Fluctuations, Bilateral Trade and the Exchange Rate Regime^a

Jean Imbs^y University of Lausanne & New York University

April 1998 Revised November 1998

Abstract

In a recent paper, Frankel and Rose (1998) documented endogenous exects of a monetary union, whereby costs and bene...ts of the union evolve after its implementation. This paper questions their ...ndings on three grounds. First, their main result that trading partners display relatively more synchronized cycles is not robust to the presence of ... xed exects, or variables omitted from their estimation liable to generate both intense trade and synchronized cycles. Second, the cost of giving up independent monetary policy is usually evaluated on the basis of the extent of co-tuctuations between business cycles. We bring into focus which measure of the cycle ought to be used for that purpose. In particular, such measure should in our opinion retect how synchronized cycles would be in the absence of independent monetary policy. Third, documenting the assumption that ... xed exchange rate regimes translate into more bilateral trade has proved elusive. We show that using a bilateral rather than cross-country approach brings little improvement on that front.

JEL Classi...cation Numbers: F43, E42. Keywords: Trade, Optimal Currency Area, International Business Cycle.

^a I thank Jordi Gali, Romain Wacziarg and Ramses Abul Naga for many useful conversations. Seminar participants at the University of Lausanne, the University of Berne, NYU and the 1998 edition of the CEPR European Summer Symposium in International Macroeconomics provided insightful comments. Andrew Rose's data and programs made this paper possible. All errors are mine.

^y University of Lausanne, HEC-DEEP, 1015 Lausanne Switzerland. Jean.Imbs@hec.unil.ch

1 Introduction

The bene...ts of a monetary union are usually associated with the intensity of trade between prospective members, and its costs originate in the inability to counteract asymmetric ‡uctuations through independent monetary policy¹. This paper argues that both business cycles synchronization and trade intensity react endogenously to the implementation of a currency union, and thus that the costs and bene...ts of the union evolve after it is decided. Frankel and Rose (1998) - FR henceforth- showed empirically that trade partners display more conformity in their cycles and inferred that a monetary union should have ex-post exects likely to diminish endogenously the very cost of its implementation. The paper criticizes this claim on three grounds: ...rst, the Frankel and Rose result is not robust to the inclusion of country-pair speci...c ...xed exects. Second, insofar as they embed the ...netuning exects of -partly- independent monetary policies, international correlations of output or employment provide poor measures of how asymmetric ‡uctuations would be in the absence of monetary policy. Third, even if trade fosters cycle synchronization, it remains to be seen whether a currency union will stimulate exchanges, a frequent assumption with scant empirical support at best. The method proposed here generates some evidence - though weakthat countries agreeing on a ... xed exchange rate regime trade signi... cantly more with each other.

To a large extent, theory of the international business cycle has focused on the so-called quantity puzzle, as coined by Backus, Kehoe and Kydland (1994), whereby outputs are negatively correlated as resources travel where the rates of return are highest. This has given rise to a variety of sophisticated attempts to correct this defect, involving for instance nominal rigidities, multi-sectoral models or shocks at the international level². However, none of these improvements can explicitly help predict cross-sectional variation in the extent of cycle synchronization³. Thus, the empirical researcher must remain agnostic as to what variables ought to be included when attempting to explain why two countries co-‡uctuate relatively more. Figure 1

¹The cost of losing monetary independence can be mitigated if labor is su¢ciently mobile between the member countries, or if an international system of ...scal transfers exists. These aspects are assumed away in the paper.

²See for instance Kollmann (1998), Hornstein and Praschnick (1997) or Canova (1993).

 $^{^{3}}$ In our opinion, this is an important and unexplored area of research in the international business cycle literature. See Imbs (1998) for a more thorough analysis of why countries co- μ ctuate.

reproduces the main result in FR, namely that trade partners display more synchronized cycles: the relation is indeed positive, with a correlation coe¢cient equal to 0.21. However, there is no theoretical reason to limit oneself to trade in explaining GDP correlations. For instance, geographical, historical or institutional factors could play a signi...cant role, thus casting doubt on the claim in Frankel and Rose. For instance in a context of multiple equilibria, the use of a common language, or access to common media could play a coordinating role in selecting an equilibrium. This is illustrated in Figure 2, that plots GDP correlations against bilateral distance: the correlation does appear negative, at -0.20. The well-known fact that trade partners are often close to each other is reproduced in Figure 3: thus, the apparent relation between trade and cycle synchronization may actually be spurious insofar as it may stem from the fact that geographically close countries tend to both trade with each other and display synchronized business cycles. In fact, Imbs (1998b) shows that bilateral trade is actually a surprisingly poor predictor of co-‡uctuations. The paper elaborates on the ...xed-exect argument that underpins this criticism.

