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Neither worker nor housewife but citizen: BBC’s Woman’s Hour 1946-1955

Introduction

In May 1947 BBC Talks producer Peggy Barker described her work as a producer on Woman’s Hour for the Northern Daily Telegraph in a piece titled ‘What do women listeners want?’ Addressing the question she listed various subjects including women’s ‘own special feminine interests’, such as fashion and hair styles, elegance and glamour, as well as housekeeping and child rearing. However, she further argued that the kitchen (or the household) was no longer isolated ‘and safe’ from Whitehall, rather:

> it is besieged by harassing difficulties and almost any decision taken in Whitehall will affect it. So that is definitely one kind of subject that women listeners would like to hear talked about over the air – they want to hear voices of authority explaining, advising and debating questions of public interest. To my mind any programme especially designed for women that does not cater for that sort of women’s interests is falling far short of its duties.¹

Peggy Barker’s words illustrate how post-war policies and decisions were felt and practiced on a daily basis, and often done so by women, and that there was an expectation from broadcasters and listeners alike, that ‘women’s interests’ were no longer solely confined within the four walls of the home. Woman’s Hour was introduced on 7 October 1946 at 2 p.m. on the BBC Light Programme as something to brighten up the housewife’s daily routine and chores, and the general dreariness and drudgery of post-war austerity. The programme was aimed at the female audience and the housewife in particular, and was placed at a time of the day when housewives could get on with household chores while still listening to the radio. Woman’s Hour did indeed feature what was perceived as ‘traditional feminine’ interests such as fashion, cooking, household hints, health, and child rearing in many ways emphasising women’s domestic role and responsibilities. Nevertheless, not long after its start, it had an ambition to broaden listeners’ interests and engagement in public and political issues. For example, by including current affairs and service talks, as well as talks by women MPs, and further, by reporting from women’s organisations, and the political parties’ women’s conferences.

Woman’s Hour can still be heard on BBC Radio 4 and its history and status as a brand has given it popular and academic attention. For instance its long running position has resulted in several anniversary books celebrating its history and role as a national institution.² Attention has also been placed on the programme’s pioneering and controversial output, for example, by talking about abortion, contraception and divorce, long before mainstream media did, as well as its close relationship to its listeners over the years.³ Radio and broadcasting histories covering the post-war period, recognise and acknowledge Woman’s Hour, and here its domestic focus is centre stage.⁴
This article takes an alternative perspective and explores the public and political dimension of Woman’s Hour in the immediate post-war period. In particular, it examines how Woman’s Hour repeatedly emphasised the importance of citizenship and how to manage this responsibility, with the consequence that the programme became a key target for government campaigns and the political establishment as a ‘gateway’ to the housewife.

Firstly, I will argue that it is possible to see Woman’s Hour as a continuation of women’s programmes dating back to the interwar period that worked hard to combine and represent women’s changing roles and civic responsibilities. This is evident, for example, in the discussions and debates around the programme’s inclusion of current affairs and the programme’s desire to broaden listeners’ horizons. As will be demonstrated, this motivation was influenced by both gender and class expectations, that specifically reflected middle-class values. Woman’s Hour’s focus on active citizenship was inevitably partly shaped by the BBC’s emphasis on social responsibility. The BBC ethos, had since its formation been focused on ‘improvement of knowledge, taste and manners’, that was based on a middle-class ideal. This philosophy continued in the post-war period and can be identified within Woman’s Hour. This allows us to distinguish radio and in this case the BBC slightly from women’s magazines in the post-war period, which tended to treat women more consistently as consumers. Furthermore, by studying the production and editorial process of Woman’s Hour in its formative decade it is possible to see how the programme reflected the changing role and expectation of particularly middle-class housewives. The material reveals underlying tensions due to differences between assumptions, attitudes, and expectations of different groups of women, based on social and educational background, and the broadcasters themselves. In many ways, Woman’s Hour’s focus on - and encouragement of - good citizenship mirrors the views of mainly conservative and middle-class voluntary women’s groups at the time (whom it should be said the programme often collaborated with).

Organisations, such as the National Council of Women (NCW), the National Federation of Women’s Institutes (NFWI), and the National Union of Townswomen’s Guilds (NUTG), gave housewives opportunities to share experiences and interests. These organisations encouraged housewives to participate in local and national politics. Equally important, membership further enabled housewives to learn about the democratic process ‘whilst providing them with the vocabulary necessary to discuss concepts such as political participation and social rights.’ Historians have called for the revision of the term ‘women’s movement’ and argued for an inclusion of a more diverse range of women’s groups and organisations to be encompassed within this term. These groups might not have call themselves feminist but they continued to campaign for women’s equal and social rights, and by doing so women’s empowerment. It is within this historiography and historical context that BBC’s Woman’s Hour, as I hope to show, ought to be understood. It is therefore possible to argue that Woman’s Hour was closely aligned with the broader developments in the women’s movement and at the same time exercising a form of middle-class ‘social leadership’.

