

# BOARD GENDER QUOTAS: EXPLORING ETHICAL TENSIONS FROM A MULTI-THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE

Siri Terjesen \*
Kelley School of Business, Indiana University 1309 E. 10<sup>th</sup> St., Bloomington, Indiana 47405 Tel: +1(812)-855-2769; Fax: +1(812)-855-4246

Norwegian School of Economics, Helleveien 30, Bergen, Norway 5045 E-mail: terjesen@indiana.edu

Ruth Sealy
City University London
Whiskin Street, London EC1R 0JD, U.K.
Tel: +44(0)20 7040 8805

Visiting Fellow, International Centre for Women Leaders, Cranfield School of Management, U.K. E-mail: ruth.sealy.1@city.ac.uk

\* Corresponding author; both authors contributed equally.

**Abstract:** Despite 40 years of equal opportunities policies and more than two decades of government and organization initiatives aimed at helping women reach the upper echelosthe corporate world, women are seriously underrepresented on corporate boards. Recent fifteen countries sought to redress this imbalance by introducing gender quotas for board representation. The introduction of board gender quota legislation creates ethical tension dilemmas which we categorize in terms of motivations, legitimacy, and outcomes. We investigate these tensions through four overarching theoretical perspectives: institutional stakeholder, social identity, and social capital. We outline a future research agenda based how these tensions offer greater focus to research on quotas and more broadly to ethics a diversity in organizations in terms of theory, anticipated ethical tensions, data, and methodology. In sum, our review seeks to synthesize existing multidisciplinary research stimulate future enquiry on this expanding set of legislation.

**Key Words:** Affirmative Action, Board, Corporate Governance, Directors, Gender, Quo

**Acknowledgements**: We thank Associate Editor Jerry Goodstein and the three anonymore reviewers for their developmental feedback throughout the review process. We are grate for comments on earlier drafts from Denis Arnold, Brad Agle, Doug Bosse, Aleksandra Gregorič, Morten Huse, Amalia Miller, Val Singh, and Jason Stansbury.

# BOARD GENDER QUOTAS: EXPLORING ETHICAL TENSIONS FROM A MULTI-THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE

Abstract: Despite 40 years of equal opportunities policies and more than two decades of government and organization initiatives aimed at helping women reach the upper echelor the corporate world, women are seriously underrepresented on corporate boards. Recent fifteen countries sought to redress this imbalance by introducing gender quotas for board representation. The introduction of board gender quota legislation creates ethical tension dilemmas which we categorize in terms of motivations, legitimacy, and outcomes. We investigate these tensions through four overarching theoretical perspectives: institutional stakeholder, social identity, and social capital. We outline a future research agenda based how these tensions offer greater focus to research on quotas and more broadly to ethics a diversity in organizations in terms of theory, anticipated ethical tensions, data, and methodology. In sum, our review seeks to synthesize existing multidisciplinary research stimulate future enquiry on this expanding set of legislation.

Key Words: Affirmative Action, Board, Corporate Governance, Directors, Gender, Quo

### INTRODUCTION

Boardroom gender diversity is a contemporary ethical issue for companies as senior leve

diversity contributes significantly to embedding a strong ethical culture (Institute of Bus

Ethics, 2011; Carrasco, Francour, Labelle, Laffarga & Ruiz-Barbadillo, 2015). Women's under-representation in positions of economic power encompasses issues around affirmated action (Bacchi, 1996), corporate governance (Collier & Roberts, 2001; Arnold, 2012), and gender (Robin & Babin, 1997; White, 1992). Around the world, women face significant career progression barriers (Karam & Jamali, 2013), particularly to the highest echelon: corporate board directorships. In the U.S., sex segregation patterns in corporate boards at unlikely to change dramatically through organic processes (Kogut, Colomer, & Belinky, 2014). Many developed countries' female board directorship shares have stagnated at or below 15% (Catalyst, 2015), reflecting strong homophily preferences in board appointm (Westphal & Milton, 2000) and the relatively few female corporate leaders as symbolic to gender representatives (Kanter, 1977). The persistent gender inequality in the upper eche is surprising as women consistently outnumber men in degree programs, and achieve high

2015), suggesting ethics scholars examine power inequalities relative to qualifications. Tapproach inevitably leads to questions regarding the social equality of women and the robusiness (Albrecht, 2003) and organizations' political and social mandates (Scherer, Pale & Matten, 2009; Doh, 2012). The growing evidence that gender-balanced boardrooms a better governed (Ferreira, 2015) encourages business ethics scholars to investigate both

causes of and potential solutions to persistently low numbers of women directors.

The ample supply of female talent implies a demand-led problem (Gabaldon et a

academic performance (OECD, 2015).

announced in Norway in November 2002, and mandated at least 40% director representation and seventeen countries instituted voluntary codes for female representation on boards (Terjesen, Aguit & Lorenz, 2015; see Appendix A). The Norwegian quota is described as a "snowball" (Machold et al., 2013) gathering momentum that some feel as a "threatening avalanche. mobilizing ideological and political resistance" (Huse & Seierstad, 2013:38) and has led "fast changing" global landscape of quotas (Seierstad, Warner-Søderholm, Torchia, & H 2015). Business ethics scholars can utilize a number of perspectives to question, support refute quotas such as examining whether quotas are undemocratic (Dubbink, 2005) and discriminatory (Gopalan & Watson, 2015). Quotas are described as a rational "last respective an intractable problem (Fagan & González Menéndez, 2012); however, as O'Connell, Stephens, Betz, Shepard, and Hendry (2005: 94) explain, "to describe an organizational

Driven by ethical concerns and considerations, the goal of our paper is to review extant literature, enlightening and advancing theoretical debates for or against quotas, are outline an agenda for the future. In so doing, we take stock of the recent burgeoning of academic literature which is mostly descriptive and sometimes offers conflicting evidence. From a business perspective, the quota is a radical change agenda which is often misconstrued and ill-informed, invoking emotional rather than rational responses. Taken together, there are many ethical issues surrounding quotas which deserve attention. We

practice as rational, one must first ask for whom the practice is rational."

on Business Source Premier, JSTOR, ProQuest, GoogleScholar, and other databases. The

systematically collected, read, and analyzed all published and in-press articles about quo

This process involved using search terms such as quota\*, affirmative action, female dire

interested in quotas and women on boards to obtain their forthcoming research. In total, reviewed more than 120 articles, book chapters, working papers, white papers, and other publications.

We begin by describing how ethical concerns about quotas are best explored in relation to three considerations: motivations, legitimacy, and outcomes. We answer calls more theoretical perspectives on women on boards (Terjesen, Sealy, & Singh, 2009) by analyzing these tensions through four different theoretical perspectives: institutional, stakeholder, social capital, and social identity. Institutional theory explores the relationsl between organizations and their environments at industry and societal levels, examining normative behavior patterns are maintained and sometimes change (North, 1990; Scott, 1995). Stakeholder theory is concerned with how stakeholders exert influence or are influenced by organizations' activities (Donaldson & Preston, 1995). Social identity the considers intra- and inter-group relations and the dynamics of diversity in terms of socia comparisons between 'ingroups' and 'outgroups' that order the social environment (Ash & Mael, 1989; Kanter, 1977; Tajfel & Turner, 1979). It explains how the dominant grou allows a few 'other' individuals to be 'cherry picked' and let through to the upper echelo (Haslam, 2004). Social capital theory explores how individuals access resources through networks to others (Coleman, 1988). We then offer a future research agenda incorporating theory, anticipated ethical tensions, data, and methodology. Taken together, our research offers new theoretical insights into board gender quotas, implications for practice and po and a focus for future research.

### HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

As context is critical (Johns 2006), we review the history of the first quota in Norway as

considerable disagreement regarding whether quotas are the best approach (Choudhury, 2015; Gopalan & Watson, 2015; Holts & Kirsch, 2015; Szydlo, 2015; Engelstad & Teig 2002; Foust-Cummings, 2013). This historical overview illustrates ethical pressures and contradictions which we subsequently discuss in terms of tensions and theories.

In February 2002, the white conservative male Norwegian Minister of Trade and Industry [hereinafter Minister] Ansgar Gabrielsen called journalists to his home for a 'surprise announcement.' Minister Gabrielsen shocked most of the country, including hi cabinet colleagues, by declaring that Norway would introduce a 40% gender quota for b of publicly traded firms and state-owned enterprises. The announcement was the first of kind in the world – a government forcing businesses to ensure gender balance in boardrough Prior to the quota, Norway's share of female directors languished at 10%, despite a myrigovernment initiatives such as women's networks, mentoring, training, databases, and la hearings (Huse, 2013a, Strøm, 2015). Norwegian companies not in compliance faced dissolution and de-listing from the Oslo Stock Exchange. Despite initial and vehement opposition by many groups, all Norwegian listed companies achieved 40% female representation by mid-2008 (Bergstø, 2013).

Minister Gabrielsen's announcement also surprised the rest of the world. Although Scandinavian countries are renowned for egalitarian approaches, a quota for the business world was revolutionary. Five years later in 2007, Spain's left-leaning government coalist announced a 40% quota by 2015 for publicly-traded firms with more than 250 employee despite resistance from many organizations and individuals. The Spanish quota does not penalize non-compliance, instead offering incentives for state contracts for complying companies. The Spanish quota faced strong resistance, with less than half of targeted

Arnardóttir, Vaiman, & Rikhardsson, 2015; Vaiman, Sigurjonsson, & Davidsson, 2011). led to calls for more female representation on corporate boards. In March 2010, Iceland adopted a 40% quota for firms with more than 50 employees, and all firms complied by September 2013 deadline. In early 2011, France legislated a quota of 20% by 2014 and by 2018 including sanctions for non-compliance: no fees for individual corporate director France appears to be close to meeting the target. Malaysia, Italy, and Belgium followed in June 2011, by introducing 20%, 33%, and 33% quotas respectively. Italy's quota requ 20% women by 2012 and threatens fines and directors losing offices (Brogi, 2013). Belgium's sanctions are harsher, and include voiding the appointments of directors who not conform to the board quota and suspending director benefits. India (2012) and the U. (2013) set a quota for one woman on each board; however, there is little reporting or enforcement. The large and somewhat autonomous regions of Québec (Canada) and Greenland (Denmark) established 50% quotas in 2006 and 2013 respectively. In Novem 2014, German Chancellor Angela Merkel introduced a 30% quota for Germany's largest

largest banks (Arnórsdóttir, 2012; Arnardóttir & Sigurjonsson, 2014; Sigurjonsson,

This historical overview illustrates that although countries have different board g quota models, one unifying characteristic is the conflicting tensions that surface. Individ organizations, governments, and societies have differing, often juxtaposed, rationales an logics which subsequently create ethical tensions for organizations and their leaders.

public companies, with a sanction that the director seat must be left vacant.

Although some scholars implicitly address how quotas create tensions (e.g., Machold et 2013), there is no systematic attempt to describe and categorize these tensions. To review

literature on quotas, we follow Smith, Gonin, and Besharov (2013) in identifying three k

implementing quotas for society, organizations, and individuals; and draw on four theoret perspectives: institutional, stakeholder, social identity, and social capital. Table 1 depicts framework.

Insert Table 1 about here

# CONFLICTING TENSIONS CONCERNING BOARD GENDER QUOTAS

Motivations for Adopting Board Gender Quotas: Ethical Tensions

Motivation tensions concern the underlying rationale for quotas, and stem from a range of strategic and emotive concerns. A review of the quota literature suggests three main que arise around the motivations for quotas: desires for justice and utility, countries' underly institutional structures, and the pursuit of integrity or compliance.

Are quotas motivated by a desire for utility or justice? The two most frequently mention rationales for implementing quotas are utility and justice. The utility case seeks to achieve most economically satisfying outcome, either for society or an organization (often referr as "the business case"). The justice case argues for individual and social equality. These perspectives are anchored in contested political ideologies about capitalism and individual versus state intervention and the role of business in society. Interestingly, utility and just arguments are employed to argue both for and against quotas (Tienari, Holgersson, Merilainen, & Hook, 2009). For example, utility perspectives emphasize capitalism and

individualism in that firms should be free to select the most qualified individual to lead t

firm. The utility lens is buoyed by findings that indicate that quotas help to effectively

leverage female talent (Tatli, Vassilopoulou, & Özbilgin, 2013), and moreover that wom

directors bring fresh perspectives (Francoeur, Labelle, & Sinclair-Desgagné, 2008), grea

2003) and monitoring (Post & Byron, 2015) to their boards. Another illustration of the uperspective is former EU Justice Commissioner Vivian Reding's (2012, 2013) contention quotas will enhance Europe's economic growth. Quota opponents use the *justice* rational argue that the most qualified individual should have an opportunity to be a board member Quota proponents leverage justice to suggest that all demographic groups should be represented equally, e.g., women comprise half the population and therefore should hold the power (Dahlerup, 2002).

In Norway, one might assume that the quota was uncontested given the country's

history of political quotas and other initiatives for women; however, the debate was extremely polarized between quota opponents (most industrialists) and supporters (most media and politicians) (Storvik & Teigen, 2010; Teigen, 2011, 2012), with both sides us justice and utility arguments. The anti-quota industrialists emphasized the competitive landscape and the need for Norwegian businesses to choose their own leaders, and the both that nothing hinders women's careers (Meier, 2013). Leaders at Norway's confederation business enterprises argued that the quota would reduce Norwegian firm competitiveness performance (Hoel, 2008)— a rationale later used by Spanish and German business communities when quotas were suggested (González Menéndez & Martínez González, 2 Smith, 2014).

At an organizational level, utility motivations rest on the 'business case' for won on boards; however, there is conflict as to which 'case' merits primary focus, and how the business case should be measured. Business case arguments revolve around talent management, creativity, innovation, decision-making, risk, stakeholder relationships, customers, and/or financial performance; however, for all criteria, there is mixed evidence.

the business, and that quotas are inconsistent with Anglo-American market discourse (Tet al., 2009). In the UK, quota supporters use the talent maximization argument to indicate that there is a market failure which justifies intervention (Mayhew, 2013). A quota is more likely to be successful when it is supported by business and wider society, as is the case utility-based rather than social or individual justice arguments (Seierstad et al., 2015). To are ethical tensions surrounding the cost/benefit analysis of the cost to organizations to implement a quota relative to the social benefit: an "ideological debate between social just and the protection of ownership and its attached right to govern the disposition of assets unencumbered by political intervention" (Kogut et al., 2014: 891). When organizations a responsible for social change, there is an ethical need to scrutinize the outcomes and processes of their involvement (Banerjee, 2010).

structures. Distinct logics can cause tensions, but can also be advantageous as diverse perspectives give leaders greater latitude to develop creative solutions (Seo & Creed, 20 The competing motivation logics of justice versus equality are visible in Norway between Trade Minister Gabrielsen and Arni Hole (Director General, Ministry of Children, Equal and Social Inclusion) who both championed the quota. In the UK, to avoid a potential quotal control of the competing motivation of the quota and Social Inclusion.

the Business Innovation and Skills department and the Government Equalities Office ini

worked together, despite competing motivations.

