Flow and Heat Transfer in a Newtonian Liquid with Temperature Dependent Properties over an Exponential Stretching Sheet
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ABSTRACT

The paper presents a study of a forced flow and heat transfer of an electrically conducting Newtonian fluid due to an exponentially stretching sheet. The governing coupled, non-linear, partial differential equations are converted into coupled, non-linear, ordinary differential equations by a similarity transformation and are solved numerically using shooting method. The influence of various parameters such as the Prandtl number, Chandrasekhar number, variable viscosity parameter, heat source (sink) parameter and suction/injection on velocity and temperature profiles are presented and discussed.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A, D</td>
<td>prescribed constants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cₚ</td>
<td>specific heat at constant pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₀</td>
<td>applied uniform vertical magnetic field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>heat source (sink) parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>thermal conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Chandrasekhar number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₛ</td>
<td>heat source parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₛ</td>
<td>local Chandrasekhar number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>fluid temperature of the moving sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tₑ</td>
<td>wall temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tₑₓ</td>
<td>temperature far away from the sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u, v</td>
<td>velocity components along x and y direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U, V</td>
<td>non-dimensional velocity components along x and y direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>variable viscosity parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>flow directional co-ordinate along the stretching sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>distance normal to the stretching sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X, Y</td>
<td>dimensionless co-ordinates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν</td>
<td>kinematic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μₑₘ</td>
<td>magnetic permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>dimensionless temperature in PEST case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>dimensionless temperature in PEHF case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>density of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σₑ</td>
<td>electrical conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ψ</td>
<td>dimensionless stream function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts

- m: magnetic quantity
- w: wall temperature
- ∞: ambient temperature condition
- θ: non-dimensional temperature in PEST
- φ: non-dimensional temperature in PEHF
1. INTRODUCTION

Flows due to a continuously moving surface are encountered in several important engineering applications, viz., in the polymer processing unit of a chemical engineering plant, annealing of copper wires, glass fiber and drawing of plastic films. Sakiadis (1961 a, b, c) initiated the theoretical study of these applications by considering the boundary layer flow over a continuous solid surface moving with constant speed. This problem was extended by Ericsson et al. (1969) to the case where the transverse velocity at the moving surface is non-zero with heat and mass transfer in the boundary layer accounted for.

Crane (1970) studied the steady two-dimensional boundary layer flow caused by the stretching sheet, which moves in its own plane with a velocity which varies linearly with the axial distance. Thereafter various aspects of the above boundary layer problem on continuous moving surface were considered by many researchers (Vleggar, 1977; Gupta and Gupta, 1977; Gruubka and Bobba, 1985; Chen and Char, 1988; Kumaran and Ramanaraiha, 1996; Siddheshwar et al., 2005; and Sekhar and Chethan, 2010).

Many metallurgical processes involve the cooling of continuous strips or filaments by drawing them through a quiescent fluid. During this process of drawing the strips are sometimes stretched. The properties of final product depend on the rate of cooling. Pavlov (1974) examined the flow of an electrically conducting fluid caused solely by the stretching of an elastic sheet in the presence of a uniform magnetic field. Chakrabarti and Gupta (1979) considered the flow and heat transfer of an electrically conducting fluid past a porous stretching sheet. Anderson (1992) presented an analytical solution of the magnetohydrodynamic flow using a similarity transformation for the velocity and temperature fields. In all the above mentioned studies the physical properties of the ambient fluid were assumed to be constants. However, it is well known that these physical properties of the ambient fluid may change with temperature (Herswig and Wickern, 1986; Takhar et al., 1991; Pop et al., 1992, Subhash Abel et al., 2002, Pantokratoras, 2004; Ali, 2006; Andresson and Aaresth, 2007; Prasad et al., 2009, Sekhar and Chethan, 2010).

Magyari and Keller (2000) studied the heat and mass transfer on the boundary layer flow due to an exponentially stretching surface. Elbashbeshy (2001) added new dimension to the study on exponentially stretching surface. Partha et al. (2004) have examined the mixed convection flow and heat transfer from an exponentially stretching vertical surface in quiescent liquid using a similarity solution. Heat and mass transfer in a viscoelastic boundary layer flow over an exponentially stretching sheet were investigated by Khan and Sanjayand (2005; 2006). Sajid and Hayat (2008) considered the influence of thermal radiation on the boundary layer flow due to an exponentially stretching sheet. Sekhar and Chethan (2012) analyzed the flow and heat transfer due to an exponentially stretching continuous surface in the presence of Boussinesq-Stokes suspension. Siddheshwar et al. (2014) extended this problem by including the effect of the transverse magnetic field. In the present work, we study the boundary layer flow behavior and heat transfer of a Newtonian fluid past an exponentially stretching sheet, when viscosity is a function of temperature and in the presence of external magnetic field.

