

# Library

# The University of Bradford Institutional Repository

http://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk

This work is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our Policy Document available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this work please visit the publisher's website. Available access to the published online version may require a subscription.

Link to original published version: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2015.06.014

Citation: Davison J, Share M, Hennessy M, Bunting B, Markovinad J and Stewart-Knox B (2015) Correlates of food choice in unemployed young people: The role of demographic factors, selfefficacy, food involvement, food poverty and physical activity. Food Quality and Preference, 46: 40-47.

Copyright statement: © 2015 Elsevier. This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Food Quality and Preference. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Food Quality and Preference, 46: 40-47.



1	Correlates of food choice in unemployed young people: the role of demographic factors,
2	self-efficacy, food involvement, food poverty and physical activity
3	Jenny Davison <sup>1</sup> , Michelle Share <sup>2</sup> , Marita Hennessy <sup>2</sup> , Brendan Bunting <sup>3</sup>
4	Jerko Markovina <sup>4</sup> & *Barbara Stewart-Knox <sup>4</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Queens University Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK
7	<sup>2</sup> Children's Research Centre, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland
8	<sup>3</sup> Psychology Research Institute, Ulster University, Magee, UK
9	<sup>4</sup> Division of Psychology, University of Bradford, West Yorkshire, UK
10	
11	*Corresponding author:
12	Barbara Stewart-Knox, Division of Psychology, University of Bradford, West Yorkshire, UK
13	Email address: <u>b.stewart-knox@bradford.ac.uk</u>
14	
15	Acknowledgements: This material is based upon work conducted as part of an all-island of
16	Ireland study supported by safefood, the Food Safety Promotion Board, under Grant No: 08-
17	2010. Thanks to Jude Whyte who informed recruitment to the study and to the youth service
18	providers and the young people who so generously gave of their time.

#### 20 Abstract

Associations between socio-demographic and psychological factors and food choice patterns 21 were explored in unemployed young people who constitute a vulnerable group at risk of poor 22 23 dietary health. Volunteers (N=168), male (n=97) and female (n=71), aged 15-25 years were recruited through United Kingdom (UK) community-based organisations serving young 24 people not in education training or employment (NEET). Survey questionnaire enquired on 25 26 food poverty, physical activity and measured responses to the Food Involvement Scale (FIS), Food Self-Efficacy Scale (FSS) and a 19-item Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ). A path 27 28 analysis was undertaken to explore associations between age, gender, food poverty, age at leaving school, food self-efficacy (FS-E), food involvement (FI) (kitchen; uninvolved; 29 enjoyment), physical activity and the 4 food choice patterns (junk food; healthy; fast food; 30 31 high fat). FS-E was strong in the model and increased with age. FS-E was positively 32 associated with more frequent choice of healthy food and less frequent junk or high fat food (having controlled for age, gender and age at leaving school). FI (kitchen and enjoyment) 33 34 increased with age. Higher FI (kitchen) was associated with less frequent junk food and fast food choice. Being uninvolved with food was associated with more frequent fast food choice. 35 Those who left school after the age of 16 years reported more frequent physical activity. Of 36 the indirect effects, younger individuals had lower FI (kitchen) which led to frequent junk 37 38 and fast food choice. Females who were older had higher FI (enjoyment) which led to less 39 frequent fast food choice. Those who had left school before the age of 16 had low food involvement (uninvolved) which led to frequent junk food choice. Multiple indices implied 40 that data were a good fit to the model which indicated a need to enhance food self-efficacy 41 42 and encourage food involvement in order to improve dietary health among these disadvantaged young people. 43

- **Key words:** Young people; NEET; Survey; Path Analysis; Food Choice; FFQ; Self-Efficacy;
- 45 Food Involvement; Food Poverty.

47

# 1. Introduction

A substantial proportion (9.7%) of young Europeans aged 15-24 years, are currently 48 unemployed (EU Labour Force Survey, 2-12). Although education is mandatory up to the age 49 of sixteen years, the number of young people in the United Kingdom who leave mainstream 50 51 education at the age of 16 years and who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) is increasing (Institute for Public Policy Research, 2010) with as many as 13% of 16-52 19 year olds in Northern Ireland (NI) unemployed (Department of Employment & Learning, 53 54 2010; Bennett, 2010; Monteith, Lloyd & McKee, 2008). Most research directed toward understanding young people's food choices has been conducted within the school 55 environment (Shepherd Harden, Rees et al., 2006). Unemployed young people, however, 56 constitute a vulnerable group who have been under-researched and who may be especially at 57 risk of adverse health behaviour and outcomes (McCoy, Kelly & Watson, 2007) and who 58 may be especially at risk of inadequate diet (Ball, MacFarland, Crawford et al., 2009). 59 Previous research has suggested that young people have a tendency toward consumption of 60 61 'junk' (energy dense/low nutrient) food (Share & Stewart-Knox, 2012; Fraser, Edwards, 62 Cade et al., 2011a; Fraser, Clarke, Cade et al., 2011b; Kerr, Rennie, McCaffrey et al., 2009; Larson, Neumark-Sztainer, Story et al., 2008) which increases through adolescence (Larson et 63 al., 2008) and is associated with socio-economic deprivation (Fraser et al., 2011a). 64

Young people's food choices are multifactorally determined (Story, Neumark-Sztainer & French, 2002) and include socio-demographic factors (Share & Stewart-Knox, 2012; Shepherd et al., 2006) and physical activity, which declines during the transition from adolescence to adulthood (Pearson & Biddle, 2011; de Vet, de Ridder & de Wit, 2011). Qualitative studies of disadvantaged young people aged 15-24 years in Australia (Crawford et al., 2014; Booth, 2006) and the UK (Davison, Share, Hennessy et al., 2014) have also indicated that such young people experience a considerable degree of food insecurity and that

this is at least in part, a result of structural barriers to the access of healthy food (Davison et al., 2014; Crawford et al., 2014).

