Two-dimensional spin liquids with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological order in an array of quantum wires
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Insulating $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquids are a phase of matter with bulk anyonic quasiparticle excitations and ground-state degeneracies on manifolds with nontrivial topology. We construct a time-reversal symmetric $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid in two spatial dimensions using an array of quantum wires. We identify the anyons as $kinks$ in the appropriate Luttinger-liquid description, compute their mutual statistics, and construct local operators that transport these quasiparticles. We also present a construction of a fractionalized Fermi liquid ($FL^*$) by coupling the spin sector of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid to a Fermi liquid via a Kondo-like coupling.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Mott insulators without any broken symmetries, commonly referred to as quantum spin liquids (QSLs), have been studied theoretically for more than four decades now. Starting with the original theoretical proposal for the resonating valence bond liquid by Anderson [1], much of the interest in QSLs has been driven by the study of high-temperature superconductivity [2–6] and quantum magnetism in low dimensions [7]. Many interesting insights have been gained by using the notion of topological order [8] in order to draw parallels between gapped spin liquids [9,10] and other interesting phenomena such as the fractional quantum Hall effect [11].

On the experimental side, a number of quasi-two-dimensional materials have been proposed to host QSL ground states [7]. One of the most well-studied and promising such materials is herbertsmithite, consisting of spin-1/2 moments arranged in a kagome lattice. There are indications from theoretical studies that the ground state of the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg model (supplemented by next-nearest-neighbor interactions) on the kagome lattice is a gapped $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid [12,13], even though the question is far from being settled definitively. At the same time, inelastic neutron scattering [14,15] and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [16] measurements on herbertsmithite have detected the existence of a spinon continuum over a broad energy window and a spin gap, respectively.

Theoretical descriptions of QSL ground states usually rely on a “parton” description. Within this prescription, the canonical fermionic operator is fractionalized in terms of excitations that carry its spin and charge separately along with the introduction of an emergent gauge field that encodes the nontrivial entanglement in the system. The spin-liquid phase corresponds to the deconfined phase of an appropriately defined gauge theory; examples of gauge groups that often arise in descriptions of various interacting models include $\mathbb{Z}_2$, $U(1)$, and $SU(2)$ coupled to matter fields that are either gapped, gapless at special points, or gapless along an entire contour in momentum space (see, e.g., Refs. [17–22] for a few representative examples). There also exist alternative descriptions for time-reversal symmetric QSLs as ground states of exactly solvable (but somewhat artificial) Hamiltonians [23,24], which provide a complementary and useful point of view on the above approaches.

In this paper, we take yet another route to arrive at the description of a gapped $\mathbb{Z}_2$ QSL, which does not rely on either of the above two approaches. This approach involves constructing an interacting phase in $(2+1)$ dimensions starting from a set of decoupled Luttinger-liquid wires in $(1+1)$ dimensions and turning on nonperturbative interactions between the wires. It has been applied remarkably successfully to describe and construct, e.g., electronic liquid crystalline phases in doped Mott insulators [25], the Laughlin state in the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect [26], and, more recently, even the non-Abelian and compressible FQH states [27,28]. By using this route, we obtain a fully gapped time-reversal symmetric $\mathbb{Z}_2$ QSL and identify the local operators that correspond to and transport the bulk quasiparticles and compute their mutual statistics.1 A similar approach has been used to construct chiral spin liquids [29] (with broken time-reversal symmetry) [30,31], Abelian topological phases in higher than two spatial dimensions [32,33], and even non-Abelian topological spin liquids [30,34].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we summarize the key features of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquids using a Chern-Simons effective field theory description. In Sec. III, we propose a purely bosonic coupled wire construction for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid or, more specifically, the toric-code model, in $(2+1)$ dimensions. Section IV summarizes our key results for the bulk quasiparticles, their mutual statistics, and the edge physics in the insulating $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid within the wire construction. In Sec. V, we fermionize the above description in order to arrive at a coupled wire construction of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ fractionalized Fermi liquid ($FL^*$) via a “Kondo”-like construction. We conclude in Sec. VI with a summary of our results and an outlook for some future directions.

---

1We note in passing that it is, in principle, possible to construct a gapped spin-liquid phase starting from the decoupled ($J_z = 0$) and gapless limit of Kitaev’s honeycomb model [24] and then study the effect of a finite $J_z$ perturbatively.
II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review key features of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquids in terms of the low-energy effective theory for its topological states in terms of a Chern-Simons action in imaginary time ($\tau$) [35,36],

$$S_{\text{CS}} = \int d\tau d^2x \left[ i \frac{e}{4\pi} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} a^\dagger_\mu K_{IJ} \partial_\nu a^I_\lambda + i \frac{\mu}{2\pi} I_I A_\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\nu a^I_\lambda \right].$$

(1)

In the above action, $I, J$ are indices extending from 1, . . . , $N$ and $a^I_\mu$ are $N$ U(1) gauge fields, with $A_\mu$ a fixed external “probe” gauge field. The above action realizes an insulating $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid for $N = 2$ with a $K$ matrix given by

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

and where the ground-state degeneracy on a torus is given by $|\text{det}K|$. The electromagnetic charge of the quasiparticles is determined by the vector $t_I$,

$$t_I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

It is possible to integrate out the internal gauge fields $\{a^I_\mu\}$, leading to

$$S_{\text{CS}} = (t^T K^{-1} t) \int d\tau d^2x \left[ i \frac{e}{4\pi} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A_\mu \partial_\nu a^I_\lambda \right].$$

(4)

The Hall response is then given by $\sigma_{xy} = (t^T K^{-1} t)$ in units of $e^2/2\pi$, which is identically zero for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid as it preserves time-reversal symmetry.

