Developmental and Comparative Immunology 64 (2016) 103-117

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Review

Developmental and Comparative Immunology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dci



# Transcriptomic responses in the fish intestine

# Samuel A.M. Martin<sup>\*</sup>, Carola E. Dehler, Elżbieta Król

CrossMark

Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 January 2016 Received in revised form 5 March 2016 Accepted 13 March 2016 Available online 16 March 2016

Keywords: Microarray RNA-seq Disease challenge Oral vaccination Gut inflammation Osmoregulation

# ABSTRACT

The intestine, being a multifunctional organ central to both nutrient uptake, pathogen recognition and regulating the intestinal microbiome, has been subjected to intense research. This review will focus on the recent studies carried out using high-throughput gene expression approaches, such as microarray and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). These techniques have advanced greatly in recent years, mainly as a result of the massive changes in sequencing methodologies. At the time of writing, there is a transition between relatively well characterised microarray platforms and the developing RNA-seq, with the prediction that within a few years as costs decrease and computation power increase, RNA-seq related approaches will supersede the microarrays. Comparisons between the approaches are made and specific examples of how the techniques have been used to examine intestinal responses to pathogens, dietary manipulations and osmoregulatory challenges are given.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### Contents

1.	Intro	duction	104			
2.	2. Overview of transcriptomic technologies					
	2.1.	Microarray technology	105			
	2.2.	RNA-seq technology	106			
	2.3.	Comparison of microarray and RNA-seq technologies	106			
	2.4.	Biological interpretation of transcriptomic data	107			
3.	Overv	view of gut transcriptome studies in fish	108			
	3.1.	Disease challenge and immune function	108			
		3.1.1. Parasitic infection	109			
		3.1.2. Viral response	109			
		3.1.3. Bacterial response	110			
		3.1.4. Immunostimulants	110			
		3.1.5. Vaccination	110			
	3.2.	Search for alternative plant materials in aquaculture feeds	111			
		3.2.1. Plant proteins	112			
		3.2.2. Vegetable oils	113			
	3.3.	Environmental stress and developmental factors	113			
		3.3.1. Role of intestine in osmoregulatory function	114			
4.	Futur	e perspectives	. 114			
	Ackno	owledgements	115			
	Refer	ences	115			

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sam.martin@abdn.ac.uk (S.A.M. Martin).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.03.014

0145-305X/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

The gastrointestinal tract of vertebrates along with its single layer of epithelial cells constitutes the largest and most important barrier against the external environment (Groschwitz and Hogan, 2009). The intestinal epithelium acts as a selectively permeable barrier for dietary nutrients, electrolytes and water, while maintaining an effective defence against pathogens and tolerance toward dietary antigens (Peterson and Artis, 2014). The epithelial cells are also crucial mediators of mucosal innate and adaptive immunity, important for distinguishing pathogens from commensal microbiota that live in the gut (Kinnebrew and Pamer, 2012; Donaldson et al., 2016). Fish and their immune system has received considerable attention from comparative immunologists, in part because of the unique position of this group to provide key insights into the evolution of immune systems (Trede et al., 2004; Cooper and Herrin, 2010; van Niekerk et al., 2015). While the innate immune mechanisms can be found in nearly all forms of life, the origins of mammalian-like (recombination-activating gene (RAG)-dependent) adaptive immunity reach back approximately 450 million years, coinciding with the emergence of the first jawed vertebrates (reviewed in Flajnik and Kasahara, 2010). The presence of convergently evolved system that is RAG-independent has been recently discovered in jawless vertebrates such as hagfish and lamprey (Pancer et al., 2004).

Fish are also known for their substantially higher exposure to pathogens than non-aquatic vertebrates, with typically a million of bacteria and 10 million of viruses per millilitre of seawater (Fuhrman, 1999). The pathogen exposure in fish starts immediately after hatching from their protective chorions, providing an interesting contrast to mammals protected during early development by maternal immunity (Trede et al., 2004). The exposure to pathogens is further enhanced during the mouth and gut opening stages and at the onset of exogenous feeding (Castro et al., 2015). However, the early life exposure to pathogens does not necessarily equip fish with the 'knowledge' of the microorganisms they may encounter in later life. Indeed, many fish species are exposed to different and unfamiliar pathogens when they switch between fresh and salt water environments (Jeffries et al., 2014). Evidence is also growing

that some fish, including non-migratory species, are being exposed to novel pathogens as a result of climate change, because warmer environments are associated with an increase in the diversity of diseases, increased population growth rates of most microorganisms and increased vulnerability of coldwater fish (Crozier and Hutchings, 2014).

The transport of nutrients, solutes and pathogens across the epithelial barrier is controlled by two main mechanisms, either through the cells (transcellular transport) or between the cells (paracellular transport) (reviewed in Sundh and Sundell, 2015). Transcellular transport requires either active or passive transporters, intracellular trafficking and then excretion of the substances at the basolateral membrane of the cell, with amino acids, fatty acids and carbohydrates (mainly sugars) as the key substances being transported. Paracellular transport is controlled by cellular contact and the tightness of the contacts. The integrity and control of the intestinal barrier is often attenuated by both nutritional and immunological challenges in the fish.

Our knowledge of the fish immune system is advancing rapidly, with many of the cell types, humoral factors and regulatory molecules now identified (Collet, 2014; Castro and Tafalla, 2015) (Fig. 1). Within the intestine, immune activity is controlled by the gut associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) containing numerous immune cell types that are involved in both innate and adaptive responses (reviewed in Salinas and Parra, 2015). Of central importance is antigen sampling across the epithelial barrier, likely to involve antigen-sampling cells equivalent to mammalian microfold (M) cells and dendritic cells (DCs) (Fuglem et al., 2010). Although a specific DC subset has not vet been fully identified in fish, the presence of dendritic-like cells has been suggested in intestinal epithelium (Fuglem et al., 2010) and peripheral blood (Haugland et al., 2012) of Atlantic salmon as well as various non-intestinal tissues of rainbow trout (Johansson et al., 2012; Granja et al., 2015) and zebrafish (Lugo-Villarino et al., 2010). The intestinal dendritic-like cells are hypothesised to present luminal antigens to T and B cells ensuring the maintenance of the gut microbiome and identification of pathogens. B cells secrete different Ig molecules (Parra et al., 2013; Salinas, 2015) and are produced at high levels in the intestinal mucus to bind luminal antigens. The combination of B



#### Intestinal lumen

**Fig. 1.** Diagram of the intestinal mucosa in teleost fish. This complex tissue contains epithelial cells involved in the intestinal barrier function that control para- and transcellular transport, with associated genes regulating these processes. The gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) is contained within the lamina propria and has a complex populations of immune cells. The presence of dendritic-like cells and T cell subtypes in fish has not yet been fully confirmed. Transcriptomics by its high-throughput nature, coupled with continually improving gene annotation, can reveal parallel changes in gut permeability and immune function.

and T cells oversee the immune status of the intestine and ensure its correct functioning from early development. Specifically, T<sub>reg</sub> and Th17 cells in mammals maintain the intestinal balance between reacting in an inflammatory or non-inflammatory manner to sustain homeostasis, although the presence of these T cell subtypes in fish remains to be confirmed. Some aspects of the mucosal immunity are fish specific, such as immunoglobulin T (IgT), secreted from intraepithelial lymphocytes (Parra et al., 2013; Ballesteros et al., 2013).

The intestinal immunity of fish is of special interest for the fish farming industry for a number of reasons. Firstly, farmed fish kept at high stocking densities are susceptible to intestinal infections, with the gut being an important entry point for pathogens (Salinas and Parra, 2015). Secondly, farmed fish are typically fed commercial pelleted feeds, which opens up avenues for manipulating fish health through the incorporation of various feed additives, drugs and vaccines into the feed (reviewed in Caipang and Lazado, 2015). Thirdly, the gut immune system of teleost fish allows microbial colonization by symbionts (Ringø et al., 2014), and this microbial community is a potential platform to modulate fish pathogens. Finally, gut microbiota in fish are likely to respond to dietary manipulations (Merrifield and Rodiles, 2015; Llewellyn et al., 2014; Rurangwa et al., 2015). Hence, a comprehensive understanding of the diet-gut interactions and immunoregulatory properties of intestinal epithelium in fish could aid in the development of new strategies to prevent and treat their multiple infectious and inflammatory diseases.

Recent advances in high-throughput technologies to survey RNA, especially microarray profiling and RNA sequencing (RNAseq), have revolutionized the discipline and enabled the study of fish intestine at the level of whole transcriptome rather than individual transcripts, typically targeted by Northern blot or quantitative PCR (Qian et al., 2014; Li and Li, 2014). The transcriptome refers to the complete set of transcripts in a specific cell, tissue or organism, including all protein-coding messenger RNAs (mRNAs) as well as non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), which regulate gene expression and maintain cellular homeostasis (Lindberg and Lundeberg, 2010). Unlike the relatively stable genome, the transcriptome varies with developmental stage, physiological condition and external environment. Further complexity is also added by the presence of splicing isoforms, gene-fusion transcripts, posttranslational modifications and epigenetic controls (Mastoridis et al., 2015). The large-scale analysis of transcriptome (commonly referred to as transcriptomics) has become a powerful tool for understanding complex interactions between genotype and phenotype, providing insights into molecular mechanisms that control cell fate, development and function, both in health and disease (Wang et al., 2009). Transcriptomics is also essential to guide and interpret subsequent analyses by proteomics, metabolomics and other emerging technologies.

In this review, we will present the current use of highthroughput transcriptomic approaches to investigate gut function and immunity in fish. Specifically, we will focus on microarray and RNA-seq approaches and discuss the merits of the different platforms. These technologies are constantly advancing, along with the development of genomic resources for increasing number of fish species. The importance of immune function of the intestine is fully recognised (Rombout et al., 2014), but the new tools can help understand its regulation and impacts from disease, nutrition and many other aspects of environmental stress.

# 2. Overview of transcriptomic technologies

The high-throughput technologies, such as microarray and RNAseq, allow for the simultaneous measurements of thousands of transcripts, with the ultimate aim of understanding fish intestinal responses via differentially expressed genes, *i.e.*, genes that differ in the expression levels between conditions and treatments. Before specific examples are presented, a brief overview of the technologies is given, along with the requirements for genomic resources and also advantages and disadvantages of the respective approaches. Microarrays are based on hybridization, whereas RNAseq utilises new ultrahigh throughput sequencing that became available in the recent years. The hybridization-based approaches typically involve incubating fluorescently-labelled complementary DNA (cDNA) with pre-defined sequences, such as PCR products or long oligonucleotides (mostly 60 mers), densely spotted onto a solid modified glass surface. In contrast to microarray methods, sequence-based approaches determine gene expression levels by directly sequencing cDNAs. Both approaches generate relative abundance of mRNAs, which reflect gene expression levels. The outcome of transcriptomic studies strongly depends on sequence availability, computational methods for gene annotation and gene set enrichment. The summary of the pipelines used for microarray and RNA-seq technologies are shown in Fig. 2.

The overall goal of transcriptomics is not to identify single genes that may be altered, but to define which biological pathways are being altered in a more holistic approach. We are now at transition period where microarrays have been used to the present time, but there is an increasing shift towards RNA-seq. Although the technical aspects of both technologies differ considerably (as described below), they both generate lists of differentially expressed genes and the biological interpretation of these genes is central to the biological interpretation of the experiment.

#### 2.1. Microarray technology

Microarrays were first used in fish studies during the late 1990's (reviewed in Gracey and Cossins, 2003; Douglas, 2006; Goetz and MacKenzie, 2008), based on the sequencing of expressed sequence tags (ESTs). The ESTs were often generated from cDNA libraries that were enriched for genes associated with infection or developmental stages (O'Farrell et al., 2002; Taggart et al., 2008). The cDNA libraries were constructed by printing amplified PCR products usually derived from EST libraries onto specially prepared glass slides. Such arrays were generated for many fish species, including catfish (Ju et al., 2002), carp (Gracey et al., 2004), rainbow trout (Koskinen et al., 2004), Atlantic salmon (von Schalburg et al., 2005) and halibut (Byon et al., 2005) amongst others. The printing technology did not allow for high density slides to be generated and numbers of genes varied between 4000 and 16,000, reflecting the printing robotic ability. Most of the arrays were bespoke and generated by independent research groups or consortiums, such as GRASP and TRAITs for Atlantic salmon (von Schalburg et al., 2005; Tacchi et al., 2011a). Hybridization conditions and print quality were always an issue and often poor batches of slides were generated. Additionally, the laborious generation of PCR products soon changed the approach to oligonucleotide platforms. The conversion to oligo arrays for general non-model fish species was brought about by companies such as Agilent, providing online tools to assist oligo design. Since that time, the majority of microarrays have used the 60-mer oligo approach, with either 4  $\times$  44K or  $8 \times 15$ K oligos printed per slide. Protocols for labelling of target mRNAs have improved and almost all labelling is now done by linear amplification of cDNAs. The main limitation of the microarray technology is that only the pre-determined genes can be analysed. However, as most fish species and other vertebrates have between 20,000 and 30,000 genes, a well-designed (using up-todate annotated genomes) oligo microarray should be able to assess > 90% of the transcriptome. This, however, will not allow for



Fig. 2. Flow diagram of the key steps in a transcriptomic experiment using microarray and RNA-seq technologies. Biological samples and sufficient numbers of replicates are essential for both approaches. Following RNA extraction, different experimental and normalization methods are used to generate lists of differentially expressed genes. Once the gene lists are generated, similar approaches are used to interpret the data to gain functional significance, often using enrichments for biological pathways as described in text.

analysis of differential splicing or clear identification between paralogues of duplicated genes, which is of special interest for salmonid fish. There are also issues with very low and very high expressed genes, as discussed below.