Psychological factors are also likely to determine food choice in young people. Self-74 efficacy, a key psychological construct in Social Cognitive Theory, is defined as an 75 individual's perceived ability to achieve a desired outcome (Bandura, 1995). Self-efficacy 76 has been found to be associated with eating behaviour among young people residing in 77 Ireland (Fitzgerald, Heary, Kelly et al., 2013), the UK (Lubans, Plotnikoff, Morgan et al., 78 2012), the USA (Franko, Cousineau, Rodgers et al., 2013; Kinnard & Webster, 2012; 79 80 Granner & Evans, 2012; Bruening, Kubik, Kenyon et al., 2010) and Australia (Pearson, Ball & Crawford, 2012; Pearson, Ball & Crawford, 2011). Food involvement, defined as 'the level 81 of importance of food in a person's life' (Bell & Marshall, 2003 pp236) appears lacking 82 83 among young people (Watt & Sheiham, 1996) and has been found to be associated with healthier eating in adolescents in the USA (Laska, Larson, Neumark-Sztainer et al., 2012; 84 Larson, Perry, Story et al., 2006). UK research has suggested that educational attainment is 85 86 associated with food involvement particularly that which is concerned with food purchase, preparation and choice (Bell and Marshall, 2013). There is also evidence that this impacts 87 upon food choice. Women who have spent less time in education report lower food 88 involvement and less fruit and vegetable consumption (Jarman, Lawrence, Ntani et al., 2012). 89

There appear to be no previously published surveys of unemployed young people that have considered food choice. Those surveys which exist have focussed upon nutrient intake (Mark, Lambert, O'Loughlin et al., 2012) or acquisition of food through welfare agencies (Booth, 2006) rather than food choice *per se*. Given that both the prior qualitative research (Davison et al., 2014; Share et al., 2013) and previous studies have indicated that young peoples' food choices are associated with physical activity (Pearson & Biddle, 2011; de Vet; de Ridder & de Wit, (2011), food poverty (Crawford et al., 2014; Mark et al., 2012; Booth,

97 2006), self-efficacy (Fitzgerald et al., 2013; Franko et al., 2013; Lubans et al., 2012; Kinnard & Webster, 2012; Granner & Evans, 2012; Pearson et al., 2012; Bruening et al., 2010) and 98 food involvement (Bell and Marshall, 2013; Laska et al., 2012; Jarman et al., 2012; Larson et 99 100 al., 2006), items reflecting these issues have been included in the questionnaire. The aim of this study has been to determine intervention needs among young people not in education, 101 102 employment or training, a purpose that has also informed selection of items and constructs for inclusion. No previous studies appear to have considered the above factors together as 103 potential correlates of dietary habits in young people. This survey, therefore, sought to 104 105 understand the degree to which self-efficacy, food involvement, physical activity, age at leaving school and food poverty were associated with food choice patterns in this important, 106 107 disadvantaged, under-researched group of young people. It is anticipated that the results will 108 inform policy toward addressing dietary health related inequality among these young people.

109

#### 110 **2. Method**

#### 111

The study was of a cross-sectional, self-complete survey design.

# 112 2.1. Sampling

113 The study took place in Northern Ireland (UK). Community-based organisations with 114 a remit to enable young people to get into education or employment or training were 115 considered eligible to participate. Initial contact with youth and training service providers 116 was via telephone. Of the thirteen organisations contacted, all agreed to participate. All young 117 people attending each centre on the day of data collection freely volunteered to take part and 118 give of their time to complete the questionnaire. There were no refusals.

#### 120 2.2. Questionnaire

121 Self-administered questionnaire was used to record demographic characteristics, food 122 choices, physical activity, food poverty, food involvement and self-efficacy. Questionnaire 123 content was informed by prior qualitative studies (Share et al., 2013) and review of the 124 literature on food issues in young people.

