

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# The Risk of Dengue Virus Transmission in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania during an Epidemic Period of 2014

Leonard E. G. Mboera<sup>1\*</sup>, Clement N. Mweya<sup>1</sup>, Susan F. Rumisha<sup>1</sup>, Patrick K. Tungu<sup>1</sup>, Grades Stanley<sup>1</sup>, Mariam R. Makange<sup>2</sup>, Gerald Misinzo<sup>2</sup>, Pasquale De Nardo<sup>3</sup>, Francesco Vairo<sup>3</sup>, Ndeky M. Oriyo<sup>1</sup>

**1** National Institute for Medical Research, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, **2** Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Parasitology, Sokoine University of Agriculture, Morogoro, Tanzania, **3** National Institute for Infectious Diseases, "L. Spallanzani", Rome, Italy

\* [lmboera@nimr.or.tz](mailto:lmboera@nimr.or.tz)



CrossMark  
click for updates

 OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Mboera LEG, Mweya CN, Rumisha SF, Tungu PK, Stanley G, Makange MR, et al. (2016) The Risk of Dengue Virus Transmission in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania during an Epidemic Period of 2014. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 10(1): e0004313. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313

**Editor:** Ken E. Olson, Colorado State University, UNITED STATES

**Received:** August 6, 2015

**Accepted:** November 30, 2015

**Published:** January 26, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Mboera et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data underlying the findings in the manuscript is available at the National Institute for Medical Research, Tanzania Interested researchers can access the data upon request using Data Transfer Agreement application form available through URL: <http://www.nimr.or.tz> Contact for the data is DIRECTOR GENERAL, NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH, 3 Barack Obama Drive, 11101 Dar es Salaam, Tanzania; Telephone +255 222121400; E-mail: [hq@nimr.or.tz](mailto:hq@nimr.or.tz).

**Funding:** The authors received no specific funding for this work.

## Abstract

### Background

In 2010, 2012, 2013 and 2014 dengue outbreaks have been reported in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. However, there is no comprehensive data on the risk of transmission of dengue in the country. The objective of this study was to assess the risk of transmission of dengue in Dar es Salaam during the 2014 epidemic.

### Methodology/Principal Findings

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania during the dengue outbreak of 2014. The study involved Ilala, Kinondoni and Temeke districts. Adult mosquitoes were collected using carbon dioxide-propane powered Mosquito Magnet Liberty Plus traps. In each household compound, water-holding containers were examined for mosquito larvae and pupae. Dengue virus infection of mosquitoes was determined using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Partial amplification and sequencing of dengue virus genome in infected mosquitoes was performed. A total of 1,000 adult mosquitoes were collected. Over half (59.9%) of the adult mosquitoes were collected in Kinondoni. *Aedes aegypti* accounted for 17.2% of the mosquitoes of which 90.6% were from Kinondoni. Of a total of 796 houses inspected, 38.3% had water-holding containers in their premises. Kinondoni had the largest proportion of water-holding containers (57.7%), followed by Temeke (31.4%) and Ilala (23.4%). The most common breeding containers for the *Aedes* mosquitoes were discarded plastic containers and tires. High *Aedes* infestation indices were observed for all districts and sites, with a house index of 18.1% in Ilala, 25.5% in Temeke and 35.3% in Kinondoni. The respective container indices were 77.4%, 65.2% and 80.2%. Of the reared larvae and pupae, 5,250 adult mosquitoes emerged, of which 61.9% were *Ae. aegypti*. Overall, 27 (8.18) of the 330 pools of *Ae. aegypti* were positive for dengue virus. On average, the overall maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) indicates pooled

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interest exist.

infection rate of 8.49 per 1,000 mosquitoes (95%CI = 5.72–12.16). There was no significant difference in pooled infection rates between the districts. Dengue viruses in the tested mosquitoes clustered into serotype 2 cosmopolitan genotype.

## Conclusions/Significance

*Ae. aegypti* is the main vector of dengue in Dar es Salaam and breeds mainly in medium size plastic containers and tires. The Aedes house indices were high, indicating that the three districts were at high risk of dengue transmission. The 2014 dengue outbreak was caused by Dengue virus serotype 2. The high mosquito larval and pupal indices in the area require intensification of vector surveillance along with source reduction and health education.

## Author Summary

Until 2010, little was known about Dengue in Tanzania. Since then, four outbreaks have been reported in Dar es Salaam City. This study was therefore carried out to assess the risk of transmission of dengue in Dar es Salaam during an outbreak in 2014. In this study adult mosquitoes were collected using carbon dioxide-propane powered traps. In addition, household compounds were visited and all water-holding containers examined for presence of mosquito larvae and pupae. Mosquito virus infection was determined using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Of the total of 1,000 adult mosquitoes collected, *Aedes aegypti* accounted for 17.2%. A total of 796 houses were inspected and 38.3% had water-holding containers in their premises. The most common breeding containers for the Aedes mosquitoes were discarded plastic containers and tires. High Aedes infestation indices were observed for all districts and sites, with a house and container indices ranging from 18.1–25.5% and 65.2–80.2%, respectively. The Breteau indices were 30.6, 20.8 and 25.3 in Ilala, Kinondoni and Tememe, respectively. An overall 8.18% of mosquito pools were infected with dengue virus serotype 2. The overall maximum likelihood estimate of pooled infection rate of 8.49 per 1,000 mosquitoes was observed. This information is useful for the design of appropriate vector surveillance and control strategies in the City of Dar es Salaam.

## Introduction

Dengue is one of the most important mosquito-borne viral diseases in the tropics and subtropics. Although the exact global burden of dengue cases is unknown, recent estimates indicate that 390 million dengue infections occur annually. Of these, 96 million cases manifests clinically [1] with about half a million cases of dengue haemorrhagic fever requiring hospitalization [2]. Dengue is prevalent in Africa, though rarely reported. Statistics indicate that the disease has increased dramatically since 1980, with epidemics occurring in both eastern and western Africa [3–5]. The World Health Organization statistics indicate that 2.4% of the global dengue haemorrhagic fever cases occur in Africa and one-fifth of the population in the continent is at risk [6].