The quasiparticle excitations of the theory are characterized by an integer vector $l$, such that they couple minimally to the combination: $\sum_I l_I a^I_\mu$. The self-statistics of a quasiparticle is given by

$$\theta_{\text{self}} = 1K_{IJ}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

with $\theta_{\text{self}} = 0(\text{mod}2\pi)$ for bosons and $\theta_{\text{self}} = \pi(\text{mod}2\pi)$ for fermions. The mutual statistics between two different quasiparticles (“1” and “2”) is given by

$$\theta_{\text{mutual}} = 2\pi I^T K^{-1} I_2, \quad (6)$$

with $\theta_{\text{mutual}} = \pi(\text{mod}2\pi)$ for mutual semions. In particular, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid has the following quasiparticle excitations: $e, m$, and $\varepsilon$, with

$$I_e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

It is straightforward to show that $e, m$ are bosons, while $\varepsilon$ is a fermion. All of the above quasiparticles are mutual semions.

Before we present our construction, the reader might wonder how to obtain a nonchiral and fully gapped state starting from an array of coupled wires. As will become clear in the next section, one of the key ingredients is to be able to find a set of modes with vanishing self- and mutual commutators. It then allows us to add independent sine-Gordon terms for each of these modes in the action, pinning the fields to certain classical values simultaneously and gapping out the edge excitations [27,37].

III. BOSONIC COUPLED-WIRE CONSTRUCTION

In this section, we arrive at a description of an insulating $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid using a purely bosonic construction; we defer a discussion of the excitations and edge physics to the next section. We begin by considering an array of uncoupled identical one-dimensional (1D) quantum wires (labeled $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots$), where each wire consists of two chains, $a$ and $b$ [see Fig. 1(a)]. Each of these chains is described by a nonchiral Luttinger liquid (LL). We denote the bosonic fields associated with the LL on the $\ell$th wire and on chains $a$ or $b$ as $(\nu(a,b), \phi^a(b))$; they satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$[\phi^a_b(x), \phi^b_a(y)] = \frac{i\pi}{2} \text{sign}(x - y) \delta_{\ell \ell'}. \quad (8)$$

We now carry out a series of transformations on the above fields, introducing new degrees of freedom at each stage, as follows.

We first define a new set of variables,

$$\phi^c_\ell(x) = \phi^a_\ell(x) + m\theta^c_\ell, \quad \phi^c_\ell(x) = \phi^b_\ell(x) + m\theta^c_\ell, \quad (9)$$

where $c = a, b$. The commutation relations for these variables are

$$[\phi^c_\ell(x), \phi^c_\ell(y)] = \left[\phi^c_\ell(x), \phi^c_\ell(y)\right] = 0, \quad (11)$$

which follow trivially from Eq. (8). We also note that the definitions in Eq. (9) are chosen such that

$$\left[\phi^c_\ell(x), \phi^c_\ell(y)\right] = 0, \quad \left[\phi^c_\ell(x), \phi^c_\ell(y)\right] = 0. \quad (11)$$

Now introduce a “new” array of wires, defined on the “dual”-lattice sites, $j \equiv \ell + \hat{e}_x/2$, with the bosonic fields $[\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}]$ and $[\theta', \phi']$ [see Fig. 1(b)]. They are defined as

$$\hat{\theta}_j = \frac{\phi^c_{j+1} - \phi^c_j}{2}, \quad \phi^c_j = \frac{\phi^c_{j+1} + \phi^c_j}{2}. \quad (12)$$

Using the commutation relations in Eqs. (10) and (11), the commutation relations for the bosonic fields on the dual lattice are

$$\left[\phi^c_j(x), \phi^c_j(y)\right] = \frac{i\pi}{2} \text{sign}(x - y) \delta_{jj'}. \quad (10)$$

FIG. 1. (a) Representation of intra- and interwire scattering terms. Vertical arrows represent the tunneling of bosons between wires (e.g., $\sim \phi^c_{j} - \phi^c_{j+1}$). The circular arrows represent backscattering within a wire (e.g., $m\theta^c_{j} + m'\theta^c_{j+1}$). The dark (dashed) arrows represent a combination of all the processes involved in $C^{\dagger}_j(x) [C^c_j(x)]$ in Eq. (18). (b) Lattice of “dual” wires labeled by $j$. 
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sites are given by
\[ [\hat{\theta}_j(x), \hat{\phi}_j(y)] = [\theta'_j(x), \phi'_j(y)] \]
\[ = \frac{i\pi}{4} (m + m') \text{sign}(x - y) \delta_{jj'}, \]
and all other fields commute, i.e.,
\[ [\hat{\theta}_j(x), \theta'_j(y)] = [\phi'_j(x), \hat{\phi}_j(y)] \]
\[ = [\hat{\theta}_j(x), \phi'_j(y)] = [\theta'_j(x), \hat{\phi}_j(y)] = 0. \]
Thus far we have kept the description in terms of \( m, m' \) (\( \ell \) integers) completely general. As will become clear later in Sec. IV, we require from Eq. (13) that \( m + m' = 4 \) in order for the bulk anyonic quasiparticles to be mutual semions with a relative phase of \( \pi \). Moreover, in order to make the definitions symmetric, it is natural to choose \( m = m' = 2 \).

