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Derivation of Hybrid Multilevel Voltage Source Converter Topologies

Abstract—Multilevel converters have gained popularity in both medium voltage and low voltage applications. To find out the connections between various multilevel voltage source converter topologies and to reveal how to obtain new topologies, this paper has presented four methods to derive multilevel converter topologies. Many existing topologies as well as new topologies can be derived with the methods presented in this paper. The fundamental characteristics of the multilevel converters which determine their usability such as dc-link neutral point voltage balancing and flying capacitor voltage control are also investigated in the paper with a mathematical model and an example. It is expected that more new topologies will be invented based on the work in the paper for emerging applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Multilevel converters have superior characteristics over the standard two-level converter such as reduced output harmonics, lower dv/dt and switching loss. They are widely used in high or medium voltage (>3kV) power conversion systems thanks to their capability to handle higher voltage and higher power [1]. They are also considered for low-voltage (e.g. 380V) applications for reducing the output harmonics and filters and improving the system efficiency by reducing the switching losses [2, 3] for a high-density design. Many multilevel converter topologies have been reported in literature since 1970s [4, 5] yet there are still new topologies coming out, finding applications in emerging areas [6-10].

The most popular conventional multilevel topologies which found wide industrial applications include diode neutral point clamped (NPC) converter [11], flying capacitor (FC) converter [12] and cascaded H-bridge (CHB) converter [4, 13]. Meanwhile, active-neutral-point-clamped (ANPC) three [14], five and seven-level converters [15], three-level T-type converters [3, 16], multilevel modular converters (MMC) [17-19] are gaining more and more research interests recently in emerging application areas such as HVDC, more electric aircraft and renewable power generation systems. The invention of new converter topologies is highly valued in the power electronics research besides the invention of new power semiconductor devices, e.g. new wide-bandgap material (SiC, GaN) based devices [20]. There are some discussions regarding the value of researching converter topologies given the fact that only several topologies have been widely used among hundreds of available topologies [21]. It is the author’s view that it is still very valuable to investigate and invent new topologies. Though some topologies may not find wide application today, it may gain popularity when new application emerges. For example, the three-level T-type converter has been proposed for almost 40 years now [16]. It is only until recently that it draws great attention due to its advantage for low-voltage applications with low output harmonics, simpler structure and the potential for a high-density system, e.g. in solar power generation systems [3, 23].

There are always research questions such as where the new topologies come from, whether there are fundamental common building blocks in multilevel converters, whether there is a generic multilevel converter from which all or most multilevel converters can be derived or there is a common way to form various multilevel converters [22]. This paper therefore attempts to answer the above questions. It has been found out though it is not possible to derive all the topologies from a single unified topology, there are several generic topologies and building blocks that can be used to derive many topologies. This is important because this makes the invention of the new topologies not a random thing but more predictable and derivable. As will be seen in the paper, new topologies such as MMC and ANPC can be derived following a set of principles from the generic topology with the proposed approach. Furthermore, several new topologies have been derived in this paper based on the proposed principle. The topology derivation process also helps to identify the device voltage rating requirement. The critical issues in voltage-source multilevel converters which determine their usability such as dc-link neutral-point voltage balancing capability and flying capacitor voltage control capability have also been discussed together with the modulation strategies. This paper does not intend to provide an exhaustive approach for the derivation of all the multilevel converters. Rather, it provides a basis where further study can be made to improve or expand the approaches, e.g. other unified topologies, etc.

This paper has presented four methods to derive multilevel converters, on the hope that these can inspire the researchers to identify more useful topologies, which may find application in emerging areas.