Although very little is known of the disease in Tanzania, dengue has an historical relationship with this East African country. The name dengue is believed to have been derived

Kiswahili words “*ki dengha pepo*”, meaning cramp-like seizure caused by an evil spirit. This condition was first described by Spanish sailors visiting the southern coast of Tanzania during the 15<sup>th</sup> Century [4,7,8]. In the 1823 and 1870, dengue was reported on Zanzibar Islands [3]. Since then, three epidemiological surveys have indicated that different areas of Tanzania including Zanzibar, Iringa and Mbeya have reported varying prevalence of antibodies against dengue virus (DENV) in humans [9–11]. In 2010, 2012, 2013 and 2014, dengue outbreaks were reported in Dar es Salaam, with the worst outbreak in 2014 (Ministry of Health & Social Welfare, unpubl.). From January 2014 until end of May 2014, the cumulative number of confirmed and suspected dengue cases was 961 and 1,969, respectively (Ministry of Health and Social Welfare, unpubl.; <http://promedmail.chip.org/pipermail/promed-eafr/2014-May/001515.html>).

The most important vectors of dengue world-wide are *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*. Of the two mosquito species, *Ae. aegypti* is the most important vector because of being highly domesticated, strongly anthropophilic, a nervous feeder and a discordant species [12].

Despite the recent dengue outbreaks in Tanzania, there is no comprehensive data on the risk of transmission in the country. This study was therefore carried out to assess the risk of transmission of dengue in Dar es Salaam during an outbreak in 2014. Specifically, the study aimed to determine the (i) distribution and abundance of dengue vectors in Dar es Salaam; (ii) pattern of *Ae. aegypti* infestation and container productivity; and (iii) dengue virus infection in the mosquito vectors.


## Methods

### Study area

This study was carried out in Dar es Salaam region in eastern Tanzania and involved Kinondoni, Ilala and Temeke Districts (Fig 1). Dar es Salaam is the largest commercial City in Tanzania with an area of 1,339 km<sup>2</sup>. The region has a population of 4,364,541 (Ilala = 1,220,611; Kinondoni = 1,775,049; Temeke = 1,368,881), with 3,313 people per square kilometre and an annual growth rate of 5.6% [13]. The climate of Dar es Salaam is generally hot and humid with small seasonal and daily variations in temperature. The mean daily temperature is about 26°C, with the lowest and highest temperatures in July-August and February-March, respectively. Dar es Salaam has two dry and two rainy seasons. The main dry season lasts from June to September. The rainy seasons are from October-December and March-May. The total annual rainfall averages 1100 mm. Relative humidity is generally high, reaching 100% almost every night throughout the year, but falling to 60% during the day [14].

### Study design and sites

This cross-sectional study involved three sites (wards) in each district. The sites were selected based on the ecological and demographic characteristics. In Kinondoni the study sites were Msasani, Sinza and Kwembe. In Ilala, the sites were Kivukoni, Jangwani and Tabata. In Temeke the sites were Kigamboni, Miburani and Chamazi. Msasani, Kivukoni, and Kigamboni are high-income areas located along the Indian Ocean and characterized by sparsely populated neighbourhood. The sites have regular blocks with high standard dwellings, high vegetation coverage, piped water supply and regular garbage collection. The houses generally have large and shaded peridomestic environments. Sinza, Jangwani and Miburani are middle-class residential areas, with high density population and almost at the central location in the respective districts. The areas are characterized by poor garbage storage, collection and disposal. In these areas, shortage of piped water is common. Kwembe, Tabata and Chamazi are rural and located at the peripheral areas of the respective districts and characterized low population density.



**Fig 1. Study districts and sites in Dar es Salaam.**

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313.g001

The survey was conducted during the dengue outbreak in May-June 2014. A survey frame of households was obtained using a simple random sampling (without replacement) technique that was applied to select 100 households for the study in an identified block within each study site. A hand-held Geographical Positioning Systems (Magellan Meridian) was used to determine the geo-coordinates of the study sites.

### Adult mosquito collections

Adult mosquitoes were collected using carbon dioxide-propane powered Mosquito Magnet Liberty Plus traps (American Biophysics Corporation, Rhode Island, USA), fixed at nine sentinel sites (three sites in each district). Nine traps were set in each site and allowed to operate for three days consecutively. The traps were set in the morning and operated throughout the day and night. The mosquito catches were collected the following day and stored for further analysis.

### Larval and pupae surveys

Each household compound was examined for water holding containers and the presence of larvae and pupae of *Aedes* mosquitoes. Upon the entrance of the household premise, the yard and the interior of the household were thoroughly inspected for water-holding containers. All water-holding containers found were examined for presence of mosquito larvae and pupae. In each household compound, information on type of container, water type/state, approximate container volume, and water volume within the container and the number of larvae and pupae was recorded.

All larvae and pupae were collected using large-mouthed pipettes. In large containers a standard plastic dipper was used for larvae and pupae collection. Larvae and pupae were transported in lidded plastic containers and reared to adult stage in an insectary at Muhimbili University of Health Sciences. Pupae were kept in small water-filled plastic vials and placed into a netted cage until emergence of adults for accurate species identification. Adult mosquitoes were identified to genus or species using morphological identification keys [15]. The hatched mosquitoes were immediately killed by freezing and stored in liquid nitrogen for later screening of dengue virus.

