $]$

OU3T•配. anything, 7(17). [OF. āwint, owiht.]

PACIEITIV. av. patiently,42(I7). [Prec.infl.. pacientia; + $\mathrm{IY}_{2}$ ] DNYIE. Sb
nerne. $]$ OUTGASME. D. D. cast out, 63(14) DAM FUL. aj. peinful, $33(6) \cdot[$ Prec. +
[OE.
 PAIE. aj. pale, 2 (4) , $67(17) \cdot$ [OF.


OVER-RUNIE. p. p. over-mun, coverea
PARADYS. sb. Paradise, $67(8) \cdot[$ P.

OUERTAKE. D. . overcome, overwhe naces. sb. pert, share, portion, $22(14)$, $\ln$ d,,$(20)$. [OUER
a ONT. take.]
 OVIE. aj. owm, $11(10), 60(2)$. [OI. PASSYOUT. sb. passion, suffering, $1(3)$, OMRE. Doss. 2.j. 2(8), 2(10), 36(2) PASSYTH. pl. pres. ind. pass, go, 19 (9); See VE. PASSE,66(9); pret. surpassed,24(1i). OWI. av. out, I (I5) ,36(5) • [OE.ūt ( $[\mathrm{Fin}$,$] passer ]$
OWICASTYNGE. VbI. sb.being rej-PECE. sb. piece,55(I); pI.6(21). ected, $16(2)$. [As OUTCASTI + ING.G. Dece.]
OWTRAGE. Sb. outrage, violence, $9(16)$. [F.outrage.]
P.

DEYME. sb. gh 2(8). See PAYNE.
PENAUMCE. Sb penence, $32(1)$. [or.
penance:]
PEPLE. Sb. people, $8(3), 56(14) \cdot[$ Arr.
poenle. See PUPYL. poeple.]See PUPYL.

## PERCE . S. 3. pres.ind. pierces,55(11). [OF.percer.]

PACIENCE. sb patience, 47 ( 8 ) [ OF .pacience.]

PMRCHENYN. sb. (used attributively) parchment,62(9). [F.parchemin.]
PERELES. aj. neerless, unequalled,
$I 0(17), 58(16)$. [OF.per + -LESS. $]$

PETII 3T•这, perfect, 40(17)。 [ov.Darfit; L.perfectus.]
PERTIE, SV. pearl, 19 (IO) • [AF•perle]
PERPEPUEL. aj. perpetual, eternal, $46(6) \cdot[$ pernetuel.]
PYLER. Eb. Dillar, column, 4(IO), $47(26) \cdot[0$.niter. $]$
PYIE. Vb. hurt, tomment, 4.(17),52(14) [OE. Nian; OTH. nna-]
PYE. sb. pain, toment, econy, $9(4), 55(9)$. [OE. *nin a I. puena; cf.prec.]
PYNEFUI, S.j. nainful, 23(19).[Prec. + IUL.]
Pr. sb. oit, hollow, I6(9). [OI. pytt.]
PYIE. sb. pity, $2(6)$. [OF.pit6.]
PTOWS, PITEUOUSE. R.j. piteous, pitiful, 2(7),54(19) [ [AlF • pitous: OF.piteus.]
PYMOUSIY. av. piteousIer, 6(18). [Prec. $+\mathrm{IV}_{2} \cdot$ ]
PLACE. Sb. place, $10(1), 64$ (17). [F.place.]
PLAYE. vb. occupy (oneself with something), 28(17). [OE. plegan, 2lecian.]
PIAUMTYD. D.p.planted,19 (19). $\left[\right.$ ad $\frac{\text { Ionter } ; ~ c f . ~ O F ~ p l a n t i o n ~}{\text { Iantare. }}$
PLEMTEE. Sb. plenty, abundance, excess, $65(4) \cdot[05 \cdot$ plente. $]$
PLEITEUOUS. aj. plenteous, abundant, $\triangle 8(7)$. [OF $\cdot$ plentevous.]
PLEITIEUOUSLY. av. plentcously, ebundantly,53(i4) $\left[\right.$ Prec. + IX $_{2}$ ]

PLISIP. S. S. ores. nlesses,53(5).

POMI. Sb: noint, nrick, some thime which nierces,13(10), r2(1); detril ninute noxticle, $33(14) \cdot[0$. pointe] POISCII. Sb. poison, 68 (27) [OF. Dosison.]
POORE • aj. DOOT, (5s. Sb) $11(18)$, . $60(9) ; 18(9), 65(1.8) \cdot[$ OF poure. $]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PORELY. aj. DOon, lowly, } 7 \text { (19), n. } \\
& \text { [Prec.+ LY } 1 \text { ] }
\end{aligned}
$$

POUERTE. Sb. Doverty, Iowliness, $33(2) \cdot[0 r \cdot$ noverte, pollerte; poverte, poverte. ]
PRA IE. Vb. Dray, $35(11)$; s. I. prestind PREYE,I(II)• [OF DNeier.]
PRAYERE. Sb - Prayer, $1(0), 35(8)$. [OF.preiere.]
PRECVOUS. aj. recious, $7(1), 44$ (1). [OF. nrecios. $]$
PREES. Sb . crowd, throng, $8(3), 56(14)$. [F.presse.]

## PRESEICE $\frac{\mathrm{Sb}}{}$. presence, $\triangle 6(11) \cdot[\mathrm{OF}$. presence. $]$

PRESSE. Vb. press, beset, worry, 62(I),
x. [ON.presser.]

PRESUMPIUOUS. 2.j. presumptuous, overconfident, $32(17)$. [OF. presumptwow noux. ]
PREUE. 2.j. $70(8)$. See RRIUYE.
PRICKID. pret. pricked, pierced, $55(7)$. [LateOE.pxician.]
PRIDE. sb. pride, $44(4)$. [OE.prȳde.]
PRIKKE. Sb. prick minute particle, II(14), 60(3). [OE. pricca.]

Ificue. 1. Winces, loxe,
g(1) [T• prince.]
PKIBOUI Sb. prison, 5(17); 27. $\Lambda(15) \cdot[$ [orisun. $]$
PIIUYE. aj. seeret, nrivete, $18(11)$. [OP. Mive.] er. PREUT.
Pronf byoul sb. nroce: sion, $9(9)$, $57(16) \cdot[$ Drocescion. $]$
Drol IRDE. Ret. offered, B3(10). [AF. DPos-cr $(-i r$.]
PROFIIE. Vb . advance, Erow; be of use, $33(\overline{18}) \cdot[$ prositer $]$

VEICIE. Vb. avench, put out, $69(2)$. [OI. ( $\overline{\mathrm{a}}-$ ) Cwencan.]
TUYCL (E)IEN. Vb. come to life, 25(13); bring to $1 i \overline{f e}, 27(5) \cdot[$ Olv. Icvilna;
of. OE. cwician.]

ProUDE. aj. Droud, $32(17)$. Tate QWICKKEl. vb.bring to life, 73 (10); OI. Mūag; OF DMū.]
PUILIL. nret. oulleé, drew, 38 (5). [OE. Dullīn.]
PUPYL. Sb. people,9(6). [OF. puple.] cf. PEPLI.

PURGATORIT • Sb • Durcatury, pureation, $\Delta 7(6) \cdot[A F \cdot$ purgetorie. $]$
PURPOS. sb. purpose, intent, 73(16).RACKE. sb. rack, frame, 62(9). (See n.) [AF, OF - purpos:]
PURPRE. sb. cloth dyed Tyrian purole, $4(16)$. [OF purore.]
PURPURE. Sb. 52(13). As Prec. [OF. purpure, a I. purpura.]
PURSUED. D. D. pursued, chased, $50(12) \cdot$ [AF pursuer.]
PUTTE. vb. push, thrust, orive, 6(1) pres. sub j. 36(5). [Late OE. putian.]
PUTPYNGE. vbl. Sb. pushing, ariving,18 (6). [Prec. + IIV.]
[App. Du. rec, recke, or IIG. rek, rekice.]
RASED.D:n.torm, $54(14)$. [F.raser. $]$
REBUKI. vb. rebuke, reprove,63(14). [OIT. rebuker.]
REBUKYNG. vbl. sb. rebuking, beip
rebuked, $16(2) \cdot[$ Prec. + ING. $]$
rebuked, $\overline{16}(2) \cdot[$ Prec. + ING. $]$

RECHE DI. ores. ind, reach, extend, 14(1); S. pres. $62(4)$;PAU3IE. pret. GI (I6). [OE. rxcan.]
RECKYMG. Vbl. sb.taking heed, case, II (6),59(15). [OE. reccan + -ING.]
RED. Sb . counsel, I (I4) • [OE.r.

[OE. rodan.]

RBDII. aj. rea, $7(5), 51(13)$. RESTEE sb. rest, $8(19), 31(9) \cdot$ [OE. reste,] [OE. reed.]
TWEDY. aj. ready, $32(9)[$ OF. (ce-) RESTORE. imper. s. restore, 43 (15).


RTHTE. pret. deprivea(of), 11 (5). [OE. Renfian.]

REULE. pres. $\mathrm{Bbj} \cdot \mathrm{rule}$, control, direct,

RENUTE. Sb. refuce, 50 (15). [OF.
REUHE ED. sorrow, compassionlB(1). refuite.

OE. hreowan + ON. hryged.
REUYLYIVGE. Vbl. sb, reviline,being
 rise, 25(14), $71(14)$. [01* reise.]
RTKE. sob pursuing, 9 (8). See $n$. [OIT. reke.]
REKYD. pret. gave off as vapour, steamed, ry (2). [OE.rēocan; OIf. rek. $]$

RENARD. sb. regara, care, 6(13),54(18). [ove reward.]
REWRUL. ajo sad, sorrowful, 56(17).
[OE. hreow-an + FUL.]
REWEULLY. av.13(15). See REUPULIY.
REMYSSYOUN sb , remission(or $\operatorname{sins}$ ), Por $\overline{\text { iveness, absolution, }}$ 4(13). [OF remission.]

REVMEE Sb. 7 (12),60(14). See REUTHE.
RYCHE - aj. rich, noble, r(20) • [om.rice.]
REIITE. vb. run, r(5), 55(4); pret. s.RY3C. abj. right, 66(14). [OE. riht.] RAIT,5(2),67(13);pret. D1.
ROMTYI, $16(10)$; RATINE, $67(13)$. RY3T. sb. right, lew, privilege, $11(15)$, [ON. renna; OE. Henan.] cf. $60(2)$ [OE. riht.]