II. FOUR CONVERTER TOPOLOGY DERIVATION METHODS

A. Generalized Topology and Derivation Method I

The first generalized topology given here was proposed by Peng [24] in 2001, where two-level converter cells (P2) are connected in a way as shown in Fig.1 for a phase leg structure. The number of phase output voltage levels depends on the number of layers in the structure. If the number of layers is N, there will be N+1 voltage levels. For example, in Fig.1, four voltage layers are shown and there are five output voltage levels, i.e. 0, E, 2E, 3E, 4E. The generalized topology itself can be used directly with dc-link capacitor voltage balancing capability and flying capacitor voltage control capability. In this paper, capacitors at the dc-link such as C_{dc1}, C_{dc2}, C_{dc3} and C_{dc4} shown in Fig.1 are referred as dc-link capacitors. The capacitors inside the structure such as C_l are referred as flying capacitors.

Many voltage source multilevel converters can be derived from the generalized structure in Fig.1. Taking a four-level converter as an example, Fig.2 (a) shows the four-level flying capacitor converter [12], which can be seen as part of the generalized structure in Fig.1 with the first three layers (I, II, III). Similarly, the symmetric diode clamped four-level converter shown in Fig.2 (b) [25] can be derived from the
generalized structure as well. Note that, in multilevel converters, a key aspect to consider when assessing the topologies is the ability to balance the voltage of dc-link capacitors and flying capacitors. For example, the voltage of the flying capacitors in Fig.2 (a) can be well controlled regardless of load power factor and modulation index. The topology shown in Fig.2 (b) however cannot maintain the balance of the dc-link capacitor voltages at high modulation indices and high power factors [26]. The method to analyze the converter dc-link capacitor voltage and flying capacitor voltage control capability will be given in Section III of this paper.

Note that the structures in Fig.2 and Fig.3 can be further simplified to reduce the number of devices (capacitors, IGBTs), but the circuit may lose the ability to balance the dc-link neutral point voltages or flying capacitor voltages. For example, the topology in Fig.3 (a) can be further simplified following the process illustrated in Fig.4. Fig.4 (a) is the same as Fig.3 (a). If the flying capacitor of Fig.3 (a) is removed, then the topology becomes Fig.4 (b). Further, given two power devices (T1 and T3 or T2 and T4) are in series, they may be replaced with a single device as T5 and T6 in Fig.4(c), but T5 and T6 need to be able to withstand twice of the voltage of the rest of devices, i.e. 2/3 of the dc-link voltage. Fig.4(c) shows the four-level topology with minimum number of devices (six IGBTs). However, the dc-link neutral point voltages of this structure cannot be balanced with a single inverter or rectifier. The balance of dc-link neutral point voltages can only be achieved with a back-to-back structure [29, 30]. Another advantage to obtain the topology through the derivation process is that it is easy to understand in some topologies why certain devices need to block higher voltages, e.g. T5 and T6 in Fig.4 (c) need to block twice voltage of other devices because they replaced two devices in series.

Through reference [24] has proposed the generalized structure in Fig.1, the principle to simplify the generalized structure and derive new topologies has not been given in the reference. This paper summaries the derivation principles based on the work in [31] as follows.

1. The outermost two devices in each layer must be kept and cannot be removed.
2. There must be at least one bidirectional current path for each voltage level between the dc-link and phase output.
3. The elements (power devices, capacitors) within the generalized topology must be removed symmetrically from the upper and lower half of each layer.

4. The switching states and current paths need to be analyzed for any new topology derived to check whether the new topology has the ability to balance the dc-link neutral points’ voltages and flying capacitor voltages.

5. From application point view, the flying capacitors in higher layers should be considered to be removed first to reduce the capacitor requirement for improved power density and reliability.

With the above principles, other converter topologies may be derived. For example, the topology in Fig.5 [32] can be derived from the generalized topology following the principles and can be seen an alternative configuration of the popular multilevel modular converter (MMC) for HVDC applications [17, 18].

Fig.5. An alternative MMC configuration [32]

Fig.6 shows another topology derived from the generalized topology by applying the derivation principles, which is an emerging and promising configuration for medium voltage drive applications, called five-level ANPC converter [15]. This topology has the dc-link neutral points’ voltage and flying capacitor voltage control ability and has advantages over the standard five-level diode NPC converter and the flying capacitor converter. It does not require clamping diodes as in the diode NPC converter and can overcome the dc-link neutral points’ voltage unbalance issue of the five-level diode NPC converter. It also does not need as many flying capacitors as in the flying capacitor converter. In Fig.6, the two capacitors in grey can be kept in the topology to avoid series connection of power devices or they can be removed to enable using a double voltage rating device to replace the two series-connected power devices and reduce the total number of power devices. Again, through the topology derivation process, it is trivial to identify the voltage rating requirement for power devices at various locations.