### Dengue virus infection in mosquitoes

**RNA extraction from mosquitoes.** Pools of at least ten mosquitoes of the same species that emerged from larvae collections or adults collected by traps were ground before RNA was extracted using PureLink viral RNA/DNA kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), following manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, crushed mosquito suspensions were digested with 0.5 mg proteinase K at 56°C for 15 minutes in the presence of lysis buffer containing 5.6µg carrier RNA. Afterwards, proteins were precipitated by addition of absolute ethanol followed with pelleting by centrifugation. The lysate was passed through a viral spin column loaded with silica at 6800 g for 1 minute to trap RNA. RNA within the viral spin column was washed twice using a wash buffer before the column was dried by centrifugation at 13,000 g. RNA was eluted using 50µl of sterile RNase-free water and stored at -20°C until a qRT-PCR for dengue virus detection was performed.

**Detection of dengue virus in mosquitoes.** A qRT-PCR for the universal detection of the four dengue virus serotypes was performed using RealStar Dengue qRT-PCR kit version 1.0 (Altona Diagnostics GmbH, Hamburg, Germany), as previously described [16]. Briefly, RNA from mosquitoes was mixed with a master mix containing primers targeting amplification of all DENV serotypes 1–4. For each qRT-PCR run, a reaction containing DENV RNA supplied

along with the kit and containing nuclease-free water were included as positive and negative controls, respectively. In addition, an internal control containing a heterologous amplification system to identify possible RT-PCR inhibition and to confirm the integrity of the reagents of the kit was included for each reaction during qRT-PCR. The qRT-PCR was performed by reverse transcription at 50°C for 10 min, denaturation at 95°C for 2 min followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 0.15 sec, annealing at 55°C for 0.45 sec and elongation at 72°C for 0.15 sec, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 7 min using an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Results were interpreted as strong positive for dengue virus RNA when the cycle threshold (CT) value was below 32, weakly positive when the CT value ranged between 33 and 38 and negative when CT value was above 39.

**Dengue virus sequencing and phylogenetic analysis.** A conventional RT-PCR for the amplification of the core-pre-membrane (CprM) region of dengue virus was performed using primers D1 and D2 [17]. All RT-PCR were run along with 1:10 dilutions of quantified (GCE/mL) laboratory-adapted, heat inactivated DENV1-4 strains (DENV-1 Hawaii 44, DENV-2 New Guinea C 44, DENV-3 H87 (Phillipines 56), DENV-4 H241 (Phillipines 241) as a positive control for DENV1-4 detection and nuclease-free water during RNA extraction and RT-PCR was used as the negative control. After amplification, RT-PCR products were sequenced directly using BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit followed by separation on a 3500 xL Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

The nucleotide sequences of dengue virus obtained in the present study were submitted to GenBank. A set of sequences representing dengue virus serotypes 1–4, together with the nucleotide sequence obtained in the present study, was used in phylogenetic analysis. Sequences were aligned using ClustalW algorithm and clustering pattern was determined by neighbour-joining method using Kimura-2 parameter option implemented in MEGA 6.06 [18].

## Data analysis

The data collected were entered in Epidata Version 3.1 software to develop a database which was later migrated to STATA (Stata Corps, 2007) for further analysis. Data were later cleaned and verified for quality by assessing a 10% of random selection of original forms that were compared with the entered data. From the database variables of interest that were calculated include the numbers of containers, infested containers, larvae and pupae collected. This was done for each type of container, and of infested containers found for every category of the variables measured. For populations larvae or pupae, three main methods were used to assess dengue transmission levels: (i) House index as the percentage of houses infested with larvae and / or pupae; (ii) Container index as the percentage of water-holding containers infested with larvae and/or pupae; and (iii) Breteau index calculated as the number of positive containers per 100 houses inspected in a specific location. For adult mosquitoes, the proportion of *Aedes* mosquito out of those collected was assessed and all mosquitoes (including those hatched from pupae/larvae) were preserved in liquid nitrogen and later screened for dengue virus using qRT-PCR. Maps showing spatial variation of important indicators for dengue were produced. Infection rate was analysed using a PooledInfRate software version 4.0 to compute infection rates from pooled data [19].

## Ethical considerations

The study protocol was approved by the Tanzania Medical Research Coordinating Committee of the National Institute for Medical Research. Permission to conduct the study was sought from all administrative levels including regions, districts and wards. Verbal informed consent

**Table 1. Number (%) and species of adult mosquitoes collected by Mosquito Magnet Liberty Plus trap.**

District	<i>Ae. aegypti</i>	<i>Ae. simpsoni</i>	<i>Culex quinquefasciatus</i>	<i>Anopheles gambiae</i>	<i>An. coustani</i>	Total
Ilala	10(7.3%)	0(0%)	127(92%)	1(0.7%)	0(0%)	138(13.9%)
Kinondoni	154(25.9%)	4(0.7%)	427(71.9%)	2(0.3%)	7(1.2%)	594(59.9%)
Temeke	6(2.2%)	0(0%)	259(96.6%)	1(0.2%)	2(1%)	268(27%)
Total	170(17%)	4(0.4%)	813(81.3%)	4(0.4%)	9(0.9%)	1,000

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313.t001

was obtained from the heads of households before the entrance and inspection of the house premises and/or installation of mosquito traps.

## Results

### Adult mosquito collections

A total of 1,000 adult mosquitoes were collected using Mosquito Magnet Liberty Plus traps. The largest proportion of adult mosquitoes (all species) was collected from Kinondoni (59.9%). *Ae. aegypti* accounted for 17.0% of the total adult mosquitoes collected; with the largest proportion (N = 154; 90.6%) been collected from Kinondoni (Table 1).

