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## Introduction.

In the present essay I have endeavoured to bring together the most precise and reliable evidences available as to the recent and present condition of the great trawl and line fisheries of England and Wales. Both these fisheries depend for their success upon the same fundamental conditions, viz, the abundance of fish upon the bed of the sea. They may rightly, therefore, be grouped together under the single head of "bottom fisheries," in contrast to the fisheries for herrings, mackerel, and pilchards, which are "surface fisheries." From the nature of the
case, even great fluctuations in the annual produce of the latter fisheries scarcely excite surprise, but a fairly constant yield is tacitly expected of the bottom fisheries, when the same apparatus is employed, owing to the greater uniformity in the conditions of life on the sea-floor.

It is probable, however, that the extent to which the stock of fish on the sea-bottom depends upon variable elements, largely influenced by the weather, is not fully appreciated even by the experienced fisherman. The reproduction even of bottom fishes is profoundly affected by the conditions of temperature, wind, and salinity prevailing at the surface and inshore during the breeding season,* since the majority of sea-fishes produce pelagic eggs, and many of them pass their early youth inshore. Temperature affects both the duration of the period of incubation and the rate of growth, directly by its action upon the metabolism of the fish, and indirectly by its influence on the growth and multiplication of lower organisms available as food. Changes in salinity may kill the larvæ, stunt their growth, or create an impassable barrier to fishes on migration. Unfavourable winds during the spawning seasons may drive millions of eggs and larvæ to a premature death. Even if the local weather, during any given term of years, be admitted to have shown no marked abnormality, it is always possible that weather changes of great magnitude beyond the region of the fishing grounds may so divert the great ocean drifts from their usual courses as to modify appreciably the normal distribution of temperature and other factors within the region. The recent hydrographic researches of Dickson, Pettersson, and others show that considerable importance must be attached to this factor in any determination of the physical influences at work in the North Sea basin. It is all the more regrettable that there exists no permanent organisation in this country which is adequately equipped for the task of investigating the state of the sea from year to year, and that such temperature data as are collected at coast stations and on board ships are not summarised and published as regularly (if not so frequently) as the observations made through the Meteorological Office upon the state of the atmosphere. Water-temperature, salinity, and the movements of great water-masses have relations to the fisheries which are at least as intimate as the relations to agriculture of airtemperature, rain, and the course of the air-currents.

These considerations show the necessity of caution in comparing the results of the fisheries in particular years, or for short terms of years; and considerable latitude must be allowed for temporary fluctuations attributable to the effects of the weather, even if, with our present

[^0]incomplete knowledge, we are unable to state confidently what the precise effect of any given type of weather has been upon the various species of fish, except, perhaps, in years of unusual severity or warmth.

The complaint of the fishermen, however, for many years past has been that the bottom fisheries have been annually and steadily diminishing in return for the same labour expended upon them; and, so far as the abundance of flat-fish alone is concerned, this view was adjudged correct by the Select Committee of the House of Commons which sat in 1893.

Professor McIntosh has recently expressed his dissent even from this conclusion, and in a remarkable book* boldly adopts the view that man's operations and the means of capture at his disposal are insufficient to affect the perennial abundance of sea-fishes. He says (pp. 239, 240): "A calm survey of the situation shows that the cry concerning the annual diminution of our fish-supply has been dispelled by the institution of statistics; that the alleged destruction of spawn has no basis in fact ; that the destruction of immature fishes is common to all classes of fishermen, and nowhere is proved to have resulted in the ruin of any sea-fishery; that because the first five years of the decade 1886-95 had a higher average than the second in the Fishery Board's experiments, it therefore followed that diminution of the fishes had occurred, and called for further closures beyond the three-mile limit to remedy it, is shown to rest on insecure data; that the closure of the three-mile limit has failed to increase the number or the size of the food-fishes, is ineffective in regard to the supply of the public, and is a continual source of friction and expense, while falling short of the expectations of those who clamoured for it; that the evidence given before the Trawling Commission of 'trawling out' certain grounds in three years with a small vessel carrying a small trawl, the working period being about three days a week for three months in autumn, is at variance with experience; that the statements to the effect that fishes captured by the trawl are inferior as articles of food to the general public cannot be maintained either by science or by a knowledge of the markets; that the Garland's work shows the comparatively small destruction of immature fishes of value, even though she often trawled where no commercial ships would; that the perusal of masses of fishery statistics shows the constant series of changes that take place on every area, yet the fisheries are not destroyed; that such a fishery as that for sparlings in the estuary of the Tay has from time immemorial been very much as it is; that though salmon and sea-trout abound in the sea, men derive little knowledge of their presence by either trawl or hook, and yet many of both must come in their way."

[^1]Again, "The returns from the various centres all over the country have for the most part steadily increased since 1884, and though it is true that large quantities are captured on the Great Fisher Bank, Iceland, and other regions at a distance from British waters proper, yet this is due to the more remunerative nature of the work, and not to the dearth of fishes in the seas at home" (p. 241).

The foregoing quotations indicate sufficiently the general tenor of Professor McIntosh's conclusions. Some of these may be readily granted, but the most important ones, which deny the alleged impoverishment of the older fishing grounds, and even the possibility of depleting them by human interference, are, as the Professor admits, "so different from the oft-repeated views and wide-spread opinions of the fishing community and the public," that I have felt the necessity of making an independent examination of the evidence upon which the Professor relies, as well as of the evidences bearing on the English fisheries, which do not appear to have so seriously engaged his attention. These evidences have not hitherto been brought together in any form convenient for reference, so that even if my conclusions should contain any elements of uncertainty, the collation of the scattered data should at any rate serve a useful end.

One claim, however, is made by Professor McIntosh, which, though it would not affect the decisions of scientific men, is likely to unduly bias the opinions of the public in the direction of the Professor's views, viz. his claim of a similarity between his own conclusions and those reached by the late Professor Huxley "from a totally different standpoint" (preface, p. x. ; text, pp. 234, 235).

Had Professor McIntosh claimed a resemblance between his views on the inexhaustibility of the bottom fisheries and Professor Huxley's on the inexhaustibility of the surface fisheries, no objection could be raised to the comparison; but the implication (however unintentional) in the preceding paragraph is clearly that Professor McIntosh's views on the trawl fisheries are more or less identical with those entertained by Professor Huxley concerning the same fisheries, although arrived at by. different modes of reasoning. Professor Huxley's opinions on matters connected with the sea fisheries are deservedly held in high esteemwhether from the thorough character of his inquiries, or from the liberality and independence of his judgment; but the views which Professor Huxley expressed on the inexhaustibility of the fisheries are characterised by his usual precision of language, and cannot be construed as referring to the bottom fisheries in general.

After admitting that a salmon fishery (and all river fisheries) can be exhausted by man, because man is, under ordinary circumstances, one of the chief agents of destruction, Professor Huxley asks, Does the
same reasoning apply to the sea fisheries? Are there any sea fisheries which are exhaustible? He replies, "I believe that it may be affirmed with confidence that, ${ }^{*}$ in relation to our present modes of fishing, a number of the most important sea fisheries, such as the cod fishery, the herring fishery, and the mackerel fishery, are inexhaustible. And I base this conviction on two grounds-first, that the multitude of these fishes is so inconceivably great that the number we catch is relatively insignificant; and, secondly, that the magnitude of the destructive agencies at work upon them is so prodigious that the destruction effected by the fisherman cannot sensibly increase the death-rate" (International Fishery Exhibition, London, 1883, Inaugural Meeting of the Congress, Report, p. 14).

It is clear from this passage and the context that Professor Huxley limits his conviction as to the inexhaustibility of sea fisheries to the drift-net fisheries of all kinds, and to the cod fishery as it was then pursued by means of lines and hooks. He expressly excludes the remaining sea fisheries from the category to which his conviction refers, for, after giving illustrations in support of the conviction just quoted, he continues: "There are other sea fisheries, however, of which this cannot be said. . . . Theoretically, at any rate, an oystrr-bed can be dredged clean. In practice, of course it ceases to be worth while to dredge long before this limit is reached. . . . Thus I arrive at the conclusion that oyster fisheries may be exhaustible. . . . I have no doubt that those who take up the subjects of trawling and of the shell fisheries will discuss the question in relation to those fisheries" (l.c., pp. 16, 18).

Professor Huxley's views on this important question have been so widely misunderstood that I am glad to have the present opportunity of reiterating his actual statements, and the limits within which he expressly intended them to apply. If I may go a step further than Professor Huxley's words authorise as forming part of his personal opinions, it will be to point out that far the most valuable, and formerly the most abundant item in the produce of the trawl fisheries, is the catch of flat fishes; and that, from their relatively sedentary habits of life, their permanent location on the sea-bottom in more or less shallow water, and the methods adopted for their capture, these fishes more nearly approximate to the oyster, as regards the conditions of their exhaustibility, than to the mackerel, herring, or even the cod-fish tribes. $\dagger$

I have, moreover, no hesitation in affirming that, as regards the relative influence of the various destructive agencies upon the deathrate of flat fishes, the destruction directly effected by man far exceeds the destruction wrought by other enemies. These are practically limited to gulls and the more rapacious members of their own tribe

[^2](turbot, brill); but the latter may be neglected, since they themselves form an important item in the produce of the same fishery, and their numbers naturally bear a fairly constant proportion to the numbers of the less predatory fishes (by no means limited to flat fishes) upon which they prey. Professor McIntosh concludes that the destruction of immature flat-fish by trawls and other drag-nets may be disregarded, since immature fish of all kinds are destroyed in every mode of fishery without injuriously affecting the supplies. Probably the most considerable destruction of immature fish, other than flat-fish, occurs in the whitebait fisheries on our own coasts, and in the sardine fisheries of France. But there are three excellent reasons why this destruction should have less effect upon the abundance of herrings, sprats, and pilchards than upon the stock of flat-fish-firstly, because the destruction of the former fishes in any given locality is necessarily limited to a small portion of the year, owing to the periodicity in their surface migrations, while the common types of flat-fish, whether young or old, are never removed from the influence of the fisherman's implements of capture ; secondly, because first-year herrings and sprats are sought so eagerly by shoals of mackerel, etc., that the destruction wrought by man at this stage can scarcely exceed a small fraction of the total mortality; and thirdly, because the larvæ of plaice, and probably soles, in consequence of their specialised habits, must undergo a heavy preliminary mortality* at the time of metamorphosis, from which herrings, at any rate, are probably exempt. Nature may thus be said to have made provision for a heavy death-toll of young herrings, but not of young flat fish.

The important question, in fact, is not whether some immature fishes may be destroyed with impunity by all classes of fishermen, but whether in any fishery the destruction of immature fish of any particular species is sufficiently great to sensibly increase the death-rate due to natural (i.e. non-human) causes. For evidence upon this point I may refer especially to the investigations of my colleague, Mr. Holt, and of Mr. Cunningham, upon the destruction of immature plaice in the North Sea (this Journal, vol. iii. pp. 339-448, vol. iv. pp. 410-4; and vol. iv. pp. 97-143).

In the present paper, however, I do not pretend to do more than analyse the evidence as to whether the bottom fisheries are, or are not, in a stable condition; and, if they are undergoing the process of exhaustion which Professor Huxley regarded as within the bounds of possibility, to attempt to determine at what rate the process of depletion is going on.

Professor McIntosh bases his conclusions upon the alleged failure of the Scottish Fishery Board to demonstrate by their trawling experiments

[^3]any appreciable change either of decrease or increase in the inshore fisheries, and appeals to the general statistics of the sea fisheries to show that the enormous fecundity of sea fishes and similar causes "enable Nature to cope, in regard to the food-fishes, with all the wonderful advances in apparatus of capture, and with the steady increase of population."

## Summary.

I have therefore, in the first place, made an independent examination of the results of the Fishery Board's experiments. It will be seen, in the sequel, that I agree with Professor McIntosh that the methods by which it was sought to demonstrate the observed changes in the fish population of the closed waters were inadequate, and caused the Fishery Board's conclusions to rest upon an insecure basis; but after eliminating all sources of uncertainty in the methods, I find that the changes in the fish fauna, which were especially emphasised by Dr. Fulton, are capable of abundant verification. There appears to me to be no further room for doubt that during the ten years' closure of St. Andrews Bay and the Firth of Forth against trawlers, there was a decrease of plaice in the closed waters of both areas, and a marked increase of common dabs; and that in the Forth lemon soles markedly decreased, and long rough dabs increased. These latter species are too scarce in St. Andrews Bay to be worth considering in respect to that area. I concur with Dr. Fulton that the decrease of plaice and lemon soles, in spite of the protection inshore, is most probably to be attributed to the effects of over-fishing by trawlers on the offshore grounds, which causes, as one of its results, a great reduction in the quantity of eggs by which alone the stock of these fish can be maintained, whether on the inshore or offshore grounds. I also agree in part with Dr. Fulton that the increase in dabs and long rough dabs may be attributed to some extent to the protection of the inshore spawners of these species; but am inclined to attribute a certain and probably a large portion of the increase to the advantage conferred on the dabs by the reduced numbers of their competitors, the plaice and lemon soles. The reported increase of dabs and long rough dabs outside, as well as inside, the closed waters tends to support this view.
In the second place, I have endeavoured to make a fairly exhaustive analysis of all the available statistics, official and unofficial, which deal with the English fisheries. They consist of the following separate items:

1. The actual annual catches of Grimsby sailing trawlers for a nearly continuous period of thirty-three years, from 1860 to 1892 (supplied by Grimsby smack-owners).
2. The weight of fish annually sent inland by rail from the port of Grimsby, compared with the numbers of fishing vessels, both sailing
and steam, registered at the port, from 1886 to 1899 (from returns provided by the Great Central Railway Company).
3. The weight of fish annually landed by trawlers at the Lowestoft fish-docks, from 1883 to 1898 , compared with the gross number of trawling vessels landing at the port (from returns provided by the Great Eastern Railway Company).
4. The total weight of bottom fish annually landed on the various coasts of England and Wales during the decade 1889 to 1898, compared with detailed estimates of the number and catching power of the deep-sea trawlers and liners during the period (from the Board of Trade returns and numerous other sources specified below).

The results obtained from all these various independent sources of information display a melancholy unanimity. Whatever the periodwhether ten years or thirty years-and whatever the extent of the fishery-whether the smack fisheries of Grimsby and Lowestoft, the general fisheries of Grimsby, or the entire bottom fisheries of England and Wales, either as an entirety or according to the seas frequentedthe average return for each vessel engaged in the fishery, or for each equivalent unit of fishing power, is shown to fall from year to year with none but insignificant fluctuations in the rate of fall.

We have, accordingly, so far as I can see, to face the established fact that the bottom fisheries are not only exhaustible, but in rapid and continuous process of exhaustion; that the rate at which sea fishes multiply and grow, even in favourable seasons, is exceeded by the rate of capture. The rate of exhaustion is shown to be different for different species of fish. The more valuable flat fishes, plaice and prime fish, show the most marked signs of diminished and diminishing abundance. These differences should obviously be noted, and if possible still further elucidated, in order that the difficulties in the way of remedial measures may be intelligently anticipated and met.

In conclusion, it is with much pleasure that I acknowledge the assistance which I have received in the preparation of this paper from numerous individuals and official representatives, without whose cooperation it would have been impossible to undertake certain parts of this revision of the fishery statistics on anything like so extensive a scale. To Mr. G. L. Alward, of Grimsby, I am under a particular debt of gratitude, not only for the information placed by him at my disposal, but for the frequency with which he has sacrificed time and labour, probably at great personal inconvenience, to respond to my inquiries. I have also been aided by Mr. W. E. Archer, H. M. Inspector of Sea Fisheries, and his colleagues at the Board of Trade; by Mr. J. W. Towse, Clerk to the Fishmongers' Company; by the General Managers of the Great Central and Great Eastern Railway

Companies; the Manager of the Milford Docks Company; Commander Scobell Clapp, r.v., Queen's Harbourmaster of Holyhead; the Harbourmasters of Neyland, Newlyn, Ramsgate, and Lowestoft; Mr. Sanders, of Brixham ; Mr. Shepherd, of Plymouth ; Mr. B. J. Ridge, of Newlyn ; Mr. J. W. Turner, of Lowestoft; Mr. R. L. Ascroft, of Lytham ; Mr. W. H. Ashford, Fishery Officer of the North-Eastern Sea Fisheries Committee, Scarborough; as well as by other gentlemen, the results of whose assistance do not directly appear in the present communication. I desire to express my cordial thanks to all who have co-operated with me in the work.

If errors, either great or small, should be detected in my methods or calculations, I am alone responsible for them; but I trust that they will be found to be neither numerous nor serious. So far as the methods are concerned, I have endeavoured throughout to base the conclusions as far as possible upon grounds which are capable of verification, and in matters where absolute precision was unattainable, to steer a moderate course in the estimates adopted.

## The Experimental Evidence.

The scientific evidence which bears upon the alleged depletion of the trawling grounds is necessarily limited, since neither the Fishery Board for Scotland nor the Marine Biological Association has been enabled to carry out prolonged researches upon the deep-sea fishing grounds. Nevertheless, the experiments made by the Scottish Fishery Board in closing certain areas off the Scottish coasts against trawling operations have a distinct bearing upon the question. It was alleged that these areas, as well as other inshore waters, had been depleted of fish as a consequence either of general over-fishing or of the excessive destruction of immature fish by trawlers. It was consequently expected that the protection of these large areas for a term of years against the ravages of trawlers would result in their gradual recovery and in an increase in the quantities of fish upon the grounds.

The areas were closed against trawlers in 1886, and during the following ten years experimental trawlings within the closed and open areas were conducted by the Fishery Board at frequent intervals, in order to obtain a record of the changes induced by the prohibition of trawling. It is clear that any general increase in the stock of fish that could be definitely attributed to the prohibition of trawling would also furnish a practical proof of the extent to which over-trawling had previously reduced the productiveness of the same grounds.

Moreover, the experiments bear indirectly upon the subject of the present inquiry from the fact that they constitute the first extensive
experiment on a scientific basis for determining whether it is possible or not by human interference to materially influence the productiveness of a considerable arm of the sea.

It is well known from Dr. Fulton's review of the experiments (Fourteenth Annual Report of the Scottish Fishery Board) that, contrary to expectations, "no very marked change took place in the abundance of the food-fishes generally, either in the closed or open waters of the Firth of Forth or St. Andrews Bay," as a consequence of the prohibition of trawling. Nevertheless, among flat fishes a distinct change was reported to have ensued in the relative abundance of certain kinds. Plaice and lemon soles were reported to have decreased in abundance in all the areas investigated, whether closed or open, while dabs and long rough dabs were reported to have shown a preponderating, if not quite universal increase.

This change in the relative proportions of plaice and dabs was explained by Dr. Fulton as principally due to the fact that the protected waters enclosed a considerable area of spawning ground for dabs and long rough dabs, but not for plaice and lemon soles, which spawn exclusively offshore. Moreover, while all four species were subjected to capture by trawlers outside the closed waters, the smaller size of dabs and long rough dabs at maturity would enable many adult and all immature dabs of both kinds to escape through the meshes of the trawl; whereas all mature and a considerable number of immature plaice and lemon soles entering the trawl would be captured. Thus the alleged increase of dabs and long rough dabs was attributed by Dr. Fulton principally to the beneficial effects of the protection of their spawning grounds, while the continued decrease of plaice and lemon soles was attributed to excessive destruction of adults and young of both species in the open sea.

Dr. Fulton accordingly draws the following main conclusions from his examination of the results of the trawling experiments: (1) that the mere closure of even large areas in the territorial waters which are destitute of spawning grounds is of little practical benefit to the inshore fisheries, and (2) that the most likely method of benefiting the inshore fisheries would be to protect the offshore spawning grounds for certain periods in the year.

Professor McIntosh, however, entirely rejects the conclusions drawn in this report, together with the figures upon which the conclusions were based, principally on the ground that the statistical methods by which the results were attained are vitiated by an important error. Dr. Fulton divided the ten years into two quinquennial periods, and contrasted the average catches per haul of the trawl during the first period with those made during the second. Professor McIntosh points out that
during the first period there was a preponderance of hauls during the summer or productive months, whereas during the second period the preponderance of hauls fell in the winter or comparatively unproductive months. The validity of the criticism is borne out by the official figures; but whether the error caused by these differences alone is sufficient to invalidate the whole of Dr. Fulton's conclusions is rendered very doubtful by the contrast which Dr. Fulton emphasised between the decrease of one group of flat fishes and the increase of the other. The error might account for the decrease in plaice, but how can it also account for the increase in dabs?