# 2.2. RNA-seq technology

The advent of new sequencing technologies has come about by completely new chemistry and approaches to generate DNA sequences, with technologies such 454, Ion Torrent, AB SOLiD and Illumina being among the most important. The Roche 454 sequencers generated relatively long reads (up to 500 bases) and were used for generating transcriptomes (Salem et al., 2010; Micallef et al., 2012), similar to the previous EST approach, but substantially more efficient. However, this platform did not generate sufficient sequence depth for studying multiple samples for gene expressing. The Illumina platforms (currently MiSeq, Nextseq 500 and HiSeq 2000) all have the ability to sequence giga bases of DNA on a single run, and they are now often the platform of choice. The capacity of these platforms means that 20-50 million individual sequences can be generated per biological sample with individual reads up to 400 bases in length. For differential gene expression experiments, a "cDNA library" is generated from each biological replicate following enrichment for mRNAs, either by depletion of ribosomal RNAs or capture of mRNAs by polyA selection. The cDNA is fragmented to various lengths depending on the sequencing platform and adaptors ligated to the ends of cDNA for initial amplification. These adaptors often have sequence-specific "bar codes" to allow sorting of sequences derived from different samples (libraries), once the sequencing run has been completed. Decisions on library construction need to be taken at the early stage and also how the downstream analysis will be carried out. If long fragments are generated (for example 100–2000 bp), these can be sequenced in both directions (pair-end sequencing). Even though the sequence might not meet in the middle, each sequence can be used to help anchor the cDNA to the transcriptome or genome. Recent use of RNA-seq in fish has been reviewed by Qian et al. (2014), focussing on platforms and bioinformatic approaches.

# 2.3. Comparison of microarray and RNA-seq technologies

There are only few examples of both microarray and RNA-seq analyses using the same RNA material, especially for fish. One experiment that is relevant to highlight was using the mycobacterium infection model in zebrafish (Hegedus et al., 2009). The authors use an early RNA-seq approach called digital gene expression (DGE) to generate short sequence reads close to a restriction enzyme site in the 3' end of cDNAs, which were then sequenced on the Illumina platform. Previously, several microarray platforms had defined a core set of 120 differentially expressed genes (Meijer et al., 2005; van der Sar et al., 2009). The DGE approach confirmed 100 of these genes as differentially expressed (Hegedus et al., 2009). This showed 83% correlation between the

-	0	-
	.,	
•	~	

Microarray	RNA-seq
Advantages	Advantages
<ul> <li>Well-defined protocols for hybridization</li> <li>Well-defined analysis pipelines</li> <li>Standardised approaches for data submission</li> <li>Relatively low cost</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not reliant on previous sequence information</li> <li>High dynamic range (no saturation)</li> <li>Direct sequence alignment, no hybridization</li> <li>Alternative splicing detected if aligned to genome</li> <li>Paralogous genes can be defined</li> <li>Can be used for SNP identification</li> </ul>
Disadvantages	Disadvantages
<ul> <li>Analysis only for pre-defined sequences</li> <li>Dynamic range limited by scanner</li> <li>Relies on hybridisation</li> <li>Hybridisation potentially non-specific</li> <li>Might not give paralogue information</li> <li>High variance for low expressed genes</li> <li>Will generally not identify splice variants</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Protocols still not fully optimised</li> <li>High cost (but continually reducing)</li> <li>Requires high power computing facilities</li> <li>High set-up costs if carried out in house</li> <li>Complex analysis of splice variants</li> <li>Analysis can be complex if paralogues present</li> </ul>

Fig. 3. Summary of advantages and disadvantages between microarray and RNA-seq approaches. All these aspects should be considered before embarking on a transcriptomic experiment.

platforms for this core gene set. However, when all differentially expressed genes were correlated between microarray and DGE, this was reduced to 60%. The authors identified key advantages of the DGE as not being limited to a pre-defined set of genes on the microarrays.

In addition to the above, there are a number of relevant publications available in other systems that have made in-depth comparative analysis between the two approaches. An experiment on rat liver to examine the dose-response to bromobenzine used RNA for Affimetrix oligo microarray (Thomas et al., 2013), and the same samples were reanalysed and compared using RNAseq (Black et al., 2014). As a result, the normalised expression of all genes was correlated at 0.75 to 0.78 between microarray and RNAseq, and the correlation increased to 0.95-1.0 when filtering for fold change (>1.5 or <-1.5) was included. However, when statistical tests were applied and the -Log P-values were used, the correlations between microarray and RNA-seg dropped dramatically to 0.33–0.46. This difference was found to result from the normalization methods followed by the statistical analysis, and was related to the dynamic range of the technologies used. The RNA-seq data showed much greater variation between individuals, which was not detectable during the microarray hybridization procedure. The methods for the normalization of RNAseq data are still under development. More recently, Nault et al. (2015) compared Agilent microarray and RNA-seq for mouse liver following gavage with tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). In this experiment, 1270 and 901 genes were differentially expressed for the microarray and RNA-seq approach, respectively. However, only 449 genes were common between the gene lists. Relaxing the "filtering" criteria increased the overlap, reflecting the differences in the statistical analyses between the two approaches. The authors concluded that RNA-seq outperformed the microarray with fewer false positives and negatives when candidate genes were examined by quantitative PCR, and suggested that the differences between the platforms were not as significant as previously reported and they were mainly due to the different approaches to the filtering the data for subsequent analyses. Other advantages of RNA-seq over the microarray (Zhao et al., 2014) include more robust expression profiles derived from RNA-seq especially for very low expressed genes, as such genes do not perform well under hybridization conditions (Fig. 3). Additionally, the RNA-seq analysis is not limited to the pre-defined genes and can also identify differential splice variants.

# 2.4. Biological interpretation of transcriptomic data

Both microarray and RNA-seq technologies generate lists of genes that are differentially expressed. However, the lists of genes are typically not very informative on their own. The great advantage of the transcriptomic approach is to be able to sort these genes under strict criteria and associate them with biological processes, molecular pathways and cellular sites of expression. When the genes are assigned to the functional groups, further statistical analysis is performed to find if such groupings are significantly enriched. Such enrichment is performed using many different approaches, the most common being Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment and KEGG pathway enrichment that can be carried out using programs such as DAVID (Huang et al., 2009) and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA). Some research groups have developed their own methods for transcriptomic analysis, e.g., the Krasnov group in NOFIMA (Norway) using STARS (Salmon and Trout Annotated Reference Sequences) (Krasnov et al., 2011). In carrying out such enrichment analysis, the combination of genes means it is highly likely that there is a true effect occurring. Despite the growing

#### Table 1

			.1 1 .	C11 .	1			. 1	· · · ·
LICH	CTUDIOC 1	icing bigh	throughput	profiling to	charactorico guit	trancerintomo	rocponcoc to	nathogone	paracitoc and vaccinoc
1.1211	STURIES U	181119 111911		THORNING IN		IT ATTACLED TO THE		DALINOVEIN	

Fish species	Challenge	Comparison & sampling	Tissue analysed	Method & platform	References
Bacterial infection			-	-	-
Channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus)	Edwardsiella ictaluri, immersion	Infected vs control fish (0, 3, 24 h & 3 d)	Entire intestine	RNA-seq, Illumina HiSeqTM 2000	Li et al., 2012
Channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus)	Edwardsiella ictaluri, immersion	Hsp40 multigene expression in infected vs control fish (0, 3, 24 h & 3 d)	Multiple, including intestine	lllumina-based RNA-seq datasets	Song et al., 2014
Channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus)	Edwardsiella ictaluri, immersion	Cytochrome P450 multigene expression in infected vs control fish (0, 3, 24 h & 3 d)	Multiple, including intestine	lllumina-based RNA-seq datasets	Zhang et al., 2014
Channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus)	Edwardsiella ictaluri, immersion	Claudin multigene expression in infected vs control fish (0, 3, 24 h & 3 d)	Intestine	Illumina-based RNA-seq datasets	Sun et al., 2015
Channel catfish ( <i>Ictalurus</i> punctatus)	Edwardsiella ictaluri, immersion	Tumor suppressor multigene expression in infected <i>vs</i> control fish (0, 3, 24 h & 3 d)	Multiple, including intestine	Illumina-based RNA-seq datasets	Mu et al., 2015
Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer) Parasite infection	Escherichia coli LPS, intraperitoneally & Vibrio harveyi, intraperitoneally	Infected vs control fish (40 h)	Intestine	RNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium (Roche)	Xia et al., 2013
Gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata)	Enteromyxum leei, immersion	Exposed infected and non-infected vs control fish (113 d)	Multiple, including intestine	Microarray, GPL8467 (Gene Expression Omnibus)	Davey et al., 2011
Gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata)	Enteromyxum leei, immersion	Infected fish fed vegetable (VO) or fish (FO) oil vs non-infected fish fed VO or FO (102 d)	Distal intestine	Microarray, GPL15203 (Gene Expression Omnibus)	Calduch- Giner et al., 2012
Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus)	Enteromyxum scophthalmi, oral intubation	Infected vs control fish (7, 24 & 42 d)	Multiple, including pyloric caeca	RNA-seq, Illumina HiSeqTM 2000	Robledo et al., 2014
Viral infection	Desuinus (CCDV) immension	Infected up control fish (0, 2, 24, 48, 72, 06, 6	Madaimla	DNA and Illumine	Chi at al
(Ctenopharyngodon idella) Vaccination	Reovirus (GCRV), inititersion	120 h)	including intestine	HiSeqTM 2000	2014
European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax)	Vaccine against Vibrio anguillarum, orally	Vaccinated vs control fish (135 d)	Multiple, including hind gut	RNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium (Roche)	Sarropoulou et al., 2012
Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	DNA vaccine against infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (Ipnv), orally	Vaccinated vs control fish	Multiple, including pyloric caeca	Microarray, GPL14155 (Gene Expression Omnibus)	Ballesteros et al., 2012a
Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	2 diets with and without immunostimulants	Fish fed immunostimulant vs control diets (4 weeks)	Multiple, including intestine	Microarray, GPL6154 (Gene Expression Omnibus)	Doñate et al., 2010

number of tools available, the effective identification of functional groups of genes relevant to the underlying physiology across different conditions remains a challenge.

Caution should be exercised when interpreting results of transcriptomic studies because the differences in gene expression may not be reflected at the protein level. It has been common practice to use mRNA concentrations as proxies for the concentrations of the corresponding proteins, assuming that transcript abundance is the main determinant of protein abundance. However, recent technological advances have demonstrated a substantial role for regulatory processes occurring after mRNA is made (such as posttranscriptional, translational and protein degradation regulation) in controlling protein abundance (Dahan et al., 2011). Based on the limited data available for bacteria and some eukaryotes (mammalian cells, worms, flies and yeast), on average approximately 40% of the variation in protein concentration can be explained by the levels of mRNA, while the remaining 60% of the variation has been attributed to the post-transcriptional regulation and measurement errors (Vogel and Marcotte, 2012). Such correlations between mRNA and protein abundance are not available for fish, because of the lack of specific antibodies.

# 3. Overview of gut transcriptome studies in fish

# 3.1. Disease challenge and immune function

During disease response and immune stimulation studies, the intestinal tissues have not received as much attention as the well documented primary and secondary immune tissues such as spleen, head kidney and liver. This is now changing rapidly with the realisation that not only it is imperative that the gut responses to pathogens are fully elucidated, but also because of the emerging mechanisms by which oral vaccines and gut microbiota can affect gut immune system and function. There are relatively few studies that take the whole transcriptome approach to pathogen and immune modulation in fish intestine (Table 1). Despite the differences in the pathogens used and their routes of experimental infection, there are some clear messages that can be taken from these studies. However, it should also be noted that the intestine varies between species and their life history stages, and also that the different sections of the intestine were subjected to transcriptomic evaluation. Thus, caution should be taken when comparing experiments.