125

#### 126 *2.3. Procedure*

Ethical approval was granted by the University Research Ethical Committee. The 127 survey was piloted on a sub-sample (n=12). There appeared to be confusion over the 128 129 meaning of the response option 'somewhat confident' in the self-efficacy scale. The wording of the item, therefore, was changed to 'a little confident'. Data collection took place in youth 130 service provider organisations located in greater Belfast and Counties Armagh, Antrim and 131 Londonderry during November and December 2011. Informed consent was obtained from 132 the young people prior to completion of the questionnaire. Parental consent was not required 133 134 given nearly half (47%) were living independently and all were over the age of consent which is 16 years in the UK. In the interests of confidentially, no names were recorded. The 135 questionnaire was completed in groups of five to six in a quiet room within the premises of 136 137 the respective organisation. Prior to completion of the questionnaire, the researcher read aloud an information sheet, detailing the questions and explaining the response formats to 138 each scale. The questionnaire took 15 to 20 minutes to complete. 139

140

# 141 2.4. Data Analysis

## 142 2.4.1. Preliminary Analyses

Exploratory factor analysis was conducted on the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), Food Involvement Scale (FIS) and Food Self Efficacy Scale (FSES) using the statistical software package SPSS (version 19). Maximum likelihood estimation was used along with a promax factor rotation. The Bartlett method was used for the factor scores as this procedure produces unbiased estimates of the true scores (Hershberger, 2005).

148

149

# 2.4.1.1. Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ)

The 19-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) previously employed in the WHO 150 Health Behaviour of School Children (HBSC) Survey (Nic Gabhainn, Kelly & Molcho, 151 152 2007) was used to assess the frequency consumption of a variety of food: fruit; vegetables; sweets; cheese; other dairy (yoghurt); crisps; chips/fried potatoes; white bread; wholemeal 153 bread; cake; biscuits; burgers/sausages; fish; and drinks: low fat milk; whole milk; cola 154 155 and/or other sugar sweetened soft drinks; diet soft drinks; stimulant/energy drinks; and, alcohol. Respondents were asked to indicate 'How many days per week do you usually eat or 156 157 drink ...', on a 0-6-point Likert scale ranging from: never; less than once a week; once a week; 2 to 4 days per week; 5 to 6 days per week; once a day, every day; and every day, more 158 than once. 159

Exploratory factor analysis was conducted on the Food Frequency Questionnaire to 160 determine food choice patterns (Table 1). A maximum likelihood extraction method was 161 employed with a promax rotation solution which identified 4 food choice factors on the 162 criterion of having an eigenvalue greater than 1 (Table 2). Items with a loading magnitude 163 164 greater than or equal to 0.40 (Table 1): 1) 'junk food'; 2) (5 items - sweets, cola, crisps, chips/fried potatoes, biscuits and white bread); 3) 'healthy food' (4 items - fruit, vegetables, 165 wholemeal bread and fish); 4) 'fast food' (2 items - energy drinks/burgers/sausages); 5) 'high 166 fat food' (2 items - cake, burgers/sausages); 6). Yogurt and whole milk each loaded onto 167

168 factors in which they were the only items, therefore, were excluded from further analysis. 169 The item burgers/sausages loaded onto both the 'fast food' and 'high fat' factors. This item 170 was retained within both factors given that burgers/sausages are sold via fast food outlets and 171 contain high amounts of fat.

172

- 173 Insert Tables 1 and 2
- 174
- 175 2.4.1.2. Food Involvement Scale

Attitudes to food, eating and cooking were assessed using the 12-item Food 176 Involvement Scale (Bell & Marshall, 2013). Respondents were asked to indicate on a 5-point 177 Likert scale ranging from strongly agree (5) to strongly disagree (1) responses to the 178 179 statements: I don't think much about food each day; cooking or barbequing is not much fun; Talking about what I ate or am going to eat is something I like to do; Compared with other 180 daily decisions my dietary habits are not very important; When I travel one of the things I 181 anticipate most is eating the food there; I do most or all of the clean up after eating; I enjoy 182 cooking for others and myself; When I eat out I don't think or talk much about how the food 183 tastes; I do not like to mix or chop food; I do most or all of my own food shopping; I do not 184 wash dishes or clean the table; I care whether or not a table is nicely set. 185

Exploratory factor analysis using maximum likelihood extraction method with a promax rotation solution was employed on the Food Involvement Scale (Table 3). Factor analysis confirmed a three-factor solution with factor scores greater than or equal to 0.40 eigenvalues: 'kitchen' (2 items - I do most or all of the clean up after eating; and, I do not wash dishes or clean the table); 'uninvolved' (3 items - I don't think much about food each day; compared with other daily decisions, my food choice habits are not very important; and,

192	when I eat out, I don't think or talk much about how the food tastes. 'enjoyment' (3 items -
193	talking about what I ate or am going to eat is something I like to do; when I travel one of the
194	things I anticipate most is eating the food there; and, I enjoy cooking for others and myself.
195	
196	Insert Table 3
197	
198	2.4.1. 3. Food Self-Efficacy Scale
199	The 6-item Food Self-Efficacy Scale (Ball et al., 2009) was used to assess self-
200	efficacy on a 4-point scale: not at all confident (1); a little confident (2); confident (3); and,
201	very confident (4) in their ability to reduce junk food and increase fruit consumption across
202	three different situations: when hanging out with friends; when at training/work; and, when at
203	home (Table 4).
204	This was entered using a latent variable technique to test a 1-factor model which was
205	taken as a good description of the model.
206	
207	Insert Table 4
208	
209	2.4.1.4. Physical Activity
210	The short-form International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) (The IPAQ
211	Group, 2001) was employed to measure physical activity: 'during the last 7 days, how many
212	days did you engage in: moderate-intensity activities; vigorous-intensity activities; and

213 walking?' Although the IPAQ has been shown to have acceptable levels of validity and

reliability for a self-reported measure, it tends to overestimate physical activity in comparison
to objective measures (Lee et al., 2011). Owing to a relative lack of vigorous-intensity
activity in our sample, only moderate-intensity activity was entered into the analysis.