Fig.6. Five-level ANPC topology

Fig.7 shows a five-level cascaded H-bridge converter, which may be seen as a part of the generalized topology in Fig.1. However, in this cascaded structure, the principle No. 1 in the derivation rules does not apply.

Fig.7. Cascaded H-bridge converter seen as a part of the generalized topology

There are many other new topologies which may be derived following the derivation principles to fit into various applications based on their characteristics at different number of levels, e.g. five-level, six-level, seven-level, etc. In Fig.1, the two-level cell is used as the basic building block for the generalized structure. In addition, the three-level flying capacitor cell can also be used as the building block as discussed in [24].

B. Generalized Topology and Derivation Method II

The second generalized structure is shown in Fig.8 [33], where the total dc-link voltage is divided into a number of levels, which are connected to the phase output through bi-directional paths, e.g. by back-to-back connected IGBTs. The structure is very simple and an additional voltage level can be created by adding another bi-directional path. The two main switches T1 and T2 need to remain in the structure for any topology derived and they need to block the whole dc-link voltage. This prevents the application of this kind of converters in medium/high voltage applications due to the constraint of device voltage rating unless series connection of devices is applied. Nevertheless, this topology can gain popularity in low voltage applications, for low output harmonics and lower switching loss due to the fact that the switching voltage is only a portion of the dc-link voltage. This topology requires minimum number of devices to generate a given number of voltage levels. Fig.9 (a) shows a three-level T-type converter topology which can be derived from the generalized topology in Fig.8. The T-type converter [3, 34] has found applications as an alternative to the three-level diode-NPC converter without the need of clamping diodes. Another example is the four-level π-type converter [35], which can also be derived from the generalized topology. Note that the dc-link neutral point voltages may not be balanced under high modulation indices and high power factors if a single rectifier or inverter is used.
for the topology in Fig.9 (b), which can be solved with a back-to-back configuration.

Fig.8. Generalized topology II

Fig.9. Two topologies derived from the generalized topology II. (a) Three-level T-type converter; (b) Four-level π-type converter.

C. Topology Derivation through Parallel or Series Connection of Basic Cells (Method III)

The third method to form multilevel converters is to connect the basic cells in parallel or in series and then simplify the structure by removing certain components. The basic cells (building blocks) can be two-level converter cell, three-level flying capacitor cell, three-level NPC cell, three-level T-type cell, or more complicated cells such as four-level or five-level cells. Note that when selecting the cells, the cell itself should have the ability to balance its own neutral point or flying capacitor voltage. Otherwise, the converter constructed from the cells will lose the neutral point or flying capacitor voltage control capability. For example, the four-level diode NPC converter shown in Fig.2 (b) is not a good cell choice given its dc-link capacitor voltages cannot be balanced.

Fig.10 shows an example, where three flying capacitor cells are combined together to form a five-level converter. First, in Fig.10 (a), two three-level flying capacitor cells are stacked together and then the output of these two flying capacitor converters is connected to a third three-level flying capacitor converter. In the next step shown in Fig.10 (b), the two flying capacitors in the left two cells are removed and then the two series-connected flying capacitors (2E) in the right cell are removed. As seen in Fig.10 (b), there are two power devices in series which can be replaced with a single device with double voltage rating. Then, the circuit can be simplified to the structure in Fig.10(c), which is the popular five-level ANPC converter. As discussed earlier, this topology can also be derived from the generalized topology I as shown in Fig.6.