Professor McIntosh does not, however, directly controvert the statement that plaice and lemon soles did, as a matter of fact, decrease in numbers, and that dabs increased; unless we construe in this sense his remarks that the average catch of plaice in the Forth was higher in 1895 than in 1886, both for the colder and warmer months (Resources, p. 167). But in the last Report of the Fishery Board (for 1898) Dr. Fulton gives a new summary of the results, based upon a comparison of corresponding cold and warm periods, and concludes that "the same result (i.e. decrease of plaice and increase of dabs) is found, whether the whole year is contrasted in the two quinquennial periods, or the warm months against the warm months or the cold months against the cold months." He provides, also, a table of averages for the two quinquennial periods, to show that "the change in the relative abundance of the offshore-spawning plaice and lemon soles, and of the inshorespawning dabs, was common to almost every month of the year." A decrease of plaice and lemon soles is observed for every month except January, July, and December; and an increase of dabs and long rough dabs for every month except August. It is also shown that during the first period plaice and lemon soles together were more numerous than dabs in every month except December; whereas in the second period dabs assumed the preponderance in six months out of the twelve, i.e. from June to December with the exception of July. Dr. Fulton reiterates his conclusion that the "inshore-spawning dabs, therefore, to a very large extent supplanted the offshore-spawning plaice and lemon soles in the closed waters."

The sequence of figures submitted in further support of this conclusion is undoubtedly impressive, and would have set the question at rest if the monthly averages for each quinquennial period had all been equally reliable. But the admitted irregularity of the Garland's experiments, especially in the earlier years of the decade, prevented anything like a monthly survey of the experimental areas in successive years. Accordingly, the monthly averages submitted by Dr. Fulton are not based upon a uniform set of data, and there is nothing in the new
summary to show which of the averages may be taken as reliable, and which are based on an insufficient series of observations. As the figures ostensibly represent the average conditions prevailing during periods of five years' duration, it is manifestly impossible to regard any of them as satisfactory which are based on the surveys of one or two years only in each period, especially if the years fall exclusively near the middle of the decade. The averages for the second period may be accepted as thoroughly satisfactory, so far as the number of years is concerned; but in the case of the first period the averages for January, February, March, and possibly December, may justly be discredited, either on account of the insufficiency of the number of years represented by the averages (January-one year only), or by the fact that the two years included are limited to the latter portion of the period (February and March, 1889 and 1890 ; December, 1888 and 1890).

Four of the monthly averages out of the twelve are thus eliminated upon merely preliminary examination of the data upon which they are based. Further scrutiny shows that an equally serious objection may be urged against several of the remaining averages, owing to the unequal representation of the two areas in the combined averages. The great differences between the Firth of Forth and St. Andrews Bay in regard to the seasonal abundance of the different kinds of flat-fish render it imperative that in any combination of the averages for comparative purposes the two areas should be represented in equal proportions during the two periods. Yet during the second period, while the Forth was investigated with almost perfect regularity month by month during the successive years, there are four months (January, May, August, and September) in which no examinations whatever were made in the Bay for four years out of the five. For these months, therefore, during the second period, the influence of the Forth largely predominates in the "averages"; whereas during the first period the Bay and the Forth were equally represented, so far as the number of surveys is concerned, in three out of the four months (viz. January, May, and August). On this count, therefore, the January averages are still further discredited, and we are also forced to add May and August to the list of unreliable averages, which brings the total up to six out of the twelve.

That the fallacy caused by disproportionate representation of the two areas in the two quinquennial periods has led to errors of an appreciable and serious character may be judged from the following figures. They represent approximately the average number of fish of the different kinds distinguished taken in one haul of the trawl in each month of the year in the closed waters, the numbers for St. Andrews Bay being kept distinct from the numbers for the Firth of Forth. They
are based on the " monthly averages per shot" published in the annual reports of the trawling experiments, and are the mean of those averages for the entire decade, except that fractions of the resultant figures are here omitted, and that the averages for the first two years in the case of dabs have been independently worked out, since for those years the official averages for dabs and long rough dabs were not distinguished.

Table I., showing Average Number of Fish per Haul of the Trawl for each month of the year in the closed water's of St. Andrews Bay and the Firth of Forth respectively (from the ten years' experiments of the "Garland").

|  | St. Andrews Bay. |  |  |  | Firth of Forth. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plaice. | Lemon Soles | Dabs. | Long Roughs. | Plaice. | Lemon Soles. | Dabs. | Long <br> Roughs |
| January | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 | 3 | 6 | 16 |
| February | 13 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 38 | 3 | 8 | 16 |
| March . | 56 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 17 | 20 |
| April | 81 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 42 | 16 | 25 | 17 |
| May | 89 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 42 | 18 | 29 | 20 |
| June | 149 | 0 | 121 | 2 | 56 | 25 | 42 | 17 |
| July | *122 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 56 | 25 | 69 | 24 |
| August | 303 | 0 | 209 | 1 | 79 | 33 | 69 | 28 |
| September | 140 | 0 | 177 | 4 | $60 \dagger$ | 28 | 77 | 25 |
| October | 120 | 0 | 69 | 1 | $55 \dagger$ | 15 | 46 | 23 |
| November | 65 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 31 | 8 | 19 | 22 |
| December | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 4 | 13 | 23 |

It will be seen from these figures that the average catch regularly increases or diminishes in numbers with the sequence of the months. The only serious discrepancy is in the St. Andrews averages for July, the low value of which is entirely due to the insufficient number of observations made in this month (only three surveys in the ten years), and to the accident that the surveys which actually were made fell in relatively unproductive years. Had surveys been also made in this month in the year 1887, and in either 1890 or 1895 (as was

[^4]the case with the June and August surveys), it is perfectly clear upon examination that the averages for the month would have been intermediate in value between those of June and August.

Nothing could illustrate more forcibly than this table the great differences between the two areas as regards the influence of the seasons upon their productivity. The changes in the abundance of each species are relatively slight in the case of the Forth, but are exceedingly great in St. Andrews Bay. In the Forth the maximum summer average is not four times the minimum winter average in the case of plaice, nor elevenfold in the case of dabs; but in the Bay the maximum abundance in August exceeds the minimum abundance in December a hundredfold in the case of plaice, and even two hundredfold in the case of dabs. The monthly catch of plaice in St. Andrews Bay exceeds that in the Forth for each month of the year except December, January, and February, the degree of excess rising to fourfold in the height of the summer (August), and falling away to three- and two-fold towards the earlier and later months of the year. In the three winter months, on the other hand, the catch in the Forth exceeds the catch in the Bay by as much as three, four, or seven fold. The figures for dabs present the same general features, but the excess of the summer catches in the Bay over those in the Forth is not quite so great, and the winter excess of the Forth catches over those in the Bay is shown in five instead of three months only.

With these facts before one, which refer, it must be remembered, exclusively to the closed waters under discussion, it is easy to forecast the general effect of combining the statistics of the two areas. With a perfectly equivalent number of hauls the monthly average of the combined areas will assume a mean character intermediate between the average for the two areas taken separately; but any deviation from strict equivalence will raise or lower the combined average to an extent depending on the nature of the seasonal differences between the two areas for the month in question. Thus for April the combined average for plaice would be 61 upon an equivalent number of hauls from the two areas; but if two hauls in the Forth were combined with one in the Bay the average would be reduced to 55 ; and if the hauls in the Bay preponderated over those in the Forth to the same extent the combined average would be raised to 68. For August the changes introduced would be still greater; with equivalent hauls the combined average would be 191 ; with two hauls in the Forth to one in the Bay it would be reduced to 154 ; with two in the Bay to one in the Forth it would be raised as much as to 262 . Consequently, monthly averages based on quite irregular combinations of hauls in the two areas are fallacious and misleading, and it is quite
impossible to judge of the effect of the closure of the Scottish bays from figures calculated upon this basis.

Yet, if a table be drawn up setting forth the yearly frequency of the monthly surveys actually carried out in the two areas, it will be seen at once that, with the single exception of June, the proportion which the number of surveys in either area bears to that in the other area for the first quinquennial period is never exactly repeated for the second quinquennial period-a lack of co-ordination which necessarily biases the combined averages and precludes any exact comparison between the two periods by the methods which Dr. Fulton has pursued. Thus for January the average from one year's survey in St. Andrews Bay and one in the Forth in the first period is contrasted with the average derived from one survey in the Bay and five surveys in the Forth during the second period. For February the average for the first period is based exclusively on surveys in the Forth, and this is contrasted with an average for the second period derived from four surveys in the Bay and five in the Forth. For March the average is derived from two surveys from each area in the first period, but from a combination of three St. Andrews surveys with four Forth surveys in the second, and so on, the general tendency being to give the Forth a preponderating influence on the combined averages, which is considerably greater during the second period than the first. The only exceptions are June, in which the proportion of surveys in the two areas is the same in the two periods, and February, July, and November, for which months the Bay exercises a greater influence on the averages for the second period than for the first.

Leaving these exceptional cases out of consideration for a moment, we may trace the general tendency of this excessive influence of the Forth on the averages for the second period by reference again to the data contained in Table I.

In the case of plaice, owing to the productivity of the Forth in this species being much lower than that of St. Andrews Bay for all except the three winter months, there can be no doubt that a preponderating influence of the Forth on the combined averages for the second quinquennial period must tend, ceteris paribus, to depress the average for plaice below its value for the first period, thus fallaciously producing an appearance of a diminution in the numbers of plaice in the combined areas, even when no such diminution may be apparent from the figures for the two areas taken separately.

If lemon soles are added to plaice, as in Dr. Fulton's last figures, such inclusion will not materially affect the figures for St. Andrews Bay, but will increase those for the Forth to an appreciable extent in the summer months; but even under these circumstances the Bay
maintains its greater productivity for the same number of months as for plaice alone.

Of the months* in which the influence of St. Andrews Bay on the averages is greater for the second period than for the first, it will be noticed that February is one of the three exceptional months in which plaice and lemon soles are less abundant in the Bay than in the Forth. Consequently, the fall in the combined average catch of these fishes, when the two periods are compared, is again explicable merely from the fallacy latent in the disproportionate combination of the statistics of the two areas. The July averages are exceptional in showing an increased catch in the second period compared with the first. This feature also may be directly attributed to the increased influence of the Bay in the second period. In November alone is the verdict of the averages at variance with the tendency caused by the increased influence of the Bay during the second period-an exception which can be conclusively traced to an altogether exceptional catch of plaice in St. Andrews Bay in 1889. The average haul of plaice in November, 1889 , in the Bay, amounted to 213 fishes. The average for the four other years during which surveys were made in the same month were $38,47,16$, and 10 respectively, and only one of these fell in the first quinquennial period. Had observations been also made in the five remaining years, no doubt the abnormal difference in the averages for the two periods caused by the exceptional catch just mentioned would have been reduced to juster proportions.

There is, therefore, no escape from the conclusion that the combination of the figures for the Forth and the Bay is sufficient in itself to account for decreased averages for plaice and lemon soles in the second period as compared with the first.

As regards the reported increase of dabs and long rough dabs, the same argument holds to a considerable extent. It has already been pointed out that the disproportion between the Bay and the Forth is less in the case of dabs than in the case of plaice. This is particularly so if dabs and long rough dabs are grouped together, since the scarcity of the latter in the Bay, and their relatively large numbers in the Forth, greatly reduce the difference which exists between the two areas in regard to the relative abundance of common dabs alone. It can be seen from Table I. that in the closed waters dabs and long rough dabs together are more numerous in the Forth than in the Bay in January, February, March, April, July, and December. There can be no doubt, as previously remarked, that the July figures for St. Andrews Bay cannot be regarded as strictly accurate, owing to the inadequate number of observations ; but the fact remains that, under the conditions of the

* February, July, and November.
experiments, the average number of dabs taken from the Forth considerably exceeded the corresponding number from the Bay in six months out of the twelve. It is consequently not surprising, if, owing to the increased influence of the Forth on the averages for the second quinquennial period, there should be displayed a considerable number of months in which the combined averages show an apparent increase in the abundance of dabs.

I conclude that the figures recently submitted by Dr. Fulton in his new summary of the results of the Garland's experiments by no means re-establish the conclusions set forth in his original review. It appears to me that, in consequence of the irregularity of the Garland's operations, it is quite impracticable to set up well-founded conclusions upon a basis formed by combining the figures for the Forth and Bay. One or other of these areas, under the conditions of the experiments, must unduly bias the averages, and unless an equivalent proportion is maintained between the monthly surveys in the two areas in each period, the resultant differences between the quinquennial averages must necessarily be fallacious.

Nevertheless, while going even a step further than Professor McIntosh in his criticism of the methods by which the results of the Garland's experiments have been set forth, I am quite unable to follow the Professor in his condemnation of the experiments themselves, which would appear from internal evidence to have been well designed and executed. The irregularity of the surveys in the earlier years is much to be regretted, and demands more than ordinary care to be bestowed upon the analysis of the results. But from the impartial and critical examination which I may claim to have made of the published records of the experiments, I am satisfied that the experiments have been largely successful in throwing light on the problem which they were designed to elucidate, in spite of the unfortunate errors of method with which the conclusions have been associated.

It appears to me, under the circumstances of the case, that Dr. Fulton's method of averaging the figures for two quinquennial periods and for the different months of the year is perhaps the best method to adopt in order to obtain a general view of the changes wrought during the ten years of prohibited trawling; although, in view of the small number of surveys made during the first two years and their greater frequency during the last five years, there would be certain advantages in dividing the decade into a first period of six years and a second period of four years. This alternative method would have the effect of increasing the number of monthly surveys in the first period, and thus of rendering valid certain of the monthly averages which, in the quinquennial period adopted, are based upon too small a number of

[^5]surveys. On the other hand, by adopting such an extension of the first period the averages could be less confidently claimed to represent the condition of the areas immediately subsequent to the prohibition of trawling. But it appears to me to be in any case indispensable that the figures for the Forth and for St. Andrews Bay should be kept distinct, as well as the figures for the different species of fish.

I have therefore prepared a table (II.) of quinquennial averages based upon these principles. The averages are not, it is true, based upon the original numbers of fish taken in each haul of the trawl, but upon the monthly averages per haul of the trawl published in each year's report of the trawling experiments. In the case of St. Andrews Bay the closed area embraced four trawling stations, so that each of the monthly averages published for this area represented usually the mean of four hauls of the trawl. The closed area of the Firth of Forth embraced seven such stations, the monthly average therefore representing the mean of seven hauls. The figures in my table represent the

Table II., showing the Average Monthly Numbers of Flat-Fish per Haul of the Trawl taken by the "Garland" in the closed waters during each quinquennial period, distinguishing the different areas and the different kinds of Fish.

St. Andrews Bay.
Plaice.
Jan. Feb. Mar. April. May. June, July. Aug. Sept. Oct. Nor. Dec.

| 1st Period | [9] | [63] | [34] |  | 155 | 148] | 368 | [120] | 137 | 126] | [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 nd | [3] 13 | 51 | 46 | [64] | 145 | 108] | [42] | [179] | 98 | 36 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | Dab. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st Period | [1] | [5] | [13] | [72] | 135 | [81] | 249 | [149] | 39 | [24] | [7] |
| nd | [1] 5 | 12 | 26 | [65] | 135 | [42] | [48] | [254] | 108 | [80] | 13 |

Firth of Forth.
Plaice.
Jan. Feb. Mar. April. May. June. July. Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov. Dec.

| 1st Period | [14] [46] [33] | 44 | [28] | 60 | 64 | 95 | 71 | 61 | 28 | [7] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd | $28 \quad 35 \quad 36$ | 40 | 49 | 53 | 66 | 62 | 54 | 51 | 35 | 27 |
| Lemon Sole. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | [1] [1] [4] | 18 | [17] | 32 | 31 | 37 | 35 | 17 | 11 | 3] |
| 2nd " | 1 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 28 | 30 | 23 | 14 | 5 | 5 |
| Perio |  | 20 | Dab. | 37 | 65 | 49 | 54 | 42 | 14 | [13] |
| 2nd „ | $10 \quad 20$ | 29 | 35 | 47 | 73 | 94 | 90 | 49 | 25 | ] |
| Long Rough Dab. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period | [10] [6] [13] | 12 | [22] | 16 | 21 | 23 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 12] |
| 2nd | $17 \quad 20 \quad 23$ | 19 | 19 | 18 | 25 | 32 | 32 | 24 | 24 |  |

means of these averages for the respective periods. They are, therefore, not strictly correct as averages of the entire number of fish taken in the respective months; but the deviations due to the method are of a minute character and do not affect the general results, especially if a margin of several units in the resultant averages is allowed to cover the errors of method and experiment. As the monthly averages for dabs and long rough dabs were not officially separated during the first two years, I have calculated them anew from the details of the monthly surveys for those years; and the same alterations have been made in regard to the monthly averages for 1886 as were described in the footnotes to Table I., p. 13.

I have, moreover, placed in brackets all such averages as are based on less than three surveys in each quinquennial period. This precaution shows at a glance which of the averages may be depended upon as accurately representing the general condition of the fauna during the corresponding period. The method falls rather severely upon the averages for St. Andrews Bay, but the natural fluctuations in that area, due to its shallowness and exposed situation, are so great that no less rigorous method could be safely relied upon to eliminate the irregularities due to these circumstances.

For St. Andrews Bay the two quinquennial averages are seen from the table to be reliable in only two months out of the twelve, viz. June and October. They show in each case a fall in the abundance of plaice, correlated with an equality or a marked rise in the number of dabs. The change for June is seen to have been slight; but for October a great predominance of plaice over dabs in the first period is replaced by a superiority of dabs over plaice in the second period.
I have not included any statement of the averages for lemon soles and long rough dabs in connection with this area, owing to the great scarcity of these forms in the Bay as shown by Table I.

For the Firth of Forth seven months out of the twelve are seen to afford reliable averages for each period, viz. April to November inclusive, with the exception of May. The differences between the quinquennial averages are not great, except for August; but it is certainly noteworthy that the general tendency of the change is in the same direction as in the case of St. Andrews Bay.

The averages for plaice decrease in five months out of the seven, by amounts which vary between 9 per cent. and 33 per cent. The two increased averages show a rise of 3 per cent. and 25 per cent. respectively.

The averages for lemon soles show a decrease in every one of the seven months; whereas the averages for dabs and long rough dabs respectively show an increase in every month.

In spite of all that has been said as to the inadequacy of the Garland's experiments for yielding a scientific verdict on the effects of the prohibition of trawling, there appears to me to be only one possible conclusion from the foregoing figures; viz. that there was a general diminution both of plaice and lemon soles in the closed waters after the prohibition of trawling, and a still more marked increase in the abundance of dabs and long rough dabs.

It must be remembered that whatever irregularities occurred in the Garland's work as to the duration of the hauls of the trawl and such matters, these necessarily affect the figures for all species of flat-fish alike. The contrast remains that, under precisely the same experimental and climatic conditions, plaice and lemon soles are seen to have decreased, and dabs and long rough dabs to have increased during the decade.

Dr. Fulton's conclusions are, therefore, in all respects correct, so far as I am able to determine, and are independent of the errors which were associated with his methods of demonstration.

Under these circumstances I see no reason for disputing Dr. Fulton's principal explanation of the changes which were induced in the relative abundance of flat fishes in the closed waters during the period of prohibited trawling. It appears to me to be reasonably established that pari passu with the increased destruction of plaice and lemon soles in the open waters, there has been a progressive diminution of these fishes even in inshore waters which have been continuously protected from the effects of trawling operations. It also appears to be satisfactorily demonstrated that under the conditions just mentioned a conspicuous increase in the abundance of dabs and long rough dabs has taken place in the inshore waters.

Nevertheless, it is certainly open to reasonable doubt whether this increase in dabs has been exclusively, or even mainly, due to the protection of the spawning grounds of these fishes; for the observed increase of long rough dabs is as great as that of common dabs, yet, from their preference for the deeper waters, the long rough dabs cannot have received the same measure of protection as the common dabs from the prohibition of inshore trawling. The possibility should be borne in mind that the increase of dabs may have taken place quite independently of the prohibition of trawling, in consequence of the decrease of plaice and lemon soles with which they may be supposed to be natural competitors-a suggestion previously made by my colleague Mr. Holt, in connection with similar problems on the Devonshire coast (Jour. M. B. A., vol. v., 1898, p. 320). It is obvious that any diminution of the species which normally maintain a rivalry with dabs for the available food supply must confer an advantage upon the dabs,
enabling a greater stock of these fish to live on the same extent of ground. Moreover, from their smaller size, there is reason to believe thatt the numerical increase in dabs would be greater than the numerical decrease of the plaice and lemon soles which they may be held to have supplanted. This explanation derives support from the reported increase of dabs in the open, as well as the closed waters of the regions investigated.