The remainder of our discussion will be based on the wires labeled \( j \). In particular, the usual LL Hamiltonian for these decoupled wires is given by
\[
H_0 = \sum_j \frac{\dot{\theta}_j}{2\pi} \int dx \left[ \frac{1}{4g_j} (\partial_x \hat{\theta}_j)^2 + \hat{g}_j (\partial_x \theta_j)^2 \right] + \sum_j \frac{\dot{\phi}_j}{2\pi} \int dx \left[ \frac{1}{4g'_j} (\partial_x \hat{\phi}_j)^2 + \hat{g}'_j (\partial_x \phi_j)^2 \right],
\]
where \( \dot{\theta}, \dot{\phi}, g, g' \) are the effective velocities and \( \hat{g}, \hat{g}' \) represent the Luttinger parameters for each individual wire.

In addition, we also allow for forward-scattering terms between different wires,
\[
H_F = \sum_{jk \neq k} \int dx (\partial_x \hat{\phi}_j \partial_x \phi_k) \mathcal{M}_{jk} \left( \frac{\partial_x \hat{\phi}_j}{\partial_x \phi_k} \right) + \sum_{jk \neq k} \int dx (\partial_x \phi_j' \partial_x \phi_k') \mathcal{M}'_{jk} \left( \frac{\partial_x \phi_j'}{\partial_x \phi_k'} \right),
\]
where the matrices \( \mathcal{M}_{jk}, \mathcal{M}'_{jk} \) represent \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices that describe interactions between wires labeled \( j \) and \( k \). The theory described in \( H_0 + H_F \) is quadratic in the fields \{\( \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}, \theta', \phi' \)\} and describes a "sliding" LL phase.

Let us now add to the above Hamiltonian further inter-channel scattering terms; it is useful to go back brieﬂy to the description of our system in terms of the original wires labeled \( \ell \) [Fig. 1(a)]. Then it is possible to write a term of the form
\[
H_{IC} = \sum_{\ell, a = 1, 2} \int dx C_{\ell, a} \mathcal{O}^a_{\ell}(x),
\]
with two specific choices of \( \mathcal{O}^a_{\ell}(x) \):
\[
\mathcal{O}^{a_1}_{\ell}(x) \sim \cos \left( \phi_1^a - \phi_{1, j+1}^a \right) = \cos \left[ \phi_1^a - \phi_{1, j+1}^a + m' \theta_{\ell, j+1}^a \right]
\]
\[ = \cos(2\theta_j^a), \]
\[
\mathcal{O}^{a_2}_{\ell}(x) \sim \cos \left( \phi_2^a - \phi_{2, j+1}^a \right) = \cos \left[ \phi_2^a - \phi_{2, j+1}^a + m' \theta_{\ell, j+1}^a \right]
\]
\[ = \cos(2\theta_j^a), \]
(18)
The solid and dashed arrows in Fig. 1(a) depict the scattering processes involved above. For our bosonic wires, we need \( m, m' \equiv 0 \) (mod2) so that the above terms can be written as a combination of interwire boson hoppings and scatterings off boson density fluctuations,
\[
\rho_\ell^{a_1a_1}(x) - \rho_\ell^{a_1a_1} \sim e^{2i\rho_\ell^{a_1a_1}x} + 2i \rho_\ell^{a_1a_1},
\]
in the wires [27,37], where we have taken the average densities \( \rho_\ell^{a_1a_1} \) to be independent of \( \ell \). Imagining the wires to be one-dimensional lattices with lattice constant \( a_\ell \), we set the average densities of bosons, \( \rho_\ell^{a_1a_1} \), at commensurate values so that the oscillatory factors \( e^{2\pi(x\pm a_\ell m)/\rho_\ell^{a_1a_1}} \) are equal to 1. Then, oscillatory factors do not appear in the combinations of hoppings and scatterings used to achieve Eq. (18) and they are thus not trivially rendered irrelevant in the long-wavelength limit.

We note that the scattering terms have been cleverly chosen such that they gap out all possible single-site modes; this follows from the observation that \( (a_\ell \phi_1^a + b_\ell \phi_2^a + c_\ell \phi_3^a + d_\ell \phi_4^a) \) can never commute with all the terms in \( H_{IC} \) simultaneously for any nontrivial choice of \( a_\ell, b_\ell, c_\ell, d_\ell \) [37]. Hence the bulk of the system will be gapped—one of the criteria for realizing a \( Z_2 \) spin liquid.

\( H_{IC} \) can therefore be most simply expressed as
\[
H_{IC} = \sum_j \left[ C_{j, 1} \cos(2\theta_j) + C_{j, 2} \cos(2\theta_j') \right],
\]
and the entire system is described in terms of the following Hamiltonian:
\[
H_{SL}[\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}, \theta', \phi'] = H_0 + H_F + H_{IC}.
\]
(21)
By appropriately tuning the values of \( \hat{\theta}_j, \hat{\phi}_j' \), both of the coefficients \( C_{j, a} \) can be made relevant. A simple choice is to set \( H_F = 0 \) and to set \( \hat{g}_j = \hat{g} \) and \( g'_j = g'' \). This choice produces independent sine-Gordon models for each of the \( j \) wires. Then we have the following renormalization-group (RG) flow equations for these coefficients [27,38]:
\[
\frac{dC_{j, 1}}{dl} = \left( 2 - \frac{m + m'}{2} \hat{g} \right) C_{j, 1},
\]
\[
\frac{dC_{j, 2}}{dl} = \left( 2 - \frac{m + m'}{2} \hat{g}' \right) C_{j, 2}.
\]
(22)
Therefore, at low energies, the system flows to a gapped phase in which both \( \hat{\theta} \) and \( \theta' \) are localized in the respective wells of the cosine potential if \( \hat{g}(l = 0), g''(l = 0) < 4/(m + m') \); this is made possible by the additional fact that these fields commute. We will henceforth make \( C_{j, 1} \) and \( C_{j, 2} \) independent of \( j \) as well, and drop the \( j \) label on them. Moreover, in the remainder of this paper, we shall set \( m = m' = 2 \), unless stated otherwise.