217

### 218 *2.4.1.5. Food Poverty*

A single measure was derived from the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Survey (HBSC) (Nic Gabhainn, Kelly & Molcho, 2007) to enquire on food poverty: 'Some young people go to school/work/training or to bed hungry because there is not enough food at home. How often does this happen to you?' Responses were 'how often (always, often, sometimes or never) they went (during the day and/or to bed) hungry because there was not enough food at home?' This item has been shown to have face and content validity for use with children (Niclasen, Molcho, Arnfjord et al., 2013).

226

#### 227 2.4.2. Path Analysis

A path analysis was then conducted using the Mplus Ver. 7.11 (Muthen & Muthen © 228 1998-13) to explore relationships between: age (continuous – mean centred at zero); gender 229 (dichotomous); age left school (pre/post 16 years old) (dichotomous); food poverty 230 (continuous); self-efficacy (continuous); food involvement (kitchen; uninvolved; enjoyment); 231 physical activity (continuous); and, frequency of food consumption patterns (junk food; 232 healthy food; fast food; and, high fat food). The robust maximum likelihood (MLR) was 233 234 used to estimate model weights. Goodness-of-fit was assessed using the chi-Square test of model fit, the comparative fit index (CFI) and the root mean square error of approximation 235 236 (RMSEA) and the standardised root mean square residual (SRMR) which takes into account 237 the degrees of freedom of the model. A CFI greater than 0.95 (Hu & Bentler, 1999), a SRMR

of below 0.05 and an RMSEA of less than 0.08 (Browne & Cudeck, 1993) are consideredacceptable.

240

**3. Results** 

# 242 3.1. Sample Characteristics

A total of 168 young people, 97 male and 71 female, aged between 15 and 25 years 243 (mean age = 18.39 years; standard deviation = 2.756) completed the survey. Despite 244 education being mandatory until the age of 16 years, more than a third of the sample 35% 245 (n=59) left school before the age of 16 years. At the time of data collection 23% (n=40) were 246 living independently, 7.1% (n=12) were living with their children and 16.1% (n=27) lived in 247 a care-home or hostel. More than half (56%) reported that they 'never' went to 248 school/work/training or to bed hungry because there is not enough food at home', nearly one 249 third (31%) reported 'sometimes', 8% reported 'often' and 5% 'always'. Nearly two-thirds 250 251 (60%) reported engaging in moderate-intensity physical activity on at least one of the 252 previous seven days.

253

### 254 3.2. Correlates of Food Choice Patterns

The path analysis was based on the model shown in figure 1. Gender (dichotomous); age (continuous); leaving school (dichotomous - before or after age 16 yrs); and, food poverty were treated as contextual, exogenous fixed variables which were entered into the model first. Food involvement (low involvement; kitchen; enjoyment) and physical activity (continuous) were entered as intervening variables. Food self-efficacy (continuous) was entered as a latent variable. The outcome variables were food choice patterns (junk food; fast food; healthy; high fat). From the fit indices (CFI = 0.95; TLI = 0.91; RMSEA = 0:05; SRMR = 0.05) it was concluded that there was little discrepancy between the original data and the model and that the model (N=166) fitted these data well. The *chi-Square* test of model fit, which was used to compare raw data with re-computed data, indicated that they were a reasonable description of these data ( $X^2$ =119.20, *df*=80, p=0.003). Food self-efficacy was particularly strong in the model (Table 5).

267

# 268 Insert Table 5

269

# 270 *3.2.1. Food Self-Efficacy and Food Choice Pattern*

Food self-efficacy was age-dependent such that for every year above the sample average age (set at zero) there was a significant increase in self-efficacy (Figure 1). There were no direct associations between gender and having left school before or after 16 years, food poverty or food self-efficacy (FSE).

Those higher on food self-efficacy reported consuming the junk food or high fat food choice pattern less frequently and the healthy pattern more frequently, even when controlling for the exogenous measures in the analysis. Food self-efficacy was not associated with the fast food choice pattern (Table 6).

279

```
280 Insert Table 6
```

281

282 3.2.2. Food Involvement and Food Choice Pattern

Food involvement (kitchen and enjoyment) increased with age. Those scoring higher on food involvement (kitchen) reported less frequent choice of the junk food pattern (Table 6). Those higher on food involvement (enjoyment) also reported less frequent choice of the fast food choice pattern. Those who scored higher on food involvement (kitchen) reported more frequent choice of the fast food pattern. Higher scores on food involvement (uninvolved) were associated with more frequent choice of the fast food pattern.

There were no associations between food involvement (low involvement or enjoyment) and choice of the high fat or healthy food choice pattern or between food involvement (kitchen) and the high fat food choice pattern (Figure 1).

292

# 293 Insert figure 1 here

294

# 295 3.2.3. Physical Activity and Food Choice Pattern

Having left school after the age of 16 years was associated with more frequent physical activity (Table 6). Frequency of physical activity was not associated with food-selfefficacy, food involvement or any of the food choice frequency patterns (Figure 1).