It is, however, sufficient for my present purpose if I have demonstrated that changes have taken place in the abundance of fish in Scottish waters, which are attributable in all probability to the effect of man's operations; the decrease of plaice and lemon soles to the reduced supply of fry caused by the excessive destruction of these species by over-fishing in the offshore waters, the increase of dabs and long rough dabs either directly to the protection of their spawning grounds, or indirectly to the natural consequences, in the struggle for existence, of the reduction in the numbers of their competitors.

## THE STATISTICAL EVIDENCE.

## I. Annual Catches of Grimsby Sailing Trawlers, 1860-92.

Two Grimsby smack-owners have submitted statements concerning the annual catches of their vessels during the last forty years.

At the request of the Sea Fisheries Commission of 1863, Mr. Henry Knott provided a statement of the weight and value of fish caught by an average Grimsby trawler during the years 1860 to 1864 , which is printed as an appendix to the report of the Commissioners (p. 46), and is quoted in Holdsworth's Deep-Sea Fishing, 1874, p. 88. The original statement gives the weight in tons, hundredweights, and quarters, and the value in pounds, shillings, and pence. I give below a copy of this statement, omitting unnecessary details, and adding an average of the five years' records.

## Table III., showing the Weight and Value of Fish caught by one Grimsby Trawler during the years 1860 to 1864.

(From a Return submitted by Mr. Knott to the Sea Fisheries Commission in 1865).

|  | Prime. cwts. | Offal. ewts. | Total. cwts. |  | $\underset{\mathcal{L}}{\substack{\text { Offal. } \\ \hline}}$ | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1860 | 379 | 1325 | 1704 | 320 | 114 | . 434 |
| 1861 | 262 | 1396 | 1658 | 393 | 177 | ... 570 |
| 1862 | 259 | 1054 | 1313 | 360 | 106 | .. 466 |
| 1863 | 364 | 1489 | 1853 | 455 | 145 | .. 600 |
| 1864 | 458 | 1888 | 2346 | 443 | 189 | . 633 |
| rage | 345 | 430 | 1775 | 394 | 146 | 54 |

It was stated by Mr. Knott that the figures do not refer to the same trawler throughout, but "the selection made in the vessel for each year may be taken as a fair average."

For so short a term of years the annual catches of a single trawler cannot be held to afford much evidence as to the increase or decrease of fish on the fishing grounds within the period. The obvious feature of the table is the abundance and cheapness of fish. Nothing like an average capture of 345 cwts . of prime fish and of $1,450 \mathrm{cwts}$. of "offal" is realised by trawling smacks at the present time, in spite of the inducements offered by the far higher prices to be obtained to-day for fish of all kinds. The average prices yielded by the figures in the table are $22 s .10 d$. per cwt. for prime, and $2 s .1 d$. for offal fish. In 1898 the average values, as given in the Board of Trade returns, were $£ 417 \mathrm{~s} .11 \frac{3}{4} d$. per cwt . for prime fish, $£ 14 s .5 d$. per cwt. for plaice, and $11 \mathrm{~s} .8 \frac{1}{4} d$. per cwt. for haddock. It must be remembered that plaice and haddock in 1860 formed the bulk of the "offal" in a trawler's catch.

The second series of returns of the annual catches of trawling smacks was submitted, in condensed form, to the Select Committee on Sea Fisheries in 1893 by Mr. G. L. Alward, of Grimsby, but the returns themselves were not handed in to be printed in the report. Mr . Alward has, however, kindly allowed me to examine his returns, and as they bear internal evidence of general reliability, and provide most valuable information on the past condition of the Grimsby fishery, I have obtained Mr. Alward's consent to publish a copy of them in the present paper (Tables A-D, pp. 65-6). The only deviations from the original manuscripts consist in the omission of shillings and pence in the values and of fractions of hundredweights in the weights assigned, and in the correction of a few unimportant arithmetical errors in the totals.

The figures represent the actual annual catches of four Grimsby trawling smacks (the names of which are given at the head of each table) for a term of eighteen years, from 1875 to 1892, together with the values realised at the port of landing. The catch of each vessel is divided into Plaice, Haddock, Prime, and Rough. Mr. Alward informs me that "prime" here includes soles, turbot, and brill, and excludes lemon soles; while "rough" includes lemon soles, dabs, cod, catfish, rokers (rays), and other sundry items not specially distinguished.

The vessels were engaged on the various fishing grounds of the North Sea, from the Fisher Bank as the northern limit, to the Lemon and Ore Shoals as the southern limit, and from the grounds off the Yorkshire and Lincolnshire grounds on the west to the Dutch and German coasts on the east.

The vessels formed part of the Grimsby fleets during the summer
of each year, but fished independently during the winter. Previous to 1882 they generally commenced fleeting in April or May, and left off in August or September. In 1882 and 1883 there was a general extension of the fleeting period on a more complete system, which lasted from March to the end of October. But in 1883 a general strike occurred at Grimsby against the new system, and the period of fleeting was in dispute. After 1883 fleeting commenced, as before, in April or May, and lasted till August or September. Thus the duration of the fleeting period varied between four and six months in all years except 1882 and 1883, when it was prolonged to about eight months.

It will be seen from the returns in the detailed tables that, however variable the catches of the vessels were from year to year, there was a remarkable uniformity, with few exceptions, in the individual catches for the same year. This circumstance enables us to attach considerable importance to the evidence which they furnish for the whole term of years as to the abundance of fish on the grounds frequented, although undue weight should not be attached to the figures in comparing individual years with one another, owing to the inevitable fluctuations in the catches of sailing vessels dependent so largely upon wind and weather, which would affect the duration of the fleeting period as well as other elements in their catching power.

The figures representing the quantities of fish landed by all four vessels have been combined and averaged in the following table. Figures showing the maximum catch for the entire period, as well as increases in the annual catch, have been thrown up in blacker type in order to distinguish the years of greatest abundance and of increasing returns. I have also, for comparison, prefixed to the series the figures which Mr. Alward had also prepared to show the average catch of his vessels in 1867.
There does not appear to be any need to dwell at great length upon' the meaning of these figures, which, except for the sudden rise in 1882, caused by the reorganisation of the fleeting system already described, show a practically continuous fall in the average annual catches. The amount of the total fall, after all possible allowances for variations due to wind and duration of the fleeting period, cannot be placed at less than one-half of the catch obtained at the beginning of the period; while the catch of plaice at the end of the period is scarcely more than one-third of that obtained at the beginning. Rough fish, on the other hand, show a distinct increase up to the last five or six years of the period, when they also begin to show signs of diminishing abundance. The explanation of this contrast is doubtless to be sought in the increasing scarcity of better fish and the advancing prices of all kinds of
fish owing to the increased demand. There is abundant evidence in the reports of the various Sea Fishery Commissions that in the palmier days of the trawling industry large quantities of the less valuable fish were thrown away at every haul to leave room for a greater quantity of the better kinds. Conditions have changed in more recent times, and the fisherman, under ordinary circumstances, brings home all he can catch. The increase under "rough" fish is, therefore, evidence rather of an increased attention to the less valuable kinds than of an increased abundance. The catches of haddock fluctuate considerably, as is natural with so migratory a fish; but there can be no doubt, even in this case, as in that of prime fish, that a greater abundance was maintained in the earlier part of the period than in the later years.

Table IV., showing the Average Annual Catch (in cwots.) of four Grimsby trawling smacks, 1875 to 1892.

| 1867 | Plaice. 998 | Haddock. 831 | $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \hline \text { Prime. } \\ . . \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Rough. 46 | Total 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1875 | 549 | 937 | 63 | 30 | 1565 |
| 1876 | 601 | 891 | 50 | 33 | 1576 |
| 1877 | 421 | 668 | 88 | 21 | 1198 |
| 1878 | 254 | 481 | 76 | 31 | 843 |
| 1879 | 298 | 488 | 98 | 44 | 928 |
| 1880 | 291 | 359 | 65 | 39 | 754 |
| 1881 | 242 | 280 | 84 | 70 | 675 |
| 1882 | 385 | 717 | 84 | 86 | 1273 |
| 1883 | 340 | 665 | 97 | 74 | 1177 |
| 1884 | 325 | 526 | 96 | 79 | 1025 |
| 1885 | 280 | 477 | 90 | 89 | 936 |
| 1886 | 250 | 510 | 77 | 87 | 925 |
| 1887 | 221 | 475 | 62 | 87 | 846 |
| 1888 | 195 | 372 | 42 | 57 | 667 |
| 1889 | 177 | 342 | 64 | 69 | 652 |
| 1890 | 205 | 465 | 47 | 65 | 783 |
| 1891 | 203 | 590 | 47 | 79 | 920 |
| 1892 | 168 | 436 | 29 | 49 | 683 |

The following summary shows the average annual catch during successive periods of five years' duration.

Table V., showing a Quinquennial Summary of the preceding table.

| 1875-9 | Plaice. 425 | Haddock. 693 | Prime. 75 | Rough. 32 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total. } \\ & 1222 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1880-4 | 317 | 509 | 85 | 70 | 981 |
| 1885-9 | 225 | 435 | 67 | 78 | 805 |
| 1890-2 | 192 | 497 | 41 | 64 | 795 |

If these figures be compared with those advanced by Mr. Knott for the years 1860 to 1864 , the fall in the annual catches becomes still more striking, whether prime fish alone or the total catch be considered. The return provided by Mr. Alward for 1867 forms a connecting link between the two series, and shows that there is no ground for discrediting the results of a comparison between them.

The explanation, however, of the very extraordinary catches of prime fish by the trawlers from 1860 to 1867 requires consideration, since it is not so obvious as that of the abundance of plaice. It is known that an extension of the trawling grounds on the Dogger Bank took place in 1860 and 1861, according to Mr. Alward's chart* of the fishing grounds; and also that the new grounds, when first exploited, were found to be very rich, especially in plaice and haddock (Sea Fisheries Commission, 1865, §§ 4,777-81, 6,908-10, 7,562, 7,672-8, 11,117-24).

The abundance of the two latter species appears to have been maintained down to the year 1876, since the offal catches of Mr. Knott's trawlers may safely be taken to have consisted principally of these fishes, and the corresponding items in Mr. Alward's returns did not fall below the same high average until the year 1877. This is a long period (seventeen years), and although there is a gap of seven years-from 1868 to 1874 -in the returns, the evidence undoubtedly points to the conclusion that the large catches of plaice and haddock were not exceptional phenomena limited to one or two isolated years, but were indicative of the general abundance of these fish on relatively virgin grounds.

Nevertheless it is far from improbable that the abundance of fish fluctuated at that, as in more recent times, under the influence of climatic causes; and there is some evidence that the difference between the minimum and maximum catches within the period 1860-7, should be in part attributed to causes of this nature. The evidence tendered to the Sea Fishery Commissioners in 1863 by Grimsby, Yarmouth, and other fishermen tends to show that a general improvement of the fisheries took place in that year, which was not altogether to be accounted for by the exploitation of new grounds. Thus a Grimsby line fisherman stated in November, 1863, that the catches of liners had considerably increased that season, which was the best in his long experience ( $\S \S 15,942-3$ ) ; and similar statements were also made concerning soles and turbot ( $\$ \S 7,555-8,16,085$ ). Accordingly the increased catches of Grimsby trawlers in 1863 and 1864, both of prime and offal fish, should probably be treated as exceptional features due to the occurrence about this time of exceptionally favourable physical conditions, just as there is good reason

[^6]to believe (see below, p. 55) that the weather in 1893 also caused an increased abundance of the same kinds of fish (prime, plaice, and haddock). I have not access at present to the detailed temperature returns prior to 1866 , and must leave the verification of this suggestion to a later stage ; but the years 1863 and 1864 occur in lists of exceptionally hot and dry summers (the spring also was hot in 1863), so that there is some preliminary evidence in support of this view. (Ramsay's Bibliography, Guide and Index to Climate, 1884, p. 348.) It is unfortunate that Mr. Alward's returns do not cover the period from 1868 to 1871, since the temperature conditions which prevailed in 1868 were remarkably similar to those of 1893 , both in regard to the mildness of the first (winter) quarter, and the exceptional warmth of the spring and summer.

The possibility of this interpretation should, in any case, be borne in mind, especially as it would, if confirmed, render intelligible the extraordinary drop in the average catches of prime fish after the year 1864, as shown by the returns of these Grimsby trawlers. The fall from 458 cwts., in 1864, to 137 cwts., in 1867 , is far too rapid to be attributable to the effects of over-fishing under the conditions which then prevailed, but a fall to the same level from 259 cwts ., in 1862 , would be less incredible as a consequence of such a cause. From the difference in the distribution of plaice and soles it is not improbable that the effects of over-fishing would be earlier shown by the latter species than by the former.

On the other hand, it is exceedingly improbable that the difference between the abundance of prime fish at the beginning of this period (1860-2) and the scarcity at the end of the period (1888-92), as indicated by the average catches, is attributable to weather conditions, since this would involve the assumption that a type of weather prevailed in the former period capable of multiplying fourfold the normal abundance of these fishes. I do not dispute the possibility of such an increase, but it is so improbable that it would require a very elaborate investigation to establish it as a reasonable hypothesis.

The returns of both series of Grimsby smacks seem, therefore, to provide unequivocal evidence of a great depletion of the North Sea trawling grounds. Between 1860 and 1892 the average annual catch of prime fish dwindled from at least 300 cwts . to less than 60 cwts . per vessel; the catch of plaice and haddock from about 1,300 cwts. to 700 cwts ; and the total catch (in spite of increased attention to the less valuable kinds of fish) from at least 1,300 cwts. to at most 900 cwts.

From Mr. Alward's returns, which distinguish plaice from other offal fish, it is clear that the fall in plaice over the whole term of years must have been nearly as great as the fall in prime fish, since the
catches at the end of the period averaged not more than about 200 cwts. per vessel, whereas they were nearly 1,000 cwts. in 1867, and were obviously not less than 600 or 700 cwts . in any of the years from 1860 to 1864, unless the high averages of offal in Mr. Knott's returns are to be attributed exclusively to the exceptional abundance of haddock.

These conclusions show that the depletion which has actually occurred in the North Sea is principally due to an enormous reduction in the abundance of flat-fish, both prime and plaice, the catches under each head about 1890 being less than one-fifth and one-third respectively of the quantities taken from twenty-five to thirty years previously. The catches of haddock have also diminished, but to a less extent, viz. from an average of over 800 cwts . per vessel to less than 500 cwts .

## II. Official Statistics of the Grimsby Fisheries, 1886-99.

The smack-owners' returns, from which the foregoing conclusions have been drawn, bear internal evidences of their substantial accuracy, but to make assurance doubly sure upon this important point I subjoin a statement as to the condition of the Grimsby fisheries since 1885, based upon returns which have been kindly placed at my disposal by the Great Central Railway Company, and upon the Grimsby Registers of Fishing Vessels published in the Annual Statements of Navigation and Shipping.

Table VI., illustrating the state of the Grimsby Fisheries (of all kinds) from 1886 to 1899, and showing for each year the Number of first class Fishing Vessels on the Register, the Total Weight of Fish sent inland by Rail, and the Average Weight (tons) of Fish per Unit of Fishing Power, each Steamer being regarded as equivalent to four Smacks.

| Fishing Vessels (First Class). |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fishing } \\ & \text { Units (4:1). } \end{aligned}$ | Fish sent inland by Rail (tons). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Smacks. | Steamers. |  | Total. | Average per Unit. |
| Registered. <br> - 823 | 803 | 20 |  | 69,609 | er Unit. |
| 839 | 818 | 21 | 902 | 66,698 | 74 |
| 811 | 785 | 26 | 889 | 68,883 | 77 |
| 789 | 752 | 37 | 900 | 66,280 | 74 |
| 777 | 727 | 50 | 927 | 67,974 | 73 |
| 811 | 713 | 98 | 1105 | 69,593 | 63 |
| 793 | 683 | ... 110 | 1123 | 74,117 | 66 |
| 787 | 649 | ... 138 | 1201 | 75,527 | 63 |
| 771 | 604 | ... 167 | 1272 | 83,001 | 65 |
| 720 | 532 | 188 | 1284 | 85,430 | 66 |
| 630 | 400 | ... 230 | 1320 | 92,638 | 70 |
| 630 | 350 | . 280 | 1470 | 89,006 | 60 |
| 611 | 247 | ... 364 | 1703 | 94,643 | 55 |
| 524 | 99 | ... 425 | .. 1799 | 103,783 | 58 |

The figures representing the weight of fish sent inland by rail from the port of Grimsby have been provided by the General Manager of the Great Central Railway Company. They are exclusive of exported fish, and correspond with the figures annually published by the Board of Trade in their Statistical Tables and Memorandum, except for the years 1886-90 inclusive, for which years the Board of Trade's figures yield slightly lower "averages per unit" than mine, viz. $77,73,76,72$, and 72 respectively. The difference is insignificant, since both series of figures show practically the same progressive reduction in the annual averages.

The Railway Company's returns, however, exaggerate the true product of the Grimsby fisheries in two respects. They include a considerable quantity of herrings and mackerel landed at the port by Lowestoft, Scottish, and other vessels from the drift-net fisheries which are not pursued by Grimsby boats; and they also include the weight of boxes and ice, etc., in which the fish are packed for transport-items which it is well known are by no means inconsiderable.* From calculations which I have made, I estimate that about two-fifths of the total weight sent inland by rail should be deducted in order to cover these two sources of exaggeration. The inclusion of these extraneous items does not, however, affect the validity of the returns for my present purpose, which is merely to determine whether the official returns exhibit a constant or a declining catch per fishing boat per annum.

In order to establish this point I have taken each fishing steamer registered at the port to be equivalent in catching power to four sailing vessels; and in order to avoid any suspicion of having exaggerated the catching power in the later years of the period, I have purposely neglected all advances in the efficiency of the steamers due to increased tonnage or the adoption of new gear, such as otter trawls (cf. pp. 46-52).

In spite of these omissions, it is seen in the table that the averages per fishing unit have steadily diminished from 1886 to the present time. Owing to the increase of steam vessels and the decline of sailing vessels during the period, the amount of this diminution would be shown to have been very much greater if account had been taken of the relative increase in the catching power of steamers during the period. The results provide a conclusive confirmation of the general accuracy of the conclusions drawn from the smack-owners' returns in the preceding section of my paper.

A table of a somewhat similar character to the above, for the years 1878 to 1892, was submitted by Mr. Alward to the Select Committee in 1893 , and is printed in their report (p. $9, \S 216$ ). The general character of our respective figures is the same, but Mr. Alward's figures yield

[^7]rather higher averages than mine when worked out in the same way, the averages from 1886 to 1892 being $86,84,89,75,70,65$, and 66 respectively. From information received from the Railway Company, however, it would appear that Mr. Alward's figures representing the tonnage of the Grimsby fish traffic have not in all cases been subjected to the full deductions of fish exported to the Continent.

The quantity of fish exported to the Continent from Grimsby was uniformly about 4,000 tons annually from 1886 to 1892 , after which year it regularly increased, being 5,000 tons in $1894,8,000$ tons in 1896, and over 10,000 tons in 1899. Nevertheless, even if this class of fish should also be attributed to the Grimsby fisheries, the fall in the average catch is equally apparent, being 83 tons for 1886, 70 tons for 1892 , and 65 tons for 1899.

Moreover, the fall in the average catches cannot be attributed to any marked diversion to London during the later years of fish from Grimsby vessels which landed their catches at the home port in the earlier years of the period; for the proportion of sea-borne to rail-borne fish in the London markets has decreased appreciably since 1888, whether the calculation be based on the returns of the Fishmongers' Company ( 37 per cent. to 32 per cent.) or on those of the Board of Trade (33 per cent. to 29 per cent.). (Cf. Statistical Tables and Memorandum for 1891, p. 7: "The inference would be that there is a tendency to bring fish to London from distant parts by rail, instead of bringing them direct from the fishing grounds by sea. It seems highly probable that this is not merely a temporary change, but is one of a permanent character.")

## III. The Lowestoft Trawl Fishery, 1883-98.

By the kindness of the Great Eastern Railway Company I am able to bring up to a more recent date the statistics of the Lowestoft trawl fishery which were submitted to the Select Committee in 1893 by Mr. Hame (Minutes, pp. 67-75). As stated by Mr. Hame in his evidence before the Committee, the Railway Company owns the fish docks, and receives a small toll for every package of fish landed. Consequently the returns of fish landed at the docks, as supplied by the Railway Company, possess an unusual degree of accuracy. A record is also kept by the Company of the number of trawling vessels which land their fish at Lowestoft ; and although there is an inaccuracy here caused by the want of discrimination between vessels which regularly land their fish at Lowestoft and those (mostly hailing from Ramsgate and French ports) which only do so from time to time, it nevertheless seems possible to obtain a rough idea of the progress of the fishery by comparing the totals of fish landed by the trawlers with the gross number of trawling vessels from year to year.