IV. BULK AND EDGE EXCITATIONS

Let us now investigate the nature of the excitations that arise in the system described by \( H_{SL}[\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}, \theta', \phi'] \). In particular, our aim is to identify the bulk anyonic quasiparticles along with the operators that transport them and study the fate of the edge excitations.

A. Bulk quasiparticles and Wilson loops

It is clear from the form of the term in Eq. (20) that quasiparticles (in the bulk) correspond to kinks in \( \theta_j \) and \( \theta_j' \), where they jump by \( \pi \); the states described by \( \hat{\theta}_j \) and
induces a branch cut in the hopping of a "spinon" (\(\hat{\theta}
\)). Here we take a \(\hat{\theta}
\) to the number of full revolution, it implies an exchange statistical angle of \(\theta\n\).

There can be an additional composite quasiparticle, associated with a kink in \(\hat{\theta}\n\) \(\hat{\theta}\) and \(\hat{\rho}\n\). The operators \(e^{\pm i\phi/2}\) create and annihilate \(\hat{\theta}\) quasiparticles, while the operators \(e^{\pm i\phi\theta'/2}\) create and annihilate \(\theta'\) quasiparticles, respectively.

Let us now construct the local operators that hop quasiparticles from wire \(j\) to wire \(j + 1\),

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Theta}_{j,j+1} &= e^{i(\hat{\phi}_j - \hat{\phi}_{j+1} - \hat{\phi}_{j'} + \hat{\phi}_{j+1}')} = e^{-2i\theta''_j}, \\
\hat{\Theta}'_{j,j+1} &= e^{i(\phi_j - \phi_{j+1} - \phi_{j'} + \phi_{j+1}')/2} = e^{-2i\theta''_j},
\end{align*}
\]

which are again proportional to the previously discussed scatterings off density fluctuations on the original \(a, b\) wires [Eq. (19)]. The operators that transfer quasiparticles from \(x_1\) to \(x_2\) along wire \(j\) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\xi}_j(x_1, x_2) &= e^{-i\int_{x_1}^{x_2} ds (\hat{\phi}_j - \hat{\phi}_{j+1)}/2), \\
\hat{\xi}'_j(x_1, x_2) &= e^{-i\int_{x_1}^{x_2} ds (\hat{\phi}_j - \hat{\phi}_{j+1})/2),
\end{align*}
\]

which can again be expressed in terms of the \(a, b\) boson currents and densities.

The mutual statistics of the bulk quasiparticles is easily generated by computing the phase generated by taking a quasiparticle around a loop adiabatically [27]. Such a process is illustrated in Fig. 2(a). The Berry phases generated by such processes are

\[
e^{i\Phi} = \left( \prod_{i < j < l} \hat{\Xi}_{j,l-1}(x_2) \right) \hat{\xi}_{l-1}(x_2, x_1) \left( \prod_{i < j < l} \hat{\Xi}_{j,l-1}(x_1) \right)^\dagger \hat{\xi}_{j,l-1}(x_1, x_2),
\]

\[
\Phi = -\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{\partial x_1^j}{2} \partial_t \theta_j' - \frac{\partial x_1^j}{2} \partial_t \theta_j' - \sum_{j < j < l} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \partial_x \theta_j' = -\pi \left( N_{j+1} + N_{j-1} + 2 \sum_{j < j < l} N_j \right),
\]

where \(\hat{N}_j, N_j'\) are the number of \(\hat{\theta}\) and \(\theta'\) quasiparticles inside the loop on wire \(j\).

A phase of \(-\pi\) is picked up for each quasiparticle of the other kind inside the loop (and \(-\pi/2\) for those on the boundaries of the loop along the wires). Moreover, the phase accumulated is 0, in the absence of any quasiparticles inside the loop, thereby establishing mutual semionic statistics. We can identify the above quasiparticles as the \(e\) and the \(m\) introduced in Sec. II above. At this point, there is nothing in our construction that distinguishes between the two quasiparticles.

We note that the above fields commute mutually and hence there can be an additional composite quasiparticle, associated with a simultaneous kink in \(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}'\). The density operator for this quasiparticle is given by \(\partial_t (\hat{\theta}_{j} + \hat{\theta}_{j}')/(2\pi)\) and it is created and annihilated by \(e^{\pm i(\hat{\phi}_j + \hat{\phi}_{j}')/2}\), respectively. Repeating the above procedure, we see that this quasiparticle has semionic statistics with each of the \(\hat{\theta}\) and \(\hat{\theta}'\) quasiparticles. Similarly, we also note that each such quasiparticle inside the loop contributes a phase of \(-2\pi\) to the one being taken around. Since this involves a full revolution, it implies an exchange statistical angle of \(-\pi\), corresponding to only half a revolution. Thus, this additional composite quasiparticle is a fermion and can be identified as the \(\epsilon\) introduced earlier in Sec. II.