Fig.11 shows another topology by combing two two-level converter cells with a four-level flying capacitor cell. The initial topology is shown in Fig.11 (a), which is then simplified to Fig.11 (b) by removing the 3E flying capacitors in the four-level flying capacitor cell. The topology in Fig.11 (b) is able to balance both the dc-link neutral points and the flying capacitor voltages by selecting among the redundant switching states and there is no need to connect any power devices in series. The topology in Fig.11 (b) can be further simplified to Fig.11 (c) by removing one more flying capacitor (E). The inner two series connected devices may be replaced by a single device with double voltage rating.

Fig.12 (a) shows a new four-level topology by combing two two-level converter cells and a three-level T-type converter. The two devices in the two two-level cells can be further removed to simply the topology as shown in Fig.12 (b). This topology only requires 6 power devices and two flying capacitors.
Fig.13 shows the derivation of a seven-level converter by combining three four-level flying capacitor cells. Fig.13 (a) shows the complete three four-level flying capacitor converters. In Fig.13 (b), the flying capacitors in the left two-cells are removed. Then, if the three series-connected capacitors (3E) in the right cell are removed, the topology can be simplified to the one shown in Fig.13(c). Note that the three series-connected devices in the left cells may be replaced with a single device of triple voltage rating (3E). The topology is the same as the ANPC seven-level topology proposed in [15] and has the neutral point voltage and flying capacitor voltage control capability.

![Diagram of Fig.13](image_url)

**Fig.13. Combination of three four-level flying capacitor cells to derive a seven-level converter**

**D. Generalized Topology and Derivation Method IV**

Another generalized structure is shown in Fig.14, which is called stacked multicell converter (SMC) [36, 37]. The topology is formed by series and parallel connection of the basic cell (e.g. S21a and S21b) as illustrated. Multiple stages and/or multiple cells can be stacked together. In each cell, the two devices (e.g. S21a and S21b) switch complementarily. Also, note that the outer devices (e.g. S21a and S11b) need to block twice the voltage of the inner ones (e.g. S21b and S11a).

![Diagram of Fig.14](image_url)

**Fig.14. A generalized structure (stacked multicell converter (SMC))**

From this generalized topology, several topologies can be derived. For example, with two stages and one cell, the T-type converter can be derived in Fig.15 (a). Given the outer two-devices need to block twice of the voltage that the inner devices need to block, they can be replaced by two series-connected devices as shown in Fig.15 (b).

![Diagram of Fig.15](image_url)

**Fig.15. T-type converter derived with two stages and one cell**

With two stages and two cells, a five-level topology can be derived as shown Fig.16 (a) with only 8 power devices and two-flying capacitors [38]. The topology in Fig.16 (a) can also been seen as two three-level T-type converters cascaded together horizontally. Fig.16 (b) shows an alternative drawing of the topology in Fig.16 (a).

![Diagram of Fig.16](image_url)

**Fig.16. A five-level topology derived with the generalized topology in Fig.14.**

The SMC cell can also be combined with other topology cells. For example, Fig.17 (a) shows a two-stage one cell SMC (T-type) converter followed by a three-level flying capacitor cell, forming a new five-level converter. Similarly, Fig.17 (b) shows a two-stage one cell (T-type) converter followed by a three-level diode NPC converter, forming a five-level converter.

![Diagram of Fig.17](image_url)

**Fig.17. Two five-level topologies**

It can also be noted that the generalized structure in Fig.8 can also be seen as a one cell multi-stage SMC. The multilevel flying capacitor converter can be seen as a one stage multi-cell SMC.

**E. Other Topology Derivation Methods**

From the above four methods, many of the voltage source multilevel converter topologies can be derived, though there are other methods that may lead to new topologies. For example, with the five-level ANPC topology in Fig.10 (c), by analyzing the current flow paths, the two devices T1 and T2 may be grouped together to form a new current flow path as shown in Fig.18 (b) [39]. T1 and T2 as well as T3 and T4 create a bi-directional current flow path. They can also be replaced with reverse-blocking IGBTs as shown in Fig.18 (c) to simplify the structure and reduce the conduction losses.
III. NEUTRAL POINT VOLTAGE BALANCING ABILITY AND FLYING CAPACITOR VOLTAGE CONTROL ABILITY

