

# MPRA

Munich Personal RePEc Archive

## Funds Flow Analysis and Determinants of Fixed Assets

Ayub, Mehar

2000

Online at <http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/363/>

MPRA Paper No. 363, posted 07. November 2007 / 00:58

# **FUNDS FLOW ANALYSIS AND DETERMINANTS OF FIXED ASSETS**

BY

**DR. AYUB MEHAR**

**DEAN, MANAGEMENT SCIENCES  
INSTITUTE OF BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY  
KARACHI (PAKISTAN)  
2003**

**Address: R-73, Block 7, Decent Town, Gulistan-e- Jauhar, Karachi 75290  
(Pakistan) Ph. 92-021-8130550; 92-21-8130762  
Email: ayubmehar@yahoo.com**

# **FUNDS FLOW ANALYSIS AND DETERMINANTS OF FIXED ASSETS**

---

**JEL Classification Number:** C51, G31, M41

**Key Words:** Working Capital, Employed Capital, Simulation Analysis, Above the Line, Multiple regression

## **ABSTRACT**


The core objective of this study is to ascertain a link between the investment in fixed assets and the changing in the patterns of working capital. The relation between the flow of funds and the fixed assets is a central issue of the study. It is concluded in this study that funds from different sources determine the investment in fixed assets by different ways. With the help of this model, corporate planners and financial analysts can quantify the impacts of the flows of various funds on the assets holding patterns. Important conclusion of the model is that “fixed assets and working capital are complements. Working capital of a firm will increase with the enhancement in fixed assets”. The model is based on a single behavioral equation. The study is a mixture of the financial accounting postulates and econometric techniques.

## **I. THE OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY**

Investment in Fixed Assets is considered a real investment in economic literature. In their investment and lending policies, financial institutions emphasize on utilization of their funds only for approved objectives. Financial planners and strategists in corporate world believe that investments in fixed assets and working capital are the alternative uses of financial resources. However, it is commonly observed that investment in fixed assets is not independent from the liquidity position and funds flow patterns.

The core objective of this study is to ascertain a link between the investment in fixed assets and the changing in the patterns of working capital. The relation between the flow of funds and the fixed assets is a central issue of the study. We hypothesized that funds from different sources determine the investment in fixed assets by different ways. Funds flow patterns are examined either by the change in employed capital or changes in the components of working capital. The components of employed capital have been shown in the Figure: I. While the accounting relation between fixed assets and employed capital has been explained in Figure: II. The accountings model in figure II shows the theoretical relations between fixed assets and liquidity position of a firm.

**FIGURE: I**  
**DECOMPOSITION OF THE CHANGE IN EMPLOYED CAPITAL**



The study will provide a use full model to the financial analysts and corporate planners to enable them to determine the effects of the flow of various funds on the assets holding patterns. With the help of such a model, one can quantify the impacts of the change in employed capital on the fixed assets and working capital of a firm.

In the study we want to test the statistical relations between the change in the components of working capital and the fixed assets of a company. Such a study may also be useful in investment and financing decisions.

The study is a mixture of the financial accounting postulates and econometric techniques. The exploration is based on the results of a simulation model (Mehar: 1994). The estimated results of the model have been shown in Figure: 4.

The study is divided into four sections. The construction and estimation of the model will be discussed in the next section. We discussed the empirical findings based on simulation exercises in section (III), while section (IV) describes the conclusions of the study.

<b>FIGURE: II</b>		
<b>ACCOUNTING RELATION BETWEEN FIXED ASSETS AND WORKING CAPITAL</b>		
	$TOTASST = TOTLIBL$	(I)
	$FASSTC + TCRASST = EQUITY + LTDBT + CURLIBL$	(II)
Where,		
	'TOTASST' means the book value of Total Assets and Properties of a firm	
	'TOTLIBL' means the Total Equities and Liabilities of a firm	
	'FASSTC' means Fixed Assets at the historical costs	
	'TCRASST' means the Total Current assets	
	'EQUITY' means Owners' Equity	
	'LTDBT' means Long-term Liabilities, other than Owners' Equity	
	'CURLIBL' means Current Liabilities	
	'CAPITAL' means Employed Capital, and	
	'WRKPTL' means Working Capital	
	$CAPITAL = LTDBT + EQUITY$	(III)
	$WRKPTL = TCRASST - CURLIBL$	(IV)
So,		
	$FASSTC + (TCRASST - CURLIBL) = CAPITAL$	(V)
	<b><math>FASSTC + WRKPTL = CAPITAL</math></b>	(VI)

## **II. THE MODEL**

By mean of the accounting identities, we can derive the relation between fixed assets and employed capital. Figure: II shows the accounting relations between fixed assets, employed capital and working capital; while the behavioral determinants of fixed assets have been shown in Figure: III. Basically, working

capital is a difference between the employed capital and fixed assets. We have contemplated that how working capital affects the fixed assets of a firm. The factors of working capital and fixed assets have also been identified in the model.