### Water-holding containers supporting mosquito breeding

A total of 796 houses were inspected for presence of potential *Aedes* mosquito breeding sites. Of these, 305 (38.3%) houses had water-holding containers in their premises. Kinondoni had the largest proportion (52.8%) of households with water-holding containers, followed by Temeke (29.8%) and Ilala (17.4%). A total of 219 (71.8%) of the households had at least one water-holding container with mosquito larvae and/or pupae. Water holding containers in 140 houses were found to harbour mosquito pupae. The most common breeding containers for the *Aedes* mosquitoes were medium-sized plastic containers and tires (Table 2). In terms of productivity, tires, plastic containers and flower pots were the most productive containers for both larvae and pupae in Dar es Salaam (Fig 2). Most of the flower pots (71.4%) were found in Kinondoni, followed by Temeke (21.4%) and Ilala (7.1%). Msasani and Sinza were the areas with the largest proportion of flower pots infested with larvae and/or pupae.

The overall house larvae, container and Breteau indices for Dar es Salaam were 27.5%, 71.8% and 8.2, respectively. House Index (HI) was highest in Kinondoni (35.3%) followed by Temeke (25.5%) and Ilala (18.1%). The Container Index (CI) was highest in Temeke (80.2%) followed by Ilala (77.4%) and Kinondoni (65.2%). This indicates that, though HI was higher in Kinondoni, the CI was higher in Temeke. Breteau index was highest in Ilala (30.6), followed by Temeke (25.3) and was lowest in Kinondoni (20.8) (Table 3). Similarly, the highest pupal index was found in Temeke (52.9%), followed by Ilala (49.1%) and Kinondoni (41.0%). Analysis by site showed that Kivukoni had the highest CI while Sinza had the highest HI. The lowest HIs were observed in Chamazi, Jangwani, and Tabata. BIs were highest in Kivukoni and Tabata and lowest in Jangwani and Kwembe (Table 3).

### Dengue virus detection in mosquito pools


Of the hatched 5,250 adult mosquitoes, 3,250 *Ae. aegypti*, 800 *Ae. simpsoni* and 1,200 *Culex quinquefasciatus* were preserved in liquid nitrogen for later screening of dengue virus. A total of 368 mosquito pools, each containing up to 10 *Ae. aegypti* were processed to extract RNA. Of these, 330 pools were subjected to qRT-PCR for dengue virus detection. Overall, 27 (8.18) of the 330 pools of *Ae. aegypti* were positive for dengue virus. Of the 27 positive pools, 25 were

**Table 2. Types of water holding containers infested with mosquito larvae/pupae by district and ward in Dar es Salaam.**

Type of container	Kinondoni										Temeke				
	Ilala					Kinondoni					Temeke				
	Jangwani	Kivukoni	Tabata	Kwembe	Msasani	Sinza	Chamazi	Kigamboni	Miburani	All	Chamazi	Sinza	Kigamboni	Miburani	All
Plastic containers (<5 litres)	28 (77.8%)	9 (28.1%)	10 (28.6%)	23 (31.9%)	21 (21.9%)	32 (31.1%)	15 (83.3%)	21 (44.7%)	37 (56.9%)	196 (38.9%)	15 (83.3%)	32 (31.1%)	21 (44.7%)	37 (56.9%)	196 (38.9%)
Tire	4 (11.1%)	13 (40.6%)	15 (42.9%)	13 (18.1%)	26 (27.1%)	22 (21.4%)	0 (0%)	7 (14.9%)	15 (23.1%)	115 (22.8%)	0 (0%)	22 (21.4%)	7 (14.9%)	15 (23.1%)	115 (22.8%)
Plastic containers (5–20litres)	2 (5.6%)	6 (18.8%)	6 (17.1%)	10 (13.9%)	14 (14.6%)	21 (20.4%)	0 (0%)	9 (19.2%)	1 (1.5%)	69 (13.7%)	0 (0%)	21 (20.4%)	9 (19.2%)	1 (1.5%)	69 (13.7%)
Water tanks	1 (2.8%)	1 (3.1%)	3 (8.6%)	5 (6.9%)	1 (1%)	7 (6.8%)	0 (0%)	3 (6.4%)	2 (3.1%)	49 (4.6%)	0 (0%)	7 (6.8%)	3 (6.4%)	2 (3.1%)	49 (4.6%)
Ceramic pots	1 (2.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (5.6%)	5 (5.2%)	5 (4.9%)	1 (5.6%)	2 (4.3%)	2 (3.1%)	23 (4%)	0 (0%)	4 (5.6%)	2 (4.3%)	2 (3.1%)	23 (4%)
Banana tree	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.9%)	3 (4.2%)	5 (5.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (5.6%)	3 (6.4%)	0 (0%)	20 (2.6%)	0 (0%)	5 (5.2%)	3 (6.4%)	0 (0%)	20 (2.6%)
Metal containers	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (9.4%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	13 (2.2%)	0 (0%)	9 (9.4%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	13 (2.2%)
Bottle	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.4%)	3 (3.1%)	3 (2.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	11 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	3 (3.1%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0%)	11 (1.6%)
Others	0 (0%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0%)	13 (18.1%)	12 (12.5%)	12 (11.7%)	1 (5.6%)	0 (0%)	8 (12.3%)	8 (9.7%)	0 (0%)	12 (12.5%)	0 (0%)	8 (12.3%)	8 (9.7%)

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313.t002





**Fig 2. Average productivity of the mosquito larvae (A) and pupae (B) per type of water-holding container separating those found with larvae/pupae and all inspected.**

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313.g002

from larval collections and two were from adult mosquito collected from Sinza. On average, the overall maximum likelihood estimate indicates pooled infection rate of 8.49 per 1,000 mosquitoes (95%CI = 5.72–12.16). There was no significant difference in pooled infection rates between the districts (Table 4). None of the mosquitoes collected in Kwembe and Chamazi was infected with dengue virus. Dengue viruses in the tested mosquitoes clustered into serotype 2 cosmopolitan genotype.