RENT.D.D.torn, 6(16),54(14). [a OE. rendan.]
REPEINT. vb. repent, $8(11), 42(7)$. [ $F \cdot$ repent-ir. $\cdot$ ]
REPREUE. vb . reprove, reproach, 9(15). [AF. repreover; OF. repreuver.]
RESSEITE. sb. remedy, medicine,
RY3TE. vb. right, correct, set right, 14(11). [OE. rihtan.]
RI3TEI•vb. $72(12$ ) As prec.
RYSE. $\frac{\mathrm{vb}}{\mathrm{rb}}$. rise, $25(8), 72(1)$;pret. s. ROOS,7(3); pret. pI. RESEII,74(8). [E. PIsan:]
RYUE. aj. abundant, ${ }_{\text {rỹe }}^{\text {(for *ríe. }}$ ) $](21)$. [Late OE. 52(10). [OITF.receite, receyte.] RODE. sb. cross, $6(\Lambda), 62(2)$. [OE. rōa.] RESSEYUE. imper. s. receive, acceptr, ODY. sb. red, $22(2)$, (See n. ) [日E. 74(2). [ONF. recejvre, recivre.] rudir (aj.) onv. rodi. (sb.)]
RESTE. Vb. rest, $19(6), 66(7)$. ROPYS. sb.pl. ropes, $13(5)$. [OI. rap.]
[OE.restan.] [OE. restan:]

ROMTD.D.D.6(15), *. [Ftymology and meerinc doubtful. Seenext

SCHAMEFULLY. aj, shameful, dissraceful, 10 (22) SCHAMEFULIV. av. shamefully, $8(5), 54(8)$. [As Prec. (but IY<lIce)]

SCAMELY. av. in a shaneful manner, insultingly, $6(8) \cdot\left[8 H A I E(s b\right.$. $\left.)+\mathrm{Ly}_{2}\right]$ SCHAMMIGE. vbl. sb. shame, modesty, $10(20), 59(5) \cdot[\operatorname{SCIARE}(\mathrm{vb})+,\operatorname{ING}$. SGHARPE. aj. sharp, piercing, painful, 23(10). [0E. sc (e) arp.]

SCIIE.S.3.f.pron. she,16(21),50(13). [DE. dem. pron., sIo, sēo, sIe.] See $\mathrm{HE}_{2}$, HEO .
SCHOP. pret. created, made, r(17),55(15). [OE. scop <inf. sc(i) eppan.]

SCHERDSCHIPE. sb. disgrace, ignominy, 46(11). [OE. scend-an(vb.)+-scip $(e)$.]

SCHEWE. vb. show, indicate, demonstrate, 53(8);pret. 3(6). [OE. sceawian.]

SALUACIOUN $\cdot$ gb solvation, 40 ( 8 ). OF. se Lracion.]
SAUACOUN.Sb, As prec. [OF. sauvacion]
SAUE. Vb. save, preserve, 17 (19), 65(1I). [OF . sauver.]

SAUPRYIVGE Dres. Diol. savouring, giving sovour,52(7) [OF. savoure-x, savorer. +-IITG.]

SCHILDYITGE. Vbl. sb. protection, 39 (3). [OE. scilidan + ING.]

SCHYNEI. pl. pres.ind. shine, 48 (12). [OE. sciñan]
SGHRYFT. sb. shrift, $32(1)$. [oE. scrift.]

SAUUURE. sb. savour, sense, 15(2), SCHRYINES. s.2.pres.ind. dost shrink, 63(2). [OF. savour.]
SANES. sb. DI. sayings,9(14). [OE. sapu.] cover, $57(6)$. [OE. Scrincan.

SCLAUMDRIS. Sb. pl. slanders, 44(10). [AF.(©laundre.]


SCHANE. vb shame, disgrace, 14(16)SCOWRGYVGE. vbl. sbscourging,6(14). $52(13)$ [0E. sc(e) amian, sc(e) qmian] OF . (elscorgier + ITK.

SCHANE. sb. shame, insult, $2(4)$, 39(14) [OE. sc (e) amu, sc(e) omu.]
SCHANEFUL. aj. shameful,53(I). [Prec. + $\overline{\text { FuL }}$. $]$

SI. Vb. see, $7(9), 44(6)$. pret. s. SAW, $\boldsymbol{Z}(5), 58(4) ; \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{p}$. SENE $4(10)$. LOE. seon; Ancl. sāh; Late OEV. p. p.

STHR. aj. sick, 6(18),38(16). [OE. SEOC.

SERUYT• vib. serve, 21 (12),31 (4). [or. servir.]

SEYIU.pret. said, $3(16$ ), 44(11); SET•D.p. set,placed,11(2),38(17). imper. S. SAY, $20(19) ;$ pl. pres. [0E. Settan.] SEYY, $22(15)$; SAIET, $6 \overline{8}(6)$. [0E. seccan: sasade, segge.]
SEYNG•VbI. Sb . seeing, 16 (2).
SERVEN. cj. since, 66(17). [OT. siifpen] See SIppE.
SHEITE. p. P. shut, 63(I). [OE. scyttan]
SIMYT $\operatorname{sb} \cdot$ saint, $3(4), 42(9)$. SIENTITG. Vbl. sb. showing, demonstration, OF. seinte $\Delta 8(8)$. [OI. Scerwian + IIG.]

SEIKE. Vb. seek, 36 (6) ; s. B. pres. 15(8). [OE. sēcan.]

SHILD. imper. s. shield, protect,46 (3). [OE. scildan.]

SEIGEMESSE. Sb. sickness,I(15), 45(3). [SEEK (aj.) + -INESS.]

SHOUEN. pl. Onet. thrust, pushed,38(5). [OE. scufon; inf. scưfan.]

SELDOl. av. seldom, rarely, $21(7), 67(1)$. [OE. seldan altered on anclocy of $2 v$ det. nlurals, such as Ilum.

SICHE. aj. such,57(16). [OE. SWilc, SWYIc. SYDE Sb. side,5(12), 53(16).

SI3GH5. Vb. sigh, 60(10). [Prob.back formation on sihte, pert. of sIcen. cf. SYKE, SYSCHED.

SISGHINC. vbl.sb. sighing,60(9). Prec. + ING.
SY3T. sb. sight, 3(4),64(13). [OE. siht, ( $\mathrm{ge}-$ ) siht.]

SELIE. sb.cell,51(2). [OF.celle.
See CELIIIS. SHKN. Vb. sigh, $11(20)$. [OE. sIcan.] cf. BI ЗGFE, SYSCHED.
SEMBBLAUNMT. Sb outward appearance,
44(5). OF. semblant.]
SIKER. aj. safe, secure,50(14). [OE.
SEIMELY. aj. becoming, decorous, pleasing, 10(21),59(6). [ON. somilis-r.

SEND. imper. S. send. $I(13), 6 I(5)$.SIIF. aj. own, $58(6)$. [OE. BYIf.] ef.
[OE. SEndan. [OE. sendan.]
SENEWIS. Sb.pl. 62(7). See belowSYNFUL. Aj. Sinful, wicked,23(20), 32(18). SINWES. [OE. Syn(n) + FUL; cf. ONT. Syndafuli-r.]

SEPULCRE. Sb. sepulchre, tomb, 26(II), SYNKJ. Vb. sink, go deep,23(12). $72(11)$. [OF. sepulcre. [OE. sincen.]

SERUYGE. Sb. service, 40 (15);
public worshin, $4(6), 45(12)$. [OF $\cdot$ service.]

SELF, STLUE. aj. (Used to form pleonastic \& reflexive pronouns) [OE. self See $\mathrm{HE}_{1}$, $\mathrm{HE}_{2}$, HEM, I, FOU; SILA,'
SELV. ad.blessed,6(16). [OE. (ge-)splig.]

SYKYINGES. Sb. p1. sighings, sighs,ll(19). $[S Y K E+$ ING. $]$ SELT. sicor. -

```
                            SYINIE Sb}\cdot\operatorname{sin},5(4),32(9).[OE. syn(n)]
```


SVSCIED. pret. sichea, 9 (II), no OE. SIcen.
SYTHE, SQtime's) $/ 6$ ( 18 )n. [OEsib]
 pret. socol. SAT (T), 31 (22), $57(6) \cdot$ OT. sittan, sxet.

SKILDTLLI. av. reasonably, richtIy, $\bar{Z}(6) \cdot[01 T \cdot$ skiI +
WUI $+I V, ?$

SKY7. sb . skin, $\in(16), 54(15)$. [OI, skinn.

SHVILES aj. skinless, 57 (11). Prec. + IESS]

SHORI. Sb. 4(I8). See SCORN.
SKORNED. Dret. scorned, despise $\overline{d, 38}(6)$. [OF. (e) scarn

SKORITIVGES. Vb1. Sb. D1. 14 (10). CI. SCORNTMGES.

SKOURGENT VD. Scourge, flog, $47(17)$. [DF. (e)scorgier.]

SKOURGES. Sb. Dl. scourges, whips, $48(2) \cdot[A, h$. . AF. escorge, escurge.]

SIEIMD. pret. smelt, gave an odour, 28(19). LTo correspondingtevb. is recorded \& there are no parallel forms in the cognate languages.]
SMEJITHTG. vol. Sb. smelling, smell, l7 7 (7),64(15). [5non prec.]

SITERT. aj. smart, charp, painful, 48 (3). QE. smeart.

SI ERTTRIY. OV. Smartly , nuickly, 29 (2). $\left[\right.$ Prec. $+\overline{I Y}_{2}$.

SMYME. Vb. smite, strike, 6(2), 47 (1); pret. s. $17(8) ;$ pret. nI. $4(22), 18(5)$. [OE. SmItan.]

SITMBBMVGIS.VDI. Sb.plrebukes, checks, retorts, $45(7) \cdot[c \perp \cdot D a \cdot \operatorname{snibbe}$, M. Sw . Snybbe 2

SO. av. so, I(9),32(6). [OE. sve.]
SOBBYMH. E. 5. ores.ikd. sobs, weeps, 28 (9); pret. 75 (4). [?Onomatopoeic; cf. W. Fris. sabje, Dr. dial. sabben, to suck.]
socoure. Sl. socour, aid, relief, $12(8)$,
$39(1$ (2). AF. OF . Socours.
SOFFRENT, Vb. suffer,25(6),42(I7). [OF.
Soffrir.]
SOFPERYMGE. Vbl. SD. endurance patient.
$3(18), 58(1)$. [Prec. + Inc]

SKOURGYIGE. Vbl. sb. scourging, SOI.ACE. Sb. solace, comfort, 22(15), $₫ 0$ (10)
flogging, 49(17). As
[OF . Solas.]
SKOTRGEN + ING.] Cf. SCOWRGYIGE.
SON:(M)E. 2j/pron. some, 6(20),38(5).
SLAKIPD.D. N. relieved, eased,
[OE. sum.]
$68(5) \cdot$ [SOF. slacian.]

SOMWHAT. av. somewhat, to some extent,
SLECKED. D. As prec., 22(14). $15(16), 5 \mathrm{I}(15)$; sb. somewhat, some[ $\mathrm{KOE} \cdot \mathrm{s}]$ eac:-] -1 thing, $28(18), 5$ I(18). [Prec. +OE hwoet.]
SLEE. vb. slay, kill, $48(1) ; \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p}$. SOMDE. sb. that which is sent, gift, SLAYT, $15(1)$. [OE. slēen, slegen] $47(10)$. [OE. sand, sond.]
SMEL. sb. smell, 65(1). [See next].
 Solli. Ev. soon, $20(16), 38(12)$; \#ngPECIATME. Sb. special favour, 16(4), 16(6),63(16). [OE, sonne] 63(15). [OF. (e) snecialté]
SONIE. $\mathrm{Sb} \cdot \operatorname{sun}, 7(17), 55(16)$. SPEDEFUL. Ej. Mrofiteble, efficacious, OE. sunne.

$$
39(10) \text {. [0E. Sned }+ \text { Fui] }
$$

SORE. Sb. pein, erief, 16(16).
[OE. SET.
SPEKE S. I. ores. ind. speak, $14(12), 62(14)$
[OE. sprecan.]

SPERTD.D.D. Shut, securely closed, SORE. \&V. painfully, grievously, 26(I). [a ILG. speren; cf. OE. (ge-) $6(5), 47(15)$. [OE. sēre.] sparrian.]
 SORENFULIJY. Qv. Sorrowfully, SPYTTED. pret. spat, 4(20);SPITMEN, sedly, $29(11)$
LY 2 - [OE. sorgful $\Delta 3(10)$. [<No spittan.]