Secondly, Woman’s Hour was not only of interest to various women’s organisations. By 1946, the start of Woman’s Hour, radio had proven to be an ample medium through which a
large proportion of the public could be entertained, informed and actively engaged in public and private life. As I will argue, this was an observation that both broadcasters, women’s groups, and the political establishment were becoming well aware of. Because of Woman’s Hour’s emphasis on current affairs, coverage and reports of national debates, as well as the activities of women’s organisations, talks by women MP’s, and coverage of women’s party conferences, it soon became recognised by the government and political parties as a channel for communication with women voters. This I will argue, gave the programme a political dimension not hitherto fully explored. The fact that mass media could potentially influence public opinion and play a key role in political communication was established already in the interwar period, when the parties sought to make use of broadcasting and popular newspapers to reach a mass electorate. Laura Beers has shown how by 1945, the Labour party was clearly aware of the centrality of mass media in modern politics and had since the 1930s been specifically keen to use it to engage with women voters. Additionally, historians have acknowledged that women played a key role in Labour’s landslide election-victory in 1945. Post-war austerity and rationing further meant that women were in the crossfire as consumers and voters. In her study of post-war austerity, Ina Zweiniger-Bargielowska, maintained that men and women responded differently to austerity and consumption measures. Dissatisfaction with new cuts in food, such as eggs, poultry and bread, under the Labour government, steered women towards the Conservative Party who made extensive efforts to appeal and rally women voters. In 1949, and also before the election in 1951, this was particularly stressed as important since ‘women were numbers and influence’. For this reason, both Labour and the Conservative Party tried to woo women voters. As a historical source, Woman’s Hour, will further add to our knowledge and understanding of women’s - and particularly the housewife’s - political clout in the post-war period.

Finally, it should be said that this article draws on sources from the BBC Written Archives Centre, and include a range of documents, such as internal correspondence and memoranda, transcripts of programmes as broadcast, as well as listener research. Mass media sources are ‘rich for attitudes, assumptions, mentalities, and values’. It has recently been demonstrated that the media and popular culture are important sources to be considered by historians, and women’s history in particular, since these have offered ‘spaces where hegemonic ideas of femininity are reworked and feminism facilitated.’ It is generally acknowledged in histories of women and broadcasting, that by the 1930s, radio was considered a domestic, ‘feminine’ medium that opened up new spaces for women that further challenged or loosened the boundaries between private and public life. Woman’s Hour is a good illustration of this and offered a form of political participation, and can therefore be used a lens to further explore women’s and feminist history.

A woman’s approach to current affairs?

*Woman’s Hour*, built on a longstanding tradition of women’s radio programming at the BBC that had sought to consolidate women’s different roles and responsibilities as mothers, workers, consumers and citizens. In fact, already in the 1920s and 1930s, BBC talks and programmes for women focused on a range of interests, inside and outside the home and
broadcasters experimented to find the ideal content, tone, speech and mode of address. Responding to the needs of, and requests from, listeners was not always an easy task, especially with an audience comprising of women of different ages, from different educational and social backgrounds, some were married others not, some were homemakers others employed. The social status and level of education amongst listeners would often cause editorial dilemmas for producers and editors. Maggie Andrews has stressed that during the interwar period, radio offered multiple and contesting discourses of domesticity that was particularly subject to regional and class variation and this continued in the post-war period. For example, talks on housing and consumer durables on Woman’s Hour caused controversy revealing social divisions and tensions between middle-class and working-class women’s lives and domestic experience. In 1948 a suggestion, which appears to be a request from a woman in Birmingham, for a talk on refrigerators was rejected on the lines that listener correspondence showed that ‘they are intensely irritated by such reminders of comfort beyond their reach.’

In addition the broadcasters themselves (often women) had their own beliefs of what was best for their audience. As Kate Murphy has showed; in the interwar period, the BBC addressed its female audience as home-makers and mothers, but at the same time was committed to widening the output and to encourage an interest in civic duties and citizenship, particularly after the passing of the 1928 Equal Franchise Act. This balancing act would continue in the 1940s. At the outbreak of the Second World War, the BBC continued to place emphasis on women’s domestic role and the importance of the home in wartime. Programmes like Wise Housekeeping and The Kitchen Front provided expert advice on food and cooking, and these often articulated national interests. As the war progressed, focus shifted (particularly from 1941 with the registration for war work for women) and programmes like Women at War, Mostly for Women and Woman’s Page, concentrated on women in the services and at work, and consciously steered away from domestic topics aiming to broaden the horizon for women. Woman’s Page, for example, included talks on Equal pay, careers for women and the Education Bill, as well as talks on personal relations and popular culture (books and film).

Woman’s Hour was at its start placed within the BBC Talks Department, which supplied the programme with producers and staff. During the period of investigation the programme was run by a small (mainly female) production team and one Editor (initially called ‘organiser’). Due to pressure on staff a Deputy Editor was later introduced. In July 1947 Eileen Molony was appointed as the first formal Editor, and she was soon followed by Evelyn Gibbs in 1948 and Janet Quigley in 1950 (who was promoted to Chief Assistant, Talks Department in 1956). During the interwar period, talks and programmes for women were not a high priority for the BBC and those who produced women’s broadcasts was able to work with a high degree of autonomy. There are similarities found also in the post-war period. I have written elsewhere on how the programme’s editors and producers initially fought against prejudice and patronising attitudes from fellow staff, and fought for editorial autonomy and internal recognition. The immediate popularity of the programme meant that there was increasing...
pressure on staff and resources. Nevertheless, the women behind the programme would successfully develop and establish Woman’s Hour as a staple part of the BBC’s daily output.

Despite a long history of women’s talks and programmes on the BBC, it was rather surprising then, that the first appointed presenter of Woman’s Hour was a man, Alan Ivimey. This suggests a somewhat detached understanding from the management of the space Woman’s Hour offered women. To have a man answering listener’s letters and providing advice was rather condescending and missed the point. Ivimey, whose manner was criticised by listeners and the first listening panel, as being rather patronising, was soon replaced by Joan Griffiths in December 1946. Griffiths was a familiar voice from the BBC Forces Programme broadcast during the war. Other familiar voices would follow: Olive Shapley, a documentary and features producer took over as presenter in February 1949, and in 1951 Marjorie Anderson, Margaret Hubble and Jean Metcalfe, as the ‘trio’, were appointed. Woman’s Hour was presented by women, made mainly by women producers and editors, and aimed for a female audience. This was important, as the programme developed and offered a space for women’s politics.