299

#### 300 *3.2.4. Indirect Effects on Food Choice Pattern*

301 *3.2.4.1. Gender* 

The total (standardised) effect of gender was associated with the fast food choice pattern via food involvement (enjoyment) (Est/SE = -1.081; P = 0.037). Females were higher in food involvement (enjoyment) which led to less frequent fast food choice (Figure 1).

305

306 *3.2.4.2. Age* 

307 For every year younger than the mean age there was an effect of -0.604 on junk food 308 choice. Being younger led to more frequent choice of the junk food via lower food involvement (kitchen) (Est/SE = -0.933; P = 0.018). Those who were younger had lower kitchen involvement which led to more frequent junk food choice (Figure 1)

Being younger was associated with more frequent fast food choice (Est/SE = -0.298; P = 0.001). This effect was via food involvement (kitchen) (Est/SE = 0.300; P = 0.025). Those who were younger had lower food involvement (kitchen) which led to more frequent fast food choice (Figure 1)

Being younger was associated with more frequent fast food choice via food involvement enjoyment (Est/SE = -0.582; P = 0.001). Those who were younger had lower food involvement (enjoyment) which led to more frequent fast food choice (Figure 1).

318

# 319 3.2.4.3. Left School Before/After Age 16 Years

Having left school before the age of 16 years was associated with the more frequent junk food choice pattern (Est/SE = -3.275; P = 0.010). This effect was via food involvement (kitchen) (Est/SE = -4.145; P = 0.053) such that those who had left school before the age of here less involved in the kitchen which led to more frequent junk food choice (Figure 1).

324

# 325 **4. Discussion**

This analysis sought to determine the degree to which socio-demographic factors, food poverty, physical activity, food involvement and food self-efficacy were associated with food choice patterns in this unemployed group of young people. The frequency with which food was chosen aligned with four patterns (healthy; junk food; fast food; and, high fat). As suggested by previous research conducted on Irish (Fitzgerald et al., 2013; Share & Stewart-Knox, 2012; Kerr et al., 2009) and other young people (Fraser et al., 2011b; Larson et al., 2008), a substantial proportion frequently consumed 'junk food' or 'fast food'.

As indicated by previous (school based) studies of the young conducted in Ireland 333 (Fitzgerald et al., 2013), Australia (Lubans et al., 2012; Pearson, Ball & Crawford, 2012) and 334 the USA (Kinard & Webster, 2012; Granner & Evans, 2012; Bruening et al., 2010) self-335 336 efficacy was an important correlate of food choice patterns among this group of young people. The food self-efficacy scale showed high reliability and was very strong in the path 337 model implying we can have confidence in the measure. Higher food self-efficacy was 338 339 associated with the healthy food choice pattern and lower self-efficacy with the junk food and high fat food choice patterns, even when controlling for age, gender and whether left school 340 341 before or after the age of 16 years. Food self-efficacy, however, was age-dependent. For every year above the sample average age (set at zero) there was a significant increase in self-342 efficacy. Together, these data and previous studies emphasise the need for intervention to 343 344 encourage food-related self-efficacy in young people, particularly those who are younger and 345 those who leave mainstream education prior to or at the age of 16 years. That having low self-efficacy was associated with more frequent junk and high fat food choices corroborates 346 347 the growing body of research which suggests that self-efficacy may hold the key to compliance with healthy eating recommendations among the young (Fitzgerald et al., 2013; 348 349 Pearson, Ball & Crawford, 2012; Lubans et al., 2012; Kinard & Webster, 2012; Granner & Evans, 2012; Bruening et al., 2010). 350

Food involvement (kitchen and enjoyment) increased with age implying that dietary health promotion initiatives should be targeted at younger school leavers. Consistent with previous research (Laska et al., 2012; Larson et al., 2006) suggesting that involvement with food is associated with better dietary quality, those scoring lower on food involvement (kitchen) reported more frequent choice of junk food. Also as expected was that being 'uninvolved' with food was associated with more frequent consumption of the fast food choice pattern. The finding that those higher on food involvement (kitchen) chose the fast

food pattern more frequently, however, was unexpected. A possible explanation is that those who were more involved in kitchen-related, for example, cleaning up activities, sought to avoid them by acquiring fast food. Intervention to reduce fast food consumption, therefore, should seek to engage young people with food that is quick and easy to prepare and which requires minimal cleaning up. That being high on food 'enjoyment' was associated with less frequent fast food choice and indicates that intervention to reduce fast food choice in this group should portray healthy eating as a fun activity.