Though many new topologies may be derived to fit for various purposes, two fundamental properties must be maintained when simplifying the topology, i.e. the ability to balance the dc-link neutral points’ voltages and to control the flying capacitor voltages. This governs whether the topology may be further simplified, e.g. removing some further power devices, diodes, flying capacitors, etc. Though modulation and control techniques may help to attenuate the neutral point voltage ripple or flying capacitor voltage ripple, the following fundamental power balance rules must be met. Otherwise, no matter how advanced the modulation and control methods are, the neutral point voltage or flying capacitor voltage may lose the balance.

A. Dc-link Neutral Point Voltage Balance

The key thing to check for the neutral point voltage balance is whether the current flowing into the neutral point(s) (charge) equals to the current flowing out of the neutral point(s) (discharge) within one fundamental cycle (e.g. 50Hz) [40]. Taking the five-level ANPC topology shown in Fig. 10 (c) as an example, there are five output voltage levels: 0, E, 2E, 3E and 4E as shown in Fig.19. Though the actual converter output voltage will be in the form of modulated pulses, here staircase waveforms are used to simplify the analysis. The load current is assumed to be sinusoidal and in phase with the voltage, i.e. unity power factor. Note that, the unity power factor is the worst case scenario and only this case needs to be checked. If the neutral point charge balance can be achieved for the unity power factor, it can also be achieved for other power factors.

![Fig.19. Method to analyze the dc-link neutral point voltage balancing ability](image)

Fig. 20 shows the output voltage level and the corresponding current flow paths for the five-level ANPC. There is only one dc-link neutral point and only when the current flowing through the neutral point can it affect the neutral point voltage balance.

As seen for the voltage level of E (Fig. 20(c)) and voltage level of 3E (Fig.20 (f)), the current may flow through the neutral point. For the voltage level of 2E (Fig.20 (d) and (e)) the current may also flow through the neutral point. In case of the voltage level of E (Fig.20(c)), the neutral point is connected to the phase output. As illustrated in Fig.19, the load current is negative (flowing into the neutral point) during E. In contrast, during 3E (Fig.20 (f)), the current is positive and the current flows out of the neutral. As seen, the current flowing into the neutral (charge) during E and out of the neutral (discharge) during 3E is balanced. Similarly, as seen in Fig.19, during the voltage level of 2E (Fig.20 (d) or (e)), the current charge and discharge is also balanced. This indicates the dc-link neutral point voltage is fundamentally stable due to the charge balance over one fundamental output cycle. Note that, for the level of E and 3E, there are also alternative switching states, where the load current do not need to flow through the neutral point.

Hence, whether the topology’s neutral point voltage can be balanced can be analyzed using the charge balance shown in Fig.19. This method can also be used to analyze topologies with two or more neutral points such as the topology shown in Fig.4 (a) and Fig.9 (b), etc. In addition, advanced PWM methods can be applied to further attenuate the voltage ripple [41-45]. Note that, for a three-phase converter, the dc-link neutral point voltage will be regulated by the three phases together. For some topologies, for example, Fig.4 (c) and Fig.9 (b), by using the analyzing method in Fig.19, it can be found that the neutral point voltage cannot be balanced under unity power factor. This indicates the converter cannot work independently as an inverter or rectifier. However, a back-to-back structure with both the rectifier and inverter may still be able to balance the neutral points’ voltages [35, 40, 42]. The neutral point voltage balancing capability also relates to the modulation index. Generally, at lower modulation indices, the neutral point voltage is easier to balance and is difficult at high modulation indexes. More comprehensive analysis of the neutral point voltage controllable zone with regards to modulation index and power factor is given in [26, 41].
B. Flying Capacitor Voltage Balance

In most cases, the flying capacitor in the converter should serve as a high frequency (switching frequency) capacitor rather than a fundamental frequency (low frequency) capacitor. Ideally, within one switching cycle, the switching states can be selected flexibly to either charge or discharge the flying capacitor for a given current direction. Again, using the topology shown in Fig. 20 as an example, at the voltage level of E, for a given current direction, e.g. flowing into the converter, the switching states can be chosen between Fig.20(b) and Fig.20(c), where Fig.20(b) will charge the flying capacitor and Fig.20(c) will discharge the flying capacitor. This will make sure the flying capacitor voltage can be well controlled. The other similar scenario is that one switching state will either charge or discharge the capacitor and the other state can bypass the capacitor (neither charge nor discharge).

