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1. Introduction

In his studies on population dynamics in 1986 Ginzburg [1] worked with the following family of second order differential equations

\[
\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + \alpha \left( 1 - \beta_1 \frac{dx}{dt} \right) x = \left( 1 - \beta_1 \frac{dx}{dt} \right) \left( \gamma + \beta \frac{dx}{dt} \right),
\]

(1)
depending on four parameters: \( \alpha > 0, \beta_1 > 0, \gamma > 0 \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{R} \). Recently Bellamy and Mickens [2] claimed that the Lev Ginzburg differential equation (1) can exhibit a limit cycle coming from a Hopf bifurcation. We will show that this differential equation has neither a Hopf bifurcation, nor limit cycles.

The second order differential equation (1) can be written as the following first order planar polynomial differential system

\[
x' = \frac{dx}{dt} = y,
\]
\[
y' = \frac{dy}{dt} = (1 - \beta_1 y)(\gamma - \alpha x + \beta y),
\]

(2)
of degree 2, simply called a quadratic system in what follows. We denote by \( \mathcal{X} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) the vector field associated with the differential system (2), that is

\[
\mathcal{X}(x, y) = (y, (1 - \beta_1 y)(\gamma - \alpha x + \beta y)).
\]

(3)

The study of the existence of limit cycles in (1) goes back to the original work of Ginzburg who obtained some important results by numerical analysis. The differential system (2) presents only one equilibrium point \( p = (\gamma/\alpha, 0) \)
for all values of the parameters. The existence of limit cycles in system (2) was studied in [2] via Hopf bifurcation analysis, claiming that a limit cycle is born at the equilibrium point \( p \) by a Hopf bifurcation when \( \beta = 0 \). But the Hopf bifurcation analysis presented in [2] is not correct. In fact, the linearization \( D\mathcal{X}(p) \) of \( \mathcal{X} \) at \( p \) when \( \beta = 0 \) has eigenvalues \( \lambda_{1,2} = \pm i\sqrt{\alpha} \). Then the equilibrium point \( p \) is either a center or a weak focus (see [3] for more details), and the standard Hopf bifurcation analysis can only be applied when the equilibrium is a weak focus, but the equilibrium point \( p \) when \( \beta = 0 \) is a center. Thus the main results of this paper are the following.

**Theorem 1.** The Lev Ginzburg differential system (2) for \( \beta = 0 \) has a center.

*Proof.* Now consider \( \beta = 0 \). By a translation, a linear change of variables and a rescaling of the independent variable \( t \), system (2) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} &= -v + \beta_1 uv, \\
\dot{v} &= u.
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

It is easy to check that this differential system has the first integral

\[
H = H(u, v) = e^{\beta_1 (2u - v^2 \beta_1)} (u \beta_1 - 1)^2,
\]

because

\[
\mathcal{X}H = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} (-v + \beta_1 uv) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial v} u = 0.
\]

The value of the first integral \( H \) at the equilibrium point located at the origin is \( H(0, 0) = 1 \), and near the origin \( H(u, v) < 1 \). Since \( H(u, v) = \]
\( H(u, -v) \), we obtain that the curves \( H(u, v) = h \) are symmetric with respect to the \( u \)–axis if they intersect such an axis. Therefore, since the origin is a focus or a center, it follows that the curves \( H(u, v) = h \lesssim 1 \) are closed, and consequently the origin is a center.

**Theorem 2.** There are no periodic orbits in the Lev Ginzburg differential system (2) for \( \beta \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** For each \( \beta_1 > 0 \), system (2) possesses an invariant line

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\beta_1} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}, y = 1/\beta_1 \}
\]

that separates the plane in two disjoint invariant unbounded sets

\[
\mathcal{A}_{\beta_1} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 1/\beta_1 \},
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{B}_{\beta_1} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}, y < 1/\beta_1 \},
\]

that is, \( \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathcal{A}_{\beta_1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\beta_1} \cup \mathcal{L}_{\beta_1} \). Now consider the vector field \( \mathcal{Y} : \mathcal{A}_{\beta_1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\beta_1} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) given by

\[
\mathcal{Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta_1 y} \mathcal{X}(x, y),
\]

where \( \mathcal{X} \) is defined in (3). The divergence of \( \mathcal{Y} \) is given by

\[
\text{div}\mathcal{Y}(x, y) = \beta \neq 0.
\]
From the Bendixson criterion (see Theorem 7.10 of [4], for instance) it follows that the vector field $X$ does not have any periodic orbit in the region $A_{β_1} \cup B_{β_1}$. The theorem is proved.

2. Conclusions

This paper shows that the Lev Ginzburg differential system (2) cannot exhibit a Hopf bifurcation. See Theorem 1. Concerning the existence of a limit cycle, the main result of this article is summarized in Theorem 2 which says that there are no limit cycles in system (2).
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