Woman’s Hour although placed within the Talks Department was broadcast on the Light Programme, which meant it also fell within Light Programme policy. The Light Programme featured popular, light entertainment programmes and majority of listeners were of lower middle- and working-class background. After the war the Light Programme launched several other daytime radio shows aimed towards women, specifically housewives: a music request programme Housewives Choice (1946-1967) and the domestic serials Mrs. Dale’s Diary (1948-1969) and The Archers (1951). Thus the Light Programme has, rather unfairly, been described as feminine and domestic ‘designed not for women at war, but women at home’.

Woman’s Hour was an instant success with the listeners and listening figures soon rose. At the end of October 1946, the Controller of Light Programme, Norman Collins, reported to the BBC Director General that the programme was doing rather well and had ‘more than amply justified its inclusion in the Light Programme’ and further emphasised its success and magnitude by saying ‘at the moment more than a thousand letters a week are coming into Woman’s Hour’. The first programme was listened to by a panel of women including the film star, Deborah Kerr, the former Minister of Labour, Margaret Bondfield, and a North-country housewife, Elsie Crump. The panel was positive about the programme (except the male presenter as noted) and this trio would in many ways represent the type of women who would feature frequently on the programme suggesting a mix of celebrity, public profiles and the ‘ordinary’ housewife.

Not long after its start, producers were told there was ‘too much cookery in the programme’ and instructed to include more serious topics and discussion affecting domestic life in Britain. By the end of the month further requests were put forward that the programme should include talks on current affairs and Parliament. Equal Pay was first covered in November 1946 when Lady Davidson discussed the report of the Royal Commission on
Equal Pay. Further requests were made by listeners. In October 1947 for instance, it was reported that a Mrs. Whitley, from Glasgow, had written to the programme requesting items on government and features on women’s social work. To begin with the Light Programme Controller, Norman Collins, instructed that current affairs on Woman’s Hour had to recognise a ‘woman’s’ angle. In one memorandum from January 1947 it was suggested that Collins had ruled out any talk no matter what subject ‘which does not in some way accept the conception of a special audience of women in the home. Any subject which does not come under a recognised “women’s interest” must be hung on a suitably beribboned peg.’ The idea of a particular ‘women’s interest’ would soon be challenged by producers and listeners alike. Neither did women listeners agree what kind of current affairs Woman’s Hour should be covering, or if it should at all, have a place in the programme.

The inclusion of current affairs was pursued in 1948 when Woman’s Hour started to work with the BBC’s Further Education Experimental Broadcasts to find out what kind of current affairs women would like to hear. Listener research reports were made through collaboration with three women’s groups: the National Federation of Women’s Institutes (NFWI), the National Union of Townswomen’s Guilds (NUTG) and the Social Service Clubs of the National Council for Social Service (NCSS). The research also included group discussions held at the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations with two groups of working-class housewives and two groups of middle-class listeners (not described as housewives in the report but presumably women) living in or near London. The BBC had a tradition of working or collaborating with women’s groups and organisations. As early as 1923 a National Women’s Advisory Committee was set up to offer guidance on programmes and talks for women and this included for example Lady Denman, Chairman of the NFWI. Whilst the nature and input from women’s groups and organisations would change it was a regular feature in the post-war period. Woman’s Hour received many requests from a range of women’s organisations to report and represent their activities, such as the NFWI, the National Federation of Business and Professional Women (NFBPW), and the Women’s Co-operative Guilds (WCG). They also utilised some of these groups in researching listeners’ attitudes towards the programme.

In the report on current affairs produced in 1948, most of the listeners questioned came from a lower middle-class background, with the NCSS representing a lower income group. The purpose of the enquiry was to find out whether there was a need for current affairs, if the language used was appropriate, and finally if women wanted a ‘specifically woman’s approach to current affairs.’ It was reported that women from the NUTG and the NCSS listened mostly two to three times a week whereas women from the NFWI listened ‘every day or most days’. The report on current affairs is significant for a number of reasons. Firstly, several women from all three organisations said that they could ‘assimilate the information in talks much more easily than by reading articles in the newspaper.’ For example one woman said: ‘I don’t read the newspapers a lot and when you hear it on the radio it seems more interesting’. Another participant had similar views: ‘it is easier to take in facts from a talk on the radio than reading the same thing in an article in a newspaper.’ These comments were made more frequently by women from the NFWI and particularly the
NSSC rather than the more middle-class NUTG. However one NUTG woman recognised the value that the programme gave women listeners, and especially, the inclusion of current affairs:

Woman’s Hour is a woman’s programme, and therefore we usually find time to listen without fear of interruption, seeing all the family are either at school or work, so therefore we can enjoy the talks on current affairs and other talks. I like to listen because I learn things I should be too shy to ask anyone I know for fear of being thought ignorant, also because it is the only means most of us have of finding things out.\textsuperscript{xi}

It is difficult to assess how representative and trustworthy these views were, still, the quotes do imply that these talks were of importance enabling women to catch up on public matters in their own time and space. Talks on radio are also written for ‘the ear’, and not the eye, meaning they have to have listenability, be simple, and accessible, a skill broadcasters initially had to learn.\textsuperscript{xii} This means information is assimilated differently from say reading a newspaper. Listening to the radio enabled women to get on with housework, for example, cooking, sewing, or knitting, whilst being educated and informed. This underpins the notion that radio integrated women into the public sphere, rather than, ‘reinforcing demarcations between the spheres of public and private’.\textsuperscript{xiii} This also points to the fluidity of broadcasting and is particularly attributed to the nature of the medium itself. Radio as a public medium entered the private sphere, and as Paddy Scannell, in his important work on public service broadcasting argued, made the outside world accessible to all.\textsuperscript{xliii} At the same time the private sphere of the home ‘literally domesticated the airwaves’.\textsuperscript{xliv} The radio heavily featured domestic settings and routines, which particularly captured the imagined activities of the housewife, and was therefore itself integrated in everyday life.\textsuperscript{xlv} Listening to the radio can also represent a form of political agency since the act of listening can be understood as a responsive action, a public act in itself.\textsuperscript{xlvi} So it is possible to argue that by choosing to listen to Woman’s Hour, the different groups of women were actively engaging in the public sphere.