As long as the capacitor charge can balance over one fundamental cycle, the capacitor voltage can be maintained. However, the capacitor in this case serves as a low frequency capacitor and a larger capacitor value is required such as in topology shown in Fig.5 and Fig.11.

The topology derivation process discussed above can be summarized as in the flow chart shown in Fig.21.

![Fig.21. Topology derivation flowchart](image)

C. Dc-link Neutral Point and Flying Capacitor Voltage Control Strategy

As seen, selecting from redundant switching states in Fig.20 can help with both dc-link neutral points’ voltage balance and the flying capacitor voltage control. The flying capacitor voltage control is normally given a higher priority because it can only be controlled by each phase, while the dc-link neutral points’ voltage is shared by and can be controlled by the three phases thus given a lower priority. The optimal switching state is first selected to control the flying capacitor voltage. Once the flying capacitor voltage error is within a certain range, then the switching states are selected to control the neutral point voltage. For example, the switching states can be selected between Fig.20(b) and Fig.20(c) to let the load current flow through or not flow through the neutral point, thus affecting the neutral point voltage balance. Controlling the neutral point voltage and flying capacitor voltage through selecting the redundant switching states within each phase is called ‘single-phase method’ in this paper.

Apart from using the redundant switching states within each phase to control the flying capacitor voltage and dc-link neutral point’s voltage, for three-phase converters, the other option is to select from the redundant space vectors in a multilevel space vector modulation (SVM) [26, 42] or equivalently select the optimal zero-sequence component to add on top of the fundamental components (three-phase sinusoidal) [45] in a carrier based modulation. The SVM with optimal redundant vector selection and carrier-based method with optimal zero-sequence component selection are equivalent and are called ‘three-phase method’ in this paper.

Ideally, the two methods, the ‘single-phase method’ and the ‘three-phase method’ should be used together to select both the optimal space vector (or zero-sequence) of the three phases and the optimal switching states within each phase. However, if for each space vector (zero-sequence), the switching states within each phase are also evaluated, the computation effort will increase significantly. By investigating various topologies and their control [39, 46], it has been found that if there are redundant switching states within each phase, where the ‘single-phase method’ can be applied, it is sufficient to regulate the dc-link neutral point voltage and flying capacitor voltage by the ‘single-phase method’. Therefore, the ‘three-phase method’ can adopt a simple form such as third-order harmonic injection in a carrier based modulation (or symmetrical modulation in SVM) to extend the modulation index without considering the neutral point voltage and flying capacitor voltage control.

If, however, there is no redundant switching states within each phase where the single-phase method cannot be applied, such as in diode clamped topologies in Fig.2(b) or the four-level π-type converter in Fig.9(b), the ‘three-phase method’ must be used. This is normally the case in topologies, where there is no flying capacitors and the dc-link neutral point voltage control is the sole control objective. How to select the optimal redundant space vector or optimal zero-sequence component and how to define the optimal dc-link capacitor energy have been investigated in [26, 35, 42].

For example, the control objective regarding dc-link capacitor voltage balancing for a four-level converter can be defined as [35, 42]:

$$\min V = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \Delta v_{C_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( v_{C_j} - \frac{V_{dc}}{3} \right) \cdot i_{C_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where, $i_{C_j}$ is the current flowing through the dc-link capacitor $C_j$, $\Delta v_{C_j}$ is the voltage deviation of capacitor $C_j$ from 1/3 of the dc-link voltage. $v_{C_j}$ is the capacitor voltage. $V_{dc}$ is the total dc-link voltage. The optimal redundant vector or zero-sequence component should be selected to minimize the objective function in (1).