We adopted an econometric approach, however it is useful to recall the main insight of financial accounting on which the most of the corporate finances' models are based. The accounting and the economic approaches in the literature are differed fundamentally. The accounting studies focus attention on the preparation of the flow of funds - where from funds come and where to go – (AICPA: 1986; Chadwick: 1987; Smith: 1980; and Williamson: 1987). While attention in the economic theories are paid on the behavior of investors and managers; that why funds from come and why to go (Bandt and Pascal: 1992; Jensen and Zorn: 1988; Myers: 1984; Peterson and Bennett: 1983 and Robert and Cooper: 1982).

The Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) were followed in the study and the standard accounting definitions have been adopted to explain the variables. The model has been estimated through the pooled data of annual audited accounts of 225 companies listed on the Karachi Stock Exchange. Those accounts cover the period of 1980 to 1994 giving us 3375 observations (225 companies and 15 years). The data have been obtained from a variety of sources, depending upon the definition and the nature of the variables. A large data on different variables have been extracted from the annual reports of the listed companies. However, the data for some variables have also been extracted from various issues of the Balance Sheet Analysis (State Bank of Pakistan: 1995-96, 19990-91, 1986-87, 1982-83). All the variables are in million of rupees.

Some important and interesting aspects of the equation of fixed assets at historical cost (FASSTC) will be discussed in the next section. It is hypothesized that paid up capital (OSCAP), reserves and surplus fund (SURPLUS), total current assets (TCRASST), net profit after tax (NPAT) and current liabilities (CURLIBL) are the explanatory variables of fixed assets (FASSTC).

Equities (OSCAP plus SURPLUS) are obviously one of the most influential factors of the acquisition of fixed assets. So, its inclusion in the model is obvious. A higher magnitude of current assets (TCRASST) may be a cause of lower investment in fixed assets (FASSTC), because total financial resources are divided between these two categories of assets.

No correlation was observed between the debt financing (LTDEBT) and investment in fixed assets (FASSTC) in the context of Pakistan. This phenomenon is almost common in all those developing counties where bonds markets are not developed. Debt financing in those countries depend on the institutional borrowing only. The institutional borrowing through public sector commercial banks generates a large part of the Long-term Debts Financing (LTDBT) in

Pakistan. The magnitude of this institutional borrowing depends on the credit policy prepared by the State Bank. The social and political factors also determine the availability and conditionality of the long-term debts financing (LTDEBT). Because of those factors, studies on investment behavior do not include debts as an explanatory variable, in the developing countries (Edward and Rao: 1990; Mehar: 1994; Myers: 1984; Peterson and Bennett: 1983 and Welch: 1994). The non-economic factors of debt financing and the lack of adequate information are the other causes of exclusion of long-term debt from the model.

Following model was derived in the light of above-mentioned detailed:

$$\text{FASSTC} = f(\text{OSCAP}, \text{SURPLUS}, \text{CURLIBL}, \text{TCRASST}, \text{NPATX}) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{FASSTC} = a_0 + a_1\text{OSCAP} + a_2\text{SURPLUS} + a_3\text{CURLIBL} + a_4\text{TCRASST} + a_5\text{NPATX} \quad (2)$$

The estimated coefficients of paid up capital (OSCAP) and reserves funds (SURPLUS) were found statistically equal i.e.  $a_1=a_2$ . Similarly, we assumed that 'Profit belongs to the owners (not to the firm)'. So,

$$\text{FASSTC} = a_0 + a_1\text{EQUITY} - a_3\text{TCRASST} + a_4\text{CURLIBL} \quad (3)$$