SPYTMYMGE. Vbl. sb, spitting, 4 (21),57(1).
SORY. aj. vile, vretched, worthless, $25(17), 7(17)$. [OE. sē.rig]
SORWE. Vb. sorrow, mourn, 75 (11). SPORAYYGE Vbl. Sb. spurning, 18 (16).
SORWE. Vb. sorrow, mourn, 75 (11). [OI. sDurnan, SDOMan + ING $]$ see SPURIEI [OF. sorgian.]
Sorli. aj. true, $9(14)$. [OE. soly.]
*SOUE. imper. s. sow, scatter. 12(10). [OE. sēvan.
SOULI. Sb. soul, $2(1), 31(7)$. [ $\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{S}$ ]WO].]

SPOMPMNGE. Vbl. Sb. spitting, 8(11).
[ON. spfta;cf. M. Dr. spouten, to spout
SPRED. pret. Spread, extended,58(8). [OE. spryedan.

SPURNED. pret. spurned, thrust aside, 8(5),56(16). [OE. spurnan.]
SOUPLE. Vb. Soften, mollify, IB (I),
$61(7)$. TOF sumple, sonle (aj.) SQUAT. pret. dashed down heavily, 16(9). <(a)sopl-ir.] [a.OF.esquater-ier.]
SOUEREYIVE. aj. excellent, most STALWOR FE.aj. stalwart, strong, sturdy, potent, $38(\overline{15}) \cdot[0 F \cdot$ souverein] $48(I)$. [OE. stse $]$ wyrye.]
SPARCLE. SD. Sparcle, spark, STANDE. Vb. stand, $28(8)$. [OE. standen,
$12(I I), 6 I(5) \cdot[O E \cdot$ spdeca $+L E]$ stondan.] See STONDE.
SPARE. Vb. spare, stint, $\Delta 5$ (I3); STARK. aj. stiff, unyielding, hard, 8 (15); s.2.pres. $23(17)$. [OE. spariand av, stiffly, 14 (5). [OE. stesrc(e)],

## SPARINGE. Vbl. sb. sparing, stinting, 23(21).[Prec. + INGJ

STATE Sb. estate, rank, 53(10). PPertiy a OF•estat;partly I. status.
 [OE. strutan]

STEDE. Sb. Dlece, $77(7)$. 0 . STEDERAST. aj. resoirute, steadfastarODIT. sb. study, desire, eamest $41(6)$. [OI. stederrest. endeavour, 51 (9). [OF. (e)studie.]

STEDFASMELY. V. steadfastly, resolutely, $\overline{3}(19), 45(1)$.

STOLE.D.p. stolen, appropriated dis(inf.) ${ }^{\text {honesty }}, 21$ (I). [OE. stolen;stelan

STOIT. sb. stone, $12(11), 6 I(6)$. [OI. stan]. STEPPYS. sb. ol. steps, $3(24), 41$ (IIspolDe. vb. stand,26(15), 44(17);pret. s. [Merc. stepoe, steppa.]

STERRYS. Sb. pl. stars, $12(1)$, 48(10). [0T. steorr.]

SMYKYD. pret. pierced, thrust, remeined fixed, ll(5),59(2); s.3.pres. $28(12), 75(7)$;pret. s. STOKE, 16(19);p: STOKE,15(1). [O. stician, stIcan.]
STIILE. Rj. still, cuiet, calm, $42(12) \cdot$ [OE. stilile.].
STILLE. av. still, get, $75(16)$.
As Prec.
SIVYGMIVG. pres. D. aj. stinging [OE. stingan; oin. stinge.]

STOOTnosgi (2), pret. D1. STOOD,29(4),

STOMDINGE. Vbl. sb. standing, 42 (13). [rec. + IIG]

STOPPYMH. S. 3. pres.ind. stops, checks, leeps of ,15(2),63(2). [OE. (for-) stompian.]
STONPYIGE, Dres. Dole. aj. stooping, bowed dom,8(20). [ [ . stumian, ]
STRAITE. aj. narrov, restricted, confined, 40(12). [OF. (e)streit.

STRAITLY. aj. strict, limited, $40(5)$; av. closely, tightly,62(4). [Prec. +IYI, IY2.

STYIGYINGE. pres. p. stinking, 25(5).STRAUIVGE. aj. unfriendiy, ungenerous, [?inverted speling a $O \mathbb{E}$. Stincan.]
STYIGYTH. s. 3. pres. Ind. pierces, streht (p.p.) + -e. $]$ (7). DE. stings, $9(3), 59(13) \cdot$ Loz. stingan, ON. stinge.

STYMKE, sb. stink, smell, 15(5). EOE. stincan (vb.)]
STYMKYNGE pres.p. aj . 71 (2). [OE. stincan. See STYMVMVGE.
STYRETH. s. 3. pres. ind. stirs, disturbs,26(5);STERI $7,72(5)$. [OE. stynian.]

STREYNYD. pret. strained, stretched, extended, 13(7), 6I (14). [OF. (e) streindre.]

STREYIVYNGE. Vbl. sb. straining, stretciging. 14(I). [As prec. + ING.]
STREYMTH. Sb. 31 (5). See STREIKETHE.
STREKED. pret. straightened, laid flat. [? a ON. striuka, to stroke.]

STREMYD．pret．ran in streans， $5(3) ; 2.25(2) \cdot[$ Erom OE． strean．（sib．）

SWET．Sb．sweat，I（15）．Alteration of swote＜ON．swat atter vb．， swete＜OE．Sw户大tan．］

SMREMKS．Sb．DI．streams， $7(6), 55(4$ SWEME．aj．sweet，I（I），33（9）．［OE． ［As prec．］swëte．］
STRTMGPE．Sh，44（17）．See next．SVIMRLY．av．sweetIy，5（8）［［OE．
STREIKKITEE．Sb．strencth， 3 （19）． ［OR．strengetu．ef．STREYMTH， swetelice．

STREITGPE．
SWEIMESSE．Sb．Sweetness， $15(7)$ ， 51 （3）．［OE．Swètres．］
 $37(1)$ ．［OE．SW雒en］cf．SWATMEST．
STRY PYNGE．sb．stripoince， $6(80)$ ，
$54(19)\{$ of be－）stryeen + IVGG］SWYCHE．aj．such， $9(9)$ ．［OE．sWYIc．］
STROFE．pret．s．strove，endeav－ oured．$\frac{47(\overline{1})}{4} \cdot[0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{stra}$ ；strifan SWVTHE．av．very，II（18）．［OE．swId e］， （inf．）］

SWOUNE Sb．SWoon， $67(15) \cdot$［Prob．
SRRONGE．aj．strong，violent，a ME．verb．see next］el． $5(12), 4 \overline{8}(1) \cdot[O E \cdot$ strone，strqne．］DEDESWOUN．

SIROO．pret．s．stmuck，drove，SWOUMD．D．n．having swooned， $22(3) \cdot$［0E．Strec；strycon（inf．）］
STROOK．sb．stroke，blow， 7 （6）， 4．6（15）．－［Prob．unrecorded OE．＊sträc；see prec．］

STUDIOUSE．aj．studious，5I（16）．

## T．

## ［I．studios－us．］

 insensible，2］（22）．［RE．swowne （vb．）；OE．（re－）sworen（p．p．）］ see nrec．STUDIOUSLY．av，studiously，with earnest intent， 75 （I5）．［Prec．$+\mathrm{Lr}_{1}$ ］
 ［AF，OF，suffrance，soffronce．］
SUPFRE．Vb． $42(17)$ ．See SOFFRE．
SUFPRYNG．Vbl．Sb 58 （1）．See SOFFERYNGE．

SUNINST．Sp．av．63（16）．See SONE（av．）

SWATMEST．S．2．Dret．didst swedt，

SWERD．Sb．sword， $22(4), 67(18)$. ［OE．sweord．］

TRCFIE. vb.teach, instruct, 36 (I); pret. TAUS'IES', $35(11)$. [OT. tzean $]$

M OKO DRep. through, I (15), 37 (4). OE. urh.
 ion, $\triangle 0(\overline{2}) \cdot[$ Prec. + ING [OL. Roht.]
TELLE. Vib.tell, $22(6)$;pret. TOLDE 57(10). [OE. tellen.]

ZriMDDE. aj. third, $30(5)$. [OE. Zridde,
 Tr. tomple.

THRYST• sb thirst, 15 (17) • [Prob. fron next.] of. FIRSTE. (sb.)
TEIPMACIOU1. Sl. telmotation, 34 (5). [OT. EEMPEA JOun.

世hrVSTED. pret. thirsted, $22(18)$.
 [OE. ZVrston] cf. PIRSTE. (vb)
THfUN SD. pang, violent spasm, $22(11), 68(1) \cdot$ PeI. OE. Z2aven.
THND. Vb.teer, rend, 62(1), pret. D1.
TIDE. ED. time, hour, occasion, 52(1). $(\mathrm{MOR} \mathrm{EI}, 38(7)$. [O1. teran toven

THRys. s1, .21. teare, 16(21),64(9). [ON.tenr.]
 [OE. TE.F.(21.)]
THAIKE. S. I. pres. ind thank, I (I), 35(7). [OE.Zancian, Zoncien.] [OE.tia.
TYL. cj. until, $25(8), 71(8)$; prep. to, 58 (I5). [ON.til; ivb.tiI.]
MYIE. Sb. time, 4(4),33(14). OE. tima.] TYFAUNTYS. sb.pl. Viliains, ruffians, 20(8). [0F•杰yant.]

TO. prep. to, towards, as 1 ar as, I(10), $31 \overline{(10)} \cdot\left[0 \mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{t} \mathbf{0}_{2}\right]$
THPP. SD. thief,2(6),39(14); 21. THEUVS, 6 (7). [OE. ĒO.]

TO. av. too, $\triangle 0(\triangle)$. [As prec.]

THELKE. Vb, think $, 7(4), 37(15)$. OE. Venc(e)on. See THYNKE.

THYKKE. av. closely, densely, in a crowd, 28 (25). [OE. picce.
THYNG. sb thing, matter, event, $3(7)$. stretched to the Iimit, 24(6), 62(4). [OE.tō + dragan.]
TOGIDER. av. together, 54(16). [OE. togedere.

TOKENYNG. vbl. sp. tokening, indication,14(15). [OE. täcnian + ING]

TO-RAIV•p.D. mun out, exhausted,
THYINE. pl. pres. ind. $9(9)$;impers. it seems,55(3). [OE. zyncan.] See THENKE.

THYRLXD. D.D. pierced, bored, 18 (4). [OE. 2 yr ]ian.]
THORN . sb thorn, $7(8), 55(7)$. [OE. Zomn]
TO-RENME.D. p. torn to pieces, slashed in pieces, $7(7), 55(7)$. [OE.tㅁ + rendan.]

 $T O-Q E$ Eq

TORNEMYYS. SD.DI. torments, $3(25)$. [O1. torment.]

MrIST. sb. aith, confidence, 40 (10). [?From prec; cf.OIT.traust.]
ThossyD. $\frac{p_{0} \text {. } 0 \text {. trussed, fastened with }}{}$ Ho-scluad 0.0 . shaken in pieces, trusser. 16(11); pret. 64(4). OEto + scecren.
HOUCHE. V]. touch, $51(7)$. [O. touchien. $]$

TUGGED. pret. Arageed, pulled,38(6). [OE. tulonsteon(inf. )]
TUNGE. sid tongue, 2s(6) • [OE. tunge.]
 [OE. towearc.] ON• bourmenter.