Secondly, the inclusion of current affairs in the programme would also attract different views shaped by education and social status. The report noted that literary skills also varied amongst the women, being poorer for NSCC members. The investigators drew the conclusion that there were clear demarcations between the groups and this also affected the way listeners understood or appreciated the talks. As an illustration we can look at one of the talks listened to during the enquiry with the title ‘Shopping Prospects.’\textsuperscript{xlvii} More or less all the women said that they knew the facts already, but the members of the NSSC were most in favour and commented positively that the talk provided background. Women from the NFWI on the other hand thought the talk propagandist, and listeners even questioned the speaker’s facts on the sugar ration. The NUTG was also critical and suggested that the topic was government propaganda and that it did not cover anything new; these women were clearly more confident and outspoken, or at least wanted to come across that way. The last two groups also seemed to prefer a more general point of view rather than a ‘woman’s one’, implying a degree or
awareness of equality. xlviii It is not surprising that the women representing the NFWI and NUTG were more outspoken and gave the impression they already knew the facts and figures – they even questioned them. Voluntary women’s organisations such as the NFWI and the NUTG strongly believed women as housewives, mothers and citizens, had a responsibility to be interested in local and national affairs, and groups like these had campaigned to influence social and housing policy. xlix Middle-class women found a voice through their status as housewives and professional home makers, which enabled them to speak out and arguably gave women political agency. l

The concluding thoughts of the report suggested that Woman’s Hour needed to ‘take into account two quite separate types of listener, whose educational needs appear to be to some extent incompatible.’ li One of the groups taking part in the report, whose volunteers were working-class housewives, had first expressed a keen interest in the current affairs item but later responded that current affairs was treated in so many other BBC programmes that it was not needed in this programme. The investigator was surprised since most of the women were described as being ‘more politically and culturally conscious than the average’, instead they had argued that Woman’s Hour did not contain ‘enough material of practical interest to the working class housewife, who is interested in running her home and feeding her family under conditions imposed by rationing and inadequate income’. lii A further observation was that the investigator’s impression of the woman’s attitude to current affairs varied depending on her position in the family: ‘Because of this relationship, she may not consider current affairs within her province at all; on the other hand she may be on an equal footing with her husband in outlook and interests.’ liii The report believed that attitudes to public and domestic affairs were changing and it spoke of:

> a trend towards equalisation of the status of husband and wife, and towards acceptance by both of the need to enlarge the scope of interests of the wife in the sphere of current affairs and of the husband in the sphere of domestic affairs. liv

Investigators received mixed messages about the need and interest in current affairs amongst these listeners and the assumption was that social and educational background played a part in this. Middle-class women preferred to have current affairs in the programme, and current affairs that pointed to a general point of view rather than the ‘woman angle’. The assumption was also that working-class women would particularly benefit from these talks but the women surveyed expressed more focus on practical items that would help the working-class housewife in her daily life. This further implies that broadcasters and investigators saw the listener as mainly a married woman. Due to its scheduled time in the day Woman’s Hour was clearly aimed at the housewife. However, not long after its start it received requests to also cater for the working woman. lv The argument put forward being that there was not really a difference between ‘women with home interests and women with careers.’ lvii The dilemma of pleasing the listeners continued to occupy the producers of the programme but they clearly took on the feedback provided. Four years later a new current affairs series was introduced called ‘Behind the Headlines’. This time it was noted that ‘the topics are to be current affairs topics not “women’s” topics’. The memorandum, however, continued:
there is a good deal of conflicting evidence about the acceptability of political topics. The more well educated listeners who write to Woman’s Hour ask for these topics but the less well educated ask for them to be avoided. We shall have to find a midway course by experience and listening-end check.

Despite different views and tensions between the different listener groups on the suitability, tone and subject of current affairs, Woman’s Hour would come to include regular current affairs items throughout the period under investigation and clearly aligned itself with the views of the more well-educated listeners. In the early 1950s, Isa Benzie, at this point a veteran BBC Talks Producer with a strong-minded personality, was in charge of current affairs in Woman’s Hour. It was acknowledged that Benzie would ‘hold a watching brief for us so that our responsibility to keep Woman’s Hour listeners informed on public questions is safeguarded.’ At this point it was also established that the programme played a key role in connecting ‘Woman’s Hour Current Affairs and the evening broadcasts which might give women listeners a fuller exposition of public matters.’ Implicit in these comments is a desire to nurture, educate and inform, and a commitment to better and improve listeners understanding and awareness of the public and political context. Broadcasters, such as the BBC, was convinced that the listener played a part in a larger community contributing to the general state of the nation carrying a range of domestic and social responsibilities and a role to play ‘in the larger community of public affairs and national life’. This notion of ‘public service’ was not only filtered through the BBC. James Hinton has argued that middle-class housewives constructed their identity around a Victorian ethos of public service and exercised a form of social leadership. It could therefore be argued that a similar exercise of ‘social leadership’ took place via Woman’s Hour. As a programme it was shaped by an interwoven class and gender identity. Current affairs in Woman’s Hour would consist of both domestic and foreign affairs, and it appears that the programme shifted its outlook to a broader focus. Examples of this include, in February 1951, an item on ‘Flood control’, in March 1952 a talk on Djakarta, and in 1953 the programme discussed the ‘peace offensive’ in Korea. The inclusion of current affairs in the programme was not the only strategy used to educate, inform and foster a responsible and active citizen. Woman’s Hour frequently reported and often introduced new items that would give women listeners ‘a glimpse of a far horizon, a new sphere of thought, a strange and unfamiliar subject’. They also repeatedly invited women MP’s to the microphone.