The logics of social justice and utility are each supported by distinct institutional

theory offers insights into the tensions of motivations for quotas through the mechanisms normative contagion and mimetic and coercive pressures. Institutional pressures operate

What institutional structures motivate quotas? A growing literature utilizing institution

across groups of organizations (e.g. firms listed on a particular stock exchange) sectors

world's countries have a quota in a political or state-owned sphere (Dahlerup & Freiden 2005; Dahlerup, 2013, 2015). Quotas spread from the political to the economic sphere in Norway (Teigen, 2012a), Latin America (Meier, 2013), and elsewhere. Of the Belgian q Meier (2013:463) explains: "Once a norm has been established with respect to gender equality, it is difficult to set a completely different one, even in another sector." Historic institutional perspectives describe gradual and congruent interactions and trajectories (Mahoney & Thelen, 2010; Teigen, 2012a). Three country-level institutions precede quo "the female labor market and gendered welfare policies, left-leaning government coalities and a legacy of path dependent gender equality initiatives in public policy and corporate governance codes" (Terjesen et al., 2015: 233). Countries with quotas have institutions aligned with mixed market economies (i.e., France, Italy, Spain) or coordinated market economies (i.e., Belgium, Finland, Norway), and legal systems of Scandinavian (i.e., Fir Iceland, Norway) or French (i.e., Belgium, France, Italy, Spain) origin (Machold & Hans

Another institutional structure driving quotas are efforts to develop and maintain national identity which often draw on public opinion. Norway's historical ideology of general equality (Freidenvall, Dahlerup, & Skjeie, 2006) motivated quota debates (Sørensen, 2019). Minister Gabrielsen described the wasted money society spends on educating its daughter but then not allowing them to fulfill their potential. Another illustration of the powerful institution of national identity is Brazil's longstanding multi-racial population who take

pride in the country's "racial democracy" and the national discourse of "a multi-colored

national race" rather than one race (Htun, 2004: 61). Concerned with the grossly apparer

2013).

inequalities when he came to power in 1995, then President Fernando Frederique Cardos

The institutional manifestations of gendered work, including the low representation women's talent in the upper echelons, can also be examined through Kanter's (1977) the of homophily. Based on social identity theory, ingroup and outgroup dynamics explain him individuals feel more comfortable around, and are better able to understand and recognize similar others' talents, competences, and potential (O'Reilly, Caldwell, & Barnett, 1989). Homosocial reproduction processes suggest that leaders recruit individuals who are similar themselves, and interventions are necessary to rectify the homophily-driven replication of status quo.

# Are efforts to add women to the board motivated by considerations of integrity or compliance?

A controversial new regulation such as the quota can raise concerns about whether the g integrity in the sense of meeting the spirit of the new law or considerations of compliance responding more to the letter of the law. Institutional theory offers insights into why this question is important given the ways in which organizations respond to institutional press—at times emphasizing symbolic responsiveness (i.e., the notion of decoupling highlight theorists going back to DiMaggio and Powell, 1991) and at times more substantive responsiveness (see Selznick, 1994). Agency and interests are central to institutional processes (DiMaggio, 1988) which are subject to individuals' self-interest, and bounded norms of fairness and reciprocity (Bosse & Phillips, 2015). In Norway, extensive normative pressures such as mentoring, training, databases, and law hearings of

not substantially improve shares of women on boards (Huse, 2013a). However in France

largest CAC40 firms implemented the greatest post-quota improvements (Singh, Point &

Organizations utilize five different strategies to address competing institutional demands: acquiesce, comply, defy, avoid, and manipulate (Pache & Santos, 2010). Approximately half of the Spanish companies *defy* the law by simply ignoring it. In Nor and Iceland, most firms *acquiesce* and *comply*; a handful of Norwegian firms *avoided* the by changing their listed status (Ahern & Dittmar, 2012; Bøhren & Staubo, 2014). The U government strategy *avoids* the threat of an EU law by addressing the issue pre-law at a national level. Some French companies *manipulate* the law by placing celebrity female directors (Branson, 2012a).

Tensions of integrity versus compliance are driven by intentions of action. Norw

proponents justify the quota in terms of utility of female talent, with the hope of improving female participation rates across the entire workforce. Subsequent EU countries' (e.g., It quotas are often ascribed to mimetic compliance pressures to gain legitimacy. At an organizational level, some British firms provide board diversity statements of intent to a negative media attention as such attention is a mechanism for social change (Vasi & Kin 2012). However, "even ceremonial adoption can unintentionally trigger a chain of reaction that have real organizational effects" (Bromley & Powell, 2012). UK FTSE Chairs report initially agreeing to increase female board representation to meet normative expectations.

Another tension concerns whether regulations on counting and reporting the num of women lead to substantive or only symbolic change. Governance regulation on report can help to achieve stakeholder accountability (Hess, 2007), as demonstrated in Australia

soon realize the real changes and benefits of female directors (Vinnicombe et al., 2015).

the UK's new gender-metric reporting regulations at board, senior management, and firr wide levels. Voluntary reporting on detailed actions taken towards succession planning a symbolic to substantive response allows real change to occur and embed, rather than renat a superficial level (Sealy, Turner, Pryce & Vinnicombe, 2015). Self-regulation can be largely symbolic in order to dispel criticism (Arya & Salk, 2006); however, voluntary or mandated policies that do not include sanctions are less likely to work (Gray, 2015). The threat of quotas can motivate voluntary actions: in the UK thirty-four senior stakeholder express the need for continued external pressures on organizations to proactively increase female leadership (Vinnicombe et al., 2015). Since Kanter's seminal work on tokens, lib feminist researchers and demographers focus on improving numbers in order to change gendered cultures (Ely, 1994, 1995). Based on homophily and social identity theory, a "critical mass" of at least 33% of each gender shifts group dynamics, preventing mere compliance and ensuring substantive rather than symbolic change (Joecks, Pull & Vetter 2015; Kanter, 1977; Konrad, Kramer & Erkut, 2008). Gender is now widely accepted as socially constructed, suggesting that countries adopt quotas either "as a response to charge

executive) or supervisory directorships are more symbolic than substantive. Context is k many European countries have a two-tier board system such that board quotas only affect outside directors. The UK and Australia's unitary board systems' new women directors aprimarily outsiders (Davies, 2015). It is unclear whether new female supervisory directors can substantively impact their organizations' cultures for all women employees. Initial

A final, related debate concerns whether quotas for outsider (i.e., external, non-

attitudes about women," or in the hopes of doing so (Pande & Ford, 2012: 3).

followed by an increase in female executives. The UK witnessed a small rise in female insider directorships, and a wave of publicly declared gender targets for top executives in

progress from voluntary measures in Australia stalled and the Norwegian quota was not

Fowler, 1995), the targets put women in these roles, leading society to deem the social identity of an all-male board as increasingly unacceptable and re-imaged.

Legitimacy and the Adoption of Board Gender Quotas: Ethical Tensions

Tensions concerning legitimacy arise in the emotional debates and responses of politicia public opinion, media, shareholders, directors, and other stakeholders. From the literatur identify three main concerns around the ethical and meritocratic nature of quotas, and the perception of individuals who are affected by quotas.

Are quotas ethical? There are tensions among various ideological and ethical arguments

and against implementing quotas. Gender quotas symbolize equality, signify justice, reflet the value of equal representations of both sexes, and "stand for the current underrepresentation of women and over-representation of men that is no longer considered no (Meier, 2013:462). Discrimination is a "pervasive and insidious phenomenon" (Oswick Noon, 2014: 35) which organizations and countries have addressed for decades through policies, and approaches for equal opportunities, diversity, and, more recently, inclusion Despite popular rhetoric, the world is not meritocratic and systemic biases prevent equal opportunity. Governments have considered various affirmative action (AA) policies since 1960s, and aim to correct imbalances "by adjusting the positive weighting of the majority."

group membership that is ingrained in the system" (Clayton & Tangri, 1989: 181). Howe

it is unclear as to whether AA actions are aimed at preventing unfairness or at compensa

for previous injustices (Reskin, 1998). This tension is eloquently described in the case of

shackled runner (Noon, 2010): If after the race has started you realize that one runner is

shackles, do you stop the race and simply remove the shackles and tell the runners to

AA is paradoxical (Fullinwider, 1980) as it aims to prevent discrimination by utilidiscrimination. Women who are AA targets often reject the method of reducing inequalination not wanting to be identified as 'special' or treated differently (Heilman, McCullough & Gilbert, 1996). However, women's initial scepticism disappears once they observe that recompetent women are offered board appointments and post-quota female directors percent the quota as "an imperfect strategy in an imperfect world," but the right thing for Norwa (Seierstad, 2015: 18).

To be perceived as legitimate, an organization's actions need to be seen as "desir

or appropriate" (Santana, 2012: 257), in the interests of a broad group of stakeholders (Freeman, Harrison & Wicks, 2007), and consistent with societal values (Pfeffer & Salar 1978; Ruef & Scott, 1998). Institutional theory explains how actors seek legitimacy to survive (Suchman, 1995), and thus offers insights into how a previously considered illegitimate concept emerges, survives, and comes to be perceived as a legitimate institute process (Smith et al., 2013). The quota process generally proceeds with a few key indiviously claiming legitimacy for a radical process and using institutional pressures to normalize to claim. For example, Australian and British institutional authorities changed national governance codes— a significant step towards measurement and targets (Klettner et al.,

power, and urgency (Mitchell, Agle, & Wood, 1997; Jensen, 2002; Vasi & King, 2012), keeping in mind that social legitimacy is important for the institution of business (Wood 1991). When considering major corporate scandals of the past two decades (e.g., Enron,

Stakeholder theory investigates respective stakeholder groups' legitimacy of clai

Sealy et al., 2015).

Arthur Anderson), there are "regimes of responsibility"— multiple stakeholders who fai

anti-diversity practices with Chairs by using ambiguous and subjective assessments such 'intrinsics' and 'fit' rather than objective measures (Doldor, Sealy, & Vinnicombe, forthcoming). As Goodstein and Wicks (2007: 380) note about regimes of responsibility "What is striking is that they got away with it for so long." Certainly this is evident in the decades of low representation of women on boards in many countries. Failures can be so by cooperation across stakeholders who generate novel, mutually beneficial solutions (Goodstein & Wicks, 2007), without resorting to legislation. Scholars such as Perrault (21) suggest the importance of efforts to "decry the lack of legitimacy of homogenous (all-male) boards". However, as Goodpaster (2010: 741) states, "the pursuit of stakeholder satisfaction is not immune to moral critique" and different stakeholder groups are likely

Are quotas meritocratic? Whilst the ideology of meritocracy in careers and organization central to Western society (Son Hing, Bobocel, & Zanna, 2002), most director appointment are not purely talent-based (Sealy, 2010). A meritocratic class – often white, middle-class middle-aged, educated men – perpetuate their privilege and power by defining merit (McNamee & Miller, 2004). True merit is only possible in a society with no biases (Son

have different voices on the legitimacy of such an intervention.

et al., 2002).

Women's strong convictions for meritocracy shape their sense-making about car (Sealy, 2010; Simpson, Ross-Smith, & Lewis, 2010; Seierstad, 2015). When workplace discrimination is obvious, people who strongly believe in meritocracy are less opposed to

(Son Hing et al., 2002). Sealy's (2010) study confirms these findings, with women reduced the search of the search

their opposition to quotas over time. Initial adherence to meritocracy is a gender-neutral

(O'Connell et al., 2005; Meyer & Rowan, 1991). As both meritocracy and legitimacy are socially constructed (Ely, 1995; Harvey & Schaefer, 2001), some stakeholders' claims we previously considered legitimate, but not today (Santana, 2012). That is, all-male boards previously deemed normal and therefore natural; however, this gender imbalance is increasingly unacceptable in many countries.

Deliberative democracy suggests that a process can only be legitimate if it is preceded by authentic involvement of decision-makers who have equal say (Scherer & Palazzo, 20 Each country's pre-quota process is characterized by different decision makers' involvement in some cases, such as Spain, it is not clear that the pre-quota process sufficiently involved decision-makers. British and Slovenian pre-quota negotiation processes involve many stakeholders.

the perceptions of new female post-quota leaders by the women themselves and by other members of society. In terms of self-perception, post-quota female directors in Norway feeling 'legitimate', possessing significant influence, sharing considerable information, a engaging in low levels of self-censorship (Elstad & Ladegård, 2010). Considering the discourse on board quotas in Swedish and Finnish media, Tienari et al. (2009: 513) quot post-quota female directors: "It doesn't bother me one bit if they call me a quota woman another states "I agree... owners only want people they consider competent on their board."