#### 3.1.1. Parasitic infection

The intestinal myxosporean parasites Enteromyxum leei and Enteromyxum scophthalmi are major pests for sea bream (Sparus aurata) and turbot (Scophthalmus maximus), respectively. These parasites contribute to the development of gut inflammation (enteritis), impaired food intake and assimilation, thus causing major economic losses as there are currently no efficient treatments for the infected fish. Both fish species have been examined for the transcriptional response to the pathogen in the intestine. In the sea bream, the transcriptomic changes to the parasite infection were examined using a cDNA microarray (18,400 features), enriched for differentially expressed genes following SSH cloning (Davey et al., 2011). Three groups of fish were examined, non-exposed control fish, exposed and infected individuals, and the third group was exposed but not infected (*i.e.*, assumed to be resistant to the pathogen). The infection model lasted 113 days, as previously described (Sitjà-Bobadilla et al., 2008). The results showed contrasting outcomes from the fish groups. In the infected group, there were many more down-regulated rather than up-regulated genes in the intestine, suggesting a suppression of responses. In contrast, the resistant group had more genes up-regulated than downregulated. Gene set enrichment by GO analysis using Blast2GO (Götz et al., 2008) revealed that digestive function in the infected group was potentially altered by reduced expression of genes associated with digestive proteases, including cathepsins, trypsins and chymotrypsins. This effect was paralleled by a clear decrease in expression of immune genes, including complement components, mannose-binding lectins and acute phase proteins amongst others. In contrast, those fish that were able to recognise and prevent parasite amplification (resistant fish) had enriched GO terms for immune activation signal, particularly interferon signalling and antigen presentation, suggesting an active immune role in the removal of the parasites. The resistant fish also showed MHC class II genes increased, possibly indicating an interferon-related and adaptive cell-mediated immune response. Together, the results suggested that the parasite depressed the immune responses to become established and replicate, but the host response varied between individuals with the resistant fish mounting an effective response. One gene of significance was the mannose-binding lectin that is central to the initiation of the lectin complement pathway, which has been often increased in expression during immune activation

A follow-up experiment on sea bream infected with Enteromyxum leei included dietary manipulation, with fish fed diets containing either fish oil or vegetable oil (66VO) for 9 months prior to parasite exposure. Infected fish fed both diets showed signs of anorexia, but the 66VO diet fed fish had more severe disease symptoms and poorer outcome as a result of the parasite challenge (Estensoro et al., 2011). Parasite load was determined by PCR at 32, 53 and 88 days following exposure and individual fish grouped as being early infected (by 53 days) or late infected (88 days), with final sampling at 102 days. A customized sea bream oligo microarray platform was developed (Calduch-Giner et al., 2012), enriching the gene set on the previous cDNA microarray. Principal component analysis revealed two clear groups splitting the control and infected groups irrespective of diet. Secondly, a less dramatic grouping could define the fish fed fish oil at both early and late infection differing from the two infected groups fed the 66VO diet. In total, more than 2000 genes were differentially expressed, but using the filtering criteria only one gene was found altered between the uninfected diet groups. The genes responding to infection were grouped by k-means clustering that identified 4 clusters, strongly up-regulated in all infected groups, moderately up-regulated, strongly down-regulated and moderately down-regulated. The first cluster contained 88 genes, which surprisingly did not reveal

any enriched GO categories. This potentially reflected a combination of poor annotation and a disparate group of genes with varying functions. The second cluster representing moderately upregulated genes showed enrichment for several key metabolic processes including translation, RNA processing and cell cycle, but also included functions related to urea cycle. The overall depression of immune-related genes (as well as transcripts related to protein and lipid metabolism) confirmed the results from Davey et al. (2011), but with a clear effect of diet changing the magnitude of the response. Of interest was the alternative activation of macrophages relating to clearing of pathogens with arginase-1. Highly up-regulated were also genes encoding enzymes related to polyamine synthesis increase, such as ornithine decarboxylase and ornithine aminotransferase. The authors suggest that the increase in polyamines and the ornithine production from arginine via arginase-1 represses the synthesis of nitric oxide as found in their previous experiments (Estensoro et al., 2011).

In turbot, the pyloric caeca, head kidney and spleen were analysed by RNA-seq following an oral infection of E. scophthalmi via intestinal scrapings of previously infected fish (as described by Redondo et al., 2002), 42 days following infection. Three individuals were selected showing heavy infestation and "severe" lesions (Bermúdez et al., 2010) and compared to a time-matched control. Paired-end libraries were sequenced (approximately 15M reads per sample), mapped to the turbot genome (NCBI: PRJEB11743) and differentially expressed genes identified using EdgeR and filtered by Log2 fold change > 1 and P-value < 0.05, following correction for FDR (Robledo et al., 2014). The pyloric caeca showed the highest number of genes responding (562 increased and 851 decreased). possibly reflecting ongoing severe inflammation. In both head kidney and spleen, there was also a greater number of genes decreased in expression. Only 2.5% (117 genes) of the modulated genes were in common between tissues suggesting the tissuespecific responses. Within the commonly modulated group, interferon-related genes were decreased in expression, as were MHC I transcripts. To gain functional interpretation, genes were categorised by GO and grouped for immune and defence response, apoptosis and cell proliferation, iron metabolism, metabolism and digestive function and cytoskeleton/extracellular matrix. The intestine showed clear inflammatory responses, and genes considered to be markers for dendritic-like cells, CD83 and CD209, were increased in expression. Tissue repair and remodelling genes were also increased in the pyloric caeca, which may be associated with the overall degeneration of the gut function. Metabolic and digestive enzyme genes were also severely decreased in expression. Importantly, there were a number of common features between the enteromyxosis infections in sea bream and turbot, most clearly seen by the normal digestive function being decreased in the heavily infected fish. However, there were also some contrasting functions being altered. In the sea bream, there was a general depression of immune genes in intestine, whereas these genes had significantly increased expression in the turbot. In both cases, the adaptive immune response as seen in head kidney was repressed, especially in the infected fish. When comparing such studies, consideration needs to be given to the infection kinetics, speciesspecific host parasite interaction and tissue examined (in this case, pyloric caeca in turbot and unspecified region of intestine in sea bream).

#### 3.1.2. Viral response

An example the intestinal transcriptome response to viral infection was studied in grass carp infected with grass carp reovirus (GCRV), taking an RNA-seq approach (Shi et al., 2014). Four different tissues were analysed in parallel: intestine, gill, liver and spleen. Juvenile carp were infected by bath challenge and samples

retained for RNA-seq at times 0, 2, 24, 48, 96 and 120 h postinfection, all challenged time points were compared to the uninfected group at time 0. The RNA-seq reads were aligned to the grass carp genome (NCBI Bioproject: PRJNA39737, Wang et al., 2015) with approximately 7M reads per sample on Illumina HiSeq with expression determined by RPKM method (Mortazavi et al., 2008). At the time when the experiment was performed, the genome was fully annotated and further Blast searches were used to give putative identifications to the differentially expressed genes with an *E*-value of  $10^{-6}$  being used to define identity. Differentially expressed genes were filtered at *P*-value < 0.0001 (FDR) and fold change Log2 > 1. The highly stringent filtering is likely to reflect the massive changes in genes expression resulting from the challenge. The main outcome from the experiment was the highly conserved response in all the tissues examined, with majority of the differentially expressed genes shared between tissues. However, the temporal response varied between tissues, with both gill and intestines grouping closely together with limited numbers of genes altered at the early time points (2, 24 and 48 h), followed by much more substantial changes at later sampling points (96 and 120 h). The pattern obtained here is of interest as the sites of pathogen invasion were likely to include both intestine and gill. However, there was a clear major immune response from the primary and secondary immune organs. This virus is known to cause haemorrhaging in muscle and gill, and enteritis in intestine, which was further confirmed by the experiment. The GO enrichment analysis indicated the large numbers of functional terms related to metabolic processes and immune function, as well as associated processes contained within these categories. Much focus was given to energy metabolism particularly via gluconeogenesis. One pathway of interest in the intestine was the adiocytokine pathway, which can be stimulated by pro-inflammatory cytokines such as  $TNF\alpha$  and the hormone leptin. The peptide hormone neuropeptide Y was only found in the intestine and was decreased in expression following the viral infection. However, the reason behind that alteration is unclear because the infected fish are unlikely to ingest any food, and this result may be a reflection of altered behaviour during the infection. Genes associated with classic, lectin and alternative complement pathways were altered in gill, liver and spleen, whereas in liver only the alternative complement pathway genes were enriched, showing the organ-specific responses. Antigen presentation via MHC I was increased in all tissues as would be expected following an antiviral response and type I interferonstimulated genes.

#### 3.1.3. Bacterial response

The bacterial pathogen Edwardsiella ictaluri that causes enteric septicaemia in the channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) has been used as model for intestinal responses to bacterial infection by RNA-seq approach (Li et al., 2012). This pathogen can cause varying pathology from chronic long-term infection to acute disease. The experimental samples were generated following a bath challenge of  $4 \times 10^8$  CFU/ml for 2 h using the MS-S97-773 bacterial isolate, and fish were sampled at times 0, 3, 24 h and 3 days post-infection. For these experiments, whole intestine was used and pools of 10 fish per time-point were generated, with single libraries constructed for each time point. Although this approach limited the power of the experiment, the authors acknowledged this potential limitation and generated lists of differentially expressed genes, many of which were confirmed by quantitative PCR. A two-stage approach was taken for the experimentation, with the generation of a comprehensive de novo transcriptome followed by identification of differentially expressed genes. Different de novo assemblers were used to assess the quality of assemblies that were generated from the Illumina reads. These included five assembly programs: ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009), TransABySS (Robertson et al., 2010), Velvet (Zerbino et al., 2009), Assembly assembler and CLC genomics workbench. The authors concluded that TransABySS gave the best coverage of large contigs, which were annotated following Blast searches. At 3, 24 h and 3 days, 693, 918 and 1035 genes were altered, respectively. At both 3 and 24 h, similar numbers of genes were up and down-regulated. However, at 3 days there were considerably more genes decreased in expression than increased. Six main functional groups were found to respond, including cytoskeleton, cell junctions, lysosome/phagosome, inflammation, pathogen recognition and endocrine factors. The increase in cytoskeleton-related genes was interpreted as a bacterial entry method into the cells, possibly by inducing "ruffles" as described for cellular salmonella invasion (Hallstrom and McCormick, 2011), and could also be interpreted as altering permeability of apical junctions and hence pathogen entry. Genes encoding the apical junction complex (tight junctions and desmosomes) and claudins suggested reduced cellular connectivity and an increase in paracellular leakage. Many immune and inflammatory genes, including nattectin, CD209 and C1q-like genes, were markedly decreased in expression, suggesting mechanisms of immune evasion driven by Edwardsiella ictaluri secreted effectors. There was also a minimal response of TLR5, which recognises flagellin, further suggesting the inhibition of immune response. The conclusions from this paper show parallels found in the intestine of fish following dietary change with dysfunction of the cellular junction and trans- and paracellular transport related genes changing in expression. The data set generated by Li et al. (2012) has been followed up using meta-analysis to examine specific gene families in the channel catfish, showing the wealth of information generated by a single deep sequencing experiment. Specifically, the HSP40 gene family (Song et al., 2014), cytochrome P450 genes (Zhang et al., 2014), claudin genes (Sun et al., 2015) and tumor suppressor genes (Mu et al., 2015) were investigated. In each case, the full repertoire of the channel catfish genes has been resolved and their response to the E. ictaluri infection in the intestine evaluated.

#### 3.1.4. Immunostimulants

In addition to the direct effects of pathogens on the intestinal transcriptome, the modifying effects of immunostimulants and functional feeds are most likely to have their effect on the intestine as well. Rainbow trout fed a commercially relevant immunostimulatory diet (Gama Quakistar 26, BioMar, aimed at producing a PAMP-PPR host response following feeding) was examined using an early cDNA microarray platform (SFA2.0) containing 1.8K features (Jørgensen et al., 2008). The trial lasted for four weeks and both gill and mid intestine were used for transcriptome analysis (Doñate et al., 2010). There was little in common in the responses between tissues as many of the alterations in gill would have been secondary effects, whereas direct alteration by dietary components (and microbiota) would be observed in intestine. Only two genes were found to be altered in a common direction between the two tissues, a metalloprotease 9 and a cyclin D2 gene. Of interest, both tissues showed a general decrease in immune-related genes, both by direct observation, but also following GO enrichment analysis. In the intestine, genes associated with general inflammatory response, response to biotic stress and response to bacteria were depressed, however several genes associated with NF-kappa B cascade were found enriched. Similar decreases in immune activity were also demonstrated in liver of salmon fed immunostimulant diets (Tacchi et al., 2011b), which suggests that these diets may not act through the induction of localized inflammatory response.

## 3.1.5. Vaccination

The last example in this section is the intestinal response to oral

vaccination. This area of research is likely to increase dramatically in the future as the vaccine stability will be strongly affected by gut function and host gene expression. Some bacterial pathogens can be controlled by oral vaccination such as Yersinia (Ghosh et al., 2016) and oral vaccines to viral pathogens are under intense research (Rivas-Aravena et al., 2015). Examples of transcriptomics being used to define the effect on intestinal response can be found for both European seabass (Sarropoulou et al., 2012) and rainbow trout (Ballesteros et al., 2012a). In seabass, a commercial oral vaccine for protection against Vibrio anguillarum (Aquavac Vibrio Oral) was used to explore the nature of the cellular response by examining the mRNA profiles of both the intestine and the head kidney. An initial vaccination followed by a booster four months after the primary vaccination gave protection of 57% and 33% at 30 and 80 days post-challenge, respectively, suggesting a protective response being initiated. The samples for transcriptome analysis were taken one day following the end of the booster vaccination (156 days from primary vaccination) and RNA was subjected to 454 sequencing. A comprehensive transcriptome of 71,676 clusters was obtained for the intestine and 49,089 for the head kidney, from which 336 genes were found differentially expressed in the gut. However, in neither tissue there was a convincing immunological response observed between vaccinated and non-vaccinated fish. The results from this paper significantly added to the sequence data available for sea bass at the time, but also revealed the need to have more comprehensive biological replicates. The authors also commented on the issues associated with low abundance of transcripts, and the presence of potential isoforms for the same gene. It was likely that the depth of sequencing by the 454 platform did not allow for the robust statistical analyses available now for the Illumina approaches.