If one wants to evaluate the cost of giving up independent monetary policy, one must estimate the degree of conformity between cycles abstracting from the exects of monetary policy -a measure of "structural synchronization"a task best ful...lled using bilateral correlations of Total Factor Productivity (TFP), or, under some additional assumptions, correlations between labor productivity. Indeed, output or employment correlations surely contain the exects of a policy that the purpose of the exercise is to assume away. TFP correlations, on the other hand, are supposedly purged from the exects of monetary policy, and potentially from those of ...scal policy as well. Thus, TFP correlations provide a conservative estimate of the costs of a monetary union, in that they tend to over-estimate them, whereas output correlations under-estimate them⁴. If trade is to alleviate some of the cost of giving up independent monetary policy, it ought to matter positively for TFP correlations -which would happen for instance if technology were transmitted through trade. It is of course often argued that TFP as measured by the Solow Residual is nothing but a noisy measure of output, thus casting doubt on the meaning of bilateral correlations of TFP. Figure 4 plots TFP correlations against bilateral trade, and the unconditional correlation is actually positive though less signi...cant that in the output case, at 0.15. Furthermore,

⁴Imbs (1998a) shows the importance of choosing the right measure: while European outputs have become more synchronized betwen 1970 and 1993, European TFPs have on the contrary become more idiosyncratic.

it is customary to assume the exects of monetary policy to be short-lived, and thus to be for the most part captured by tuctuations in the labor input. Accordingly, we supplement the previous evidence by computing bilateral correlations between labor productivities, and argue that they provide an estimate of "structural synchronization", less questionable than TFP in that it does not fall victim to the issue of measurement of the capital input. Figure 5 plots labor productivity against bilateral trade, with a positive correlation equal to 0.10. The paper presents multi-variate estimations meant to check those unconditional correlations for robustness.

No consensus has emerged from the many papers seeking to establish both theoretically and empirically the exects of the exchange rate regime on trade. Nevertheless, the assumption that ...xing the exchange rate stimulates international exchanges is made in a host of papers -starting with FRand many economists still view a stable currency as favorable to trade. A set of recent papers has argued theoretically that the level of trade is not necessarily higher under ...xed exchange rate regimes. For instance, Ricci (1998) presents a model where a *toating* exchange rate acts as an insurance mechanism. The exects of domestic demand shocks are mitigated by movements in the exchange rate, and risk-averse ...rms will trade more in a regime of ‡oat. Bacchetta and vanWincoop (1998) develop a general equilibrium model with monopolistic competition, where the relationship between trade and exchange rate regime depends on the form of the utility function, calibration of risk aversion as well as the nature of stochastic developments. Gagnon (1993) constructs a model meant to exaggerate the negative exect of exchange rate variability, for which he provides a statistically insigni...cant yet economically signi...cant- upper bound. Unfortunately, perhaps precisely because the exects are statistically insigni...cant, empirical analyses of the issue have so far been unable to settle the debate, for most of them provide at best weak evidence in one way or the other⁵. There is to our knowledge no paper that attempts to measure the exect of bilateral regime on the intensity of bilateral trade, rather resorting to more classical cross-country arguments. This paper proposes to ...II that gap, unfortunately to no decisive avail.

Ex-post exects of a currency union are therefore ambiguous. Supposing that it may stimulate trade, a currency union becomes endogenously more desirable. On the other hand however, perhaps precisely because it

⁵See for instance the survey by Cote (1994).

stimulates exchanges and thus fosters international specialization, a currency union makes it possible for aggregate shocks to become more countryspeci...c, and thus makes it dearer to forego independent monetary policy. While this paper shows that aggregate data cannot be used to refute the latter exect, a detailed analysis using sectoral data ought to quantify its importance.

Aside from the already cited paper by Frankel and Rose (1998), evidence on ex-post exects of a currency union is limited. Canova and Dellas (1993) showed that the signi...cance of trade in the transmission of economic disturbances across countries is not robust to the choice of the detrending method, and thus did already cast doubt on the Frankel and Rose result. The present paper goes however further, ...rst by showing that the significance of trade is not robust even when one sticks to a single detrending method, and by addressing the question of the exect of trade on structural correlations. Artis and Zhang (1995) show that business cycles in European countries have become more similar to the German cycle than to the US's since the inception of the European Monetary System, and thus argue that successful ...xed exchange rate regimes lead to conformity in the business cycles of the participating countries. The present paper reproduces their result, but shows that it is not general.