Partial nucleotide sequence of the dengue virus genome (CprM region) in mosquitoes collected were highly identical to and clustered with serotype 2 cosmopolitan genotype dengue viruses collected in Asia (Fig 3). These serotype 2 Asian dengue viruses were collected from human patients in 2007, 2008 and 2010 in Makassar (Indonesia), 2010 and 2014 in Guangdong (China) and 2005 and 2009 in Singapore.

## Discussion

In this study, *Aedes aegypti* accounted for about one fifth of the man-biting mosquitoes caught in Dar es Salaam. These findings provide the most up-date of data on *Ae. aegypti* in the region

**Table 3. Number (%) of houses surveyed, water holding container (WHC), house larval and pupal and Breteau indices by district and ward in Dar es Salaam.**

District	Ward	No. (%) of houses surveyed	No. (%) of houses with WHC	No. of houses positive for Aedes larvae	Container index (%)	House larval index (%)	House pupal index (%)	Breteau index
Ilala	Jangwani	107	22(20.6)	13	59.1	12.2	27.3	34.4
	Kivukoni	21	10 (47.6)	10	100	47.6	80.0	346.3
	Tabata	99	21 (21.2)	18	85.7	18.2	57.1	101.0
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>227 (28.5%)</b>	<b>53 (17.4)</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>77.4</b>	<b>18.1</b>	<b>49.1</b>	<b>30.6</b>
Kinondoni	Kwembe	94	48 (51.1)	24	50.0	25.5	20.8	42.26
	Msasani	86	52 (60.5)	32	61.5	37.2	38.5	66.4
	Sinza	99	61 (61.6)	49	78.7	49.5	59.0	82.4
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>297 (35.1%)</b>	<b>161 (52.8)</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>65.2</b>	<b>35.3</b>	<b>41.0</b>	<b>20.8</b>
Temeke	Chamazi	101	17 (16.8)	12	70.6	11.9	29.4	72.9
	Kigamboni	102	37 (36.3)	27	73.0	26.5	59.5	66.0
	Miburani	87	37 (42.5)	34	91.9	39.1	56.8	89.6
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>290 (36.4%)</b>	<b>91 (29.8)</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>80.2</b>	<b>25.2</b>	<b>52.8</b>	<b>25.3</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>796</b>	<b>305 (38.3)</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>71.8</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>45.9</b>	<b>8.2</b>


doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313.t003

since 1980s. Over one-third of the inspected house premises had water-holding containers positive for larvae or pupae of *Aedes* mosquitoes. The most common breeding containers for *Ae. aegypti* in Dar es Salaam were discarded plastic containers and tires. Kinondoni district accounted for over half of the *Aedes* positive water holding containers. Most of these water holding containers are man-made, with only a few natural breeding sites identified. Previous studies in Tanzania have shown that in most places *Ae. aegypti* breeds in both artificial and natural sites [14,20, 21]. Similar to our findings, a study by Trpis [14] reported that tires, wrecked motor cars, water-pots, coconut shells, snail shells and tins were the most productive containers in some areas of the City during the early 1970s. It has already been shown that a part of the *Ae. aegypti* population in East Africa is maintained in some biotypes such as automobile dumps and coral rock holes by continuous breeding [14,20]. Discarded tires and animal watering pans have been reported to be the two most common larval breeding sites elsewhere [22].

**Table 4. Number of mosquito pools tested and DENV infection in *Aedes aegypti* by study sites in Dar es Salaam.**

District	Site	Number of pools for RNA extraction	Number of pools tested for DENV	Number of positive pools	Percent of positive pools	Number of individuals (pooled)	Infection rate	Lower limit	Upper limit
Ilala	Jangwani	11	11	2	18.18	110	18.98	3.48	62.36
	Kivukoni	17	17	3	17.65	170	18.67	5.00	50.51
	Tabata	40	40	2	5.00	400	5.06	0.91	16.53
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.29</b>	<b>680</b>	<b>10.73</b>	<b>4.76</b>	<b>21.13</b>
Kinondoni	Kwembe	2	0	0	0.00	0	-	-	-
	Msasani	38	36	1	2.78	360	2.78	0.16	13.44
	Sinza	117	97	8	8.25	970	8.53	4.00	16.14
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.77</b>	<b>1330</b>	<b>6.96</b>	<b>3.42</b>	<b>12.73</b>
Temeke	Chamazi	39	36	0	0.00	360	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Kigamboni	64	54	2	3.70	540	3.73	0.67	12.21
	Miburani	40	39	9	23.08	390	25.56	12.66	46.71
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8.53</b>	<b>1290</b>	<b>8.84</b>	<b>4.68</b>	<b>15.32</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>368</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8.18</b>	<b>3300</b>	<b>8.49</b>	<b>5.72</b>	<b>12.16</b>

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313.t004



**Fig 3. Neighbor-joining tree depicting the four serotypes of dengue virus.** Dengue virus in mosquitoes collected in Dar es Salaam (indicated in bold) clustered into serotype 2. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) is shown adjacent to the nodes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004313.g003

*Ae. aegypti* has been reported as a common outdoor breeding mosquito even in rural areas of Tanzania. In the rural areas of Kilombero and Kilosa districts, Trpis [23] observed that more than a quarter of the water containers outside houses were harbouring *Ae. aegypti* larvae, while there was no breeding in containers indoors. In the same areas, clay pots, buckets, tins, drums used for storing water and tree holes were the common breeding sites. Similar to our findings, breeding of *Ae. aegypti* in banana flower bracts has been reported in southern-east Tanzania [23].

This study indicated that medium-sized containers and tires that are often susceptible to the rainfall regime are the most productive containers for *Ae. aegypti*. Similar findings have been observed in Trinidad [24] and Brazil [25]. In Brazil, the four most productive containers were found to generate up to 90% of total pupae. It has been reported that container productivity varies according to seasons and urbanization degree [25]. In this study container productivity varied by site and district and according to urbanization degree. The findings that the peri-urban areas of Dar es Salaam had low larval infestation levels suggest a low probability of dengue transmission in the areas. In a concurrent study the incidence of dengue was found to be relatively lower in the peri-urban areas ((F. Vairo et al., unpubl.).