A microarray approach was taken for rainbow trout that were given an oral DNA vaccination in microspheres containing a recombinant plasmid to express the VP2 gene of IPNV or the empty plasmid, and fish were sampled following 7 days (Ballesteros et al., 2012a). This vaccination had previously been found to elicit protection (Ballesteros et al., 2012b). This early time point of 7 days was expected to reveal immunological responses to the vaccine, but would not have generated a full acquired response in this species. An Agilent 8  $\times$  15K oligo array was designed to be highly enriched for immune genes taken from publically available resources at the

time (Ballesteros et al., 2012a). In the intestine, more genes were down-regulated than increased in expression, whereas the opposite was found in head kidney, showing tissue-specific response, as was shown previously for infection studies. Both tissues showed a significant type I interferon response and many interferon-induced genes being increased in expression, confirming antiviral response. There were differences in complement gene responses between tissues with classic complement activation in head kidney, but only genes suggesting alternative complement pathway were altered in the pyloric caeca. This targeted immune array was unable to take a fully global view of the responses and many key metabolic pathways that also change during the vaccination would have been missed, but the experiment did identify immune factors altered during antigen uptake in the intestine and then the systemic response in the head kidney. Further work could define the expression location of the plasmids that might help to interpret the tissue-specific transcriptional responses.

#### 3.2. Search for alternative plant materials in aquaculture feeds

Farmed carnivorous fish, such as salmonids, were historically fed diets containing high levels of fish meal as protein source and fish oil as lipid source to mimic their natural feeding habits (Naylor et al., 2009). With the rapid expansion of aquaculture and the limited availability of wild-caught fish, further reliance on fish meal and fish oil has become unsustainable. Instead, the future of aquaculture depends to a large extent on alternative plant proteins and vegetable oils that would effectively replace limited marine ingredients in the diets. However, the use of plant materials to feed carnivorous fish does not come without its own challenges, such as a wide range of anti-nutritional factors (ANFs) produced by plants to defend themselves from predation by animals. When ingested, these ANFs typically interfere with digestion, absorption and utilisation of nutrients and have numerous adverse effects on intestinal physiology as well as animal health and performance (Krogdahl and Bakke, 2015). Furthermore, plant materials may substantially differ from marine ingredients in their amino acid and fatty acid profiles (Tocher and Glencross, 2015). Understanding the effects of plant materials on fish health has been greatly advanced by combining feeding trails with large-scale analysis of gut

Table 2

Fish studies using high-throughput profiling to characterise gut transcriptome responses to dietary plant materials.

Fish species	Dietary manipulation	Comparison & sampling	Tissue analysed	Method & platform	References
Plant proteins					
Atlantic salmon	2 diets with 20% soybean meal (SBM) and fish meal	Fish fed SBM vs FM diets (1, 2, 3,	Distal intestine	Microarray, cGRASP 44K	Sahlmann
(Salmo salar)	(FM)	5 and 7 d)		salmonid platform	et al., 2013
Atlantic salmon	4 diets with 0, 10, 20 and 30% soybean meal (SBM)	Fish fed 10, 20 and 30% SBM vs	Multiple, including	Microarray, A-MEXP-2065	De Santis
(Salmo salar)		0% SBM (12 weeks)	distal intestine	(ArrayExpress)	et al., 2015a
Atlantic salmon	6 plant protein (PP) diets including soybean meal and 1	Fish fed PP vs FM diets (56 d)	Distal intestine	Microarray, A-MEXP-2065	Król et al.,
(Salmo salar)	diet with fish meal (FM)			(ArrayExpress)	2016
Atlantic salmon	2 diets with soy protein concentrate (SPC) and fish	Fish fed SPC vs FM diets (77 d)	Multiple, including	Microarray, A-MEXP-2065	Tacchi et al.,
(Salmo salar)	meal (FM)		mid intestine	(ArrayExpress)	2012
Atlantic salmon	5 plant protein (PP) diets with soyasaponin	Fish fed supplemented vs non-	Distal intestine	Microarray, GPL10706	Kortner
(Salmo salar)	supplementation and 5 PP non-supplemented diets	supplemented diets (80 d)		(Gene Expression Omnibus)	et al., 2012
Atlantic salmon	2 diets with genetically modified (GM) and non-GM	Fish fed GM vs non-GM diets	Multiple, including	Microarray, cGRASP 44K	Sissener
(Salmo salar)	maize	(82 d)	distal intestine	salmonid platform	et al., 2011
Zebrafish (Danio	2 diets with high (HNPM) and low (LNPM) novel	Fish fed HNPM vs LNPM diets	Intestine	RNA-seq, Illumina HiSeq™	Rurangwa
rerio)	protein meal	(21 d post fertilization)		2000	et al., 2015
Vegetable oils					
Atlantic salmon	2 diets with vegetable (VO) and fish (FO) oil	Lean and fat fish fed VO vs FO	Pyloric caeca	Microarray, A-MEXP-1930	Morais et al.,
(Salmo salar)		diets (55 weeks)		(ArrayExpress)	2012a
Atlantic cod	2 diets with vegetable (VO) and fish (FO) oil	Fish fed VO vs FO diets (12	Intestine (midgut)	Microarray, A-MEXP-2053	Morais et al.,
(Gadus		weeks)		(ArrayExpress)	2012b
mornua)	2 distantith fish all (TO) wild time (smalling all (M(CO)	Fish fad FO us WCO us FCO dista	Dularia assas	Missource A MEVD 2005	Detensor
Atlantic salmon	3 diets with fish oil (FO), wild-type Camelina oil (WCO)	FISH IEG FO VS WCO VS ECO diets	Pyloric caeca	MICROAFFAY, A-MEXP-2065	Betancor
(Saino salar)	and engineered <i>camenna</i> off (ECO)	(7 weeks)		(ArrayExpress)	et al., 2015D

# transcriptomes (Table 2).

#### 3.2.1. Plant proteins

In recent years, significant progress has been made towards replacing fish meal with plant protein meals and concentrates made from legumes such as beans, peas and lupins, which are rich in digestible proteins and have favourable amino acid profiles (Hardy, 2010). Legumes are generally high in ANFs, but their specific profile varies from plant to plant and depends on the method used to extract proteins (Champ, 2002). When salmonids are fed diets containing full-fat or solvent extracted soybean meal (SBM), they develop an inflammatory condition in distal intestine, called gut inflammation or enteritis, commonly characterised by shortening of mucosal folds, infiltration of the lamina propria with inflammatory cells, increased numbers of goblet cells and decreased numbers of absorptive vacuoles in the enterocytes (Baeverfjord and Krogdahl, 1996).

Global gut gene expression profiling has provided important insights into mechanisms underlying SBM-induced enteritis by focussing so far on early progression of the disease (Sahlmann et al., 2013), increasing levels of SBM in the diet (De Santis et al., 2015a) and the specificity of SBM effects (Król et al., 2016). All these studies were performed on Atlantic salmon, with fish being sampled either during the first week of exposure to SBM diet (Sahlmann et al., 2013) or at the end of 8-12 week feeding trials (De Santis et al., 2015a; Król et al., 2016). Switching diet from fish meal to SBM resulted in rapid changes in the gut transcriptome, indicating the initiation of an immune response followed by dysfunction of intestinal barrier and gut (Sahlmann et al., 2013). The most prominent gene expression changes were observed on days 3 and 5 of dietary manipulation, with the immuno-related transcripts dominating during the first 5 days of exposure and the genes linked to the gut function dominating from day 5 onwards. Among the most up-regulated genes associated with the immune response were GTPase IMAP family members, NF-κB-related genes and regulators of T cell and B cell function. Subsequent down-regulation of transcripts related to endocytosis, exocytosis, detoxification, transport and metabolic processes suggested an impairment of intestinal barrier and suboptimal gut function. Furthermore, comparison of the gut immune responses associated with the short (Sahlmann et al., 2013) versus long (De Santis et al., 2015a; Król et al., 2016) exposure to SBM diet indicated the presence of active inflammation that was independent of the duration of dietary manipulation, with no obvious signs of resolving the condition. Indeed, the gut transcriptome responses to chronic SBM treatment were characterised by: 1) activation of T cell mediated processes via up-regulation of the CD86 antigen, cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4, interleukin-18 (IL-18) and IL-22, 2) increased expression of T cell receptors, and 3) activation of TNF- and NF-kB-mediated responses and up-regulation of components of the respiratory burst complex via TNF signalling pathway (De Santis et al., 2015a). The nature of these alterations might reflect the ongoing excessive translocation of luminal bacteria, viruses and antigens across the intestinal epithelium, which was further supported by the enrichment of the pathways related to endocytosis, such as clathrin-mediated endocytosis signalling, macropinocytosis signalling and virus entry via endocytic pathways (Król et al., 2016). Finally, the latter study reinforced the idea about microbial translocation contributing to SBM-induced enteritis by identifying pathways that were directly linked to the intestinal barrier function (e.g., remodelling of epithelial adherens junctions and epithelial adherens junction signalling) and significantly modified by the SBM treatment.

Further processing of SBM to produce soy protein concentrate (SPC) typically uses an aqueous alcohol wash, which removes alcohol-soluble ANFs, such as saponins. Since feeding salmon diets

containing SPC does not induce major changes in gut histology or transcriptome that resemble the SBM-induced enteritis (Tacchi et al., 2012; Król et al., 2016), development of this condition has been linked to the presence of saponins (Knudsen et al., 2007; Krogdahl et al., 2015). However, when gut transcriptome profiling was combined with the use of purified soy saponins to supplement salmon diets containing different plant proteins, it became clear that some supplemented diets were safe to use (corn gluten, sunflower, rapeseed and horsebean), while combination of saponins with pea protein concentrate (PPC) caused enteritis and major changes in gut transcriptome (Kortner et al., 2012). These changes included up-regulation of cytokines, NF-kB- and TNF-related genes and regulators of T cell function, while the IFN-axis was suppressed. Furthermore, the induction of lectins, complement, metalloproteinases and the respiratory burst complex paralleled a downregulation of genes for free radical scavengers and iron-binding proteins. The important implication of this study is to demonstrate that saponins do not necessarily cause enteritis on their own or without being potentiated by other ANFs. Instead, they may act to increase gut permeability and therefore expose the local immune system to antigens that would not normally cross the intestinal epithelial barrier or would cross it at lower rates (Knudsen et al., 2008; Penn et al., 2011; Chikwati et al., 2012; Krogdahl et al., 2015). Despite intensive research, the antigens responsible for triggering the SBM-induced inflammatory reaction in salmon gut have not yet been identified (Couto et al., 2014).

There is growing evidence that gut inflammation in salmon may also be induced by high dietary inclusions of plant proteins that are naturally low in saponins. These include bean protein concentrate (BPC) made from the faba bean (Vicia faba), produced by fine grinding of dehulled seeds into flour, followed by air classification (De Santis et al., 2015b; Penn et al., 2011). The ANF profile of BPC is characterised by high levels of condensed tannins and the presence of faba bean-specific glucosides such as vicine and convicine (Helsper et al., 1993). The analysis of gut transcriptome responses to diets containing 36% SBM and 45% BPC revealed that both diets generated substantially different and unique gene expression profiles, with relatively few transcriptomic alterations common for both treatments (Król et al., 2016). The nature of these common responses (especially alterations of ILK signalling and germ cell-Sertoli cell junction signalling pathways) suggests that although the mechanisms by which different plant proteins affect gut health may be different, they are all likely to contribute to the overall loss of intestinal integrity that promotes inflammation. The overlapping gut transcriptomic responses to SBM and BPC diets (Fig. 4A) are of special interest for aquaculture as they may harbour biomarkers that characterise all types of gut inflammatory diseases, independent of the their origin and causes.

Finally, gut transcriptome profiling has contributed to understanding the impacts of single versus mix plant proteins on fish health and performance (Król et al., 2016). When different plant proteins (SPC and BPC) were mixed, they generated less extensive alterations of the gut transcriptome relative to single plant protein diets with either 45% SPC or 45% BPC, probably due to reduced levels of individual ANFs (Fig. 4B). Importantly, the mixed plant protein diets were associated with an improved body composition of fish, suggesting a potential link between the magnitude of changes in the gut transcriptome and whole-animal performance. Furthermore, fish with histologically more advanced gut inflammation (moderate enteritis induced by 36% SBM) had more extensive alterations of gut transcriptome than fish with mild enteritis induced by 45% BPC. The results of the faba bean (Król et al., 2016) and other plant protein studies presented in Table 2 clearly indicate that gut transcriptome profiling provides a useful tool for testing the applicability of alternative protein sources for



**Fig. 4.** Results from a microarray experiment characterising the gut transcriptome responses to dietary plant proteins in Atlantic salmon (modified from Król et al., 2016). (A) Venn diagrams show the numbers of common and unique genes altered in gut transcriptome by single plant protein diets, enriched with either 36% soybean meal (SBM) or 45% bean protein concentrate (BPC) (B) Number of differentially expressed genes in the gut of fish fed single (45% SPC and 45% BPC) *versus* mixed (S<sub>34</sub>B<sub>11</sub>, S<sub>22</sub>B<sub>22</sub>, S<sub>11</sub>B<sub>44</sub>) plant protein diets and 36% SBM.

aquaculture feeds and for designing diets with the reduced impact of ANFs on fish health.