The paper is organized as follows: next section describes the empirical method used, as well as the way standard econometric issues in this literature are confronted. Section 3 presents the results, arguing in particular for the importance of ...xed e¤ects in the FR ...ndings. Section 4 develops our evidence on the e¤ects of trade on "structural" synchronization of cycles, and the e¤ects of the exchange rate regime on trade intensity. Section 5 concludes.

2 Methodology

2.1 Empirical Strategy

The strategy is similar to FR. Measures ½ of cycle synchronization are obtained by computing output bilateral correlations for 21 OECD countries⁶, which generates 210 observations. The sample period 1970:1 - 1993:4 is divided into sub-periods $i_{c} = 3$; 4, which multiplies further the number of observations and gives a panel structure to the dataset. These are computed using the same data as in FR. Moreover, a measure of the "structural" extent of co-‡uctuations requires the construction of Solow Residuals for the 21 countries in the sample. The necessary series on quarterly national aggregates comes either from the IMF's International Financial Statistics or from the OECD's Quarterly National Accounts⁷

Data on bilateral trade intensity come from the IMF's Direction of Trade dataset. As in FR, annual data from 1970 to 1993 is used to compute an indicator of bilateral trade intensity, WT:

$$WT_{i;j;\xi} = (X_{i;j;\xi} + M_{i;j;\xi}) = (X_{i;\xi} + X_{j;\xi} + M_{i;\xi} + M_{j;\xi})$$

where $X_{i;j;\lambda}$ denotes total nominal exports from country i to country j cumulated over period λ , $X_{i;\lambda}$ denotes total global exports from country i over period λ , and M denotes imports. As in FR, the argument is that higher values of, say, wt_{i;j;\lambda} are indicative of greater trade intensity between countries i and j. There is a trade-o¤ in choosing λ : the number of observations increases with the number of periods, but the accuracy of the estimated correlations diminishes with it. We choose to run our estimations for $\lambda = 3$; 4 and periods of equal length⁸.

⁶Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Norway, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the UK and the US.

⁷Output is assumed to be derived from a Cobb-Douglas production function taking capital and labor stocks as inputs. We assume constant returns to scale, and thus take aggregate payments to labor averaged over time as the empirical counterpart to the labor elasticity of output. Capital series are constructed using the permanent inventory method.

⁸This is clearly arbitrary. However, insofar as the paper brings focus on the importance of e¤ects that remain constant over time, the choice of sub-periods is probably not substantial to the conclusion.

2.2 Econometric Issues

Consider the equation to estimate

$$\mathcal{V}_{i} = {}^{\mathbb{B}}_{0} + {}^{\mathbb{B}}_{1} W T_{i} + {}^{\mathbb{B}}_{2} X_{i} + {}^{"}_{i}$$
(1)

where M_{i} denotes GDP correlations over i and X_{i} contains other variables whose exect ought to be controlled for. The absence of indices i or j retects the fact that all pairs of countries are stacked and included in the estimation. While it is not clear on what ground, FR seem to pay particular attention to the issue of reverse causality in (1), arguing that "countries are likely to link their currencies deliberately to those of their most important trading partners" and "exchange rate stability could cause both high trade and co-ordinated business cycles". In our opinion, the issue is more one of omitted variable, as this paper more generally contends -hence the importance of what variables are included in X_{λ} . Furthermore, seminal work on international business cycles predicts that countries with synchronized cycles would if anything trade relatively less⁹, thus making it di¢cult to see how a positive ®1 could stem from reverse causality. However, for the sake of comparison we shall attempt to correct for this hypothetical bias. FR do it by making use of the now well-documented fact that geography is a powerful determinant of bilateral trade¹⁰, a common justi...cation to use geographic considerations, as summarized by the so-called gravity variables, as instruments for trade in two-stage least-square regressions¹¹. Unfortunately, as we argued earlier and elsewhere¹² there are no a priori reasons why geography can be excluded from the right-hand side of (1), thus making it di¢cult to resort to the same instruments as when investigating the growth exects of trade. In particular, we know already from Figure 2 that the distance variable belongs in X_{i} , and thus cannot be used as an instrument for trade, as FR do. Omitting the distance variable -or others, for that matter- from X_{λ} is at the core of our criticism of FR.

We attempt to correct for the putative reverse causality bias in two ways. First, as it turns out, some gravity variables can actually be excluded from the right-hand side of (1). We use them as instruments. Second, we take

⁹This is for instance the case in Backus, Kehoe and Kydland (1995).