We now place the array of \(n\) wires (i.e., \(j = 1, \ldots, n\)) on a torus of dimensions \((L_x, n)\) (Fig. 3). The Wilson loop
operators \([35,39,40]\) are then given by

\[
\hat{W}_y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\xi}_{j,j+1}(x), \quad \hat{W}_x = \hat{\xi}_1(0, L_x),
\]

\[
W'_y(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\zeta}'_{j,j+1}(x), \quad W'_x = \hat{\zeta}'_1(0, L_x).
\]  

(27)

We use periodic boundary conditions to identify \(n + 1 = 1\) and \(L_x = 0\). They obey the algebra

\[
\hat{W}_x W'_y(x) = -W'_y(x) \hat{W}_x, \quad W'_x \hat{W}_y(x) = -\hat{W}_y(x) W'_x,
\]

(28)

with all other combinations commuting. This operator algebra is easily realized by two independent sets of Pauli matrices, signaling the fourfold degeneracy of the ground state on the torus.

One possible choice for the action of the time-reversal operator \(T\) on the bosonic fields is \([37]\)

\[
T : \theta^a \rightarrow \theta^a, \theta^\dagger \rightarrow -\theta^\dagger, \phi^a \rightarrow -\phi^a, \phi^\dagger \rightarrow \phi^\dagger + \pi.
\]  

(29)

The wires labeled \(b\) can then be thought of as being derived from the bosonization of XX spin-1/2 chains \([38,41]\), while the wires labeled \(a\) are simply neutral spinless bosons. This is consistent with the above choice of time reversal and the requirement that \(e^{i\pi \beta x}\) does not oscillate. Then, the interwire terms in Eq. (18) are only invariant under the SO(2) rotations of the spin components in the \(XY\) plane, given by \(\phi^b \rightarrow \phi^b + f\), and not under the SO(3) rotations that mix \(\phi^a\) and \(\theta^\dagger\) \([42]\).

This choice of time reversal sends \(\theta^a \rightarrow -\theta^a\), while leaving \(\theta^\dagger\) invariant; since we wish to identify the time-reversal odd excitations of the toric code with physical spin densities, we call \(\theta\) “spinons” and \(\theta^\dagger\) “visons”. With this choice, the kink/antikink creation operator transforms as

\[
T : e^{i\xi \phi^a / 2} \rightarrow \mp i e^{i\xi \phi^a / 2}, \quad e^{i\xi \phi^\dagger / 2} \rightarrow e^{i\xi \phi^\dagger / 2},
\]

\[
T^2 : e^{i\xi \phi^a / 2} \rightarrow -e^{i\xi \phi^a / 2}, \quad e^{i\xi \phi^\dagger / 2} \rightarrow e^{i\xi \phi^\dagger / 2},
\]

(30)

keeping in mind that \(T^2 = -1\) for the \(s\) (fermion) quasiparticle.

Since the bulk is gapped, we can perturbatively add interwire hoppings for the spinons to the Hamiltonian,

\[
H^{\text{hop}}_{j,j+1}(x) = -t e^{i\Phi_{j,j+1}(x)} e^{i(\theta_j - \phi_{j+1}) / 2} + \text{H.c.},
\]

\[
\Phi_{j,j+1}(x) = -[(\theta_j^\dagger(x)) + (\theta^a_{j+1}(x))] / 2.
\]  

(31)

As long as \(t\) is much smaller than the bulk gaps, this should not destabilize the coupled-wire fixed point. Let us consider the hopping of a spinon at \(x\) from wire \(j = 1\) to \(j + 1\), in the presence of a viscous located at \(x = 0\) on wire \(j\) \([\text{Fig. 2(b)}]\). The hopping amplitude for this process is \(h_{j-1,j+1}(x) \propto t^2 e^{i\Phi_{j-1,j+1}(x)}\). Since the presence of the viscous causes \(\theta^\dagger_j\) to jump by \(\pi\) at \(x = 0\), we can see that \(h_{j-1,j+1}(x > 0) = -h_{j-1,j+1}(x < 0)\). Thus the viscous induces a branch cut for the spinon hopping, as we know already from parton constructions of \(Z_2\) spin liquids \([43]\). A different model of coupled spin chains without \(Z_2\) topological order but with spinons capable of hopping between chains was previously proposed in Ref. \([44]\).

B. Bulk gap

The bulk quasiparticle excitations are gapped, with a finite energy required to create them. The gaps are nonuniversal and are, in general, different for \(\theta\) and \(\theta^\dagger\), which would correspond to different gaps for the spinons and visons. As we show below, they depend on the details of the renormalization-group flows of the sine-Gordon models on the \(j\) wires. The flow equations for \(C_1, C_2\) are given by Eq. (22); the equations for \(g, g^\prime\) are \([38]\)

\[
\frac{dg}{dl} = -A_1 C_1^2 g^3, \quad \frac{dg'}{dl} = -A_2 C_2^2 g'^3,
\]  

(32)

where \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are nonuniversal numerical constants. Defining \(z_1^2 = 2g - 2, \ z_2^2 = 2g' - 2, \ z_1^2 = C_1/\sqrt{8A_1}, \ z_2^2 = C_2/\sqrt{8A_2}\), we have the Kosterlitz-Thouless RG equations for small \(|z_1^2|\).

\[
\frac{dz_{1,2}^1}{dl} \approx -(z_{1,2}^1)^2, \quad \frac{dz_{1,2}^2}{dl} \approx -z_{1,2}^1 z_{1,2}^2.
\]  