# 3.2.2. Vegetable oils

The major obstacle to effective replacement of fish oil with vegetable oil is the difference in their fatty acid profiles, especially in the content of omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 LC-PUFA) such as eicosapentaenoic (EPA) and docosahexae-noic (DHA) acids (Hixson, 2014). These fatty acids are essential or conditionally essential for vertebrates and have well-established health effects in humans, but they are not synthesised by terres-trial plants (Calder, 2013). As a result, feeding farmed fish with vegetable oil in an uncontrolled manner may have detrimental effects on fish health and compromise the health benefits of humans that consume these fish (Gil et al., 2012). In recent years, significant progress has been made in understanding the effects of vegetable oil on fish health by gene expression profiling of pyloric caeca and midgut.

The effects of vegetable oil (a blend of rapeseed, palm and *Camelina* oils) and fish oil on the pyloric caeca transcriptome in Atlantic salmon depended on the genetic background of fish (lean *versus* fat) (Morais et al., 2012a). At the completion of a 55-week feeding trial, the lean fish showed an enhanced response to the

low content of n-3 LC-PUFA in the vegetable oil, based on their magnitude of changes in the expression levels of  $\Delta$ 5fad,  $\Delta$ 6fad, elovl5b and elovl2 genes. Furthermore, the vegetable oil increased lipogenesis in lean fish (as assessed by expression of FAS), while  $\beta$ -oxidation appeared unaffected, although transcripts involved in mitochondrial respiratory or electron transport chains were down-regulated. Both lean and fat fish fed vegetable oil were characterised by relatively high expression levels of genes involved in xenobiotic metabolism (CYP1A and EPHX2), antioxidant defence (CAT, HPX and PRDX1) and apoptosis (Casp3B), probably reflecting the presence of contaminants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the vegetable oil.

The applicability of different inclusion levels of Camelina oil to replace fish oil was evaluated in a 12-week feeding trial performed on juvenile Atlantic cod, with focus on the gene expression profile of midgut (Morais et al., 2012b). The microarray analysis identified a total of 289 genes that were significantly altered by 66% Camelina oil versus fish oil. These genes were linked to translation (18% of all genes), cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis (14%) as well as a structural molecule activity (12%), followed by transporter activity (9%) and immune response (7%). In contrast, metabolism appeared to be less affected, with 6% of the genes involved in proteolysis, 5% involved in energy metabolism and 4% in lipid metabolism. Other minor categories included regulation of transcription (4%), signalling (4%) and protein folding (3%). Overall, the gene expression profile of midgut in fish fed 66% Camelina oil was consistent with the altered balance between cell proliferation and death, leading potentially to the different rates of tissue regeneration and/or repair.

One approach that has recently received considerable attention in the context of fish oil replacement in aquaculture feeds is the use of metabolically engineered Camelina sativa to synthesize n-3 LC-PUFA in seeds (Betancor et al., 2015a). To achieve this goal, transgenic Camelina sativa was equipped with a suite of five microalgal genes to produce seed oil that contained 20% of total fatty acids as EPA. The feeding trial was performed on Atlantic salmon and lasted 7 weeks, during which fish were fed diets containing engineered Camelina oil (ECO) with EPA, wild-type Camelina oil (WCO) or fish oil (Betancor et al., 2015b). The microarray analysis identified a total of 2298 differentially expressed genes in the pyloric caeca between fish fed ECO and fish oil diets, while 1152 genes with differential expression were found between fish fed ECO and WCO diets. The analysis showed that both ECO and WCO diets induced a similar transcriptomic response in the pyloric caeca in comparison to fish oil diet. Thus, when the transcriptomes of pyloric caeca from fish fed ECO and WCO diets were compared to fish fed fish oil diet, the same cell processes were similarly affected, with the major categories being metabolism, signalling and immune response. Within metabolism, the pathways most affected were that of lipid metabolism. However, all these changes were subtle and provided evidence that the oil from genetically modified C. sativa did not have any unexpected or potentially detrimental effects on gut transcriptome and function. These results along with the result of the two previous studies (Morais et al., 2012a,b) clearly indicate that gut transcriptome profiling provides a useful tool for testing the applicability of vegetable oils to substitute fish oil in aquaculture feeds.

#### 3.3. Environmental stress and developmental factors

Stress can encompass many different aspects of health, nutrition and environmental change and thus may lead to dysfunction in the intestine, potentially with a common signature in the transcriptome response (Table 3). Xia et al. (2013) subjected Asian seabass (*Lates calcarifer*) to four different stress challenges (LPS Table 3

Fish studies using high-throughput profiling to characterise gut transcriptome responses to environmental stress, gut microbiota and transgenic manipulations.

Environmental stressEuropean eel (Anguilla anguilla) <sup>4</sup> Experimental transfer from fresh (FW) to salt (SW) waterSW vs FW fish (6 h, 2 and 7 d, then 5 months)Microarray, E-MAXD-24 (ArrayExpress)Kalujnaia et al., 2007a,bBrown trout (Salimo trutta) <sup>a</sup> Chronic metal exposureFish from rivers with high vs low metal contentMultiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina GAIIx (Brom e AnalyzerUren Webster et al., 2013Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer) <sup>b</sup> High salinity (33 ppt)Stressed vs control fish (8 d)IntestineRNA-seq, 454 GS FLX TitaniumXia et al., 2013 (Roche)Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup> Exposure to space environmentSpace vs ground fish (56 -60 d)Multiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina HiSeq <sup>TM</sup> Murata et al., 2015Cut microbiota marry rerio)Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fish rerio)GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)IntestineMicroarray, 16K zebrafish platformRawls et al., 2004Zebrafish (Danio rerio)Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestineMultiple, including intestineMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009	Fish species	Type of manipulation	Comparison & sampling	Tissue analysed	Method & platform	References
European eel (Anguilla anguilla)³Experimental transfer from fresh (FW) to salt (Anguilla)³SW vs FW fish (6 h, 2 and 7 d, Multiple, including intestineMicroarray, E-MAXD-24 (ArrayExpress)Kalujnaia et al., 2007a,bBrown trout (Salmo trutta)³Chronic metal exposure trutta)³Fish from rivers with high vs metal contentMultiple, including intestineMicroarray, E-MAXD-24 (ArrayExpress)Kalujnaia et al., 2007a,bAsian seabass (Lates calcarifer)°High salinity (33 ppt)Stressed vs control fish (8 d)IntestineRNA-seq, Illumina GAIIx (Brom rivers with high vs intestineUren Webster et al., 2013Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes)°Exposure to space environment conventionally raised (CONV) and rerio)Space vs ground fish (56 conventionally raised (CONV) and rerio)GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)Microarray, 16K zebrafish platformMicroarray, 6PL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)	Environmental stress	-				
(Anguilla anguilla) <sup>a</sup> (SW) waterthen 5 months) anguilla) <sup>a</sup> including intestine(ArrayExpress)2007a,bBrown trout (Salmo trutta) <sup>a</sup> Chronic metal exposure trutta) <sup>a</sup> Fish from rivers with high vsMultiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina GAIIX Genome AnalyzerUren Webster et al., 2013Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer) <sup>b</sup> High salinity (33 ppt)Stressed vs control fish (8 d)IntestineRNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium (Roche)Xia et al., 2013 (Roche)Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup> Exposure to space environment (Oryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup> Space vs ground fish (56 not entitieMultiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina HiSeq <sup>TM</sup> 2000Murata et al., 2015Gut microbiota manipulation rerio)Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and rerio)GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)Microarray, 16K zebrafish platformRawls et al., 2004Transgenic model of rerio)Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestineMultiple, knockoutMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009	European eel	Experimental transfer from fresh (FW) to salt	SW vs FW fish (6 h, 2 and 7 d,	Multiple,	Microarray, E-MAXD-24	Kalujnaia et al.,
anguila)intestineBrown trout (Salmo trutta) <sup>a</sup> Chronic metal exposure trutta) <sup>a</sup> Fish from rivers with high vs how metal contentMultiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina GAllx Genome AnalyzerUren Webster et al., 2013Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer) <sup>b</sup> High salinity (33 ppt) calcarifer) <sup>b</sup> Stressed vs control fish (8 d)IntestineRNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium (Roche)Xia et al., 2013 (Roche)Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup> Exposure to space environment conventionalized (CONV) and rerio)Space vs ground fish (56 onventionalized (CONV) and rerio)Multiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina HiSeq <sup>TM</sup> 2500Murata et al., 2015Gut microbiota manipulationGerm-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fishGF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)Microarray, 16K zebrafish platformRawls et al., 2004Transgenic model of rerio)Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestineMultiple, including intestineMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009	(Anguilla	(SW) water	then 5 months)	including	(ArrayExpress)	2007a,b
Brown trout (Salmo trutta)aChronic metal exposureFish from rivers with high vs low metal contentMultiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina GAllxUren Webster et al., 2013Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer)bHigh salinity (33 ppt)Stressed vs control fish (8 d)IntestineRNA-seq, 454 GS FLX TitaniumXia et al., 2013Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes)bExposure to space environmentSpace vs ground fish (56 -60 d)Multiple, including intestineRNA-seq, 11lumina HiSeq <sup>TM</sup> Murata et al., 2015Gut microbiota manipulation rerio)Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fishGF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)Microarray, 16K zebrafish platformMawls et al., 2004Transgenic model of rerio)Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestineMultiple, including intestineMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009	anguilla)"			intestine		
trutta)alow metal contentincluding intestineGenome Analyzeret al., 2013Asian seabass (LatesHigh salinity (33 ppt) calcarifer)bStressed vs control fish (8 d)IntestineRNA-seq, 454 GS FLX TitaniumXia et al., 2013 (Roche)Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes)bExposure to space environment (Oryzias latipes)bSpace vs ground fish (56 -60 d)Multiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina HiSeqTMMurata et al., 2015Gut microbiota manipulationZebrafish (Danio rerio)Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fishGF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)Microarray, 16K zebrafish platformRawls et al., 2004Transgenic model of rerio)Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish intestineMultiple, including intestineMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009	Brown trout (Salmo	Chronic metal exposure	Fish from rivers with high vs	Multiple,	RNA-seq, Illumina GAIIx	Uren Webster
Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer) <sup>b</sup> High salinity (33 ppt) (33 ppt)       Stressed vs control fish (8 d)       Intestine       RNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium Xia et al., 2013 (Roche)         Japanese medaka (0ryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup> Exposure to space environment (0ryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup> Space vs ground fish (56 ol (100 minut))       Multiple, including intestine       RNA-seq, 11umina HiSeq <sup>TM</sup> Murata et al., 2013 (Roche)         Gut microbiota manipulation       Zebrafish (Danio conventionalized (CONV) and rerio)       GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d)       Intestine       Microarray, 16K zebrafish 2004         Transgenic model of immunodeficiency       Expression Omnibus)       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish intestine       Multiple, including intestine       Jima et al., 2009	trutta) <sup>a</sup>		low metal content	including	Genome Analyzer	et al., 2013
Asian seabass (Lates calcarifer) bHigh salinity (33 ppt)Stressed vs control fish (8 d)IntestineRNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium (Roche)Xia et al., 2013 (Roche)Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes) bExposure to space environment (Oryzias latipes)Space vs ground fish (56 ncluding intestineMultiple, ncluding intestineRNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium (Roche)Murata et al., 2013 (Roche)Gut microbiota manipulationSeace vs ground fish (66 ncluding intestineMultiple, notestineMicroarray, 16K zebrafish platformMurata et al., 2013 (Roche)Gut microbiota manipulationGerm-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and rerio)GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)Microarray, 16K zebrafish platformRawls et al., 2004Transgenic model of rerio)Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestineMultiple, including intestineMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009				intestine		
calcarifer)b(Roche)Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes)bExposure to space environment (Oryzias latipes)bSpace vs ground fish (56 -60 d)Multiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina HiSeq™ 2500Murata et al., 2015Gut microbiota manipulationZebrafish (Danio conventionally raised (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fish transgenic model of immunodeficiencyGF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d post fertilization)Microarray, 16K zebrafish platformRawls et al., 2004Zebrafish (Danio rerio)Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestineMultiple, platformMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009	Asian seabass (Lates	High salinity (33 ppt)	Stressed vs control fish (8 d)	Intestine	RNA-seq, 454 GS FLX Titanium	Xia et al., 2013
Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes)bExposure to space environmentSpace vs ground fish (56 –60 d)Multiple, including intestineRNA-seq, Illumina HiSeq™ 2015Murata et al., 2015Gut microbiota manipulationGerm-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and rerio)GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 dIntestineMicroarray, 16K zebrafish platformRawls et al., 2004Transgenic model of immunodeficiencyConventionation-activating gene 1 knockoutRag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestineMultiple, including including including including intestineMicroarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)Jima et al., 2009	calcarifer) <sup>b</sup>				(Roche)	
(Oryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup> -60 d)       including including intestine       2500       2015         Gut microbiota manipulation       22brafish (Danio       Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fish       GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d Intestine post fertilization)       Microarray, 16K zebrafish platform       Rawls et al., 2004         Transgenic model of immunodeficiency       Zebrafish (Danio       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestine       Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)       Jima et al., 2009	Japanese medaka	Exposure to space environment	Space vs ground fish (56	Multiple,	RNA-seq, Illumina HiSeq™	Murata et al.,
Gut microbiota manipulation       Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fish       GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d Intestine post fertilization)       Microarray, 16K zebrafish 2004       Rawls et al., 2004         rerio)       conventionally raised (CONR) fish       GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d Intestine post fertilization)       Microarray, 16K zebrafish 2004       2004         Transgenic model of immunodeficiency       rerio)       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestine       Multiple, including intestine       Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)	(Oryzias latipes) <sup>b</sup>		-60 d)	including	2500	2015
Gut microbiota manipulation       Zebrafish (Danio       Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONR) fish       GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d Intestine post fertilization)       Microarray, 16K zebrafish platform       Rawls et al., 2004         rerio)       conventionally raised (CONR) fish       by fertilization)       post fertilization)       platform       2004         Transgenic model of immunodeficiency       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestine       Multiple, including intestine       Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene Expression Omnibus)       Jima et al., 2009				intestine		
Zebrafish (Danio       Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and conventionalized (CONV) and conventionally raised (CONV) and post fertilization)       Microarray, 16K zebrafish platform       Rawls et al., platform         Transgenic model of immunodeficiency       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestine       Multiple, including intestine       Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene       Jima et al., 2009	Gut microbiota manip	pulation				
rerio)       conventionally raised (CONR) fish       post fertilization)       platform       2004         Transgenic model of immunodeficiency       Zebrafish (Danio       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish       Multiple,       Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene       Jima et al., 2009         rerio)       knockout       Knockout       Expression Omnibus)       intestine	Zebrafish (Danio	Germ-free (GF), conventionalized (CONV) and	GF vs CONV vs CONR fish (6 d	Intestine	Microarray, 16K zebrafish	Rawls et al.,
Transgenic model of immunodeficiency         Zebrafish (Danio       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1         rerio)       knockout         Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish       Multiple, including intestine	rerio)	conventionally raised (CONR) fish	post fertilization)		platform	2004
Zebrafish (Danio rerio)       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> , recombination-activating gene 1 knockout       Rag1 <sup>-/-</sup> vs Rag1 <sup>+/-</sup> fish including intestine       Multiple, including intestine       Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene Jima et al., 2009 intestine	Transgenic model of immunodeficiency					
rerio) knockout including Expression Omnibus) intestine	Zebrafish (Danio	$Rag1^{-}/$ , recombination-activating gene 1	$Rag1^{-}/^{-}$ vs $Rag1^{+}/^{-}$ fish	Multiple,	Microarray, GPL7244 (Gene	Jima et al., 2009
intestine	rerio)	knockout		including	Expression Omnibus)	
				intestine		