Women in the House: Woman’s Hour and citizenship

The appearance of women MP’s in BBC’s women’s programming was, however, not a new or novel idea. The use of women MP’s was initially pioneered by Hilda Matheson, appointed as the BBC’s first Director of Talks in 1927. Matheson, educated at Oxford, was keen to expand the scope and range of women’s talks and in 1929 introduced a new series, The Week in Parliament, which featured women MP’s talking about the mechanisms of Westminster. As noted, talks by women MP’s would continue in the post-war period and provide a similar role and function; namely to provide a personal insight to the inner workings of Westminster and - to use Talks Producer Peggy Barker’s words - emphasise that ‘the kitchen (or the
household) was no longer isolated’ from Whitehall. This line of argument illustrates in many ways the views of voluntary women’s groups at the time, whom may have rejected the term ‘feminism’, but ‘acknowledged the status of women as equal citizens and continually sought to inform and educate their members about the importance of democratic citizenship.’\textsuperscript{lxvii} In July 1947 contributions to Woman’s Hour by MP’s were fully approved; subject to relevance and to political balance.\textsuperscript{lxviii} The talks by the MP’s were not party political broadcasts instead the women MP’s were often asked to discuss the role of women in politics, or issues related to women such as Equal Pay.\textsuperscript{lxix} At times talks by women MP’s served to provide insight and illustration to the political process and the women’s own experience of this.\textsuperscript{lxx} As I will show later, although party politics was not the focus, this kind of publicity was nevertheless valued by the political parties and perceived as an opportunity to connect with women voters.

Speaking in 1948, the Labour Prime Minister Clement Attlee said: ‘‘Socialism demands a higher standard of civic virtue than capitalism. It demands a conscious and active participation in public affairs.’\textsuperscript{lxxi} Woman’s Hour’s aims and aspirations appear to have encouraged this type of civic virtue. It is important to note, however, that the ‘conscious and active’ participation that Attlee spoke of was promoted by the programme even after a Conservative victory in the 1950s. As post-war austerity and the economic crisis deepened Woman’s Hour with the help from women MP’s continued to emphasise the importance of taking an active role and interest in national politics and citizenship. For example, on Woman’s Hour in 1948 Liberal MP Lady Megan Lloyd George and Labour MP Leah Manning respectively talked about the importance of the women’s vote arguing for an active citizenship. Both were keen to make women listeners aware of their powers as voters and members of a democracy. Megan Lloyd George was a familiar public figure as the daughter of former Prime Minister Lloyd George and a successful politician and MP in her own right. In June her talk ‘Is Parliament Your Affair?’ urged women to realise that most of the things that affected them in the home had a correlation to politics. Much of the government’s policy was centred on the household and the domestic sphere, and this would position the home, ‘as the symbolic, and actual, centre of post-war reconstruction.’\textsuperscript{lxxii} The talk, which was a colourful description of the inner workings of Parliament, emphasised that ‘Parliament is very much your affair’ and whatever was discussed in Parliament had direct impact on ordinary life, and particularly women. Hence Megan Lloyd George argued it was of vital importance to be engaged in the outside world and not to take information and views second-hand. She ended her talk: ‘the best recipe for a good wholesome democracy is a well-informed public opinion.’\textsuperscript{lxxiii} In October Leah Manning spoke on the topic: ‘Is there a Woman’s Point of View in Politics?’ Manning, a left wing socialist active in educational work and women’s rights also made the point that wider issues such as finance and economics mattered to women:

Yes indeed, in these matters too there is a woman’s point of view and it is of paramount importance. If I could take you with me into the Chamber during some all night sitting when a Finance Bill is under consideration, you would see the women of all Parties lined up, aching to get into the Debate to offer the Chancellor “The Woman’s Point of View” on certain items in his Budget.\textsuperscript{lxxiv}
The inclusion of women MP’s continued throughout the period. In July 1956 *Woman’s Hour* introduced a new discussion series in the programme’s Sunday repeat *Home for the Day* called ‘Women in the House’. *Home for the Day* was first introduced in 1953, but an initial repeat of items from *Woman’s Hour* was broadcasts weekly on Sundays from August 1951. This was due to pressure from women working in industry and from various women’s organisations such as the National Federation of Business and Professional Women’s Clubs who argued that working women were not able to listen during the day.\textsuperscript{lxv} The Labour MP and social reformer Edith Summerskill took part in the series, the purpose of which was to introduce the audience to a range of women MP’s ‘to hear your point of view on Parliamentary and current topics.’\textsuperscript{lxvi}

These examples illustrate, more importantly, that personal experience was connected to public and political questions, and that the housewife was expected to contribute to public life. This sense of civic duty and social responsibility can also be found in the hugely successful domestic serial *Mrs. Dale’s Diary*, also broadcast on the BBC Light Programme. The serial, which focused on a middle-class housewife, Mary Dale a doctor’s wife, was set in leafy Parkwood Hill, a fictional north London suburb. In its first four years (1948-1952) the serial was more focused on the private side of domestic life. However, to meet the expectations of a middle-class housewife, changes to the strict editorial policy were proposed to allow characters to become more involved and interested in the outside world.\textsuperscript{lxvii} These changes, which were prompted by a sequence of events, resulted in a serial with a sense of social responsibility in which characters including Mrs. Dale became involved in public and political issues affecting the local community.\textsuperscript{lxviii}