¹⁰See for instance Deardor^x (1984), Frankel, Stein and Wei (1995) or Frankel (1996).

¹¹See for instance Frankel and Romer (1996). There, they argue that at least some components of trade corresponding to geographic considerations are unlikely to be correlated with the left-hand side variable, in their case output growth.

¹²See Imbs (1998b).

advantage of the panel structure of the data and use lagged values of the right-hand side variables, at least six years older when z = 4. We therefore estimate:

$$\mathcal{W}_{i} = {}^{\mathbb{R}}_{0} + {}^{\mathbb{R}}_{1} W T_{i | 1} + {}^{\mathbb{R}}_{2} X_{i | 1} + {}^{"}_{i}$$
(1')

Next section shows that correcting for endogeneity bias modi...es very little the estimates of $\[mathbb{B}_1\]$.

More importantly, omitting the distance variable from $X_{\dot{z}}$ generates a potentially important omitted variable bias, whereby positive estimates of $\[mathbb{@}_1\]$ are spuriously high. One can indeed think of a wide range of variables potentially associated with synchonized business cycles, that could also cause intense bilateral trade. Examples include geographical, institutional or historical considerations, such as proximity, the presence of a mountain range, a history of war or membership to international agreements. In Imbs (1998b) we take that issue seriously, and investigate in detail what causes cross-sectional variation in GDP correlations. Succe it to say for the present purpose that $X_{\dot{z}}$ potentially contains a large number of variables, whose omission is liable to make $\[mathbb{@}_1\]$ very positive. A rough way to correct for this bias is to assume $X_{\dot{z}} = X$, i.e. omitted variables remain constant over time¹³. Under that -fairly undemanding- assumption, omitted variable bias can be accounted for using a ...xed-e¤ect estimation, where ...xed e¤ects are speci...c to each country-pair. In other words, estimate

$$\mathscr{V}_{i} \, \mathscr{V}_{i \, 1} = \mathscr{B}_{1} \, (\mathsf{WT}_{i \, 1 \, 1} \, \mathsf{WT}_{i \, 2}) + \mathcal{I}_{i} \tag{1"}$$

where the ...rst-di¤erences on the right-hand side are lagged, so as to still account for potential reverse causality bias. None of the FR results resist to estimation of (1"). We proceed with a detailed discussion of the results.

3 Accounting for Fixed Exects

The body of the text presents results using GDP correlations, split into three sub-periods. In section 4, we con...rm our ...ndings carry through using bilateral correlations of employment and industrial production instead, and

 $^{^{13}}$ In the absence of a proper theory directing the choice of variables, the more subtle alternative to include all the relevant variables on the right-hand side and check whether the estimated coe¢cients remain stable, is unpleasantly arbitrary

four sub-periods. Table 1 develops FR point. Whether trade enters in level or in logarithm, and after controlling for some geographic considerations and monetary arrangements¹⁴, trade partners are more synchronized. Furthermore -careful- instrumental variables estimation¹⁵ in speci...cation (iv) does not alter the claim. Nor does estimation with lagged right-hand side variables in speci...cation (v): overall, given the relatively similar magnitude of point estimates in (iii)-(v), it is safe to claim reverse causality is not an important issue in estimating (1). We want to argue that the same is not true of ...xed e¤ects.

To be meaningful, estimation of (1") requires su¢ciently low serial correlation in both \aleph_i and WT_{i} , so that they keep some information even in ...rst-di¤erences. Figures 6 and 7 make a ...rst pass at ensuring this is the case for GDP correlations: the plots of \aleph_{i} against $\aleph_{i,i-1}$ for i = 2; 3 show reasonably little serial correlation, with coe¢cients of correlation of respectively 0.18 and 0.24. Such is however not the case for the trade variable, that displays signi...cant serial correlation as evident from Figures 8 and 9. This is why estimations involving WT_{i} and WT_{i1} yield quite similar results in Table 1, however without serious consequence since there is not much a reverse causality bias to control for. This is to be expected given the fact that trade intensity is largely determined by time-invariant factors, precisely those to be accounted for to the extent that they impact GDP correlations. Given discrepancies in the serial correlations of the two variables di¤erenced in the ...xed exect estimation, it is quite possible that too much information is thrown away and variables in (1") are close to white noise. As long as theory does not help the empirical researcher select what variables are omitted from (1), this is unfortunately the only -rudimentary- way to qo^{16} .