It is assumed that effects of current assets and current liabilities on fixed assets are equal but in opposite directions. So,

$$\text{FASSTC} = a_0 + a_1\text{EQUITY} + a_3\text{WRKPTL} \quad (4)$$

Where,

$$\text{WRKPTL} = \text{EQUITY} + \text{LTDEBT} - \text{FASSTC} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{FASSTC} = a_0 + a_1\text{EQUITY} + a_3(\text{EQUITY} + \text{LTDEBT} - \text{FASSTC}) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{FASSTC} = a_0 + a_1\text{EQUITY} + a_3\text{EQUITY} - a_3\text{FASSTC} + a_3\text{LTDEBT} \quad (7)$$

$$(1 + a_3)\text{FASSTC} = a_0 + (a_1 + a_3)\text{EQUITY} + a_3\text{LTDEBT} \quad (8)$$

In the absence of long-term debts (LTDEBT), the equation will be described in the following short form:


$$\text{FASSTC} = \Omega_0 + \Omega_1\text{CAPITAL} \quad (9)$$

Where,

$$\Omega_0 = (a_0/1+a_3) \text{ and } \Omega_1 = (a_1+a_3/1+a_3)$$

In real world, companies have different accounting policies. Particularly in depreciation accounting, inventories' valuation and bad debts estimates, policies are significantly differed. To eliminate accounting policy effects, we converted all the accounts into a "Uniform Accounting System". After transformation of data, we estimated the equation (3). The estimated parameters, their t-ratios and the adjusted coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) are listed in Figure: IV. The high value of the adjusted coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) confirms the validity of the results. The t-ratios are also highly significant, reflecting that the explanatory variables are the significant determinants of the dependent variables.

**FIGURE: III**  
**BEHAVIORAL RELATION BETWEEN FLOW OF FUNDS AND FIXED ASSETS**



**FIGURE: IV**  
**DETERMINANTS OF FIXED ASSETS**  
**ESTIMATED RESULTS**

$$\text{FASSTC} = -26.95 + 3.29 \cdot \text{CAPITAL} - 2.31 \cdot \text{TCRASST} + 2.37 \cdot \text{CURLIBL}$$

(- 3.83) (18.80)      (-21.83)      (22.90)

**Adjusted R-Square= 0.9148**

To eliminate the assets revaluation effects, we incorporated fixed assets at their historical cost. A negative sign with the total current assets in the equation shows the distribution of total financial resources between the current and non-current assets. The parameter associated with the current assets (TCRASST) indicates that forty-three percent of additional resources will be transferred in the current assets and addition in fixed assets will be 2.3 times of the addition in current assets (TCRASST). A forty-three percent deletion in current assets will create a hundred percent additional debit balance in non-current assets.

Addition in equities - either through external financing (new shares) or through retained earnings - will be a cause of enhancement in fixed assets. Investment in



fixed assets will be three times of the additional equities. Surprisingly, short-term financing also leads to enhancement in fixed assets.

### **III. SIMULATION ANALYSIS AND EMPIRICAL FINDINGS**

In the estimated results,  $a_0 = -26.95$ ,  $a_1 = 3.29$  and  $a_3 = 2.31$ .

So,

$$\Omega_0 = 20.57 \text{ and } \Omega_1 = 4.27$$

We analyzed the results through simulation exercises. On the basis of our econometric model, we simulated the equation for the year 1995. Following factors have been defined as policy variables to determine the fixed assets in the model: -

- 1) Paid-up Capital (OSCAP)
- 2) Reserves and Surplus Funds (SURPLUS)
- 3) Depreciation Fund (ACMDEP)
- 4) Current liabilities (CURLIABL)
- 5) Current Assets (TCRASST)

To measure the effects of those variables on fixed assets, we simulated the model under the following three alternative scenarios: -

- 1) The value of independent variables raised by 20 percent.
- 2) The value of independent variables raised by 50 percent.
- 3) The value of independent variables raised by 100 percent.


We compared the results with the base simulations. The results of simulations have been mentioned in Figure: V. With the simulation analysis, we are in a position to compare the net effects of change in Paid up Capital, Reserves Funds, Depreciation Funds, Current Assets and Current Liabilities.