TON3F•aj. resilient, resistant, $49(9)$ [OE. toh.]
TOWIE. sb. city, 28(33),65(1). OF, tini

IUNA EITHOURES. sb. nl. tomentors, persecutors, $8(2), 56(13)$. [AF. tommentour.

IURIE. Vb. turn, change, be transformed, $I(15), 34(4)$. Lor. tumpian au. I.
MCMYS. SD. nI. towers, 11 (21),60(12). tornare.) OF • tour.]

```
TREF. Sb. tree, \(39(15)\). . [OI.
```

    trēov.
    MJUN. Sb. DI. sudden attacks, reversals,53(1). [Partiy a.prec; partiy As'turn.
TWYINEN. pl. pres.ina.break apart, separate,become disjointea, 62(3). [OE. twim rare aj; ON. tvinn-s.]
TWO. 2.j/sb. two, $6(10), 70(5) \cdot[0 E d W E$. TWOFOLD. aj. double, 23(1). [Reformation OI OE. twIf (e) ala after TWO.

TRESPASYD. pret. trenseressed, effended, sinned, 7(10),55(10). [OF-trespasser.]

## 7

TRETOURE. Sb. traitor, betrayer,
15(3). [OF.twaitre; traitor(áce.s. )]
TREWE. aj. true, 27(13). Angl. treowe.

PAIM. acc., dat. DI. 3. pers. pron. 70 (15). See 7EIN.
TRIBULACOUN• SD. tribuletion, 45 (3). [OF.tribulacion.] FADV av. than, $8(14), 43(2)$. [OE. Vame,

7 AL （INE）• av．then，5（8），35（14）． ［OE．fanne，fonre，pane．
PANKE．Vb $47(9)$ ．See 1 HAITKFI．
JAKKYNGE．vbl．sb．thanking，
thanks，4I（10）．Prec．+ ING．
JAT．dem．pron．that，I（2），53（10）；
pl．70，2（7），34（8）；def．art．有E TOIV，13（9）；7E TOPER， 61（15）．［OE． $72 x t$ ；7E．］．
PAT．rel．c．j．that，which，I（2）， $1(4), 31(10), 32(6)$ ．［Same Es prec．


 ［OE．Pren．］

Jerewirh．av．therewith，I7（3）．［OE．不足 wit：
TNRE－VIDIT．av．under it， $57(5)$ ．［OE． ＂erunder．
WFRTO．av．thereto，to that，36（7）． OE． $2 \overline{2 \pi} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ．］

IDERWARD．av．thitherward，in that direction， $3(25)$ ；7EDIRNIARDE，45（8）． ［OE．Yiderv（e）ora．］

TEI．D1．3．Dees．pron，they， $3(17)$ ，／IRSTE．Vb．thirst，be thirsty，desire $38(9)$ ；acc．\＆dat． 7 AIM， 70 （15）； earnestiy， $69(12)$ ．［OE．7yrstan． OSS．2j．FEIRT，23（2），44（15）， cf．THEYSTED．
 ＂peir（ $r$ ）e．］
PEISE，7ESE，4（12）．See 7IS．
TENKE．Vb． 43 （14）．See THEINKE． IFRSTE ：sb thirst，65（3）．TProbly • a． prec．；cf．OE． 7 rust．cf．THRYST． HRSTELEW．aj．causing thirst，68（15）． Prec．+ OE．－1汤we．］
 foll．

7 IS．dem．pron．this， $15(20), 56(6)$ ．
pI． 7 EISE，TESE， $4(2)$ ．$O$ ． 7 is，
7 EINEE．av．than，2l（9）．［OE．Jxane， cf． 7 ARINE．
 ［Prec．＋－es．（av．g．L］

｜ERBI．Rv．thereby， 73 （2）．［OE．Txrbib6（6）；refl．YISELF，35（14）．［OE．
PGRE．av．there， $3(6), 33(11)$ ；iwhere $6 \phi(\dot{6}), 62(7)$ ．［OE． $72 \times 2$.
PERRHORE Qv．therefore，for it， 16（10），63（3）．［OE． 12 Ear $^{2}+$ FOR．

WoU．s．2．pers．pron．thou，I（2），31（3）； acc．\＆dat． $7 E, 1(2), 31(3) ;$ poss．aj． I，1（12），31（6）；（before voirel） formed by analogy with plurals in－e．］

VWIORTHMESSE. sb unworthiness,26(17), 72(17). [Prec. 7 IESS.]
U.

VP. prep. upon,31(1); av. up, $9(7)$.
VPON , prep. upon, $9(20), 42(2) \cdot[0 E \cdot 21 p \operatorname{lon}]$
VPRY3T. av. upright,13(15). [OE.
VIMBYI. S. 2. pres. subj. uneasten,

> loose, free,2(10). [Ti +0 E . binden.
VFWARD. av. upwarc , 25(I), rI (4). [OE. upweard.

## VIDIRSTOMDE. vi. understand, $51(15)$. [oe.underastandan, -stondan.

VIDONE. p. T. cracked, broken,
$62(10)$. [OE. undōn.]
VSE. Vb.use, $32(13)$; D. D. $20(12)$. [OF. user.
VIMRR.cp.aj. outer, last, uttermost, 54(15). ©Е. īttera. of. OWT.

VIDEESTOM MNGE. VbI. Sb understanding, intelligence, 31 (6). As VNDIRSTOMDE+ING.]
VIDUR. prep. under, 24(20),38(17). OE. under.


 uneap.
VINSCHRIFTE. so. condition of beinte. aj. vile, loathsome, faul, 10(22). unshriven of sins,45(5),n.

> [UNOOE.gcrift. VINYERXD. sid. vineyard,19(18). [OF.

VISKILFUL. aj. irrational, 4I(3). vine +0 ore (e)ard;cf. oE. wIngeard. [UN + ONV . skil -+ FUL.] VISAGE. sb. countenance, visage, face,
VNSKILFULLY. av. unreasonably, $57(1) \cdot \mathbb{A r} \cdot, 0 \mathrm{~F} \cdot \mathrm{visage}$.] without cause, $43(5) \cdot$ Erec. +LX PI SYTE (N) $\cdot$ vb. visit (used of spiritua]
VNTREWE. aj. untrue,felse,15(3). visitation). $26(12), 72(2)$. 01 . [Ang1. untrēowe.
VNWORTHY - aj. unvorthy, 16(3), 63(14);
sp.aj. $12\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)$. Angl. unweorfe.

```
WEY 3TE. sb. weight, 16(15). [OE. Wiht; infl. wegan. (vb.);
```

WEYKElIESSE. Sb. veakness, irailty, I6 (3). [ON. veik-r +IVESS.]

VAY. SD. Way, $17(10)$. [OE. weg.] cf. WEI .

WAKYNG. vbl. sb . steying awalke, $57(9)$. OE. WमPCnan.

WAJKYD. pret. pl. walked,25(15). [Angl. walcan. (str. vb. )]
WAN. aj. dark, livid, $8(10), 56(18)$.

## OE. Wenn, wonn.

WAIVHOPE. sb. despair (of salvation). [OE. Vb. weep,9 (18);nre $37(14)$. [Prec. + HOPE.]

WERT-ILIIE. av. wherein, 7 (19) • [OE.
-WARD.av. suffix. in the directionhwer + In $]$


WARJJE. sD. Buard, we tch, 30 (4). [OE. w(e)ard.

WERT . 2j. weary , 8 (18),48(2). [OE. wērig.]
WARIED. pret.s. wamed, 37 (11). [OEWERS. cl. 2j. Worse, $10(11), 58(14)$; sp. 2j. worenian; Angl. warnian:

VASCHYN,WASSHE Vb Wash, 12 (5),
WERSTE,12(2). [Angl. wersa, wersta; Oll. verri, verst. (See Jordan, para 61 (1); pret. DI. WESCHED, 29 (15). [OE. wjescen,wEosc, wōxon.]

VAST. sb. waste, vanity; or a.j. waite,idle, useless, i4 (15). [AF. wast (aj.)]
WATUR. sb. water, $10(6), 58(9) \cdot[\mathrm{OE}$.
wder.

- WEX. pret. s. grew, increased,22 (2) ; WAXED, $67(16)$;s. 3 . pres. WEXE 7,58 (14).
OE. Weaxan, weox.
WHAN c.j. when, $I(9), 36$ (II). [OE. hwanne. WHAITS OEUERE. cj. whensoever, I6 (5). [From OE. *swa hwanne swa + HUERE.]

WAXFNGE. pres. p. growing, increasing Wh. interj. 10: what, $8(18), 57(8)$; 10(12); [OE. W(e) axan.] See WEX.
WE.pl. I. pers. pron. we, $3(9), 42(7)$; WHE interj. why: $26(5)$. [OI. hwy.]
 WEDUR. sb. weather, storm. $27(19)$. [OE. weder.]
WEI3 • Sb . bay, 66(9). [OE. weg.]

WHERE. av. where, 33 (IO). [OE. hwies.]
WHETHUR.cj. whether, 6(7). [OE. hwe/7er.] WHy. interrog. pron. why , 8 (I) ,69 (17). [ON. hwI, hw
 WII 3R', 74 (13);74(14). OI. hwit. wi =against.
 $63(10)$ [red. OE. Swe hwa swa. $10(21), 59(\overline{6}) \cdot[$ Prec. + OE. dragan:]
WHOU. interros. pron. how, $6(11)$. WIIFFOLDE. Vb. withhola, keep back, [OE. ท̄̃̄. C I. HOW. retain, $11(\mathrm{I7}), 60(7)$. [VIT + AnE $].$ M1910
IICKID. 日j. wicked, 6(6), 72(3). TFrom OE. wicca=wizard.

WIGKEDLY. aj. wicked,melicious, I7 (20), x. [Prec. $+\mathrm{LI}_{1}$.]
 $73(5) \cdot[$ IICRID $+128 S 3]$

WITMSTAIDJ, WIFSTOIDE, VB. withstand,
WIJE. ay. wide, $70(10) \cdot[\mathrm{IN}$, wId]

VIPrIME. prep. within, 39 (2). [Iate OE. wipinnen. resist, B(19),69(9). wiostondan.]
WYDE. av. wide, IA(I).[OI•WIde.] WYSSHE. sb. wish, desire,3I(IS). [OE.
WYL. s. U. pres. ind. will, 6(10); visean $(\mathrm{VD})$. S.1.NRES NEIE, $24(17)$; WIIE
 $33(\mathrm{~J} 4) \cdot[\mathrm{OE} \cdot \mathrm{will}$, wyIIon; wolae.

VIMT. V0. know, 70 (6) ; E.I. Dres. WOT,
WILFULII. av. voluntarily, freely, 75 (17), 63(10);pret. WYSTE(N).9 (12), villinel $\mathrm{V}, 40(16)$. [OF. wiliuliIcens (IO). [OE. witan, wat, wiste.]
WHITE. Sb. Will, nuxpose, desire, WYMNESSE. sb. witness, $27(20), 74(9)$. 14(15),31(11). [OE. wi112.] [OE. witnes.]
 11. [OI, villig-r.] [OE. w1at-ian, + sum.]
WVITE. sb. vind, $8(9)$. [OE. wind. WLALSUILY. aj. Ioathsome, foul, 71 (2), WYKElv. pl. ores. ind. work, devise, act, $14(14) ; \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{WrOU} 3 \mathrm{~T}, 17(5) \cdot \mathrm{WO}$. $\frac{\mathrm{sb}}{(7)}$ woe, $9(11), 46(11) ;$ aj. $6(12), \mathrm{n}$; [OE. wyrcan, worhte (Iate 64(7). [OE. Wa. (a)] See BEGON. Wronted]
WVRMCGE. Bb. working, ection, progness,I4 (I3). [Prec. + ING.]