There were some indirect pathways exogenous variables (age; gender; left school 365 366 pre/post age 16 yrs; food poverty - going hungry) via the intervening variables (food involvement (FI) (kitchen; uninvolved; enjoyment), physical activity and the latent factor of 367 food self-efficacy (FS-E) on the food choice patterns (junk; fast food; healthy; high fat) 368 369 (outcome measures). Although females tended to score high on food involvement enjoyment, 370 and chose fast food less frequently, if younger, higher food involvement (enjoyment) led to more frequent fast food choice. This suggests that food involvement, particularly those 371 372 aspects surrounding enjoyment of the eating experience interact with food choice differently between males and females depending upon age. That high 'enjoyment' may drive choice of 373 374 fast foods in younger females implies a need for healthy fast-food options to be made available to this group. 375

Age was an important indirect determinant of food choice. Being younger was also associated with lower food involvement (kitchen) which led to more frequent choice of the junk food. Those who were younger scored lower on the 'enjoyment' food involvement factor which led to more frequent fast food choice. Together, these findings imply that dietary health promotion efforts to be most effective should target the youngest school leavers and promote healthy foods that are enjoyable to eat and that are quick and easy to prepare. At the

same time efforts should be directed toward enhancing the enjoyment associated with foodand increasing involvement in the kitchen.

Despite education being mandatory until the age of 16 years, more than a third of the 384 385 sample reported having left school prior to this age. Those who had left school before the age of 16 may be especially prone to making less healthy food choices. According to the findings 386 of this study, they were uninvolved with food which led to frequent junk food choice. In 387 testament to the economically deprived circumstances in which these young people existed, 388 more than a third reported going to bed hungry 'often' or 'always'. Contrary to research 389 390 conducted in Australia (Ball et al., 2009) and in Canada (Mark et al., 2012) suggesting that food poverty is associated with less healthy food choices, food poverty was not associated 391 either directly or indirectly with any of the food choice patterns among our sample. This 392 393 apparent disparity may reflect differences in how different studies have assessed food poverty and/or food intake. 394

Previous reviews of studies of food and health in adolescents and young people (de 395 396 Vet, de Ridder & de Wit, 2011) have concluded that whereas greater physical activity is 397 positively related to dietary healthfulness, sedentary behaviour tends to be associated with lower consumption of fruit and vegetables and higher consumption of energy dense food and 398 drink. It was unexpected, therefore, to find that the frequency with which physical activity 399 was undertaken was not associated with food-self-efficacy, food involvement or indeed any 400 of the food choice frequency patterns in these young people. Physical activity was less 401 frequent among those who had left school before the age of 16 years which agrees with 402 403 previous research indicating that school leavers who do not enter tertiary education are less likely to engage in physical activity than those who continue in full-time education (Simons, 404 405 Rosenburg, Salmon et al. 2015).

406 Conclusions should also take into account the bias inherent in self-reported survey (Brener, Billy & Grady, 2002; Tourangeau, Rips & Rasinski, 2001; Stone et al, 2000). That 407 data were gathered by cross-sectional survey and analysed using correlational techniques 408 409 renders it difficult to conclude as to causality. The use of single item measures of food poverty and physical activity could be considered crude (Loo, 2002; Bowling, 2005), 410 although both have been used as valid constructs in the HBSC 43-Country Study of Child 411 Health Behaviours, which has been ongoing for the last three decades (Nic Gabhainn et al., 412 2007). Given that the target group were young people with low levels of educational 413 414 attainment, there was an imperative to keep questionnaire straightforward and its length to a minimum. Further limitations relate to inaccuracies inherent in food choice assessment 415 416 (MacDiarmid & Blundell, 1998). The food frequency questionnaire employed could not 417 indicate quantity and that it showed only moderate reliability could imply that it may not 418 have fully accounted for food choices in this group. It is also possible that the degree to which the findings can be generalised to other unemployed youth could be limited by the 419 420 relatively small sample size. Comparable studies which have looked at correlates of food intake in similarly aged unemployed youth conducted in the USA (Bruening et al., 2010) and 421 Australia (Booth, 2006), however, have employed smaller samples and produced similar 422 findings. 423

Unlike previous research into food choice in young people (Shepherd et al., 2006) this study has been conducted in the community rather than in schools and has considered an under-researched group of young people who are not in the mainstream education system. These data imply that policies are needed to promote healthy eating among those who leave school prior to the age of 16 and seek to encourage food self-efficacy and food involvement.

429

#### 430 Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work conducted as part of an all-island of Ireland study supported by *safe*food, the Food Safety Promotion Board, under Grant No: 08-2010. Thanks to Jude Whyte who informed recruitment to the study and to the youth service providers and the young people who so generously gave of their time.

```
435
```

# 436 **References**

- 437 Ball, K., MacFarlane, D., Crawford, D., Savige, G., Andrianopoulos, N., & Worsley, A.
- 438 (2009). Can social cognitive theory constructs explain socio-economic variations in
  439 adolescent eating behaviours? A mediation analysis. *Health Education Research*, 24,
  440 496-506.
- Bandura, A. (1995). *Self-Efficacy in Changing Societies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University
  Press.
- Bell, R., & Marshall, D.W. (2003). The construct of food involvement in behavioural
  research: scale development and validation. *Appetite*, 40, 235-244.
- Bennett, J. (2010). *Youth Unemployment in Northern Ireland*. Economic Research Institute of
  Northern Ireland. Belfast: ERNI.
- Booth, S. (2006). Eating rough: food sources and acquisition practices used by homeless
- 448 youth in Adelaide, South Australia. *Public Health Nutrition*, 9, 212-218.Bowling, A.
- 449 (2005). Just one question: If one question works, why ask several? *Journal of*450 *Epidemiology & Community Health*, 59: 342-345.

