

Intensive Care Medicine

Acute cor pulmonale in ARDS What's new?

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Acute cor pulmonale in ARDS What's new?
Article Type:	What's New in Intensive Care
Corresponding Author:	Antoine Vieillard-Baron Boulogne, FRANCE
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Antoine Vieillard-Baron
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Antoine Vieillard-Baron Laura Price, M.D. Michael Matthay, M.D.
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Author Comments:	Dear Editor in chief, As proposed by the editorial board of the journal, please find enclosed our contribution to the journal for the section "what's new" regarding ARDS and acute cor pulmonale. We hope that you will consider our manuscript acceptable for publication. Sincerely Antoine Vieillard-Baron
Suggested Reviewers:	

Acute cor pulmonale in ARDS

What's new?

A Vieillard-Baron*, M.D, PhD, LC Price**, M.D., M A Matthay***, M.D.

* Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, University Hospital Ambroise Paré, Intensive Care Unit, Section Thorax-Vascular Disease-Abdomen-Metabolism, 92104, Boulogne-Billancourt, France

University of Versailles Saint-Quentin en Yvelines, Faculty of Medicine Paris Ile-de-France Ouest, 78280, Saint-Quentin en Yvelines, France

** Royal Brompton Hospital, Sydney Street, London SW3 6NP, UK

*** Departments of Medicine and Anesthesia, Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

Word count 1245

Conflict of interest: none

Address for correspondence

Prof. Antoine Vieillard-Baron

Service de Réanimation, Hôpital Ambroise Paré,

9, avenue Charles-de-Gaulle 92100 Boulogne, France

Email: antoine.vieillard-baron@apr.aphp.fr

Phone: 33149095603 Fax: 33149095892

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

In 1977 Zapol and colleagues reported that the pulmonary circulation was injured in patients with ARDS, leading to elevated pulmonary vascular resistance and pulmonary hypertension [1]. The investigators suggested that the pathogenesis was related to competition between alveolar distending pressure and blood flow in these patients who were ventilated with high airway pressure [2], as proposed by West et al. [3]. Pulmonary vascular remodeling also occurs with muscularization of normally non-muscularized arteries. Subsequently, using transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), Vieillard-Baron et al. reported 24 years later an incidence of acute cor pulmonale (ACP) of 25% during the first three days in 75 ARDS patients treated with lung protective ventilation [4]. A few years later, the same group reported a much higher incidence of 50% in more severe ARDS patients, all exhibiting a $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ less than 100 mmHg [5]. The same group studied more than 300 patients and found that the incidence of ACP was strongly related to elevated plateau airway pressure with a safe limit for the right ventricle of 27 cmH₂O [6]. Since then several questions have been unresolved including: What is the actual incidence of ACP in a larger population? Which are the main variables associated with ACP? What is the impact of ACP on prognosis, if any? Should RV function be monitored, and if so how? Should clinicians adjust the ventilatory strategy to RV function? Recently published clinical studies provide answers to some of these questions.

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

In 2012, Guervilly et al. performed an evaluation of right ventricular (RV) function using TEE in a small series of 16 patients with persistent ARDS and a $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 < 150$ mmHg. Patients were ventilated in volume control mode with a mean tidal volume of 6.6 mL/kg, a mean plateau pressure of 25 cmH₂O and a mean PEEP of 13 cmH₂O [7]. They found that 56% of patients had RV dysfunction (isolated and moderate RV dilatation), whereas 25% had RV failure (major RV dilatation or paradoxical septal motion) [7].

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

More recently, Boissier et al. reported an incidence of ACP of 22% (95% confidence interval 16-27%) in a prospective single-center study of 226 patients using TEE within the first 3 days following diagnosis of ARDS [8]. All patients met the Berlin definition criteria for moderate to severe ARDS [9]. Patients were also ventilated in a volume control mode, with a tidal volume of 6 mL/kg, a plateau pressure < 30 cmH₂O and a PEEP of 8-9 cmH₂O [8]. In a multivariate logistic regression, independent factors associated with ACP were an infection as a cause of lung injury and the driving pressure (17 cmH₂O in patients with ACP versus 14 cmH₂O in the others) [8]. The driving pressure is the distending pressure related to tidal volume and then depends on tidal volume (i.e. the ventilatory strategy), and also on compliance of the respiratory system (i.e. the severity of injury). Infection as a factor associated with ACP is interesting. As discussed in the paper by Boissier et al., circulating cytokines may contribute to myocardial dysfunction [8]. In addition, inflammation, including infection, is an important component of vascular remodeling in chronic pulmonary hypertension. In the acute setting, vasoconstrictors may be more important, but inflammation may also enhance pulmonary vasoconstriction [10]. In the study by Boissier et al., the consequences of ACP on hemodynamics included a significant increase in heart rate, a decrease in systemic blood pressure and the need for hemodynamic support [8]. More importantly, ACP was independently associated with 28-day mortality and in-hospital mortality, as well as the McCabe and Jackson class, another cause of lung injury than aspiration, driving pressure (per cmH₂O) and an elevated plasma lactate (per mmol/l) [8].