WOMMAN. Sb. woman, $10(4), 58(5)$. [OE. wifmann,-monn.]
WOMMANKY DE, sb womanly nature, 10 (20).
WIRSCHIPE. Vb. worship, 44(3). [OE. [Prec. + KYNDE.] weordscipe(sb.)] See WORSCHIPE. WONDER. Vb. Wonder, marveI, $9(8)$; plepes. WYSDON. sb. Wisdom,5(15),63(4). [OE. WISDOM.] WONDERFUL. aj.marvellous, strange,
WISE. sb. mannex, fashion, 37 (I6) ; 21. 5 3(3). [OT. WIse.]

WONDEKLY. av. marvellously, B(4). [OI. vincor + LY2]

WOIDIR. av. As prec.,56(15). Partly OF. wundor (sb.) in compounds; partly vunōum (av. dat.) ]

WOMDRYNGE. vbl: sb $\cdot$ marvelline, Estonishment,9(9). [VIDINR(vb.) + ING.

WOMDRYS. sb. pl. wonders,marvels, $26(4), 7 \overline{2}(4)$. OE vundor.

WRA $]$ E E. Sb. wrath, onger, 41 (3). [OE. wre ju; wray (aj.)]
WRATMFYD. D. . angereć,19 (21). [?OE. *wseitian; cf. orecd
WEECH. sb. wretch, 12 (9),32(18). OE. whecced
NRETEI•D.D. Written, 5I (13). [OE. (ce-) witen; writan. (ins. $]$ WRITVIG• vbl. sb. writing, 51 (16). [wrītan + IivG.

WOOFUL. aj. WoefuI, $12(\rho)$ [WO+FUL ]
WOOKE. pret. s. woke, stayed awake, $\frac{\text { wrang. }}{\text { whe }}$


WOHCHYPE. Sb. Worship, object of worship, $7(16), 45(11)$. [OE. weordscipe. SeeVIIRSCHIPE.

WRONG. sb wrong, evil-doing, injustice, 9 (16); pl. 47(5). [Late OE. wrang, wrong (aj.)] VRONGFUL• aj. unjust, 11 (17). WORD. sb. vord, sayine, speech, 21 (19) , Prec. + PUL? $34(7)$. [OF. word.]

WRO GFULI工Y. av. unjustIy,53(I7). WORDELY. aj. 19 (II). See WOMDEIY. [Prec. + LY 2 .]
WOLI. Sb. WORId, $18(13), 34(6)$. [OE. WROUST•D.D. $17(3)$. See WTRKKAT. worold, world.]

WORI. Sb. worm, serpent, $8(2), 56(13)$. [OE. Wy Ym]
WORSGHIPE. Vb. worship, $53(3)$. [OE. weorôs scipe. (sb.)]See WIRSCHIPE.
WQRTHY aj. worthy, $26(9), 73(2)$. Lor weorpe, wyrpe; ?infl. wyrdig, merited.]
WOT. S. I. pres. ind. 15 (17). See WITE.

WOUID. sp. Wound, 48(4);p1.5(1). [OE. wana.]

## APPENDIXA.

A series of Anglo-Norman Prayers, found in Cambridge University Libraxy. MS. Ee. vi. I6, foll. 199 ${ }^{\mathrm{V}}-201^{\mathrm{V}}$.
fol. $199^{\mathrm{V}}$. Graces vous rend treis duz syre ihesu crist de la duce e seinte oresone que vous feistes deuant vostre passione pur nus el mount de oliuete. e requer que vous oyez la moy. Adoramus te christe et benedicimus tibi que per crucem tuam redemisti mundum. Graces vus renc breduz syre ihesu crist. De la grant trestour que vous auiez pur nous quant vus deuenistes si angoissus que $i$ angle du ciel vus vint confortier e sanc suastes de angoisse e requer que vous nus enuoiez laungre de confort en totes nos anguisses que nus pussoms par cele suore turner a sance de cors e dalme. Adoramus et cetera. Graces vus renc treis duz syre ihesu crist des peynes et de hontages que vus soffristes pur nus quant vus soffristes que lem vus preist par preison cruelement lia cum laron. vilement vus menerent 15 deuant le prince des prestres come felon. e vas merci des pas que vas dount alates e requer que vas nous desliez des lienz de nos pecchez pur qui ceo sofristes.

Adoramus et cetera. Graces vus renc tresduz syre ihesu crist des peynes e des hontages que vus soffrites deuant les euesques e deuant vos enemis des boffez e des colees e de faus encusemenz des cris e de faus
tesmoignes e de la pituse regardure dount vas regardastes seint piere e vus requer que vus deignez regarder par meisme cele pitee. Adoramus et cetera. Graces vus renc treduz syre ihesu crist de totes les fol. $200^{r}$.) peynes e des tormenz. eschars e enta/riemenz. esclaundres. e de uilenies e de totes ledenges e hontages que lem vus fist e dist en cele seinte nuit e en cele dure prisone e requer que vus nous donez pacience e force de contrester a touz les assauz de nous enemis. Adoramus te. Graces vus renc treduz syre ihesu christ des peynes e des hontages que vos soffiristes pur nus primes deuant pilate. e des pas vus merci que vus alates en ces dolours e puis deunt herode e derechif deuant pilate e requer pur iceles peynes e ices pas que vus adress(e)z les nos vers vus. Adoramus et cetera. Graces vus rend treduz syre ihesu crist des dures peines que vus soffristes pur nous. e du sanc que vus espandistes quant vus fustes si batuz liez au piler e requer que ce sait pur nostre rauncon. Adoramus et cetera. Graces vus rend tresduz syre ihesu crist des peynes e des hontages que vus soffristes que vus
fustes par eschar pur vus honir uestu de purpre coronez despines as genoilonz aorez par eschar reis apelez. e en vostre tresduz uiaire uilement escopez e bof'fetez feruz e debatuz el chif du rosel oue vas fu par eschar (1) mis mis al poing en liu de ceptre e des ameres plaies vous merci des espines e du precius sanc vus enieia aual vostre tres duce face e requer que vas nous defendez des peynes e de hontages que nous auoms deserui par nos fol. $200^{\mathrm{V}}$.) pecchez. Adoramus et cetera. Graces / vus rend tresduz ihesu crist que vus fustes si sanglaunt si corone presente deuaunt le pople vostre tres beau uiere mout enledi du sanc e des ordes epulentes escopures engluez e fustes de touz de totes escriez e de touz a grant cri a vile mort de la croiz iugez vostre merei ieo vus requer cher syre que vus nous donez grace de nous memes iuger adreit. Adoramus et cetera. Graces vus rend treduz syre ihesu christ. Des peines e des hontages que dount si tresducement soffristes desore vus sachent a des onour ferent boutent par grant baudour ta seinte te fount porter e al mount de caluarie mener en la seinte croiz estes angoissusement estenduz entre larons uilement penduz en vos tendres mains e vos tendres piez ferm cloufichez tout nu y estes crucifiez. mout vus
(1) There is a point beneath this word in the manuscript.
merci des dures dolurs que vus sofrites par amurs pur cele treduce amur vus pri que vus eiez de nous merci e de touz nos amis e des mors e des vif's. Adoremus et cetera. Graces vus rend treduz syre ihesu crist que vus voilez si pitusement tout vostre seynte cors en la seinte croiz estendre e la corone despines en vostre seint chif porter. e le baume de vostre precius saunc espaundre si largement pur nous lauer de nos pecchez. e requer pur icele seinte lermes que vus en la seinte croiz plorastes si anguissousement e pur les fol. $201^{r}$.) egres $e$ pur les attariantes / paroles ke lem vus dist si felenusement e pur icel precius sanc que vus si largement espandistes pur nostre salu que sofist a Ia rancoun de tout le munde veire si myl mundes i fusent vne coute de vostre precius sanc y sofireit. duz ihesu pardonez nus touz les mals que nous auomz fet de nos cors e de nos quers e de paroles e de nos cinc senz. Adoramus et cetera. Treduz syre ihesu crist mercy vus priastes pur vos enemis en vos angoissuses peynes en la croiz quant vus deistes si treducement pater ignosce eis qui nesciunt quid faciunt. Trescher syre dites pur nous ceo que vus deistes pur eus e donez nus grace de si pardoner come nus coueitoms pardon auoir. Adoramus et cetera. Treduz syre deu merci vus regardastes si pitousement vostre tresduce mere e ly
deistes. Mulier ecce filius tuus E a vostre trescher disciples seint iohan. ecce mater tua. Tresduz syre pur lour amour regardes nous en nos angoisses e en vostre seinte garde nous receuez cors e alme e quant que nous auoms. Adoramus et cetera. Treduz syre
kar vas fustes si destreit. e si desachie si pene. e si turmente. si batu si depescie si debruse. e si desoire si anguissosement fiche en la croiz. si ensanglaunte si defigure. si defacez si Lediz. e si descolurez que vus resemblastes m vil mesel. un ord leprous. Vus que les euangeles e totes creatures en ciel e en tere enbelisez e enluminez e esioisez de vostre gloriose beaute e de uostre respleñisable clarte. Treduz syre ihesu crist lauez malme tote nette e gardez la tote nette en vos anguissuses plaies e en vostre precius sanc. e en cele plaie de uostre treduz coste destre qui si large e si longe. e si ouerte. e si parfunde e si cruele e si hyduse fu pur nous mustrer Ia tresgrant charite de vostre tresduz amur. e en cel treduz sanc qui en issi si langement e si grant cours. e a si grant froiz. e en cele treduz ewe ensement. treis duz ihesu tenez malme tote nette ljoques tut diz que ieo la vus pusse tote nette rendre quant ele istra hors du cors et treduz ihesu donez moy que ieo vus fol. $202^{\mathrm{r}}$.) pusse / veer en vostre gloriose humanite e en vostre gloriose deite. e en vostre maieste clerement e louer pardurablement e amer ardaument entre vos angeles e entre vos seinz en ciel sanz fin. Adoramus et cetera. Treduz syre ihesu crist comandastes vostre treduz espurt en meynz vostre vostre (sic) chyer pere
disant. In manus tuas domine commendo spiritum meum. pur le honour de cel duz espirit vas pri que vus nous facez tele vie mener que nous puissoms a nostre fin nostre espirit en vos seinte(s) mainz dignement comanđer. Adoramus et cetera. Graces vus rend tresduz syre ihesu 5 crist de totes les peines e les hontages e les reprochez e les outrages que len vus fist e dist en la seynte croiz. e del haut e piteus cri que vus vostre merci criastes quant vus voliez rendre vostre seinte alme a si tredure mort pur nos almes saluer. e a la fyn deistes 10 Consurnatum est. e vostre seynt chief enclinastes pur nous doner le baiser de pes pur cest amur vus requer treduz ihesu crist de tout mon quer que desoremes vus eime tant que tout le munde me seit puant e des ioies que sunt passant nule ne me seit en quer plaisant. ma vie seit de vus seruir e ma ioie de vus obeir mon confort soit apres vus languir pur vostre treduz odur sentir. Adoramus. (e pus xxj) Primo...
(A general prayer follows which has no specific connection with the theme of the Passion. This ends on fol. $202^{\mathrm{V}}$. The Latin begins again on fol. $203_{\text {. }}^{\text {r }}$ )

## A PPENDIXB.

Latin Extracts from B. Nus. Royal MS. 8. C. XV which Correspond to Passages in the 'Meditatio.'