In this period *Woman’s Hour* never used the word ‘feminist’ to describe their work (in the archival material the word is more or less absent) however in many ways this was a form of empowerment. Indeed, we might suggest that *Woman’s Hour* was a good example of what Naomi Black defines as ‘social feminism’. Black suggests that social feminists believed women could be integrated into the public sphere through their domestic role. The argument, she notes, ‘was implicitly for the integration of the social and the political, the movement of women into the public sphere in the extension of their domestic role.’\textsuperscript{lxix} This is also consistent with middle-class voluntary women’s groups active in the post-war period that endorsed women’s domestic role but at the same time ‘encouraged members to participate in local and national politics and campaigned to ensure that women benefitted from the rights of equal citizenship bestowed upon them in 1928.’\textsuperscript{lxx} *Woman’s Hour*’s desire to be topical and to inform listeners about government policy and other public matters undoubtedly gave it a political edge. The BBC’s archives reveal, in fact, that there had long been a sense among political and government figures that a programme such as *Woman’s Hour* might be a suitable channel of communication with women. One instance of this can be seen during the economic and fuel crisis.

*Woman’s Hour* as political communication channel
A growing shortage of coal, the main source of energy, combined with cold weather in January 1947, would create one of the worst winters Britain had seen. On top of the brewing fuel crisis there was already an acute shortage of labour. The problem was so severe that between 1947 and 1949 the Ministry of Labour campaigned to get women back into the labour market. There were shortages within the textile industries as well as ‘vacancies in the Women’s Land Army, in nursing, midwifery and teaching.' The success of Woman’s Hour, and its ability to reach millions of women made it particularly attractive. For example the programme was used to promote a recruitment campaign for the Women’s Land Army (WLA). In January 1947 it was noted by the Talks Department that the WLA was planning a forthcoming recruitment campaign. At a policy meeting in March it was then suggested that Woman’s Hour would cover the campaign and also include a talk on ‘Harvest Helpers.' The issue was further pursued in July when the Director of Talks was asked to consider a talk on the WLA on Woman’s Hour.

The WLA however, was not the only organisation needing manpower in 1947; there was also a shortage of nurses. Woman’s Hour had planned an interview in March, ‘to be broadcast ‘live’ between Marian Cutler and Dame Katherine Watt of the Ministry of Health about the appeal for part-time voluntary nurses. The fuel crisis, however, also had an impact on the BBC, which had to restrict broadcasting hours and output. The BBC Home Service and the Light Programme were thus temporarily merged into one service, ‘punctuated by long and mysterious silences’. Woman’s Hour itself was off air from February and was not resumed until the end of March. The interview with Dame Katherine Watt had to be postponed.

In his study of the Labour government and media, 1945-51, Martin Moore suggests that a series of BBC talks on ‘Britain’s crisis’ were produced in close collaboration with the government who wanted to increase national productivity by creating a ‘‘chain of persuasion’” across a range of media. The BBC produced several talks explaining the crisis including Woman’s Hour. With the economic situation worsening, George Isaacs, Minister of Labour and National Service, directly appealed to Woman’s Hour listeners in a special broadcast in June 1947. The broadcast titled ‘A Word to Women’ pleaded for women’s help in factories, services and agriculture: ‘I’m sorry that I’ve got to make this appeal. You did well – amazingly well – by your country during the war, and it seems a pity that there is again this urgent call for your help.’ As the currency crisis worsened in July, Lady Reading, Governor and Deputy Chairman of the BBC, and the founder of the Women’s Voluntary Service (WVS), was particularly keen that the BBC would ‘explain the crisis to ordinary people’ and advise on what they could do to help. Woman’s Hour broadcast several talks related to the crisis from June to the end of August 1947, and with titles such as: ‘Can we make ends meet?’ or ‘Science and the Housewife – Facts about Fuel,’ these talks were of an informative and explanatory nature that explained the severity of the situation, and the need for women to take up employment. The talk ‘Housewife meets Economist’ warned
that ‘people need to know quite clearly what an Economic Dunkirk would look like’.xcii It was a housewife’s duty to contribute and participate in the national recovery.

Furthermore, it appears, discussing the urgent need for manpower also opened up discussion and debate on the position of married women and work. The decade after the Second World War was traditionally viewed by historians and feminists as a stifling place for women marked by gender conservatism.xciii Recent scholarship has sought to thwart this image considerably by identifying the post-war period as a time when women’s roles as housewives, mothers and workers were heavily debated in political, public and popular discourse.xciv On the one hand politicians and psychologists were worried about maternal deprivation and its impact on juvenile delinquency, strengthening the belief in full-time motherhood.xcv On the other hand due to fears of labour shortages and the reality of an economically shattered Britain women were still needed in the work force. As an effect of the war, the marriage-bar was removed, and with a growing availability (and acceptance) of part-time work, women including married, and middle-class women, took up employment.xcvi

Broadcasts on Woman’s Hour with titles such as ‘Should women keep their jobs after they are married?’ and ‘Can a woman make a success of marriage and a career?’ emphasised the need for manpower and presented women’s contribution to recovery as a national duty. But at the same time they also presented valid arguments for why women might want to take up, or keep their jobs, after marriage. In one of the talks the discussion is between husband and wife, John and Isabel Merrett, the argument appears to be a question of modern attitudes:

Isabel: Sheila rang up today. She wants your advice.
John: Oh! What’s wrong this time.
Isabel: She wants to take a job. She saw an advertisement which seemed to be just the sort of thing she would like – and then Peter kicked up a fuss about it. Said he wasn’t going to have his wife going out to work.
John: Silly ass!
Isabel: Well, I just told her to go ahead and take it. [...] Isabel: It always amazes me that there are still so many of these Victorian men about who just think that a woman’s place is at home [...] John: I say the same as you – she ought to be free to take a job if she wants to. Goodness knows industry needs all the women it can get because manpower is one of the greatest shortages now. Any woman who has the time – ought to take a job.xcvii

Another talk featured a discussion between the author and regular BBC contributor, Jean Cooper-Foster, and a housewife, Kay McMeekin:

J. Cooper-Foster: There’s a desperate shortage of workers - I believe somewhere in the region of 300,000 more women are needed in industry to get this country back on its feet economically [...] and I feel very strongly that it’s the duty of every woman who possibly
can do so, to make a contribution to the economic recovery of Britain [...] 