The trawled fish landed at the port are classified by the Railway Company under Cod, Prime, and Offal. The returns of cod are given in "scores," those of prime and offal in "packages." Mr. Hame, in his evidence, treated the average weight of each package as about one hundredweight, and I am informed by the Dock Superintendent that this estimate is approximately correct; but there is no need to enter into this question for my present purpose, as the number of packages affords a sufficient index for comparing the condition of the fishery in successive years.

In the following table the returns of fish landed and of the fishing vessels prior to 1893 are copied from Mr. Hame's figures as published in the Minutes of the Select Committee. Those from 1893 onwards have been supplied to me directly by the Railway Company.

On recalculating the averages per vessel for the first period my results in three cases do not quite coincide with Mr. Hame's, probably as a result of printer's errors. For 1892 the error in Mr. Hame's table is undoubtedly in the averages, since the accuracy of the figures representing the total returns of fish landed in that year has been confirmed for me by the Railway Company. Consequently I have provided a new series of averages, marking with an asterisk those figures which differ by more than two units from the figures published by the Select Committee, which are placed in brackets alongside. The smaller quantities of cod-fish have not been averaged, for obvious reasons.

Table VII., showing the Condition of the Lowestoft Trawl Fishery from 1883 to 1898 (from Returns provided by the Great Eastern Railway Company.)

Total Packages Landed. Average No. per Vessel.

| Year. | Vessels. | Cod (scores). | Prime. | Offal. | Prime. | Offal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1883 | 157 | 227 | 18,056 | 59,393 | *115 (128) | . 378 |
| 1884 | 167 | 2,010 (sic!) | 18,613 | 59,640 | 111 | 357 |
| 1885 | 173 | 225 | 24,228 | 77,948 | 140 | 450 |
| 1886 | 168 | 372 | 28,208 | 90,482 | *168 (160) | . 539 |
| 1887 | 190 | 101 | 24,341 | .. 87,710 | 128 | ... 462 |
| 1888 | 230 | 53 | 23,022 | ... 117,552 | 100 | 511 |
| 1889 | 235 | 169 | 24,844 | $\ldots$... 128,156 | 106 | 545 |
| 1890 | 260 | 151 | 25,647 | ... 136,810 | 99 | 507 |
| 1891 | 265 | 32 | 34,701 | ... 189,770 | 131 | $\ldots 716$ |
| 1892 | 360 | 338 | 32,013 | ... 210,504 | *89 (100) | $\ldots * 585$ (684) |
| 1893 | 394 | 258 | 37,523 | ... 219,830 | 95 | ... 558 |
| 1894 | 365 | 189 | 34,340 | ... 184,099 | 94 | . 504 |
| 1895 | 369 | 57 | 31,088 | ... 187,564 | 84 | . 508 |
| 1896 | 350 | 84 | 28,018 | $\ldots$... 177,437 | 80 | 507 |
| 1897 | 326 | 13 | 28,455 | ... 168,678 | 87 | ... 517 |
| 1898 | 318 | 5 . | 29,283 | . 170,543 | 92 | .. 536 |

The averages per vessel indicate a distinct falling off in the quantities of prime fish landed by each vessel and a rise in the quantities of offal, though the fall in prime fish occurs throughout the period, while the rise in offal is limited to the earlier years. These features can best be seen by averaging the returns per vessel for longer (quinquennial) periods, a method which eliminates the minor fluctuations, thus :-


So far as the official figures go, therefore, the Lowestoft trawl fishery is declining as well as the fisheries further north. It should be remembered that the trawling grounds of the Lowestoft smacks are mostly in shallower water than those of the Hull and Grimsby vessels, and are bounded approximately by the parallels $51^{\circ} \cdot 30$ and $53^{\circ} \cdot 30$, being altogether south of the Dogger and south and west of Heligoland (Select Committee, 1893, $\S \S 1,538,1,539,1,634,1,639$; also first Report of the Inspectors of Sea Fisheries, p. 14).

On the other hand, the inclusion of temporary visitors in the list of vessels working from the port has the effect of depressing the estimated averages below the true values, and in particular years this source of error may attain exceptional dimensions, e.g. 1892 (cf. the actual average of an exclusively local fleet of that year, cited below, p. 45). Consequently the evidence afforded by these returns should be treated cautiously, and no undue importance should be attached to the averages deduced from them for isolated years.

## IV. The Entire Bottom Fisheries of England and Wales during the decade 1889-98.

In this section I propose to compare the total quantities of "bottom fish" landed annually on the English coasts with the total number and catching power of the deep-sea trawlers and liners for each year of the decade, separating the fisheries prosecuted by the East Coast vessels in the North Sea from the fisheries carried on in the English and Bristol Channels and other Western waters.

## 1. Statistics of Bottom Fish.

The method adopted for determining the quantity of bottom fish annually landed is the same as that used by my predecessor, Mr. Cunningham, as described in his paper on "The Immature Fish Question" in this Journal (vol. iii. p. 54). The Board of Trade's returns in their annual Statistical Tables and Memorandum have been taken
as the basis, the annual totals of mackerel, herrings, pilchards, and sprats being deducted from the totals of "all fish, except shellfish." The elimination of the drift-net fish yields a remainder which may safely be regarded as the product of the trawl and line fisheries together.

In distinguishing the products of the North Sea fishery, however, it has been necessary to deviate to some extent from the line of separation adopted by the Board of Trade (the North Foreland), whereby Ramsgate is excluded from the East Coast ports (Mr. Berrington's Evidence, Select Committee, 1893, $\S 2,426$ ). The principal fishing grounds of the Ramsgate trawlers largely coincide with those of the Lowestoft vessels in the southern part of the North Sea, and I am informed by the Harbourmaster of Ramsgate through the Board of Trade, that "most of the Ramsgate trawlers work in and out of Lowestoft as much as they do here [i.e. Ramsgate]; it depends greatly upon the wind which port they can more easily make." Under these circumstances it was clearly necessary to transfer the figures for Ramsgate catches and vessels from the South to the East Coast. Accordingly, the line which I have adopted for separating the East from the South Coast lies between Ramsgate and Deal, thus coinciding with the classification of the fishing ports originally given by the Inspectors of Sea Fisheries in their first Annual Report, p. 25. It is, perhaps, worthy of consideration whether it would not be advisable to revert to this original scheme in any future rearrangement of the fishery statistics.

The Board of Trade has kindly provided me with a detailed return of the fish landed annually at Ramsgate since 1888, from which the following figures, representing the total quantities of "bottom fish " landed at the port, have been derived.

| Year. |  | cwts. | Year. |  | ewts. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1889 | . | . | 30,319 | 1894 | . | . |
| 1890 | . | . | 29,285 | 1895 | . | . |
| 1891 | . | . | 30,837 | 1896 | . | . |
| 1892 | . | . | 33,351 | 1897 | . | . |
| 1893 | . | . | 35,406 | 1898 | . | . |

These figures have been deducted from the totals of bottom fish for the South Coast derived from the Statistical Tables, and added to the corresponding figures for the East Coast. The resultant figures,*

[^8]prepared in the manner described and reduced to tons, are given for the various coasts in Table VIII. (p. 34).

The only source of uncertainty (apart from the question as to the general reliability of the official returns) which I can discover in this method of determining the annual quantities of bottom fish landed arises from the unspecified nature of the item which appears in the Statistical Tables as "Fish not separately distinguished, except shellfish." As this item, however, clearly includes such fish as whitings, gurnards, dabs, skates, and rays, and as all the important drift-net fish are separately distinguished, no appreciable error can be introduced by treating this item of sundries as forming part of the total of bottom fish. It forms one-fifth of the total catch in 1889, and one-seventh in 1898 ; but the proportion is considerably greater for the South and West Coasts than for the East Coast-a feature of which one would like to know the explanation.

## 2. Statistics of Fishing Boats.

By Clause 17 of an Order in Council of the 18th of June, 1869, which has reference to the Registration of British Sea Fishing Boats under Part II. of the Sea Fisheries Act of 1868, it is provided that the register of sea fishing boats shall contain, among other details, "the name of the vessel and of the port to which she belongs, description of her rig and of her ordinary mode of fishing, her registered number, class, tonnage, and length of keel, and number of crew usually employed."

In view of this provision I expected, in the course of the present investigation, to be able to obtain an authentic statement of the number of trawling vessels on the register for each of the past ten years ; but, after correspondence with the Customs Establishment and the Board of Trade, it has been found necessary to depend upon indirect sources of information, in consequence of information received from the Board of Trade to the effect that "the Returns rendered by Collectors of Customs prior to 1893 no longer exist" (March, 1900). This circumstance is much to be regretted, for I am confident that for the purposes of fishery statistics the unpublished portions of the fishingboat registers contain data which are sufficient to provide an authentic list of the numbers of deep-sea fishing boats engaged in trawling, even if they are of less value for determining the numbers of deep-sea line vessels and drifters. It is rare, however, for the same port to possess fleets of all three classes of vessel, so that even the numbers of first class liners and drifters could usually be obtained by deducting the number of registered trawlers from the total of all kinds registered at the respective ports.

Nevertheless, in spite of the absence of any official lists of the total

Table VIII., showing the Total Weight of Bottom Fish landed (tons), the Total Catching Power of First Class Trawlers and Liners expressed in "Smack-units," and the Average Catch per Smack-unit for all Coasts of England and Wales and the Isle of Man, and for each Year from 1889 to 1898 (tons).


* See remarks on pp. 62 to 64.
number of boats engaged in each of the different kinds of fishery, it has been possible to prepare a list of first class vessels which is probably sufficiently accurate for my present purpose from the information published in the Annual Reports of the Inspectors of Sea Fisheries and in the Annual Statements of Navigation and Shipping, supplemented, where desirable, by correspondence with local authorities.

The Annual Reports of the Inspectors contain returns rendered by the collectors of fishery statistics at each port, giving the approximate number of boats of each class engaged in each fishery, whether belonging to the station or not. These returns commenced in 1889. In 1892 a column was added to the returns showing the total number of boats of each class belonging to each station, and, although there are slight differences between the figures in this column and those in the Fishing Boat Registers, the numbers assigned to the first class boats are practically the same.

It is not difficult from a perusal of these returns to form a fairly correct idea of the numbers of local boats engaged in the different modes of fishery. The irregular numbers and migrant habits of the drift fleets, and the periodic movements of such vessels as the Brixham and Ramsgate trawlers, undoubtedly affect the collectors' returns for various ports to a considerable extent, and preclude the possibility of using their figures, without further analysis, for statistical purposes, owing to the inclusion of large numbers of vessels in the returns for more than one port. But, so far as the first class vessels are concerned, it is always possible to trace the number of non-local boats by comparing the collector's total for each port with the register of fishing vessels, and in the great majority of cases it is possible also to discover the kind, or kinds, of fishery in which the visitors are engaged. In this way the number of local boats engaged in each fishery can be determined with a considerable degree of exactitude, thus permitting the addition of the numbers so obtained in order to form an approximate total of the boats engaged in any one form of fishery, either for the country as a whole, or for particular sections of the coast line.

The method pursued was in the first place to tabulate the collectors' annual returns of the vessels engaged in trawling for the entire term of years since 1889, and for all ports, distinguishing steam trawlers from smacks, first class from second and third class boats, and deep-sea from inshore trawling vessels. The table showed at a glance that the numbers of trawlers of the second and third class might be neglected entirely, partly on account of their small size (under fifteen tons), and partly from their relatively small numbers throughout the period. The inclusion of these boats, with their feeble catching power, would obviously not materially affect the results.

## § i. Number of Trawling Smacks.

Leaving the question of steam trawlers for later consideration, it appeared, upon detailed examination, that first class sailing trawlers are practically limited to the ports mentioned in Table E. The returns also assign a number of these boats to the fisheries from London (Shadwell), Hastings,* Eastbourne, Shoreham, Newlyn, Ilfracombe, Milford, Holyhead, and Bangor. But the figures for Shadwell in reality indicate the numbers of trawlers supplying the Shadwell carriers, the few boats at Eastbourne trawl for a very short portion of the year, and none of the remaining ports possess sailing trawlers of their own. The Hastings trawlers hail from Rye; the Shoreham boats partly from Lowestoft and partly from Brixham; the Newlyn, Ilfracombe, Milford, and, to some extent, Tenby boats from Brixham and Plymouth; the Holyhead, and, probably, Bangor boats from Douglas, Liverpool, Fleetwood, and Carnarvon.

As regards the determination of the actual numbers of trawlers owned at the various ports, no difficulty was experienced in regard to the ports of the South and West Coasts, since, with the exceptions just mentioned, the number of trawlers estimated by the collectors to be working from the various ports was found to correspond to all intents and purposes with the total of first class vessels, less steam fishing vessels, registered at the ports. The same remark applies to Ramsgate, which, so far as trawling is concerned, should be included among the East Coast ports, owing to the position of the fishing grounds usually frequented by the Ramsgate trawlers.

But there were considerable difficulties in determining exactly the number of trawlers at the remaining ports on the East Coast, principally due to the uncertainties as to the number of local vessels engaged in the drift fisheries from each port. It is, of course, well known that these fisheries are pursued by a nomad fleet composed of Lowestoft, Yarmouth, Scottish, Manx, and Cornish vessels; and as the collector's estimate of the number of vessels engaged in these fisheries from Yarmouth or Lowestoft does not discriminate between the local and the non-local boats, it was impossible to use the method of comparing the total of the collector's returns with the registered total in order to decide whether his estimates of the trawlers included any proportion of boats from other ports.

Fortunately, in the most difficult case (Lowestoft), it was possible

[^9]to obtain reliable information as to the number of trawlers using the port for a long term of years from the Great Eastern Railway Company, the owners of the fish-docks (Table VII). Evidence from the same source, together with independent evidence as to the numbers of the local trawlers, was furnished to the Select Committee on Sea Fisheries in 1893 by Mr. Hame, who places the local trawlers for 1892 at about 300 , and for 1893 at 325 (Minutes of Evidence, $\$ \S 1,532$ and 1,642). The latter number practically coincides with the collector's return for the same year in the Report of the Inspectors, whereas the gross number of trawlers using the port is given by the Railway Company as 394 for 1893 , and for each year from 1889 to 1896 uniformly exceeds the collector's figure, the excess usually amounting to from 30 to 70 . The dock superintendent informs me that the number of Ramsgate trawlers landing their fish in Lowestoft may be placed at about 50 or 60 .

From all this evidence it is clear that the collector's returns of the trawlers engaged in the Lowestoft fishery are not the gross returns of trawlers using the port, but more nearly represent the numbers of local trawlers. On the other hand, the collector's returns for the four years 1890 to 1893 (viz. 203, 186, 350, 320) fluctuate in a manner which is inconsistent with the view that they represent the local trawlers exactly, and as the Railway Company's gross (but exact) returns show a continuous increase from 1886 to 1896, I have "smoothed" the collector's figures for 1891 and 1892 in conformity with this fact. The correction may not be perfectly exact, but it probably reduces the error to insignificant dimensions. Mr. Alfred Turner, of Lowestoft, informs me that the local boats have increased since 1893, but rather than exaggerate the catching power in these later years, I have preferred to retain the collector's estimate, in the absence of definite information.

In the case of Grimsby an exact classification of the fishing boats registered in 1899 is given by the Great Central Railway Company in an official pamphlet* dealing with that port, the whole of the vessels being included as trawlers or liners, without mention of drift boats. As the number of trawlers and liners in the collector's returns for Grimsby in any year does not exceed the total registered, it may be safely assumed that at this port also the collector's returns of these classes of boat approximately represent the numbers of local boats in

[^10]active work. It is possible that a number of Grimsby trawlers, which supply the Shadwell market by means of steam carriers, should be added to these figures, but in the absence of conclusive information I have preferred to omit them.

The collector's returns for Yarmouth have been accepted without change. The same is true for Hull, except that the collector's number for 1892 has been reduced from 300 to 280, since the Register, as well as other evidence, precludes the possibility of admitting any increase in the number of Hull smacks during the decade.

In the case of Scarborough Mr. Ashford, the Fishery Officer of the North-Eastern Committee, informs me that the local smacks have now (February, 1900) entirely given up trawling. "There are a few (7) which have been altered in rig, and are at present engaged in line fishing. These, with twenty yawls, also liners, belong to the port. There are about twenty yawls laid up, which have not left the harbour for years, it being considered not worth while to keep them fit for sea. We have also belonging to Scarborough fifteen paddle trawlers and three screw trawlers, and one screw trawler working from Scarborough but owned at Hull." Mr. Cunningham reported only eighteen sailing trawlers and nine or ten steamers as belonging to Scarborough in 1895 (Jour. M. B. A., iv. p. 113). The collector's returns of the sailing trawlers working from the port are adopted in my table up to 1893, but his subsequent returns ( $40,40,19,28,8$ ) so clearly include a variable non-local element that, in view of the evidence cited above, I have reduced the excessive figures for three of these years, so that the entire array of figures for the ten years exhibits a continuous decrease within verifiable limits.

The results of my analysis, as set forth in Table E, p. 67, show that the estimated number of first class trawling smacks belonging to the East Coast has fallen considerably during the decade, from 1,737 in 1889 to 1,015 in 1898 . The fall is not, however, quite regular. The Scarborough, Hull, and Grimsby smacks show a general decrease, but the Yarmouth fleet (though subsequently broken up) was greatly increased in 1890 and the Lowestoft fleet about 1892 (cf. the collector's returns for 1892 and 1893 and the Great Eastern Railway Company's returns, Table VII.), while the Ramsgate vessels, as shown by the collector's returns and the Fishing-boat Register, have also steadily, though slightly, increased in numbers.

On the South and West Coasts the total number of smacks has remained practically constant throughout the decade, varying from 546 at the beginning to 525 at the end. Here, also, the same phenomenon is exhibited as on the East Coast, viz. a decrease at certain centres (Liverpool, Fleetwood) where the smacks are being replaced by steamers, and
an increase, though slight, at others (Rye, Brixham) where steam trawlers show no signs of increase.

## § ii. The Number of Steam Trawlers.

The number of steam trawlers has been determined upon a different plan from that followed in the case of the smacks, owing to the circumstance that they form the great majority of the steam fishing boats in general. Consequently the Register has provided the basis for my estimates, and the collectors' returns have been used merely for determining the number of deductions which should be made to cover the number of steam liners, carriers, and drifters. According to the Reports of the Scottish Fishery Board a certain number of English steam trawlers land their fish regularly at Scottish ports. These, therefore, have been also deducted. The total deductions made for the different years of the decade (Table H, p. 69) are as follows :-

| Steam liners, | 18891890 |  | 1891 | 1892 | 1893 | 1894 | 1895 | 1896 | 18971898 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 80 |
| , drifters | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 15 | 20 | 35 |
| , carriers | 33 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 41 | 39 | 45 | 45 | 50 | 50 |
| , trawlers, in Scotlan | 30 | 31 | 37 | (38) | 39 | 38 | 35 | 32 | 31 | 37 |
| Total deductions | 103 | 113 | 121 | 135 |  | 157 | 175 | 192 | 201 | 202 |

The figures representing the liners in the above table are discussed below. The numbers of steamers engaged in the drift fisheries are estimated from the figures returned by the collectors of statistics for Grimsby and Yarmouth. They are undoubtedly excessive, since Grimsby possesses no drift boats at all, and it seems probable that the majority of the steamers engaged were only temporarily occupied as carriers during the summer season: but as the deductions to be made under this head are limited to the later years of the decade, I have purposely taken the highest estimates possible in order to avoid the possibility of exaggerating the catching power in these years. The "carriers" of mackerel mentioned in the collectors' returns under Neyland are not registered as fishing vessels. The Harbourmaster informs me that these vessels are merely chartered for the season, and are employed in the coasting trade or in towing at other times. Consequently no deductions have been made for them.

The principal uncertainty in the series of deductions concerns the numbers which should be written off to cover the carriers for the trawling fleets. The collectors of statistics only enumerate such vessels for the ports of Yarmouth, Shadwell, and Billingsgate, and my figures represent the totals for those stations. They no doubt include the
majority of the carriers, so that the error introduced under this head is probably insignificant. Indeed, the steam carrier, although merely engaged in transporting fish caught by other boats, is undoubtedly an element in the catching power as a time-saving contrivance; and the deficiencies in my estimates of these vessels may serve, by their inclusion among the trawlers proper, as a rough measure of the catching power due to the carriers in general.