<sup>a</sup> Experiment performed on wild fish.

<sup>b</sup> Experiment performed on farmed fish.

injection, *Vibrio* infection, salinity changes and fasting) and used an Illumina RNA-seq approach to explore the transcriptome responses. These stressors were very different in nature and for each one the majority of responses were unique. However, there were common intestinal responses with 59 genes being altered on all occasions. As expected, common genes were found between the LPS and bacterial challenges, but the response in intestine was mostly a decrease in gene expression, potentially reflecting the route of infection. Although the authors were attempting to define a comprehensive intestinal response to stressors, the challenges were probably too diverse to gain a complete picture of responses.

An extreme example of fish stress was examined in medaka (Murata et al., 2015), where these fish were flown to the International Space Station, following which tissues were subjected to RNA-seq in comparison to non-flying controls. The interest here is that the intestine showed the greatest response to zero gravity when compared to eye, brain, liver and gonads, and the enrichment for GO terms in the intestine included antigen presentation by MHC I and apoptotic processes. The relevance of this experiment here is debatable, but has been included for completeness.

# 3.3.1. Role of intestine in osmoregulatory function

The intestinal wall is one of the key organs with the gills and kidney that regulate plasma ions in fish (Marshall and Grosell, 2006), particularly in the species that move between fresh and salt water. Although much work has been carried out on the physiology of the intestine in salmonids relating to ion transport (Sundell and Sundh, 2012), there is still no in-depth transcriptomic reports on how the gene expression signature changes in the intestine between fresh and salt water. Tilapia species are known for their varying tolerance and performance in different salinities, which is clearly seen in Oreochromis niloticus and Oreochromis mossambicus, with Oreochromis niloticus performing poorly in salt water. To examine the differing intestinal transcriptomic responses to salinity, fish were either maintained in fresh water or acclimated to full strength sea water (3.5% salinity) over a period of one week (Ronkin et al., 2015). After four weeks, RNA was extracted from both anterior and posterior intestine and RNA-seq analysis performed on Illumina HiSeq 2000, with reads mapped against a reference tilapia transcriptome. Transcripts with >3.5 fold change in response were selected following FDR correction; these genes would represent an adaptive osmoregulatory response rather than acute response. The *O. niloticus* species showed a marked increase in numbers of genes responding particularly in the anterior intestine, but both species appeared to have similar numbers of genes altered in the posterior intestine. As anticipated, many ion channels and transporters were altered, with NKA, NKCC2 and VHA being increased and NCC being decreased in response to salinity. As with salmon gill (Nilsen et al., 2007), the tilapia intestine also showed differential expression of several NKA a1 subunits, but these differences were associated with the different sections of the intestine being sampled. An unexpected finding was that the intestinal region had the larger effect on the gene expression than species or salinity, making it difficult to compare experiments and draw general conclusions. Indeed, it should be noted that tilapia have particularly long intestine up to 8 times their body length (Smith et al., 2000), leading to great differences between fish species intestine function. Unfortunately, the data were not used for advanced gene set enrichment, only a basic GO analysis was performed at high level, but it did confirm increased transporter activity to be a major grouping.

The European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*), a species that migrates back to the ocean for spawning, was examined for intestinal transcriptome responses. Here, a 6144 feature cDNA array was used to identity differentially expressed genes between 6 h and five months following transfer (Kalujnaia et al., 2007a,b). In the intestine, major groups of genes that changed were associated with ion transport, energy metabolism and immune function. However, there was no clear pattern that emerged from the analysis of these changes other than immune genes appeared to be altered more during early time points. At the time that the experiments were carried out, the annotation of the microarray was not extensive and only limited functional processes could be determined.

# 4. Future perspectives

The intestine is a highly complex organ that plays a crucial role in the immune system. As demonstrated in this review, there are numerous factors that can impact both immune function and digestive performance of the intestine, which can be evaluated using the transcriptomic approach. To gain greater interpretation of the transcriptomic experiments will require improved genome annotation across many species, and currently this could be viewed as one of the major obstacles in the field. Defining the cell types involved in either GALT or barrier function will help to reveal crosstalk between cells and also with the systemic immune system. Such work will require firstly cell culture systems for intestine to be developed, but also other approaches including laser capture of specific cell types, linked with in-depth transcriptome analysis. Model species such as zebrafish are being used more to examine intestinal function as they offer the possibility of transgenic manipulation of the key pathways related to gut performance and immunity. Insights into the epigenomic landscape of the intestine. which is an emerging area of investigation in fish immunity, will help to define how early life experience regulates gene expression in later life. Gene editing using approaches such as Crispr/Cas9 will play an important role in future research and potentially in aquaculture. Finally, the relationship between the microbiome and host gene responses will be explored to improve nutrient uptake, fish performance as well as vaccine efficacy and effectiveness. Together, the emerging technologies will be highly beneficial to further understanding and manipulating intestinal function for both disease control and improved performance.

#### Acknowledgements

This study was funded by BBSRC grant BB/M026604/1, and UK Technology Strategy Board (TSB) grant 11974-81166. CED was funded by a BBSRC EastBio PhD studentship at University of Aberdeen. We are grateful to Chris Secombes, Helen Dooley and the two anonymous referees who made valuable comments on the earlier version of the manuscript.

#### References

- Baeverfjord, G., Krogdahl, Å., 1996. Development and regression of soybean meal induced enteritis in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L, distal intestine: a comparison with the intestines of fasted fish. J. Fish. Dis. 19, 375–387.
- Ballesteros, N.A., Castro, R., Abos, B., Rodríguez Saint-Jean, S.S., Pérez-Prieto, S.I., Tafalla, C., 2013. The pyloric caeca area is a major site for IgM<sup>+</sup> and IgT<sup>+</sup> B cell recruitment in response to oral vaccination in rainbow trout. PLoS One 8, e66118.
- Ballesteros, N.A., Saint-Jean, S.S., Encinas, P.A., Perez-Prieto, S.I., Coll, J.M., 2012a. Oral immunization of rainbow trout to infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) induces different immune gene expression profiles in head kidney and pvloric ceca. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 33. 174–185.
- Ballesteros, N.A., Saint-Jean, S.S., Perez-Prieto, S.I., Coll, J.M., 2012b. Trout oral VP2 DNA vaccination mimics transcriptional responses occurring after infection with infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 33, 1249–1257.
- Bermúdez, R., Losada, A.P., Vázquez, S., Redondo, M.J., Alvarez-Pellitero, P., Quiroga, M.I., 2010. Light and electron microscopic studies on turbot *Psetta maxima* infected with *Enteromyxum scophthalmi*: histopathology of turbot enteromyxosis. Dis. Aquat. Organ 89, 209–221.
- Betancor, M.B., Sprague, M., Sayanova, O., Usher, S., Campbell, P.J., Napier, J.A., Caballero, M.J., Tocher, D.R., 2015a. Evaluation of a high-EPA oil from transgenic *Camelina sativa* in feeds for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar L.*): effects on tissue fatty acid composition, histology and gene expression. Aquaculture 444, 1–12.
- Betancor, M.B., Sprague, M., Usher, S., Sayanova, O., Campbell, P.J., Napier, J.A., Tocher, D.R., 2015b. A nutritionally-enhanced oil from transgenic *Camelina sativa* effectively replaces fish oil as a source of eicosapentaenoic acid for fish. Sci. Rep. 5, 8104.
- Black, M.B., Parks, B.B., Pluta, L., Chu, T.M., Allen, B.C., Wolfinger, R.D., Thomas, R.S., 2014. Comparison of microarrays and RNA-seq for gene expression analyses of dose-response experiments. Toxicol. Sci. 137, 385–403.
- Byon, J.Y., Ohira, T., Hirono, I., Aoki, T., 2005. Use of a cDNA microarray to study immunity against viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) in Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) following DNA vaccination. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 18, 135–147.
- Caipang, C.M.A., Lazado, C.C., 2015. Nutritional impacts on fish mucosa: immunostimulants, pre- and probiotics. In: Beck, B.H., Peatman, E. (Eds.), Mucosal Health in Aquaculture. Academic Press, London, pp. 211–272.
- Calder, P.C., 2013. n-3 Fatty acids, inflammation and immunity: new mechanisms to explain old actions. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 72, 326–336.
- Calduch-Giner, J.A., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Davey, G.C., Cairns, M.T., Kaushik, S., Pérez-Sánchez, J., 2012. Dietary vegetable oils do not alter the intestine transcriptome of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*), but modulate the transcriptomic response to infection with *Enteromyxum leei*. BMC Genom. 13, 470.
- Castro, R., Tafalla, C., 2015. Overview of fish immunity. In: Beck, B.H., Peatman, E. (Eds.), Mucosal Health in Aquaculture. Academic Press, London, pp. 3–54.