(33)

When \(z_{1,2}^1 < 0\) and \(z_{1,2}^2 \leq |z_{1,2}^1|\), the system flows to strong coupling and the bulk is gapped. Additionally, when \(z_{1,2}^2 > -1\), the low-energy excitations in the bulk are the kinks we discussed previously, and the bulk gaps \(\Delta_{1,2} \sim \sqrt{z_{1,2}^2 + 2}\) \([38]\). Note that the RG equations do not have a stable fixed point, and hence the flows will be stopped by nonuniversal scales. The kinks are of the form

\[\langle \theta(x) \rangle \sim \tan^{-1}(x/w_1), \quad \langle \theta'(x) \rangle \sim \tan^{-1}(x/w_2),\]

(34)

where \(w_{1,2} \sim 1/\sqrt{z_{1,2}^2 + 2}\) \([38]\). However, we will assume that the widths \(w_{1,2}\) of the kinks are much smaller than the other length scales in our model, and treat the kinks as sharp step functions.

C. Physics at the edges

In a wire array where \(l\) runs from 1 to \(n\), the fields \(\phi_1^a, \phi_1^\dagger\) and \(\phi_n^a, \phi_n^\dagger\) living on the edges do not appear in the sine-Gordon terms \(\Omega_m^a\). Thus, these nonchiral modes are gapless and also commute with the Hamiltonian. In the absence of additional symmetries, we are free to add sine-Gordon terms to the edges to gap these modes out; for example, we can add

\[H_{\text{edge}} = \int dx \left[ D_1 \cos \left( 2 \phi_1^a \right) + D_n \cos \left( 2 \phi_n^a \right) \right].\]

(35)
and tune the kinetic terms on the edges, as we did before for the bulk, to make these relevant. This localizes \( \phi_1^\dagger \) and \( \phi_n^\dagger \). Due to the nonvanishing commutators [Eq. (10)] between \( \phi_1^\dagger, \phi_1^\dagger \) and \( \phi_n^\dagger, \phi_n^\dagger \), fluctuations of \( \phi_1^\dagger \) and \( \phi_n^\dagger \) are maximized due to the uncertainty principle, and these modes are consequently gapped [37]. Note that \( \phi_1, \phi_1 \) (or \( \phi_n, \phi_n \)) cannot be simultaneously localized owing to their nonvanishing mutual commutators [Eq. (10)]. Thus, our results are consistent with the usual expectations for the edge of the toric code, which can either be of the \( m \) or \( e \) type, but not both [45,46].

The edge fields \( \phi_1^\dagger \rightarrow -\phi_1^\dagger \) and \( \phi_n^\dagger \rightarrow -\phi_n^\dagger \) under time reversal [Eq. (29)]; thus, if they are localized to 0, by Eq. (35) the edges will be gapped without spontaneously breaking time-reversal symmetry.

V. FERMIONIZATION AND \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) FRACTIONALIZED FERMI LIQUID

The previous section provided a coupled-wire construction for the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) spin liquid using a purely bosonic model. Let us now fermionize the spinons in \( \text{H}_{\text{SL}} \), as this will be necessary for our construction of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) FL*. The FL* is a phase of matter where a Fermi liquid with gapless excitations coexists with a background spin liquid. The simplest examples of FL* arise in two-band Kondo-Heisenberg lattice models [47]. In a simplified picture of such models, the local moments interacting via Heisenberg exchange interactions can form the spin liquid, while the conduction electrons form a Fermi liquid with a “small” Fermi surface. In the limit of a weak Kondo exchange between the local and itinerant electrons, the resulting FL* phase violates Luttinger’s theorem [48], which can be understood as arising from the presence of background topological order [49].

In order to fermionize the spinons, we first add a new set of bosonic “chargon” fields \( \theta_j^\dagger, \theta_j \) to the wires labeled by \( j \) which satisfy

\[
[\theta_j^\dagger(x), \theta_j^\dagger(y)] = i\pi \text{sign}(x - y) \delta_{jj'}.
\]

Their Hamiltonian is given by

\[
\mathcal{H}_c = \sum_j \left\{ \frac{\psi^\dagger}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{g_c} \left( \partial_x \theta_j^\dagger \right)^2 + g_c \left( \partial_x \phi_j^\dagger \right)^2 \right] + C_c \cos(2\theta_j^\dagger) \right\},
\]

with \( g_c \) chosen so that \( \mathcal{H}_c \) is gapped, and \( \text{H}_{\text{SL}} \rightarrow \text{H}_{\text{SL}} + \mathcal{H}_c \). We then consider the \( \theta, \phi \) and \( \theta^\dagger, \phi^\dagger \) to respectively describe the long-wavelength spin and charge sectors of spinful fermionic Luttinger-liquid wires,

\[
\theta_j = \theta_{j+} - \theta_{j-}, \quad \phi_j = \phi_{j+} - \phi_{j-},
\]

\[
\theta_j^\dagger = \theta_{j+} + \theta_{j-}, \quad \phi_j^\dagger = \phi_{j+} + \phi_{j-}.
\]

Given the commutation relations in Eqs. (13), (14), and (36), we demand that the fields introduced above satisfy the following commutation relations:

\[
[\theta_{j\sigma}(x), \phi_{j'\sigma'}(y)] = i \frac{\pi}{2} \text{sign}(x - y) \delta_{jj'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}.
\]