The figures representing East Coast trawlers which regularly land their fish at Scottish ports have been taken from the Annual Reports and other official publications of the Scottish Fishery Board, except for the year 1892, the figure for which has been interpolated, owing to my failure to find an official record for that year.

The total deductions enumerated in the table have been made both for the entire coast of England and Wales and for the East Coast, but not for the Western Coasts, since they are based on data which apply to the East Coast only. The steamers registered for the South and West Coasts have been taken as being trawlers without exception.

§ iii. East Coast Trawlers in Western Waters.

As already remarked, for the purpose of these statistics of Bottom Fish and Fishing Vessels, Ramsgate has been included among the East Coast ports and as the southern boundary of the East Coast district. But in determining the number of boats engaged off the East Coast and off the remaining coasts respectively, it seemed necessary to take account of the East Coast trawlers (both steamers and smacks) which have more or less regularly visited the South and West Coasts during recent years. The methods adopted in order to estimate the numbers of these "East Coast visitors" of each kind were as follows.

In the case of steamers the collectors' estimates of the number of steam trawlers working from the ports of Plymouth, Newlyn, Milford, and Fleetwood were added together for each year, and the numbers of steam fishing boats actually registered at these ports were deducted from the total so obtained. The differences have been taken to represent the visitors from the East Coast. Thus :-

Steamers reported to be Working.

|  | 1889 | 1890 | 1891 | 1892 | 1893 | 1894 | 1895 | 1896 | 1897 | 1898 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plymouth | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 27 | 30 | 35 |
| Newlyn | 6 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Milford | 20 | 35 | 36 | 67 | 60 | 47 | 45 | 55 | 60 | 60 |
| Fleetwood | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 19 | 36 | 40 |
| Total | 28 | 43 | 44 | 82 | 74 | 60 | 80 | 102 | 128 | 137 |



It is probable that by this method the number of visitors has been exaggerated, as a certain number of the steamers were no doubt included by the collectors in their returns for more than one port. Moreover, the East Coast steamers do not invariably spend more than a portion of the year in the Western waters, so that for strict accuracy a suitable deduction should be made under this head. In the absence at present of satisfactory information on those points, however, I have provisionally retained the gross numbers given above as the basis of my calculations, reserving to the sequel the consideration of the extent of the error thereby introduced.

The allowance thus made to cover the North Sea immigrants has only been added to the numbers of registered steamers on the South and West Coasts. Strictly speaking, a corresponding deduction should be made from the registered total for the East Coast steamers, but this has not been done. The difference in treatment is due to the fact that, whatever the exact number of these East Coast immigrants in successive years, they clearly formed a large percentage of the total number of steamers fishing off the Western Coasts (see Table H, p. 69), whereas their deduction from the totals for the East Coast would make no difference in the general result beyond causing a slight and practically uniform increase in the average catches throughout the period.
The numbers of the East Coast sailing trawlers working from the ports mentioned above and from Holyhead have been determined after careful study of the information given in the Annual Reports of the Inspectors of Sea Fisheries and the collectors' returns, and after correspondence with the harbourmasters of Milford and Holyhead. " When these [the Milford] Docks were first opened (in 1889) a large number of Hull vessels, both steam and sail, landed their fish here in addition to many Brixham smacks. The Hull smacks, proving somewhat large and expensive for the short voyages made on this coast, were gradually withdrawn, but most of the steamers continued fishing here until the end of 1893. The nucleus of a local fleet of steamers formed in the interval, and this fleet has gradually been increased to its present size. The steamers here at present are all owned by firms whose headquarters are here, and very few of them fished out of other ports
previous to their arrival here" (J. C. Ward, Manager of the Milford Docks Company, February, 1900). In 1899, during the principal season (February 1st to June 30th), the fleet of smacks working from Milford consisted of 206 vessels, composed as follows: Brixham vessels, 142 ; Lowestoft, 25 ; Ramsgate, 12 ; various, 27.

As regards Holyhead, the trawlers landing fish at the present time appear to hail principally from Douglas and Liverpool, a small number, however, belonging to Fleetwood, Carnarvon, and Grimsby. During the year from March, 1899, to February, 1900, fourteen trawlers, on twentythree voyages, were boarded by the boats of the Queen's Harbourmaster. Two only were East Coast (Grimsby) vessels, five hailing from Douglas, five from Liverpool, and one each from Fleetwood and Carnarvon. These figures, however, merely serve to convey an idea of the proportion of boats from various ports, as the majority of fishingvessels are never boarded by the Harbourmaster's officers. The Grimsby vessels were each boarded on one occasion only ; the Douglas, Liverpool, and Fleetwood boats usually twice. This tends to show that the Grimsby vessels were not using the port so frequently as the Lancashire and Manx trawlers, and were possibly there for only a portion of the year. Their " voyages" both occurred in February, 1900.

For Plymouth the number of East Coast trawlers fishing from the port in 1898 is stated by the collector of fishery statistics (Report of Inspectors, p. 165) to have been sixteen, i.e. twelve from Lowestoft and four from Ramsgate. These boats, however, do not use the port for more than a short period in the spring (February and March), so that it is probable that a majority of the same boats reappear later on at Milford, and are included in the estimates for that port. I have therefore taken the number of Lowestoft and Ramsgate trawlers known to have frequented the harbour of Milford in 1899 as representing approximately the total number of East Coast smacks fishing in the Western waters generally during the preceding year. The figures for the previous years are rough estimates, culminating in this number and determined in correspondence with the principal features known to have characterised these immigrations of East Coast trawlers, viz. the original invasion of Hull trawlers in 1889 and 1890, the subsequent falling off, and the ultimate increase of the smaller class of vessel from Lowestoft and Ramsgate (Table E). In selecting the figures, I have been also influenced to some extent by the fluctuations in the numbers of vessels estimated by the collector of fishery statistics at Milford, the general features of which have been corroborated by the harbourmaster at that port.* Owing, however, to the fact that these vessels usually

[^11]return to their own ports for the winter season, it is probable that the figures exaggerate the additional catching power due to the East Coast immigrations, although they probably convey a correct idea of the relative number of the immigrants in successive years. This point, as in the case of the steamers, will be reconsidered in the sequel.

## § iv. Number of Liners.

In determining the number of first class vessels engaged in line fishing, it seemed preferable, after examination of the figures given by the collectors of fishery statistics for successive years, to restrict the computation to the East Coast ports, since the number of boats principally engaged in this mode of fishing from the ports of the South and West Coasts is exceedingly small and uncertain. In the case of steam liners, the ports of North Shields, Hull, and Grimsby have been alone selected, since the figures assigned by the collectors to such ports as Sunderland, Hartlepool, and Whitby are both insignificant and variable. In the case of sailing liners, the ports selected were Staithes, Scarborough, Filey, Bridlington, Grimsby, and Harwich. The figures assigned to each of these ports in each year in Table F are those given by the collectors of statistics, subject to deductions, where necessary, of vessels clearly belonging to other ports.

The totals, as set forth in Table F, show that while the steam liners have doubled during the decade, the sailing liners of the first class have fallen from about 240 to 80 .

## 3. Relative Catching Power of Trawlers and Liners.

However accurate the returns of the quantity of fish landed may be, and however exact the estimation of the numbers of vessels engaged in the different kinds of fishery, it is impossible to obtain a satisfactory view of the condition of the fisheries in general without also taking into consideration the relative catching power of the different classes of fishing vessels and the changes wrought in their efficiency at different times by the introduction of new fishing appliances, and by increased speed and storage capacity. The gross returns of fish landed from year to year are meaningless for purposes of accurate comparison unless they are taken in relation with the total catching power of the fishing vessels for the same periods, and it is impossible to form even an approximate idea of the growth of catching power from the mere numbers and registered tonnage of the vessels as a whole. It is indispensable that the vessels should be sorted out according to their mode of fishery and their means of propulsion, and their respective catching powers reduced to some uniform standard of efficiency.

## § i. The Trawling Smack as a Unit of Catching Power.

I have therefore adopted the deep-sea sailing trawler as a standard unit of catching power, and have sought to express the average catching powers of other vessels in terms of this "smack-unit." It will be seen from Tables A-D, which give the actual annual catches of four Grimsby sailing trawlers for a long term of years, that, however variable the catches are from year to year, there is an appreciable uniformity (with few exceptions) in the individual catches for the same year; and, although the sizes of deep-sea sailing trawlers vary to some extent at different ports, it appears to be admitted that these differences are mainly adaptations to the local conditions of the fishery, and do not seriously affect the gross catches made by the respective types of vessel on the grounds to which they are suited and on which they usually work.

On the other hand, the gross catches of individual trawlers are undoubtedly affected by the "fleeting" system. The large increase in 1882 in the catches of the Grimsby trawlers (compare Table IV.) is principally due, as Mr . Alward informs me, to a general extension of the fleeting period which took place at Grimsby in that year-from an average of about five or six months in previous years to eight months in 1882. The system could not, however, be maintained owing to the opposition it aroused, which culminated in a general strike of the hands in 1883. The subsequent restriction of the fleeting period in 1884 to its former limits was followed, as may be seen in Table IV., by a reduction of the annual catches to their former proportions. The illustration suffices to give an idea of the increased catches which may directly ensue from the adoption of means of propulsion, or methods of work, which save the time spent in voyaging to and from the more distant fishing grounds. Nevertheless it must be borne in mind that the distances to be traversed by the Humber smacks are necessarily greater than those usually covered by the "single boaters" of more southern and of western ports, whose fishing grounds, though more limited, are situated in closer proximity to the ports of landing. Consequently there is no ground for believing that the annual catches of the Ramsgate and Brixham trawlers are very much less than they would be if these vessels were to adopt the fleeting system as carried out at Grimsby and -Yarmouth. So far as the Lowestoft trawlers are concerned-and they fish to a large extent on the same grounds and under the same conditions as the Ramsgate vessels, and do not fleet for more than a couple of months in the year-this conclusion can be verified; for in his evidence submitted to the Select Committee in 1893, Mr. Hame stated that the average catch for 1892 yielded by thirty-eight vessels worked by
one firm at Lowestoft amounted to 139 cwts . of prime fish and 710 cwts . of offal (Minutes of Evidence, $\S \S 1,540,1,626$ ). It will be seen by comparison with Table IV. that the total catch ( 849 cwts .) even exceeded the average catch of Mr. Alward's four smacks for the same year, though practically identical if we take the previous year's average also into consideration. The data, however, upon which I principally depend for my estimate of the catching power of the sailing-trawler unit consist of Mr. Alward's returns of the actual catches of four of his Grimsby trawlers, already discussed (see Tables A-D and IV.).

## § ii. Relative Efficiency of Steam Beam Trawlers.

The catching power of steam beam trawlers compared with smacks has been variously placed at from three to six fold (Select Committee, 1893, Minutes, $\S \S 351,1,165,4,119$ ). Mr. Alward, in 1893, estimated it at between four and five times the efficiency of the sailer, and I am able to submit actual figures in substantiation of this opinion. Mr. Alward has kindly lent me extracts from his books, which show the actual annual catches of one of his steam trawlers for each of the years 1883,1884 , and 1885 , and the catch of another steamer for 1885.

Table IX., comparing the Average Annual Catches of Steam and Sailing Beam Trawlers, Grimsby, 1883-85, and showing the Relative Efficiency of the Steamer at that date.

| Boats. | Period. | Average Annual Catch (ewts.). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}\left\{\begin{array}{l} 1 \text { steamer } \\ 4 \text { smacks } \end{array}\right.$ | 3 years, ' $83-$ ' 85. do. | Plaice. | Haddock. | Prime.* | Rough. $\dagger$ | Total |
|  |  | 818 | 2325 | 125 | 668 | 3936 |
|  |  | 315 | 556 | 94 | 81 | 104 |
| B $\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \text { steamers } \\ 4 \text { smacks }\end{array}\right.$ | 1885 | 717 | 2352 | 120 | 654 | 384 |
|  | do. | 280 | 477 | 90 | 89 | 96 |
| Relative Efficiency of Steamer. |  | $2 \cdot 60$ | $4 \cdot 18$ | $1 \cdot 33$ | $8 \cdot 25$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |
|  |  | $2 \cdot 56$ | $4 \cdot 93$ | $1 \cdot 33$ | $7 \cdot 35$ | 4. |

In Table IX. I have averaged these figures in two ways, and it will be seen that, as in the case of the sailing trawlers, the average quantity landed

[^12]by the steamers in adjacent years is remarkably uniform. Side by side with these averages I have placed in the same table the average catches of the four sailing trawlers for the same term of years, and it can thus be seen that during the period 1883-5 the steam trawler caught close upon four times as much fish in a year as the sailing trawler. The relative efficiency of the steamer is seen to vary as regards the different items brought up in the trawl-a variation which is apparently determined, to a large extent, by the natural distribution of fish in the North Sea. Thus the steamer caught two and a half times as much plaice as the smack, from four to five times as much haddock, about the same quantity of prime fish, and from seven to eight times as much rough fish. Leaving out the latter item, the steamer's great efficiency as regards haddock would appear to be due to the greater abundance of this fish in the more distant grounds to the northward; its moderate efficiency as regards plaice to the more uniform distribution of this fish over the whole basin of the North Sea; and its small efficiency as regards turbot, sole, and brill to the southern and shallow water proclivities of these latter types of fish. That is to say, the steamer's efficiency increases in proportion to the distance from the port of landing of the grounds on which the different species live in greatest abundance.

But it is well known that since 1885 the relative catching powers of steam and sailing trawlers have diverged to a still greater extent, for, whereas the rig and fishing gear of the smacks have remained practically stationary, the steamers have been subject to continuous improvements as regards speed, storage capacity, tonnage, and size of trawl. The improvements under the latter head culminated in 1895 in the adaptation and general use of the otter trawl in place of the beam trawl. Consequently if the relative efficiency of steamers to smacks was fourfold in 1885 it must have become distinctly greater than that by 1893 , and has undoubtedly increased since then. The increased efficiency due to the adoption of the otter trawl can be determined with precision (see Tables XI., XII.), and exceeds 30 per cent. on the gross catches, but the data on which I depend for measuring the improvement due to other causes are necessarily somewhat indirect. To directly compare the average catches of steamers in 1885 with the catches in 1894, and to conclude that the difference is a measure of the changes wrought in efficiency during the interim, would be to beg the question at issue, and to assume that the abundance of fish on the grounds has not changed. In view of the evidence afforded by the catches of Mr. Knott's and Mr. Alward's smacks, this position cannot be assumed as a basis for calculations. The question could be decided most conclusively by comparing the catches of Grimsby steamers in 1893 and 1894 with the
average catches of the smacks in the same years; for, assuming that the efficiency of the smacks has remained the same, any difference in the relative efficiency of the steamers since 1885 would be attributable to improvements in the type of vessel and fishing gear. Unfortunately exact returns of individual catches for these later years are not yet available either for steamers or smacks, though Mr. Alward tells me that he has long intended, and still hopes, at some future time to bring his figures up to date both for sailing and steam fishing vessels. I have tried in various other directions to obtain such information from smackowners, but hitherto without success.
Nevertheless Mr. Alward's opinion is entitled to consideration. When sending me his returns already quoted, he wrote: "The figures for the two steam trawlers which I am submitting will convey a very poor idea of the quantity of fish caught in the interval between 1885 and the present time. They will serve only as a comparison of the early class of steam trawlers and the sailing trawlers of that day. In the interval between 1885 and the present time several new fishing grounds have been worked, and the modern steam trawler would catch about double the quantity caught by either of the two steam trawlers whose figures I give, if they had been fishing on the same ground at the same time."

In a further communication Mr. Alward writes, "With regard to the tonnage of the steam trawlers in 1883, as well as at the present time, the returns of the Board of Trade give only the nett tonnage, which is very misleading as to the size of the vessel, owing to the deductions from gross to nett of the space occupied by engine space and coals. These deductions have increased from 1883 up to the present time on account of the increased power demanding larger space. In many instances a gross tonnage 180 is now reduced to 50 nett, whereas in 1883 a gross tonnage of 100 would not be reduced to less than 50 . These figures apply to Grimsby and no doubt to most ports. The average gross tonnage here at the present time will be 150 tons, whereas in 1883 it did not exceed 100." (cf. McIntosh, Resources of the Sea, p. 59.)
From these quotations it is clear that, in Mr. Alward's opinion, the relative efficiency of the modern steam trawler compared with the smack is about eightfold (i.e. twice the relative efficiency in 1883). Other correspondents, all of them being smack-owners or men equally familiar with the practical side of the trawling industry, have assigned a catching power to the modern steam otter trawler of from at least sevenfold to at least tenfold the power of the sailing trawler. The grounds for their opinions are various, and need not be detailed. The limits which they assign show that Mr. Alward's opinion is by no means an exaggerated one, and that it forms indeed a kind of average of the views generally held by practical men.

Now a considerable portion of the increase in the catching power of the steam trawler is due to the exchange of beam for otter trawls in 1895, and it is shown below that this change of fishing gear has increased the catches of steam trawlers by 37 per cent., or, approximately, one-third of the total, i.e. has multiplied the catching power by one and a third.

If this deduction be made from the gross catching power of the otter trawler (estimating the latter at eight times the catching power of the sailing trawler), we obtain a figure which approximately represents the relative efficiency of the vessel less the advantages recently conferred on it by the adoption of the otter trawl. Assuming for a moment the accuracy of the foregoing estimates (which will be dealt with in greater detail below), we thus find that the modern steam trawler, if fitted with beam trawls, would catch approximately six times as much fish as the average sailing trawler, an increase in efficiency of 50 per cent. since 1883-5, viz. from fourfold to sixfold.

Now, according to Mr. Alward's figures, the gross tonnage of Grimsby steam trawlers has increased by exactly the same amount in the interval ; and, on working out the average of the registered tonnage of English steam fishing vessels from the data given in the Annual Statements of Navigation, I find that precisely the same increase has taken place in the average registered-or nett-tonnage, viz. from 34 tons in 1884 to 52 tons in 1898.

We may therefore conclude that the efficiency of steam trawlers, apart from the question of the otter trawl, has increased pari passu with the increase in their average registered tonnage, or rather with the increase in the registered tonnage of English steam fishing vessels in general, the great majority of which, however, are steam trawlers. If therefore for each year since 1884 the average registered tonnage be plotted out, and the relative efficiency of the steam trawler be placed at four for 1884 and six for 1898, the rate of increase in the efficiency during the period may be obtained in proportion to the rise in tonnage. This has been done in Table X. The result is, briefly, that in 1889 the efficiency was fivefold that of the smack, and in 1893 five and a half times.

Table X., showing the increase in Average Registered Tonnage of English Steam Fishing Vessels, and the increase in Relative Efficiency of Steam Trawlers, from 1884 to 1898.

|  | 1884 | 1889 | 1890 | 1891 | 1892 | 1893 | 1894 | 1895 | 1896 | 1897 | 1898 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average tonnage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The only means at my disposal for verifying the accuracy of these conclusions is to compare the average catch of Scottish steam trawlers during 1893 and 1894 with the probable catch of Grimsby sailing trawlers for the same years. The admirable statistics of the Scottish Fishery Board show, when worked out for this purpose, that the average annual catch of Scottish steam trawlers landing fish on the East Coast in the years 1893 and 1894 amounted to 3,802 cwts. per vessel (see Table XI.). From the average catch of Mr. Alward's sailing trawlers for 1890-2, as well as from the figures for Lowestoft trawlers already cited for 1892 (p. 45), we may infer that their catch in the two following years would probably average not more than from 700 to 900 cwts. per vessel. Comparison of the two sets of figures yields a relative efficiency for the Scottish steam trawlers between 4.2 and $5 \cdot 4$. The efficiency of English steam trawlers for the same years is calculated to have been from 5.5 to 5.6 (Table X.). Seeing that the average registered tonnage of the Scottish vessels only amounts to 32.5 tons for the years in question, whereas the average tonnage of English steam vessels was from 48 to 48.5 tons, we may justly conclude that the average English steam trawler at that time was a more powerful vessel than the type prevalent in Scottish waters, although exact comparison is impossible, owing to the inclusion in the English figures of a certain number of steam carriers and liners, which no doubt affect the figures to some slight extent. Under these circumstances the close correspondence between the estimated efficiency of the Scottish East Coast steam trawlers and of the English vessels for the years 1893 and 1894 may be regarded, if not as an actual verification of the accuracy of Mr. Alward's estimates, at any rate as a substantial proof of their freedom from serious exaggeration.