Are post-quota female directors perceived as legitimate? A third legitimacy tension con

the post-gender political quota increase in female candidates' competence, relative to manufacture is coined the crisis of the mediocre man (Besley, Folke, Persson, & Rickne, 2)

Post-quota female directors report that they still have to prove themselves, but the calibr

the new women far exceeds the "mediocre men" they replace (Seierstad, 2015). In Swed

quota female directors are, compared to their pre-quota counterparts, considerably young (Ahern & Dittmar, 2012; Gonzalez Menéndez & Martinez González, 2012), have less Cl experience (Ahern & Dittmar, 2012), and less likely to be owners/partners or self-emplo (Heidenreich, 2013). Post-quota female directors are more likely to have backgrounds in finance and economics (Gonzalez Menéndez & Martinez González, 2012) and higher lev of education, especially in law (Heidenreich, 2013) or general Masters qualifications (Gonzalez Menéndez & Martinez González, 2012). In France, pre-quota women director establish their legitimacy through family ownership, elite educations, strong State ties, a top corporate careers (Singh, Point, Moulin, & Davila 2015). There is also evidence of French "figurehead" or celebrity directors such as a former tennis professional, former fi lady Bernadette Chirac, and wives of major shareholders (Branson, 2012a). In terms of ethical considerations, Doh (2012) suggests that actions are legitimate as long as no one made worse off; hence, the "mediocre man" is one group of stakeholders with grounds to

Related research assesses non-quota countries' female directors' feelings around legitimacy. In the UK, new female directors report worrying about being "a token prome for their sex rather than for their ability, struggle with what they want to believe versus with they experience, and subsequently have lower perceptions of the legitimacy of the proce and their organizations (Sealy, 2010). Consistent with the findings reported above, UK female directors appear to require more qualifications in order to be perceived as legitim

compared to their male peers, female directors are more likely to hold MBA degrees, and

question the legitimacy of quotas.

have substantially more multiple sector and international experience (Singh, Terjesen, & Vinnicombe, 2008). Search firms report that women director candidates are a 'harder se

Perceptions of female directors' legitimacy undoubtedly shifts once women come a critical mass [usually operationalized as three women directors on a board] (Konrad et 2008; Torchia, Calabro, & Huse, 2011)] versus numbering as tokens (i.e., less than 15 percent; Kanter, 1977). Once there is a more balanced board with a critical mass of women's views are perceived as more legitimate, and a woman's voice becomes that of another individual, rather than a representative of an entire demographic group (Kanter, 1977).

## Outcomes and the Adoption of Board Gender Quotas: Ethical Tensions

Based on our review of over 25 papers examining outcomes, it is apparent that there is no consistent definition of quota success. We highlight debates around the definition of successive directors' perceptions of their roles and identities, social capital, and gender balance.

measuring and defining success in academic research. Managers are typically evaluated on their ability to maximize their firms' long-term value (Jensen, 2002); however, acade research focuses more on short-term, quantifiable metrics than on longer-term, qualitative and sometimes more ambiguous measures (Levinthal & March, 1993).

What is the definition of a successful quota outcome? One critical challenge involves

progress towards quotas and targets. In Norway, the quota is clear: each company must result the 40% target. In the UK, the 25% target for FTSE 100 companies is interpreted as an aggregate percentage across the largest 100 firms, consistent with historical FTSE report

A second challenge is the great ambiguity, across countries, about how to count

26.1% from 2011-2015, some firms have 50% female directors, and others reluctantly p

The outcome is that whilst FTSE 100 female director representation increased from 12.5

Board gender quota outcomes affect and are affected by a number of stakeholder groups including shareholders, current (pre-quota) and future (post-quota) directors, wor in society, general public, local, national, and international politicians, search firms, indu associations, and the firms themselves. Stakeholders rarely agree on all issues and vary in power (Freeman, 1984) and desired outcomes — thus creating conflicting demands and outcome tensions.

Shareholders are often the most powerful set of stakeholders (Freeman, 1994). A growing literature examines the impact of quotas on financial and accounting performant with mixed results. Panel analysis of 166 Norwegian public firms from 2001-2008 reveal negative relationship between the post-quota appointment of female directors and firm variational analysis of 166 Norwegian public firms from 2001-2008 reveal negative relationship between the post-quota appointment of female directors and firm variational analysis of 166 Norwegian quota appointment of female directors and firm variational firms, 2012). Immediately following the Norwegian quota announcement, stock price drop, especially for firms with no female director (Ahern & Dittmar, 2012). For a short period after the Norwegian quota, only some Norwegian firms enjoy positive stock return (Nygaard, 2011), revealing "the opinion of a portion of the market regarding the predictor effect of the measures announced" (Goplan & Watson, 2015: 11). Post-quota Norwegian firms' comparatively lower short-term profits may be due to high labor costs and employment levels and fewer workplace reductions (Matsa & Miller, 2013). Other research

finds no effects of post-quota female representation on return on assets or operating reve

and costs in Norway (Dale-Olsen, Schøne, & Verner, 2013). A recent meta-analysis of

mostly pre-quota studies shows correlational evidence between increased board gender

diversity and improved board performance (Post & Byron, 2015). However, few studies

provide the direction of the correlations: more women directors may lead to some measure

Shareholders and board members are also interested in post-quota board function of which a growing number of studies generally report favorable responses. Following in resistance to quotas, "boards just get on with business like before" (Teigen, 2010). Interwith board members, board chairs, and election committee members indicate that post-quotas are more professional and make better quality decisions (Bolsø, Bjørkhaug, & Sørensen, 2013). Post-quota female directors' contributions vary based on board tasks (I Nielsen, & Hagen, 2009). Post-quota boards with more women consider new perspective and engage in more discussions (Heidenreich, 2013). These findings are generally consist with growing evidence on the process-related benefits of board gender diversity (McKin 2008; Post & Byron, 2015; Terjesen et al., 2009) and women's active roles enhancing he resource development, role models, and participative decision-making (McKinsey, 2008).

feel attached to multiple groups, with varying strengths of identification. Board directors identify with multiple roles and social groups (Hillman, Nicholson, & Shropshire, 2008; Withers, Corley, & Hillman, 2012). Female board members who do not comprise a "crit mass" do not identify strongly with the board (Jonsdottir et al., 2015). Taken together, so identity and tokenism theories explain why, in a pre-quota context, moving from zero we

to one woman makes no difference to other women in the organization or to the overall

culture. Once an intervention such as the quota begins to have an impact, the 'dominant'

group's influence wains and tokenism's effects dissipate. The intended beneficiaries often

How does the quota impact board directors' perceptions of their roles? An individual r

object to AA or quotas (Kakabadse et al., 2015) — a great irony as one of the most commentative arguments by women against quotas is "I don't want to be a token woman" and

when women constitute at least 40% of a board, female directors are more likely to identify with the social role of board member (Jonsdottir, 2010).

Once a quota is introduced, a woman may identify or be identified with a particular role based on when she joined the board, i.e., as a pre-quota director or a post-quota director. These identities can be subservient to others, e.g., as an expert in a particular industry. Comparing the social identity of pre- and post-crisis directors in Iceland, women added mature, previously male-dominated boards are perceived to be "directors on approval" (Jonsdottir et al., 2015). On newly-formed post-crisis gender balanced boards in Iceland there is "a cohort effect" with increased group cohesion and fewer gender differences in directors' identification with their roles (Jonsdottir et al., 2015:1). Negative responses to directors in Norway are not gendered; all new directors need to "earn" their roles (Dhir, 2015)— suggesting that social identity can help explain outcomes when women join previously all-male boards.

How do quotas impact social capital? Social capital theory describes the structural, relational, and cognitive capital that individuals obtain through networks and relationship which are closely tied with a firm's organization, development, and strategy (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998). From a *structural* perspective on individuals' number and nature of relationships, post-quota female directors' social capital increases almost twice as much men's – indicating that female directors serve as knowledge brokers across firms (Seiers

and thus were structurally embedded in multiple firms. In Norway, these women are known as "Golden Skirts" (Huse, 2013b. c). Post-quota, the number of male directors with multiple firms.

& Opsahl, 2011). This finding may be due to the fact that, for a short period immediately

following the quota in Norway and Spain, several female directors held multiple director

female candidates (Teigen, 2012a). Post-quota boards retain the most powerful male directors with multiple directorships, while less experienced men are replaced by women director (Teigen, 2015a). These findings suggest that post-quota female and male directors may be busier' as they sit on multiple boards.

many corporate boards in their career. By contrast, less powerful, pre-quota male director

Female directors' extensive social capital may enable them subsequently to serve

may face less demand for their services as independent director appointments on quotaaffected firms, and instead pursue careers as directors on unaffected entities such as priv
firms, non-profits, and universities, thus developing bridging capital across disparate
networks. Structurally, in Norway and Spain, post-quota female directors tend to occupy
outsider directorships (Gonzalez Menéndez & Martinez González, 2012; Staubo, 2010;
Bøhren & Staubo, 2015; Huse, 2013a), suggesting that women's social networks are mo
likely to bridge across firms than to embed in one firm. Pre-quota efforts to increase wor
representation on boards were dominated by a number of failed structural capital initiativ
(e.g., mentoring networks) (Huse, 2013a). Post-quota female outsider directors in Spain

The *relational* component of social capital concerns trust, norms, and expected obligations shared in relationships. Pre-quota board females are often embedded in family relationships, e.g., as the wife, sister, daughter, or other relative of the founder and/or own Spanish and French family-owned firms promote a higher share of female family membersheir boards (Fagan & González Menéndez, 2012). Programs designed to help women la directorships such as Norway's Female Future (NHO, 2013) organize training and event increase participants' relational capital (Håpsnes & Buvik, 2013). Icelandic evidence

more visible in the media than their male counterparts (de Anca & Gabaldon, 2014).

boards (Arnardóttir & Sigurjonsson, 2015), and this lack of taint by association is consider imperative post-crisis (Jonsdottir, 2010).

The third social capital component, *cognitive*, concerns how individuals or group develop shared meaning and understanding, and is often only possible once structural mechanisms are embedded. In this regard, board members' attitudes towards the quota become less negative over time (Arnardóttir & Sigurjónsson, 2015).

Do gender quotas redress gender balance? In Norway, the quota produced the desired increase in female directors (6% in 2002; 12% in 2005; 18% in 2006; 36% in 2008; 40% 2009; Storvik & Teigen, 2010), and was accompanied by increases in female political leadership (Meier, 2013) but not improvements to the female positions at lower levels in corporations or the gender pay gap (Bertrand, Black, Jensen, & Lleras-Muney, 2014). Norway and Iceland are the only two countries to achieve the quota target [both 40%]; France's CAC40 (33.2%), Spain's IBEX 35 (19.1%), and Germany's DAX (21.2%) are behind (BoardEx, 2015).

Some countries' (e.g., Sweden, Finland, UK) voluntary systems (i.e., comply or explain legislation) led to increases in women on boards. Cultural change is often assum be more likely through 'voluntary' measures rather than compulsion through law; however there is no supporting evidence in the literature. Compared to quotas, voluntary measure make smaller and slower differences (Armstrong & Walby, 2012; Labelle, Francoeur & Lakhal, 2015).

### DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Our review allows us to offer a comprehensive set of suggestions for future research who

Insert Table 2 about here

#### Ethical Tensions

*Motivations*. We suggest researchers consider three perspectives around individuals' motivations for quotas, implementation, and motivations for related initiatives such as voluntary targets.

What motivates individuals to engage in quota debates and activism? We urge researchers to examine individual-level motivations to engage in quota debate and activileveraging multi-level lenses from stakeholder, institutional entrepreneur, schema, and semovement theories.

One unexplored component of stakeholder and institutional theory concerns the

of institutional entrepreneurs—individual agents who create, initiate, or disrupt institution (Lawrence, Suddaby & Leca, 2011; Pacheco, York, Dean & Sarasvathy, 2010; Wilmott, 2010). Prominent institutional entrepreneurs leverage resources to "break with the rules practices associated with the dominant institutional logics and practices" (Battilana, 200 657), and can manage conflicting institutional logics to change the status quo (Smith, Besharov, Wessels & Chertok, 2012). There are many institutional entrepreneurs who championed the Norwegian quota including Trade Minister Gabrielsen and Gender Minister Svarstad Haugland (Machold, 2013; Terjesen et al., 2015). In the UK, former minister Lord Davies personally cajoles individual CEOs and Chairs to balance their boards.

and entrepreneurs such as Virgin founder Richard Branson now offer support for quotas

(Branson, 2012b; Velkova, 2015). Furthermore, less obvious actors are involved in the

change process and have varying motivations (Seierstad, 2015; Doldor et al., forthcomin

quotas include and how do they emerge? Recent research on activists indicates that these groups act differently (Eesley & Lenox, 2006), for example relying on tactics such as dragging firms "through the mud" in the media or utilizing lawsuits or proxy votes (Eesl DeCelles, & Lenox, 2015). Certain institutional investors advocate strongly for certain personal interests; some shareholder activists pursue board gender diversity (Perrault, 20 For example, Robert McCord of proxy advisory Glass Lewis votes against any firm that not have an initiative to increase the women's representation on the board, and the Calife State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) expresses dissatisfaction on social media company has an all-male board (Branson, 2012a). Activists tend to target large, visible consumer-oriented firms (King, 2008; King & Lenox, 2000).

Individual-level research could examine individuals' perspectives about justice, utility, and other philosophical views. With growing numbers of women in managerial positions, women's social identity as potential leaders may grow stronger, raising the possibility that corresponding moral convictions may lead women to believe that they shold more positions of power? Should we, therefore, expect more collective action on the issues, particularly in non-quota countries? Researchers may find schema theory- the organization of individuals' patterns and thoughts (Piaget, 1926) to be useful in understanding what individual life experiences have activated certain worldviews.

methodologies such as ethnographies and computer-aided text analysis. For example, ke individual and organizational actors' editorial comments about the quota could be analysis.

Individual-level motivations could be investigated using a broad range of data an

argues, "By today's standards, we would find it unsettling if a jury were comprised of 12

better understand the arguments. For example, entrepreneur Richard Branson (2012b: 1)

research could use social network approaches to examine how individual actors (nodes) relationships (ties) with others (see Scott, 2012) and build these over time, within and actions and stakeholders.

Social movement research utilizes a range of methodologies including in-depth interviews, archival studies, participant observation, single-case studies, comparative delife-history interviews, discourse analysis, simulations, network analysis, and studies of narratives (Klandermans & Staggenborg, 2002), all of which may be promising when examining both individual and group perspectives on involvement in the debate and action of quotas. New developments in computer-aided text analysis (Pollach, 2012) can analyze texts. Theory and methodology choices ultimately rest with the selection of the specific research question.

Taken together, we urge quota scholars to identify and utilize new sources of dat move beyond the current focus on secondary data (generally counts of directors), or a sn set of interviews or surveys from one time period. Although scholars struggle to access a (Feldman, Bell, & Berger, 2004), in-depth, qualitative studies offers tremendous insights board processes. Researchers should seek out interesting groups such as the elite, member only, and somewhat secretive Belizean Grove and Today's Already Rising Achievers (TARA) whose members are very influential in corporate and diplomatic sectors. Other sources include parliamentary debates, press articles, and publications in influential social

What motivations affect the evolution of quota debate and implementation? Future research could examine what organizational motives shape the actual debate and implementation of quotas. For example, the engoing ELI debate about a potential quota of properties of quotas.

media such as blogs.