The control flowchart for the dc-link neutral points’ voltage balancing and flying capacitor voltage control with the ‘single-phase method’ or the ‘three-phase method’ is shown in Fig. 22.
Control and modulation strategy

Analyse the switching states

Can the ‘single-phase method’ be applied?

Use a ‘three-phase method’: select the optimal zero-sequence component or redundant space vector to balance the dc-link neutral point voltage

Use a simple ‘three-phase method’: e.g. third-order harmonic injection or symmetrical SVM to extend modulation index

Use the ‘single-phase method’: select the optimal switching state within each phase to control the flying capacitor voltage

Flying capacitor voltage error within the range?

Control the dc-link neutral points’ voltages

A number of topologies derived in this paper with the proposed control and modulation methods as well as simulation or experimental results can be found in references [27][35][39] and [46].

IV. MATHEMATICAL MODELS OF MULTILEVEL CONVERTERS

It is important to establish mathematical models to represent the behavior of multilevel converters and to develop the corresponding modulation and control strategy [22]. Though it is challenging to develop a single generalized model for all the multilevel converters, this paper presents a relatively generalized model as shown in Fig.23.

Fig.22. Flowchart of the dc-link neutral points’ voltage balancing and flying capacitor voltage control

In Fig.23, $V_{dc}$ and $i_{dc}$ are dc-link voltage and current. $V_{c1}$, $V_{c2}$...$V_{cm}$ are dc-link capacitor voltages. $i_{c1}$, $i_{c2}$...$i_{cm}$ are the current flowing through each dc-link capacitor. $i_{a}$, $i_{1}$...$i_{n}$ are the dc-link branch current and will flow to the load (output) if the output is connected to the corresponding position ($1$, $2$...$n$). $V_{a}$ and $i_{a}$ are the phase output voltage and current. $V_{c1}$...$V_{cm}$ represent the voltages of the flying capacitors. The number of flying capacitors involved in the current flowing path depends on the switching states and the converter structure. Note that, the polarity of the flying capacitors’ voltages ($V_{c1}$...$V_{cm}$) can be positive or negative depending on the way it is connected, which will affect the output voltage level and the charging and discharging state of the flying capacitor. The phase output is connected to the dc-link neutral points, which is represented by a single-pole-multiple-throw (SPMT) switch. The position of the switch is defined by the following switching function $\delta$, where

$$\delta = 0, 1, 2, ..., n.$$  

(2)

When $\delta=0$, the SPMT is connected to the negative dc-bus. When $\delta=1$, the SPMT is connected to position 1 in Fig.23. Then the phase output voltage of the multilevel converter ($V_{a}$) can be given by (3).

$$V_{a} = \sum_{k=0}^{\delta} V_{ck} + \sum_{m=0}^{n} (\pm)V_{fcm}$$  

(3)

(3) means the output voltage equals to the sum of the selected dc-link capacitor voltages (depending on the position of the tap) plus the sum of the flying capacitor voltages. Note that, as mentioned, the flying capacitors’ voltages can be positive or negative. The voltage of each flying capacitor can be equal to that of each dc-link capacitor or it can be multiples or fraction of that. Regarding the control of the dc-link capacitor voltages and flying capacitor voltages, it is important to find out the relationship between the phase output current $i_{a}$ and the dc-link capacitor voltage or the flying capacitor voltage, which are derived below. Assuming the parameters of the dc-link capacitors are the same, i.e. same capacitance value and the total dc-link voltage is a constant, then the current flowing through each dc-link capacitor $i_{n}$ can link to the branch current $i_{a}$ by (4), where $N$ is the total number of dc-link capacitors.