These are the canonical Luttinger-liquid commutators. Thus, the fermion creation and annihilation operators may then be written as (\( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \))

\[
\psi_{j\sigma}^R(x) = \frac{F_j}{\sqrt{2\pi}x} e^{i[k_j^R x + \phi_{j\sigma}(x) + \theta_{j\sigma}(x)]},
\]

\[
\psi_{j\sigma}^L(x) = \frac{F_j^\dagger}{\sqrt{2\pi}x} e^{-i[k_j^L x + \phi_{j\sigma}(x) - \theta_{j\sigma}(x)]}.
\]

The \( F_j \) represent the Klein factors that ensure anticommutation on different wires and \( x_j \) is a short-distance cutoff; one possible choice for the Klein factors is [27]

\[
F_j = (-1)^{\sum_{\sigma} N_{\sigma}^R N_{\sigma}^L},
\]

\[
N_{\sigma}^{R/L} = \pm \int dx \frac{\partial_{\sigma}}{2\pi} [\phi_{j\sigma}(x) \pm \theta_{j\sigma}(x)].
\]

Under time reversal given by Eq. (29), we have

\[
\theta_j^\uparrow \leftrightarrow \theta_j^\downarrow,
\]

\[
\phi_j^\uparrow \rightarrow -\phi_j^\downarrow + \pi/2, \quad \phi_j^\downarrow \rightarrow -\phi_j^\uparrow - \pi/2,
\]

\[
\psi_{j\sigma}^{R/L} \rightarrow (-1)^\sigma \psi_{j\sigma}^{L/R},
\]

where we made a symmetric choice for the phase factors in the second line of the above.

The spin lowering and raising operators corresponding to the above definitions are given by

\[
S_j^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{j+ \uparrow}^R \psi_{j- \uparrow}^\dagger + \psi_{j- \uparrow}^R \psi_{j+ \uparrow}^\dagger),
\]

\[
S_j^- = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{j+ \downarrow}^R \psi_{j- \downarrow}^\dagger + \psi_{j- \downarrow}^R \psi_{j+ \downarrow}^\dagger),
\]

which can be reexpressed in terms of the bosonic fields as

\[
S_j^+ = \frac{1}{4\pi x_c} \text{e}^{-i(\phi_j^\dagger + \phi_j)},
\]

\[
S_j^- = \frac{1}{4\pi x_c} \text{e}^{i(\phi_j^\dagger - \phi_j)}.
\]

On the other hand, the \( z \) component is given by

\[
S_j^z = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{j+ \uparrow}^R \psi_{j- \downarrow}^\dagger - \psi_{j- \uparrow}^R \psi_{j+ \downarrow}^\dagger - \psi_{j+ \downarrow}^R \psi_{j- \uparrow}^\dagger + \psi_{j- \downarrow}^R \psi_{j+ \uparrow}^\dagger) = \frac{\partial_{\sigma}}{2\pi} \theta_j^\dagger.
\]

Thus we have \( S_j \rightarrow -S_j \) under \( T \).

\( S_{\pm} \) switch antikinks (\( \equiv \uparrow \)) to kinks (\( \equiv \uparrow \)), and vice versa. Thus, they create and annihilate two spinons at a time respectively. The spin-sector sine-Gordon term maps to the backscattering term,

\[
C_1 \cos(2\theta_j^\uparrow) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_1 \psi_{j+ \uparrow}^L \psi_{j- \downarrow}^\dagger + \psi_{j- \uparrow}^L \psi_{j+ \downarrow}^\dagger + \text{H.c.},
\]

which does not have any oscillatory \( e^{iL_{\sigma}^x} \) factors and hence is not trivially rendered irrelevant in the long-wavelength limit. For the charge sector, we have

\[
C_c \cos(2\theta_j^\dagger) \rightarrow e^{iL_{\sigma}^x} C_c \psi_{j+ \downarrow}^R \psi_{j- \uparrow}^\dagger \psi_{j+ \uparrow}^L \psi_{j- \downarrow}^\dagger + \text{H.c.}
\]

Imagining the fermions to live on a lattice with lattice constant \( a_0 \) as before, we tune to half filling \( k_{F}^2 = \pi/(2a_0) \) to eliminate the oscillatory factor in the above so that we can have both charge and spin gaps.
Thus we have
\[ H_{\text{SL}} \rightarrow H_f + H_v. \]
\[
H_f = \sum_{j,\sigma} dx \left\{ v_j \left[ \psi_{ja}^R \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \psi_{ja}^L - \psi_{ja}^L \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \psi_{ja}^R \right] + C_1 \left( \psi_{ja}^L \psi_{ja}^L \psi_{ja}^R \psi_{ja}^R + \text{H.c.} \right) + C_2 \cos(2\theta'_j), \right\}
\]
\[
H_v = \sum_{j} \frac{v'_j}{2\pi} \int dx \left[ \frac{1}{g'} (\partial_x \theta'_j)^2 + g'(\partial_x \phi'_j)^2 \right] + C_2 \cos(2\theta'_j),
\]
where \( H_v \) corresponds to the vison piece unaffected by the fermionization.

The spinons (together with chargons) may be hopped between wires by adding perturbative nonchiral hoppings of the fermions,
\[
H_{\text{hop}}^{f,:\sigma}(x) = -i e^{\Phi_{j+1,\sigma}(x)} \left[ \psi_{j+1,\sigma}^L \psi_{j,\sigma}^R(x) \right] + H_c.,
\]
with the phase of the hopping amplitude given by Eq. (31) as the chargons have trivial mutual statistics with the visons.