451	Brener, N.D., Billy, J.O.G., & Grady, W. R. (2002). Assessment of factors affecting the
452	validity of self-reported health-risk behaviour among adolescents: Evidence from the
453	scientific literature. Journal of Adolescent Health, 33, 436-457.

454 Browne, M.W., & Cudeck, R. (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In K.A. Bollen

455 & J.S. Lpng (Eds.). Testing structural equation models (pp. 137–162). Newbury Park,
456 CA: SAGE Publications.

- Bruening, M., Kubik, M., Kenyon, D., Davey, C., & Story, M. (2010). Perceived barriers
  mediate the association between self-efficacy and fruit and vegetable consumption
  among students attending alternative high schools. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 110, 1542-1546.
- 461 Crawford, B., Yamazaki, R., Franke, E., Amanatidis, S., Ravulo, J., Steinbeck, K., Ritchie,
  462 J., & Torvaldsen, S. (2014). Sustaining dignity? Food insecurity in homeless young
  463 people in urban Australia. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, 25, 71-78.
- 464 Davison, J., Share, M., Hennessy, M., & Stewart-Knox, B. (2014). Caught in a 'spiral':
  465 barriers to healthy eating and dietary health promotion needs from the perspective of
  466 unemployed young people and their service providers. *Appetite*. 85, 146-154.
- 467 EU Labour Force Survey. (2012). Eurostat. Youth Employment Statistics Explained.
   468 <u>Http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics\_explained/index.php?title=youth\_unemploy</u>
   469 ment. Accessed 28/10/13.
- 470 Department of Education Northern Ireland (DENI). (2011). Facts & Figures Issues and
- 471 *Outcomes for Young People in the North of Ireland.* Appendix 1.
- 472 http://www.deni.gov.uk/appendix\_1\_-facts\_and\_figures\_-
- 473 \_\_issues\_and\_outcomes\_of\_young\_people\_pdf\_127kb

474	Department of Employment & Learning (DEL). (2010). A Scoping Study of those Young
475	People Not in Education, Employment or Training in Northern Ireland.
476	http://www.delni.gov.uk/scoping-study-young-people-not-in-education-employment-
477	or-training-neet.pdf

- Fitzgerald, A., Heary, C., Kelly, C., Nixon, E., & Shelvin, M. (2013). Self-efficacy for
  healthy eating and peer support for unhealthy eating are associated with adolescent's
  food intake patterns. *Appetite*, 63, 48-58.
- 481 Franko, D., Cousineau, T.M., Rodgers, R.F., Roehrig, J.P., & Hoffman, J.A. (2013). Social482 cognitive correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in minority and non-minority
  483 youth. *Journal of Nutrition Education & Behavior*, 45, 96-101.
- 484 Fraser, L.K., Clarke, G.P., Cade, J.E., & Edwards, K.L. (2011a). Fast food and obesity. A
  485 spatial analysis in a large United Kingdom population of children aged 13-15.
  486 *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*, 42, E77-E85.
- Fraser, L.K., Edwards, K.L., Cade, J.E., & Clarke, G.P. (2011b). Fast food, other dietary
  habits and body mass index in teenagers in the United Kingdom (ALSPAC): a
  structural equation modelling approach. *International Journal of Obesity*, 35, 13251330.
- Granner, M.L., & Evans, A.E. (2012). Measurement properties of psychosocial and
  environmental measures associate with fruit and vegetable intake among middle
  school adolescents. *Journal of Nutrition Education & Behavior*, 44, 2-11.
- 494 Institute for Public Policy Research. (2010). *Youth Tracker Issue 4*. London: IPPR.

495	Hershberger,	S. L	. (2005).	Factor	scores.	In	В.	S.	Everitt	and	D.	C.	Howell	(Eds.)
496	Encycle	opedi	ia of Stat	istics in	Behavi	oral	Sci	ienc	e. (pp.	636-0	544)	. Ne	w York	: John
497	Wiley.													

- Hu, L., & Bentler, P.M. (1999) Cut off criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis:
  conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6, 1–55.
- Jarman, M., Lawrence, W., Nyani, G., Tinati, T., Pease, A., Black, C., Baird, J., & Barker, M.
  (2012). Low levels of food involvement and negative affect reduce the quality of diet
  in women of low educational attainment. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*,
  25, 444-452.
- Kerr, M.A., Rennie, K.L., McCaffrey, T.A., Wallace, J.M.W., Hannon-Fletcher, M.P., &
  Livingstone, M.B.E. (2009). Snacking patterns among adolescents: a comparison of
  type, frequency and portion size between Britain in 1997 and Northern Ireland in
  2005. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 101, 122-131.
- Kinard, B.R., & Webster, C. (2012). Factors influencing unhealthy eating behaviour in US
  adolescents. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 36, 23-29.
- Larson, N., Neumark-Sztainer, D.R., Story, M.T., Wall, M.M., Harnack, L.J., & Eisenberg,
  M.E. (2008) Fast food intake: longitudinal trends during the transition to young
  adulthood and correlates of intake. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 43, 79-86.
- Larson, N., Perry, C.L., Story, M.T., & Neumark-Szstainer, D. (2006). Food preparation by
  young adults is associated with better dietary quality. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 106, 2001-2007.
- Laska, M.N., Larson, N.I., Neumark-Sztainer, D., & Story, M. (2012). Does involvement in
- 517 food preparation track for adolescence into young adulthood and is it associated with better

518 dietary quality? Findings from a 10-year longitudinal study. *Public Health Nutrition*, 15,
519 1050-1158.