Political process theories consider "political opportunities"—that is, the complex environments in movements such as the quota as well as the role of "mobilizing structure (established networks and organizations that help groups to mobilize) and "cultural frame to understand social movements (McAdam, McCarthy, & Zald, 1996). This line of research could benefit from public choice theories which consider the aggregate of many private decision makers (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962). Researchers might also consider emerging perspectives in stakeholder theory which focus on "total value" evaluations (Harrison & Wicks, 2013) thus shifting the conversation "away from performance outcome and back variables that go into creating competitive advantage itself" (Husted & Allen, 2001: 5). The emerging theory suggests that social welfare is multi-dimensional and thus to create a go society, companies should have multiple objectives (Mitchell, Weaver, Agle, Bailey & Carlson, 2015).

institutions in certain countries have shaped the dialogue. For example, with respect to the potential EU quotas, nineteen EU countries' evidence gathering session at a European Commission (2012) meeting reveals the significant variety of institutional contexts in term of women's representation in the workforce, education levels, maternity rights, childcare provision, and cultural familial norms. Given that countries have differing institutional structures which affect gender roles, should the EU seek a potential 'one-size-fits-all' gequota legislative framework? Sweden, Finland, Germany, and the UK initially opposed a

EU quota, despite significantly different representations of women on boards (Sweden: 2

Finland: 26%, Germany and UK: 10-15%). Future research could examine the evolution

multi-country negotiations and the roles of national institutions and leaders in the proces

This line of research could take a comparative perspective, and examine how

Future research might compare the development of the quota argument with earlier femiinitiates, such as suffrage or equal pay. Scholars could use discourse ethics (Gilbert & Rasche, 2007; Stansbury, 2009) to examine how various parties' presuppositions are use seek to establish normative or ethical truths.

What factors motivate related initiatives such as voluntary targets, comply-or-expl

legislation, or quotas for other groups? Future research could examine the motivation

behind non-quota legislation, such as firms' voluntary targets The term 'targets' is increasingly perceived as acceptable and even desirable; firms proudly announce targets mark of social responsibility. For example, UK Lloyds Banking Group has a 40% female target for the top 5,000 global senior managers by 2020. A related phenomenon are cour 'comply or explain' policies which can often be found alongside a threat of legal sanctio quotas (either from within the nation or supra-nationally) if these "voluntary" measures not achieve required targets. For example, the UK's Davies Report (2011: 2) clearly stat that the "Government must reserve the right to introduce more prescriptive alternatives is recommended business-led approach does not achieve significant change" [i.e., quotas if FTSE 100 companies do not meet the 25% target]. The tension around the term "voluntar measures" is apparent when the threat is perceived as real. The UK's Secretary of States.

example, the UK's Business Secretary now focuses on the proportions of minority ethnic

ethnic directors) and other arenas (e.g., private sector firms, universities). As another

Business recently described the quota as "a nuclear deterrent" and expressed hope that

companies would "own this issue rather than have it imposed on them" (Roberts, 2014:

There is also the potential for board gender quotas to spillover to other minority groups (

under which increasing diversity, at various organizational levels, 'works' (Guillaume, Dawson, Woods, Sacramento & West, 2013) or the mechanisms by which individual, we or organizational outcomes are affected, should future research explore how quotas are diffused into other spheres of influence and other minority groups?

This line of research could leverage a variety of theoretical perspectives. First, contagion theories, e.g. knowledge spillover, may explain how certain ideas of equality diffused across sectors (McFarland & Ployhart, 2015). Institutional theory and social net theory are also relevant. Lessons could be learned from corporate social responsibility theories, Scholars could use comparative studies, social media studies, multi-level approaches, and rich description approach to contextualization (Rousseau & Fried, 2001).

**Legitimacy**. Our review suggests three promising directions around legitimacy concerning how organizations legitimize their responses to quotas, and the roles of government and stakeholders in legitimizing quotas.

How do organizations seek to legitimize their responses to quotas? Organizations initivated responses to quotas, but a common denominator is that they seek to legitimize the responses. Although stakeholder theory is sometimes criticized for focusing too much or shareholders and short-term impacts (Parmar, Freeman, Harrison, Wicks, Purnell, & De Colle, 2010), and is a work in progress (Agle, Donaldson, Freeman, Jensen, Mitchell & Wood, 2008), we believe that this theory can help us understand longer term perspective how organizational responses to quotas seek to legitimize claims with various stakeholders Given that firms that manage stakeholders, based on distributional, procedural, and

interactional justice are more likely to acquire information and resources that can then sa

explore stakeholder management behaviors around quotas and how organizations seek to establish legitimacy among certain stakeholder groups, and could involve action research ethnographic approaches.

Once stakeholders accept that the status quo regarding the under-utilization of fetalent is sub-optimal, they face the question: Is an "evolutionary strategy" (i.e., voluntary measure) or "a single overarching vehicle" (i.e., quota) the best way forward for change (Dawkins, 2015: 21)? The recent focus on women on boards highlights asymmetries of processing (Dawkins, 2015) and masculine hegemony (Lewis & Simpson, 2012) which lead to stakeholder exit (of women) who see no possible means of redress against what is perceit to be the natural order. If organizations do not want quotas, then they have a moral obligit to find alternative means by which to radically alter the status quo and allow more women stakeholders, to remain engaged. Building on Dawkins' recent contributions to agonistic pluralism (2015: 21), stakeholders could ask: "What types of institutional changes are required, and what characterizes a new or ideal hegemony?"

national level? Given current research that shows that gender quotas are neither a necess nor a sufficient condition to achive a high number of women on boards, research could examine the role of government. Most social movements are characterized by organization lobbying governments for change; however, in all fifteen quota countries, governments lobbied for change—formally with hard laws and informally with soft laws. Considering

What role should government play in legitimizing quotas, particularly at a supra-

approaches (Kozlowski & Klein, 2000) and the breadth of political actors (Scherer & Palazzo, 2007), it is possible that governments legitimately promote institutional/regulations.

complex, multi-level problems are more quickly solved through top-down than bottom-u

into the "normativistic fallacy of ignoring the existing practical constraints imposed by trules of the game" (Goodman & Arenas, 2015: 172). If it becomes apparent that 'action only leads to incremental rather than transformative change, should governments then ta 'constitutional level' approach (Schreck, van Aaken & Donaldson, 2013)?

Taking a discourse ethics approach, future research might consider the European Commission's attempt to legislate an EU-wide quota. Quotas are usually seen as a coerc instrument ('strategic action', where influence is about coercion and power) and yet the normative process of the EU quota pursues a 'communicative action' approach "establis moral norms by rational argumentation" (Goodman & Arenas, 2015: 169), taking into account multiple viewpoints of varying countries, to arrive at a norm acceptable to all. Analyzing the text of policy meetings, parliamentary debates and the consultation with spartners, research could explain: How do negotiations evolve within a country and/or accountries? How can the emerging theory of 'corporate governance deviance' (Aguilera, Judge, & Terjesen, 2015) be examined in a quota construct?

women in non-board top management roles and calls for future intervention (Teigen, 20 future research could examine: Do board quotas then legitimize further intervention at to management levels? To avoid quotas in the UK, governments set successful voluntary be diversity, and now senior level diversity targets are now considered legitimate across mother largest banks and professional service firms. Diffusion theory could investigate how

Given recent findings that Norway's gender quota did little to improve the share

Research might also consider: How do non-quota country governments legitimize their non-intervention positions, particularly in the presence of other policies or statements.

boundaries of what is legitimate have shifted substantially in a short time frame.

action on voluntary targets is likely to be based on strength of argumentation rather than incentives or the threat of punitive sanction (Goodman & Arenas, 2015). Such argument needs to educate, or else bounded ethicality (e.g., in the form of unconscious bias, or infavoritism, explained by social identity theory) will prevent any progress. Researchers margue about whether there is value in setting targets or legislating soft quotas that they be will not be implemented but Kim, Monge, and Strudler (2015: 341) argue that "normative theorizing should continue to set high ethical standards".

What role should other stakeholders play in legitimizing change and quotas? Social shareholder engagement (SSE) brings marginalized voices to corporate decision making Shareholders make multiple demands on public firms (Scherer & Palazzo, 2007), such a environmental, social, and governance (ESG) responsibility; gender diversity is often included as a social concern. Non-financial ESG engagement has grown substantially with the discussion shifting from whether ESG investments make sense to how to achieve the (Eurosif, 2014).

business press (Davies, 2015), although the Davies report suggests that institutional investors—one of the stakeholder groups targeted by the original report—have not been sufficiently active in the change process. Does this shift in media reporting reflect or affective public perception of women on boards? Research in media coverage within or between multiple countries sheds some light on the change process. For example, Swedish media

increased its support for quotas. Future research could examine: Does the pace of change

influence the media's impatience for more progress and calls for quotas? Do institutiona

Media coverage of women director issues increased in the UK's mainstream and

investors "have a moral obligation to work towards the empowerment and participation

exists to serve society rather than just for financially driven shareholders (Vinnicombe e 2015)?

Social movement theories (Morris, 1992) examining justification and outcomes for social, cultural, and political realms could consider the collective bargaining, relative sendeprivation, and inequality highlighted by the media, political opportunity, and framing discussion. This repertoire of theories involves resource mobilization theories investigate why individuals protest when they do, and political process theories exploring how political arenas influence social movement trajectories (Klandermans & Staggenborg, 2002). Take together, which main actors should push these changes—individuals, organizations, government, or society? What are the micro-processes that define their change agency? In noted above, bounded ethicality is a problem for many actors. However, what could we in studying those who manage to break through bounded ethicality and do the 'right thin (Kim, et al., 2015)?

than quota-led change? The success of the UK's approach is often attributed to multiple stakeholders tasked by the 2011 Davies report (Vinnicombe et al., 2015)— that is, Chair CEOs, headhunters, regulatory bodies, and institutional investors. Australia's Securities Exchange regulatory body was one of the first to introduce diversity reporting in 2010. I having more stakeholders involve lead to more embedded cultural change? This could be monitored by measuring proportions of women at all organizational levels, culture surve

Are more stakeholders involved in the change process in voluntary approaches ra

Finally, various stakeholders engaged in this issue should reflect upon what their ultimate goals are and what sort of business/society is envisioned, e.g. 50/50 gender split

and qualitative data.

2011 Davies report set a 'stretch target' of 25% by 2015, and, having achieved this, exter the target to 33% by 2020. Headhunters and institutional investors suggest that targets shextend to Executive Committee levels (Davies, 2015).

*Outcomes*. We also highlight three key questions around factors that affect quota succes quota impact on board functioning and processes, and career preferences.

What factors affect the success of quotas? There is very little understanding of what far affect the success of quotas. Relevant theoretical perspectives include institutional and strength expectations theories.

From an institutional theory perspective, despite the rich comparative corporate governance literature on institutional perspectives (e.g., Aguilera & Cuervo-Cazurra, 2000). Aguilera & Jackson, 2003), only a handful of comparative studies of quotas explore national environment antecedents to the quota (Terjesen et al., 2015), female directors' perceptionand experiences of Norway's hard law and New Zealand's soft law (Casey, Skibnes, & Pringle, 2011), differences in media coverage of quotas in Sweden and Finland (Tienaria 2009), and elites' perceptions of gender equality in Norway and Sweden (Teigen & Wängnerud, 2009). This research could be meaningfully extended by examining the extended distinct national institutional structures (i.e., gender equality, maternity leave, fampolicy) and the severity of sanctions (i.e., no director fees, de-listing) impact quota successive.

motivated in the choice of countries and strive to incorporate sample selection and data

across countries. Research efforts in countries with strong religious institutions such as I

should consider the institution of religion jointly with culture and business (Syed & Van

Buren, 2014). We caution that all comparative cross-country studies should be theoretical

these countries, e.g., How is the Norwegian quota discussed in other countries' media, government, and society?

Ethnographies involve field-based, multi-factorial, long-term observations which could yield new conclusions about quotas. We encourage researchers to look for opportunities such as observing how executive search firms conduct post-quota director searches or how boards debate issues in post-quota environments.

Although sometimes criticized for generalizability, a fast-growing stream of rese

utilizes experiments to examine how individuals of a particular gender benefit from favoritism and contribute to public goods (Mollerstrom, 2015). Experiments could help to better understand public reactions to quota announcements and post-quota environments including unintended consequences and implicit biases. As mixed method approaches provide critical insights (Shah & Corley, 2006), quota researchers could combine social network analyses of board members with interviews, or ethnographies of board meetings together with firm performance data. These questions could be addressed using a range of

How do quotas impact board functioning and processes? We have very limited understanding of the effect of quotas on board functioning and processes. Key theoretical perspectives here include status expectations theory, human capital, fautlines, and social capital.

data such as panel data.

Status expectations theory suggests that others infer expectations about an individual based on his/her diffuse status characteristics, e.g., gender, ethnicity, and education (Ber

Cohen, & Zeldich, 1972; Berger, Fisek, & Norman, 1977). Gender is particularly import

as cultures routinely attach more respect and honor to men than to women (Berger et al.

assessed as having lower potential and less favorable evaluations of their performance compared to men (Eagly & Karau, 2002; Lyness & Heilman, 2006). This line of research could examine how expectations of post-quota board members are based on gender and characteristics.

While there is an abundance of social capital research, there is limited examination

inequality, particularly vertical structuring (Kwon & Adler, 2014). Social capital researc quotas tends to focus on structural aspects, as historically potential candidates needed to 'known' by the board of directors. The 'old boy's network' was the de facto system. Structural work could be advanced by considering how social capital is activated, for example around the strength, reciprocity, and density of ties (e.g., Granovetter, 1973). Furthermore, the tensions around the motivations for quotas force closer consideration o social capital's relational and cognitive elements, as simply increasing network (structur capital) does not necessarily lead to greater opportunity; subtle processes are at play (Se Doherty, 2012). Concerning social capital's cognitive dimension (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, of shared narratives, languages, and codes, there is evidence that multinational corporati even those headquartered in non-Anglo American countries, increasingly use English in boardrooms and therefore directors who are less comfortable in English (i.e., often older males) exit the boards (Piekkari, Oxelheim, & Randøy, 2015). Researchers could explor

Social capital theory offers insights into how post-quota directors develop social networks before and after their appointments. Research could leverage Bourdieu's (1993)

notion of capital in the context of the social struggle to appropriate capital. Directors ope

How does the quota affect directors' social networks and the language(s) spoken by the

board?

research question is: How do stakeholders develop and utilize power and legitimacy ove time?