In another large prospective multicenter study of 200 patients with moderate to severe ARDS, Lhéritier et al. found a similar incidence of ACP (23%, 95% confidence interval 17-29%) [11]. The only factor independently associated with ACP was a PaCO₂ ≥ 60 mmHg. Data on the driving pressure was not available [11]. This result is also interesting because a few years ago Mekontso-Dessap et al. suggested in a small series of very severe ARDS

1 patients that increased PaCO₂ had a major deleterious effect on RV function [12]. Vieillard-
2 Baron et al. also suggested the same result in 2001 [4]. Hypercapnia is a vasoconstrictor of the
3 pulmonary circulation [13]. Elevated PaCO₂ can be a consequence of the ventilatory strategy
4 and severity of lung injury, as suggested by the strong impact of an elevated pulmonary dead
5 space fraction on prognosis [14]. Also, based on the results of the study by Lhéritier et al.,
6 monitoring RV function by transesophageal echocardiography was much more effective than
7 transthoracic echocardiography [11]. Finally, this study indicated that ACP was not associated
8 with mortality [11]. Why were the results of this study different than results of the study by
9 Boissier et al? In the study by Lhéritier et al., almost half of the patients with ACP were
10 ventilated in the prone position, compared to only 32% of patients without ACP [11]. It has
11 been clearly reported that lung protective ventilation in the prone position decreases plateau
12 airway pressure [15]. In a cohort of more than 300 patients Jardin et al. suggested that effect
13 of ACP on prognosis depends in part on plateau airway pressure with a safe limit at 27 cmH₂O
14 [6].

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 These results prompt us to recommend that clinicians consider monitoring RV
35 function using TEE in moderate to severe ARDS patients and to adapt the therapeutic lung
36 protective ventilation strategy according to the function of the right ventricle. This can be
37 considered an “RV protective approach” - as illustrated in the Figure. Recently, in a
38 randomized clinical trial comparing supine to prone positioning (PROSEVA), Guerin et al.
39 reported a large beneficial effect of prone position on reducing mortality in severe and
40 persistent ARDS with a PaO₂/FiO₂ < 150 mmHg [16]. The prone positioning may have been
41 effective in part because of the beneficial effects on the pulmonary circulation and the right
42 ventricle. Prone position may be an ideal protective approach for improving the function of
43 the RV because it corrects hypoxemia without increasing PEEP and it decreases the PaCO₂
44 and plateau airway pressure by recruiting collapsed lung zones. This result can be contrasted
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 with the “open-lung” approach, as represented by high-frequency oscillation ventilation
2 (HFO), which worsened mortality, with more circulatory failure and a higher vasopressor
3 requirement [17], perhaps reflecting increased RV dysfunction and failure, as shown by
4 Guervilly et al. [7]. In HFO ventilation, airway pressure remains significantly elevated during
5 all the respiratory cycle.
6
7
8
9
10