Estimation of (1") is presented in Table 2. The coe¢cient under investigation asks whether more trade intensity impacts subsequently the extent of cycle synchronization. The answer in Table 2 is a resounding no, which stands in stark contrast with previous results. Estimations in ...rst di¤erences

¹⁴The ER Regime dummy variable equals one if the regime is ...xed, and is averaged over the period $\dot{\epsilon}$. Its inclusion is motivated by FR argument that the relation between trade and co-tuctuations could spuriously stem from monetary arrangements.

¹⁵Instruments are Adjacency and Language, which can be excluded from equation (1), as shown in speci...cation (iii).

¹⁶The next section actually presents results where a ...xed e¤ects estimation with the same variables generates signi...cant positive point estimates, thus making it dubious that ...rst-di¤erences be merely noise.

have however the undesirable feature that they tend to magnify measurement error, a problematic fact given the dependent variable. Bilateral GDP correlations are indeed measured with error: speci...cation (ii) in Table 2 restricts therefore the data to those correlations $\frac{1}{2}$ that are signi...cant at the 10% level at least in all three sub-periods, in an attempt to minimize the inaccuracy in (i). Results show no improvement. Thus, it is quite possible that the channel from trade to cycle synchronization be indirect, and the claim that a monetary union will lead to more conformity in business cycles by stimulating exchanges might well be based on spurious grounds.

4 Structural Co-Fluctuations and the Exect of Exchange Rate Regime

4.1 Trade and Structural Fluctuations

Even if there were evidence of a positive impact of bilateral trade on output correlations, it would not have anything to say about how synchronized output ‡uctuations would be -or would have been, for that matter- in the absence of independent monetary policy. It would merely tell us ‡uctuations of aggregate activity become more similar in trading countries, for reasons that remain undetermined. In particular, trade could be a ecting TFP correlations, or the synchronization in technological developments between trading partners, as well as movements in inputs driven by other factors than technology. The former exect exists irrespective of the monetary regime, the latter could be arising from country-speci...c monetary developments and thus disappear in a currency union. Results obtained when replacing output correlations with TFP correlations are presented in the left panel of Table 3. Interestingly, as apparent from speci...cations (i)-(iii), trade partners seem to experience similar technological developments, as measured by TFP, and this even after controlling for other geographic variables¹⁷. However, the result does not resist to the use of lagged independent variables, as evident from (iv). In light of the very high serial correlation in the trade variable, at the very least this casts doubt on the robustness of results in (i)-(iii).

The right panel in Table 3 con...rms those results using measures of labor productivity instead. Insofar as the exects of monetary policy are presum-

¹⁷ If not spurious, this result can be related to Coe and Helpman (1995), where it is shown that the degree of openness matters in the di¤usion of technological developments.

ably short-lived, they are likely to be retected by movements in the labor input, and thus ...Itered from labor productivity. On the other hand, labor productivity tuctuates with cyclical movements in the capital stock, which presumably correspond to developments of a non-monetary nature. It may therefore provide a reasonably good account of how cycles would look in the absence of monetary policy. As before however, the impact of trade disappears when other factors are accounted for.

4.2 Exects of the Exchange Rate Regime

Providing decisive evidence about the exects of the exchange rate regime on trade is one of the most elusive endeavor in empirical international economics. The method here provides however a potentially fruitful approach, as it focuses on bilateral variables rather than mere cross-country evidence. Thus we want to estimate

$$WT_{i} = {}^{-}_{0} + {}^{-}_{1} {}^{k}_{i} + {}^{-}_{2} ER_{i} + {}^{-}_{3} X_{i} + {}^{"}_{i}$$
(2)

There is undoubtedly a serious issue of endogeneity for the right-hand side variables in (2). Given the evidence in this paper, $\bar{1}$ probably surfaces less from it than $_{2}$; as FR themselves argue, there is every reason to believe that it is mostly between trade partners that ...xed exchange rate regimes are agreed on, thus resulting in a high value for $\overline{}_2$ but with causality going the reverse way. Finding legitimate instruments is however di⊄cult because of the presence of a trade variable on the left-hand side of (2), thus forbidding the use of any so-called gravity variable, that will belong in X_{i} . As before the issue is bypassed by using lagged values of the right-hand side variables. In particular, serial correlation in the exchange rate regime variable is reassuringly low, as apparent from Figure 10. Given the substantial dimerences between ER_{i} and ER_{i} , it can be argued on reasonably safe grounds that causality does not go from WT_i to ER_{ii} 1. Table 4 presents estimates for (2) both with contemporaneous and lagged values of the independent variables. Regressions (i) and (ii) show a signi...cant exect of the exchange rate regime, even after controlling for geographic variables. Regressions (iii) and (iv) con...rm the ...nding with lagged values of \aleph_i and ER_i , though with substantially smaller point estimates. We interpret this as evidence that $\bar{2}$ is indeed plagued by a reverse causality bias in (2), but the exect of exchange rate arrangements on trade still prevails after accounting for it.