Recent behavioral and experimental analyses of social capital (e.g., Andorfer & Liebe, 2013) can be extended to board research to examine how post-quota board directed develop social capital. Furthermore, recent commentary on the downsides of social capital (Portes, 2014) could be considered to explore the potential negative implications.

Theories of human capital (Becker, 1975) suggest that education, training, and of investments can lead to positive career outcomes. Existing work analyzes post-quota directors' human capital profiles but could go further to examine: How does human capital facilitate the development of social capital in post-quota environments? As boardroom heterogeneity enhances thought and perspectives, future research could examine: Are poquota women sufficiently different from their male colleagues to contribute cognitive diversity?

Different types of faultlines (separation-, disparity-, variety-based) lead to different types of sub-groups (identity-, resource-, knowledge-based) that lead to different types of inter-subgroup processes (threat of identities, fragmentation, asymmetric perception of fairness, and centralization of power) (Lau & Murnighan, 1998). Post-quota boards' faur around gender, age, experience, and other pertinent characteristics merit research on: Ho

faultlines shape identities and impact board functioning?

Resource dependency theory suggests that boards nominate directors whose competencies fill firm needs (Hillman, Cannella, & Paetzold, 2000). Quotas inevitably lefirms to shed some male directors—unless appointing a large number of new females to

existing board is an option— and thus merit investigations such as: How do quota-affect

85) that sometimes results in situations where men become tokens. For example, in 2009 Iceland, the post-crisis, pre-quota bank boards reinstated by the government were 60, 80 100% female (Jonsdottir et al., 2015). The new Icelandic quota then limited each gender 60%; boards with 80% and 100% women had to replace some females with males or add male directors. As men have less negative experiences as tokens (Chatman & O'Reilly, 2004), future research could examine how post-quota men experience tokenism.

The quota is "an effort to achieve and maintain [gender] balance" (Rosenblum, 2

Despite early counts of women's presence on board committees (Bilimoria & Pice 1994; Kesner, 1988), there is no examination of post-quota women's presence on import committees such as nomination and remuneration. This line of research would extend the quota research on women directors' strategic contributions to boards (Nielsen & Huse, 2

Other unaddressed phenomena include the worldwide debate surrounding potenti

director tenure limits and the maximum number of board positions a person may hold at one time. Tenure may compromise the outsider directors' independence; multiple director may comprise quality to their organizations. Several Western economies' corporate governance guidance rules incorporate limits on director tenure or other directorships. For example, stakeholder activists in the UK and Australia are pushing for director tenure can 9-12 years; and in the UK less than 2% of FTSE 100 directors sit on more than two FTSE boards (Vinnicombe et al., 2015). There is, however, little agreement across countries as

do voluntary or mandatory tenure requirements affect the profiles of post-quota directors selection on boards and their subsequent careers within and across boards? Does the deb

'best' recommendation. Further legislation would 'churn' the demand of directorships,

creating opportunities to increase the pool of directors. Future research could examine: I

The mature stream of research tracking the number of female directors incorpora historical developments and statements from leading advocates on all sides of the debate (e.g., Bourez's 2005 census of female directors across Europe and Catalyst reports); how there are few multi-year studies of quotas. Longitudinal investigations are possible, particularly given quotas' multi-year [3-5 year] time horizon from initiation to implementation and the availability of panel data through BoardEx and other sources. The lags offer potentially more robust tests of causality from pre- to post-quota, controlling f other factors. Furthermore, longitudinal data can consider contextual issues such as chan in corporate governance legislation or economic crises. Future researchers should includ multiple dates of debates, milestones, and actions to capture changing discourse and progress. We echo calls for more integrative theory and evidence concerning how greater diversity at multiple levels impacts organizational outcomes (e.g., Guillaume et al., 2013 the quota context. Existing quota research often identifies the importance of context at multiple levels, but does not incorporate multi-level observations or theorizing. Future research could examine, in tandem, individual experiences, board processes, firm strateg

Does a quota affect how women at varying stages consider potential careers, includ board appointments? Key theoretical perspectives here include tournament theory, expectancy theory, glass cliff theory, resource dependency.

industry environments, and country-level corporate governance bundles.

Quotas drive demand for top level talent which may influence women's perception of career outcomes, meriting joint consideration of expectancy and tournament theories.

Vroom's (1964) expectancy theory describes how an individual will undertake activities

are more likely to psychologically exit (Peters & Ryan, 2010; Peters, Ryan, & Haslam, 2 Tournament models suggests that expected payoffs increase players' willingness to cont to participate in multiple 'tournaments' in one's career and move up the hierarchy, and largely neglect gender (Connelly, Tihanyi, Crook, & Gangloff, 2014). Board gender quo suggest larger potential career success payouts for females in managerial careers, and material women to continue to move up the pipeline. Future research could investigate: a quota fundamentally change how women at varying career stages consider potential carincluding board appointments? What ethical dilemmas do women experience while purs the tournament to the top of firms?

Early research in the professional services industry indicates that women's gender identity is affected by the numbers of women in senior positions within their organizatio (Ely, 1994; 1995). This work could be extended to consider: Does the number of female directors influence women's gender identities? As most quotas affect only supervisory/outsider directors with limited day-to-day contact with employees, would increasing the number of female inside directors have a greater impact? Recent work describes how moral convictions that are embedded in relevant social identity predict collective action to achieve social change (van Zomeren, Postmes, & Spears, 2012). However, most countries' quota efforts were not driven by collective action. Will the growing numbers of women in the workforce, including at managerial levels, increase

women's social identities as managers and moral convictions that women should hold m

positions of power? If so, can we expect more collective action on this issue, including in

non-quota countries? In arguing for agonistic pluralism in stakeholder relations, Dawkin

(2015:9) proposes collective action as a mechanism to allow stakeholders to protect their

Western economies, a dominant culture of individualism constrains the acceptability of collective action.

Theorizing on identity and business ethics within organizations is being extended new work showing that individuals' moral identification with organizations affects empl attraction, motivation, and retention (May, Chang & Shao, 2015). These important new findings on values-based decision-making could address the idea that women who do no morally identify with these roles will not put themselves forward for the most senior role Another recent study finds that individuals are more attracted to organizations based on beliefs about the moral and ethical behavior of teams and organizations than perceptions competence (Van Prooijen and Ellemers, 2015). Employees' moral identification might driven by their perceptions of the organization's moral development. Previous decades of scholarship on corporate social responsibility reporting can inform diversity reporting. Governance reporting "allows corporations to effectively escape stricter regulation" (He 2008: 448) which may drive quicker behavioral changes—the ultimate goal. Moral development is possible when organizations report data externally, and use the data interto challenge assumptions and embed real actions with respect to operations and strategy. the reporting is only a response to external pressure or a publicity exercise, this decoupli will be apparent to the organization's internal members, and moral identification will no occur. Research can combine governance reporting and social identity to investigate: Ho does an organization's public stance on boardroom gender diversity affect employees' so identity? Does detailed diversity reporting enhance female employees' moral identificati This line of thinking could consider individuals' bounded self-interest (Bosse & Phillips

2015).

middle-class, and educated backgrounds (Atewologun & Sealy, 2014). How do women (men) of color fit into this discussion? What are the most salient aspects of individuals' 'privileged' identities (seniority, gender, ethnicity) that help or hinder their paths to the boardroom?

The 'glass cliff' theory (Ryan & Haslam, 2007) suggests that women are often appointed to precarious leadership positions. Board service is less attractive due to longe hours, higher reputational risk, and greater liability concerns (Barnard, 2006). Critical research questions include: Do women face a rank injustice in being intentionally or inadvertently placed in post-quota 'glass cliff' positions? The organizational 'fall guy' problem has already been dealt with in organizational ethics program guidelines that state that the person must be an executive, and can be leveraged for quota research. We encounted the program of the program of the program of the person must be an executive, and can be leveraged for quota research.

lens— a technique known as theory pruning (Leavitt, Mitchell, & Peterson, 2010).

#### **CONCLUSION**

Corporate board gender quotas raise ethical concerns that are both driven by and affect multiple sets of actors, organizations, and governments. Quota research has reached a stamaturity such that it is possible to describe the tensions and ethical dilemmas in terms of motivations, legitimacy, and outcomes, highlighting the frequency and variability of the dilemmas and then offer insights from current theoretical perspectives. We have attempt rationalize the often emotive discussions on corporate board quotas by questioning and reconceptualizing everyday constructs such as meritocracy and legitimacy, and reconsidered.

the definition of 'successful' outcomes of quotas and other affirmative action measures.

Extant studies attempt to explain quotes through a particular theoretical framework which

to take a more integrative approach – for example, combining multiple theories and data hope is that this review consolidates existing knowledge for scholars, policymakers, and practitioners, and inspires future research.

#### Table 1: Conflicting tensions concerning board quota quotas

**Motivation Tensions:** *Tensions that emerge from the underlying rationale for the quota* 

#### Are quotas motivated by a desire for justice or utility?

- Both utility and justice arguments are used by both quota supporters and opponents: supporters use utility to
  quotas effectively leverage female talent and justice for equal gender representation; opponents use utility to
  firms should be free to select the most qualified individual and justice to argue that this individual should ha
  opportunity to serve on the board, regardless of gender.
- The organizational 'business case' rests on talent management, creativity, innovation, decision- making, rish stakeholder relationships, customers, and/or financial performance; however evidence is mixed for all these
- Ethical tensions consider costs to organizations relative to benefits for society and firms.
- The competing logics of government institutional structures are apparent in business versus equalities depar

#### What institutional structures motivate quotas?

- Quotas spread from political spheres to state-owned and private spheres (e.g., corporate boards)
- Countries with quotas tend to have policies to support female participation in the labor market, left-leaning properties coalitions, and prior gender equality initiatives in public policy and in corporate governance; and mixed-market economies and Scandinavian or French legal systems
- Quota supporters draw on the institutional structure of a national identity of egalitarianism.
- Institutional manifestations of gendered work are driven by homophily: individuals recruit similar others to

## Are efforts to add women to the board motivated by considerations of integrity or compliance?

- Quotas can be motivated by symbolic or substantive responses; the former may evolve into the latter.
- Pre-quota normative pressures in Norway (e.g., networks, mentoring, training, databases) failed to work. Co "threat of quota" pressures increase the shares of women on boards in some countries.
- When facing a quota, organizations can acquiesce, comply, defy, avoid or manipulate the quota.
- The tensions of integrity versus compliance are driven by the intended outcomes.
- Quota threat may effect change without quotas; quotas without sanctions effect little change

**Legitimacy Tensions:** *Tensions that emerge from the emotional debates and responses of politicians, public opinion, media, shareholders, directors, and other stakeholders* 

- Are quotas ethical?

   Quotas can symbolize both inequality and equality in a national context; affirmative action (AA) policies may be a context of the con
- The tension is evident in the shackled runner debate.
- Quota targets (i.e., women) often reject quotas due to not want to be perceived as special.

prevent unfairness or to compensate for previous injustices, but utilize discrimination.

- To be deemed ethical, quotas must be perceived as legitimate via alignment with societal norms and values; this process often occurs in reverse order for quotas: some individuals claim legitimacy and use radical proc normalize their claim.
- Multiple stakeholders (regimes of responsibility) fail to prevent ethical violations; it is not always clear whis
  stakeholders are responsible for homogeneous boards and thus who should legitimately be involved in 'fixing problem.

## Are quotas meritocratic?

- Meritocracy is socially constructed by the current ruling class.
- People who believe in meritocracy are less opposed to AA, including quotas.
- Today's standards for legitimacy may not be considered acceptable in the future.

## Are post-quota female directors perceived as legitimate?

- Post-quota female directors perceive themselves as legitimate; others often view post-quota female directors legitimate with respect to their significant education qualifications; female directors in non-quota countries about being perceived as a "token promotion" and candidates for directorships are urged to have many qualifications.
- Post-quota female directors' legitimacy may lead to the crisis of the 'mediocre man' who is negatively affect
- Women's views are not heard or deemed legitimate until a 'critical mass' (usually three women on a board)

- Quotas affect and are affected by a number of stakeholder groups who rarely agree on desired outcomes.
- For shareholders, financial outcomes are inconclusive, and causality directions are unknown.
- Post-quota boards have higher levels of functioning: leveraging new perspectives and engaging more discus

#### How does the quota impact board directors' perceptions of their roles?

- Pre-quota female directors experience tokenism and do not identify strongly with boards.
- Post-quota female directors are more likely to identify with the social role of board member.
- Female directors' identities as 'pre-quota' or 'post-quota' are subservient to others, i.e., as an industry exper

#### How do quotas impact social capital?

- Post-quota multiple directorships increase for both women (e.g., "Golden Skirts") and men. Post-quota won directors' social capital increases at twice the rate of men's; post-quota female directors are more visible in
- Post-quota females are mostly outsider directors, suggesting bridging social networks across firms versus or
- Relational social capital is evident in that post-quota boards often include female family members.
- Efforts to increase the number of female board members often seek to build social capital among participant
- In an economic crisis situation (i.e., Iceland), it is important for new post-quota directors not to have any rel with pre-quota board members who are widely considered to have steered the firms into the crisis.
- All board members' attitudes towards quotas (i.e., cognitive capital) become less negative over time.