Only those passages are given which show very close verbal similarity to the English.
fol. $151^{\mathrm{r}}$ :
0 dulcissime redemptor ouam pijs oculis cuam misericorditer et quam efficaciter ac benigne tercio negantem respexisti petrum. quando ille conuersus et in se reuersus flendo penituit tam amare... O misericordissime
saluator tue compassionis et misericordie oculos vsque ad nos miseros et peccatores digneris conuertere. ita per tuam graciam et misericordiam possimus omnia nostra peccata atque facinora digne penitere plangere et emendare quatinus cum beato petro dilecto tuo discipulo ad tuam misericordiam valeamus peruenire. Amen. Pater. Ave.
fol. $155^{\mathrm{V}}$ :
Per hee seuissima tormenta angustías et obprobria
fol. $156^{\text {r }}$.) aue pro / nobis o mitissime ihesu ab illis maliuolis humiliter tunc pertulisti te totis cordis mei visceribus exoro vt concedas michi indigno graciam
quatinus de illo dulcissimo celesti speculo et sanctissima facie tua in qua angeli et sancti tanto desiderio anelant prospicere: sit michi in hac miserabili vita contra insidias latentis inimici cogitare et prospicere maxima consolacio. Ataue dulcissime ihesu, tui valtus similitudinem in mea anima infirma restaurare digneris quam peccata plurima deturpauerunt ac marcescere fecemint. Et bone domine runauam sinas me in aliouo peccato consentaneam voluntatem habere. nec aliquibus peccati voluptatibus consentire. Et concedas michi graciam te in ,o ormibus creaturis tuis laudare et adorare. et nunauam permittas me in vultu faciel mee habere superbiam nec in alicuam alienam faciem aspiciendam peccandi voluptatem: sed misericoraissime inesu. concede michi tuam desiderabilem faciem in celo cum electis sine fine prospiciendam. is Amen. Pater. Ave. Credo. fol. $160^{\mathrm{v}}$;

0 mansuetissime ihesu tibi gracias cordiales refero propter hanc magnam humilitatem quam ostendisti quando coram pilato et omibus falsis accusatoribus tuis / fol. $161^{r}$.) tam humiliter perstetisti. Nunc audi me dulcis ihesu obsecro te vt michi graciam prestare digneris. quatinus in omni temptacione, valeam memoriter retinere. quod asto coram te iudice meo. Et concede michi graciam: accusaciones obprobria
et omnia verba inimicorum contumeliosa pro two amore pariter et honore semper cum magna humilitate et pacientia sustinere. Et dulcissime ihesu auando iudicatus ero a te. habeas obsecro tunc misericordiam et pietatem de me. Tuum benigne iudicium ouod tu

Irracionabiliter pertulisti pro me satisfaciat et me excuset ex illo iudicio quod dgo racionabiliter haberem a te. Pater. Ave. fol. $165^{\mathrm{r}}$ :
...Domine mi ihesu christe te semper benedicant adorent et glorificent omnes creature tue pro quibus diutissime permanserunt ...tu coram istis crudelissimis pacientissime stabas ligatus. totus sanguinolentus totusaue laceratus $v t$ in te non inueniretur vlla sanitas nunc quod flagelleretur. ita quod totum corpus tuum nisi vulnera videbatur multa enim vulnera in vno vulnere erant zo adimata ouarum illi nodi acutissimi sepe in vo loco percuciebantur, et ideo tunc omnis percussio intimus in tua tenerima carne intrabat...preciosum corpus tuum celo stellato erat similimum. Sicut enim celum repletus stellis
sic corpus tuum plenum erat vulneribus. Sed tua sanctissima stigmata longe sunt meliora quam omnia celi luminaria stelle etenim celit non fulgent nisi in nocte tua sanctissima vulnera fulgent omni virtute auolibet die ac nocte. Omnia celi sidera per noctem parum 5 illuminant et nubes tua omnia poterit obscurare. Sed vnum ex tuis vulneribus est et semper erit sufficiens omnium peccatorum obscuritatem suferre ataue omnium hominum peccancium consciencias licet fetidissimas totaliter e mundare. O dulcissime saluator deprecor te vt illa sanctissima vulnera tua sint semper in mente mea firmiter radicata. quia in illis est pro omi infirmitate anime sanabilissima medicina Causant itaque stelle celi omnium crescencium vivenciumque ac fructus ferencium viriditatem Simili modo 0 amabilis Ihesu per virtutem is sanctorum vulnerum tuorum fac me in sancta fide atque fol. $166^{\mathrm{r}}$.) in amore tuo con-/-tinue virentem in tua caritate et gracia crescentem et nunauam deficientem in fecunditate quoave fructus bonorum operum semper esse perseuerantem Sunt et stelle cause diuersorum metallorum ac preciosorum lapidum Sic piissime Thesu per merita vulnerum tuorum fac mentem meam contra temptaciones diaboli carnis mee et mundi velud metallum durissimum Et sicut lapides preciosi per virtutem fulgent stellarum: sic anima mea in summo gradu micat caritatis per virtutem vulnerum tuorum. Amen. Pater. Ave.
...tibi sit semper honor et gloria. laus amor et benediccio cuius corpus sacratissimum flagellis aculeatis scissum dilaniatum totumaue laceratum atave cicatricibus plenum: iterum rechi assimilatur. Sicut enim reche plenum est foraminibus: sic corpus tuum plenum est vulneribus. 0 dulcissime thesu obsecro te vulnera cor meum igniculis dulcissimi amoris tui iaculis Et vt digneris me deprecor in istud reche amarissime tue flagellacionis minari? vt totum cor meum et amor sit semper de te et in te Et sicut per recia trahuntur pisces vsque ad litus mortis Sic 0 ihesu amabilis precor te vt trahas me ad te et tecum. vt nunauam aliaua temptacio vel tribulacio aut prosperitas separent me a te. donec perducas me in gaudium claritatis tue. 0 bone domine ihesu obsecro vt mines me in sagenam misericordie tue aue est ecclesia sancta atque custodias me vt nunquam exiliam a vinculis caritatis tue 0 benigne ihesu mines me ita per cor in recia mandatorum tuorum. vt nunquam aliquod peccatum me retrahat de clastro virtutum tuarum sed semper stabilis in omnibus tuis beneplacitis vsque ad meum obitum perseuerem 0 dulcissime et beatissime 20 saluator tuum vtique corpus sanctissimum totum sanguine cruentatum ac sacris vulneribus repletum: columbari iterum comparatur. Sicut enim columbar plenum est latibulis et nidis columbarum: sic corpus tuum sanctissimum fol. $166^{\text {v. . ) sacris vulneribus / totum est perfusum. Et sicut }}$ columbe ab accipitre persecute nidus est tutamen. ita ihesu dulcissime in omni temptacione et tribulacione tua
sancta stigmata sunt nobis maximam refugium et solamen. Nunc dulcissime ihesu obsecro te vt in omni temptarione concedas michi graciam alicuius foraminis sacrorum vulnerum tuorum ac delectacionem commorandi in contemplacione tue amarissime Passionis. Amen. Pater. Ave.
..tibi grates et laudes corditer offero cuius sacrum corpus adhuc fauo melleo assimilatur Sicut vero faus plenus est cellis omni via et omnis cella plena est melle. ita quod tangi non possit absque odore dulcedinis: sic dulcissime ihesu tuum sacratissimum corpus plenum est cellis deuocionis. itaque non poterit tangi ab anima pura et casta absque magna redolencia suauitatis et delectacionis 0 dulcissime redemptor deprecor te vt prestes michi graciam te tangendi cum magno clamore in petando misericordiam pro peccatis mels cum magno desiderio spiritualis contemplacionis cum emendacione $\begin{aligned} & \text { ite mee et }\end{aligned}$ persuerancia in bono incepto: cum sollicita mandatorum tuorum obseruacione: et cum gaudio perseuerandi in memoria sanctissime passionis tue. Iterum bone ihesu corpus tuum simile est libro scripto cum incausto rubeo quia corpus tuum sanctissimum rubeis valneribus totum est perfusum 0 ihesu dulcissime obsecro te vt concedas michi graciam attente legere super librum tuum et aliaualiter intelligere dulcedinem illius scripture atque delectacionem habere in studiosa illius lectare exercitacione Et dones michi graciam ad percipiendum
aliquid de incomparabilissimo amore tuo et ad discendum per hoc exemplum te dominum meum diligere versa vice vt deberem Et largire michi digneris o ihesu dulcissime hoc sacrum studium omni tempore die ac nocte. atque permittas fol. $167^{\mathrm{r}}$.) me super hunc librum / feruenter studere omnibus suauiter est redolens anime deuote ac salubre veluti herbe cuilibet homini misero et peccatori. Nunc dulcissime ihesu rogo te vt dones michi mellifluum odorem misericordie tue in sanabili recepcione tue sancte gracie Amen. Pater. Ave. Credo. fol. $168^{\mathrm{r}}$.)
..supplico vt concedas michi graciam spinas vere penitencie 16 vsque ad mortem pro tuo emore et peccatis meis semper portare. Et prestes michi graciam dignam in hac vita pro peccatis meis agere penitanciam vt ex tua fol. $168^{\mathrm{V}}$.) gratissima / bonitate hic merear habere plenam anime purgacionem...
fol. $172^{\mathrm{V}}$.)
0 spectaculum dolorosum. Caput tuum sanctissimum repletur 22 spinis, acutissimis. Aures tue preciosissime intus et extra replete sunt sanguine. ffacies tua pulcherima tota
facta est pallida. Tuus dulcissimus aspectus factus est languidus et dolorosus maxille tue et capud propter grauissimas percussiones teguntur ex omni parte illo roseo sanguine tuo. Vultus tuus totus maculatus taliter $a b$ illis nequissimis: horribilibus conspucionibus deformatur. 5 quod quasi immundissius leprosus tunc omnium oculis apparuisti. Illa vero crux tam honorosa et longa ad dorsum tuum sic durissime erat ligata: anod fecit te multociens tremere ac grauiter anelare. 0 dulcissime ihesu multum enim dolebas quando corpori tuo crux ista sic grauissime coherebat. Erat niminum corpus tuum sanctissimum multipliciter infirmum lassum plurimis que penis et doloribus repletum. tam per longum et magnum ieiunium tam per longam vigilacionem precedenti nocte sine aliqua quiete tam propter acerbissimas flagellaciones alapas et percussiones atque alia inumerabilia verba et facta que sunt dictu horribilia: auod vix subsistere potuisti. Caro et tua cui crux adheret tota excoriatur. et ubi cutis esset: sanguis super greditur. Pena ligacionis in tantum te angustat. quod omne vestigium quo per viam pergis vsque ad cor turm penetrat et pungit. fol. $173^{\mathrm{V}}$.)