- Castro, R., Jouneau, L., Tacchi, L., Macqueen, D.J., Alzaid, A., Secombes, C.J., Martin, S.A., Boudinot, P., 2015. Disparate developmental patterns of immune responses to bacterial and viral infections in fish. Sci. Rep. 5, 15458.
- Champ, M.M., 2002. Non-nutrient bioactive substances of pulses. Br. J. Nutr. 88, S307–S319.
- Chikwati, E.M., Venold, F.F., Penn, M.H., Rohloff, J., Refstie, S., Guttvik, A., Hillestad, M., Krogdahl, Å., 2012. Interaction of soya saponins with plant ingredients in diets for Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L. Br. J. Nutr. 107, 1570–1590. Collet, B., 2014. Innate immune responses of salmonid fish to viral infections. Dev.
- Comp. Immunol. 43, 160–173.
- Cooper, M.D., Herrin, B.R., 2010. How did our complex immune system evolve? Nat. Rev. Immunol. 10, 2–3.
- Couto, A., Kortner, T.M., Penn, M., Bakke, A.M., Krogdahl, Å., Oliva-Teles, A., 2014. Effects of dietary phytosterols and soy saponins on growth, feed utilization efficiency and intestinal integrity of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles. Aquaculture 432, 295–303.
- Crozier, L.G., Hutchings, J.A., 2014. Plastic and evolutionary responses to climate change in fish. Evol. Appl. 7, 68–87.
- Dahan, O., Gingold, H., Pilpel, Y., 2011. Regulatory mechanisms and networks couple the different phases of gene expression. Trends Genet. 27, 316–322.
- Davey, G.C., Calduch-Giner, J.A., Houeix, B., Talbot, A., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Prunet, P., Pérez-Sánchez, J., Cairns, M.T., 2011. Molecular profiling of the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) response to chronic exposure to the myxosporean parasite *Enteromyxum leei*. Mol. Immunol. 48, 2102–2112.
- De Santis, C., Bartie, K.L., Olsen, R.E., Taggart, J.B., Tocher, D.R., 2015a. Nutrigenomic profiling of transcriptional processes affected in liver and distal intestine in response to a soybean meal-induced nutritional stress in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genom. Proteom. 15, 1–11.
- De Santis, C., Ruohonen, K., Tocher, D.R., Martin, S.A.M., Król, E., Secombes, C.J., Bell, J.G., El-Mowafi, A., Crampton, V.O., 2015b. Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) parr as a model to predict the optimum inclusion of air classified faba bean protein concentrate in feeds for seawater salmon. Aquaculture 444, 70–78.
- Donaldson, G.P., Lee, S.M., Mazmanian, S.K., 2016. Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 14, 20–32.
- Doñate, C., Balasch, J.C., Callol, A., Bobe, J., Tort, L., MacKenzie, S., 2010. The effects of immunostimulation through dietary manipulation in the rainbow trout; evaluation of mucosal immunity. Mar. Biotechnol. 12, 88–99.
- Douglas, S.E., 2006. Microarray studies of gene expression in fish. OMICS 10, 474-489.
- Estensoro, I., Benedito-Palos, L., Palenzuela, O., Kaushik, S., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Pérez-Sánchez, J., 2011. The nutritional background of the host alters the disease course in a fish-myxosporean system. Vet. Parasitol. 175, 141–150.
- Flajnik, M.F., Kasahara, M., 2010. Origin and evolution of the adaptive immune system: genetic events and selective pressures. Nat. Rev. Genet. 11, 47–59.
- Fuglem, B., Jirillo, E., Bjerkås, I., Kiyono, H., Nochi, T., Yuki, Y., Raida, M., Fischer, U., Koppang, E.O., 2010. Antigen-sampling cells in the salmonid intestinal epithelium. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 34, 768–774.
- Fuhrman, J.A., 1999. Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. Nature 399, 541–548.
- Ghosh, B., Nguyen, T.D., Crosbie, P.B., Nowak, B.F., Bridle, A.R., 2016. Oral vaccination of first-feeding Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar L.*, confers greater protection against yersiniosis than immersion vaccination. Vaccine 34, 599–608.
- Gil, A., Serra-Majem, L., Calder, P.C., Uauy, R., 2012. Systematic reviews of the role of omega-3 fatty acids in the prevention and treatment of disease. Br. J. Nutr. 107, S1-S2.
- Goetz, F.W., MacKenzie, S., 2008. Functional genomics with microarrays in fish biology and fisheries. Fish Fish. 9, 378–395.
- Götz, S., García-Gómez, J.M., Terol, J., Williams, T.D., Nagaraj, S.H., Nueda, M.J., Robles, M., Talón, M., Dopazo, J., Conesa, A., 2008. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, 3420–3435.
- Gracey, A.Y., Cossins, A.R., 2003. Application of microarray technology in environmental and comparative physiology. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 65, 231–259.
- Gracey, A.Y., Fraser, E.J., Li, W., Fang, Y., Taylor, R.R., Rogers, J., Brass, A., Cossins, A.R., 2004. Coping with cold: an integrative, multitissue analysis of the transcriptome of a poikilothermic vertebrate. PNAS 101, 16970–16975.
- Granja, A.G., Leal, E., Pignatelli, J., Castro, R., Abós, B., Kato, G., Fischer, U., Tafalla, C., 2015. Identification of teleost skin CD8a<sup>+</sup> dendritic-like cells, representing a potential common ancestor for mammalian cross-presenting dendritic cells. J. Immunol. 195, 1825–1837.
- Groschwitz, K.R., Hogan, S.P., 2009. Intestinal barrier function: molecular regulation and disease pathogenesis. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 124, 3–20.
- Hallstrom, K., McCormick, B.A., 2011. Salmonella interaction with and passage through the intestinal mucosa: through the lens of the organism. Front. Microbiol. 2, 88.
- Hardy, R.W., 2010. Utilization of plant proteins in fish diets: effects of global demand and supplies of fishmeal. Aquac. Res. 41, 770–776.
- Haugland, G.T., Jordal, A.E., Wergeland, H.I., 2012. Characterization of small, mononuclear blood cells from salmon having high phagocytic capacity and ability to differentiate into dendritic like cells. PLoS One 7, e49260.
- Hegedus, Z., Zakrzewska, A., Agoston, V.C., Ordas, A., Rácz, P., Mink, M., Spaink, H.P., Meijer, A.H., 2009. Deep sequencing of the zebrafish transcriptome response to mycobacterium infection. Mol. Immunol. 46, 2918–2930.
- Helsper, J.P.F.G., Hoogendijk, J.M., van Norel, A., Burger-Meyer, K., 1993. Antinutritional factors in faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.) as affected by breeding toward the

absence of condensed tannins. J. Agric. Food Chem. 41, 1058–1061.

- Hixson, S.M., 2014. Fish nutrition and current issues in aquaculture: the balance in providing safe and nutritious seafood, in an environmentally sustainable manner. J. Aquac. Res. Dev. 5, 234.
- Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., Lempicki, R.A., 2009. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources. Nat. Protoc. 4, 44–57.
- Jeffries, K.M., Hinch, S.G., Gale, M.K., Clark, T.D., Lotto, A.G., Casselman, M.T., Li, S., Rechisky, E.L., Porter, A.D., Welch, D.W., Miller, K.M., 2014. Immune response genes and pathogen presence predict migration survival in wild salmon smolts. Mol. Ecol. 23, 5803–5815.
- Jima, D.D., Shah, R.N., Orcutt, T.M., Joshi, D., Law, J.M., Litman, G.W., Trede, N.S., Yoder, J.A., 2009. Enhanced transcription of complement and coagulation genes in the absence of adaptive immunity. Mol. Immunol. 46, 1505–1516.
- Johansson, P., Corripio-Miyar, Y., Wang, T., Collet, B., Secombes, C.J., Zou, J., 2012. Characterisation and expression analysis of the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) homologue of the human dendritic cell marker CD208/lysosomal associated membrane protein 3. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 37, 402–413.
- Jørgensen, S.M., Afanasyev, S., Krasnov, A., 2008. Gene expression analyses in Atlantic salmon challenged with infectious salmon anemia virus reveal differences between individuals with early, intermediate and late mortality. BMC Genom. 9, 179.
- Ju, Z., Dunham, R.A., Liu, Z., 2002. Differential gene expression in the brain of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) in response to cold acclimation. Mol. Genet. Genom. 268, 87–95.
- Kalujnaia, S., McWilliam, I.S., Zaguinaiko, V.A., Feilen, A.L., Nicholson, J., Hazon, N., Cutler, C.P., Balment, R.J., Cossins, A.R., Hughes, M., Cramb, G., 2007a. Salinity adaptation and gene profiling analysis in the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) using microarray technology. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 152, 274–280.
- Kalujnaia, S., McWilliam, I.S., Zaguinaiko, V.A., Feilen, A.L., Nicholson, J., Hazon, N., Cutler, C.P., Cramb, G., 2007b. Transcriptomic approach to the study of osmoregulation in the European eel Anguilla anguilla. Physiol. Genom. 31, 385–401.

Kinnebrew, M.A., Pamer, E.G., 2012. Innate immune signaling in defense against intestinal microbes. Immunol. Rev. 245, 113–131.Knudsen, D., Urán, P., Arnous, A., Koppe, W., Frøkiaer, H., 2007. Saponin-containing

- subfractions of soybean molasses induce enteritis in the distal intestine of Atlantic salmon. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55, 2261–2267.
- Knudsen, D., Jutfelt, F., Sundh, H., Sundell, K., Koppe, W., Frøkiær, H., 2008. Dietary soya saponins increase gut permeability and play a key role in the onset of soyabean-induced enteritis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar L.*). Br. J. Nutr. 100, 120–129.
- Kortner, T.M., Skugor, S., Penn, M.H., Mydland, L.T., Djordjevic, B., Hillestad, M., Krasnov, A., Krogdahl, Å., 2012. Dietary soyasaponin supplementation to pea protein concentrate reveals nutrigenomic interactions underlying enteropathy in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). BMC Vet. Res. 8, 101.
- Koskinen, H., Pehkonen, P., Vehniäinen, E., Krasnov, A., Rexroad, C., Afanasyev, S., Mölsa, H., Oikari, A., 2004. Response of rainbow trout transcriptome to model chemical contaminants. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 320, 745–753.
- Krasnov, A., Timmerhaus, G., Afanasyev, S., Jørgensen, S.M., 2011. Development and assessment of oligonucleotide microarrays for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar L.*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genom. Proteom. 6, 31–38.
- Krogdahl, Å., Bakke, A.M., 2015. Antinutrients. In: Lee, C.S., Lim, C., Gatlin III, D.M., Webster, C.D. (Eds.), Dietary Nutrients, Additives and Fish Health. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken (NJ, USA), pp. 211–235.
- Krogdahl, Å., Gajardo, K., Kortner, T.M., Penn, M., Gu, M., Berge, G.M., Bakke, A.M., 2015. Soya saponins induce enteritis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar L.*). J. Agric. Food Chem. 63, 3887–3902.
- Król, E., Douglas, A., Tocher, D.R., Crampton, V.O., Speakman, J.R., Secombes, C.J., Martin, S.A.M., 2016. Differential responses of the gut transcriptome to plant protein diets in farmed Atlantic salmon. BMC Genom. 17, 156.
- Li, E., Li, C., 2014. Use of RNA-seq in aquaculture research. Poult. Fish. Wildl. Sci. 2, e108.
- Li, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Lu, J., Nandi, S., Mohanty, S., Terhune, J., Liu, Z., Peatman, E., 2012. RNA-seq analysis of mucosal immune responses reveals signatures of intestinal barrier disruption and pathogen entry following *Edwardsiella ictaluri* infection in channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 32, 816–827.
- Lindberg, J., Lundeberg, J., 2010. The plasticity of the mammalian transcriptome. Genomics 95, 1–6.
- Llewellyn, M.S., Boutin, S., Hoseinifar, S.H., Derome, N., 2014. Teleost microbiomes: the state of the art in their characterization, manipulation and importance in aquaculture and fisheries. Front. Microbiol. 5, 207.
- Lugo-Villarino, G., Balla, K.M., Stachura, D.L., Bañuelos, K., Werneck, M.B., Traver, D., 2010. Identification of dendritic antigen-presenting cells in the zebrafish. PNAS 107, 15850–15855.
- Marshall, W.S., Grosell, M., 2006. Ion transport, osmoregulation, and acid-base balance. In: Evans, D.H., Claiborne, J.B. (Eds.), Physiology of Fishes, vol. 3. CRC Press, Boca Raton (FL, USA), pp. 177–230.
- Mastoridis, S., Martínez-Llordella, M., Sanchez-Fueyo, A., 2015. Emergent transcriptomic technologies and their role in the discovery of biomarkers of liver transplant tolerance. Front. Immunol. 6, 304.
- Meijer, A.H., Verbeek, F.J., Salas-Vidal, E., Corredor-Adámez, M., Bussman, J., van der Sar, A.M., Otto, G.W., Geisler, R., Spaink, H.P., 2005. Transcriptome profiling of adult zebrafish at the late stage of chronic tuberculosis due to *Mycobacterium marinum* infection. Mol. Immunol. 42, 1185–1203.