The overall house and container index for Dar es Salaam was 27.5% and 71.8%, respectively. Previous studies elsewhere in Tanzania, have reported relatively lower larvae indices than those

observed in our study [20]. In this current study, the higher *Aedes* mosquito HI, CI and BI were not surprising as the study was carried out during an epidemic that had already lasted for five months. The Breteau indices in the three districts of Dar es Salaam (20.8–30.6%) were high. Higher *Aedes* indices, BI in particular, provides indication of geographical areas at high risk for dengue transmission [26]. Similar higher HIs have been reported in Singapore [27]. In Brazil, high infestation indices have been observed for both urban and sub-urban localities [28]. In a study in northern Ghana, similar higher HI, CI and BI have been reported in a recent study; with generally higher infestation rates during the dry season [29]. The spatial heterogeneities in *Ae. aegypti* larval density, HI, CI and BI found in this study have also been reported elsewhere in the world [30–34]. Identification of such heterogeneity is important in identifying areas for intervention.

The infection rates found in this study are relatively higher compared to many other reported elsewhere. The mosquito infection rates with dengue virus are of the order of 1% even in areas where transmission is ongoing [35–38]. Similar higher infection rates have been reported in a study in Merida, Mexico [39] and Odisha in India [40]. Although the mosquito infection rate in the current study was highest in Ilala followed by Temeke and Kinondoni, the incidence of dengue cases during the outbreak was highest in Kinondoni followed by Temeke and Ilala (Ministry of Health and Social Welfare, unpubl.). This is not surprising for the fact that, such a scenario is likely to be determined by dispersal of vector [37,41] which itself can vary over time [42], and is influenced by house density [43] and by human movement within and beyond the infection cluster [44]. In this study, the largest adult mosquito density per trap was observed in Kinondoni. Moreover, Human movements have been described to potentially confound dengue vector data that derive from residential areas alone as increasingly, evidence indicates that only a proportion of dengue infection are acquired in the individual's own home, with the majority resulting from bites by virus-infected mosquitoes at their schools, workplaces or numerous locations far from the home [35,39,44,45]. In a recent study in rural Thailand [37], it was found that human and mosquito infections are positively associated with each other at small geographic and temporal scales.

Nucleotide sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of the CprM region of dengue virus found in mosquitoes in Dar es Salaam had highest identity and clustered with serotype 2 cosmopolitan genotype. Highest identity was with dengue serotype 2 strains collected from China, Indonesia and Singapore. These results indicate the widespread nature of dengue viruses and possible link to travel between Asia and Tanzania [46].

The recent outbreak of Dengue in Dar es Salaam occurred during the long rainy season (March-June, 2014). The high indices of *Ae. aegypti* in Dar es Salaam during the long rainy season were likely to be responsible for dengue outbreak. In a previous study of *Ae. aegypti* larval population in Dar es Salaam the density of the mosquito was high in April because of heavy rains and declined towards the end of June and remained at a very low level until the beginning of August [14]. This trend correlated with the decline of dengue cases observed in a parallel study (F. Vairo et al., unpubl.). However, a few studies have also shown dengue occurrence during the dry season. It is likely that in coastal areas of East Africa there are always some short scattered showers during the dry season that prevent these foci from completely drying out [20].

In conclusion, findings of this study indicate that the 2014 dengue outbreak in Dar es Salaam was caused by Dengue virus serotype 2 and most likely a result of the introduction from south-east Asia. *Ae. aegypti* is the only vector of Dengue in the region and breeds mostly in medium-sized plastic containers and tires. The high overall and site specific house indices and mosquito infection rates indicate that all three districts were at high risk of dengue transmission. With the high larval and pupal indices observed in the area there is need to intensify

vector surveillance activities along with source reduction and public health education. The introduction of detailed systematic vector surveillance in Dar es Salaam and elsewhere in Tanzania, before, during, and after any dengue epidemic will offer an opportunity to analyse entomological information at different geographic units.

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the cooperation and enthusiasm of District Commissioners and District Medical Officers of Ilala, Temeke and Kinondoni. The Medical Officer In-charge of the sentinel health facilities are thanked for their technical and logistic supports. We are grateful to Joseph Myamba, Eliapendavyo Msuya, Aza Kimambo, Demetriux Maxi, Maembe Mzee, Francis Mwayoma and Mathias Stephano for their field and laboratory assistance. The Genome Sciences Centre of the Sokoine University of Agriculture is thanked for providing facility for molecular analyses.

## Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LEGM SFR. Performed the experiments: LEGM CNM SFR PKT GS MRM GM PDN FV NMO. Analyzed the data: SFR LEGM GS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MRM GM. Wrote the paper: LEGM CNM SFR PKT GS MRM GM PDN FV NMO. Conceived the idea, designed the study, and participated in data collection, data analysis and interpretation: LEGM. Participated in the development of the proposal: SFR FV NMO. Carried out the field mosquito collection, larval search, laboratory rearing and species identification: CNM PKT GS. Was involved in the isolation of dengue viruses from mosquitoes: MRM GM CNM. Contributed to the drafting and approved the final manuscript: LEGM CNM SFR PKT GS MRM GM PDN FV NMO.