520	Lee, P.H., Macfarlane, D.J., Lam, T.H., & Stewart, S.M. (2011). Validity of the international
521	physical activity questionnaire short form (IPAQ-SF): A systematic review:
522	International Journal of Behavioural Nutrition and Physical Activity, 8, 115.
523	Loo, R. (2002). A caveat on using single-item versus multiple-item scales. Journal of
524	Managerial Psychology, 17, 68–75.
525	Lubans, D.R., Plotnikoff, R.C., Morgan, P.J., Dewar, D., Costigan, S., & Collins, C.E.
526	(2012). Explaining dietary intake in adolescent girls from unemployed secondary
527	schools. A test of Social Cognitive Theory. Appetite, 58, 517-524.
528	Mark, S., Lambert, M., O'Loughlin, J., & Gray-Donald, K. (2012). Household income, food
529	insecurity and nutrition in Canadian youth. Canadian Journal of Public Health, 103,
530	94-99.
531	MacDiarmid, J., & Blundell, J. (1998). Assessing dietary intake: who, what and why of

- 531 MacDiarmid, J., & Blundell, J. (1998). Assessing dietary intake: who, what and why of
   532 under-reporting. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 11, 231-253.
- 533 McCoy, S., Kelly, E., & Watson, D. (2007). *School Leavers' Survey Report 2006*. Dublin:
  534 ESRI and Department of Education and Science.
- Monteith, M., Lloyd, K., & McKee, P. (2008). *Persistent Child Poverty in Northern Ireland*.
  Belfast: Queens University Belfast and Save the Children.
- Nic Gabhainn, S.N., Kelly, C., & Molcho, M. (2007). *The Irish Health Behaviour in School- Aged Children (HBSC) Study 2006.* Galway Health Promotion Research Centre:
   National University of Ireland, Galway.

- Niclasen, B., Molcho, M., Arnfjord, S., & Schnohr, C. (2013). Conceptualizing and
  contextualizing food insecurity among Greenlandic children. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, 72, DOI: 10.3402/ijch.v721i0.19928.
- 543 Pearson, N., Ball, K., & Crawford, D., (2011). Correlates of changes in adolescents'
  544 consumption of fruits, vegetables and energy dense snacks. *British Journal of*545 *Nutrition*, 105, 795-803.
- Pearson, N., Ball, K., & Crawford, D. (2012). Parental influences on adolescent fruit
  consumption: the role of adolescent self-efficacy. *Health Education Research*, 27, 1423.
- 549 Pearson, N., & Biddle, S.J.H. (2011). Sedentary behaviour and dietary intake in children,
  550 adolescents and adults: a systematic review. *American Journal of Preventative*551 *Medicine*, 41, 178-188.
- Share, M., Hennessy, M., Stewart-Knox, B., & Davison, J. (2013). Early School Leavers and
   *Nutrition: A Needs Assessment from a Nutrition Perspective*. Dublin: safefood The
   Food Safety Promotion Board.
- Share, M., & Stewart-Knox, B. (2012). Determinants of dietary habits in Irish adolescents. *Food Quality & Preference*, 25, 57-62.

Shepherd, J., Harden, A., Rees, R., Brunton, G., Garcia, J., Oliver, S., et al. (2006). Young
people and healthy eating: a systematic review of research on barriers and facilitators. *Health Education Research*, 21, 239-257.Simons, D., Rosenberg, M., Salmon, J.,
Knuiman, M., Granich, J., Deforche, B., Timperio, A (2015) Psychosocial moderators

- of associations between life events and changes in physical activity after leaving high
- school. *Preventive Medicine*, 72, 30-33.

563	Stone, A., Turkkan, J., Bachrach, C., Jobe, J.B., Kurtzman, H.S., & Cain, V.S. (2000). The
564	science of self-report: Implications for research and practice. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence
565	Erlbaum Associates.

- Story, M., Neumark-Sztainer, D., & French, S. (2002). Individual and environmental
  influences on adolescent eating behaviours. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 102, 40-51. Tourangeau R., Rips, L.J., & Rasinski, K. (2001). *The Psychology of Survey Response*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- 570 The IPAQ Group. (2001). International Physical Activity Questionnaire: Short last 7 days
  571 self- administered format for use with young and middle- aged adults. from
  572 https://sites.google.com/site/theipaq/home.
- de Vet, E., de Ridder, D.T.D., & de Wit, J.B.F. (2011). Environmental correlates of physical
  activity and dietary behaviours among young people: a systematic review of reviews. *Obesity Reviews*, 12, e130-e142.
- 576 Watt, R.G., & Sheiham, A. (1996). Dietary patterns and changes in inner city adolescents.
- 577 *Journal of Human Nutrition & Dietetics*, 9, 451-461.