However, estimates in speci...cations (iii) and (iv) potentially fall victim to the same ... xed exect criticism developed in the previous section. In particular, some variables omitted from X_{i} could a ect simultaneously WT_{i} , \mathcal{V}_i and ER_i. This would for instance be the case if geographic, historical or political considerations, say, have an impact on exchange rate arrangements as well as on WT_i and \aleph_i as argued previously¹⁸. Speci...cation (v) runs (2) in ...rst dimerences for all observations available on WT_{i} , M_{i} and ER_{i} . No coe¢cient comes out signi...cantly, but as argued earlier, ...xed exects estimations tend to exaggerate measurement error. Speci...cation (vi) therefore limits the sample to pairs of countries for which b_j is signi...cant at the 10% level at least. Quite strikingly, for these pairs the exchange rate regime has a signi...cant and positive exect on trade. This is an interesting result in at least two ways: ...rst it provides some evidence in favor of real exect of the monetary regime, second and more importantly, it confers more credibility to the other regressions estimated in ...rst dimerences in this paper: even though WT_{λ} is very persistent, it remains meaningful even in growth rates.

4.3 Sensitivity Analysis

In this section, we check that the previous results are robust along two margins: ...rst we split the sample in four instead of three sub-periods. Second, we use di¤erent measures of the cycle, namely industrial production and employment. In Table 5, regressions (i) and (ii) show that the ...xed e¤ects argument carries through: if more trade results apparently in more synchronized cycles, it is mostly due to omitted time invariant variables. Regressions (iii) and (iv) con...rm that trade has no e¤ect on "structural" cycle synchronization, as measured by TFP correlations. Finally, speci...cations (v) and (vi) cast doubt on the robustness of the ...nding developed in the last section, that monetary arrangements have real e¤ects on trade. In particular, even for a subsample of signi...cant GDP correlations, the exchange rate regime does not impact the level of bilateral trade. Finally, Table 6 reproduces ...xed e¤ects estimations with bilateral correlations of indutrial production and employment on the left-hand side. Bilateral trade never plays a signi...cant role.

¹⁸Thus, it is for instance striking that Switzerland should remain outside of EMU, when every single one of its neighbors committed to it. Political considerations of neutrality, to a ...rst approximation constant over time, probably play a role in explaining why.

5 Conclusion

There are three reasons why the claim that bilateral trade results in synchronized business cycles ought to be quali...ed. First, both variables may very well respond simultaneously to other factors, potentially time invariant because of a geographic or institutional nature, but omitted from the estimations underlying the argument. Second, is it really the extent of synchronization as would prevail in the absence of independent monetary policy that reacts to bilateral trade? If not, it is di¢cult to interpret this evidence in the context of a monetary union. Finally, despite the omnipresence of the hypothesis, it is far from clear that a ... xed exchange rate regime will stimulate exchanges. In this paper, we provide evidence that these three quali...cations should be taken seriously. From the perspective of ex-posts exects of a currency union, the picture that emerges is mixed: while a ...xed regime might indeed result in more trade, and thus increase the desirability of a single currency, the intensity of bilateral trade, in turn, will not impact in any way the extent of cycle synchronization. From the perspective of empirical international economics, the fact that time invariant factors may explain an important part of the cross-sectional variation in GDP correlations calls for a detailed study of the determinants of co-‡uctuations.

References

Artis, Michael and Wenda Zhang (1995), International Business Cycles and the ERM: Is there a European Business Cycle?, CEPR Discussion Paper No. 1191.

Bacchetta, Philippe and Eric vanWincoop (1998), Does Exchange Rate Stability Increase Trade and Capital Flows?, NBER Working Paper No. 6704.

Backus, David, Patrick Kehoe and Finn Kydland (1994), Dynamics of the Trade Balance and the Terms of Trade: the J-Curve?, American Economic Review, Vol. 84, No. 1, 84-103.

Canova, Fabio (1993), Sources and Propagation of the International Business Cycle: Common Shocks or Transmission?, CEPR Discussion Paper No. 781.

Canova, Fabio and Harris Dellas (1993), Trade Interdependence and the International Business Cycle, Journal of International Economics, Vol. 34, 23-47.