Numquam enim ab exordio mundi aliauis latro pessimus
fol. $174^{\text {r. }}$.) cum tali processione ad mortem propriam ductus / erat: sicut tu fuisti 0 auam magnus dolor et cor tuum penetrabat quando respexisti matrem tuam carissimam. florem totius creature: inter talem ac tantam populi multitudinem
te sequentem veluti mulierem extra mentem positam et terram frequencius petentem per cordiali dolore eius animam constringente. Cuius meror et dolor omnium aliorum dolorum nimirum excellebat. modo manus plicuit lamentando et suspirando. modo sursum brachia dilatando erexit. modo eas subleuando sepius dilatauit. lacrime suorum oculorum vsque ad pedes suos continue distillantes, Cecidit multociens in extasi pro pena et dolore o amabilissime saluator eius pena et dolor adouxit multipliciter omes alias penas tuas Et ouando intellexit quod eius dolor tam grauiter te vexabat: tunc eius vtique pena acrius in se crescebat. Et sic vtriusaue dolor vtriusoue penam durius diuersimode multiplicabat. Ille amor in ferventi dileccione potest comparari: naturaliter generauit vestrum dolorem vtrisaue fore dissimilem pene seu dolori is aliouo super terram Quia sicut amor vester fuit incomparabilis ita et vester dolor extitit sine pare et velud mors durissima vestris cordibus coherebat.
o gloriosa domina vnde tibi ille animus tam audax et robustissimus inter tot feroces inimicos ita prope filium tuum sequi: 0 beata virgo auomodo fuit auod neaue verecordia muliebris nec pudicicia virginalis te retraxerunt Nec fuit conueniens vt tom crudelissimam turbam_ 0 beatissima te sequereris Sed non habuisti respectum ad aliouem terrorem humanum. nec adaliqualem aliam rem aue
te vilatenus impedirent. cuare pre dolore extra te posita fuisti auem super filium tuum proprium ac dilectissimum habuisti eo: auod super eum totum cor turm pependit. atoue dileccio. Tuus enim amor verissimus ita erat in filio firmatus. tuus vultus dolorosus pro ve mortali mutatus: auod omnem timorem corporalem. omnem pudorem mundialem ataue omnem terrenem accionem penitus adnullasti. 0 dulcissima domina. hec passio et pene amarissime. omnino essent mee. quia has pro memi et fui causa efficiens earundem Ideo benignissima virgo sicut tue passiones et pene de 10 iure mee forent. Adcuire michi pro tua manna misericordia et pietate earum tantum modo vnam oue maneat iugiter in corde meo per totam vitam meam. Adauire michi dulcis domina vnam scintillam illius magne compassionis quarn in corde habuisti filium seauendo ad passionem suam. vt is ipsum seauar compaciendo digne contemplando eandem passionem. 0 beata et gloriosa. omnis ille dolor tus certe esset meus. Pone ergo in meo proprio desiderio. hunc maximum dolorem. Ne sis michi tam iniusta omnia a me subtrahere quaero quamuis tuus dolor sit tibi
acceptabilis. scio tum auod existis valde liberalis Impartire michi...pauperculo qui tam parum habet. et da michi partem tuorum singultuum beatorum. quos tam penaliter fol. $175^{r}$.) singultasti: et presta vt suspirem tecum ex quo princIpium doloris extiti Peto a te o domina carissima non
corpora celestia neaue qualescumque res sed valnera compassionis pene et doloris dulcissimi ihesu domini dei mei Eius anara passio: sit michi vera compassio et totum desiderium multum enim appeto dominum meum deprecari pro vae guttula sui rubri sanguinis preciosi ad faciendum animam meam totam sanguinolentem. Et vnam s tillam a oue tuarum lacrimarum. vultum anime mee interius ad lauandum. 0 domina misericordie et compassionis protectrix omnis doloris remedium cuiuscunque transgressionis mater miserorum et omnium necessitatem habencium: visita obsecro animam meam et siste in corde meo tuum dilectum filium cum vulneribus suis sacris. Manda michi scintillam compassionis in cor meum ouod est durum sicut lapis et vnan guttam tue passionis ad illud mollificandum vt digne contemplare valeam? tuam et 15 ipsius que restat passionem. Amen. Pater. Ave. Credo... fol. $176^{\text {V }}$.) . .tibi gracias et laudes corditer offero pro illo inestimabili pena et dolore auem pro nobis sustinuisti auendo iterum ab illis crudelissimis inimicis tuis spoliatus fuisti et coram omni populo totaliter nudatus stetisti 0 auam vehementissimo dolore augustiebaris auando illa interior vestis tua ex te trahebatur, que cum illo cruore amarissime flagellacionis tue tam firmiter tuo corpori adherebat: ouando sic laceratus et laceratus fuisti et tam diu
crudelissime verberatus: donec totum fere sanguinem tuum exteriorem cruentasti vt cutis tua vix de te in simul pendere potuit. De tua enim tenera iunentute ac recente etate nullam recordacionen aut compassionem acceperunt nec quomodo illa scissio penosa acerbissime potestate mea tota fortitudine mea tota sciencia mea tota intencione mea toto intellectu meo. tota potencia anime mee tota cogitacione mea tota locucione mea. totis sensibus meis totis operibus meis totis occupacionibus meis to\&a sollicitudine mea et cum omni requie mea... tibi ficeliter seruire...

## $A P P E N D I X C$.

$\qquad$
$8-2+2-2+2$
(I)
B. M. Cotton MS. Titus C. XIX.

The text of the Meditatio which appears in Cotton MS. Titus C XIX [T] is that of the Longer version of the work. The MS. belongs to the latter half of the fifteenth century and there is some internal evidence of the lateness of the transcript here given: 7 , for instance, has almost entirely given way to th, in orthography, and very many examples are to be seen of the lowering of $\underset{\text { l }}{ }$ to $\underset{e}{e}$, as in 'skenles', 'hedirwarde', 'spetten', 'leztten' ('illuminate'), 'sates' ('sits'), 'lemmas', 'dede' (did'), 'wreten'. And, in addition to its lateness, this transcript is considerably marred by Words inaccuracy. XXXXXXXXXXXXWOXXX, essential to the meaning, are often omitted:-



U , having compassion of pee 58(2); compassion om. T. $U$, furl of woundis 48 (10); of om. T.

[^28]The fact that two consecutive phrases end with the same words has almost certainly been the cause of the omission from 'T of the words:-
'when pou were cloped in purpure for vs' $\mathrm{U}, 52$ (12) and
'Sende me a sparcle of compassioun' U 61(5) Among other obvious errors are:-
$T$ refuse refute $U 50(15)$; T eythere erfe U 58(17);
T steke speke $U$ 62(14); T parte $]$ pays U 64(7). As a guide to the original readings of the text, $T$ is therefore unlikely to be of great use. The testimony of unioue readings in this manuscript is certainly untrustwortid.

Further examination reveals a close similarity between $T$ and $U$, which gives the basic tequ of this edition. Perhaps the most striking instances in which $T$ agrees with $U$, in contradiction of $A$ and $B$, are afforded in the lines:-
a) '..for fee to skourgen were chosen men /at weren stronge, stalworle and willy..;' U 47(16)-48(2)T
b) 'so ful 7 e birefte $7 i$ chere;' u 59(12) cf: 'so ful the breste thi chere;' $T$.
c) 'Yeire' $\mathrm{U} \Delta 4(14)$; 'thaire;' T
d) 'delitable' U $51(10), T$; cf. 'delicat' A, 'delicatly: 8 B .
(1) See footnotes to MS. version of text for variant readings of $A$ and $B$.
e) 'she caste hir armes and spred hem on brode;'
f) '1/i skynne al to-drawen and straitly streyned.'

This resemblance between $U$ and $T$ is perhaps most remarkable in the slight details of the text: T retains the native forms of the 3 rd. person plural pronoun, in the obliaue cases, excent in the very few instances where (2) U gives Scandinavian forms; T follows U strictly in observing the forms of the demonstrative and definite article, 'he', '1/i', '\%'; both manuscripts present one occurrence of the sacred cipher,'IHC', beside the otherwise regular 'Ihu'. In phonology, also, the two are very alike, olthough certain tendencies to be
(4)
observed in $U$, such as the lowering of $\underset{\text { lo }}{ }$ é, are carried further in T. Perhaps the most generally observed distinction between them lies in the inflection of the 2nd. s. present indicative: in U , -st; in T, -s. MS. Talso contains a few examples of 3rd. s. present indicative in -s, e.g:-
'recchis' cf.U 62(4) , 'fallis' U 75(5) ,
where $U$ preserves the -i inflection. But these differences merely give point to the general resemblance between the two.
(1) See footnotes to MS. version of text for variant readings of $A$ and $B$.
(2) See note to p. 64, 1.14.
(3) $\quad .71,1.11$.
(4.) See p. XVII.
5) This is not entirely unknown in $U$. See $p . X X V /$.

There are, however, a very few lines in T which agree, not with $U$, but with A, B, or both. Those which leap to the attention are:-
a) $U 40(2)$ euel teaching ille lore $T(B)$ om. A;
b) U $45(10)$ goynges gatis $T(A B)$

The reading of $T$, in these may be considered preferable to that of $U$, in a text which contains, as this does, some scattering of Norther, or NE Midland vocabulary. There would seem to be no adequate reason for the scribe of $T$, if using $U$ as his exemplar and comparing it with a MS. of the B. type, to change either 'goynges', or 'fuel teaching'. Both these readings of $U$ would have been quite intelligible to him, even if he himself spoke a Northern dialect. Contamination does not, if fact, provide the most satisfactory explanation of such deviations from the text as given in J . Since there is reason to suppose that, in the two examples just given, T records an earlier reading than appears in $U$, then it would seem to follow that $T$ is a copy of a manuscript very closely related to $U$, but not descended from it. It might be a copy of the exemplar of $U$, or it may represent the version given in a sister manuscript of U. The immediate source of $I$ is certainly not B or A. With the latter, indeed, it does not share one reading worthy of remark, which is not found also in $U$, or B, or in both. Close as it is to $U$ in its readings, T throws no more light on the relationship of $R$ to the English versions of the Meditation. ************************
I. Editions of the Meditations on the Passion ascribed to Rolle.
1.) J.Ullmann, 'Studien zu Richard Rolle de Hampole', Englische Studien, VII, Heilbronn, pp.454-468.
2.) Yoxkshire Writers Is ed.C.Horstmann, London - Leipzig, 1895-6, pp.83-103.
3.) 'Meditatio de Passione Domini', ed.H.Lindkvist, Skrifter utgifna af K Humanistiska Vetenskaps, xix. 3, Upsala, 1917.
4.) English Writings of Richard Rolle, ed.H.E.Allen, Oxford, 1931, pp.17-36.

Critical.
J.Zupitza, 'Zur Meditacio Ricardi Heremite de Hampole de Passione Domini', Englische Studien, xii, Heilbronn, ppe463-468.
M.Konrath, Yorkshire Writers Xon Horstmann. Archiv fur das Studien der neuere Sprachen und Literaturen, XCV1, Brunswick, pp.368-399 (pp.374-376 on Meditatio.)
II. Rolle.

Modern Editions of Works.
The Psalter Translated by Richard Rolle, ed. H.R. Bramiey, Oxford, 1884.

Yorkshire Writers, ed.C.Horstmann, London Leipzig, 1895-6, 2 vols.

The Incendium Amoris of Richard Rolle of Hampole, ed. M. Deansely, Manchester University Publications No. 26, 1915.

Le Cantiaue d'Amour de Richard Rolle, ed. A. Wilmart, Paris, 1940.