#### Do gender quotas redress gender balance?

- The quota produces the desired increase in female directors in some countries but not in all countries.
- Tensions and contradictions in many countries are apparent between wanting gender balance but not wantin implement quotas and instead preferring voluntary measures.
- Quotas may not eliminate discrimination; however, over time, women directors are viewed as business as us

**Table 2: Future research directions** 

<b>Ethical Tensions</b>	<b>Relevant Theoretical Perspectives</b>	Research Strategies	
Motivations	•	, 0	
What motivates individuals to engage in quota debates and activism?	Institutional theory, social identity theory, social movement theory, organizational justice theory, schema theory, social exchange theory	Longitudinal data, ethnocomputer-aided text ana analysis, interviews, cas network analysis, career	
What motivations affect the evolution of quota debate and implementation?	Political process theory, social movement theory, network theory, public choice theory, stakeholder theory, institutional theory	Archival studies, longitudinternational comparativo qualitative analysis of publicative ethics	
What factors motivate related initiatives such as voluntary targets, comply-or-explain legislation, or quotas in other groups?	Knowledge spillover theory, corporate social responsibility theories, social contagion theory, institutional theory, social network theory	Social media studies, co studies, multi-level appr description approach to contextualization	
How do organizations seek to legitimize their responses to quotas?	Stakeholder theory, justice theories, resource theory, corporate governance deviance	Multi-level data, qualita approaches, triangulate findings, action research	
What role should governments play in legitimizing quotas, particularly at supra-national level?	Diffusion theory, deliberative democracy, normative business ethics theory, social identity theory, institutional theory	Multi-level theorizing, of ethics, dialogic approach policy documentation	
What role should other stakeholders play in legitimizing change and quotas?	Social movement theory, political process theory, stakeholder theory	Discourse ethics, culture analysis of media cover micro-processes of char	
Outcomes		ı	
What factors affect the success of quotas?	Status expectations theory, institutional theory	Panel data, international studies, discourse analyst coverage	
How do quotas impact board functioning and processes?	Status expectations theory, human capital, faultlines, social capital, social identity theory, tokenism, resource dependency theory	Quasi-experimental, qua capital analysis, power- behavioral and experime career narratives, CV ar longitudinal, time-lag de contextualisation (legisle economic), discourse ar level observations	
Does a quota affect how women at varying career stages consider potential careers, including board appointments?	Tournament theory, expectancy theory, glass cliff theory, resource dependency, social contagion theory, identity-fit theory of motivation, social identity theory, values-based decision making theory, CSR theories	Comparative cross-cour career narrative intervie groups, career motivation	

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, R. B., & Ferreira, D. 2009. Women in the boardroom and their impact on governand performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94: 291-309.
- Adams, R. B., de Haan, J., Terjesen, S., & van Ees, H. 2015. Board diversity: Moving the field forward. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 23(2): 77-82.
- Agle, B. R., Donaldson, T., Freeman, R. E., Jensen, M. C., Mitchell R. K. & Wood, D. J
- 2008. Dialogue: Toward superior stakeholder theory. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 18(2 153-190.
- Aguilera, R. V. & Cuervo-Cazurra, A. 2004. The spread of codes of good governance worldwide: What's the trigger? *Organization Studies*, 25(3): 415-443.
- Aguilera, R. V. & Jackson, G. 2003. The cross-national diversity of corporate governance

Dimensions and determinants. Academy of Management Review, 28(3): 447-365.

Aguilera, R. V., Judge, W. E., & Terjesen, S. 2015. Corporate governance deviance: A m range theory. Working paper.

Ahern, K. R., & Dittmar, A. K. 2012. The changing of the boards: The impact on firm va

- of mandated female board representation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127(1): 197.

  Albrecht, G. H. 2003. How friendly are family friendly policies? *Pusiness Ethics Quarterly*
- Albrecht, G. H. 2003. How friendly are family friendly policies? *Business Ethics Quart* 13(2): 177-192.
- Andorfer, V. A., & Liebe, U. 2013. Consumer behavior in moral markets. On the relevant identity, justice beliefs, social norms, status, and trust in ethical consumption. *Europ Sociological Review*, 29(6): 1251-1265.
- Armstrong, J., & Walby, S. 2012. *Gender quotas in management boards*. European Par Arnardóttir. A., & Sigurjónsson, O. 2015. Board selection in times of gender quotas. Wo
- paper presented at Conference on Gender Equality, Reykjavik, Iceland.
- Arnold, D. G. 2012. Global justice and international business. *Business Ethics Quarterl*, 125-143.
- Arnórsdóttir, R. E. 2012. *Gender quotas on corporate boards in Iceland: Attitudes with Icelandic business community.* MSc dissertation, Copenhagen Business School, Copenhagen.

Arnardáttir A A & Siguriansson () 2014 Cornorate hoard selection practices in the

- Arya, B. & Salk, J. E. 2006. Cross-sector alliance learning and effectiveness of voluntary of corporate social responsibility. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 16(2): 211-234.
- of corporate social responsibility. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 16(2): 211-234.

  Atewologun, D. & Sealy, R. 2014. Experiencing privilege at ethnic, gender and senior intersections. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 29(4): 423-439.
- Bacchi, C. L. 1996. *The politics of affirmative action: Women, equality and category p*Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Banerjee, S. B. 2010. Governing the global corporation: A critical perspective. *Business Ouarterly*, 20(2): 265-274.

Barnard, J. W. 2006. More women on corporate boards: Not so fast. William & Mary Jo

- Women & Law, 13(3): 703-726.

  Battilana, J. 2006. Agency and institutions: The enabling role of individuals' social posit
- Organization, 13(5): 653-676.

  Becker, G. S. 1975. Human capital: a theoretical and empirical analysis with special reference to education. New York: NBER.
- Berger, J., Cohen, B. P., Zeldich, M. Jr. 1972. Status characteristics and social interaction *American Sociological Review*, 37(3): 241-255.
- Berger, J., Fisek, M. H., & Norman, R. Z. 1977. *Status characteristics and social intera An expectation-states approach.* pp. 91-134. Elsevier.
- Bergstø, K. 2013. Women mean business: Why and how Norway legislated gender balar the boards of listed companies. In S. Machold, M. Huse, K. Hansen and M. Brogi (E.
- Getting women on corporate boards: A snowball starting in Norway: 21-23. Chelte Edward Elgar.
- Bertrand, M., Black, S. E., Jensen, S., & Lleras-Muney, A. 2014. *Breaking the glass cei The effect of board quotas on female labor market outcomes in Norway* (No. w202
- Besley, T., Folke, O., Persson, T., & Rickne, J. 2013. *Gender quotas and the crisis of the mediocre man: Theory and evidence from Sweden* (No. 985). IFN Working Paper.

NBER.

- Bilimoria, D., & Piderit, S. 1994. Board committee membership: Effects of sex-based bi *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(6): 1453-1477.
- Bilimoria, D., & Wheeler, J. V. 2000. Women corporate directors: current research and findirections. Women in management: Current research issues. 2: 138-163

## Financial Management. DOI: 10.1111/eufm.12060

- BoardEx. 2015. Authors' calculations from data. August 1.
- Bolsø, A., Bjørkhaug, H., & Sørensen, S. 2013. Gender-balanced corporate boards. In S. Machold et al. (eds.) Getting women on corporate boards: A snowball starting in N

Bosse, D. A., & Phillips, R. A. 2015. Agency theory and bounded self-interest. Academy

136-137. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Women's Network.

- Management Review. DOI:10.5465/amr.2013.0420.
- Bourdieu, P. 1993. *The field of cultural production*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Bourez, V. 2005. Women on boards: Moving beyond tokenism. European Professional
- Branson, D. M. 2012a. An Australian perspective on a global phenomenon: Initiatives to
- women on corporate boards of directors. Legal Studies Research Paper Series. Branson, R. 2012b. Richard Branson on why we need more women on boards. *Entrepre*
- http://www.entrepreneur.com/article/224476 Brogi, M. 2013. Italy's lessons learnt from Norway. In S. Machold et al. (Eds.) Getting to
- on corporate boards: A snowball starting in Norway: 187-190. Cheltenham: Edward Bromley, P. & Powell W.W. 2012. From smoke and mirrors to walking the talk: Decoup
  - the contemporary world. Academy of Management Annals, V(I): 1-48. Buchanan, J. M., & Tullock, G. 1962. The calculus of consent: Logical foundations of
  - constitutional democracy. Indianapolis: Liberty Fund. Cabo, R. de M., Terjesen, S., Gimeno, R., & Escot, L. 2015. The Spanish quota: A misse
  - opportunity? Working paper. Carrasco, A., Francoeur, C., Labelle, R., Laffarga, J., & Ruiz-Barbadillo, E. 2015. Appoi
  - women to boards: Is there a cultural bias? Journal of Business Ethics, 129(2): 429-4
- Casey, C., Skibnes, R., & Pringle, J. K. 2011. Gender equality and corporate governance strategies in Norway and New Zealand. *Gender, Work, and Organization*, 18(6): 61:
  - http://www.catalyst.org/knowledge/women-boards

Catalyst. 2015. Quick take: Women on boards. Available at:

- Chatman, J. A., & O'Reilly, C. A. 2004. Asymmetric reactions to work group sex diversi among men and women. Academy of Management Journal, 47(2): 193–208.
- Choudhury R 2015 Gender diversity on boards: Reyond quotes Furangan Rusingss I

- Coleman, J. S. 1988. Social capital and the creation of human capital. *American Journal Sociology*, 94: S95-120.
- Collier, J., & Roberts, J. 2001. An ethic for corporate governance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 11(1): 67-71.
- Connelly, B. L., Tihanyi, L., Crook, T. R. & Gangloff, K. A. 2014. Tournament theory: T years of contests and competitions. *Journal of Management*, 40(1): 12-43.
- Dahlerup, D. 2002. Three waves of feminism in Denmark. In Griffin G. and Braidotti R.
- Thinking differently: A reader in European women's studies. London and New Yor Dahlerup, D. (Ed.) 2013. Women quotas and politics. London: Routledge
- Dahlerup, D. (Ed.). 2013. *Women, quotas and politics*. London: Routledge.

  Dahlerup, D. 2015. About quotas. In Quota project: Global database of quotas for wome
- Available at: www.quotaproject.org
- Dahlerup, D., & Freidenvall, L. 2005. Quotas as a 'fast track' to equal representation for women: Why Scandinavia is no longer the model. *International Feminist Journal of*

Does a quota for women improve firm performance? Feminist Economics, 19(4): 11

- *Politics*, 7(1): 26-48.

  Dale-Olsen, H., Schøne, P. & Verner, M. 2013. Diversity among Norwegian boards of di
- Davies, M. 2011. Women on boards. London: GEO/BIS. Available at:
  - https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/women-on-boards-review
- Dawkins, C. 2015. Agonistic pluralism and stakeholder engagement. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 25(1): 1-28.
- listed companies: A gender perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 122(3): 425-43

de Anca, C. & Gabaldon, P. 2014. The media impact of board member appointments in S

- Dhir, A. A. 2015. *Challenging boardroom homogeneity: Corporate law, governance & diversity.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- DiMaggio, P. 1988. Interest and agency in institutional theory. In Zucker, L. (Ed.) *Institu* patterns and organizations culture and environment: 3-21. Cambridge, MA: Ballin
- DiMaggio, P. J. & Powell, W. (Eds.). 1991. *The new institutionalism in organizational analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Doh, J. 2012. Corporate social strategy: Stakeholder engagement and competitive advan *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 22(4): 776-778.

Doldor F. Sealy R. & Vinnicombe S. Forthcoming Accidental activists: Headhunters

- evidence, and implications. Academy of Management Review, 20(1): 65-91.
- Dubbink. W. 2005. Democracy and private discretion in business. *Business Ethics Quar* 15(1): 37-66.
- Eagly, A. H.. & Karau, S. J. 2002. Role congruity theory of prejudice towards female lea
- *Economist*. 2014. The spread of gender quotas for company boards. May 25

**Psychological Review**, 109(3): 573-598.

- Earlest C. E. DeCelles W. & Leney M. 2015. Through the modern the boundaries
- Eesley, C. E., DeCelles, K., & Lenox, M. 2015. Through the mud or in the boardroom:

Examining activist types and their strategies in targeting firms for social change. SSI

- working paper. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2574046
- Management Journal, 27(8):765-781.

  Elstad, B. & Ladegård, G. 2010. Women on corporate boards: Key influencers or tokens

Eesley, C., & Lenox, M. J. 2006. Firm responses to secondary stakeholder action. Strate

- Journal of Management and Governance, 16(4): 595-615.
- Ely, R. J. 1994. The effects of organizational demographics and social identity on relationships among professional women. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39(2):
  - 238. Ely, R. J. 1995. The power in demography: Women's social constructions of gender iden
- work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(3): 589-634.

  Engelstad, F. & Teigen, M. 2012. *Firms, boards and gender quotas: Comparative persp.*
- Bingley: Emerald.

  European Commission. 2012. Available at: <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/ot">http://ec.europa.eu/justice/gender-equality/ot</a>
- institutions/good-practices/review-seminars/seminars 2012/decision making en.htm
- Eurosif. 2014. European SRI study. <a href="http://www.eurosif.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Eurosif-SRI-Study-20142.pdf">http://www.eurosif.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Eurosif-SRI-Study-20142.pdf</a>, retrieved December 1st 2015
- Fagan, C., & González Menéndez, M. 2012. Conclusions. In Women on corporate boar in ton management: Furonean trends and policy. Basingstoke: Palgraye Macmillan
  - in top management: European trends and policy. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan Feldman, M. S., Bell, J., & Berger, M. T. 2004. Gaining access: A practical and theore
  - guide for qualitative researchers. Lanham, MD: Rowman Altamira.Ferreira, D. 2015. Board diversity: Should we trust research to inform policy? Corporate
- Governance: An International Review, 32(2): 108-111.

  Fisher D.H. & Fowler S.B. 1995. Imagining moral leadership in business: Image identity

60-63. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

International Review, forthcoming.

- Francoeur, C., Labelle R., & Sinclair-Desgagné, B. 2008. Gender diversity in corporate governance and top management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 81(1): 83-95.
- Freeman, R. E. 1984. *Stakeholder management: framework and philosophy*. Mansfield MA: Pitman.
- Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C. 2007. Managing for stakeholders:
  - Survival, reputation, and success. Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Freidenvall, L., Dahlerup, D., & Skjeie, H. 2006. The Nordic countries: An incremental in D. Dahlerup (ed.), *Women, quotas and politics:* 55-82. Oxford and New York: Ro

Fullinwider, R. K. 1980. The reverse discrimination controversy: A moral and legal an

- Institute for Philosophy and Public Policy, University of Maryland, College Park.

  Gabaldon, P., de Anca, C., Mateos de Cabo, R., & Gimeno, R. 2015. Searching for women
- boards: An analysis from the supply and demand perspective. *Corporate Governance*
- Gilbert, D. U., & Rasche, A. 2007. Discourse ethics and social accountability: The ethics
- 8000. Business Ethics Quarterly, 17(2): 187-216.
- González Menéndez, M. C. & Martínez González, L. 2012. Spain on the Norwegian path Towards a gender-balanced presence of women on corporate boards. In: C. Fagan et (Eds.) *Women on corporate boards and in top management: European trends and*
- 169-197. Chippenham: Palgrave-MacMillan.

  Goodman J & Arenas D 2015 Engaging ethically: A discourse ethics perspective or
- Goodman, J. & Arenas, D. 2015. Engaging ethically: A discourse ethics perspective on s shareholder engagement. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 25(2): 163-189. DOI:
- 10.1017/beq.2015.8.