**FIGURE: V**  
**SIMULATION ANALYSIS**  
**IMPACTS OF DISCRETIONARY CHANGE IN CAPITAL STRUCTURE ON FIXED ASSETS**

Explanatory Variable	Base Simulation (No Change)	Raise in Explanatory Variables		
		20 %	50%	100%
Paid-up Capital	352	398	466	581
Reserves and Surplus Funds	352	371	399	445
Depreciation Funds	352	353	353	355
Current Assets	352	261	125	-102
Current Liabilities	352	444	582	811

Rs/ Million

**FIGURE: VI**  
**IMPACTS OF 100 PERCENT INCREASE IN VARIOUS**  
**COMPONENTS OF WORKING CAPITAL**




The graphical presentation of simulation exercise is shown in Figure: VI. Results show that net change in fixed assets is less than change in the employed capital. But, the changes in fixed assets are always greater than change in working capital.

#### **IV. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

On the bases of simulation analysis, we concluded the following results:

- (1) If Paid up Capital increases by 10 percent, the magnitude of fixed assets in the balance sheet will increase by 6.5 percent. Although, regression parameters show that change in fixed assets would be 3.3 times of the change in paid up capital. But, it is the gross change; to calculate the net effects we have to estimate the effects of paid p capital on current liabilities. As a result of decrease in current liabilities, fixed assets will also decrease. So, net effects of increase in paid up capital will be lower than expected. Almost the same situation would be happened in case of the enhancement in the reserve funds (Mehtar: 1994)
- (2) The magnitude of the effects of current assets and current liabilities on fixed assets is equal but, in opposite directions. If current assets increase by 10 percent, fixed assets will go down by 13 percent. Similarly, if current liabilities increase by 10 percent, the fixed assets will go up by 13 percent. But, we have observed that the net effects of current assets and current liabilities are lower than their expected effects. In fact, when current assets increase, the current liabilities would also increase. Simultaneous increase in current assets and current liabilities sets off their effects on fixed assets (Mehtar: 1994).

**FIGURE: VII**  
**RELATION BETWEEN WORKING CAPITAL AND FIXED ASSETS**



**FIGURE: VIII**  
**THE CHANGING PATTERN OF FIXED ASSETS, EMPLOYED CAPITAL AND NET CURRENT ASSET**

Rs/Million		
Employed Capital	Fixed Assets	Working Capital
376	374	2
451	449	2
542	539	3
650	647	3
780	776	4

**FIGURE: IX**  
**IMPACTS OF THE MODE OF FINANCING ON INVESTMENT IN FIXED ASSETS:  
 A BIRD EYES VIEW**

Source of Fund	Impact on Fixed Assets
Paid-up Capital	Increased
Reserves and Surplus Funds	Increased
Depreciation Fund	No Effect
Current Assets	Decreased
Current Liabilities	Increased

With the help of this model, corporate planners and financial analysts can quantify the impacts of the flows of various funds on the assets holding patterns. Important conclusion of the model is that “fixed assets and working capital are complements. Working capital of a firm will increase with the enhancement in fixed assets”.

## REFERENCES

1. AICPA, 1986 “**Accounting Trends and Techniques**”, New York, The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
2. Bandt Olivier de and Jacquinot Pascal, 1992 “**The Financing of Corporate Firms in France – An Econometric Model**”, The Economic Modeling, July 1992, 253-269.
3. Chadwick, L., 1987 “ **Financial Structure**”, The Newsletter of the Chartered Association of Certified Accountants, February 1987, 12-19.
4. Edward, E. Ghartey, and U.L.G. Rao, 1990 “**A Short run Forecasting Model of Ghana**”, Economic Modeling, July 1990, 290-308.
5. International Accounting Standard Committee, (Various Issues), “**International Accounting Standards Exposure and Approved Drafts**”, London.
6. Jensen, G. and T. Zorn, 1988, “**An Empirical Examination of the Capital Structure Decision in a Simultaneous Equations Framework**”, University of Nebraska, Department of Finance, Working Paper, 1988.
7. Karachi Stock Exchange (1980-1994), “**Annual Reports of the Companies**”. Karachi.
8. Mehar, M. Ayub K., 1994, “**A Simulation Model of Corporate Finances: A Study of Companies Listed on the Karachi Stock Exchange**”, University of Karachi. Ph.D. Dissertation (unpublished).
9. Myers, S.C., 1984, “**The Capital Structure Puzzle**”, Journal of Finance, July 1984, 575-592.
10. Peterson, P.P. and GA Bennett, 1983, “ **A Reexamination of the Empirical Relationship between Investment and Financing Decision**”, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 1983 (18), 429-453.
11. Robert B. Anthonyson and J. Philip Cooper, 1982, “**Simulation Model for Corporate Planning**”, Cambridge, Mass. Dynamic Associates Incorporated, 1982
12. Smith, Keith, 1980, “**Readings on the Management of Working Capital**”, West Publishing Company.
13. State Bank of Pakistan, Various Issues, “**Balance Sheet Analysis**” Karachi: The State Bank of Pakistan.
14. Welch Ivo, 1994, “**The Cross-Sectional Determinants of Corporate Capital Expenditures: A Multinational Comparison**”, UCLA Working Papers.
15. Williamson, G.H., 1987, “ **An Approach to Modeling in Business and Industry via Simulation**”, The Newsletter of the Chartered Association of Certified Accountants, November, 23-29.