Coe, David and Elhanan Helpman (1995), International R&D Spillovers, European Economic Review, Vol. 39, 859-887.

Cote, A. (1994), Exchange Rate Volatility and Trade, Bank of Canada Working Paper 95-4.

Deardor¤, Alan (1984), Testing Trade Theories and Predicting Trade Flows, in Ronald Jones and Peter Kenen Eds, Handbook of International Economics, Vol.1, 467-517.

Frankel, Je¤rey (1996), Regional Trading Blocks, Washington, DC, Institute of International Economics.

Frankel, Je¤rey and David Romer (1996), Trade and Growth: An Empirical Investigation, NBER Working Paper No. 5476.

Frankel, Je¤rey and Andrew Rose (1996), The Endogeneity of the Optimum Currency Area Criteria, NBER Working Paper No. 5700.

Frankel, Je¤rey and Andrew Rose (1997), Is EMU More Justi...able Expost than Ex-ante?, European Economic Review, Vol. 41, 753-760.

Frankel, Je¤rey and Andrew Rose (1998), The Endogeneity of the Optimum Currency Area Criteria, Economic Journal, Vol. 108, No. 449, 1009-1025. Frankel, Je¤rey, Ernesto Stein and Shang-Jin Wei (1995), Trading Blocks and the Americas: the Natural, the Un-natural and the Super-natural, Journal of Development Economics, Vol. 47, 61-95.

Gagnon, Joseph (1993), Exchange Rate Variability and the Level of International Trade, Journal of International Economics, Vol. 54, 269-287.

Hornstein, Andreas and Jack Praschnick (1997), Intermediate Inputs and Sectoral Comovements in the Business Cycle, Journal of Monetary Economics, Vol. 40, 573-595.

Imbs, Jean (1998a), Technology, Growth and the Business Cycle, Journal of Monetary Economics, forthcoming.

Imbs, Jean (1998b), Co-Fluctuations, University of Lausanne, Mimeo.

Kollmann, Robert (1998), Explaining International Comovements of Output and Asset Returns: the Role of Money and Nominal Rigidities, Mimeo, University Paris XII, August.

Ricci, Luca (1998), Uncertainty, Flexible Exchange Rate and Agglomeration, International Monetary Fund Working Paper.



Figure 1: GDP Correlations and Bilateral Trade





Figure 3: Bilateral Trade and Distance



Figure 4: TFP Correlations and Trade



Figure 5: Labor Productivity Correlations and Trade



Figure 6: Serial Correlation in GDP Correlations



Figure 7: Serial Correlation in GDP Correlations







	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)-IV	(v)-LAG
Constant	0.163**	0.481**	0.382**	0.634**	0.418**
	[11.09]	[11.33]	[6.99]	[7.38]	[8.17]
Trade	1.197**				
	[4.48]				
ln(Trade)		0.067 **	0.040**	0.103**	0.039**
		[9.71]	[3.16]	[5.04]	[3.01]
ER Regime			0.027		
			[0.87]		
Distance			-6.16 x 10 ⁻⁶ **		-8.75 x 10 ⁻⁶ **
			[2.57]		[3.33]
Adjacency			0.069		
			[1.36]		
Language			0.060		
			[1.56]		
N Obs	628	628	628	628	418
R-Square	0.047	0.078	0.099	0.054	0.092

Table 1: OLS and Reverse Causality Bias

Dependent variable is GDP correlation. Huber-White t-statistics reported between brackets. Distance is the kilometric distance between main cities, Adjacency takes value one if countries have a common border, and Language takes value one if countries share the same language. (iv) runs IV using adjacency and language as instruments. (v) runs the estimation using "lagged" values of the RHS variables.

	(i)	(ii)
Growth in Trade	0.081	0.235
	[0.79]	[0.43]
N Obs	208	28
R-Square	0.003	0.010

Table 2: Fixed Effects Estimations

Dependent variable is growth in GDP correlations, between 78.4-86.2 and 86.3-93.4. Regression (i) uses the log of bilateral trade growth between 70.1-78.3 and 78.4-86.2. Regression (ii) uses only GDP correlations significant at the 10% level in all three sub-periods.