I conclude, therefore, that in order to convert the number of English steam trawlers into their smack-equivalents for each of the years from 1889 to 1894 , the figures in Table X., which represent the relative efficiency of the steam trawlers for those years, may be treated as factors by means of which the conversion can be effected on an approximately accurate basis.

## § iii. Otter Trawls on Steam Trawlers.

In 1894, however, the otter trawl was introduced, and the extent of the change in catching power which its rapid adoption in 1895 wrought among steam trawlers must now be examined.

Mr. Cunningham has stated that in the opinion of Hull fishermen the otter trawl increased the catches of steam trawlers in 1895 by as much as 50 per cent., and various correspondents engaged in the fishing industry, to whom I have put the question, have agreed in
estimating the increase in the total catches as from 33 per cent, to 50 per cent., the catch of round fishes alone having been even doubled.

The relative efficiency of the otter and beam trawls as worked by steamers can be measured, however, in a reliable manner without recourse to personal opinions. It happens that the trawlers landing fish on the East Coast of Scotland have been exclusively steamers for some years past, and the aggregate catches of these boats, together with the number of vessels at work, are given in the Annual Reports of the Scottish Fishery Board. There are usually about a hundred steam trawlers working annually from Aberdeen and other East Coast ports. The otter trawl having been introduced at Granton in 1894, and rapidly adopted by steam trawlers in general during the course of 1895, the changes wrought by its adoption can be clearly determined by comparing the average catch per trawler for a year or two prior to 1895 with the average catch per trawler for the years immediately following 1895, the year of transition being of course omitted.

Table XI., showing the Total Catches of Scottish Steam Trawlers for two years before and after the introduction of the Otter Trawl in 1895.


Table XII., showing the Average Annual Catches per Boat (cwts.) of Scottish Steam Trawlers, 1893 to 1897, and the Relative Efficiency of the Otter and Beam Trawls deduced therefrom.

|  | Average <br> Tonnage. | Cod. | Haddock. | Lemon Sole. | Plaice, etc. | Total (cwts.). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1893 | $32 \cdot 3$ | 477 | 2,178 | 153 | 412 | 3,796 |
| 94 | $32 \cdot 8$ | 533 | 2,254 | 153 | 406 | 3,807 $\}$ Beam trawls. |
| 1896 | $33 \cdot 9$ | 1,030 | 3,067 | 176 | 525 | 5,247 ) |
| 97 | $33 \cdot 9$ | 1,112 | 3,123 | 116 | 371 | 5,207 \} Otter trawls. |
| 1893-4 | $32 \cdot 5$ | 505 | 2,216 | 153 | 409 | 3,802 |
| 1896-7 | $33 \cdot 9$ | 1071 | 3,095 | 146 | 448 | 5,227 |
| Relative | ncy of Otte | 2-12 | $1 \cdot 35$ | 0.95 | $1 \cdot 10$ | 137 |

The results of a comparison on these lines are set forth in Tables XI. and XII. It will be seen that the aggregate total catches in 1893 and

1894 were much less than the corresponding catches in 1896 and 1897, although the number of vessels at work during the latter years was slightly less. For the first two years the average total catch per trawler amounted to 3,796 and $3,807 \mathrm{cwts}$. respectively ; for the last two years to 5,247 and 5,207 cwts. respectively-a difference which can only be attributed to the change from beam to otter trawl. If the average catches for each pair of years are combined, we find that the average annual catch of the beam trawlers was $3,802 \mathrm{cwts}$. and of the otter trawlers $5,227 \mathrm{cwts}$.-a difference which yields a relative efficiency in favour of the otter trawl amounting to 1.37 times that of the beam trawl, or, in other words, an increase of 37 per cent. on the total catches.

In regard to the different kinds of fish, the table shows that the otter trawl caught more than twice the quantity of cod, 35 per cent. more haddock, and about the same quantity of flat-fish as was obtained by the beam trawl in each case. These figures certainly do not overstate the efficiency of the otter trawl, since the years 1893 and 1894 were notorious for the exceptional abundance of haddock on the East Coast of Scotland, while the remarkable fall in the catch of flat fishes, both lemon soles and plaice, in 1897 suggests that the quantity of these fishes caught in the second period was below the average in consequence of exceptional scarcity. This reduced catch can scarcely be attributed to the change of fishing gear, otherwise the catch in 1896 would have shown the same depression.

It makes, however, no material difference in the resultant averages whether we take 30 per cent. or 40 per cent., or any intermediate figure, to represent the increase in catching power due to the adoption of otter gear. The figure already chosen for deducing the tonnage efficiency was, for convenience, 33 per cent.; and in order to make full allowance for the time required for the supersession of the beam trawls on English steam trawlers, I have assumed that only one-third of the increased efficiency ( 10 per cent.) came into operation during the year of transition (1895), and only two-thirds ( 20 per cent.) during the following year. For 1897 practically the full efficiency ( 30 per cent.) has been allowed, and for 1898 of course the power of the otter trawl has been included in Mr. Alward's estimate of the gross catching power of the modern steamer, which there is every reason to believe is approximately correct (cf. Table X., p. 48).

For evidence as to the introduction of the otter trawl reference may be made to the full account given by Mr. Cunningham* in this Journal

[^13]in 1896 (vol. iv. pp. 114-21). Mr. Scott's patent modification of the otter gear was fitted to some of the Granton Steam Fishing Company's vessels in June, 1894, but it was not until the summer of 1895 that the new gear began to be generally adopted by English steamers. During his visit to Hull in August, 1895, Mr. Cunningham was informed by Mr. Scott that the patent gear was then in use on sixteen or seventeen steamers in that port, on eight in Granton, on one at Boston, two at Grimsby, and two at Milford Haven, and Mr. Cunningham saw it on one in Scarborough earlier in the same month. In addition to these vessels, a large number of steamers were also fitted with otter trawls of a somewhat different construction ; but there is no available means at present of determining the total number of steamers which had adopted the new gear by any particular date in the year. There is, however, abundant evidence that as soon as the advantages of the beamless trawl became generally understood the exchange was effected with great rapidity. Mr. Ascroft, of Lytham, informs me that he was with the Red Cross fleet (Hull) on the Dogger when the otter trawl was first tried there, on the steam trawler Madras, and that the difference between the catches of this vessel and the steam beam trawlers was so great that, as the boats went back to Hull for coal, they were not sent out again until they had the otter gear fitted, even if it took a week or ten days.

## § iv. Relative Efficiency of Liners.

For estimating the catching power of the line fishing-boats (first class) I am compelled in the present essay to depend upon evidence which probably yields nothing but a rough approximation to the true values, since precise information upon the point has been unavailable. One difficulty arises from the fact that the sailing liners frequently devote themselves to the herring fishery during the summer months; and, although this custom of combining two methods of fishery is more especially found among the smaller boats, there is little to show to what extent the custom prevails among vessels of the first class, to which my statistics are limited.

In the Report of the Sea Fishery Commissioners of 1879 (Buckland and Walpole, p. 133) it is stated that the total annual catch of sixteen large liners at Staithes might be estimated at $1,400(2,000-600)$ tonsan average of 87 tons per vessel. This figure, however, includes the produce of the summer herring fishery from June to October. If a deduction of from one-half to two-thirds of the total catch be made to cover this item, the catch of bottom fish per vessel is reduced to an average of from 29 to 43 tons. At this period the Grimsby trawlers were catching from 45 to 60 tons per vessel.

Even the catch of the Grimsby codmen must be below that of the
trawling smacks, for it was stated at Grimsby in evidence before the Royal Commission of 1863 that "a trawl smack as a rule will catch a greater weight of fish than a liner, but it is of less value " (§ 15,932 ).

At Billingsgate, before the same Commission, it was stated, even at that time, that the "liners did not bring more than 10 per cent. ( 5 per cent. to 10 per cent.) of the fish coming to this market" ( $\$ 12,862)$; and again, "A trawler catches ten times the weight of fish obtained by a line boat, day for day, or year for year, taking the twelve months round " (§§ 12,867-8).

This latter estimate no doubt refers to the average catch of all line boats, large and small.

A similar contrast exists between the catches of the modern steam trawlers and liners. Thus in March of the present year, ten Aberdeen steam liners were reported to have landed 28 tons of fish at one time, i.e. an average of 56 cwts. per boat per voyage. Simultaneously thirtysix steam trawlers at the same port landed 250 tons-an average of 138 cwts. per boat per voyage (Fish Trades Gazette, March 31st, 1900, p.17). Thus the average catch of the steam liner was only two-fifths as great as that of the steam (otter) trawler, if we assume that the voyages made by the two classes of boat were of equal duration. In view, however, of the liner's dependence upon bait, this assumption is not likely to be strictly correct, even in these days of ice and preserved, bait. Moreover, as the number of steam liners during the decade has not increased at the same rate as the number of trawlers (twofold instead of fourfold), it is necessary to ensure that their catching power shall not be under-estimated, since any serious deficit would reduce the estimated total catching power to a greater extent in the earlier than the later years, and so conduce towards a spurious fall in the estimated average catches.

If, therefore, we allow to the steam liner a catching power of threefourths that of the otter trawler, any error in the estimate is likely to be rather in the nature of an exaggeration of the true efficiency than otherwise. This would be equivalent in 1898 to the catching power of six sailing trawlers-that is to say, it would be practically identical with the estimated catching power of a steam trawler fitted with beam, instead of otter, trawls (see Table X., p. 48). Assuming that the efficiency of the steam liners has increased during the decade in proportion to the increase in average registered tonnage, the same factors may therefore be applied to the numbers of steam liners as to the steam beam trawlers, in order to convert their catching power into the proper number of "smack-equivalents." The results of this conversion are set forth in Table F (p. 68). The aggregate catching power of the steam liners is there seen to have nearly trebled in 1897 as compared
with 1889, but fell considerably in the following year, owing to the reduction of the number of steamers engaged in line fishing.

For a similar reason the average catching power of the sailing liner (first class) is assumed to have been four-fifths that of the sailing trawler throughout the decade, although the evidence cited above points rather to a lower coefficient as more strictly correct.

## 4. Total Catching Power of Bottom Fishing Boats.

The total catching power of the first class vessels engaged in catching "bottom fish," as derived from the various sources already discussed, is set forth in Table H (p. 69). Each year of the decade 1889 to 1898 is separately distinguished, and the catching power devoted to the North Sea fisheries is separated from that engaged in the South and West Coast industry.
The catching power of all vessels, whether trawlers or liners, and whether steamboats or smacks, is there expressed in terms of "smackunits," the various computations for which have already been described.

For the East Coast the catching power is seen to have increased continuously during the decade, from a power represented by 2,859 trawling smacks in 1889 to the power of 7,143 smacks in 1898, the catching power having nearly trebled during the period.

For the South and West Coasts the power is seen to have doubled during the decade, from the equivalence of 946 smacks in 1889 to that of 1,896 smacks in 1898. But the increase is seen to have been far from uniform, as the rise up to 1892 was followed by a fall during the next two years, to be succeeded by a steady and conspicuous rise to the end of the period. These irregularities are principally due to the invasion of the Western waters by East Coast vessels, both steamers and smacks, about the time of the opening of Milford Docks in 1889. These yearly immigrations fell off to a large extent after a few years, the smacks first of all, on account of their excessive size, and the steamers after 1892. The remarks made in an earlier section (p. 41) as to the figures representing the East Coast steam trawlers in this table should be borne in mind (see also pp. 62-4).

For the Entire Coasts of England and Wales the catching power is shown to have steadily increased from 3,675 smack-units in 1889 to 8,503 units in 1898, the power at the end of the decade being two and a third times that at the beginning.

## 5. Average Annual Catch per Unit of Catching Power.

The results obtained by distributing the total weight of fish landed on the different coasts among the corresponding number of smack-units estimated for each year of the decade are set forth in Table VIII. (p. 34).

For the East Coast fisheries there was a steady increase, both in the weight of fish annually landed and in the catching power devoted to the industry. But whereas the increase in fish amounted to only about 30 per cent. during the decade, the catching power nearly trebled in the same period. The result is that for each unit of catching power the average annual catch has fallen from 60.6 tons in 1889 to $32 \cdot 3$ tons in 1898. The fall was rapid both in the three first and three last years of the decade, but the three middle years of the decade (1893, 1894, and 1895) maintained practically the same average as the year 1892, showing even a minute increase in 1893 and 1894.

The year 1893, it will be remembered, was characterised by two features, each of which probably exerted a special influence on the East Coast fisheries, viz. the exploitation of the Iceland trawling grounds and an exceptionally long warm summer-the warmest spring,* according to the Reports of the Meteorological Office, for a period of thirty-three years at least. To these may perhaps be added an increased activity (after a period of self-imposed abstinence) of the trawlers on the Eastern grounds, whence large quantities of small fish were landed in that year (Eighth Report of the Inspectors of Sea Fisheries, p. 11). Each of these circumstances must have contributed to swell the catches in 1893, the first and third directly, and the second by its effect on the inshore migrations of flat-fish, and on the rate of growth of these as well as of other bottom fishes.

These suggestions are confirmed by a study of the Board of Trade's returns of the quantities of the different kinds of fish annually landed on the East Coast. Since 1888, the year when the statistics for plaice were first distinguished, there have been only two years in which the returns of sole, turbot, plaice, and brill have all increased beyond the returns for the previous year, viz. 1891 and 1893. But the increase of plaice in 1893 was unequalled within the period, and greatly exceeded the increase in 1891, the total catch rising suddenly from 621,000 cwts. in 1892 to nearly 759,000 cwts. in 1893 , the previous maximum having been 648,000 cwts. in 1891. This exceptional increase was not due to any unusual increase in the catching power. Indeed, as the weather in 1893 was unfavourable to the voyages of sailing vessels (from lack of wind in the summer, and winter gales), the relative increase in catching power, so far as the shallower waters are concerned, should probably be less rather than more than the increase shown in my tables, a fact which renders the general increase in the quantities of flat fishes landed all the more remarkable. The Iceland catches no doubt contributed largely to increase the captures of plaice, but could have no effect upon the supply of soles, turbot, and brill; so that the general increase of all kinds of flat fishes in 1893

[^14]must be attributed largely to the favourable effects of the hot spring and summer in the manner suggested above. The reports of the collectors of fishery statistics at Lowestoft and Ramsgate, and the returns made to the Board of Trade by the Sea Fisheries Committees, strongly corroborate this view (see Report of the Inspectors).

In any case, the abnormal increase of flat fishes in 1893 is sufficient in itself to show that exceptional influences were at work in 1893 tending towards an increase in the trawlers' captures. Consequently the temporary cessation in the fall of the average catches shown by my table for this year is in accord with the independent evidence from other sources, and to that extent confirms the accuracy of my results.

An explanation of a similar kind, though differing in details, appears to me to account for the maintenance of the average catches at about the same figure during the next two years. The catches of plaice and brill were about the same in 1894 as in 1893, and the catches of soles and turbot still further increased. The weather was favourable for smacks; more vessels visited the Iceland grounds; and the good effects of the warmth of the previous year on the reproduction, food-supply, and rate of growth of fishes were not yet exhausted. Haddocks, the young stages of which had been exceptionally abundant* during the previous year, were taken this year of larger size and in abnormal quantities. The increase of haddocks in 1894 amounted to $200,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1893 it was only 50,000 cwts.; in $1892,150,000$ cwts.; in 1891, the same. This is but an illustration of a phenomenon well known to fishermen, that an exceptional abundance of young fish in one season is usually followed by larger catches of the same species in the following year; but the importance of the fact in this case is in the evidence it affords of the far-reaching effects of the exceptionally favourable season of 1893.

In 1895 the abundance of haddocks was still maintained, the increase over 1894 amounting to 250,000 cwts., a result which, though partly attributable to the introduction of the otter trawl, was principally a consequence of the same climatic cause as the increase in 1894. Since 1895 the annual increments in the catch of haddocks have markedly diminished in spite of the otter trawl and its great catching power, the annual changes having been an increase of $110,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1896, a decrease of $20,000 \mathrm{cwts}$. in 1897, and an increase of $60,000 \mathrm{cwts}$ in 1898.

Turning now to the estimated average catches for the bottom fisheries of the South and West Coasts, two remarkable differences are presented between the results of these fisheries and those of the East Coast. The catches are much less in amount, and are remarkably constant throughout the period. Nevertheless it is noteworthy that a slight fall in the

[^15]first few years is followed by an increase in 1893 and 1894, which is again succeeded by a continuous fall until the last year of the decade, which is marked by a moderate increase. The figures, therefore, appear to show, on the whole, that the abundance of fish on the grounds is slightly decreasing, though subject to temporary increases under the influence of particularly favourable seasons.* (N.B.-See below, pp. 62-4.)

The average catches for all coasts together naturally display the same predominant features as the East Coast fisheries, though the catches are lower than those for the East Coast alone for all except the last years of the decade in consequence of the depressing effect of the inclusion of the figures for the South and West Coasts.

## 6. Reconsideration of the Methods and Results.

As this is the first detailed attempt which has been made to present a statistical review of the condition of the English trawl fisheries, and as the basis upon which it depends has necessarily been of a limited character, there can be no doubt that in various details my computations need correction and modification. If more authentic lists of the different kinds of fishing boat were available, if the products of the trawl and line fisheries were distinguished in the fishery statistics, and if smack-owners from a larger number of centres would co-operate by providing information as to the actual annual catches of their vessels on different grounds, I believe the method which has been followed in the present essay could be relied upon to provide unquestionable evidence concerning the condition of the fishing grounds. The whole question of fishery statistics is now, I understand, under consideration by the Board of Trade. We may therefore reasonably expect that more exact information will in due course be provided as to the numbers and size of the vessels engaged in the different fisheries, and that the reiterated demand for a separation of the products of the different fisheries in the Board's annual statements will receive the attention it deserves. Of the willingness of the smack-owners to co-operate when the importance of their assistance becomes apparent I have no doubt.

In the present essay, however, it is by no means certain that the results arrived at in the case of the different coasts are of equal value. The fundamental assumption in my calculations is the catching power of the sailing trawler and the relative catching power of the steam trawler in comparison with it. From the absence of positive informa-

[^16]tion as to the average weight of fish landed by smacks on the South and West Coasts, I have been compelled to use the same coefficients for these coasts as for the East Coast. The evidence submitted in the earlier portions of this paper seems to me to show that for the East Coast those coefficients are approximately and sufficiently correct; but the low averages which result from the application of the same factors to the boats of Western ports appear to demonstrate that the relative catching power of steamers and smacks in these waters is not the same as for those of the East Coast (cf. p. 62).

It is, however, first of all necessary to determine the degree of error which is introduced into the results by dividing the whole catch of bottom fish among the first class boats alone. Part of this catch is, of course, derived from small trawlers and liners, and it is conceivable that changes in the quantity of fish landed by the smaller boats might seriously affect the averages which have here been assigned to the larger boats alone.

In the middle of the period (viz. 1893) the gross number of second class liners fishing from the East Coast ports as determined from the returns of the collectors of fishery statistics was, approximately, 650. The number of second class trawlers engaged in inshore fishing was about 300 , or 500 if we include the shrimpers of Yarmouth, Gravesend, etc. Many of these boats are engaged in the line and trawl fisheries for a limited portion of the year, and, of course, the weight of fishes actually landed by the shrimpers is infinitesimal. Taking, however, the total of these small boats at about 1,000 , and allowing them an average catch amounting to one-tenth that of a deep-sea trawler (see above, p. 53), we may estimate the total catch of bottom fish derived from these sources as $80,000 \mathrm{cwts}$., or 4,000 tons. If this amount be deducted from the total quantity of bottom fish landed on the East Coast in 1889 and 1898 respectively, the remainder, when distributed among the estimated number of smack-units for those years, yields an average catch per unit of 58.9 tons in 1889 and 31.7 tons in 1898. The differences between these averages and those given in Table VIII. (p.34) are so minute that no serious error in my results can be attributed to this source.

The next point to examine is the discrepancy between my estimated averages per smack-unit for the East Coast and the actual catches of the Grimsby and Lowestoft smacks for the same years. The only figures available are for the first four years of the decade, and are as follows:-

|  |  |  | Catch per Unit. | Grimsby Smacks. Lowestoft Smacks. |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1889 | . |  | $60 \cdot 6$ | $\ldots$ | $32 \cdot 6$ | $\ldots$ | - |  |
| 90 | . | . | . | $55 \cdot 7$ | $\ldots$ | $36 \cdot 1$ | $\ldots$ | - |
| 91 | . | . | . | $48 \cdot 5$ | $\ldots$ | $46 \cdot 0$ | $\ldots$ | - |
| 92 | . | . | . | $46 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $34 \cdot 1$ | $\ldots$ | $42 \cdot 4$ |

For the last two years in this table my estimated averages are in substantial agreement with the actual catches of the smacks. How is it that my earlier averages are so much higher than those of the Grimsby smacks ?