2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

We consider a steady, two-dimensional boundary layer flow of an incompressible, weakly electrically conducting Newtonian fluid due to a stretching sheet. The liquid is at rest and the motion is affected by pulling the sheet at both ends with equal force parallel to the sheet and with speed $u$, which varies exponentially with the distance $x$ from the origin.

The boundary layer equations governing the flow and heat transfer in a Newtonian fluid over a stretching sheet, assuming that the viscous dissipation is negligible, are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{\mu(t)}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right] - \frac{\mu(t)}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 H_0^2}{\partial x^2} - u,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = k \frac{\partial^2 H_0^2}{\partial y^2} + Q_x (t - t_e).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

Here $u$ and $v$ are the components of the liquid velocity in the $x$ and $y$ directions, respectively, $t$ is the temperature of the sheet, $t_e$ is the temperature of the fluid far away from the sheet, $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity, $\mu_e$ is the magnetic permeability, $H_0$ is the applied magnetic field, $\rho$ is the density, $\sigma$ is the electric conductivity of the fluid, $k$ is the thermal conductivity, $C_p$ is the specific heat at constant pressure and $Q_x$ is the heat source coefficient.

The coefficient of viscosity is assumed to be a reciprocal function of temperature and it is of the form

$$\mu(t) = \frac{\mu_e}{1 + \delta(t - t_e)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} 

If $\frac{1}{\mu}$ is expanded in Taylor’s series about $t = t_e$, then the scalar appearing in the above expression can be written as

$$\delta = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \right) \right|_{t=t_e}.$$  \hspace{1cm} 

Here $\mu_e$ is the coefficient of viscosity far away from the sheet.

The following boundary conditions are used:
Here, primes denote the differentiation with respect to \( \eta \).

Using Eq. (2.10), the boundary layer equations Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
(1+VT)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial Y^2} & - (1+VT)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial X \partial Y} = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Y} & = 0, \quad \text{at } Y = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Y} & \to 0, \quad \text{at } Y \to \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

The following similarity transformation will now be used on Eqs. (2.11) and (2.12).

\[
\psi(x, \eta) = f(\eta) e^\xi, \quad T(x, y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
T = 1 & \text{in PEST case} \\
\phi(\eta) & \text{in PEHF case}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(2.13)

(i) PST:

\[
(1+\sqrt{\nu}) f'' - V f' + (1+\sqrt{\nu}) \left( f f'' - 2 f' \right) = 0
\]

(2.15)

\[
\theta' + Pr f \phi' - Pr f' \phi + Pr H_{sx} \phi = 0,
\]

(2.16)

(ii) PHF:

\[
(1+\sqrt{\nu}) f'' - V f' + (1+\sqrt{\nu}) \left( f f'' - 2 f' \right) = 0
\]

(2.18)

\[
\phi' + Pr f \phi' - Pr f' \phi + Pr H_{sx} \phi = 0,
\]

(2.19)

Here, \( V_{cs} \frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \) is the local suction/injection parameter, \( \Omega = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \) is the local Chandrasekhar number and \( H_s = \frac{\Omega S}{\Omega \alpha} \) is the local heat source (sink) parameter.
2. METHOD OF SOLUTION

The boundary value problems due to an exponential stretching sheet are solved numerically by shooting method. We adopt the shooting method with Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg-45 scheme to solve the boundary value problems in PEST and PEHF cases mentioned in the previous section. The coupled non-linear Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16) in the PEST case are transformed to a system of five first order differential equations as follows:

\[ \frac{df_1}{d\eta} = f_1, \]
\[ \frac{df_2}{d\eta} = f_2, \]
\[ \frac{d\theta_1}{d\eta} = \frac{\nu \theta_1}{(1 + \nu \theta_1)} f_1 + (1 + \nu \theta_1) (f_1 f_2 + 2 f_1^2 + Q f_1), \]
\[ \frac{d\theta_2}{d\eta} = Pr f_1 \theta_2 - Pr f_1 \theta_1 - Pr H_0 \theta_0. \]

(2.21)

Subsequently the boundary conditions in Eq. (2.17) take the form

\[ f_2(0) = -V_c, f_1(0) = 1, f_1(\infty) \to 0, \]
\[ \theta_1(0) = 1, \theta_1(\infty) \to 0. \]

Here \( f_2 = f(\eta) \) and \( \theta_1 = \theta(\eta) \).