Critical.
H. Middendorff, Studien uber Richard Rolle von

Hampole unter besonderer Berucksichtigung seiner Psalmen-Commentare, Magdeburg, 1888.
A. Hahn, Quellenuntersuchungen zu Richard Rolles englischen Schriften, Berlin, 1900.
J.P.Schneider, The Prose Style of Richard Rolle of Hampole, with special reference to its euphuietic tendencies, Baltimore, 1906.
H. Henningsen, Uber die Wortstellung in den Prosaschriften Richard Rolles von Hampole, Erlangen, 1911.
H. F. Allen, The Authorship of the Prick of Conscience, Radcliffe College Monographs, 15, 1910.
H. E. Allen, Writings Ascribed to Richard Rolle, Hermit of Hampole, and Materials for His Biography. Publications of the Modern Language Association of America, Monograph Series, no.3, 1927 (especially pp.278-287.)
A. Olmes, Sprache und Stil der englischen Mystik des Mittelalters unter besonderer Berucksichtigung des Richard Rolle von Hampole, Halle, 1933.
A. Wilmart, Le "Jubilus" dit de S. Bernard, Rome, 1945, Appendix. (Rolle and devotion to the Holy Name.)
III. Traditional Background and Sources.

Pre-Franciscan.

Liber Meditationum S.Augustini, Migne, Patrologia
Latina t.XI, coll.901-942.

St. Anselm of Canterbury, Opera, Migne, P.I., t. GLVIII. Méditstions et Pridres de St. Anselme, translated by A Castel, with an introduction by A. Wilmart, Paris, 1923.

St. Bernard of Clairvaux, Opera Omnia, ed. Mabillon, in Migne, P. L. CLXXXII - CIXXXV.

Discussion.
A. Wilmart, Auteurs Spirituels et Textes Dévots du Moyen Age Iatin, Paris, 1932.
D. Nogues, Mariologie de S. Bernard, Paris-Tournai, 1935.
E.Wechssler, Die romanischen Marienklagen, Halle, 1893.
A. Linder, Plaintes de la Vierge en vieux-vénitien, Upsala, 18981. (esp.pp.CLII-CLXXVI.)

## Franciscan.

St. Bonaventura, Opera Omia. Suerachi, 1882-1902, 10 vols.
St. Bonaventura, Decem Opuscula, Quaracchi, 1896.
Ubertino da Casale, Arbor Vite Crucifixi Iesu, Venetiis, 1485.
St. Bonaventura, Opera Omnia, ed.A.C.Peltier, t.XII, Paris, 1871 (Stim. Amoris and Meditationes Vitae Christi.)

Jacobus Mediolanensis, Stimulus Amoris, Quaracchi, 1905. Discussion.
E. Gilson, 'S. Bonaventure et I'Iconographie de la Passion', Revue d'Fistoire Franciscame I, Paris, 1924, pp. 405-424.
L. Oliger, Le Meditationes Vitae Christi del Pseudo Bonaventura, Note critiche. Estratto da 'studi Francescani ; Arezzo, 1922.
F. J. E. Raby, Poems of John of Hoveden, Surtees Society Publications, no. CLIV, 1939. (Introduction.)

Evelyn Underhill, Jacopone da Todi, London, 1919.

## English Works.

A Talkyng of the Loue of God, ed. Horstmarn, op.cit. II, p0. 345-366.

The Driषity of the Passion, ed. Horstmann, ibid. I. pp.198-218.
Meditation on the Passion, (and of Three Arrows on Doomsday) ed. Horstmann, ibid. I, pp.112-121.

Richard Norris, Old English Homilies, I, EETS. OS. 29,34, pp. 183-189, 200-203, 269-287. ('Un God Hreisun of Oure Laverde' and 'Te Wohunce of Ure Lauerde.')

Discussion.
M. Konrath, 'Eine Uberschene Fassung der Ureisun of Oure Louerde. bez. Ureisun of God Almihti und der Wohunge of Ure Laverd,' Anglia XIII, Halle, 1918, pp. 85-98.

Style.

Gicero, Rhétorique à Herennius, ed. Henri Bomecqye, Paris 1938.
E. Norden, Die Antike Kunstprosa, Leipzig, 1898.
C.S. Baldwin, Medieval Rhetoric and Poetic, New York, 1929.
A.C.Clarke, The Cursus in Mediaeval and Vulgar Latin, Oxford 19102

## Language.

J.A. H. Murray, Henry Bradley, W.A.Craigie, C.T.Onions, The Oxford English Dictionary (A New English Dictionary on Historical Principqles.) Oxford 1933.

Joseph Wright, The English Dialect Dictionary, Oxiorg, 1898.
L. Kellner, Historical Outlines of English Syntax, London,1924.
K. Luick, Historische Grammatik der Englischen Sprache, Leipzig, 1921-.
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[^0]:    (1) op.cit., p. 261.
    (2) H. E.AIIen, the Authorship of The Pricke of Conscience, Radcliffe College Nonographs XV, 1910, and Wr.Ascr. ,pp. 372-597.

[^1]:    (I) See Glossary.

[^2]:    (1) There are also some examples of this in Wrow

[^3]:    （1） $7.7,71.80-87$. p． $8,11.12-14$
    

[^4]:    (1) $p .16,11.6-9.1-6$.
    (2) p.63, 11.12-15.
    (3) See section on style, below, C C XXVIII

[^5]:    (1) See note to $A B U, p .1,1.2 .3$.
    (2) See below, puIF. (mhis seetien) LI-LII.
    (3) See below, pp.XL|II-LIII.

[^6]:    (1) See below, pp. $L X V 1-L X X X \mid 1 /$ (2) See below, pp. LXIV-LXV.

[^7]:    (1) $0.8,11.18-19 . p, 3$, ll. 8-11.
    (2) $p .40,1.8 . \quad p .42$, l. $5-9$.
    (3) Appendix B, pp. (9)-(11).
    (4) $p p .83-5,56-8 \cdot p p .10-13,5 q-61$.

[^8]:    (1) See Migne, P.L. XL, coll.901-942. For a discussion of the theory of Jean de Fécamp's authorship of this work, see A. Wilmart, Antears spirituel et textes devots du moven age, Paris, 1932, p. 128 foll, and Meditations et Prières de S.Anselme, Paris, 1923,pp. VII-XI.
    (2) P.I. XI, col.906.

[^9]:    (1) P.I. CLVIII, coll. 709-820.
    (2) Méditations et Prieres de St.Anselme. See introduction. (3) P.I. CLVIII, coll.359-432.

[^10]:    (1) See especially A. Linder, Plaintes de la Vierge en vieux-vénitien, Upsala, 1898, pp. CLII-CLXXVI and E. Wechssler, Die romanischen Marienklagen, Halle, 1893 for an outline of the history of this literary form. (2) For some account of the source of the text see K. Schroder, 'Interrogatio S.Anselmi', Germania XVII, Stuttgart, 1872, pp.231-235.

[^11]:    (1) See. p. LxAXU to text Mi Meditaze Cl6-10. .
    (2) 'Sermo in Dominica Infra Octavam Assumptionis B Mariae Virginis, in P.I. CLXXXIII, coll. 437-8.
    (3) See text of Meditatio, p. 12 11.7-8;p6/, el. $1-2$
    (4) See Nogues, op.cit., pp.129-130, 146-151.

[^12]:    (1) Above, pp. $L X \times V /$
    (2) See Linder, op. cit. p. CLXXIV.
    (3) For further references to these writers and their place in the history of Passion devotions, see: J. E. Raby, Poems of John of Hoveden, Surtees Society Publications no. 154, 1939, Introduction,p.XX.
    (4) P.I. CLXXX, col. 219, 230.
    5) ibid. col.220.
    6) P. I, CLXXXXX, coll.1709-1725. The work has the title: 'Tractatus de Septem Verbis Christi in Cruce,' later to become so familiar. See no toxt of Moditatio p.38, I. In. (7) ibid.
    (8) P.I. CXCV, col.309-316.

[^13]:    'Ibi filius crucifigebatur cum matre et propter mutuam et intensam dilectionem ex compassione mutua erat nimia afflictio utriusque et maxime cum pro invicem paterentur.' (1)
    1/e soreve at He made and pe mykel dool agreggyd manyfold alle fin ofere peynes, so, shan Heo wyste Tat it so was, Tan was Hyre wel wers, and you slso for Hyre wepyst. So was youre sorewe, eyther for - fer, waxenge manyfold with hepynge sorewys.' (2)

    The sinner, in his meditation, joins the group about the Cross and curses his hardness of heart, praying for mercy, now from the dying Christ and now from Mary.

[^14]:    (I) op.cit., p. 632.

[^15]:    (1) Op. Om. ,Quaracchi, VIII, pp.69-78.
    (2) See E.Gibson, 'S. Bonaventure et I'iconographie de la

    Passion', Revue d'Histoire Franciscaine, I, 1924, pp. 405-424.
    (3) op.cit.,p. $7 \%$

[^16]:    (1) ibid.
    (2) op.cit., p. 79.
    (3) Op.Om., Quaracchi, VIII,
    (4) op.cit., p. 170.

[^17]:    (1) Printed at Venice in 1485, unpaginated.

[^18]:    (1) Oxford Theology and Theologians, Oxford, 1934.
    (2) op.cit.,p.203; cf. Meditatio,p. 6, 11.4-7.
    (3) op.cit.,p.202.

[^19]:    (1) See above, p.IV
    (2) H. E.Allen, Writings ascribed to Richard Rolle, p. 281.

[^20]:    (1) p.62, 1.15-p.63, 1.9.
    (2) $\begin{aligned} & \text { p. 14, } 1.14-p .15,1.7 . \\ & \text { 3) } \\ & \text { p. } 27\end{aligned}$
    p. 27, 11.3-7.

[^21]:    (1) p.40, 11.6-7.
    (2) p. $45,1.12$.
    3) p. 50, 11. 4-5.
    4. p. $50,11.6-7$.
    (5) Horstmann, Yk. Wr. II, pp. 345-366.

[^22]:    (1) Meditatio,p.15, 11.14-17; cf.p.63, 11.7-10.
    (2) Yk.Wr. II, p. 346.
    (3) Yk.Wr.II. 347.

[^23]:    (1) YK.Wr. II 354; cf. 344,348,353,355, etc.
    (2) See (e.g.) p.31, 11.3-9; p.31, 121.1-p.32.1.2; p. 40,11.8-11.

[^24]:    (1) cf. p. 207 11.7-8.
    (2) cf. p.46, 1.14.

    3 cf. p.8, 1.11.
    4) cf. p. 27, 11.19-20.
    (5) See Yk.Wr. II, p. 360 and Meditatio, pp.13-14,16; 61-62,64.

[^25]:    (1) p. 18, 11.1-2.
    (2) $0.18,1.8$
    (3) $10.22,1.2 \%$
    (5) $\mathrm{p} \cdot 5011.17-18$
    (6) $0.5111 .10-11$.

[^26]:    1.6) Ullman and Horstmann read 'ne peyne', but the MS. 0 is unmistakable. cf. D. 111.12 and see note, 3 下

[^27]:    1.I. a ]om. A. meditacoun meditaciouns A $\quad$ ] of $A$ 1.2. Imade by] whiche weren compilid of $A$
    1.3. hampoli]pat diede in pe zeer of oure lord.
    1.3. as poul pat A pejto add.A.

    1. 7. my $3^{\text {t] }}$ my 3 tis AT.
    1.9. occupacouns] occupacioun A bysynesse] and add. in 1.10. pe] to add. A 11.11-12. wil-soule]tr. A 1.12. Iuste-loue] tr.A. 11.13-14. with. . desyre]om. A. 1.15. lyf] with al my desirynge ada. A

    * The beginning of the Unsala MS is defective, so that the text of $B$ is given as far as p .321 .4 .

[^28]:    (1) See Introduction, pp. IV.
    (2) See Introduction, pp. XVII-XVIII.