K. McMeekin: I feel that married women should confine their activities to the home, in fact make marriage a career.

Here, we find both women presenting their arguments about whether married women should work or not. The conversation outlined the main arguments about a wife’s duty to her home and family as well as a woman’s right to be independent and seek fulfilment in a career. Cooper-Foster pointed out that a woman who had trained for a career should be able to continue even after marriage. She should use her skill where it was most needed, in her job. Speaking of training and skill, McMeekin, suggested, ‘a lot of people seem to think that a domesticated woman is rather a dull and mousey person, with no conversation except tales of the children’s prowess.’ On the suggestion by Cooper-Foster, that most housewives spend their leisure ‘lapping up the latest Hollywood [sic] heart-throb’, McMeekin retaliated that ‘the modern housewife has a wide range of interests and I think it’s the career woman who’s much more likely to become stereotyped and develop a one-track mind.’

The question whether to go out to work or stay at home prompted differences in opinions and women themselves had strong views on the issue. As worker or housewife, women were expected to meet national public duties and responsibilities, as well as their own private interests and fulfilment. National politics certainly flavoured these discussions and Woman’s Hour, no doubt, contributed to the national recruitment effort for manpower. However I would argue, that these examples also detect another agenda. Namely, opening up a discussion on gender politics. The gendered nature of BBC radio with specific ‘women’s programmes’ placed in the daytime schedule meant that it offered a public space for discussion and debate. To borrow a phrase from Michele Hilmes, ‘under the cover of daytime’, Woman’s Hour could address and confront the issues facing women. Woman’s Hour promoted the domestic role of women, the programme was after all mainly aimed towards the housewife. But as a programme, it did acknowledge and support the fact that women’s roles were changing; that motherhood and housewifery could be combined with work. The programme further represented and addressed the professional and working woman, producing on the whole a fairly diverse representation of the post-war woman.

Britain’s crisis and the need for manpower was not the only campaigns featured and another drive for recruitment slipped into Woman’s Hour. In September 1948 it was noted by the ‘Home Broadcasting Committee’ that the Corporation ‘should help where possible’ on the current Territorial Army Campaign. In a confidential memorandum from the Assistant Controller of Talks, Mary Somerville, to the Editor, Woman’s Hour, it was laid out that:

while recruiting for the voluntary element of the Territorial Army is not accepted as a Corporation campaign, its importance must be recognised and attention should be drawn to it in programmes where this can be achieved without sacrifice of programme interest. Will you please glance through the attached paper and let me know whether, and if so how, Woman’s Hour could draw attention to it before the end of December, with particular reference to the A.T.S. (T.A.) section.
The potential to reach a mass audience saw other women’s radio programmes targeted. The aforementioned Territorial Army Campaign appears to have had a direct impact on the scriptwriters of *Mrs. Dale’s Diary*. In October 1948 it was decided that Mrs. Dale’s son Bob Dale would join the Territorial Army. Over the years there were other requests too, for various items on electricity and fuel economy to be included in the domestic serial, which prompted the Controller of Light Programme, Kenneth Adam to conclude: ‘we clearly must be careful about the number of institutional references in this programme’. These kinds of campaigns or drives were not necessarily unnoticed by listeners. In 1949 a talk on ‘Women in the Army’ in *Woman’s Hour* by guest speaker, Dame Mary Tyrwhitt resulted in three complaints, the main theme being: ‘keep the Women’s Services and recruitment out of *Woman’s Hour*’. However, the inclusion of government campaigns as well as information about new government policy or legislation would consequently raise questions of political representation.

**Political balance and bias**

Given the close interest of politicians, questions of balance and bias rapidly became a recurrent concern for the producers of *Woman’s Hour*. Firstly, because the programme was dedicated to informing listeners about current government policy and legislation, and secondly, through its coverage of the political parties’ women’s conferences. Not long after its start, regular expert Marian Cutler began her various advisory talks explaining and informing listeners about new government legislation. In 1948 her series ‘What’s Your Worry?’ focused on National Insurance: ‘I’ve hardly time to say, “Hello” – for there are so many different points about the National Insurance scheme, and I know many of you have been waiting anxiously to hear how the new proposals will affect you.’ Another series of talks introduced in the 1950s with the title ‘For your information’ aimed to describe and explain new legislation affecting the housewife, and to remind her of the provisions of existing laws. An extract from a memorandum from Talks Producer Isa Benzie to *Woman’s Hour* Editor Janet Quigley, in 1952, further reveals the programme’s interest in national debates:

This is a week of big domestic debates in Parliament [...] The House of Commons debates Education today, Textiles and Unemployment in Lancashire tomorrow and the National Health Service on Thursday. My recommendations are [...] (a) Skip Education (b) Look upon Lancashire, price of cotton goods and unemployment as the top subject for the audience and do it on Thursday [...] (c) Add, if you wish on Friday, an account of the National Health Service Charges Debate, inviting Guy Eden, Lobby Correspondent of the Daily Express, to undertake the talk.