In the first place it should be noticed that all my averages for the above four years are in excess of the actual catches of the smacks. This appears to indicate either that the total catch of bottom fish has been exaggerated by the collectors of statistics, or that my estimates of the catching power are inadequate. If the exaggeration of the catch, or the under-estimation of the catching power, were uniform throughout the period, this would not materially affect the value which my averages possess in showing the rate at which the depletion of the North Sea grounds has been proceeding. Consequently we may limit the inquiry to the question whether there is any reason to regard the Board of Trade's statistics of fish landed, or my estimates of the catching power, as of unequal value during the years in question.

Concerning the first point, there is no doubt that in the earlier years of the fishery statistics the catch of fish was unduly exaggerated. In the Statistical Tables and Memorandum for 1889 it is stated (p. 4) that the great falling off in the Board's Returns of Prime Fish landed was largely nominal only, and arose from increased accuracy in the methods of collecting the returns. The returns of Prime Fish for the first few years in thousands of hundredweights, were as follows: 1886, $503 ; 1887$, $235 ; 1888,206 ; 1889,118 ; 1890,133$. The fall during those early years was certainly enormous, and the degree of error correspondingly large, after all allowances for depletion of the grounds. But my calculations do not include those years, and from 1889 onwards for a considerable number of years the Board's returns for Prime Fish steadily increase, which appears to imply, as has indeed been officially stated,* that at any rate from 1889 onwards the greater experience of the collectors, and the more accurate methods introduced, render the Board's returns sufficiently reliable for comparative purposes. Consequently, so far as an opinion can be formed from the internal evidence of the returns, and the official statements of the Board, it is very improbable that the fall in my estimated averages can be considered as exclusively, or even largely, due to inaccuracies in the Fishery Statistics for 1889 and 1890, especially as my averages again fall by equal amounts in the latter years of the decade when the fishery statistics may be regarded as free from extensive errors of the kind contained in the earlier years of their publication.

As regards the possible errors in my estimates of the total catching

[^17]power, this can best be examined by considering the whole series of years together; for if the decline in the estimated averages during the decade is to be attributed to errors in the estimated catching power, these errors must include an over-estimation of the catching power in the later years as well as an under-estimation in the earlier years.

The fall in the averages is so great that any errors responsible for the fall must be of equally great magnitude. The average of the estimated catches per unit amounts to 46.5 tons for the decade. To reduce the average catch for 1889 to this amount would need the addition of 865 smack-units ( $=173$ steamers) to my estimated total for the year. It is certain, however, that, so far as the steamers are concerned, the error in my estimates is rather an exaggeration than an under-estimation, for no deductions have been made for steamers working on the South and West Coasts, and further deductions should probably have been made for additional Hull and Grimsby carriers. Moreover, whatever minor errors occur in my list of the trawling smacks, they certainly do not amount to anything like an omission of the number above mentioned, which is exactly one-half of my estimated total of trawling smacks for 1889 (Table E, p. 67).

The same argument applies to the figures for 1898. To increase the estimated average catch to the average for the decade would need the withdrawal of 2,183 smack-units ( $=273$ steam trawlers) from my estimated total. The former number actually exceeds the number of smacks estimated for the East Coast in that year, while we have already seen (p. 41) that an estimate of sixty-seven steamers working on the West Coast in that year is probably excessive. The gross number of fishing steamers is, of course, accurately known from the Register of British Ships, and my figures are based upon those in the Register.

It is impossible, therefore, to ascribe the fall in the average catches to sufficiently serious errors in the number of fishing boats.

The remaining estimates which contain sources of error in my figures are the factors indicating the relative catching power of the steam trawlers and of the liners. The sailing liners may be omitted from consideration : their small numbers and the high catching power already assigned them render it certain that no error in connection with them can contribute seriously to the discrepancy in the annual averages. The steam trawlers and liners may be considered together, since for the first six years their efficiency has been considered as identical. The coefficients for the conversion of steam trawlers to their equivalents in smacks are based on a comparison of the catches of both classes of vessels in 1883-5, but especially the latter year. There can be no doubt, from an examination of the yearly averages of Mr. Alward's smacks, that the catches assigned to the smacks at the period in question were above
the average. The increase in 1882 and 1883 has been explained as due to an exceptional extension of the fleeting period; but even after the latter year, when the fleeting period was reduced to its normal duration, the catches were higher in 1884 and 1885 than during all the subsequent years included in the table, and were even higher than for a number of years prior to 1882 . Consequently there is some ground for believing that my coefficients for steam trawlers may be below rather than above the true index of their catching power as compared with that of smacks.

It must be admitted, therefore, that part of the excess in the average catches per unit for 1889-92 over the average catches of the Grimsby smacks for the same years may be attributed to a slight under-estimation of the relative efficiency of the steam trawler. The error, however, thus caused in the amount of the factor is merely a fractional one, and, owing to the great preponderance of sailing vessels at this period, is insufficient to produce more than an insignificant reduction in the average catch per unit during the earlier years of the decade; whereas the least addition to this fundamental factor produces a far more considerable effect in the later years of the decade, when smacks had decreased in numbers and steam trawlers had greatly increased both in numbers and catching power. If, therefore, my coefficient for steam trawlers at the beginning of the period is regarded as seriously inadequate (which, I confess, does not appear to be the case), and is raised accordingly, the averages at the beginning of the decade will be undoubtedly reduced, but the averages for each successive year will also be reduced to a still greater extent, and the decline in the average catches of North Sea vessels per unit of catching power will be shown to be greater than is actually revealed by my figures.

On the other hand, if my estimates of the increase in the average catching power of steam trawlers (Table X., p. 48) are based on insufficient data (and I admit the desirability of ampler confirmation), the error arising from this source is also inconsiderable, as may be seen by taking the efficiency of the steamers as a constant quantity throughout the period, subject only to the verifiable increase due to otter gear. Assuming this efficiency to have been fourfold that of the smack (see Table IX.) up to 1895 , rising to $5 \cdot 2$-fold in 1898 , we still get a considerable difference in total catching power of East Coast vessels between 1889 and 1898, viz. from 2,673 units in 1889 to 5,029 units in 1898. These figures yield an average return of $64 \cdot 8$ tons of fish per unit in the former year as contrasted with $45 \cdot 8$ tons in the latter year. The rate of fall is reduced by this alteration, but the decrease is by no means eliminated, since it exceeds an average of one ton of fish per unit per annum.

Accordingly, from the data available, I can discover no error of sufficient magnitude to account for the yearly decline in the average catches which my table reveals. The discrepancy between my estimated averages for 1889 and 1890 and the actual averages of Mr . Alward's smacks for the same years should probably be attributed to the incidental differences which cannot fail to manifest themselves between the averages of a few sailing vessels working upon a small portion of the field and the averages derived from all boats over the entire North Sea area. The difference between the averages of the Grimsby and Lowestoft smacks in 1892 is sufficient to indicate the extent of the variations which must be expected in any year in the catches of sailing vessels working upon different and limited grounds. The catches of sailing vessels cannot, of course, do more than indicate the fluctuations in the fishery on the grounds frequented by the vessels. My estimated averages, however, profess to indicate the relative fluctuations in the fishery over the entire region of the North Sea visited by steamers and smacks alike.

Owing to the fact that the catch per unit was assumed to have been 961 cwts . ( $=48$ tons) in 1885, my figures would appear to indicate that between that year and 1889.9 a rise took place in the general averages, possibly in consequence of the exploitation of new grounds by the steamers. It is of course perfectly possible that the amount of this rise has been exaggerated by the mode of determination adopted in this essay and by the multiplication of small errors in the assumptions which have been made. To this I can only reply that it is improbable that irregularities of this kind should affect the figures in the same direction throughout the decade, especially when every precaution has been taken under each item in the calculations to prefer such alternatives (where any choice was presented) as would prevent underestimation of the catching power in the earlier years and exaggeration of the same in the later years of the decade.

But in regard to the averages for the South and West Coasts, the figures which represent them are so far below the actual catches of the Grimsby smacks at the beginning of the period, and yet are so uniform throughout the whole period, that I cannot place the same confidence in the results. It has already been pointed out that an exceptional difficulty occurs in regard to this area in consequence of the number of North Sea vessels which have visited these waters during the period under consideration, and the probability that the numbers which I have assigned to them are excessive both in consequence of the method of determination and of the uncertainty as to the length of their sojourn. The extent of the error introduced from this source may best be determined by comparing the averages in Table VIII. (p. 34) with the
corresponding averages derived from a distribution of the total catch of fish among the local vessels alone. For 1889 my estimate of the local trawlers belonging to ports on the South and West Coasts (see Table H, p. 69) yields 46 steamers and 546 smacks-a total of 776 smack-units. For 1898 I estimate 100 steamers and 525 smacks, i.e. 1,325 smack-units. The average catch per unit yielded by these figures is 36.9 tons in 1889 and 41.5 tons in 1898. As there is no doubt, however, that the actual catching power should include a considerable number of North Sea vessels, it is quite clear from these figures that the error introduced by my estimates of their numbers does not account for the low average catches as compared with those of the Grimsby smacks; for not only are the averages for the early years increased to a small extent only, but the slight evidence of a fall in the averages which is yielded by the figures in Table VIII. is altogether swept away by the exclusion of the North Sea vessels from the total catching power. It is therefore certain that the relative catching power of steamers and smacks on the South and West Coasts is not the same as on the East Coast, i.e. the actual catches of smacks on the former coasts are not so great as those of the East Coast vessels, and the factors which are applicable to the East Coast statistics are inapplicable to those of the South and West Coasts.

I have indeed been assured by smack-owners of Western ports that the relative catching power of steamers on these coasts is now at least ten to one as compared with smacks, but from absence of positive data as to the actual weight of fish landed I am unable to give the precise ratio. Nevertheless the establishment of this point is of great importance, for it will be seen from a study of Table $H$ that any increase in the relative efficiency of steamers over smacks in this region must have the effect of depressing the average catches to a greater extent in the later than in the earlier years of the decade, owing to the great increase in the proportion of steamers to smacks during the decade. This increase holds whether we consider the local vessels alone or the totals of the local vessels and the estimated numbers of North Sea visitors. The consequence is that the slight fall in the average catches shown in Table VIII, for the South and West Coasts is less than the fall which has actually occurred,* so that for these coasts there is no escape from the conclusion that during the past ten years there has been an indubitable fall in the average catches of the trawling vessels per unit of catching power, though of less extent than for the East Coast. This proof, which is largely independent of personal opinions, of the progressive impoverishment of the fishing grounds has all the more force when it is remembered that the period has been characterised by increasingly

[^18]warm weather, and includes one year at least in the middle of the period (1893) which was exceptionally favourable for the reproduction and growth of fishes on almost all our coasts. The influence of this year should have increased the catches in the second half of the decade as compared with the first, and there is some evidence that a favourable effect was temporarily manifested. But the fact that, according to my figures, even the occurrence of so exceptionally favourable a year in the middle of the decade did not arrest the decline in the average catches for more than two or three years tends to show that the rate at which sea fishes reproduce and grow is no longer sufficient to enable them to keep pace with the increasing rate of capture. In other words, the bottom fisheries are undergoing a process of exhaustion.
The following figures have been prepared to show the annual growth of catching power and the average catch per smack-unit for the South and West Coasts, if we assume the relative efficiency of steamers in 1898 to have been tenfold (instead of eightfold) that of smacks, and if the efficiency factors for the previous years be multiplied to the same extent (i.e. by $1 \cdot 25$ ). The other items, as given in Table H., II., have not been changed.

| Year. |  | Smack- <br> units. |  | Catch <br> per unit. | Year. |  | Smack- <br> units. |  | Catch <br> per unit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1889 | $\ldots$ | 1,036 | $\ldots$ | $27 \cdot 6$ | 1894 | $\ldots$ | 1,204 | $\ldots$ | $28 \cdot 1$ |
| 1890 | $\ldots$ | 1,186 | $\ldots$ | $27 \cdot 8$ | 1895 | $\ldots$ | 1,420 | $\ldots$ | $25 \cdot 8$ |
| 1891 | $\ldots$ | 1,190 | $\ldots$ | $24 \cdot 2$ | 1896 | $\ldots$ | 1,755 | $\ldots$ | $23 \cdot 2$ |
| 1892 | $\ldots$ | 1,424 | $\ldots$ | $23 \cdot 7$ | 1897 | $\ldots$ | 2,092 | $\ldots$ | $21 \cdot 9$ |
| 1893 | $\ldots$ | 1,308 | $\ldots$ | $25 \cdot 8$ | 1898 | $\ldots$ | 2,230 | $\ldots$ | $24 \cdot 7$ |

These figures are alternative to those given in Table VIII., p. 34, and probably represent more accurately the amount of the fluctuations in the trawl fishery during the decade, although the general features are the same in both cases (see pp. 56, 57).

In conclusion, I may state that if smack-owners and steamer-owners will kindly assist me with detailed returns of the annual catches of their vessels for individual years, or for any series of years, I will gladly prepare a revised edition of the tables in this paper, based upon such new information. Needless to say, the value of conclusions drawn from calculations of this kind depends entirely on the basis of fact underlying them.

Tables A-D, showing the Weight of Fish annually landed, and the Value realised, by four Grimsby Trawling Smacks for each year from 1875 to 1892.

Table A. Sailing Trawler "Angelus."

|  | Pla | Haddock. | "Prime." | "Rough." | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cwts. $£$ | cwts. £ | ewts. £ | cwts. £ | cwts. £ |
| 1875 | 585 ... 300 | $727 . .419$ | $80 \ldots 223$ | $26 . . .24$ | 1418 ... 967 |
| 1876 | 531 ... 254 | $781 . . .387$ | $53 . .149$ | $21 . . .20$ | 1386 ... 810 |
| 1877 | 434 ... 228 | $589 . . .286$ | $146 \ldots 408$ | $18 . . .17$ | 1187 ... 929 |
| 1878 | 250 ... 152 | $394 \ldots 207$ | 106 ... 298 | $33 . . .31$ | $783 \ldots 787$ |
| 1879 | $264 \ldots 146$ | 258 ... 110 | $45 . .128$ | $11 . . .10$ | 578 ... 394 |
| 1880 | 279 ... 153 | 343 ... 138 | $87 . .242$ | $36 . . .34$ | 745 ... 568 |
| 1881 | 242 ... 172 | $244 \ldots 102$ | $84 \ldots 314$ | $60 \ldots 57$ | $630 . .645$ |
| 1882 | $308 . . .212$ | $488 . . .147$ | $104 \ldots 393$ | $70 \ldots 68$ | 970 ... 819 |
| 1883 | 282 ... 220 | $604 \ldots 207$ | 93 ... 346 | $77 \ldots 71$ | 1056 ... 844 |
| 1884 | $310 \ldots 215$ | $520 \ldots 171$ | $90 \ldots 331$ | $80 \ldots 72$ | $1000 \ldots 789$ |
| 1885 | 290 ... 195 | $480 \ldots 156$ | $90 \ldots 340$ | 100 .. 89 | $960 \ldots 781$ |
| 1886 | $282 . . .209$ | $520 \ldots 206$ | $80 \ldots 280$ | 110 ... 91 | $992 . . .787$ |
| 1887 | $240 \ldots 180$ | $480 \ldots 162$ | $60 \ldots 240$ | 100 ... 90 | 880 . 672 |
| 1888 | 200 ... 192 | 400 ... 120 | $35 \ldots 175$ | $60 \ldots 54$ | $695 . . .541$ |
| 1889 | $180 . . .173$ | 350 ... 97 | 60 ... 240 | $70 \ldots 56$ | $660 \ldots 567$ |
| 1890 | $210 \ldots 184$ | $400 . . .125$ | $50 \ldots 233$ | $60 \ldots 58$ | $720 \ldots 601$ |
| 1891 | $205 \ldots 178$ | $595 \ldots 235$ | $40 \ldots 215$ | $75 . .72$ | $915 . .701$ |
| 1892 | $164 \ldots 184$ | $479 \ldots 192$ | $27 \ldots 148$ | $50 \ldots 47$ | $720 \ldots 571$ |

Table B. Sailing Trawler "Thomas Stratton."

| Ye | Plaice. | Haddock. | " P |  | To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cwts. £ | cwts. £ | cwts. £ | cwts. £ | ewts. £ |
| 1875 | 549 ... 319 | $937 . . .543$ | $63 \ldots 178$ | $30 . . .28$ | 1579 ... 1069 |
| 1876 | $601 . .318$ | $894 \ldots 471$ | $50 . .133$ | $31 . . .32$ | 1576 ... 954 |
| 1877 | 422 ... 224 | $573 \ldots 310$ | $80 . . .232$ | $17 . . .16$ | 1092 ... 782 |
| 1878 | 239 ... 141 | 403 ... 188 | $62 . . .182$ | $27 . . .26$ | $731 \ldots 538$ |
| 1879 | 298... 195 | $252 \ldots 107$ | $111 . . .324$ | $72 . . .68$ | 733 ... 693 |
| 1880 | $249 \ldots 151$ | 228 ... 64 | 79 ... 221 | $46 \ldots 44$ | 602 ... 480 |
| 81 | 138... 88 | $105 \ldots 46$ | $81 . . .228$ | $47 . . .43$ | 371 ... 405 |
| 82 | $411 . . .283$ | $741 \ldots 277$ | $87 . . .250$ | $95 . . .89$ | $1334 \ldots 899$ |
| 883 | $274 \ldots 234$ | 648 ... 320 | $123 \ldots 345$ | $90 . . .85$ | 1135 ... 984 |
| 1884 | $260 \ldots 155$ | $530 \ldots 180$ | $100 \ldots 360$ | $95 \ldots 93$ | $985 \ldots 789$ |
| 1885 | $240 \ldots 142$ | $400 \ldots 162$ | 80 ... 310 | $100 \ldots 86$ | $820 \ldots 701$ |
| 1886 | 220... 182 | $500 \ldots 200$ | $65 . .211$ | $90 \ldots 83$ | $875 . .677$ |
| 1887 | 200 ... 164 | $480 \ldots 160$ | $60 . .242$ | $90 \ldots 89$ | $830 \ldots 626$ |
| 1888 | $180 \ldots 172$ | 360 ... 108 | $45 . .225$ | $65 \ldots 59$ | $650 \ldots 565$ |
| 1889 | 160 ... 153 | $330 \ldots 94$ | $60 . .240$ | $75 \ldots 59$ | $625 \ldots 546$ |
| 1890 | 200 ... 172 | 450 ... 150 | $50 \ldots 231$ | $70 \ldots 69$ | 770 ... 623 |
| 1891 | 200 ... 175 | $590 \ldots 230$ | $49 \ldots 240$ | $90 \ldots 80$ | $929 \ldots 726$ |
| 1892 | 126... 134 | $374 \ldots 140$ | $28 \ldots 160$ | $29 \ldots 27$ | $557 \ldots 460$ |

Table C. Sailing Trawler "Climax."