		Total Factor H	Productivity		Labor Productivity		
	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)-LAG	(v)	(vi)	
Constant	0.222**	0.202**	0.161**	0.117*	0.173**	0.097**	
	[4.77]	[4.07]	[2.94]	[2.01]	[4.63]	[2.45]	
ln(Trade)	0.040**	0.035**	0.027*	0.014	0.021*	0.004	
	[3.36]	[2.60]	[2.01]	[1.09]	[2.54]	[0.44]	
ER Regime		0.028				0.091**	
		[0.76]				[3.01]	
Distance		-1.41 x 10 ⁻⁶				-4.35 x 10 ⁻⁶ *	
		[0.55]				[2.27]	
Adjacency			0.084	0.132*			
			[1.64]	[2.29]			
Language			0.017	-0.041			
			[0.47]	[0.94]			
N Obs	408	408	408	272	627	627	
R-Square	0.029	0.031	0.038	0.053	0.010	0.035	

Table 3: Structural Effects of Trade

		OLS	Lagg	Lagged RHS		First Differences	
	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	
Constant	-4.58**	-4.09**	-4.57**	-4.07**			
	[64.04]	[54.71]	[48.53]	[41.13]			
GDP Correlations	1.09**	0.459**	1.39**	0.627**			
	[6.01]	[3.14]	[5.59]	[3.11]			
Growth in GDP					0.001	0.083	
Correlations					[0.03]	[1.82]	
ER Regime	0.656**	0.456**	0.416**	0.350*			
	[5.28]	[4.07]	[2.67]	[2.48]			
Growth in ER					-0.023	0.183*	
Regime					[0.50]	[2.47]	
D		5 0 5 10-5th		0.00 10-			
Distance		-7.85 x 10 ***		-8.23 x 10			
		[11.53]		·**			
		1.00.444		[9.35]			
Adjacency		1.30**		1.27**			
T		[10.11]		[7.82]			
Language		0.220		.0186			
		[1.43]		[0.98]			
N Obs	570	570	361	361	152	24	
P Square	0.118	0.409	0.113	0.406	0.001	24 0.27	
K-Square	0.110	0.409	0.115	0.400	0.001	0.27	

Table 4: Effects of the Exchange Rate Regime

Dependent variable is bilateral trade. Regression (vi) is estimated using the sub-sample of GDP correlations significant at the 10% level.

	GDP – First		Lagged	TFP	Trade – First	
	Differences				Differences	
	(1)	(11)	(111)	(1V)	(v)	(V1)
Constant			0.146**	0.125*		
			[3.16]	[2.50]		
Trade Growth	-0.012	-0.392				
	[0.13]	[0.86]				
ln(Trade)			0.021	0.018		
()			[1.83]	[1 59]		
FR Regime			0.035	[1.57]		
LK Kegille			[1.06]			
Crearth in ED			[1.00]		0.022	0.019
Glowin in EK					0.025	0.018
Regime					[0.61]	[0.22]
					0.001	0.054
Growth in GDP					0.001	-0.054
Correlations					[0.05]	[0.81]
			6			
Distance			-3.12×10^{-6}			
			[1.39]			
Adjacency				0.096*		
				[2.10]		
Language				-0.044		
8.8				[1 15]		
				[1110]		
N Obs	418	38	408	408	342	38
R-Square	0.000	0.017	0.028	0.036	0.001	0.029

Table 5: Sensitivity Analysis – 4 periods

Specifications (i) and (ii) check that effects of trade on GDP correlations do not resist fixed effect estimation, even when only significant GDP correlations are included, as in (ii). Specifications (iii) and (iv) check that trade has no effect on correlations of TFP. Specifications (v) and (vi) check the effects of ER regime on trade in first differences.

	Industrial Production			Employment			
	(i)	(ii)-LAG	(iii)-FE	(iv)	(v)-LAG	(vi)-FE	
Constant	0.412**	0.358**		0.180**	0.172**		
	[10.20]	[9.51]		[4.17]	[3.64]		
Trade Growth			0.103			0.059	
			[1.59]			[0.64]	
ln(Trade)	0.063**	0.056**		0.023*	0.030**		
	[6.83]	[6.09]		[2.37]	[3.15]		
ER Regime	-0.016			0.090**	0.182**		
	[0.72]			[2.72]	[4.56]		
Growth in ER							
Regime							
Growth in GDP							
Correlations							
Distance	4.43 x 10 ⁻⁶ *	7.01 x 10 ⁻⁷		-1.37 x 10 ⁻⁷			
	[2.37]	[0.43]		[0.68]			
Adjacency	0.025			0.025			
5	[0.67]			[0.58]			
Language	0.039			0.062	0.015		
	[1.18]			[2.11]	[0.43]		
N Obs	627	418	209	627	418	209	
R-Square	0.106	0.113	0.012	0.047	0.094	0.002	

Table 6: Sensitivity Analysis – Alternative Measures of the Cycle