  Goodpaster, K.E. 2010. Business ethics: Two moral provisos. *Business Ethics Quarterl* 740-742.
- Goodstein, J. D. & Wicks, A. C. 2007. Corporate and stakeholder responsibility: Making business ethics a two-way conversation. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 17(3): 375-398.
- Gopalan, S., & Watson, K. 2015. An agency theoretical approach to corporate board diversity *San Diego Law Review*, 52(1): 1-66.
- Granovetter, M. S. 1973. The strength of weak ties. American Journal of Sociology, 78

- Getting diversity to work at work: What we know and what we still don't know. Jou
- Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 86: 123-141.

  Håpsnes, T. & Buvik, M. P. 2013. Evaluation of NHO's Female Future program: A lea
- *development program with expertise and resources in center.* SINTEF Research Celebratison, J. S., Bosse, D. A., & Phillips, R. A. 2010. Managing for stakeholders, stakeholders,

utility functions, and competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(1):

- Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C. 2013. Stakeholder theory, value, and firm performance.
- Ethics Quarterly, 23(1): 97-124.
  Harvey, B. & Schafer, A. 2001. Managing relationships with environmental stakeholders study of UK water and electricity utilities. Journal of Business Ethics, 30(3): 243-2
- Haslam, S. A. 2004. *Psychology in Organizations: The social identity approach.* Sage: Heidenreich, V. 2013. Consequences of the Norwegian gender quota regulation for public limited company boards. In S. Machold et al. (Eds.) *Getting women on corporate boards.*
- snowball starting in Norway: 119-125. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

  Heilman, M. E., McCullough, W., & Gilbert, D. 1996. The other side of affirmative actions of the control o
- reactions of nonbeneficiaries to sex-based preferential selection. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 84(4): 346-358.

  Hess, D. 2007. Social reporting and new governance regulation: The prospects of achiev
- corporate accountability through transparency. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 17(3): 45 Hess, D. 2008. The three pillars of corporate social reporting as new governance regulation

Disclosure, dialogue, and development. Business Ethics Quarterly, 18(4): 447-482.

- Hillman, A. J., Cannella, A. A., & Paetzold, R. L. 2000. The resource dependence role of corporate directors: Strategic adaptation of board composition in response to environ
- change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 37(2): 235-256.

  Hillman, A. J., Nicholson, G., & Shropshire, C. 2008. Directors' multiple identities, identification, and board monitoring and resource provision. *Organization Science*, 1
- 441-456.

  Hoel, M. 2008. The quota story: Five years of change in Norway. In S. Vinnicombe, V. e
- (eds.) *Women on corporate boards of directors*: 79-87. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. Holst, E. & Kirsch, A. 2015. Executive board and supervisory board members in German

large cornerations remain predominantly male **DIW Feanamic Rulletin** 5(1): 35-1

Huse, M. & Seierstad, C. 2013. Getting women on corporate boards: Consequences of the

Norwegian gender balance law. European Financial Review, December/January: 37

Huse, M. 2012. The 'golden skirts': Lessons from Norway about women on corporate be

S. Gröschl & J. Takagi. Diversity quotas, diverse perspectives: The case of gender:

Huse, M. 2013a. The political process behind the gender balance law. In S. Machold et a

Getting women on corporate boards: A snowball starting in Norway: 9-16. Chelten Edward Elgar.

Huse, M. 2013b. Characteristics and background of the Norwegian women directors. In

Machold et al. (eds.) Getting women on corporate boards: A snowball starting in N 69-77. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. Huse, M., Nielsen, S., & Hagen, I. M. 2009. Boards of directors, codetermination and w

directors: Societal and business case CSR illustrations from Norway. Journal of Bus Ethics, 89(4): 581-597. Husted, B. W. & Allen, D. B. 2011. Corporate social strategy: Stakeholder engagemen

competitive advantage. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Ingley, C. B., & Van der Walt, N. T. 2003. Board configuration: building better boards. Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Effective Board

Institute of Business Ethics. 2011. Business ethics and board diversity, Issue 21. Availab http://www.ibe.org.uk/userassets/briefings/ibe briefing 21 business ethics and boa

**Performance**, 3(4): 5-17.

rsity.pdf. Jensen, M. C. 2002. Value maximization, stakeholder theory, and the corporate objective function. Business Ethics Quarterly, 12(2): 235-56.

Joecks, J., Pull, K., & Vetter, K. 2013. Gender diversity in the boardroom and firm perfo

What exactly constitutes a "critical mass?" Journal of Business Ethics, 118(1): 61-7 Johns, G. 2006. The essential impact of context on organizational behavior. *Academy of* Management Review, 31(2): 386-408.

Jonsdottir, T. 2010. The impact of gender demography on male and female role interpr and contributions: A qualitative study of non-executive directors of Icelandic board Cranfield University thesis.

Ionedattir T. Singh V. Terjesen S. & Vinnicambe S. M. 2015. Directors' identity in n

- M. F. 2015. Gender diversity and board performance: Women's experiences and perspectives. *Human Resource Management*, 54(2): 265-281.
- Kanter, R. M. 1977. *Men and women of the corporation*. New York: Basic Books.
- Karam, C. M., & Jamali, D. 2013. Gendering CSR in the Arab Middle East: An institution
- perspective. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 23(1): 31-68.

  Kesner, I. F. 1988. Directors' characteristics and committee membership: An investigation
- type, occupation, tenure and gender. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31(1): 66-8 Kim, T. W., Monge, R., & Strudler, A. 2015. Bounded ethicality and the principle that "complies "can". *Business Ethics Quarterly*. 25(3): 341-361. doi: 10.1017/beq.2015.25
- King, B. G. 2008. A political mediation model of corporate response to social movement activism. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 53(3): 395-421.
  King, A. A., & Lenox, M. J. 2000. Industry self-regulation without sanctions: The chemical content of the con
- industry's responsible care program. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4): 698-Klandermans, B., & Staggenborg, S. (Eds.). 2002. *Methods of social movement researc* 
  - Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
  - Klettner, A., Clarke, T., & Boersma, M. 2014. Strategic and regulatory approaches to inc women in leadership: Multi-level targets and mandatory quotas as levers for cultural
- Kogut, B., Colomer, J., and Belinky, M. 2014. Structural equality at the top of the corpor Mandated quotas for women directors. *Strategic Management Journal*, 35(6): 891-900. Konrad, K. M., Kramer, V., & Erkut, R. S. 2008. The impact of three or more women on

**Journal of Business Ethics**, 122(1): 145-165.

Work & Organization 22(1): 330-363

- corporate boards. *Organizational Dynamics*, 37(2): 145-164.

  Kozlowski, S. W., & Klein, K. J. 2000. A multilevel approach to theory and research in
- organizations: Contextual, temporal, and emergent processes. In K. J. Klein & S. W.
  - Kozlowski (Eds.), *Multilevel theory, research, and methods in organizations: Foundations, extensions, and new directions* (pp. 3-90). San Francisco, CA: Jossey

Kwon, S. W., & Adler, P. S. 2014. Social capital: Maturation of a field of research. *Acad* 

- Management Review, 39(4): 412-422.

  Labelle, R., Francoeur, C., & Lakhal, F. 2015. To regulate or not to regulate: Early evide
- the means used around the world to promote gender diversity in the boardroom. *Gen*

of organization. Journal of Management Inquiry, 20(1): 52-58.

Journal, 14(S2): 95-112.

Policy, 29(2): 249-260.

- Leavitt, K. N., Mitchell, T. R., Peterson, J. G. 2010. Theory pruning: Strategies to reduce
- dense theoretical landscape. *Organizational Research Methods*, 13(4): 644-667. Levinthal, D. A., & March, J. G. 1993. The myopia of learning. *Strategic Management*
- Lewis, P., & Simpson, R. 2012. Kanter revisited: Gender, power and (in)visibility. *International of Management Reviews*, 14(1): 141–158.

Løyning, T. 2011. Kjønnskvotering, kvinner og styrenettverk. *Tidsskrift for kjønnsforsk* 

- 35(3): 180-198.

  Lyness, K. S., & Heilman, M. E. 2006. When fit is fundamental: Performance evaluation promotions of upper-level female and male managers. *Journal of Applied Psychology*
- 91(4): 777- 785.

  Machold, S. 2013. Institutionalizing women's representation on boards: An introduction advocacy movement. In S. Machold et al. (eds.) *Getting women on corporate board*.
  - snowball starting in Norway: 27-36. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Machold, S. & Hansen, K. 2013. Policy approaches to gender diversity on boards: An introduction to characteristics and determinants. In S. Machold et al. (eds.) *Getting v on corporate boards: A snowball starting in Norway*: 167-178. Cheltenham: Edward
- Machold, S., Huse, M., Hansen, K., & Brogi, M. (Eds.). 2013. *Getting women on to corporates: A snowball starting in Norway*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Mahoney, J., & Thelen, K. 2010. *Explaining institutional change: Ambiguity, agency, power*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Matsa, D. A., & Miller, A. R. 2013. A female style in corporate leadership? Evidence fro quotas. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 5: 136-169.
- May, D. R., Chang, Y. K., & Shao, R. 2015. Does ethical membership matter? Moral identification and its organizational implications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 10
- 681-694.

  Mayhew, K. 2013. Government and business: An introduction. *Oxford Review of Econo*
- McAdam, D., McCarthy, J. D., & Zald, M. N. (Eds.). 1996. Comparative perspectives of

- McNamee, S. J. & Miller, R. K. J. 2004. *The meritocracy myth*. Lanham, MD: Rodman Littlefield.
- McKinsey & Company, Inc. 2008. Women matter 2: Female leadership, a competitive education the future. France. Available at: http://www.mckinsey.com/Features/Women Matter
- Meier, P. 2013. Quotas, quotas everywhere: From party regulations to gender quotas for corporate management boards. Another case of contagion. *Representation*, 49(4): 45
   Meyer, J.B. & Rowan, B. 1991. Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as mytl
- analysis: 41-62. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
   Mitchell R K Agle B R & Wood D I 1997 Toward a theory of stakeholder ident

ceremony. In (eds.) Powell, W. & DiMaggio, P. The new institutionalism in organiz

- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. 1997. Toward a theory of stakeholder identified and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of*
- Management Review, 22(4): 853-886.
  Mitchell, R. K., Weaver, G. R., Agle, B. R., Bailey, A. D., & Carlson, J. 2015. Stakehold agency and social welfare: Pluralism and decision making in the multi-objective corp.
- *Academy of Management Review*, doi: 10.5465/amr.2013.0486

  Mollerstrom, J. 2015. Quotas and cooperation. Harvard University working paper.
- Morris, A. D. 1992. *Frontiers in social movement theory*. New Haven: Yale University Nahapiet, J., Ghoshal, S. 1998. Social capital, intellectual capital and the organizational
- advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 38(2): 242–266.

  NHO. 2013. Female Future. Available at: <a href="https://www.nho.no/Prosjekter-og-">https://www.nho.no/Prosjekter-og-</a>
- programmer/Female-Future/. Last accessed December 5, 2015.

  Nielsen, S., & Huse, M. 2010. Women directors' contributions to board decision-making
  - strategic involvement: The role of equality perception. *European Management Revi* 7(1): 16-29.
- Noon, M. 2010. The shackled runner: time to rethink positive discrimination? *Work, Employment & Society*, 24(4): 728–739.
- North, D. C. 1990. *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University press.
- Nygaard, K. 2011. Forced board changes: Evidence from Norway. *Norwegian School of Economics. Department of Economics Discussion Paper 5*.
- O'Connell I I Stephens C II Betz M Shenard I M & Hendry I R 2005 An

- integration, and turnover. Administrative Science Quarterly, 21-37.
- OECD. 2015. Women and men in OECD countries. Available at oecd.org
- Oswick, C., & Noon, M. 2014. Discourses of diversity, equality and inclusion: Trenchan formulations or transient fashions? *British Journal of Management*, 25(1): 23-39.
- Pache, A. C., & Santos, F. 2010. When worlds collide: The internal dynamics of organizational responses to conflicting institutional demands. *Academy of Managen* 
  - *Review*, 35(3): 455-476.
- Pacheco, D. York, J. G., Dean, T. J., & Sarasvathy, S. D. 2010. The coevolution of instituent entrepreneurship: A tale of two theories. *Journal of Management*, 36(4): 974-1010.
- Pande, R. & Ford, D. 2012. *Gender quotas and female leadership: A review.* Backgroun for the World Development Report.
  - https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/9120
- Parmar, B. L., Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., Wicks, A. C., Purnell, L., & De Colle, S. 2 Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. *Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1): 403
  - Pathan, S., & Faff, R. 2013. Does board structure in banks really affect their performance. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 37(5): 1573-1589.
- Perrault, E. 2015. Why does board gender diversity matter and how do we get there? The shareholder activism in deinstitutionalizing old boys' networks. *Journal of Business*
- 128(1): 149-165.

  Peters, K., & Ryan, M. K. 2010. *Fitting in and getting on: Understanding career motive*

male and female surgeons. London: Royal College of Surgeons.

- Peters, K., Ryan, M. K., & Haslam, S. A. 2014. Marines, medics and machismo: Lack of
- masculine occupational stereotypes discourages men's participation, *British Journal Psychology.* DOI: 10.1111/bjop.12106.
- Pfeffer, J. & Salancik, G. 1978. *The external control of organizations: A resource depe perspective.* New York: Harper and Row.
- Phillips, R., Freeman, R. E., & Wicks, A. C. 2003. What stakeholder theory is not. *Busin Ethics Quarterly*, 13(4): 479-502.
- Piaget, J. 1926. The language and thought of the child. New York: Harcourt.
- Piekkari, R., Oxelheim, L., & Randøy, T. 2015. The silent board: How language diversity influence the work processes of corporate boards. *Corporate Governance: An Internate Corporate Science*.

- Portes, A. 2014. Downsides of social capital. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(52): 18407-18408.
- Post, C., & Byron, K. 2015. Women on boards and firm financial performance: A meta-a
- Academy of Management Journal. doi: amj.2013.0319.

  Reding, V. 2012. The route to more women on supervisory boards: an intelligent quota is
- of inflexible requirement. *Speech to the European Commission*. November 27. Reding, V. 2013. Winning the board game: Europe's economy needs more women in bus In S. Machold et al. (eds.) *Getting women on corporate boards: A snowball starting*
- *Norway*: 201-209. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

  Reskin, B. 1998. *The realities of affirmative action*. Washington, DC: American Sociological Control of the c
- Association.