$$\begin{cases} 
    i_{1} = \frac{N-1}{N} i_{a} - \frac{N-2}{N} i_{2} - \frac{N-3}{N} i_{3} - ... - \frac{N-(n-1)}{N} i_{n-1} \\
    i_{2} = \frac{1}{N} i_{a} + \frac{2}{N} i_{2} - \frac{N-3}{N} i_{3} - ... - \frac{N-(n-1)}{N} i_{n-1} \\
    i_{3} = \frac{1}{N} i_{a} + \frac{2}{N} i_{3} - \frac{N-3}{N} i_{4} - ... - \frac{N-(n-1)}{N} i_{n-1} \\
    ... \\
    i_{n} = \frac{1}{N} i_{a} + \frac{2}{N} i_{n} - \frac{N-3}{N} i_{n+1} - ... - \frac{N-(n-1)}{N} i_{n-1} 
\end{cases}$$  

(4)

(4) only gives the relationship between the first three dc-link capacitors’ currents ($i_{1}$, $i_{2}$, $i_{3}$) in Fig.23 and the branch currents. Other dc-link capacitor currents can be derived accordingly like (4). Practically, within one switching cycle, the SPMT will either just stay at one branch position or jump between two adjacent branches. The time duration at each branch depends on the duty cycle. Therefore, the average current at each branch can be linked to the phase output current by

$$i_{a} = \frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{s} dt$$  

(5)

where, $T_{s}$ is the switching cycle and $T_{a}$ is the time duration when the SPMT stays at position $n$. The capacitor voltage and current relationship can be expressed as (6) for the dc-link capacitor.
\[ i_n = C \frac{dV_{cn}}{dt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

By (4)–(6), the relationship between the output current and dc-link capacitor voltage can be found. The control needs to identify a suitable position and duration for the SFMT in order to maintain the balance of the dc-link capacitors while keep the output voltage at the required level.

Similarly, the flying capacitor voltage and current relationship can be expressed as

\[ i_a = C \frac{dV_{fcm}}{dt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The optimal switching state should be selected to regulate the flying capacitor voltage to be at the reference value. The dc-link capacitor voltage and flying capacitor voltage control based on the mathematical model can be found in [35][46].

V.  SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, the five-level topology shown in Fig.18 is simulated in MATLAB/Simulink to validate the proper function of the topology and the ability to regulate the dc-link neutral point and flying capacitor voltages. The dc-link voltage is set at 600V and the switching frequency is 10 kHz. A sinusoidal PWM is used and the modulation index is 0.9. The converter (inverter) supplies power to a three-phase R-L (30Ω and 1mH) load. The dc-link upper and lower capacitors’ value is 1mF each.

Fig.24 (a) shows the converter output line voltage, which has nine levels. Fig.24 (b) shows converter phase voltage referring to the negative dc-link, which has five levels as expected. Fig.24(c) shows the sinusoidal load current. Fig.24 (d) shows the upper and lower dc-link capacitor voltages, which are well regulated around the reference voltage of 300V (half of the total dc-link voltage). A voltage ripple (150Hz) is observed across the upper and lower capacitor and the frequency is three times of the fundamental output frequency (50Hz). Fig.24 (e) shows the flying capacitor voltages of the three phases, which are well regulated at around 150V. The pre-defined flying capacitor voltage error tolerance is set as 1V (149V~151V). These simulation results validate the proposed converter topology and the control strategy.

![Converter output line voltage](image)

![Converter phase voltage](image)

![Sinusoidal load current](image)

![Flying capacitor voltages](image)

The results in Fig.24 are in the condition of high modulation index (0.95) and high power factor (0.95). In order to validate the neutral point voltage and flying capacitor voltage control capability under various modulation indices and power factors, a set of simulations have been run with the results shown in Fig.25. Fig.25(a)(b) are the simulation results under low modulation index (0.5) and high power factor (0.95). Fig.25(c)(d) are the simulation results under high modulation index (0.95) and low power factor (0.6). As can be seen, the neutral point voltage and flying capacitor voltage can be well regulated.

![Dc-link capacitor voltages](image)

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has summarized four methods to derive multilevel converters. As seen, there are connections between the three generalized topologies. Some topologies can also be derived from more than one methods. It is hoped that this paper can inspire other topology derivation methods as well as new multilevel converter topologies.
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