**APPENDIX****LIST OF COMPANIES**

Serial Number	Company
<b>(1) TEXTILE GROUP</b>	
PRIVATE SECTOR	
1	Adamjee Industries.
2	Ahmed Spinning Mills.
3	Ali Asghar Textile Mills.
4	Allawasaya Textile & Finishing .
5	Annoor Textile.
6	Anwar Textile.
7	Ayesha Textile.
8	Babri Cotton
9	Bahawalpur Textile.
10	Burewala Textile.
11	Central Cotton.
12	Chaudhry Textile
13	Chenab Textile
14	Colony Sarhad
15	Colony Thal
16	Crescent Textile
17	D.M. Textile
18	Dawood Cotton
19	Dewan Textile
20	Dost Muhammad Cotton
21	Elahi Cotton
22	Elite Textile
23	Fateh Textile
24	Fazal Cloth
25	Fazal Textile
26	F.P. Textile
27	Ghafur Textile
28	Globe Textile
29	(OE) Globe Textile
30	Gul Ahmed Textile
31	Gulistan Textile
32	Hafiz Textile
33	Hamraz Industries
34	Hussein Industries
35	Indus Dyeing & Manufacturing
36	Island Textile
37	Jubilee Spinning & Weaving
38	Junaid Cotton
39	Karim Cotton
40	Khalid Textile
41	Khyber Textile
42	Kohat Textile
43	Kohinoor Industries
44	Kohinoor Spinning

45	Kohinoor Textile
46	Kotri Textile
47	M.F.M.Y. Industries
48	Mahmood Textile
49	Modern Textile
50	Muhammad Farooq
51	Mushtaq Textile
52	Nafees Cotton
53	Nakshbandi Aindustries
54	Naveed Tex
55	Nishat Mills
56	Noon Textile
57	Olympia Spinning & Weaving
58	Quetta Textile
59	Rasihid Textile
60	Sadiqabad Textile
61	Sally Textile
62	Sapphire Textile
63	Service Industries
64	Shafiq Textile
65	Shahyar Textile
66	Shaheen Cotton
67	Shams Textile
68	Sind Fine Textile
69	Star Textile
70	Sunshine Cotton
71	Universal Textile
72	Usman Textile
73	Yousuf Textile
74	Zaman Textile
75	Bengal Fibre
76	Colony Woollen
77	Dilon Ltd
78	Karim Silk
79	Lawrencepur Wollen & Textile
80	Liberty Mills
81	Moonlite (Pak)
82	Nilom Nylon
83	Noor Silk
84	Polypropylene Products
85	United Carpets
86	Valika Art Fabrics

**PUBLIC SECTOR**

88	Harnai Woollen
89	Ravi Rayon

**(2) CHEMICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL GROUP**

**PRIVATE SECTOR**

90	Abbott Laboratories
91	Bawany Oxygen
92	Berger Paints
93	Chemicals Ltd

94	Cyanamid (Pak) Ltd
95	Dawood Hercules Chemicals
96	Exxon Chemicals Pakistan
97	Ferozsons Laboratories
98	Glaxo Laboratories
99	Hoechst (Pak) Ltd.
100	I.C.I. (Pak) Ltd
101	P.Leiner & Sons Chemicals & Feeds
102	Pakistan Gum & Chemical
103	Pakistan Industrial Gases
104	Pakistan Oxygen
105	Reckitt & Colman
106	Sandoz Pakistan
107	Wellcome Pakistan
108	Pakistan P.V.C.Ltd.
109	Sind Alkalies.