## References

1. Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL (2013) The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature* 496: 504–507. doi: [10.1038/nature12060](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060) PMID: [23563266](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23563266/)
2. WHO (2009) Dengue: Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.
3. Amarasinghe A, Kuritsk JN, Letson GW, Margolis HS (2011) Dengue virus infection in Africa. *Emerg Infect Dis* 17: 1349–1354. doi: [10.3201/eid1708.101515](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1708.101515) PMID: [21801609](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21801609/)
4. Gubler DJ (1997) Dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever: its history and resurgence as global public health problem. In: Gubler DJ, Kuno G, editors. *Dengue and Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. pp. 1–22.
5. Sang RC (2007) Dengue in Africa. In: Report of the scientific working group meeting on dengue. Geneva, October 1–5, 2006. WHO Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases; 2007. pp. 50–2 [cited 2011 April 13]. [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2007/TDR\\_SWG\\_08\\_eng.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2007/TDR_SWG_08_eng.pdf).
6. LeDuc JW, Esteves K, Gratz NG (2004) Dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever. In: Murray CJ, Lopez AD, Mathers CD, editors. *The Global Epidemiology of Infectious Diseases*. Vol IV. Global Burden of Disease and Injury Series. Geneva: World Health Organization. pp. 219–242.
7. Christie J (1872) Remarks on "Kidinga Pepo": A Peculiar Form of Exanthematous Disease. *Br Med J* 1: 577–579. PMID: [20746649](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20746649/)
8. Halstead SB (2008) Dengue: overview and history. In: Halstead SB, editors. *Dengue*. London: Imperial College Press. pp. 1–28.
9. Vairo F, Nicastrì E, Meschi S, Schepisi MS, Paglia MG, Bevilacqua N, et al. (2012) Seroprevalence of dengue infection: a cross-sectional survey in mainland Tanzania and on Pemba Island, Zanzibar. *Int J Infect Dis* 16: e44–e46. S1201-9712(11)00202-5 [pii] doi: [10.1016/j.ijid.2011.09.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2011.09.018) PMID: [22088862](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22088862/)
10. Vairo F, Nicastrì E, Yussuf SM, Cannas A, Meschi S, Mahmoud MA, et al. (2014) IgG against dengue virus in healthy blood donors, Zanzibar, Tanzania. *Emerg Infect Dis* 20: 465–468. doi: [10.3201/eid2003.130150](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2003.130150) PMID: [24572373](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24572373/)

11. Weller N, Clowes P, Dobler G, Saathoff E, Kroidl I, Ntinginya NE, et al. (2014) Seroprevalence of alpha-virus antibodies in a cross-sectional study in southwestern Tanzania suggests endemic circulation of chikungunya. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 8: e2979. PNTD-D-13-01890 [pii]. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0002979](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002979) PMID: [25079964](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25079964/)
12. WHO (2011) Comprehensive Guidelines for Prevention and Control of Dengue and Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever. Revised and Expanded Edition. SEARO Technical Publication Series No. 60.
13. URT (2013) Population and housing census 2012: Population distribution by administrative units. Volume 1. National Bureau of Statistics. The United Republic of Tanzania. Dar es Salaam.
14. Trpis M (1972) Seasonal changes in the larval populations of *Aedes aegypti* in two biotopes in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Bull World Health Organ* 47: 245–255. PMID: [4539415](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4539415/)
15. Huang YM (2001) A pictorial key for the identification of the subfamilies of Culicidae, genera of Culicinae, and subgenera of *Aedes* mosquitoes of the Afrotropical Region (Diptera: Culicidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 103: 1–53.
16. Domingo C, Niedrig M, Teichmann A, Kaiser M, Rumer L, Jarman RG, et al. (2010) 2nd International external quality control assessment for the molecular diagnosis of dengue infections. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 4.
17. Lanciotti RS, Calisher CH, Gubler DJ, Chang GJ, Vorndam AV (1992) Rapid detection and typing of dengue viruses from clinical samples by using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. *J Clin Microbiol* 30: 545–551. PMID: [1372617](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1372617/)
18. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 2731–2739. msr121 [pii]. doi: [10.1093/molbev/msr121](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121) PMID: [21546353](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21546353/)
19. Biggerstaff B (2005) PooledInfRate software. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 5: 420–421. PMID: [16417439](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16417439/)
20. Trpis M (1972) Dry season survival of *Aedes aegypti* eggs in various breeding sites in the Dar es Salaam area, Tanzania. *Bull World Health Organ* 47: 433–437. PMID: [4539825](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4539825/)
21. Rao TR, Trpis M, Gillett JD, Teesdale C, Tonn RJ (1973) Breeding places and seasonal incidence of *Aedes aegypti*, as assessed by the single-larva survey method. *Bull World Health Organ* 48: 615–622. PMID: [4544149](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4544149/)
22. Moore CG, Cline BL, Ruiz-Tiben E, Lee D, Romney-Joseph H, Rivera-Correa E (1978) *Aedes aegypti* in Puerto Rico: environmental determinants of larval abundance and relation to dengue virus transmission. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 27: 1225–1231. PMID: [727328](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/727328/)
23. Trpis M (1972) Breeding of *Aedes aegypti* and *A. simpsoni* under the escarpment of the Tanzanian plateau. *Bull World Health Organ* 47: 77–82. PMID: [4538907](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4538907/)
24. Focks DA, Chadee DD (1997) Pupal survey: an epidemiologically significant surveillance method for *Aedes aegypti*: an example using data from Trinidad. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 56: 159–167. PMID: [9080874](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9080874/)
25. David MR, Lourenco-de-Oliveira R, Freitas RM (2009) Container productivity, daily survival rates and dispersal of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes in a high income dengue epidemic neighbourhood of Rio de Janeiro: presumed influence of differential urban structure on mosquito biology. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 104: 927–932. S0074-02762009000600019 [pii]. PMID: [19876569](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19876569/)
26. Sanchez L, Vanlerberghe V, Alfonso L, Marquetti MC, Guzman MG, Bisset J, et al. (2006) *Aedes aegypti* larval indices and risk for dengue epidemics. *Emerg Infect Dis* 12: 800–806. PMID: [16704841](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16704841/)
27. Goh KT, Ng SK, Chan YC, Lim SJ, Chua EC (1987) Epidemiological aspects of an outbreak of dengue fever/dengue haemorrhagic fever in Singapore. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 18: 295–302. PMID: [3433161](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3433161/)
28. Maciel-de-Freitas R, Marques WA, Peres RC, Cunha SP, de Oliveira RL (2007) Variation in *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) container productivity in a slum and a suburban district of Rio de Janeiro during dry and wet seasons. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 102: 489–496. S0074-02762007000400010 [pii]. PMID: [17612770](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17612770/)
29. Appawu M, Dadzie S, Abdul H, Asmah H, Boakye D, Wilson M, et al. (2006) Surveillance of viral haemorrhagic fevers in Ghana: entomological assessment of the risk of transmission in the northern regions. *Ghana Med J* 40: 137–141. PMID: [17496987](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17496987/)
30. Getis A, Morrison AC, Gray K, Scott TW (2003) Characteristics of the spatial pattern of the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti*, in Iquitos, Peru. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 69: 494–505. PMID: [14695086](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14695086/)
31. Morrison AC, Getis A, Santiago M, Rigau-Perez JG, Reiter P (1998) Exploratory space-time analysis of reported dengue cases during an outbreak in Florida, Puerto Rico, 1991–1992. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 58: 287–298. PMID: [9546405](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9546405/)
32. Neff JM, Morris L, Gonzalez-Alcover R, Coleman PH, Lyss SB, Negron H (1967) Dengue fever in a Puerto Rican community. *Am J Epidemiol* 86: 162–184. PMID: [4951556](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4951556/)