The nature of the service and advisory talks, and the programme’s focus on national debates and informing listeners about new government legislation, prompted concerns about political representation in *Woman’s Hour*. For instance in 1950 Talks Producer Peggy Barker explained to the Controller of Talks:
in view of the fact that so many service talks in that programme are by their very nature bound to be devoted to explaining the Government’s policy, Woman’s Hour appears to be far more Left Wing than Right and, therefore, the Editor is not anxious to use a Labour MP.  

Almost a year later at a current affairs meeting, the issue was raised that a Conservative MP was urgently needed for general MP balance in Woman’s Hour.

The BBC came under scrutiny from the Conservative party who in this period complained about a left-wing bias. The political parties’ women’s conferences appear to have become a thorn in the side for the programme. In 1947 a memorandum reveals that Woman’s Hour was even approached by members of the Conservative party (including the women’s Press Officer) who were keen to supply a list of names of possible speakers. The Woman’s Hour Editor, Eileen Molony, cordially replied that speakers were selected on merit and suitability not party-affiliation, but was quick to report back to the Controller of Talks that the programme had covered the Labour Women’s Conference at Southport but not the Conservative Women’s Conference earlier in the year. Not long after it was agreed by the Talks Department that all party conferences should be covered in Woman’s Hour, ‘when subjects arise which are in a general sense of special interest to women. That the reports from the party’s women’s conferences were of importance is further emphasised by examining one talk in detail. In 1949 Audrey Russell, the BBC’s first woman reporter, gave an account from the Conservative Women’s Annual Conference in Woman’s Hour. Russell started by outlining the morning’s main topic of discussion, the recently published Conservative Report on Women’s Questions, A True Balance: In the Home, in Employment and as Citizens. She outlined some of the main points in the booklet such as, ‘the strengthening of family life’ and how on ‘the employment side first and foremost on the list comes equal pay, that is the fulfilment by the next Conservative Government to come into power of the rate for the job. Then the simplification of the income tax laws.’ After finishing her description of the booklet and the Conservative agenda, Russell described the rest of the afternoon including the debate on the need to promote closer understanding between the nations of the Commonwealth, and the question of housing. On housing Russell reported that:

Miss Elizabeth Christmas of Kensington, maintained that the majority of people didn’t realise that it was “Nye Bevan who was stopping the houses from being built with, as she called it, his beastly quota system, under which only one private enterprise house could be built to every four council houses.”

In the early 1950s Woman’s Hour continued to cover and report on the parties’ women’s conferences, for example the Labour women and Conservative women’s conferences were covered in 1951. In April and May 1953 they reported both from the Liberal Party Conference as well as Women’s Labour Party and Women’s Conservative conferences. The significance of Woman’s Hour appealed to all parties – just as both sides of the political spectrum appeared to be recognising women’s political interests more generally. Labour MP, Alice Bacon warned at a party conference in 1951:
never underestimate the importance of women at election times – or at any other
times! [...] Miss or Mrs – 1951 does not ask her father or her husband how he is going
to vote and then submissively put a cross in the same place herself. Women are
rapidly becoming a great political force in Britain.\textsuperscript{cxvi}

We can therefore see that the concern for political balance and bias in the programme was
linked to the perceived impact it could have on women and party politics. In 1955, the BBC
Handbook recognised, ‘that the appearance of an M.P. at the microphone, whether the subject
of the broadcast be political or non-political, may inevitably carry with it a degree of
publicity for the party to which he belongs.’\textsuperscript{cxvii} This was clearly the case with \textit{Woman’s Hour}.

\textbf{Conclusion}

This article has demonstrated that through its emphasis on current affairs, coverage and
reports of national debates, talks by women MP’s, and coverage of women’s party
conferences, \textit{Woman’s Hour} nurtured, educated and encouraged social responsibility and
active citizenship. \textit{Woman’s Hour} actively sought to encourage, address and represent
women as citizens in their own right, taking an active part and interest in public life and
national politics. The programme sought to connect and engage the housewife with the
outside world - rather than protect or isolate her from it. \textit{Woman’s Hour} should therefore be
considered as a continuation of the pioneering talks for women introduced during the
interwar period, and the more outward looking programmes that were further developed
during the war, whose endeavour was to consolidate women’s domestic role and duties with
social rights and questions of citizenship. Indeed, it can be argued the programme frequently
made the point that the private domestic sphere was not separate from the public world or
politics, instead the two were intertwined. Thus, \textit{Woman’s Hour} is a good example of how
radio, as Kate Lacey has argued, bridged the private and the public divide.\textsuperscript{cxviii}

The desire to nurture good citizenship, however, was shaped by class and gender expectations
and was particularly carried out on middle-class terms. Evidently there were tensions in
determining what a programme for women should be about; whether \textit{Woman’s Hour} should
include current affairs, and whether this should include a specific ‘woman’s angle’ or not.
There were tensions between broadcasters and different groups of listeners. On the one hand
it was noted that particularly middle-class housewives and educated women preferred current
affairs items, and current affairs reflecting a more ‘general’ view rather than a ‘woman’s’
viewpoint. On the other hand the impression was that working-class housewives preferred
less current affairs and instead preferred more practical items.

The desire to educate and nurture women as citizens, consequently gave the programme a
political edge, and arguably a feminist one too. In post-war Britain, women voters, including
housewives, were seen as a strong and influential political force, consequently \textit{Woman’s
Hour} was attractive to all political parties as a gateway to the home. These observations
further complicate our image of post-war Britain and the role of women. I hope therefore that
the article contributes to the historiographical narrative that is enhancing our understanding of public and private life in post-war Britain, and the complexity of post-war feminism.
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