  Roberts, H. 2014. Cable: Gender quotas useful 'nuclear deterrent'. *HR Magazine*, 8 July Robin, D., & Babin, L. 1997. Making sense of the research on gender and ethics in busin
- critical analysis and extension. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 7(4): 61-90.

  Rosenblum, D. 2009. Feminizing capital: A corporate imperative. *Berkeley Business La Journal*: 55-95.
- Rousseau, D. M., & Fried, Y. 2001. Location, location, location: Contextualizing organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 22(1): 1-13.
- Ruef, M. & Scott, W. R. 1998. A multidimensional model of organizational legitimacy: survival in changing institutional environments. *Administrative Science Quarterly*,
- 877-904.Ryan, M. K. & Haslam, S. A. 2007. The glass cliff: Exploring the dynamics surrounding appointment of women to precarious leadership positions. *Academy of Management*
- Review, 32(2): 549-572.

  Santana, A. 2012. Three elements of stakeholder legitimacy. *Journal of Business Ethics*
- 105(2): 257-265.
  Schein, V. E. 1975. Relationships between sex role stereotypes and requisite management characteristics among female managers. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60(3): 340-
- Schein, V. E. 2007. Women in management: reflections and projections. *Women in*
- Management Review, 22(1): 6-18.

  Scherer A. G. & Palazzo, G. 2007. Toward a political concention of corporate responsibilities.

- as a challenge for business responsibilities. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 19(3): 327-3 Schreck, P., van Aaken, D., & Donaldson, T. 2013. Positive economics and normativistic
- Bridging the two sides of CSR. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 23(2): 297-329.
- Scott, J. 2012. Social network analysis. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Scott, W. R. 1995. Institutions and organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Sealy, R. 2010. Changing perceptions of meritocracy in senior women's careers. *Gender*
- Management: An International Journal, 25(3): 184-197.
- Sealy, R. & Doherty, N. 2012. Women in finance: A springboard to corporate board po
- London, Association of Chartered and Certified Accountants.

  Sealy, R.; Turner, C.; Pryce, P. & Vinnicombe, S. 2015. *Women on boards: Progress fol*
- the 2012 Corporate Governance Code, Cranfield School of Management, UK.
- Seierstad, C. 2015. Beyond the business case: The need for both utility and justice ration increasing the share of women on boards. *Corporate Governance: An International*

Review. Doi: 10.1111/corg.12117

- Seierstad, C. & Opsahl, T. 2011. For the few not the many? The effects of affirmative ac presence, prominence, and social capital of women directors in Norway. *Scandinavi*
- Journal of Management, 27: 44-54.
  Seierstad, C., Warner-Søderholm, G., Torchia, M., & Hues, M. 2015. Increasing the num
- of women on boards: The role of actors and processes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, I 10.1007/s10551-015-2715-0.
- Selznick, P. 1994. *The moral commonwealth: Social theory and the promise of commu*Berkeley: UC Press.
- Seo, M. G., & Creed, W. D. 2002. Institutional contradictions, praxis, and institutional claused dialectical perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(2): 222-247.
- Shah, S. K., & Corley, K. G. 2006. Building better theory by bridging the quantitative-qualitative divide. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(8): 1821-1835.
- Sheridan, A., Ross-Smith, A., & Lord, L. 2014. Institutional influences on women's representation on corporate boards: An Australian case study. *Equality, Diversity, an*
- Sigurjonsson, T.O., Arnardóttir, A. A., Vaiman, V. & Rikhardsson, 2015. Managers' view ethics education in husiness schools: An empirical study. *Journal of Rusiness Ethic*

Inclusion: An International Journal, 33(2): 140-159.

## Management: An International Journal, 25(3): 198-207.

- Singh V., Point, S., & Moulin Y. 2015. French supervisory board gender composition and threat: changes from 2008 to 2011, *Gender in Management: An International Journal* 30(7): 551-571.
- Singh, V., Point, S., Moulin, Y., & Davila, A. 2015. Legitimacy profiles of women direct top French company boards. *Journal of Management Development*, 34(7): 803-820
  Singh, V., Terjesen, S. & Vinnicombe, S. 2008. Newly appointed directors in the boardron.

http://www.newsweek.com/german-boardrooms-face-legal-quotas-women-287372

Smith, W. K., Gonin, M., & Besharov, M. L. 2013. Managing social-business tensions:

- How do men and women differ? *European Management Journal*, 26(1): 48-58. Smith, A. 2014. German boardrooms to face legal quotas for women. *Newsweek*.
- review and research agenda for social enterprise. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 23(3): 444.

  Smith, W. K., Besharov, M. L., Wessels, A. K., & Chertok, M. 2012. A paradoxical
- leadership model for social entrepreneurs: Challenges, leadership skills, and pedagog tools for managing social and commercial demands. *Academy of Management Lear & Education*, 11(3): 463-478.
- Son Hing, L.S., Bobocel, D.R., & Zanna, M. P. 2002. Meritocracy and opposition to affir action: making concessions in the face of discrimination. *Journal of Personality & State Control of Personality*
- Psychology, 83(3): 493-509.

  Sørensen, S. Ø. 2013. Likestilling uten kjønn? En studie av hvordan kjønnskvotering t bedriftsstyrer ble montert som politisk reform. PhD thesis, NTNU.
- Stansbury, J. 2009. Reasoned moral agreement: Applying discourse ethics within organizations. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 19(1): 33-56.
- Staubo, S. 2010. *Do female directors increase board independence?* Mimeo. Norwegia School of Management.
- Storvik, A., & Teigen, M. 2010. Women on board: The Norwegian experience. Friedric
- Strøm, R. Ø. 2015. Gender discrimination before mandated quotas? Evidence from Norv 1989-2002. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 31(3): 303-315.

Suchman M. C. 1995. Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. Acc

Foundation, Berlin.

## Law and Business, 32(4): 81-105.

- Szydlo, M. 2015. Gender equality on the boards of EU companies: Between economic efficiency, fundamental rights and democratic legitimisation of economic governance *European Law Journal*, 21(1): 97-115.
- Syed, J., & Van Buren, H. J. 2014. Global business norms and Islamic views of women's

Tidsskrift for samfunnsforskning, 1: 73-104

- employment. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 24(2): 251-276.

  Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. 1979. An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Au
  - S. Worchel (Eds.) *The social psychology of intergroup relations*. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole: 33-47.
- Tatli, A., Vassilopoulou, J., & Özbilgin, M. 2013. An unrequited affinity between talent shortages and untapped female potential: The relevance of gender quotas for talent
- *Business Review*, 22(3): 539-553.

  Teigen, M. 2002. Kvotering til styrevev: mellom offentlig regulering og privat handlefril

management in high growth potential economies of the Asia Pacific region. Internat

- Teigen, M. 2010. *Presentation at European Commission*, Brussels, Belgium. April 26.
- Teigen, M. 2011. Gender quotas on corporate boards. *Gender and power in the Nordic countries*, 87.
  - Teigen, M. 2012a. Gender quotas on corporate boards: On the diffusion of a distinct national policy reform. *Comparative Social Research*, 29(1): 115-146.
- Teigen, M. 2012b. Gender quotas for corporate boards in Norway: Innovative gender eq policy. In: C. Fagan et al. (Eds.) *Women on corporate boards and in top manageme* 
  - *European trends and policy*: 70-90. Chippenham: Palgrave-MacMillan.

    Teigen, M. 2015a. Kjønnsbalanse i styre og ledelse av norsk næringsliv. In Teigen, M. (l
- Virkninger av styrekvotering i norsk næringsliv. Oslo: Gyldendal.

  Teigen, M. (ed.) 2015b. Virkninger av kjønnskvotering i norsk næringsliv. Oslo: Gyldendal.
- akademisk. <a href="http://www.socialresearch.no/Publications/Reports/2015/2015-0022">http://www.socialresearch.no/Publications/Reports/2015/2015-0022</a>
  Teigen, M., & Wängnerud, L. 2009. Tracing gender equality cultures: Elite perceptions of
- gender equality in Norway and Sweden. *Politics & Gender*, 5(1): 21-44.

  Terjesen, S., Aguilera, R., & Lorenz, R. 2015. Legislating a woman's seat on the board:
- Institutional factors driving gender quotas for boards of directors. **Journal of Rusine**

Tienari, J., Holgersson, C., Meriläinen, S., & Höök, P. 2009. Gender, management and n discourse: The case of gender quotas in the Swedish and Finnish media. *Gender, Wo* 

*Organization*, 16(4): 501-521.

Torchia, M., Calabrò, A., & Huse, M. 2011. Women directors on corporate boards: Fron tokenism to critical mass. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(2): 299-317.

van Prooijen, A. & Ellemers, N. 2015. Does it pay to be moral? How indicators of moral

- competence enhance organizational and work team attractiveness. *British Journal of Management*, 26(2): 225-236.
- van Zomeren, M., Postmes, T., & Spears, R. 2012. On conviction's collective consequer Integrating moral conviction with the social identity model of collective action. *Britis Journal of Social Psychology*, 51(1): 52-71.
  - Vaiman, V., Sigurjonsson, T. O., & Davidsson, P. Á. 2011. Weak business culture as an antecedent of economic crisis: The case of Iceland. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 98(2) 272.
  - Vasi, I. B. & King, B. G. 2012. Social movements, risk perceptions, and economic outco. The effect of primary and secondary stakeholder activism on firms' perceived environist and financial performance. *American Sociological Review*, 77(4): 573-596.
  - Velkova, I. 2015. *Quotas for women on corporate boards: The call for change in Euro* Hamburg: Anchor.
  - Vinnicombe, S. Doldor, E. Sealy, R. Pryce, P. & Turner, C. 2015. *The Female FTSE be report 2015: Putting the UK progress into a global perspective*, Cranfield Universit Virtanen, A. 2012. Women on the boards of listed companies: Evidence from Finland.
  - Journal of Management and Governance, 16(4): 571-593. Vroom, V. H. 1964. Work and Motivation. Chichester: Wiley.
- Westphal, J. D., & Milton, L. P. 2000. How experience and network ties affect the influe
- demographic minorities on corporate boards. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45(398.
- Quarterly: 51-61.
  Withers, M. C., Corley, K., & Hillman, A. J. 2012. Stay or leave: Director identities and

voluntary exit from the hoard during organizational crisis. Organization Science, 230

White, T. I. 1992. Business, ethics, and Carol Gilligan's "two voices". Business Ethics

#### Author biographies:

Siri Terjesen is a Professor at the Norwegian School of Economics (NHH), Visiting Sch at Catalyst, and will soon join American University's Kogod School of Business as a Ch Entrepreneurship. She is the Director of the annual GEDI Female Entrepreneurship Inde Membership Chair for the Entrepreneurship Division of the Academy of Management. So an Associate Editor of Academy of Management Learning and Education and an editoria board member of Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice and Corporate Governance: International Review. Her research in strategy, corporate governance, and gender has be published in leading journals including Journal of Management, Strategic Management Journal, and Journal of Business Ethics, and covered by the international media. She received her Bacherlors from University of Richmond, Masters from NHH, and PhD fro Cranfield.

Ruth Sealy is a Senior Lecturer (Associate Professor) in Organizational Psychology at C University London and visiting fellow at Cranfield School of Management. Formerly De Director of International Centre for Women Leaders at Cranfield, Ruth led the research the UK's annual Female FTSE Report since 2007. Sponsored by government and major organizations, the report has considerable research impact and reputation, with the findincited in media and practitioner journals across the world. She has co-authored similar repin Hong Kong and India. Ruth's research areas include women in leadership, board composition and governance, role models, and career aspirations. She has published in journals such as *Corporate Governance International Review, International Journal of Management reviews, Journal of Managerial Psychology*, and *Gender Work & Organiza* and has co-authored Best Papers at Academy of Management and British Academy of Management conferences. She received her PhD from Cranfield.

# APPENDIX A Countries with Board Gender Quotas

Country	Quota	PTFs	SOEs	Passage Date	Compliance date	Sanctions
Norway	40%	Yes	Yes	December 19, 2003	2006: SOEs; 2008: PTFs (40%)	Refuse to register board; dissolve company; fines until compliance
Spain	40%	Yes	No	March 22, 2007	March 1, 2015: PTFs (40%) with 250+ employees	Lack of gender diversity will imp consideration for public subsidies state contracts
Finland	40%	No	Yes	April 15, 2005	June 1, 2005	None
Québec (Canada)	50%	No	Yes	December 1, 2006	December 14, 2011	None
Israel	50%/ 1FBD	Yes	Yes	March 11, 2007: SOEs; April 19, 1999: PTFs	2010: SOEs; None for PTFs	None
Iceland	40%	Yes	Yes	March 4, 2010	September 1, 2013: 40% for firms with 50+ employees	None
Kenya	33%	No	Yes	August 28, 2010	August 28, 2010	None
France	40%	Yes	No	January 13, 2011	January 1, 2017: 500+ employees or €50m revenues	Fees will not be paid to directors
Malaysia	30%	Yes	No	June 27, 2011	2016: 250+ employees	None
Italy	33%	Yes	No	June 28, 2011	Interim 20% by 2012	Fines; directors lose office
Belgium	33%	Yes	Yes	June 30, 2011	2011-2: SOEs; 2017-8: PTFs	Void the appointment of any dire who do not conform to board que targets; suspend director benefits
UAE	1FBD	Yes	Yes	December, 2012	Not specified	None
India	1FBD*	Yes	Yes	August, 2013	August 1, 2015	Fines
Greenland (Denmark)	50%	Yes	Yes	2013	January 2014	
Germany	30%**	Yes		December, 2014	2016	Director seat must be left vacant

Note: Updated from Terjesen, Aguilera, and Lorenz (2015); \*At least one woman director is required to the board for publicly traded and every other public company (paid-up share capital > 100 crore rupees turnover of > 300 crore rupees) (August 2013); \*\*Applies to supervisory boards only; PTFs = publicly to firms; SOEs = state-owned enterprises. Countries with 'comply or explain' legislation for certain sets of finclude Sweden, Ireland, Luxembourg, Malawi, Netherlands, Nigeria, Malaysia, South Africa, Denmark, Austria, the United Kingdom, Germany, Poland, Australia, Turkey, Switzerland, and the United States.