**(3) ENGINEERING GROUP****PRIVATE SECTOR**

110	Allwin Engineering Industries
111	Aslo Electrical Industries
112	Atlas Autos
113	Climax Engineering
114	Johnson & Philips
115	K.S.B.Pumps
116	Nowshera Engineering
117	Pakistan Cables
118	Philips Electrical Industries
119	Punjab Lamp Eorks
120	R.C.D.Ball Bearings
121	Regnis Pakistan
122	Saif Nadeem Kawasaki
123	Saiffee Development Corporation
124	Shaigon Electrical & Engineering
125	Siemens Engineering (pak)

**PUBLIC SECTOR**

126	Bela Engineers
127	Karachi Pipe
128	Mack Trucks of Pakistan
129	Metropolitan Steel Corporation
130	Millat Tractors
131	National Motors
132	Pakistan Engineering
133	Quality Steel

**(4) SUGAR AND ALLIED GROUP****PRIVATE SECTOR**

134	Al-Noor Sugar
135	Bawany Sugar
136	Charsadda Sugar
137	Crescent Sugar
138	Facto Sugar
139	Frontier Sugar

140	Habib Arkady
141	Husein Sugar
142	Kohinoor Sugar
143	Mehran Sugar
144	Mirpurkhas Sugar
145	Noon Sugar
146	Premier Sugar
147	Shahtaj Sugar
148	Shakarganj Mills
149	United Sugar

## PUBLIC SECTOR

150	Thal Industries Corporation
-----	-----------------------------

**(5) PAPER BOARD AND ALLIED GROUP**

## PRIVATE SECTOR

151	Adamjee Paper & Board
152	Baluchistan Partical Board
153	Chilya Corrugated Board
154	Crescent Board
155	Orient Straw Board & Paper
156	Packages Limited (Pvt)
157	Pakistan Paper Corporation
158	Pakistan Paper Products
159	Pakistan Paper Sack Corporation

## PUBLIC SECTOR

160	Security Papers
-----	-----------------

**(6) CEMENT GROUP**

## PRIVATE SECTOR

161	Asbestos Cement Industries
-----	----------------------------

## PUBLIC SECTOR

162	Gharibwal Cement
163	Javedan Cement
164	Mustehkham Cement Industries
165	Zeal Pak Cement Factory

**(7) FUEL AND ENERGY GROUP**

## PRIVATE SECTOR

166	Atlas Battery
167	Burshan ( Pak) Ltd
168	Haroon Oil Ltd
169	Pakistan Burmah Shell
170	Pakistan Refinery

## PUBLIC SECTOR

171	Attock Refinery
172	Karachi Electric Supply Corp
173	National Refinery
174	Pakistan Oil Fields
175	Pakistan State Oil
176	Sui Gas Transmission Co
177	Sui Northern Gas Pipelines

**8) THE 'MISCELLANEOUS GROUP**



## PRIVATE SECTOR

178	Amin Fabrics
179	Crescent Jute Production
180	Indus Jute
181	Latif Jute
182	Mehran Jute
183	Pakistan Jute & Synthetics
184	Thal Jute
185	Exteaction Pakistan
186	Lever Brothers Pakistan
187	Arpak International
188	Bari Rice
189	Bata Pakistan
190	U.D.L Industries
191	Benz Industries
192	Brooke Bond Pakistan
193	Dadabhoy Padube
194	General Tyer & Rubber
195	Haji Dossa
196	Hashimi Can Company
197	Hilal Flour & General
198	Karachi Can Companyt
199	Lipton Pakistan
200	Milk Pak
201	Noon Pakistan
201	Pakistan Fisheries
203	Pakistan House International
204	Pakistan Services
205	Prince Glass
206	Security Safe Deposit Co
207	Service Industries ( Shoes)
208	Shabbir Tiles & Ceramics
209	Spencers & Co. Pakiistan
210	Syed Match Co.
211	Taj Mahal Hotels
215	Trans-Pak Corporation
213	Treet Corporation
214	Universal Leather & Footwear Industries

## PRIVATE SECTOR

215	Associated Industries
216	Burma Oil Mills
217	Fazal Vegetable Ghee
218	Kakakhel Industries
219	Kohinoor Oil
220	Maqbool Company
221	Maorafco Industreis
222	Sh.Fazal Rehman & Sons
223	Suraj Ghee Industries
224	Universal Oil Vegetable Ghee
225	Wazir Ali Industries