A forementioned boundary value problem is converted into an initial value problem by choosing the values of \( f_2(0) \) and \( \theta_1(0) \) appropriately. Resulting initial value problem is integrated using the fourth order Runge-Kutta method. Newton-Raphson method is implemented to correct the guess values of \( f_2(0) \) and \( \theta_1(0) \). In solving Eqs. (2.21) subjected to boundary conditions (2.22) the appropriate \( \omega \) is determined through the actual computation. Same procedure is adopted to solve the boundary layer equations in PEHF case.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The hydromagnetic boundary layer flow and heat transfer in a weakly electrically conducting Newtonian fluid past an exponentially stretching sheet with temperature dependent viscosity are investigated. Numerical solution of the problem is obtained by shooting method.

Figures 1 to 3 are plots of the stream lines for various values of the parameters \( \psi, H_0, V, V_{cx}, Pr \) and \( Q_x \). Quite clearly we see that the effect of \( \psi \) and \( V \) is to push the dynamics away from the stretching sheet and away from the slit at \((0,0)\). The effect of suction \((V_{cx} < 0)\) and Prandtl number \( Pr \) is to bring the region of dynamics closer to the slit. The effect of injection \((V_{cx} > 0)\) is similar to \( V \) and so is the effect of \( Q_x \).
Figure 4 demonstrates the effect of variable viscosity parameter $V$ and suction/injection parameter $V_i$ on the temperature distribution. The effect of $V$ and injection ($V_{cx} > 0$) is to increase the thermal boundary layer thickness whereas suction ($V_{cx} < 0$) reduces it.

The effect of Chandrasekhar number $Q_s$ and Prandtl number $Pr$ on temperature profiles are shown in Fig. 5. It is noticed that the effect of $Q_s$ is to increase the temperature in the boundary layer. This is because of the fact that the introduction of transverse magnetic field to an electrically conducting fluid gives rise to a resistive type of force known as Lorentz force. This force has the tendency to slow down the motion of the fluid in the boundary layer and to increase the temperature profile. Also, the effect of increasing values of Prandtl number is decrease the temperature distribution in the flow region.

It is observed that the effect of heat source ($H_{sx} > 0$) in the boundary layer generates energy which causes the temperature to increase, while the presence of heat sink ($H_{sx} < 0$) in the boundary layer absorbs the energy which causes the temperature to decrease. These behaviors are seen in Fig. 6.
In order to validate our results, we have compared the rate of heat transfer $-\theta'(0)$ in the absence of variable viscosity $V = 0$, Chandrasekhar number $Q = 0$ and heat source/sink parameter $H = 0$ with the published results and found them to be in good agreement (see Table 1).

Table 1: Comparison of values of skin friction $-f'(0)$ for various values of $V$ with $V = Q = H = 0$ in case of exponential stretching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V$</th>
<th>Elbashbeshy (2001)</th>
<th>Present study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.28181</td>
<td>1.28181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>1.37889</td>
<td>1.37889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>1.48389</td>
<td>1.48389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>1.59824</td>
<td>1.59824</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus suction can be used as a means to get better cooling of the continuous sheet. Larger the value of $Pr$, larger is the magnitude of the wall temperature gradient. The wall temperature gradient in the PEST case decreases but the wall temperature in the PEHF case increases as $H$ increases from a negative value to a positive value. Therefore PEHF boundary conditions are better suited than PEST boundary conditions in cooling the stretching sheet relatively faster as can be seen from the tabulated values.

5. CONCLUSION

1. The effect of variable viscosity parameter $V$ is to push the dynamics away from the stretching sheet.
2. Increase in suction/injection parameter $V$ will blow up stream lines.
3. The effect of variable viscosity parameter $V$ is to increase the temperature in the boundary layer.
4. The temperature in the boundary layer decreases (increases) due to suction (injection).
5. The effect of Prandtl number is to decrease the thermal boundary layer thickness.
6. The heat source parameter $H$ increases the heat transfer in both PEST and PEHF cases and the opposite is observed in the case of a sink.
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