11 However, some issues remain unclear. Do we need to turn patients to the prone
12 position with ACP, even though $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ is still > 150 mmHg? Could inflammation-driven
13 pulmonary vasoconstriction be a therapeutic target to reduce injury to the pulmonary
14 microcirculation, for example with novel therapeutics such as mesenchymal stromal cells or
15 other anti-inflammatory therapies such as statins [18]? What is the effect of isolated RV
16 dilatation without paradoxical septal motion and is it predictive of imminent ACP/RV failure?
17 How should the level of PEEP be adjusted in individual ARDS patients, providing that the
18 plateau airway pressure is maintained below 27 cmH₂O? Some preliminary data suggest that
19 the effect of PEEP on the pulmonary circulation and RV function depends on the balance
20 between recruitment and overdistension induced by application of PEEP [12]. And finally,
21 would the RV protective approach, as presented in the Figure, have a beneficial survival
22 effect compared to a more conventional approach? Further clinical and experimental studies
23 will be needed to address these questions.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1- Zapol WM, Snider MT (1977) Pulmonary hypertension in severe acute respiratory failure. N Engl J Med 296: 476-80
- 2- Zapol WM, Kobayashi K, Snider MT, Greene R, Laver MB (1977) Vascular obstruction causes pulmonary hypertension in severe acute respiratory failure. Chest 71: 306-7
- 3- West JB, Dollery CT, Naimark A (1964) Distribution of blood flow in isolated lung; relation to vascular and alveolar pressures. J Appl Physiol 19: 713-24
- 4- Vieillard-Baron A, Schmitt JM, Augarde R, Fellahi JL, Prin S, Page B, Beauchet A, Jardin F (2001) Acute cor pulmonale in acute respiratory distress syndrome submitted to protective ventilation: incidence, clinical implications and prognosis. Crit Care Med 29: 1551-5
- 5- Vieillard-Baron A, Charron C, Caille V, Belliard G, Page B, Jardin F (2007) Prone positioning unloads the right ventricle in severe ARDS. Chest 132: 1440-6
- 6- Jardin F, Vieillard-Baron A (2007) Is there a safe plateau pressure in ARDS? The right heart only knows. Intensive Care Med 33: 444-7
- 7- Guervilly C, Forel JM, Hraiech S, Demory D, Allardet-Servent J, Adda M, Barreau-Baumstark K, Castanier M, Papazian L, Roch A (2012) Right ventricular function during high-frequency oscillation ventilation in adults with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 40: 1539-45
- 8- Boissier F, Katsahian S, Razazi K, Thille AW, Roche-Campo F, Leon R, Vivier E, Brochard L, Vieillard-Baron A, Brun-Buisson C, Mekontso Dessap A (2013) Prevalence and prognosis of cor pulmonale during protective ventilation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. Intensive Care Med 2013 May 15 [Epub ahead of print]

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- 9- Ferguson ND, Fan E, Camporota L, Antonelli M, et al. (2012) The Berlin definition of ARDS: an expanded rationale, justification, and supplementary material. *Intensive Care Med* 38 : 1573-82
 - 10- Wort SJ, Ito M, Chou PC, Mc Master SK, et al. (2009) Synergistic induction of endothelin-1 by tumor necrosis factor α and interferon γ is due to enhanced NF-kB binding and histone acetylation at specific kB sites. *J Biol Chem* 284: 24297-24305
 - 11- Lhéritier G, Legras A, Caille A, Lherm T, Mathonnet A, Frat JP, Courte A, Martin-Lefevre L, Gouëlle, JP, Amiel JB, Vignon P (2013) [Epub ahead of print]
 - 12- Mekontso Dessap A, Charron C, Devaquet J, Aboab J, Jardin F, Brochard L, Vieillard-Baron A (2009) Impact of acute hypercapnia and augmented positive end-expiratory pressure on right ventricle function in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Intensive Care Med* 35: 1850-8
 - 13- Balanos GM, Talbot NP, Dorrington KL, Robbins PA (2003) Human pulmonary vascular response to 4 h of hypercapnia and hypocapnia measured using Doppler echocardiography. *J Appl Physiol* 94: 1543-51
 - 14- Nuckton TJ, Alonso JA, Kallet RH, Daniel BM, Pittet JF, Eisner MD, Matthay MA (2002) Pulmonary dead-space fraction as a risk factor for death in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 346: 1281-6
 - 15- Charron C, Bouferrache K, Caille V, Castro S, Aegerter P, Page B, Jardin F, Vieillard-Baron A (2011) Routine prone positioning in patients with severe ARDS: feasibility and impact on prognosis. *Intensive Care Med* 37: 785-90
 - 16- Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, et al. For the PROSEVA study group (2013) Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 368: 2159-68

17- Ferguson ND, Cook DJ, Guyatt GH, et al. for the OSCILLATE trial investigators;

Canadian Critical care Trial Group (2013) High-frequency oscillation in early acute
respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 368: 795-805

18- Matthay MA, Ware LB, Zimmerman G (2012) The acute respiratory distress syndrome. J
Clin Invest 122: 2731-2740

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Legend for the Figure: Proposed approach to preventing acute cor pulmonale and limiting its consequences: a right ventricular protective approach.

RR: respiratory rate; RV right ventricular; HME: heat and moisture exchanger; PP: prone positioning; PEEP: positive end-expiratory pressure

* avoid any intrinsic PEEP

** replace HME by a heated humidifier

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Step 1

Plateau pressure < 27 cmH₂O
Driving pressure < 17 cmH₂O

No

Decrease tidal volume

Step 2

PaCO₂ < 60 mmHg

No

Yes

Increase RR*
Remove HME**

Step 3

Adapt PEEP to RV function
and plateau pressure

RV dysfunction
Decrease PEEP

No RV dysfunction
Increase PEEP but recheck

Step 4

Consider PP at day 2 if PaO₂/FiO₂ < 150 mmHg