- Merrifield, D.L., Rodiles, A., 2015. The fish microbiome and its interactions with mucosal tissues. In: Beck, B.H., Peatman, E. (Eds.), Mucosal Health in Aquaculture. Academic Press, London, pp. 273–295.
- Micallef, G., Bickerdike, R., Reiff, C., Fernandes, J.M., Bowman, A.S., Martin, S.A., 2012. Exploring the transcriptome of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) skin, a major defense organ. Mar. Biotechnol. 14, 559–569.
- Morais, S., Silva, T., Cordeiro, O., Rodrigues, P., Guy, D.R., Bron, J.E., Taggart, J.B., Bell, J.G., Tocher, D.R., 2012a. Effects of genotype and dietary fish oil replacement with vegetable oil on the intestinal transcriptome and proteome of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). BMC Genom. 13, 448.
- Morais, S., Edvardsen, R.B., Tocher, D.R., Bell, J.G., 2012b. Transcriptomic analyses of intestinal gene expression of juvenile Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) fed diets with Camelina oil as replacement for fish oil. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol. 161, 283–293.
- Mortazavi, A., Williams, B.A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., Wold, B., 2008. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods 5, 621–628.
- Mu, W., Yao, J., Zhang, J., Liu, S., Wen, H., Feng, J., Liu, Z., 2015. Expression of tumor suppressor genes in channel catfish after bacterial infections. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 48, 171–177.
- Murata, Y., Yasuda, T., Watanabe-Asaka, T., Oda, S., Mantoku, A., Takeyama, K., Chatani, M., Kudo, A., Uchida, S., Suzuki, H., Tanigaki, F., Shirakawa, M., Fujisawa, K., Hamamoto, Y., Terai, S., Mitani, H., 2015. Histological and transcriptomic analysis of adult Japanese medaka sampled onboard the International Space Station. PLoS One 10, e0138799.
- Nault, R., Fader, K.A., Zacharewski, T., 2015. RNA-Seq versus oligonucleotide array assessment of dose-dependent TCDD-elicited hepatic gene expression in mice. BMC Genom. 16, 373.
- Naylor, R.L., Hardy, R.W., Bureau, D.P., Chiu, A., Elliott, M., Farrell, A.P., Forster, I., Gatlin, D.M., Goldburg, R.J., Hua, K., Nichols, P.D., 2009. Feeding aquaculture in an era of finite resources. PNAS 106, 15103–15110.
- Nilsen, T.O., Ebbesson, L.O., Madsen, S.S., McCormick, S.D., Andersson, E., Björnsson, B.T., Prunet, P., Stefansson, S.O., 2007. Differential expression of gill Na+,K+-ATPase alpha- and beta-subunits, Na+,K+,2Cl- cotransporter and CFTR anion channel in juvenile anadromous and landlocked Atlantic salmon Salmo salar. J. Exp. Biol. 210, 2885–2896.
- O'Farrell, C., Vaghefi, N., Cantonnet, M., Buteau, B., Boudinot, P., Benmansour, A., 2002. Survey of transcript expression in rainbow trout leukocytes reveals a major contribution of interferon-responsive genes in the early response to a rhabdovirus infection. J. Virol. 76, 8040–8049.
- Pancer, Z., Amemiya, C.T., Ehrhardt, G.R., Ceitlin, J., Gartland, G.L., Cooper, M.D., 2004. Somatic diversification of variable lymphocyte receptors in the agnathan sea lamprey. Nature 430, 174–180.
- Parra, D., Takizawa, F., Sunyer, J.O., 2013. Evolution of B cell immunity. Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci. 1, 65–97.
- Penn, M.H., Bendiksen, E.Å., Campbell, P., Krogdahl, Å., 2011. High level of dietary pea protein concentrate induces enteropathy in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). Aquaculture 310, 267–273.
- Peterson, L.W., Artis, D., 2014. Intestinal epithelial cells: regulators of barrier function and immune homeostasis. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 14, 141–153.
- Qian, X., Ba, Y., Zhuang, Q., Zhong, G., 2014. RNA-seq technology and its application in fish transcriptomics. OMICS 18, 98–110.
- Rawls, J.F., Samuel, B.S., Gordon, J.I., 2004. Gnotobiotic zebrafish reveal evolutionarily conserved responses to the gut microbiota. PNAS 101, 4596–4601.
- Redondo, M.J., Palenzuela, O., Riaza, A., Macías, A., Alvarez-Pellitero, P., 2002. Experimental transmission of *Enteromyxum scophthalmi* (Myxozoa), an enteric parasite of turbot *Scophthalmus maximus*. J. Parasitol. 88, 482–488.
- Ringø, E., Dimitroglou, A., Hoseinifar, S.H., Davies, S.J., 2014. Prebiotics in finfish an update. In: Merrifield, D.L., Ringø, E. (Eds.), Aquaculture Nutrition: Gut Health, Probiotics and Prebiotics. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken (NJ, USA), pp. 360–400.
- Rivas-Aravena, A., Fuentes, Y., Cartagena, J., Brito, T., Poggio, V., La Torre, J., Mendoza, H., Gonzalez-Nilo, F., Sandino, A.M., Spencer, E., 2015. Development of a nanoparticle-based oral vaccine for Atlantic salmon against ISAV using an alphavirus replicon as adjuvant. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 45, 157–166.
- Robertson, G., Schein, J., Chiu, R., Corbett, R., Field, M., Jackman, S.D., Mungall, K., Lee, S., Okada, H.M., Qian, J.Q., Griffith, M., Raymond, A., Thiessen, N., Cezard, T., Butterfield, Y.S., Newsome, R., Chan, S.K., She, R., Varhol, R., Kamoh, B., Prabhu, A.L., Tam, A., Zhao, Y., Moore, R.A., Hirst, M., Marra, M.A., Jones, S.J., Hoodless, P.A., Birol, I., 2010. *De novo* assembly and analysis of RNA-seq data. Nat. Methods 7, 909–912.
- Robledo, D., Ronza, P., Harrison, P.W., Losada, A.P., Bermúdez, R., Pardo, B.G., Redondo, M.J., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Quiroga, M.I., Martínez, P., 2014. RNA-seq analysis reveals significant transcriptome changes in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) suffering severe enteromyxosis. BMC Genom. 15, 1149.
- Rombout, J.H., Yang, G., Kiron, V., 2014. Adaptive immune responses at mucosal surfaces of teleost fish. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 40, 634–643.
- Ronkin, D., Seroussi, E., Nitzan, T., Doron-Faigenboim, A., Cnaani, A., 2015. Intestinal transcriptome analysis revealed differential salinity adaptation between two tilapiine species. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genom. Proteom. 13, 35–43.
- Rurangwa, E., Sipkema, D., Kals, J., ter Veld, M., Forlenza, M., Bacanu, G.M., Smidt, H., Palstra, A.P., 2015. Impact of a novel protein meal on the gastrointestinal microbiota and the host transcriptome of larval zebrafish *Danio rerio*. Front. Physiol. 6, 133.
- Sahlmann, C., Sutherland, B.J., Kortner, T.M., Koop, B.F., Krogdahl, Å., Bakke, A.M., 2013. Early response of gene expression in the distal intestine of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) during the development of soybean meal induced

enteritis. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 34, 599–609.

- Salem, M., Rexroad III, C.E., Wang, J., Thorgaard, G.H., Yao, J., 2010. Characterization of the rainbow trout transcriptome using Sanger and 454-pyrosequencing approaches. BMC Genom. 11, 564.
- Salinas, I., 2015. The mucosal immune system of teleost fish. Biology 4, 525-539.
- Salinas, I., Parra, D., 2015. Fish mucosal immunity: intestine. In: Beck, B.H., Peatman, E. (Eds.), Mucosal Health in Aquaculture. Academic Press, London, pp. 135–171.
- Sarropoulou, E., Galindo-Villegas, J., García-Alcázar, A., Kasapidis, P., Mulero, V., 2012. Characterization of European sea bass transcripts by RNA-seq after oral vaccine against V. anguillarum. Mar. Biotechnol. 14, 634–642.
- Shi, M., Huang, R., Du, F., Pei, Y., Liao, L., Zhu, Z., Wang, Y., 2014. RNA-seq profiles from grass carp tissues after reovirus (GCRV) infection based on singular and modular enrichment analyses. Mol. Immunol. 61, 44–53.
- Simpson, J.T., Wong, K., Jackman, S.D., Schein, J.E., Jones, S.J., Birol, I., 2009. ABySS: a parallel assembler for short read sequence data. Genome Res. 19, 1117–1123.
- Sissener, N.H., Hemre, G.I., Lall, S.P., Sagstad, A., Petersen, K., Williams, J., Rohloff, J., Sanden, M., 2011. Are apparent negative effects of feeding GM MON810 maize to Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, caused by confounding factors? Br. J. Nutr. 106, 42–56.
- Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Calduch-Giner, J., Saera-Vila, A., Palenzuela, O., Alvarez-Pellitero, P., Pérez-Sánchez, J., 2008. Chronic exposure to the parasite *Enteromyxum leei* (Myxozoa: Myxosporea) modulates the immune response and the expression of growth, redox and immune relevant genes in gilthead sea bream, *Sparus aurata* L. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 24, 610–619.
- Smith, B.J., Smith, S.A., Tengjaroenkul, B., Lawrence, T.A., 2000. Gross morphology and topography of the adult intestinal tract of the tilapian fish, *Oreochromis* niloticus L. Cells Tissues Organs 166, 294–303.
- Song, L., Zhang, J., Li, C., Yao, J., Jiang, C., Li, Y., Liu, S., Liu, Z., 2014. Genome-wide identification of hsp40 genes in channel catfish and their regulated expression after bacterial infection. PLoS One 9, e115752.
- Sun, L., Liu, S., Bao, L., Li, Y., Feng, J., Liu, Z., 2015. Claudin multigene family in channel catfish and their expression profiles in response to bacterial infection and hypoxia as revealed by meta-analysis of RNA-Seq datasets. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genom. Proteom. 13, 60–69.
- Sundell, K.S., Sundh, H., 2012. Intestinal fluid absorption in anadromous salmonids: importance of tight junctions and aquaporins. Front. Physiol. 3, 388.
- Sundh, H., Sundell, K.S., 2015. Environmental impacts on fish mucosa. In: Beck, B.H., Peatman, E. (Eds.), Mucosal Health in Aquaculture. Academic Press, London, pp. 171–197.
- Tacchi, L., Bron, J.E., Taggart, J.B., Secombes, C.J., Bickerdike, R., Adler, M.A., Takle, H., Martin, S.A., 2011a. Multiple tissue transcriptomic responses to *Piscirickettsia* salmonis in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Physiol. Genom. 43, 1241–1254.
- Tacchi, L., Bickerdike, R., Douglas, A., Secombes, C.J., Martin, S.A., 2011b. Transcriptomic responses to functional feeds in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 31, 704–715.
- Tacchi, L., Secombes, C.J., Bickerdike, R., Adler, M.A., Venegas, C., Takle, H., Martin, S.A., 2012. Transcriptomic and physiological responses to fishmeal substitution with plant proteins in formulated feed in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). BMC Genom. 13, 363.

- Taggart, J.B., Bron, J.E., Martin, S.A., Seear, P.J., Høyheim, B., Talbot, R., Carmichael, S.N., Villeneuve, L.A., Sweeney, G.E., Houlihan, D.F., Secombes, C.J., Tocher, D.R., Teale, A.J., 2008. A description of the origins, design and performance of the TRAITS-SGP Atlantic salmon Salmo salar L. cDNA microarray. J. Fish Biol. 72, 2071–2094.
- Thomas, R.S., Wesselkamper, S.C., Wang, N.C., Zhao, Q.J., Petersen, D.D., Lambert, J.C., Cote, I., Yang, L., Healy, E., Black, M.B., Clewell III, H.J., Allen, B.C., Andersen, M.E., 2013. Temporal concordance between apical and transcriptional points of departure for chemical risk assessment. Toxicol. Sci. 134, 180–194.
- Tocher, D.R., Glencross, B.D., 2015. Lipids and fatty acids. In: Lee, C.S., Lim, C., Gatlin III, D.M., Webster, C.D. (Eds.), Dietary Nutrients, Additives and Fish Health. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken (NJ, USA), pp. 47–94.
- Trede, N.S., Langenau, D.M., Traver, D., Look, A.T., Zon, L.I., 2004. The use of zebrafish to understand immunity. Immunity 20, 367–379.
- Uren Webster, T.M., Bury, N., van Aerle, R., Santos, E.M., 2013. Global transcriptome profiling reveals molecular mechanisms of metal tolerance in a chronically exposed wild population of brown trout. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 8869–8877.
- van der Sar, A.M., Spaink, H.P., Zakrzewska, A., Bitter, W., Meijer, A.H., 2009. Specificity of the zebrafish host transcriptome response to acute and chronic mycobacterial infection and the role of innate and adaptive immune components. Mol. Immunol. 46, 2317–2332.
- van Niekerk, G., Davis, T., Engelbrecht, A.M., 2015. Was the evolutionary road towards adaptive immunity paved with endothelium? Biol. Direct 10, 47.
- Vogel, C., Marcotte, E.M., 2012. Insights into the regulation of protein abundance from proteomic and transcriptomic analyses. Nat. Rev. Genet. 13, 227–232.
- von Schalburg, K.R., Rise, M.L., Cooper, G.A., Brown, G.D., Gibbs, A.R., Nelson, C.C., Davidson, W.S., Koop, B.F., 2005. Fish and chips: various methodologies demonstrate utility of a 16,006-gene salmonid microarray. BMC Genom. 6, 126.
- Wang, Y., Lu, Y., Zhang, Y., Ning, Z., Li, Y., Zhao, Q., Lu, H., Huang, R., Xia, X., Feng, Q., Liang, X., Liu, K., Zhang, L., Lu, T., Huang, T., Fan, D., Weng, Q., Zhu, C., Lu, Y., Li, W., Wen, Z., Zhou, C., Tian, Q., Kang, X., Shi, M., Zhang, W., Jang, S., Du, F., He, S., Liao, L., Li, Y., Gui, B., He, H., Ning, Z., Yang, C., He, L., Luo, L., Yang, R., Luo, Q., Liu, X., Li, S., Huang, W., Xiao, L., Lin, H., Han, B., Zhu, Z., 2015. The draft genome of the grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) provides insights into its evolution and vegetarian adaptation. Nat. Genet. 47, 625–631.
- Wang, Z., Gerstein, M., Snyder, M., 2009. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 10, 57–63.
- Xia, J.H., Liu, P., Liu, F., Lin, G., Sun, F., Tu, R., Yue, G.H., 2013. Analysis of stressresponsive transcriptome in the intestine of Asian seabass (*Lates calcarifer*) using RNA-seq. DNA Res. 20, 449–460.
- Zerbino, D.R., McEwen, G.K., Margulies, E.H., Birney, E., 2009. Pebble and rock band: heuristic resolution of repeats and scaffolding in the velvet short-read *de novo* assembler. PLoS One 4, e8407.
- Zhang, J., Yao, J., Wang, R., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Sun, L., Jiang, Y., Feng, J., Liu, N., Nelson, D., Waldbieser, G., Liu, Z., 2014. The cytochrome P450 genes of channel catfish: their involvement in disease defense responses as revealed by metaanalysis of RNA-Seq data sets. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1840, 2813–2828.
- Zhao, S., Fung-Leung, W.P., Bittner, A., Ngo, K., Liu, X., 2014. Comparison of RNA-Seq and microarray in transcriptome profiling of activated T cells. PLoS One 9, e78644.