| Year. | Platce. | Haddock. | "Prime." | "Rough." | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cwt |  | cwts. £ | s. | wts. |
| 1875 | $506 \ldots 317$ | 1145 .. 698 | $54 \ldots 151$ | $37 . . .34$ | 1742 ... 1200 |
| 1876 | 565 ... 361 | 993 ... 513 | $53 \ldots 150$ | $36 \ldots 34$ | 1647... 1058 |
| 1877 | $317 \ldots 206$ | $792 \ldots 409$ | $61 \ldots 172$ | $37 \ldots 35$ | 1207... 822 |
| 1878 | $230 \ldots 102$ | $381 \ldots 159$ | 39 ... 107 | $24 \ldots 23$ | 674 ... 391 |
| 1879 | 292... 156 | $859 \ldots 327$ | $71 . .199$ | $37 \ldots 33$ | $1259 \ldots .714$ |
| 1880 | $344 \ldots 219$ | $373 \ldots 177$ | $49 \ldots 137$ | 41 ... 39 | $807 \ldots 573$ |
| 1881 | $292 \ldots 188$ | $341 \ldots 146$ | 144... 404 | 137... 128 | $914 \ldots 867$ |
| 1882 | $420 \ldots 300$ | $870 . .314$ | 100 .. 280 | $92 \ldots 86$ | 1482 ... 981 |
| 1883 | $347 \ldots 243$ | $675 \ldots 249$ | $131 . .368$ | $68 \ldots 54$ | $1221 . . .924$ |
| 1884 | $320 \ldots 225$ | $620 . . .201$ | 130 ... 366 | $70 \ldots 54$ | $1140 \ldots 846$ |
| 1885 | 290 ... 198 | $510 \ldots 172$ | 110 ... 390 | $80 \ldots 58$ | $990 \ldots 818$ |
| 1886 | $250 \ldots 202$ | $530 \ldots 216$ | $100 \ldots 350$ | $90 \ldots 82$ | $970 \ldots 850$ |
| 1887 | $225 \ldots 168$ | 480 ... 160 | 80 ... 320 | $80 \ldots 75$ | $865 \ldots 723$ |
| 1888 | $190 \ldots 183$ | $380 \ldots 114$ | $50 \ldots 250$ | $45 \ldots .41$ | $665 \ldots 589$ |
| 1889 | 190 ... 176 | $350 \ldots 98$ | 70 ... 249 | $60 \ldots$. | 670 <br> $67 .$. |
| 1890 | $200 \ldots 181$ | $420 \ldots 129$ | $40 \ldots 212$ | $\begin{array}{ll}50 & \ldots \\ 50\end{array}$ | 710... 569 |
| 1891 | $200 \ldots 174$ | $590 \ldots 233$ | $55 \ldots 252$ | $70 \ldots 64$ | $915 \ldots . .723$ |
| 1892 | $194 \ldots 231$ | $325 \ldots 124$ | $27 \ldots 208$ | 55 ... 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 615 \\ & 601\end{aligned} . .614$ |

Table D. Sailing Trawler "Nyanza."

|  | Pi | Haddock. | "Prime." | "Rou | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ewts. | ts. | cwts. £ | ts. | ts. |
| 1875 | $557 \ldots 341$ | 941 ... 514 | $56 . .160$ | $27 \ldots 26$ | 1581 ... 1041 |
| 1876 | $709 \ldots 339$ | $896 \ldots 512$ | $46 \ldots 131$ | $46 \ldots 43$ | $1697 . . .1025$ |
| 1877 | $511 \ldots 222$ | $717 . .422$ | 66 ... 186 | $12 \ldots 11$ | 1306 ... 840 |
| 1878 | $299 \ldots 156$ | $747 . .327$ | $98 \ldots 275$ | $41 . .39$ | 1185 ... 797 |
| 1879 | 340 ... 185 | $583 . .214$ | $164 \ldots 436$ | $57 . .54$ | $1144 \ldots 890$ |
| 1880 | $291 \ldots 157$ | $493 . .212$ | $47 \ldots 177$ | $33 \ldots 31$ | $864 \ldots 577$ |
| 1881 | $295 \ldots 155$ | $430 \ldots 202$ | $27 . . .102$ | $35 \ldots 33$ | $787 \ldots 492$ |
| 1882 | 403 .. 212 | $770 \ldots 298$ | 46 ... 172 | $87 \ldots 83$ | 1306 ... 764 |
| 1883 | $456 \ldots 256$ | $734 . .312$ | 43 ... 163 | $63 \ldots 59$ | $1296 \ldots 790$ |
| 1884 | 410 .. 220 | $430 . .182$ | $65 \ldots 182$ | $70 \ldots 55$ | 975 ... 639 |
| 1885 | $300 \ldots 160$ | $520 \ldots 168$ | 80 ... 308 | $75 . .62$ | $975 \ldots 698$ |
| 1886 | $250 \ldots 200$ | $490 . .193$ | $65 . . .209$ | $60 \ldots 57$ | $865 . . .659$ |
| 1887 | $220 \ldots 168$ | 460 ... 152 | $50 \ldots 195$ | $80 \ldots 73$ | $810 \ldots 588$ |
| 1888 | $210 \ldots 196$ | 350 ... 102 | 40 ... 201 | $60 \ldots 55$ | $660 \ldots 5$. |
| 1889 | $180 \ldots 161$ | 340 ... 89 | $65 . . .249$ | 70... 71 | $655 \ldots .571$ |
| 1890 | $210 \ldots 185$ | $592 . . .231$ | $50 \ldots 231$ | $80 \ldots 77$ | $932 \ldots .725$ |
| 1891 | $208 \ldots 183$ | $586 \ldots 228$ | $46 \ldots 235$ | 80 ... 76 | $920 \ldots 722$ |
| 1892 | 189 ... 202 | $568 \ldots 235$ | $33 \ldots 208$ | $64 \ldots 60$ | $854 \ldots .706$ |

Table E, showing approximately the number of First Class Trawling Smacks belonging to (1) the East Coast, (2) the South and West Coasts, and (3) the Entire Coasts of England and Wales and the Isle of Man.

| Source of Data. | 1889 | 1890 | 1891 | 1892 | 1893 | 1894 | 1895 | 1896 | 1897 | 1898 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scarborough. $\ddagger$ | 60 | 40 | 33 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 12 | (?) 8 |
| Hull | 360 | 300 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 250 | 215 | 160 | 140 | 44 |
| Grimsby . I.R. | 602 | 521 | 513 | 531 | 546 | 502 | 452 | 336 | 227 | 168 |
| Yarmouth . I.R. | 380 | 500 | 501 | 465 | 422 | 446 | 360 | 378 | 314 | 289 |
| Lowestoft | 180 | 203 | 206(? | ) 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 |
| Ramsgate . § | 155 | 158 | 160 | 161 | 158 | 176 | 183 | 183 | 188 | 186 |
| (1) E. Coast: total | 1737 | 1722 | 1693 | 1787 | 1756 | 1714 | 1550 | 1396 | 1201 | 1015 |
| Dover . I.R. | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 18 |
| Folkestone . I.R. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Rye . . Reg. | 29 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 39 |
| Newhaven . Reg. | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| $\underset{\text { (Dartmouth) }}{\text { Brixham }} \text { Reg. }$ | 244 | 244 | 245 | 252 | 248 | 243 | 244 | 252 | 260 | 264 |
| Plymouth . $\dagger$ | 74 | 70 | 68 | 63 | 63 | 62 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 60 |
| Tenby . I.R. | 21 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Aberystwyth I.R. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| $\underset{\text { (Pwllheli) }}{\text { Carnarvon }} \text {. Reg. }$ | 11 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| $\underset{\text { (Hoylake) }}{\text { Liverpool }} . \text { Reg. }$ | 44 | 50 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 24 | 27 | 29 | 28 | 26 |
| Fleetwood . Reg. | 65 | 67 | 66 | 59 | 58 | 53 | 51 | 51 | 46 | 44 |
| Whitehaven Reg. | 13 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Isle of Man . Est. | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |

## (2) S. \& W. Coasts :

total owned . $\begin{array}{rllllllllll}546 & 549 & 532 & 532 & 527 & 507 & 506 & 518 & 526 & 525\end{array}$
East Coast visitors $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}40 & 60 & 40 & 40 & 25 & 25 & 25 & 30 & 30 & 35\end{array}$
S. \& W. Coasts:

total working | 586 | 609 | 572 | 572 | 552 | 532 | 531 | 548 | 556 | 560 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(3) Entire Coasts :
total . $232323312265 \quad 2309 \quad 2318 \quad 2246 \quad 2091 \quad 194417571575$
I.R. $=$ Inspectors' Reports. Reg. $=$ Register of first class fishing vessels less steam fishing vessels registered. Est, = Estimated from Register and Inspectors' Reports.

[^19]Table F, showing approximately the number of (1) Steam Vessels and (2) First Class Sailing Vessels engaged in Line Fishing from the principal ports on the East Coast (estimated from the Inspector's Reports); together with a reduction of the above to a uniform unit of catching power (" trawler equivalent").
(1) Steamers :

| 1889 | 1890 | 1891 | 1892 | 1893 | 1894 | 1895 | 1896 | 1897 | 1898 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 34 | 22 | 26 | 32 | 36 | 44 | 46 | 39 | 31 |
| 2 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 8 |
| 6 | 15 | 17 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 33 | 42 | 50 | 41 |
| 38 | 57 | 47 | 61 | 69 | 78 | 89 | 100 | 101 | 80 |
| 40 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 80 |
| 5 | $5 \cdot 25$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | 6 |
| 200 | 262 | 270 | 330 | 385 | 448 | 513 | 580 | 590 | 480 |

## (2) Sailers :

| Staithes . . 1 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scarborough . 6 | 60 | 50 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 (?) | 40 (?) | 30 (?) |
| Filey . . 1 | 15 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Bridlington | 7 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 11 |
| Grimsby . . 13 | 138 | 104 | 101 | 124 | 135 | 114 | 99 | 89 | 50 | 20 |
| Harwich . . 1 | 15 (? | 15 (?) | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Total . . 24 | 247 | 201 | 184 | 205 | 217 | 193 | 175 | 163 | 121 | 81 |
| "Smoothed" 240 | 240 | 210 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 190 | 175 | 160 | 120 | 80 |
| Trawl.-equivs. ( $\frac{4}{5}$ ) 19 | 192 | 168 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 152 | 140 | 128 | 96 | 64 |
| Adddo. of Steam. 20 | 200 | 262 | 270 | 330 | 385 | 448 | 513 | 580 | 590 | 480 |
| Total equivalents of ahl liners . 39 | $392$ | 430 | 434 | 494 | 549 | 600 | 653 | 708 | 686 | 544 |

Table G, showing the Mean Quarterly Temperatures for the British Islands for the years 1889 to 1898, together with the Means for the period of thirty-three years, 1866 to 1898 (from the Summaries of the Weellly Weather Reports).

|  | Jan.-March. |  |  |  | April-June. |  |  | July-Sept. |  |  |  | Oct.-Dec. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean, $1866-98$ | $\ldots$ | $40 \cdot 3$ | $\ldots$ | $51 \cdot 5$ | $\ldots$ | $58 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $43 \cdot 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1889 | $\ldots$ | $39 \cdot 7$ | $\ldots$ | $52 \cdot 5$ | $\ldots$ | $57 \cdot 0$ | $\ldots$ | $44 \cdot 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1890 | $\ldots$ | $41 \cdot 3$ | $\ldots$ | $51 \cdot 0$ | $\ldots$ | $57 \cdot 6$ | $\ldots$ | $42 \cdot 7$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1891 | $\ldots$ | $39 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $50 \cdot 6$ | $\ldots$ | $57 \cdot 3$ | $\ldots$ | $43 \cdot 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1892 | $\ldots$ | $37 \cdot 9$ | $\ldots$ | $50 \cdot 9$ | $\ldots$ | $56 \cdot 3$ | $\ldots$ | $42 \cdot 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1893 | $\ldots$ | $41 \cdot 1$ | $\ldots$ | $54 \cdot 6$ | $\ldots$ | $59 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $44 \cdot 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1894 | $\ldots$ | $41 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $51 \cdot 0$ | $\ldots$ | $56 \cdot 9$ | $\ldots$ | $45 \cdot 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1895 | $\ldots$ | $35 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $52 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $59 \cdot 1$ | $\ldots$ | $43 \cdot 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1896 | $\ldots$ | $42 \cdot 4$ | $\ldots$ | $53 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $58 \cdot 0$ | $\ldots$ | $42 \cdot 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1897 | $\ldots$ | $40 \cdot 4$ | $\ldots$ | $51 \cdot 2$ | $\ldots$ | $58 \cdot 1$ | $\ldots$ | $45 \cdot 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1898 | $\ldots$ | $41 \cdot 9$ | $\ldots$ | $51 \cdot 5$ | $\ldots$ | $59 \cdot 4$ | $\ldots$ | $47 \cdot 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table H, showing approximately the total number of First Class Trawling Smacks, and of Steam Trawlers and Steam and Sailing Liners reduced to Smack-Equivalents, for each year from 1889 to 1898, distinguishing the East Coast, the South and West Coasts combined, and the Entire Coasts of England and Wales und the Isle of Man.

## I. EAST COAST (Berwick to Rambgate).

| Year | 1889 | 1890 | 1891 | 1892 | 1893 | 1894 | 1895 | 1896 | 1897 | 1898 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steamers regd. | 249 | 291 | 409 | 458 | 514 | 565 | 606 | 680 | 748 | 900 |
| Deduct liners, etc. | 103 | 113 | 121 | 135 | 150 | 157 | 175 | 192 | 201 | 202 |
| Steam trawlers | 6 | 178 | 288 | 323 | 364 | 408 | 431 | 488 | 547 | 698 |
| Factors | 5 | 5.25 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 6.3 | 7 | 7.7 |  |
| Smack-equivs. | 730 | 934 | 1584 | 1776 | 2002 | 2285 | 2715 | 3416 | 4212 | 5584 |
| Smacks | 1737 | 1722 | 1693 | 1787 | 1756 | 1714 | 1550 | 1396 | 1201 | 1015 |
| Equivs.ofliners | 392 | 430 | 434 | 494 | 549 | 600 | 653 | 708 | 686 | 544 |
| Total smack-units | 2859 | 3086 | 3711 | 4057 | 4307 | 4599 | 4918 | 5620 | 6099 | 43 |


| II. SOUTH | AND | WEST | COASTS | (Deal to | Solway | Firth). |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Steamers regd. . | 46 | 47 | 50 | 54 | 48 | 48 | 51 | 68 | 92 | 100 |
| EastCoast visitors | 26 | 41 | 40 | 70 | 62 | 48 | 62 | 70 | 68 | 67 |
|  |  | 72 | 88 | 90 | 124 | 110 | 96 | 113 | 138 | 160 |
| Total st. trawlers | $5 \cdot 257$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factors | 5 | $5 \cdot 25$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | 7 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 8 |
| Smack-equivs. | 360 | 462 | 495 | 682 | 605 | 538 | 712 | 966 | 1232 | 1336 |
| Smacks (local) | 546 | 549 | 532 | 532 | 527 | 507 | 506 | 518 | 526 | 525 |
| Smacks (visitors) | 40 | 60 | 40 | 40 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 35 |

Total smack-units $\quad 946107110671254115710701243151417881896$
III. Entire Coasts (England, Wales, and I. of Man).

| Steamers regd. . | 295 | 338 | 459 | 512 | 562 | 613 | 657 | 748 | 840 | 1000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Deduct liners, etc. | 103 | 113 | 121 | 135 | 150 | 157 | 175 | 192 | 201 | 202 |
| Steam trawlers | 192 | 225 | 338 | 377 | 412 | 456 | 482 | 556 | 639 | 798 |
| Factors | 5 | $5 \cdot 25$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | 7 | $7 \cdot 7$ | 8 |

Smack-equivs. $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}960 & 1181 & 1859 & 2073 & 2266 & 2554 & 3037 & 3892 & 4920 & 6384\end{array}$
Smacks . . 2323233122652309231822462091194417571575
Equivs.ofliners $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}392 & 430 & 434 & 494 & 549 & 600 & 653 & 708 & 686 & 544\end{array}$
Total smack-units $367539424558 \quad 4876513354005781654473638503$


[^0]:    * The temperature of the deeper water offshore immediately prior to the breeding season must also affect the metabolism of fishes, and probably, therefore, their fecundity.

[^1]:    * The Resources of the Sea. London, 1899.

[^2]:    * The italics are mine. † Cf. Report of Trawling Commission, 1885, pp. xxxv., xliii.

[^3]:    * Of. Petersen, Rep. Danish Biol. Station, IV., 1894, p. 15.

[^4]:    * The figures for July, 1886, have been altogether omitted for St. Andrews Bay, owing to the incomplete examinations made of the stations in that month.
    $\dagger$ September and October, Firth of Forth.-In 1886 five of the seven Forth stations were surveyed in September, the remaining two stations in October. The data have therefore been merged together in my table under September, and altogether excluded from October. In the official report on the first year's work, the September and October averages are based on the partial data of the stations examined in each month respectively; but as the figures for the most productive station (No. II.) are thereby omitted from the October data this separation can scarcely be approved,

[^5]:    NEW SERIES. -VOL. VI. NO. 1.

[^6]:    * Deposited with the Fishmongers' Company ; printed in Captain Dannevig's recent pamphlet, Fiskeri og Videnskab, Arendal, 1899.

[^7]:    * The fish occasionally landed by foreign trawlers also tend to swell the returns (cf. Holt, this Journal, iii. p. 411).

[^8]:    * The figures in Cunningham's table on p. 55 (l.c.) contain two errors of some importance. His total for drift-net fish in 1889 should be $2,428,118$, instead of $1,428,118$; and his total for bottom fish in the same year should be reduced by the same amount (one million). For 1890 his figures for the same two items should be $2,000,644$ and $4,099,986$ respectively. The latter errors clearly arose from an alteration in the order of the various items in the Statistical Tables for that year, the figures for plaice having been taken by Cunningham to represent herrings. These errors materially affect his conclusions at the top of p. 57, which need correction.

[^9]:    * The trawlers for Hastings in 1891 are returned as follows: "Steam, 20 ; second class, 50." As the collector remarks that "the twenty first class smacks are from Rye," and as neither Rye nor Hastings ever possessed more than three steam trawlers, it is obvious that the figures should be "Steam, 2; first class, 20 ; second class, 50 ," the numbers approximating to the returns for Rye as in other years.

[^10]:    * Leading Events and Statistics in connection with the Formation and Development of the Port of Great Grimsby. Manchester, 1900. "Steam line vessels, 52 ; sailing line vessels, 29 ; steam trawlers, 373 ; sailing trawlers, 70 ; total (registered), 524 ." In a previous edition, dated 1894, the Grimsby fishing boats for 1893 are classified as, "Trawlers, 670 ; cod vessels, 127 ; total, 797." These figures show that my figures for the whole period are sufficiently near the mark.

[^11]:    * I am informed by the Harbourmaster of Ramsgate that about twenty Ramsgate trawlers were fishing in the Bristol Channel during the spring of 1900, and were already returning home in the middle of May. A certain number, however, always work off the Sussex Coast in the summer, landing their fish daily at Brighton or Hastings.

[^12]:    * "Prime" includes Turbot, Sole, and Brill. In these figures, however, Lemon Soles also are probably included in the case of the steamers, but excluded in the case of the smacks. Consequently the figures representing the relative efficiency of the steamer in catching prime fish are probably excessive. The steamer's average annual catch of "soles" in 1883-5 was 62 cwts. If we assume one-third of the catch to have consisted of lemon soles, the efficiency of the steamer for prime fish is reduced to $1 \cdot 11$.
    + "Rough" includes Cod, Gurnet, Dabs, Catfish, Skates, and Rays (Roker), etc. In the case of the smacks it also probably includes Lemon Soles, so that the index of the steamer's relative efficiency in catching "rough fish" is probably rather below the true value. The figures for "prime" and "rough" fish are invalidated by Mr. Alward's uncertainty at this date as to his treatment of lemon soles in the case of the steamers.

[^13]:    * Cf. also McIntosh, Resources, pp. 65 and 91 ; Tenth Report of the Inspectors of Sea Fisheries, England and Wales, for 1895, pp. 11, 121 (Hull), and 121 (Milford); Reports of the Scottish Fishery Board, xiv. p. vii. ; xv. p. ix.

[^14]:    * See Table G (temperatures), p. 68.

[^15]:    * Fourteenth Report of the Scottish Fishery Board, p. 145; McIntosh, Resources, p. 194.

[^16]:    * For evidence of the remarkable effect of the weather in 1893 upon the fauna of Plymouth Sound, see this Journal, vol, iii., 1894, pp. 210-11. For its effects on the reproduction of the oyster, see Herdman in Nature, July, 1893, p. 269. For the exceptional abundance of haddock in the Irish Sea in 1894, see Ninth Report of the Inspectors of Sea Fisheries, pp. 16, 155, 157, etc. The summer in 1898 was exceptionally hot, as in 1893 ; and, although the spring was normal, the autumn was the hottest for thirty-three years (see Table G).

[^17]:    - Cf. Mr. Berrington, Minutes of Evidence, Select Committee, 1893, $\S \$ 2,435,3,083$.

[^18]:    * See figures on next page.

[^19]:    * Inspectors' Reports, except 1891 and 1892 (see pp. 37, 38).
    † Inspectors' Reports, slightly modified for years 1889, 1890, 1894, 1895 (ef. Jour. M.B.A., vol. i., O.S., p. 66).
    $\ddagger$ Inspectors' Reports, modified in the years 1894, 1895, and 1897.
    $\S$ Inspectors' Reports, except that the figure assigned for 1894 has been reduced by 10 , in order to keep it within the limit of vessels registered at the port. The remaining figures approximately correspond with the Register.