To realize the FL*, we add another set of wires to the sites labeled by \( j \), carrying the conduction electrons labeled by \( c_{ja}^{\sigma} \); we also add nonchiral hoppings between these wires so that the electrons form a quasi-1D Fermi surface (Fig. 4). The conduction electrons are described by
\[
\sum_{j,\sigma} \int dx \left\{ v_p c_{ja}^{\sigma} \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) c_{ja}^{\sigma} - t_1 (c_{j+1,\sigma}^{\sigma} c_{ja}^{\sigma} + c_{j+1,\sigma}^{\sigma} c_{ja}^{\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) \right\}. \]

The spin density corresponding to the conduction electrons is denoted
\[
s_j = \frac{1}{2} (c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma} c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma} + c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma} c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma}). \]

We now couple the spin sector of the electrons to the spinons via a local spin-spin coupling, similar to the Kondo coupling,
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**FIG. 4.** (a) The additional set of wires (dashed blue line) carrying the conduction electrons. Nonchiral tunneling between these wires (red arrows) allows the electrons to form a two-dimensional Fermi liquid, coupled to the spin-liquid background (FL*). (b) Schematic Fermi surface of such a Fermi liquid.

---

2. Recently, a construction for an FL* was proposed starting from a set of decoupled wires in Ref. [50]. However, the phase obtained in the above paper is not a \( Z_2 \) FL* and does not discuss the topological structure or nature of its anyonic excitations.

---

**A. Kondo Hamiltonian**

We begin by using a local Kondo coupling, \( H_K = J_K \sum_j [\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s}], \) that preserves the SU(2) spin rotation symmetry. Then,
\[
H_{\text{FL}^*} = H_{\text{el}} + H_{\text{SL}} + H_K,
\]
\[
H_K = \sum_j \frac{J_K}{4} \int dx \Gamma_j \cdot \tau_j,
\]
\[
\Gamma_j = \left( c_{j,\sigma}^{R} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s} c_{j,\sigma}^{R} + c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s} c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma} \right),
\]
\[
\tau_j = \left( c_{j,\sigma}^{R} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s} c_{j,\sigma}^{R} + c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{s} c_{j,\sigma}^{\sigma} \right),
\]
where \( H_{\text{FL}^*} \) is as described in Eq. (21) earlier. Even though the Hamiltonian looks like a standard Kondo-type Hamiltonian, there is a subtlety associated here with the specific construction used to arrive at the description of the \( Z_2 \) spin liquid. The spin-spin coupling in \( H_K \) does not commute with \( H_{\text{IC}} \) [in \( H_{\text{SL}} \]; see Eq. (21)] as \( S_j^{x,y} \) depend on \( \hat{\phi}_j \) after bosonization. However, we appeal to our physical intuition here; since the spin-liquid background is gapped, the phase obtained by coupling it to a Fermi liquid will be perturbatively stable as long as the Kondo coupling is small compared to the typical gaps (i.e., \( J_K \ll \min(\Delta_{1,2}) \)). Thus in the small \( J_K \) limit, we realize the \( Z_2 \) FL* phase without any broken symmetries. However, it remains an interesting open problem to study the fate of this phase when the above condition is not satisfied.

**B. Ising limit**

There is a special limit in which the complications described above can be circumvented. Suppose \( J_K^+ \gg J_K^+ \) as a result of easy-axis anisotropy. The Kondo coupling then essentially involves only a local \( H_K^+ = J_K \sum_j [S_j^x s_j^z] \) coupling. We then have
\[
H_{\text{FL}^*} = H_{\text{el}} + H_{\text{SL}} + H_K^+,
\]
\[
H_K^+ = \sum_j \frac{J_K^+}{4} \int dx \Gamma_j^+ \cdot \tau_j^+.
\]
Since $S^z_j$ depends only on $\hat{\theta}_j$ in the bosonized language, it commutes with $H_{\text{FF}}$ [in $H_{\text{SLL}}$; see Eq. (21)]. Thus, the spin-liquid background is stable for any reasonable value of $J_K$, as long as it is not strong enough to drive Kondo screening. Moreover, we do not expect the gapped spinons to induce any non-Fermi-liquid behavior for the electrons. Therefore, for small values of $J_X$, we realize once again a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ FL* [that explicitly breaks the SU(2) spin rotation symmetry] with a Fermi surface of the type shown in Fig. 4(b).

VI. DISCUSSION

In this work, we have tried to extend the general program of constructing two-dimensional correlated phases of matter by coupling together an array of one-dimensional wires. In particular, we have demonstrated that it is possible to explicitly construct a time-reversal symmetric phase of matter that has the following characteristics: (i) Energy gap in the bulk and at the edge, (ii) three bulk anyonic quasiparticles which are mutual semions, and (iii) nontrivial ground-state degeneracy on a torus and is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquid. In the limit of a weak Kondo-type coupling to an itinerant Fermi sea, we have also constructed a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ FL*.

It would be interesting to explore the possibility of realizing other time-reversal symmetric spin-liquid phases in two spatial dimensions with gapless excitations in the bulk. A particular example is the U(1) spin liquid with a spinon Fermi surface [22], which can potentially be constructed in a manner similar to the one proposed for the half-filled Landau level [28]. The fate, or even the existence, of the strong-coupling fixed point for the above spin-liquid problem remains unanswered [51] and it would be interesting to see if a complementary approach, such as the one proposed here, can address some of these unresolved questions.
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