33. Tun-Lin W, Kay BH, Barnes A (1995) Understanding productivity, a key to *Aedes aegypti* surveillance. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 53: 595–601. PMID: [8561260](#)
34. Waterman SH, Novak RJ, Sather GE, Bailey RE, Rios I, Gubler DJ (1985) Dengue transmission in two Puerto Rican communities in 1982. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 34: 625–632. PMID: [4003671](#)
35. Garcia-Rejon J, Lorono-Pino MA, Farfan-Ale JA, Flores-Flores L, Del PR-P, Rivero-Cardenas N, et al. (2008) Dengue virus-infected *Aedes aegypti* in the home environment. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 79: 940–950. 79/6/940 [pii]. PMID: [19052309](#)
36. Ritchie SA, Pyke AT, Hall-Mendelin S, Day A, Mores CN, Christofferson RC, et al. (2013) An explosive epidemic of DENV-3 in Cairns, Australia. *PLoS One* 8: e68137. PONE-D-13-12209 [pii]. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0068137](#) PMID: [23874522](#)
37. Yoon IK, Getis A, Aldstadt J, Rothman AL, Tannitisupawong D, Koenraadt CJ, et al. (2012) Fine scale spatiotemporal clustering of dengue virus transmission in children and *Aedes aegypti* in rural Thai villages. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 6: e1730. 1. PNTD-D-12-00340 [pii]. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0001730](#) PMID: [22816001](#)
38. Mammen MP, Pimgate C, Koenraadt CJ, Rothman AL, Aldstadt J, Nisalak A, et al. (2008) Spatial and temporal clustering of dengue virus transmission in Thai villages. *PLoS Med* 5: e205. 08-PLME-RA-0417 [pii] doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.0050205](#) PMID: [18986209](#)
39. Garcia-Rejon JE, Lorono-Pino MA, Farfan-Ale JA, Flores-Flores LF, Lopez-Urbe MP, Najera-Vazquez MR, et al. (2011) Mosquito infestation and dengue virus infection in *Aedes aegypti* females in schools in Merida, Mexico. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 84: 489–496. 84/3/489 [pii] doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.2011.10-0654](#) PMID: [21363990](#)
40. Schafrick NH, Milbrath MO, Berrocal VJ, Wilson ML, Eisenberg JN (2013) Spatial clustering of *Aedes aegypti* related to breeding container characteristics in Coastal Ecuador: implications for dengue control. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 89: 758–765. ajtmh.12-0485 [pii] doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.12-0485](#) PMID: [24002483](#)
41. Duncombe J, Clements A, Davis J, Hu W, Weinstein P, Ritchie S (2013) Spatiotemporal patterns of *Aedes aegypti* populations in Cairns, Australia: assessing drivers of dengue transmission. *Trop Med Int Health* 18: 839–849. doi: [10.1111/tmi.12115](#) PMID: [23617766](#)
42. Kroeger A, Lenhart A, Ochoa M, Villegas E, Levy M, Alexander N, et al. (2006) Effective control of dengue vectors with curtains and water container covers treated with insecticide in Mexico and Venezuela: cluster randomised trials. *BMJ* 332: 1247–1252. 332/7552/1247 [pii] PMID: [16735334](#)
43. Stoddard ST, Forshey BM, Morrison AC, Paz-Soldan VA, Vazquez-Prokopec GM, Astete H, et al. (2013) House-to-house human movement drives dengue virus transmission. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110: 994–999. 1213349110 [pii] doi: [10.1073/pnas.1213349110](#) PMID: [23277539](#)
44. Stoddard ST, Morrison AC, Vazquez-Prokopec GM, Paz S, V, Kochel TJ, Kitron U, et al. (2009) The role of human movement in the transmission of vector-borne pathogens. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 3: e481. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0000481](#) PMID: [19621090](#)
45. Moi ML, Takasaki T, Kotaki A, Tajima S, Lim CK, Sakamoto M, et al. (2010) Importation of dengue virus type 3 to Japan from Tanzania and Cote d'Ivoire. *Emerg Infect Dis* 16: 1770–1772. doi: [10.3201/eid1611.101061](#) PMID: [21029541](#)
46. Das B, Hazra RK (2013) Entomological investigation with special attention to pupal indicators of *Aedes* vectors during outbreaks of dengue in coastal Odisha, India. *J Vector Borne Dis* 50: 147–150. PMID: [23995319](#)